ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD February 6, 1986

IN THE MATTER OF:)	
)	
UIC UPDATE, USEPA REGULATIONS)	R85-23
(THROUGH 6/30/85))	

PROPOSAL FOR PUBLIC COMMENT.

PROPOSED OPINION OF THE BOARD (by J. Anderson):

By a separate Order, pursuant to Section 13(c) and 22.4(a) of the Environmental Protection Act (Act), the Board is proposing to amend the UIC regulations.

On October 1, 1985, the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (Agency) filed with the Board a rough draft of a proposal to update the RCRA and UIC regulations. In R85-22, the Board amended the RCRA regulations in an Order and Opinion of December 20, 1985 and January 9, 1986, respectively. This Docket, R85-23, concerns the UIC rules. The Agency's submittal is marked PC 1 in both dockets.

Section 13(c) of the Act governs adoption of regulations establishing the UIC program in Illinois. Section 13(c) provides for quick adoption of regulations which are "identical in substance" to federal regulations. Neither Title VII of the Act nor Section 5 of the Administrative Procedure Act applies to rules adopted under Section 13(c). Because this rulemaking is not subject to Section 5 of the Administrative Procedure Act, it is not subject to review by the Joint Committee on Administrative Rules (JCAR). The federal UIC regulations are found at 40 CFR 144 and 146. This rulemaking updates Illinois' UIC rules to correspond with federal amendments adopted through June 30, 1985. The Federal Registers utilized are as follows:

49 Fed. Reg. 20138 May 11, 1984 (Ex 10) 49 Fed. Reg. 45304 November 15, 1984(Ex 23)

Copies of these items are attached to PC 1 as exhibits with the number indicated. In that the November 15, 1985 amendments are irrelevant to the Illinois program, only the May 11, 1984 amendments have resulted in any changes.

HISTORY OF RCRA and UIC ADOPTION

The Illinois RCRA and UIC rules, together with more stringent state rules particularly applicable to hazardous waste, include the following:

702	RCRA and UIC Permit Programs
703	RCRA Permit Program
704	UIC Permit Program
705	Procedures for Permit Issuance
709	Wastestream Authorizations
720	General General
721	Identification and Listing
722	Generator Standards
723	Transporter Standards
724	Final TSD Standards
725	Interim Status TSD Standards
726	Specific Wastes and Management Facilities
729	Landfills: Prohibited Wastes
730	UIC Operating Requirements

Special procedures for RCRA cases are included in Parts 102, 103, 104 and 106.

Adoption of these rules has proceeded in several stages. The Phase I RCRA rules were adopted and amended as follows:

- R81-22 45 PCB 317, February 4, 1982, 6 III. Reg. 4828, April 23, 1982.
- R82-18 51 PCB 31, January 13, 1983, 7 III. Reg. 2518, March 4, 1983.

Illinois received Phase I interim authorization on May 17, 1982 (47 Fed. Reg. 21043).

The UIC rules were adopted as follows:

R81-32 47 PCB 93, 6 III. Reg. 12479.

The UIC rules were amended in R82-18, which is referenced above. The UIC rules were also amended in R83-39:

R83-39 55 PCB 319, December 15, 1983; 7 I11. Reg. 17338, December 20, 1983.

Illinois received UIC authorization February 1, 1984.

The Phase II RCRA rules included adoption of Parts 703 and 724, which established the permit program and final TSD standards. The Phase II rules have been adopted and amended, and authorization was received by the USEPA, effective 1:00 p.m. EST January 31, 1986.

- R82-19 53 PCB 31, July 26, 1983, 7 Ill. Reg. 13999, October 28, 1983.
- R83-24 55 PCB 31, December 15, 1983, 8 III. Reg. 200, January 6, 1984.

On September 6, 1984, the Third District Appellate Court upheld the Board's actions in adopting R82-19 and R83-24. (Commonwealth Edison et al. v. IPCB.)

The Board has updated the RCRA rules to correspond with USEPA amendments in two dockets:

- R84-9 June 13, 1985; 9 Ill. Reg. 11964, effective July 24, 1985.
- R85-22 December 20, 1985 and January 9, 1986; 10 III. Reg. 968, effective January 2, 1986.

The Board added to the federal listings of hazardous waste by listing dioxins pursuant to Section 22.4(d) of the Act:

R84-34 November 21, 1984; 8 III. Reg. 24562, effective December 11, 1984.

This was effectively repealed by R85-22, which included adoption of USEPA's dioxin listings.

The Board has adopted procedures to be followed in cases before it involving the RCRA rules:

R84-10 December 20, 1984, and January 10, 1985.

The Board has also adopted in Part 106 special procedures to be followed in certain determinations. Part 106 was adopted in R85-22, which is listed above.

