RECEIVED
CLERK'S OFFICE

BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD MAY 0 2 2001

IN THE MATTER OF:) STATE OF ILLINOIS
	Pollution Control Board
AMENDMENTS TO REGULATION OF) R01-26
PETROLEUM LEAKING UNDERGROUND) (Rulemaking - Land)
STORAGE TANKS:	P.C.#7
35 ILL.ADM. Code 732	

NOTICE OF FILING

TO: Attached Service List

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on this date the undersigned caused to be filed with the Clerk of the Illinois Pollution Control Board, The Illinois Petroleum Council's Post Hearing Comments, a copy of which is attached hereto and hereby served upon you.

Dated this 2ndth day of May, 2001.

Respectfully submitted,

ILLINOIS PETROLEUM COUNCIL

One of its Attorneys

ROSS & HARDIES David L. Rieser, Esq. Brian Marquez, Esq. 150 N. Michigan Avenue, Ste. 2500 Chicago, Illinois 60601 (312) 558-1000

RECEIVED

BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD LERK'S OFFICE

MAY 0 2 2001

IN THE MATTER OF:)	STATE OF ILLINOIS Pollution Control Boar
AMENDMENTS TO REGULATION OF)	R01-26
PETROLEUM LEAKING UNDERGROUND)	(Rulemaking - Land)
STORAGE TANKS:)	
35 ILL. ADM. CODE 732	ĺ	

THE ILLINOIS PETROLEUM COUNCIL'S POST HEARING COMMENTS

The Illinois Petroleum Council ("IPC"), by and through its attorneys Ross & Hardies, files these comments in response to the hearings held before the Pollution Control Board regarding the above rulemaking. As it stated in its testimony, the IPC generally agrees with the proposal presented by the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency ("IEPA") and believes that most of the changes will improve the IEPA's Underground Storage Tank ("UST") program. The IPC testified, however, that the IEPA's proposal regarding off-site access should be handled differently and requests that the Board adopt the IPC's proposed language instead of that proposed by the IEPA.

In its initial Statement of Reasons and its draft regulations, the IEPA proposed that the Board delete and add language regarding the issuance of No Further Remediation ("NFR") letters at high priority sites when the UST owner or operator had not been able to obtain access to offsite properties potentially impacted by the UST release. The Board's rules had previously allowed the issuance of NFR letter to the owner/operator's site when the owner/operator had documented that it had been unable to obtain access to the off-site property.

35 Ill. Adm. Code 732.404(b)(1)(A). The IEPA modified its own policy so that it currently will not issue NFR letters with regard to the owner/operator's property if it cannot document that

potentially impacted off-site properties are remediated as well, even if that owner/operator cannot obtain access. (T. 84, 85, April 3, 2001). In its Statement of Reasons and the prefiled testimony of Doug Clay (Exhibit 1) the IEPA indicated that it wanted to modify this rule because of the concern that owner/operators would collude with off-site property owners to avoid remediation and to provide more specific criteria for how owner/operators should document that they used their best efforts to obtain access to the off-site properties.

The language the IEPA proposed went much further. In Section 732.411, the IEPA sought to mandate the exact language of the letter that an owner/operator would send to obtain off site access by requiring the owner/operator to make numerous representations and legal conclusions. The IEPA also proposed that even if the owner/operator used its best efforts to obtain access by sending the mandated letter, that the IEPA could still refuse to issue an NFR letter to the owner/operator for its own site based on generalized site conditions. Thus, the IEPA significantly toughened the required letter but at the same time removed any standards as to whether it would issue an NFR letter even for property that had been documented to meet the Board's standards.

