	1						
1	BEFORE THE POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD						
2	OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS						
3	PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS)						
4	vs.) PCB 93-250						
5	CLARK OIL & REFINING CORPORATION)						
6	The following is a transcript of a						
7	hearing held in the above-entitled matter, at James						
8	Thompson Center, 100 West Randolph Street, Room 11-500,						
9	Chicago, Illinois, on the 26th of July, 1996 A.D.,						
10	commencing at the hour of 2:00 o'clock p.m.						
11	BEFORE: MS. DEBORAH L. FRANK, Hearing Officer.						
12							
13	PRESENT: Mr. Emmett Dunham II, Board Member						
14	APPEARANCES:						
15	Mr. James Lee Morgan						
16	Senior Assistant Attorney General Environmental Bureau State of Illinois Office of the Attorney General						
17							
18	500 South Second Street Springfield, IL 62706						
19	appeared on behalf of the State of Illinois;						
20	Mr. Russell R. Eggert						
21	Mayer, Brown & Platt 180 South LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60603						
22	appeared on behalf of the Clark Oil & Refining Corporation.						
23	Clark Off & Refining Corporation.						

1	INDEX	
2	WITNESS:	
3	Opening Statement	
4	Mr. Morgan	5
5	Mr. Eggert	6
6		
7	Narrative	
8	LIONEL P. TREPANIER	
9	EXHIBITS	
10	PUBLIC COMMENTS	
11	EXHIBITS	FOR IDENTIFICATION
12	1	14
13	Group 2	31
14	3	14
15	4	15
16	5	21
17	Group 6	22
18	7	31
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

4

1 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Good afternoon. My name

- 2 is Deborah Frank and the case before us today is People
- 3 of the State of Illinois versus Clark Illinois &
- 4 Refining Corporation PCB 93-250.
- 5 To my right is Board Member Emmett
- 6 Dunham.
- 7 And would the attorneys want to go
- 8 ahead and make their appearances on the record.
- 9 MR. MORGAN: James Morgan, Assistant Attorney
- 10 General, here on behalf of Complainant, People of the
- 11 State of Illinois.
- 12 MR. EGGERT: I'm Russell Eggert on behalf of
- 13 Clark.
- 14 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Okay. Since we have some
- 15 members of the public here, I'll just explain so that
- 16 you know what what's going on.
- 17 The Board's Rules and the
- 18 Environmental Protection Act allow you to make
- 19 statements on the record which are relevant to the
- 20 proceedings before us. It does not allow you to
- 21 question witnesses. Although, it doesn't look like the
- 22 parties have any witnesses today, anyway.
- I will ask that if you guys aren't
- 24 planning on doing an opening statement, that you at

1 least plan on giving a little bit of a background and 2 explain the stipulation in summary fashion for the members of the public who are here. 3 Are there any questions before we 4 begin? 5 6 (No response.) 7 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Go ahead and begin. 8 MR. MORGAN: James Morgan. 9 OPENING STATEMENT 10 BY MR. MORGAN: We're here today because of a 11 12 stipulation and proposal for settlement submitted by 13 the parties in this case. The underlying complaint focuses 14 primarily on Clark's Hartford Refinery down in Madison 15

> 16 County. 17 There is one portion of the Complaint that did deal with the Blue Island facility and that 18 19 dealt with a dispute over whether a Form R should have 20 been filed by that facility for the compounds benzene and toluene. The other counts of the Complaint deal 21 with a similar problem with the Form Rs for the 22 Hartford Plant. And both of those were for the year 23 24 1988.