The Board has also adopted requirements limiting and restricting the landfilling of liquid hazardous waste and hazardous wastes containing halogenated compounds:

- R81-25 October 25, 1984; 8 I11. Reg. 24124, December 4, 1984;
- R83-28 December 20, 1984, and January 10, 1985, 9 Ill. Reg. 730; Second Notice Order December 20, 1985

GENERAL SUMMARY

Major areas of this proposal include the following:

- Consistency with USEPA's reorganized rules.
- 2. Whether to adopt "USEPA specific" language in the May 11, 1984 amendments.
- 3. Whether to give retroactive effect to the USEPA prohibition on Class IV wells (Section 704.124).

A general discussion of the first two areas follows. Detailed discussion of the prohibition on Class IV wells appears with the detailed discussion of specific Sections.

CORRESPONDENCE TABLES

The RCRA and UIC programs were originally derived from 40 CFR 122, which also included the NPDES and other major federal programs. The Board adopted the programs as Parts 702, 703 and 704. Part 702 included material in common between the RCRA and UIC programs; while Parts 703 and 704 included, respectively, specific RCRA and UIC material. A major reason for structuring the rules in this manner was to aid in future comparison with the federal rules. However, USEPA has now deconsolidated its permit rules, placing the UIC program in 40 CFR 144 and the RCRA program in 40 CFR 270. This has made it very difficult to compare the Board's rules with USEPA's rules. The Board will therefore place correspondence tables into this proposed Opinion to aid commenters in their review of the proposal.

The correspondence tables represent the rules as amended by this proposal, which involves some renumbering and additions to the existing language. Federal provisions which have no Illinois counterpart have a "fed" entry.

There are two tables: one to find the source of an Illinois Section, the other to find the disposition of a USEPA provision.

The conversion table from the Illinois Administrative Code to the CFR is as follows:

TABLE 1

35 I11. Code	Adm.	40 CFR
702.103 702.121 702.122 702.123 702.124 702.125 702.126 702.140 702.141 702.142 702.143 702.144 702.145 702.146 702.147		144.5 144.31(b) 144.31(e) 144.31(f) 144.37 144.32 144.52(c) 144.51(a) 144.51(b) 144.51(c) 144.51(d) 144.51(e) 144.51(f) 144.51(g)
702.148 702.149 702.150(a)	144.51(h) 144.51(i) 144.51(j)(1)
,0~,130(u ,	T-1-0-(1)(T)

702.150(b) 702.150(c) 702.151 702.152 702.160(a) 702.160(b) 702.160(c) 702.161 702.162 702.163 702.164 702.181 702.182 702.183 702.184 702.184 702.185 702.185 702.186 702.187	144.51(j)(2)(i) 144.51(j)(3) 144.51(k) 144.51(1) 144.52(a) 144.52(b) 144.51 144.36 144.36 144.53(a) 144.53(b) 144.54 144.35 144.35 144.39 144.39 144.39(a) 144.39(b) 144.40 144.40
704.101 704.102 704.103 704.104 704.105 704.106 704.121 704.122 704.123(a) 704.123(b)(2) 704.123(b)(3) 704.123(b)(3) 704.124 704.141 704.141 704.142 704.144 704.145 704.146 704.147 704.148 704.148 704.149 704.161(a) 704.161(b) 704.161(d) 704.161 704.162 704.163 704.164 704.164 704.164 704.163 704.164 704.164 704.164	144.1(a) 144.1(g) 144.1(g) 144.7(b)(1) 144.1(g)(1)-(3) 144.6 144.3 144.11 144.12 144.7(a) 144.7(b)(2) 144.7(b)(3) 144.7(c) 144.13 144.21 144.21(b) 144.23 144.21(c) 144.23 144.21(c) 144.23 144.24 144.25 144.26 144.27 144.28 144.31(a) 144.31(c) 144.31(g) 144.31(e)(9) 144.33 144.34 144.32(a)(1) 144.51(a)

704.181(b) 704.181(c) 704.181(d) 704.181(e) 704.181(f) 704.181(g) 704.182 704.183 704.184 704.185 704.188 704.189 704.190 704.191 704.192 704.193 704.201 704.201 704.211 704.212 704.211 704.212 704.215 704.216 704.217 704.218 704.219 704.219	144.51(j)(2)(ii) 144.51(m) 144.51(n) 144.51(n) 144.51(o) 144.51(p) 144.52(a) 144.52(a)(1) 144.52(a)(2) 144.52(a)(3) 144.52(a)(4) 144.52(a)(6) 144.52(a)(6) 144.52(a)(7) 144.52(a)(8) 144.52(a)(9) 144.16 144.55 144.14(b) 144.14(c) 144.61 144.62 144.63 144.63(d) 144.63(d) 144.63(e) 144.63(f) 144.63(g)
704.220	
704.221	144.63(h)
704.222	144.63(i)
704.230 704.240	144.64 144.70
fed	144.1(b)
fed	144.1(c)
fed	144.1(d)
fed	144.1(e)
fed fed	144.1(f) 144.2
fed	144.2
fed	144.8
fed	144.15
fed	144.22
fed	144.65
fed	144.66

