BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

ILLINOIS LANDFILL, INC.,)	
Petitioner,))	
vs.)	PCB95-162
ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY,)	
Respondent.))	

The following is a transcript of a hearing held in the above-entitled matter, taken stenographically by BRENDA L. ZEITLER, CSR-RPR, a notary public within and for the County of McLean and State of Illinois, before Deborah L. Frank, Chief Hearing Officer, at Six North Vermilion Street, Vermilion County Board Room, Danville, Illinois, on the 21st day of August, A.D., commencing at the hour of 10:00 a.m.

I N D E X

	~ - ~
PAG	JES

Opening	Statement	by	Ms.	Johnson	5	-	7
Opening	Statement	by	Mr.	Scherschligt	7	-	12

WITNESSES:

WILLIAM REGAN Narrative Testimony by Mr. Regan 13 - 15

SAM MARTIN

```
Narrative Testimony by Mr. Martin ..... 16 - 21
```

ALLEN DECKER

Narrative	Testimony	by	Mr.	Decker		22 -	24
-----------	-----------	----	-----	--------	--	------	----

EXHIBITS:

```
PUBLIC COMMENT NUMBER 1 ..... 19
Photographs
```

HEARING TAKEN BEFORE:

ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD Six North Vermilion Street County Board Room Danville, Illinois 61832 BY: MS. DEBORAH L. FRANK CHIEF HEARING OFFICER

APPEARANCES:

DUKES, MARTIN, RYAN, MEYER & KRAPF, LTD. 146 North Vermilion Street Danville, Illinois 61832 (217) 442-0384 BY: MS. NANCY S. JOHNSON

Appearing on behalf of the Petitioner.

ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY Division of Legal Counsel - Assistant Counsel 2200 Churchill Road, P.O. Box 19276 Springfield, Illinois 62794-9276 (217) 782-5544 BY: MR. ROBERT J. SCHERSCHLIGT

Appearing on behalf of the Respondent.

GROPPI, McNAMARA & UNDERHILL 414 West North Street Danville, Illinois 61832 (217) 446-3800 BY: MR. FREDERICK UNDERHILL

Appearing on behalf of William Regan.

ALSO PRESENT:

- Mr. Ron Steward, Illinois Environmental Protection Agency
- Mr. Gregory Kugler, Andrews Environmental Engineering Inc.
- Mr. William Regan
- Mr. Sam Martin
- Mr. Allen Decker

THE HEARING OFFICER: Good morning. Welcome to 1 2 the hearing for Illinois Landfill, Inc., vs. Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, PCB95-162. 3 4 The hearing before us today is a variance 5 hearing. There has been an objection filed by William б Regan, and so we are having a hearing today because of that; 7 there's a board order. And I note for the record there are 8 members of the public present. 9 If the attorneys want to go ahead and make their 10 appearances on the record. MS. JOHNSON: Nancy Johnson on behalf of Illinois 11 12 Landfill, Inc. 13 MR. SCHERSCHLIGT: Bob Scherschligt, assistant counsel, Illinois EPA. 14 15 MR. UNDERHILL: Also, I'm an attorney. I'm Fred 16 Underhill for William Regan. 17 THE HEARING OFFICER: Do you guys have opening 18 statements? Do you wish to make an opening statement? MS. JOHNSON: I have a statement to make which I 19 20 think is going to cover both the opening statement and --THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. That's fine. Go 21 22 right ahead. MS. JOHNSON: -- our position on it. 23 24 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay.

L.A. REPORTING (312) 419-9292

1 MS. JOHNSON: My name is Nancy Johnson. I'm the attorney for Illinois Landfill, Inc. With me today is 2 Mr. Greg Kugler, the vice president and director of the 3 Environmental Services for Andrews Engineering. 4 5 We are here today on our amended petition for б variance which was filed May 22 of 1996. I would represent 7 to your Honor that we are not going to present any 8 additional evidence or testimony today, and we would be simply standing on our petition. However, I would like to 9 make a few comments about the petition. 10 It was filed pursuant to Section 35(a) of the 11 12 Illinois Environmental Protection Act. We are requesting a 13 variance from compliance with 35 Illinois Administrative 14 Code 814.104(c) for the reason that compliance would pose an arbitrary and unreasonable hardship on Illinois Landfill, 15 16 Inc. The variance has already been granted through 17 18 September 18 of 1995. And the original petition that we 19 filed was filed on June 5 of 1995 before the other variance 20 expired asking for an extension through July 1 of 1996. And then we filed the amended petition asking that the extension 21 run from September 18 of 1995 through September 18 of 1996. 22 23 We do not foresee requesting any additional 24 extensions. Our application will be filed at the very

