ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD November 21, 1996

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS,)	
)	
Complainant,)	
)	PCB 97-55
v.)	(Enforcement - Land)
PRECISION CHROME, INC.,)	
)	
)	
Respondent.)	

ORDER OF THE BOARD (by J. Yi):

On September 16, 1996, the Illinois Attorney General, on behalf of the People of the State of Illinois and the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (Agency), filed a formal complaint pursuant to Section 31 of the Environmental Protection Act (Act) naming as respondent Precision Chrome, Inc. (Precision), an Illinois corporation located at 105 Precision Road, Fox Lake, Lake County, Illinois. (415 ILCS 5/31.) The six (6) count complaint alleges that Precision failed to perform a hazardous waste determination in violation of 35 Ill. Adm. Code 722.111 and Section 21(f)(2) of the Act; failed to obtain a United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) identification number prior to offering waste for transportation in violation of 35 Ill. Adm. Code 722.112 and Section 21(f)(2) of the Act; failed to prepare an annual report for the waste chrome solution prior to offering the solution for off-site transportation in violation of 35 Ill. Adm. Code 722.141(a) and Section 21(f)(2) of the Act; failed to prepare manifests for the waste chrome solution prior to offering the solution for offsite transportation in violation of 35 Ill. Adm. Code 722.120 and Section 21(f)(2) of the Act; operated a hazardous waste surface impoundment without a Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) permit in violation of 35 Ill. Adm. Code 703.121(a) and Section 21(f)(2) of the Act; and failed to implement a groundwater monitoring program while operating a surface impoundment in violation of 35 Ill. Adm. Code 725.190(a) and (b) and Section 21 (f)(2) of the Act. (415 ILCS 5/21(f)(2).)

On September 24, 1996 the parties filed a joint motion for extension of time to answer or otherwise plead until November 15, 1996. The Board granted the motion for an extension of time until November 15, 1996.

On November 15, 1996 the parties filed a second joint motion for an extension of time for the respondent to file its answer. The parties state that they believe settlement is within reach and request additional time to negotiate such settlement. The parties request an extension for the respondent until January 9, 1997.

The Board grants the parties joint motion for an extension of time. Respondent's answer is now due on or before January 9, 1997.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

		ion Control Board, hereby certify tha	
the above order was adopted on the	day of	, 1996, by a vote of	
·			
	Dorothy M.	Dorothy M. Gunn, Clerk	
	Illinois Poll	Illinois Pollution Control Board	