TABLE 2

144.32 144.32(a)(1) 144.33 144.34 144.35 144.36 144.37 144.38 144.39 144.39(a) 144.39(b) 144.39(c) 144.40 144.41 144.51 144.51(a) 144.51(b) 144.51(c) 144.51(d) 144.51(e) 144.51(f) 144.51(f) 144.51(i) 144.51(j)(1) 144.51(j)(2)(ii) 144.51(j)(2)(ii) 144.51(j)(2)(ii) 144.51(j)(3) 144.52(a)(4) 144.52(a)(1) 144.52(a)(1) 144.52(a)(1) 144.52(a)(1) 144.52(a)(6) 144.52(a)(6) 144.52(a)(9) 144.52(b) 144.52(c) 144.52(c) 144.52(c) 144.52(c) 144.52(c) 144.52(c) 144.52(c) 144.52(c)	702.126 704.164 704.162 704.163 702.181 702.161 702.125 702.182 702.184 702.185 702.186 702.187 702.160(c) 702.141 704.181(a) 702.142 702.144 702.145 702.145 702.148 702.149 702.150(b) 704.181(c) 704.181(c) 704.181(e) 704.181(e) 704.181(e) 704.181(f) 704.181(f) 704.181(g) 704.181(g) 704.181(g) 704.181(g) 704.181(g) 704.181(g) 704.181(g) 704.181(f) 704.181(g) 704.180(g) 704.181(g) 704.180(g) 704.181(g) 704.180(g) 704.180(g) 704.180(g) 704.180(g) 704.180(g) 704.180(g) 704.180(g)
144.52(a)(9)	704.191
144.52(b)	702.160(b)

144.61 144.62 144.63(a) 144.63(b) 144.63(c) 144.63(d) 144.63(e) 144.63(f) 144.63(g) 144.63(h) 144.63(i) 144.64 144.65	704.211 704.212 704.213 704.214 704.215 704.216 704.217 704.218 704.219 704.220 704.221 704.222 704.230 fed
144.66	fed
144.70	704.240

In the course of preparing this proposal, the Board has undertaken a review of the UIC-related provisions against the existing USEPA rules. The Board has proposed to correct a number of inconsistent provisions. The reasons for these inconsistencies appear to include: USEPA's reorganization of the rules; incorrect placement of RCRA- or UIC-specific language in Part 702; and USEPA Amendments which may have earlier gone unnoticed.

"USEPA SPECIFIC" PROGRAM ELEMENTS

The amendments to 40 CFR 144 at 49 Fed. Reg. 20181 include many provisions which state that they apply only in USEPA-administered UIC programs. This has caused difficulty with the proposal.

The Agency, in preparing its draft, apparently started by excluding all "USEPA specific" provisions. However, the Agency noticed that many provisions which the States are obliged to adopt would not work in the absence of the "USEPA specific" provisions. The Agency forwarded the draft to the Board with a recommendation that the Board consider the necessity for adopting some of the "USEPA specific" provisions. For the reasons which follow, the Board has prepared a new draft inserting the "USEPA specific" language, unless there was some reason to exclude it.

Section 13(c) of the Act requires the Board to adopt a UIC program which is "identical in substance" to the UIC program contained in the Safe Drinking Water Act and federal regulations adopted pursuant thereto. • In earlier adopting the UIC program, the Board adopted rules which were verbatim with USEPA rules, except where there was a good reason why the rule could not be adopted in Illinois. The resulting rules have been approved by USEPA. The Board could probably have produced a program from whole cloth which would have been both "identical in substance" and acceptable to the USEPA under its "substantial equivalence"

review, but which would have had little language in common. However, this course was not followed. Now that USEPA has adopted a large number of "USEPA specific" provisions, a question arises as to whether the Board should attempt to keep its UIC rules as nearly verbatim as possible with the rules as administered by USEPA, or whether the Board should move to a program which adopts only those USEPA provisions which are minimally necessary to maintain "substantial equivalence" in the opinion of USEPA. The Board has proposed to follow the former approach. In so doing, the Board believes that this up-front effort will shorten the review time needed for subsequent updating, will make comparison easier, will avoid a "drifting apart" of the Federal and State text, and, most importantly, will assure over time that the pieces of the program will continue to mesh.

At first it would seem that adopting only a few of USEPA's amendments would save Board staff time. However, for the reasons discussed below, this approach consumes more staff time because the Board has to assume greater responsibility for maintaining a coherent program. Furthermore, this process would become more difficult with time as the USEPA rules become more unlike the Board rules.

Maintaining a set of rules which are as nearly identical as possible to the UIC rules as administered by USEPA should simplify compliance by the public, which includes persons operating wells in many States.

Maintaining the rules as nearly identical as possible administratively is the best possible guarantee of a program which is "identical in substance" and "substantially equivalent". Merely reacting to USEPA's cues as to what is minimally necessary to maintain "substantial equivalency" does not guarantee that the Illinois program would remain "identical in substance" under State law.