In its testimony and in response to questions, this issue became far murkier. The IEPA acknowledged that there had been very few instances of collusion and that their proposed language would not address collusion in any event. (T. 89-90, April 3, 2001)The IEPA also acknowledged that without proposing language to the Board, it had already modified it policy and practice to implement what is now its proposed language. (T. 84-85, April 3, 2001) Doug Clay acknowledged that the IEPA previously issued NFR letters even though the owner or operator had not remediated off site areas and then halted the practice, that the IEPA had announced the change on its website and then withdrew the announcement, but was continuing

to enforce the policy in its response to request for NFR letters. (T. 97-99, April 3, 2001) Mr. Clay stated that the reason for this IEPA policy change was a reinterpretation of the Act by the IEPA (T. 85, April 3, 2001) which was not included for the Board's consideration in the IEPA's Statement of Reasons or its testimony.

In evaluating modifications to existing regulations, the Board should always examine the actual experience and practice of the IEPA and the regulated community to ensure that the proposed change addresses and solves an identified problem in the regulation's implementation. It is not clear that the Agency has made a complete case for supporting this particular modification. Its basis for changing its policy apparently relates primarily to a legal concern that it has not shared with the Board in this proceeding. The IEPA advanced no pragmatic reasons for this modification and it is difficult to discern any from the record.

In discussing this issue with the IEPA, the IPC has been willing to support reasonable modifications to address this issue. As the IPC's witness, Mr. David Piotrowski, pointed out in his testimony, however, there are significant problems with the IEPA's proposal. First, the IEPA proposes that the Board mandate a draconian "one size fits all" letter to gain access to the off site property. As Mr. Piotrowski testified, the letter is more confrontational and alarming than useful. He testified that in his extremely broad experience the letter should be tailored to fit the circumstances of the recipient, it should seek to educate the recipient, and it should not make inaccurate or legally insupportable statements. (T.86, April 3, 2001) The IPC proposed language that is broader and more suited to modification according to the circumstances.

Second, the IEPA proposed no criteria whatsoever for it to determine how it would issue a NFR letter when access had been denied. The IEPA's proposal provides a laundry

list of information regarding the site that the IEPA will consider but provides no threshold criteria as to what site conditions will require the IEPA to deny a NFR letter unless off site conditions are evaluated. In the current Board regulations, the Agency is authorized to issue NFR letters on a showing that the owner/operator made best efforts to obtain access and there is no provision for considering the extent of the off site impact. These same regulations allow the issuance of NFR letters without consideration of off site impact in any event, depending on the classification of the site. In contrast, the IPC proposes very basic and objective criteria that focus on the actual and significant off site impacts. This information should be available from early action activities which require the owner/operator to remove free product and to mitigate hazards presented by the transport of explosive vapors through subsurface structures. 35 Ill. Adm. Code 732.202. The IPC's proposed criteria will provide an objective basis for IEPA decisionmaking and a standard of review for appeals of this decision to the Board.

In short, the IPC is willing to support the IEPA in changing this regulation, but the change should be based on experience in dealing with the off site landowners, it should provide criteria so that the regulated community can be advised as to how these decisions are made and it should provide a basis for appeal in the event that the IEPA's decision is not supported by the facts.

As always, the IPC appreciated the opportunity to present testimony to the Board and to file these comments.

ILLINOIS PETROLEUM COUNCIL

By:

One of its Attorneys

Dated: May 2, 2001 ROSS & HARDIES David L. Rieser, Esq. Brian Marquez, Esq. 150 North Michigan Ave. Chicago, Illinois 60601 (312) 558-1000

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that he/she caused to be served upon the attached service list the foregoing Illinois Petroleum Council's Post Hearing Comments by U.S. Mail, proper postage prepaid, on the 2nd day of May, 2001.