f There are also allocations regarding

1	There	are	also	allegations	regarding
---	-------	-----	------	-------------	-----------

- 2 excessive emissions from Clark's FCCU. And then some
- 3 problems with their recordkeeping and equipment
- 4 required to control organic emissions from the
- 5 facility. And those recordkeeping requirements and
- 6 equipment installation requirements were required by
- 7 their permit.
- 8 The settlement agreement that was
- 9 filed by the parties reflects what has occurred since
- 10 the Complaint was filed and before the majority of the
- 11 problems were previously taken care of, at or near the
- 12 time of filing of the Complaint.
- 13 The stipulation requires Clark to pay
- 14 a \$40,000 penalty and to cease and desist from future
- 15 violations.
- They have corrected the equipment and
- 17 the recordkeeping violations alleged in the Complaint
- 18 and their Form Rs are now being filed for benzene and
- 19 toluene.
- 20 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Okay. Mr. Eggert, did
- 21 you have anything you wanted to add?
- 22 OPENING STATEMENT
- 23 BY
- MR. EGGERT: A couple of things, really. One is

1 I agree that what Mr. Morgan has just described is an

- 2 accurate description of both the case, as well as the
- 3 terms of the settlement.
- 4 One housekeeping detail, which is
- 5 that the majority of the claims in this case, all but
- 6 really a narrow portion of one claim, are with respect
- 7 to the Hartford Refinery.
- 8 Ordinarily, Clark would have a right
- 9 to have had this hearing in Madison County. I'll waive
- 10 that. Just so we are clear on the record.
- 11 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Right.
- MR. EGGERT: Secondly, to the extent this does
- involve issues of Blue Island, the allegations really
- 14 go to years, the majority of which were prior to the
- 15 filing -- or prior to the discharge of a bankruptcy
- 16 petition regarding a former owner of Clark.
- So, in that sense, a lot of the
- 18 claims go to a corporation other than the party that's
- 19 before you today. And our petition has been,
- 20 throughout, that claims as to that party involving
- 21 these kinds of issues are barred by the Free and Clear
- Order that was entered on November 9th, 1988.
- That said, there's really no
- 24 remaining issues. We've resolved everything in the

8

1 case to the State's satisfaction and I simply would

- cabe to the beate b battbraction and r bimpry would
- 2 urge the Board to adopt the settlement as a fair and
- 3 complete settlement of all the outstanding issues
- 4 raised in this case, recognizing that there is other
- 5 litigation involving other issues between Clark and the
- 6 State, both before the Board and in other forums as
- 7 well.
- 8 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Did you wish to enter the
- 9 Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement as an Exhibit?
- 10 It's been filed so you don't have to. I'm just asking.
- BOARD MEMBER DUNHAM: It's before the Board.
- MR. EGGERT: It's in the record. I see no reason
- 13 to burden the record with more paper.
- 14 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Okay. That's fine.
- Do you have anything further? Either
- 16 one of you, then?
- MR. EGGERT: Not on behalf of Clark at this time.
- 18 BOARD MEMBER DUNHAM: For the record, there was a
- 19 motion to bifurcate this case initially filed, so I
- 20 think your waiver is misplaced. We agreed to hold
- 21 hearings in both counties at one point.
- 22 MR. EGGERT: Just so that there is no doubt,
- ever, I'm waiving any right that Clark would have to a
- hearing in Madison County.

9

1 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: And since the objection

- 2 was up here, I think it makes sense that we are here
- 3 instead of Madison County.
- 4 Mr. Trepanier?
- 5 MR. TREPANIER: Yes.
- 6 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Is that how you pronounce
- 7 it?
- 8 MR. TREPANIER: Trepanier.
- 9 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Trepanier. You need to
- 10 be sworn by our court reporter and then you will be
- 11 able to make statements on the record regarding the
- 12 Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement.
- 13 (The witness was sworn.)
- 14 LIONEL P. TREPANIER
- 15 called as a witness, having been first duly sworn, was
- examined and testified in narrative form as follows:
- 17 NARRATIVE
- 18 BY
- 19 MR. TREPANIER: Good afternoon. And I appreciate
- 20 the Hearing Officer sending me out notice of this. And
- 21 I appreciate the Pollution Control Board taking their
- time to order this hearing today and I think it's
- 23 well-deserved.
- 24 What we have in this situation, I'm

1 wanting to bring before the Board that the

- 2 circumstances justify a denial of this proposed
- 3 settlement, that this settlement would not be a just
- 4 settlement, is not in the interest of people of
- 5 Illinois.
- 6 What I want to specifically bring to
- 7 the Board's attention is my concern that this
- 8 stipulation, as well as what we heard here today from
- 9 the parties, that together the stipulation and
- 10 today's -- the words from today don't fairly apprise
- 11 the Board -- don't fairly apprise the public nor the
- 12 Directors of the Agency, Environmental Protection
- 13 Agency, of the impact and the implications that our
- 14 State laws, in this case, were frustrated and were not
- 15 upheld by the Clark Oil Refinery, which I've seen
- operate in this community for years and my
- 17 understanding is that that operation has continued for
- 18 many years.
- 19 What I'm bringing before the Board
- 20 here is stated as something different. It's facts that
- 21 I'm going to show that are stated out in the
- 22 stipulation that are just untrue.
- 23 And what I'm wanting to tie these to,
- 24 the purpose for showing these, is to the end of the

- 2 grossly unfair penalty in this case and now I'm going
- 3 to bring forward my reasons to support that.
- 4 First, I'm going to point to a
- 5 Section 6 that I have of the Stipulation and Proposal
- 6 for Settlement. Section 6 is entitled: "Impact on the
- 7 Public Resulting from Non-compliance."
- 8 Specifically, under that Title 6, I
- 9 want to point there to the paragraphs referring -- I'm
- 10 looking at four and five. Paragraphs that are
- 11 referring to the Respondent's, Clark Oil's, failure to
- 12 file toxic chemical release forms.
- 13 In paragraph six -- and this is the
- 14 incorrect information and I'm wanting to bring to the
- 15 Board's attention -- In paragraph five, excuse me, the
- 16 second sentence reads: "The Agency has not received
- any requests from any member of the public to review
- 18 Respondent's toxic chemical release forms."
- Now, this is incorrect.
- Now, what I want to show the Board,
- 21 to show them it's incorrect, so they also can see that,
- 22 first, I'm offering a report produced by an
- 23 environmental organization active in Blue Island. It's
- 24 the Citizens for a Better Environment Report.

```
1 "Subject: on the Annual Source Emission Reports of
```

- 2 Clark, Blue Island."
- 3 Specifically, the reason that I offer
- 4 this report to the Board, is that, in the second
- 5 paragraph of the Board they state: "We requested from
- 6 Illinois EPA the annual facility emissions data reports
- of Clark Refining & Marketing, Blue Island Refinery,
- 8 for the three-year period '92, '93, and '94."
- 9 And then, as you'll see on the
- 10 document, what it shows and tells is that the Illinois
- 11 EPA indicated they did not receive a report for the '93
- 12 emissions from Clark.
- 13 I offer this to the Board, so that
- 14 they may see that not only has interested community
- 15 groups requested this toxic release information from
- 16 the Agency, but, this community group was told that
- 17 such information is not held by the Agency.
- 18 Further, I want to just continue, you
- 19 know, in bringing to the Board's attention on this one
- 20 certain point regarding requests from the public to
- 21 review the Respondent's toxic chemical release forms.
- 22 And what I want to bring to the Board's attention, and
- 23 I ask that I be allowed to submit a photocopy in lieu
- of the original, June 27th, '95 letter to Lionel

- 1 Treepanier, spelled T-r-e-e-p-a-n-i-e-r, being myself.
- 2 And they're acknowledging the receipt
- 3 of my letter requesting information on Clark Refining,
- 4 Blue Island, Illinois, and informing me that they were
- forwarding my request to Julie Armitage, Legal Counsel,
- 6 Division of Legal Counsel for response. And it's
- 7 signed by Donald E. Sutton, P.E., Manager of Permit
- 8 Section, Division of Air Pollution Control.
- 9 I ask that I be allowed to substitute
- 10 a copy of that original.
- 11 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: That's fine. Do you have
- the copy here with you or is this the copy?
- MR. TREPANIER: That's the original.
- 14 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: That's the original. Do
- 15 you have the copy with you?
- MR. TREPANIER: I would like to make a copy of
- that to submit to the Board so they might see it.
- 18 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Okay. Well, before we
- 19 get more confused with the information. I'm going to
- 20 mark the first document you gave us from Citizens for a
- 21 Better Environment as Public Comment Number 1.
- 22 And this document from Don Sutton
- 23 will be marked as Public Comment Number 2 when we get a
- 24 copy of it.

	14
1	(Whereupon, Public Comment Exhibit
2	No. 1 was marked for
3	identification.)
4	MR. TREPANIER: Thank you.
5	HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Okay.
6	MR. TREPANIER: Third, I would like to let the
7	Board have a copy of the letter from a Mr. Trepanier to
8	the Cook County Local Emergency Response Committee,
9	dated June 17th, 1995. And that's a letter requesting
10	the TRI information. That's the Toxic Materials
11	Inventory and released, et cetera, by Clark Oil. And
12	that request was mailed to the Local Emergency Response
13	Committee June 17th, '95.
14	HEARING OFFICER FRANK: That will be marked
15	Public Comment Number 3.
16	(Whereupon, Public Comment Exhibit
17	No. 3 was marked for
18	identification.)
19	MR. TREPANIER: I'd like the Board also to note
20	that I did receive a response from the Illinois
21	Environmental Protection Agency to my request for the
22	pollution records of Clark Oil. And there I would show
23	them a document from the EPA dated February 18, 1994
24	Excuse me for a moment. I'm looking for the second

```
1 page of a two-page letter.
```

- I can offer to the Board the cover
- 3 page of the February 18, 1994 letter addressed to
- 4 Mr. Bernbaum of Clark Oil that was screened 1/24/95 for
- 5 the purpose of establishing that I did have a response
- 6 to the Environmental Protection Agency from my request
- 7 for the pollution records of Clark Oil.
- 8 MR. EGGERT: Excuse me. It's double-sided.
- 9 MR. TREPANIER: It's double-sided. Thank you.
- 10 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: So it is both pages?
- 11 (The document was tendered.)
- 12 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Thank you.
- 13 The letter to Mr. Bernbaum from Doug
- 14 Clay will be marked as Public Comment Number 4.
- 15 (Whereupon, Public Comment Exhibit
- No. 4 was marked for
- identification.)
- 18 MR. TREPANIER: Having pointed this out to the
- 19 Board and I appreciate your taking this time for this
- 20 presentation, I want to express -- continue in
- 21 expressing my concern that the penalty proposed in this
- 22 settlement. I want to express that concern.
- The penalty proposed in the
- 24 settlement is way too low in that this penalty doesn't,

16 1 you know, doesn't fairly implement Subsection 42(h) of

2 the Act. And I'm reading here from Section 10 of the

- 3 Proposed Settlement Agreement of that Act 415 ALCS 5/42
- 4 Sub H regarding the determination of the appropriate
- 5 civil penalty.
- 6 And the reason that I bring to the
- 7 Board the fact that this is not an appropriate civil
- 8 penalty is that the community is suffering greatly.
- 9 They're attempting to raise their voice regarding the
- 10 suffering that's being heaped upon them by this oil
- 11 refinery and they're being interfered with.
- The people in Blue Island are being
- 13 muzzled. And this penalty, this proposed penalty will
- 14 only encourage this oil refinery to further flaunt the
- 15 laws, the environmental laws of the State of Illinois.
- 16 Now, so that the Board would have
- 17 some supporting information regarding the fact that the
- 18 people of Blue Island are attempting to raise their
- 19 voice regarding this refinery and to support that in
- 20 that this proposed monetary penalty wouldn't deter
- 21 further violations by Clark Oil, I would bring to the
- 22 Board's attention a newspaper article that appeared in
- 23 the Daily Southtown one year ago. It was July 29,
- 24 1995.