The federal rules which apply only in USEPA-administered programs are usually prefaced with a phrase such as: "For EPA administered programs only...". (40 CFR 144.27) At first reading this seems to be a directive to the States not to adopt the language which follows. However, Part 144 serves a dual purpose: it is the set of rules which the public must follow in USEPA administered States, as well as a guide for setting up State programs. Where USEPA has program elements which it does not view as essential for State adoption, it is necessary that they be clearly separated from the directives to the States in order to avoid confusing the public, which must actually comply with the rules in certain areas. Therefore, the Board does not interpret the prefatory language as a positive prohibition against State adoption. Furthermore, it is difficult to understand why USEPA would want to prohibit adoption of a rule which it has found necessary to adopt in its own programs.

The "USEPA specific" provisions are best interpreted as nonessential program elements which USEPA utilizes in its own program. They are usually coupled with a provision which is best interpreted as a minimum standard to be utilized by USEPA in reviewing State programs, rather than a rule which the States In many instances, it would be possible to repeat must adopt. this language in the State rule. However, in other cases, some form of State action beyond merely repeating the USEPA language seems to be necessary. For example, 40 CFR 144.31(j)(i) requires the operator to notify the State Director "according to a time period required by the Director", before conversion or abandonment of a well which is authorized by rule. How could a State effectuate this requirement except through adoption of a rule which specified a certain time for notice by persons who do not have permits? In Illinois it can only be done by a Board rule specifying a certain time. As will appear below, the Board has effectuated this provision by proposing to adopt the "USEPA specific" language, which specifies a certain time. This meets the general standards for approval of the State program. certainly cannot object to adoption of the same time limits it sets in its own program.

For these reasons the Board has proposed to maintain its rules as nearly verbatim as possible with the UIC rules as applied by USEPA in States where USEPA administers the UIC program.

DETAILED DISCUSSION

Section 702.123

This is derived in part from 40 CFR 144.31(e), which was amended at 49 Fed. Reg. 20185 to add special notice requirements for surrounding landowners. Since this applies only to UIC, it will be dealt with by adding a new Section 704.161(d) corresponding to 40 CFR 144.31(e)(9). (V.i.)

Section 702.126

The UIC application for a corporation must be signed by an officer of at least the level of vice president. (40 CFR 144.32(a)(1)) In order to make the UIC program more consistent with the federal, the Board will add a new Section 704.164 to express this UIC-specific requirement. (v.i.)

Section 702.144

The duty to mitigate is worded differently in 40 CFR 144.51(d) and 270.30(d), for UIC and RCRA permits. In order to make the programs more consistent with the federal, this Section has been split into subsections specifying the language for each program.

Section 702.150

The final sentence of paragraph (b) applies only to RCRA permits (40 CFR 144.51(j) and 270.30(j).). It has therefore been stricken from Part 702. The RCRA-specific language already exists in Section 703.243.

Section 702.152

This Section is drawn from 40 CFR 144.51(e). Paragraphs (e) and (f) contain RCRA-specific material dealing with compliance schedules and twenty-four hour reporting. The permitee is allowed 14 days for RCRA progress reports and 30 days for UIC reports. The 14-day time has been deleted from paragraph (e) and a reference added to Section 702.162, which specifies the correct times for both programs.

Paragraph (f) contains RCRA-specific provisions relating to 24-hour reporting. The paragraph has been replaced with a reference to Sections 703.245 and 704.181(d), which are discussed below. Material which is in common between RCRA and UIC has been repeated in Parts 703 and 704 to make future comparison easier.

Section 702.160

Paragraph (a) lists Sections under which the Agency will establish conditions on a case-by-case basis. 40 CFR 144.52(a) and 270.32(a) each list the equivalents of Section 702.150 and 702.163, in addition to those presently listed, as applicable to the UIC and RCRA programs. The Board proposes to make this Section equivalent.

Section 702.182

The UIC and RCRA provisions differ in that automatic transfer of UIC permits is allowed under certain conditions (40 CFR 144.38 and 270.40). This Section appears to adequately convey the federal rules in a combined format.

Paragraph (a) differs from the federal Sections in that revocation and reissuance was eliminated as a method of transferring a permit. This is because this method of revocation is not acceptable in Illinois (Section 33(b) of the Act). Also, the Board added a requirement that the transferrer of any permit comply with its conditions.

The Board has proposed only to correct the reference to the federal UIC and RCRA provisions. Interested persons are invited to comment on other aspects of this provision.

Section 702.184

40 CFR 144.39(a) and (b)(1) contain specific provisions concerning revocation and reissuance which were not incorporated

into Section 702.184. Although the Board has proposed no change to this provision, the Board welcomes comments.

Section 703.245

This is the only RCRA only provision which the Board proposes to modify. The Board has moved RCRA-specific provisions from Section 702.152. Related UIC-specific provisions have been moved to Section 704.181.

Section 704.101

The introductory material to 40 CFR 144.1 was amended at 49 Fed. Reg. 20181. In that these amendments relate only to the USEPA-administered programs, the Board has proposed no changes.