R01-26 SERVICE LIST In the Matter of: Amendments to Regulation of Petroleum Leaking Underground Storage Tanks: 35 III. Adm. Code 732 Revised April 23, 2001

Nienkerk, P.G. Rieser Smith	Mage! Moncek	Kelly, PE Liss Ludewig	Herlacher Huff, P.E. James	Gunn	Geodwin, P.E. Gray	Flynn Glenn	Falbe, Esq.	Dyer Dyer	Dombrowski	Dieket	Chappel, P.E.	Bonczyk Carson, P.E.	Irame Anderson Aronberg Bianco
Monte M. David Wayne	Barbara George F.	Joe Kenneth W. Pat	Thomas L. James E. Kenneth	Dorothy	Daniel J. Collin W.	Neil F. Sid	Lawrence W.	Ron Judith S.	Leo P.	william G	Harry A.	Bruce Robert A.	fname Scott Garry Christie
Senior Project Manager Clayton Group Services, Inc. Ross & Hardies Pioneer Environmental	Tech Center Karaganis & White, Ltd. United Environmental Consultants, Inc.	United Science Industries, Inc. Andrews Engineering, Inc. Caterpillar	Herlacher Angleton Associates, LLC Huff & Huff, Inc. Carlson Environmental, Inc.	Clerk of the Board Illinois Poltution Control Board	Goodwin Environmental Consultants, Inc. SEECO Environmental Services, Inc.	Attorney at Law Arcadis	Division of Legal Counsel Illinois Environmental Protection Agency Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon	CORE Geological Services, Inc. Assistant Counsel	Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon	Sidley & Austin	Inland/Chappel Environmental Pioneer Environmental		company Black & Veatch Kuhlmann Design Group Chemical Industry Council of Illinois
1140 ruley road 150 N. Michigan 1000 N. Halsted Suite 202	P.O. Box 1875 Suite 810, 414 N. Orleans 119 East Palatine Road, Suite 101	P.O. Box 360 3535 Mayflower Boulevard Bld F	873 i Bluft Road 512 W. Burlington, Suite 100 65 E. Wacker Place, Suite 1500	190 West Randolph Street Suite 11-500	400 Bruns Lane 7350 Duyon Drive	1035 South Second Street 35 East Wacker, Suite 1000	P.O. Box 192/6 225 W. Wacker Drive, Suite 3000	2021 Worth Grand Avenue East	10 South Dearborn Street 225 W. Wacker Drive, Suite 3000	Suite 202 Bank One Plaza	144 Laconwood 1000 N, Halsted	601 W. Monroe 924 Cherokee Drive	Address 101 N. Wacker Drive, Suite 1100 15 East Washington 9801 W. Higgins Road, Suite 515
Chicago, IL Chicago, IL	Chicago, IL Palatine, IL	Woodlawn, IL Springfield, IL Peoria, IL	waterico, il LaGrange, IL Chicago, IL	Chicago, IL	Springfield, IL Tibley Park, IL	Springfield, IL Chicago, IL	Chicago, IL	Springfield, IL	Chicago, IL	Chicago, IL	Springfield, IL Chicago, IL	Springfield, IL Springfield, IL	citystate Chicago, IL Betleville, IL Rosemont, IL
60601 60622	60610 60067 :	62898 62707 61656-1875	60525	60601	60477	62704 60601	60606-1229	62794-9276	60606	60603	62707 60622	6270 4 6270 7	Zip 60606 62220 60018

R01-26, SER,VICE LIST In the Matter of: Amendments to Regulation of Potroloum Leaking Underground Storage Tunks: 35 III. Adm. Code 732 Revised April 23, 2001

Walker Watson Zolyak	Strubei Sykum Vietos	Sherrateln
Rodger John Gury T.	Den David A. Georgia	Joel J.
Naval Training Conter Walker Engineering Gardner, Curton & Douglas U.S. Army Environmental Center	Speedway Super America, LLC Illinois Petroleum Council Counsel	Hauring Officer Historia Politation Control Board
. 500 W. Herrin Street 321 N. Clark Street Northern Regional Environmental Office. Building B-4450	P.O. Box 1500 P.O. Box 12047 2501A-Paul Joses Street	100 West Randolph Swest Suite 11-300
Harrin, IL Chicago, IL Abardeen Proving Ground, MD	Springheld, CH Springheld, IL Great Lakes, IL	Chicago, IL
62448 60610 21010-5401	43001 62791 60088-2845	60601

: