

ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD
August 13, 1992

PEOPLE OF THE STATE)
OF ILLINOIS,)
)
Complainant,)
)
v.) PCB 92-93
) (Enforcement)
INTERNATIONAL SUPPLY CO.,)
an Illinois corporation,)
)
Respondent.)

DISSENTING OPINION (by J. Theodore Meyer):


I dissent from the majority's acceptance of the settlement stipulation in this case.

Although the proposed settlement agreement states that respondent's noncompliance was economically beneficial in that it avoided \$350 in annual site fees for two to three years, there is no further information on the amount of that economic benefit. Section 42(h)(3) of the Environmental Protection Act specifically requires the Board to consider any economic benefits accrued by noncompliance. I believe that this provision contemplates a consideration of the complete amount of the economic benefit. For example, what costs were avoided by being able to operate the equipment without waiting until the permits were applied for and obtained? Without more specific information, it is impossible to know if the penalty of \$1,000 comes close to any savings realized by respondent.

Finally, I am frustrated that, although this case was brought in the name of the people of the State of Illinois, there is no recognition that costs and fees could have been assessed against respondent. (Ill.Rev.Stat.1991, ch. 111 1/2, par. 1042(f).) I am pleased that the Attorney General is bringing enforcement cases in the name of the People, but I believe that settlement agreements in such cases should, at an absolute minimum, recognize that the Board could award costs and reasonable fees.


0135-0355

For these reasons, I dissent.



J. Theodore Meyer
Board Member

I, Dorothy M. Gunn, Clerk of the Illinois Pollution Control Board, hereby certify that the above dissenting opinion was filed on the 24th day of August, 1992.



Dorothy M. Gunn, Clerk
Illinois Pollution Control Board