Section 704.124

This Section is drawn from 40 CFR 144.13, which was amended at 49 Fed. Reg. 20181. The federal amendments prohibit Class IV wells except under certain limited situations discussed below. Class IV wells are those used to inject hazardous or radioactive waste into or above an Underground Source of Drinking Water (USDW).

As originally adopted, Section 704.124 prohibited certain Class IV well activities as of February 1, 1984, the date of Illinois RCRA authorization. Section 704.124(a)(4) prohibited the operation of any Class IV well injecting hazardous waste directly into a USDW after August 1, 1984.

The federal amendments make the prohibitions more specific and more inclusive. The construction, operation or maintenance of any Class IV well is now to be prohibited, including those which inject above, but not into a USDW.

The federal amendments immediately prohibited the operation or maintenance of Class IV wells placed into operation after July 18, 1980, but prohibited operation or maintenance of older wells six months after UIC program approval in a State. This date, August 1, 1984 for Illinois, has already passed. To have maintained complete consistency with the federal rules, Illinois should have modified this provision prior to that date. The fundamental question is whether to adopt this amendment retroactive to August 1, 1984.

The federal amendment did the following things:

- 1. It prohibited "maintenance" of a well, as well as construction and operation.
- 2. It specified that closure of the well was required, not just cessation of operation.

3. It extended the prohibition to Class IV wells injecting above (but not into) a USDW.

This last item is irrelevant in Illinois unless there are areas in which saline aquifers overlay USDW's or areas without near surface ground water.

It is important also to note that very few Class IV wells exist nationwide (49 Fed. Reg. 20141). Although there may be some Class IV wells in Illinois, this Section is not prohibiting a common practice. Furthermore, the construction and operation of those which may exist is already prohibited. The chance that there happens to be a Class IV well which ceased operating but continued maintenance is low.

A retroactive rule would be extremely complex, with different prohibitions for time spans between promulgation of USEPA's original rules, adoption of the Board rules, UIC authorization, the May, 1984 amendments, the August, 1984 date and the effective date of this proposal. Such complexity would invite loopholes. Also, the retroactive nature of the rule would invite court challenges. The Board has therefore not written a complex, retroactive rule, which may prove unenforceable, to regulate wells which may not even exist in the State. The Board has instead proposed a simple rule which prohibits the construction, operation and maintenance of any Class IV wells as of the effective date of the proposal. Any Class IV wells operating prior to that date would be subject to enforcement under the terms of existing Section 704.124, and would be required to close immediately under the new Section.

Paragraph (c) repeats a new exception drawn from the amendments at 49 Fed. Reg. 20181. Class IV wells approved as part of a site clean-up under CERCLA or RCRA are not subject to the prohibition. Many clean-ups involve pumping contaminated groundwater, treating it and reinjecting it into the same formation. Although contaminant levels may be reduced, reinjected fluids may still be "hazardous waste".

Paragraph (d) contains clarification as to what constitutes a Class IV well. In particular, wells which inject hazardous waste into "exempted aquifers", or where there is no USDW, are Class I wells, which are subject to the regulatory program, but are not prohibited.

Section 704.141

This Section authorizes Class I and III wells by rule. The existing wording is drawn from the preamble to 40 CFR 144.21. It has been edited to make it read exactly like Section 144.21, except for the exclusion of Class II wells. The time limitations in the deleted material are redundant, since these are dealt with at length in Section 704.143.

Paragraph (b) has been added. This is an affirmative statement that usual operations may continue in Class III wells authorized by rule. It is drawn from 40 CFR 144.21(b). It was never incorporated into the Illinois UIC rules, possibly because of an oversight.

The amendments to 40 CFR 144.21 at 49 Fed. Reg. 20181 do not affect the provisions reflected in Section 704.141.

Section 704.143

This Section is drawn from 40 CFR 144.21(a), which was amended at 49 Fed. Reg. 20181. These amendments specify time limitations for the continuation of authorizations and for filing of applications. The Illinois rule is within the range specified in the rule for State programs, so that the Board is proposing no changes at this point.

Existing Sections 704.143(c) and (d) reference provisions which result in loss of authorization by rule. There provisions exist (or existed) in the federal rules, but were not referenced in 40 CFR 144.21(a). The provisions concerning expiration of authorization of Class IV wells are now moot and have been repealed. Since this completely eliminates paragraph (d), old paragraph (e) has been relettered. Actual dates have been inserted into the rule.

Section 704.144

The existing rule listed the provisions of the permit rules which wells authorized by rule had to follow. This has been replaced by a complete set of rules to be followed by authorized wells. (Section 704.149, 40 CFR 144.28, as amended at 49 Fed. Reg. 20181).

Section 704.145

This Section is drawn from 40 CFR 144.23, which was amended at 49 Fed. Reg. 20181. The USEPA amendments to paragraph (a) are irrelevant since the Board is prohibiting all Class IV wells immediately. The Board has amended paragraph (a) to state this.

Paragraph (b) is "USEPA specific" language which the Board has proposed to adopt. Actual closure of Class IV wells, as opposed to mere cessation of operation, is a major portion of the USEPA proposal, which appears to be essential to protection of USDW's Without this provision, the Board rules would seem to lack a major program element which would be necessary for a complete, rational program.

Section 704.147

This Section is drawn from 40 CFR 144.25, which as amended at 49 Fed. Reg. 20182. The federal amendments have been incorporated into this Section nearly verbatim.

Paragraph (b) specifies the form of the notice which must be given an operator before a permit is required. The equivalent federal Section and amendments, are optional "USEPA specific" provisions which the Board has proposed to adopt.

Section 704.148

This Section is drawn from 40 CFR 144.26, which was amended at 49 Fed. Reg. 20182. This requires additional inventory information of certain types of wells which are authorized by rule. This is an optional "USEPA specific" provision which the Board has proposed to adopt.

40 CFR 144.26(d) has been amended to change the deadlines for submitting the inventory information. The existing Board rule requires information to be submitted within one year after authorization by rule. The federal amendment on the other hand specifies one year after approval of the State program, which is already passed. The entire Section would be moot if the time for submission of inventory information had already passed. probably represents an error in the federal rule, since it would not result in inventory information from future new Class V wells, which will be authorized by rule for an indefinite period Paragraph (b) is mainly concerned with these Class V of time. wells. Accordingly, the Board will continue to key the inventory requirement to the date of authorization by rule rather than the date of approval of the program.

The provision in the federal rule concerning the deadline for Class IV wells is unnecessary, since existing Section 704.148 required inventory information from these wells by February 1, 1985, and Section 704.124 prohibited construction of new Class IV wells.

Section 704.149

This is a new Section drawn from 40 CFR 144.27, which was added at 49 Fed. Reg. 20182. It allows the Agency to require additional information from any wells which are authorized by rule, and which is necessary for the Agency to determine whether the well is endangering a USDW. The Board has proposed to adopt this optional "USEPA specific" provision.

Section 704.150

This is a new Section drawn for 40 CFR 144.28, which was added at 49 Fed. Reg. 20182. This contains a set of detailed requirements which wells authorized by rule must comply with.

This replaces Section 704.144 (40 CFR 144.21(c)). The old rule referenced standard permit conditions which were applicable to wells authorized by rule.

40 CFR 144.28 includes several optional "USEPA specific" provisions. The Board has generally proposed to adopt these, making such changes as are necessary to accommodate Illinois law.

Paragraph (c)(2) specifies details of the plugging and abandonment plan which must be submitted for a Class I or III well authorized by rule. These have been adopted more or less verbatim. The Agency will be allowed to promulgate forms based on this Section and Part 730.

Paragraph (d) specifies the form of financial assurance required for these wells. 40 CFR 144.28(d)(1) allows the Director of the State program to prescribe the form of financial assurance. Section 13(c) of the Act confers such authority on the Board rather than the Agency. Therefore, the Board has modified the provision to require financial assurance acceptable to the Agency, and has adopted provisions which specify the form of financial assurance to a greater degree than the minimal State program. The Board will adopt detailed rules in Subpart F on the form of financial assurance for hazardous waste wells.

40 CFR 144.28(e) specifies casing and cementing requirements for enhanced recovery and hydrocarbon storage wells. These are Class II wells subject to regulation by the Department of Mines and Minerals rather than the Board. (Section 730.105).

Paragraph (f) specifies operating requirements for Class I and III wells authorized by rule. 40 CFR 144.28(f)(2) contains an optional "USEPA specific" provision: that the annulus of certain Class I wells be filled with a non-corrosive fluid which is to be maintained at a positive pressure. States need only require a demonstration that an alternative completion method provides a comparable level of protection to USDW. The Board has proposed to adopt the "USEPA specific" requirement. The public is invited to comment on whether the Board should allow the alternative showing.

Paragraph (g) specifies monitoring requirements. The Board has included a reference to optional "USEPA specific" analytical methods taken from 40 CFR 144.28(g).

Paragraph (i) specifies a three-year period for retention of records. 40 CFR 144.28(i)(2) contains alternative provisions for State and USEPA administered programs. It is sufficient if the Agency has authority to extend the retention period. However, in USEPA-administered programs the operator must deliver the records to USEPA unless it has written approval to discard them. The Board has proposed to adopt the optional "USEPA specific" language, but welcomes public comment.

Paragraph (j) requires notice of abandonment. 40 CFR 144.28(j)(1) allows States to specify a time prior to abandonment for notice to the State; while paragraph (j)(2) specifies a 45 day period for USEPA-administered programs. As required by Section 13(c) of the Act, the Board specifies such details of the Illinois program. The Board has specified 45 days, consistent with the "USEPA specific" requirement.