1 And, there, in this article written

- 2 by Kevin Carmody of the Daily Southtown, a copy of
- 3 which I will offer to the Board, quotes:
- 4 "When Mr. O'Neil of Blue Island Park
- 5 District" and for him to say "explain his lack of
- 6 apologies for ordering the arrest of Trepanier," --
- 7 "he" being I -- "for holding what was said to be an
- 8 anti-Clark poster at a Blue Island Park Festival,"
- 9 O'Neil said "If he has something to say about Clark,
- 10 maybe he should go down and do it over by the Clark
- 11 Refinery."
- 12 I don't know how the Blue Island Park
- 13 Festival has anything to do with what he was talking
- 14 about. And I offer that.
- 15 BOARD MEMBER DUNHAM: Can you explain the
- 16 relevance of this to a reporting violation from 1988 on
- 17 behalf of Clark?
- 18 MR. TREPANIER: Yes. What I want to bring
- 19 forward is, that regards the penalty that's proposed
- 20 for these violations.
- 21 Understanding that this reporting
- 22 violation is being handled in the same case and, there,
- 23 with the same penalty as some rather severe violations,
- 24 in the words of myself, that occurred at the refinery

```
1 in Hartford.
```

- 2 So, understanding that both of these
- 3 are being handled together, I'm bringing -- I want to
- 4 bring to the Board's attention that this amount of a
- 5 monetary penalty will not deter further violations.
- 6 And the reason that this particular
- 7 article and incident is relevant to that, and I want to
- 8 bring it forward to the Board's attention is, that the
- 9 people of Blue Island are attempting to raise their
- 10 voice and have been shunted.
- 11 And if when the Agency does bring the
- 12 polluter before the Pollution Control Board, if the
- 13 polluter isn't given a penalty that's going to give a
- 14 deterent -- and I suggest this penalty won't give a
- 15 deterent because the people in the community are being
- 16 shunted from bringing their voice. Their voice is
- illegally being suppressed -- and in an era where
- 18 enforcement dollars are limited, the opportunity to
- 19 bring Clark back before the Pollution Control Board
- 20 would be expensive.
- 21 And in the community I don't expect
- 22 to see the Agency to be able to bring the polluter back
- 23 before the Board without a lot of consideration and, in
- 24 fact, weighing out where they're going to put their

```
1 enforcement dollars. And this type of a penalty will
```

- 2 not deter a polluter who, from -- And, now, what I
- 3 wanted to point to is the fact that what we're talking
- 4 about is both a Federal and a State law in this
- 5 reporting information.
- So what we have, what we're going to,
- 7 is the very purpose of the Illinois Emergency Planning
- 8 and Community Right To Know Act, as well as the federal
- 9 legislation that requires the filing of the pollution
- 10 reports.
- In my reading of that public act,
- 12 86.449, that was effective August 30, 1989, the intent
- of the legislature was to allow the residents -- those
- 14 people who are exposed to the toxic contaminations --
- 15 to gain a familiarity with the substances that they're
- 16 being exposed to. That the legislature believed that
- individuals themselves would be able to detect and
- 18 minimize the affects of exposure, if they had the
- 19 knowledge about what chemicals they're being exposed
- 20 to.
- 21 And, in this instance, what we see
- 22 from the record was that Clark was not informing the
- 23 community on what they were exposing them to. And
- 24 beyond not informing the people what they're being

```
1 exposed to, they're coming out and, in this community,
```

- 2 a voice that raises up and says this refinery is doing
- 3 wrong and injuring people, that voice is silenced.
- 4 And that's what this article is
- 5 showing. An actual incident in 1995. When a person,
- 6 standing near the refinery, within eyesight of that oil
- 7 refinery and held up a sign that said "It's unfair to
- 8 trade our children's health for jobs and a parade.
- 9 Close Clark." They were arrested within four minutes
- of holding the sign and the charges subsequently
- 11 dropped.
- 12 And the Board would be interested to
- 13 know that the President of that Park Board is a high
- 14 ranking manager of the Clark Oil Refinery.
- Now, to show this community's
- 16 concern, I brought forward, and here I could only offer
- 17 copies, of a petition that was circulated on the 4th of
- 18 July through the 11th of July. And 300 Blue Island
- 19 residents have signed this petition. And this petition
- 20 specifically addresses the Clark Oil Refinery.
- 21 And I bring it to the Board's
- 22 attention so that they might understand the level of
- 23 concern that people in this community have, and the
- 24 fact that they want this information regarding what the

1 refinery has been emitting, and that it was not true as

- 2 put forward in the stipulation, and that the Agency has
- 3 not received any request from any member of the public
- 4 to review the Respondent's Toxic Chemical Release
- 5 Forms, as was stated in paragraph five, Section 6 of
- 6 the stipulation.
- 7 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Okay. I'm going to have
- 8 the newspaper articles, then, be Public Comment Number
- 9 5.
- 10 (Whereupon, Public Comment Exhibit
- No. 5 was marked for
- identification.)
- 13 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: And the petitions will be
- 14 Group Public Comment Number 6. You are planning on
- 15 giving that to the Pollution Control Board?
- MR. TREPANIER: The petitions, I can offer a copy
- 17 to the Pollution Control Board. This is a petition to
- 18 place a question of public policy on the ballot in Blue
- 19 Island, so the originals will be turned in.
- 20 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: So we need to make
- 21 copies.
- 22 MR. TREPANIER: So I offer those to the Board so
- 23 that they could see the level of concern in this
- 24 community, as well as to offer them a blank copy of the

22
1 petition, because I can give them an original there.

- 2 (The document was tendered.)
- 3 (Whereupon, Public Comment Group
- 4 Exhibit No. 6 was marked for
- 5 identification.)
- 6 MR. TREPANIER: And for the Board, also, I want
- 7 to bring to their attention that when I attempted to
- 8 inform my neighbors in Blue Island, at the recent
- 9 festival -- Thousands of people were out in the park
- 10 within eyesight of the oil refinery, and I wanted to
- inform my neighbors about the hearing on this matter
- 12 today, that was prevented.
- I've brought in this board that I had
- 14 prepared to show in Blue Island, but was denied the
- 15 opportunity to show.
- And I just want the Board to know
- 17 that what I wanted to hold up so people could read is a
- 18 small sign that said: People versus Clark Oil, Public
- 19 Hearing, 2 p.m., 11-500 Thompson Center, where we are
- 20 today, 100 West Randolph. And, further, it read.
- "In response to agreed demand, the
- 22 Pollution Control Board will allow persons to address
- 23 the case, question the most polluting refinery in the
- 24 nation. For more info: Blue Island Greens."

```
1 And then I gave my phone number in
```

- 2 Blue Island. 708/396/1624.
- 3 And I wanted to show persons a
- 4 newspaper article also from the Daily Southtown written
- 5 by Kevin Carmody dated 10/12/95 that related that
- 6 Eisenhower students filed suit against Clark Oil for
- 7 injuries that they had suffered in a release.
- 8 And, further, I was prevented from
- 9 showing persons this blowup picture that I had of the
- 10 front cover of the Complaint that the Attorney General
- 11 has filed against Clark Oil, where the Count I was
- 12 under a substantial endangerment, a substantial danger
- 13 to the environment, public health and welfare.
- BOARD MEMBER DUNHAM: What case is that?
- 15 MR. TREPANIER: That's the case number 95 CH
- 16 2311.
- 17 BOARD MEMBER DUNHAM: That's a case in the
- 18 Chancery Court of the Circuit Court of Cook County?
- 19 MR. TREPANIER: That's correct.
- 20 BOARD MEMBER DUNHAM: Can you tie that into the
- 21 reporting violation for 1988 for Clark Oil Blue Island?
- 22 MR. TREPANIER: Yes. What I would offer as the
- 23 relevance, why I offer this information to the Board,
- 24 is, that the Board could gauge the concern in this

```
1 community, in regards to this specific polluter and the
```

- 2 lengths that have been taken in the community this
- 3 polluter is in to prevent information that's critical
- 4 of the refinery -- critical of the polluter, of Clark
- 5 Oil, that prevented that information from being
- 6 disseminated. And that's why I brought in this board
- 7 today, just to show the certain piece that I had
- 8 intended to and was prevented from displaying on public
- 9 property in Blue Island.
- 10 I very much appreciate this
- 11 opportunity to bring my concerns regarding the proposed
- 12 settlement. And I urge that the Board find that the
- provisions of Subsection 42(h), the determination of an
- 14 appropriate civil penalty, have not been fulfilled
- 15 because of a failure to establish the record that no
- 16 economic benefits accrued to the violator because of a
- 17 delay in compliance with requirements, when, in fact,
- 18 reasonably, we can expect that this Clark Oil Refinery
- is able to stay open only because they're capable of
- 20 suppressing public dissent towards their operations.
- 21 And, also, factor number four, that
- 22 the amount of penalty proposed here will not serve to
- 23 deter further violations.
- 24 And I urge the Board consider factor

- 1 number two, as we look at the settlement before us
- 2 regarding the Hartford Refinery, and find that there
- 3 was no due diligence in attempting to comply with the
- 4 requirements of the Environmental Protection Act where
- 5 the violations continued for a number of years.
- 6 Just as an appendix to my comments
- 7 regarding the stipulations. One of the stipulations
- 8 characterized the neighborhood or the community in
- 9 which the oil refinery operates, and I think that this
- 10 has misinformed the Board regarding just the type of --
- just the situation where we find this oil refinery in.
- 12 As this was one of the original
- issues that I had raised in my April 22nd request for
- 14 this public hearing and, thereafter, I received the
- 15 response from the Attorney General dated April 24th,
- and there he responds to my concerns regarding Section
- 17 6, paragraph six, which states that the Clark facility
- is located in a mixed industrial and residential area.
- 19 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Is that somewhere in the
- 20 stipulation?
- 21 MR. TREPANIER: Yes. That's in the stipulation
- 22 under Section 6. "Impact on the public resulting from
- 23 non-compliance."
- 24 In the Attorney General's letter of

1 April 24th, it says that: "Section 6, paragraph six

- 2 refers to the Hartford refinery and not the Blue Island
- 3 facility."
- What I want to bring to the Board's
- 5 attention is the fact that the Clark Oil Refinery in
- 6 Blue Island, we have got 380,000 people within a range
- of five miles. That's within the range of a hydrogen
- 8 fluoride leak. And the reason I know that number is
- 9 because that's what the EPA reported to the U.S.
- 10 Congress in 1993 in their Hydrogen Flouride Report to
- 11 Congress.
- 12 But what I wish to bring to the
- 13 Board's attention is that, here, this Blue Island
- 14 facility, is next to an extremely dense residential
- 15 area. This is just the type of an area, where if
- 16 people had the information on what kind of pollution
- they were being exposed to, they could look at not only
- 18 their own symptoms, but those of their neighbors and
- 19 realize patterns.
- We've got an extremely polluting
- 21 facility in an extremely dense urban area, and that
- information was not brought forward in the settlement.
- 23 And I felt that it was extremely
- important to bring that to the Board's attention,

1 specifically given the fact that Section 6, paragraph

- 2 six, didn't clearly state that that referred to the
- 3 Hartford Refinery, and, in my reading, had apparently
- 4 spoke to the Blue Island facility as well.
- 5 But the fact that the Blue Island
- 6 facility is in a residential and urban area, including
- 7 public parks where thousands of people are within a
- 8 mile, and a very large public high school within one
- 9 mile, as well as other elementary schools.
- 10 Well, I thank you very much for
- 11 taking your time to hear from me.
- 12 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Okay. Are there any
- questions from either of the attorneys?
- 14 MR. MORGAN: May I see Public Comment Number 1?
- 15 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Certainly you can look at
- 16 all of them.
- 17 This is the one that the Agency
- wants, but that one we need to make a copy of.
- 19 BOARD MEMBER DUNHAM: Should we go off the
- 20 record?
- 21 MR. MORGAN: I just have one question.
- 22 Mr. Trepanier?
- MR. TREPANIER: Yes.
- MR. MORGAN: Your Public Comment that consists of

1 a response from Donald Sutton of the Environmental

- 2 Protection Agency.
- 3 MR. TREPANIER: Yes.
- 4 MR. MORGAN: Did you happen to bring the original
- 5 request?
- 6 MR. TREPANIER: This is the original.
- 7 (The document was tendered.)
- 8 MR. MORGAN: The only reason I ask is, the Public
- 9 Comment you submitted didn't really describe what your
- 10 request was, so I thought for a complete record you
- 11 might want to actually submit your request so that
- 12 there will be no question as to what it covered.
- It's up to you, but I just make that
- 14 suggestion.
- MR. TREPANIER: Well, I'm hearing you saying
- 16 something.
- 17 You're characterizing my response
- 18 without having read it. I saw it. This is a three
- 19 -page request for information. You just pointed to the
- 20 first page and said that I didn't ask for those
- 21 pollution records.
- 22 BOARD MEMBER DUNHAM: He did not say that.
- 23 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: No. Mr. Trepanier, what
- 24 he was stating was, from the response which you gave

1 the Pollution Control Board, we can't tell what it was

- 2 you did request. So he's suggesting that you also
- 3 submit your letter, so that the Pollution Control Board
- 4 can see what it is that you did request from the
- 5 Agency.
- 6 MR. TREPANIER: I just did bring along the
- original, but, if we could, like the earlier exhibit,
- 8 just forward a copy to the -- That would be fine.
- 9 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Why don't we make both of
- 10 those Public Comment Number 2. It will be a Group
- 11 Exhibit, since they seem to go together. And we will
- 12 make copies of both of those at the end of the hearing.
- MR. TREPANIER: And the Board should know that
- 14 the letter I submitted under the signature of Donald
- 15 Sutton is not the only response from the EPA that I
- 16 received to my letter dated June 15th for open records.
- 17 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Okay. Are there any
- other questions? Mr. Eggert?
- MR. EGGERT: Nothing. No.
- 20 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Okay. Mr. Trepanier, do
- 21 you have anything further?
- MR. TREPANIER: Just that I appreciate this
- 23 opportunity, you know, that the Board is taking the
- 24 time to look into these matters. And I'm with you all

1 the way in making this a healthier and a more pleasing

- 2 world, so we might continue to enjoy it.
- 3 Thank you.
- 4 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Okay. Do any other
- 5 members of the public wish to speak?
- 6 (NO RESPONSE.)
- 7 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: No?
- 8 Do either of you want a briefing
- 9 schedule?
- 10 MR. EGGERT: Not on behalf of Clark. I don't
- 11 think there's any open issues.
- MR. MORGAN: No. I don't think so.
- 13 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Okay. Let's go off the
- 14 record for a moment.
- 15 (Whereupon, a discussion was held
- off the record.)
- 17 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Okay. Let's go back on
- 18 the record then.
- 19 Then, at the close of this hearing,
- 20 the record will be closed and the Board will then have
- 21 all of this information to consider for its decision.
- Is there anything further before we
- 23 close the record?
- 24 BOARD MEMBER DUNHAM: Yes. Give him seven days

```
1 to submit the Group Exhibit.
```

- 2 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: We will just copy it
- 3 here.
- 4 MR. TREPANIER: I would offer the Board, when
- 5 they have taken time for all these exhibits, one
- 6 additional thing that refers to the Referendum Petition
- 7 that was earlier discussed regarding Clark Oil, and
- 8 that's a recent article reported in the community paper
- 9 that the petition drive was underway and that
- 10 signatures were being gathered.
- That's a June 23rd, '96 article from
- 12 the Blue Island Forum, published by the Blue Island
- 13 Development Corporation.
- 14 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: That will be Public
- 15 Comment Number 7 then.
- 16 (Whereupon, Public Comment Exhibit
- No. 7 was marked for
- identification.)
- 19 HEARING OFFICER FRANK: Okay. Well, Thank you
- 20 for coming and the hearing is adjourned.
- 21 If you could give me the petitions, I
- 22 will make copies of those and all the other things.
- 23 (Whereupon, Public Comment Group
- 24 Exhibit No. 2 was marked for

STATE OF ILLINOIS ss: COUNTY OF C O O K Sally A. Guardado hereby certifies that she is the Certified Shorthand Reporter who reported in shorthand the proceedings had in the above-entitled matter, and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of said proceedings. Certified Shorthand Reporter Notary Public, County of Cook, State of illinois