Paragraphs (k) and (1) require plugging and abandonment reports and change of ownership notification. The Board has proposed these optional "USEPA specific" program elements. (40 CFR 144.28(k) and (1))

Paragraph (m) references additional requirements for Class I hazardous waste wells. The Board has proposed to adopt an optional "USEPA specific" requirement of decontamination of well equipment. (40 CFR 144.28 (m))

Section 704.161

This Section is drawn from 40 CFR 144.31. It needs to be read in conjunction with Section 702.123, which contains application requirements in common between the RCRA and UIC programs. Section 704.161(a) has been amended to make it read more like existing federal language.

Section 704.161(b)(1) is drawn from 40 CFR 144.31(c)(1), which was amended at 49 Fed. Reg. 20185 to set an upper limit for receipt of permit applications for existing wells. These amendments do not need to be incorporated into Board rules, since the Board set tighter limits in originally adopting the UIC program. The actual dates have been inserted into the rule in this proposal, replacing language which depended on the date of program approval. The last date for applications is February 1, 1986, which will pass before these amendments are adopted. The Board solicits comment on the need to retain the application dates once they are passed.

Section 704.161(c) is drawn from 40 CFR 144.31(g). As worded it is misleading when placed into the Illinois rule, since the contents of the application specified in 40 CFR 144.31(c) are located in Part 702. The Board has added a reference to Section 702.123.

40 CFR 144.31(e)(9) adds a UIC-specific information requirement to this list, which is otherwise contained in Section 702.123. The Board has added this as paragraph (d). The Board solicits comment on whether it would be simpler to move all the application requirements to Parts 703 and 704.

The additional information requirement is a list of property owners within one-fourth of a mile of the well. It is an "USEPA specific provision which the Board has proposed to adopt.

Section 704.163

This Section is drawn from 40 CFR 144.34, which was amended at 49 Fed. Reg. 20185. Paragraph (a) was amended to allow temporary emergency permits to override authorization by rule or regular permits.

Section 704.164

This Section has been added to make the signatory requirement of Section 702.126(a)(1) consistent with the UIC-specific requirements of 40 CFR 144.32(a)(1).

Section 704.181

This Section modifies Sections 702.140 et seq. to add UIC-specific provisions so as to achieve consistency with 40 CFR 144.51. The Board has added notes referencing each paragraph since these provisions are so scattered.

Sections 704.181(b), and 702.150(b), are drawn in part from 40 CFR 144.51(j)(2)(ii), which was amended at 49 Fed. Reg. 20185. The Board has proposed to incorporate the "USEPA specific" language which requires the operator to retain records after the retention period unless he delivers them to the Agency or obtains written approval to discard them. This is similar to Section 704.150(i), which is applicable to wells authorized by rule.

Paragraph (d) has been amended to transfer UIC-specific requirements from Section 702.152 (f). This is discussed in connection with that Section.

Paragraphs (f) and (g) are drawn from 40 CFR 144.51(o) and (p), which were added at 49 Fed. Reg. 20185. The Board has proposed to adopt these "USEPA specific" provisions in UIC permits. Under paragraph (f) permittees will be required to submit a report after plugging a well. Under paragraph (g) the Agency can establish a schedule for mechanical integrity demonstrations.

Section 704.187

This Section is drawn from 40 CFR 144.52(a)(5), which was amended at 49 Fed. Reg. 20185. These "USEPA specific" amendments specify certain analytical methods and allow the Agency to specify other methods in the permit.

Section 704.188

This Section is drawn from 40 CFR 144.52(a)(6), which was amended at 49 Fed. Reg. 20185. The old plugging and abandonment requirements applicable to permitted wells have been replaced with a requirement that the operator implement an abandonment

turn the Board has corrected to read "current plugging and abandonment and cost estimate," the term which is consistently used in the federal rule except in this definition. The Board has edited the following text to use these stated alternatives wherever clarity is maintained. This has resulted in a substantial shortening of the text since these terms are used frequently.

Section 704.212

This requires the operator to prepare a cost estimate for plugging and abandoning the well, and to update the cost estimate each year, producing the "current cost estimate". The cost estimate is based on the plugging and abandonment plan required under Section 704.181(f).

Section 704,213

Because of its length and codification requirements the Board has broken 40 CFR 144.63 into several sections. This Section includes the preamble to Section 144.63. It is necessary to list and reference the ensuing Sections to preserve the meaning of the federal section.

This Section also serves as a definition of "financial assurance". Use of this defined term allows the Board to avoid lengthy repeated descriptions in the ensuing rules. These descriptions would be longer even than the descriptions in the federal rules because of the break-up of Section 704.213.

Section 704.214

This Section is drawn from 40 CFR 144.63(a). It allows the operator to provide financial assurance through a trust fund with the Agency as beneficiary. It also allows the creation of a standby trust fund to receive any proceeds pursuant to the financial assurance mechanisms in the ensuing Sections.

In R84-22, the Board determined that standby trust funds are costly, and unnecessary under Illinois law. (R84-22C, Opinion and Order, October 10, 1985). However, since this is a Section 13(c) rulemaking, the Board feels constrained to leave these provisions in the rules.

The funded trust fund is expected to pay the plugging and abandonment cost in the absence of any default by the operator. It is a savings account over which the Agency has control.

In paragraph (k)(2) the Board has made a final simplifying edit which is followed in the ensuing Sections. "Releases the owner or operator from the requirements of this section in accordance with Section 144.63(i)" has been replaced with "releases the owner or operator in accordance with Section 704.222." The quoted Section adequately defines what is

"released" without the excess verbiage, which would be difficult to translate into the Board rules because of the break-up of "this Section".

Section 704.215

This Section, which is drawn from 40 CFR 144.63(b), allows the operator to meet the financial assurance requirement with a forfeiture bond, payable into a standby trust fund if the operator fails to plug and abandon the well when required to do so. In this Subpart, the Board has generally replaced "Regional Administrator" with "Agency" except in a few instances, including Section 704.215(d)(2). Only the Board has authority to "order" plugging and abandonment.

Section 704.216

This allows the operator to submit a performance bond as financial assurance. This is identical to Section 704.215, except that the surety has the option of performing the plugging and abandonment instead of funding the standby trust in the event of a default. [Section 704.216(e)] Note that this mechanism cannot be used in combinations under Section 704.220.

Section 704.217

This Section is drawn from 40 CFR 144.63(d). It allows the operator to provide financial assurance by delivering a letter of credit from a financial institution to the Agency. In the event of a default, the Agency presents the financial institution with a draft payable to the standby trust fund. The financial institution then must attempt to collect the amount paid from the operator as a loan.

Section 704.218

This Section is drawn from 40 CFR 144.63(e). It allows the operator to provide financial assurance through plugging and abandonment insurance.

Like the trust fund, the plugging and abandonment insurance pays the cost of plugging and abandoning the well regardless of any default by the operator. In this respect, it is comparable to a savings account or life insurance rather than liability insurance. The amount of the required insurance is based on an engineering plan for plugging and abandoning the well, rather than estimated costs of unexpected contingencies. There is no provision for payment to third parties who may be injured.

Section 704.219

This Section is drawn from 40 CFR 144.63(f). It allows an operator to provide financial assurance by meeting a financial ratio test. The test is identical to the RCRA test in Parts 724

and 725. The financial test cannot be used in combinations pursuant to Section 704.220.

Section 704.220

This is drawn from 40 CFR 144.63(g). It allows an operator to use a combination of mechanisms to provide financial assurance in an amount equal to the cost estimate. The USEPA rule uses the phrase "adjusted plugging and abandonment cost", which has been changed to the defined term "current cost estimate". This corrects an apparent error in the USEPA rule.

Section 704.221

This is drawn from 40 CFR 144.63(h). It allows the operator to lump several wells into a single cost estimate for providing financial assurance. USEPA allows national lumping. This could cause problems in the Illinois context if the Agency were to have to go into the courts of another State to collect financial assurance, possibly governed by the laws of yet another State. This could cause more of a problem than under RCRA (Section 724.243(h)), since USEPA demands less consistency among the States with regard to UIC regulations. Keeping in mind that adoption of these detailed rules is optional in the first place, the Board will limit lumping to wells located in Illinois.

Section 704.222

This Section is drawn from 40 CFR 144.63(i). It requires the Agency to release the operator from the financial assurance requirement for a well within 60 days after receiving certification that plugging and abandonment has been accomplished in accordance with the plan, unless the Agency has reason to believe the contrary.

Section 704.230

This Section is drawn from 40 CFR 144.64. Paragraph (a) requires the operator, and any corporate guarantor, to notify the Agency within ten days after bankruptcy of the operator. Paragraph (b) requires the operator to provide substitute financial assurance within 60 days after any bankruptcy of a financial institution which issued financial assurance to the operator.

The final sentence of 40 CFR 144.64(b) requires the operator to establish "other financial assurance or liability coverage within 60 days" after bankruptcy of a financial institution. The reference to liability coverage is probably an error in the USEPA rule, which may have been copied from 40 CFR 264.148. The Board has deleted this since there is no liability insurance requirement for UIC.

Section 704.240

The Agency has already promulgated financial assurance forms which closely track those specified in 40 CFR 144.70. The Agency apparently requires operators to use these forms pursuant to the general financial assurance requirement of Section 704.189. The Board has obtained a set of these forms. They are hereby designated PC 2.

Rather than set the forms out in detail in the rules, the Board has proposed to allow the Agency to promulgate forms based on 40 CFR 144.70, and to require the use of these forms. The Board solicits comments on whether to proceed in this manner, and on whether the existing forms adequately reflect the USEPA rules as modified to form the Illinois UIC program.

This Proposed Opinion supports the Board's proposed Order for public comment of this same date.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

I, Dorothy M. Gunn, Clerk of the Illinois Pollution Control Board, hereby certify that the above Proposed Opinion was adopted on the 64 day of february, 1986, by a vote of 70.

Dorothy M. Gunh, Clerk

Illinois Pollution Control Board