L.A. REPORTING (312) 419-9292

latest on September 18 of 1996 and probably before that. 1 2 I don't want to rehash the facts of this case, but suffice it to say that Illinois Landfill, Inc., 3 submitted an application to the City of Hoopeston for 4 5 expansion pursuant to 39.2 of the Act in late 1992. And б after all the hearings and numerous appeals, it was finally approved by the City in the second half of 1995. 7 8 The circumstances that have caused this delay 9 have been totally beyond the control of Illinois Landfill, Inc., and that's why we feel confident that the filing of 10 our amended petition -- our prior petitions for variance and 11 12 our amended petition for variance is warranted. 13 Pursuant to 35 Illinois Administrative Code 814.104(c), Illinois Landfill, Inc., would have to have 14 filed a permit application on the existing facility in 1994, 15 16 September 18 of 1994. And at that time, Illinois Landfill, Inc.'s, 17 application for expansion was two years old. The time --18 19 this would have been time-consuming both for Illinois 20 Landfill, Inc., and the Agency because once the siting was 21 approved, then they would have had to go back and do the 22 whole process over again. ILI as we stand here today knows of no other 23 24 methods of compliance that we could have suggested or

L.A. REPORTING (312) 419-9292

б

1 presented.

2 Like I say, the duplicity of the situation would cause additional time and expense for ILI and for the 3 Agency, and it would be an arbitrary and unreasonable 4 5 hardship on Illinois Landfill, Inc. 6 As I said earlier, we are standing on our amended petition as submitted with the affidavits and exhibits and 7 8 would note for the record that the Agency recommends that 9 the extension be allowed and basically concurs with the factual allegations that we have represented in our 10 11 petition. 12 Mr. Kugler is one of our affiants, and he's here 13 to answer any questions that your Honor might have of him 14 regarding the pending siting application or whatever. 15 At this time we would be asking that the 16 regulatory deadline be extended retroactive to September 18, 1995, through September 18, 1996. We would reserve the 17 18 right to submit any supplemental or rebuttal evidence if 19 necessary. 20 Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Scherschligt? 21 22 MR. SCHERSCHLIGT: Thank you very much. Members of the Illinois Pollution Control Board, 23

24 Madam Hearing Officer, Ms. Johnson, and Mr. Underhill, the

following is offered as the Illinois Environmental 1 2 Protection Agency's opening remarks here today. This matter is before the Illinois Pollution 3 Control Board on an amended petition for variance filed by 4 5 the petitioner, Illinois Landfill, Inc., on May 20 of 1996. б Petitioner seeks a 12-month variance from the requirement of 35 Illinois Administrative Code Section 814.104(a) and (c). 7 8 Section 814.104(a) provides that "All owners or operators of landfills permitted pursuant to Section 21(d) 9 of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act shall file an 10 application for a significant modification to their permits 11 12 for existing units unless the units will be closed pursuant to Subpart (e) within two years of the effective date of 13 Part 814." I would note that the effective date of Part 814 14 was September 18 of 1990. 15 16 Section 814.104(c) provides that this application for significant modification must be filed within 48 months 17 18 of the effective date of Part 814; in other words, by 19 September 18 of 1994. By Illinois Pollution Control Board order dated 20 December 1 of 1994, the Board granted Illinois Landfill 21 their initial variance from September 18 of 1994 to 22 September 18 of 1995. Illinois Landfill's amended petition 23 24 for variance which is the subject matter of this proceeding

L.A. REPORTING (312) 419-9292

asked for an extension to September 18 of 1996 for it to 1 file its application for significant modification. 2 Section 104.180 of the Illinois Pollution Control 3 4 Board's Rules and Regulations provide that "After 5 investigating the variance petition and considering the 6 views of persons who might be adversely affected by the grant of the variance, the Agency shall within 30 days of 7 8 the filing of the petition, or any amendment thereto, make a recommendation to the Board on the disposition of the 9 10 petition."

Upon receiving the amended petition for variance 11 12 on May 21 of 1996, the Agency -- namely, myself -- prepared 13 a public notice order and submitted that to the Illinois Press Association on May 22 of 1996. The order requested 14 that notice of Illinois Landfill's amended petition for 15 16 variance be published in the local newspaper for Vermilion County; in this case, the Commercial News here in Danville. 17 18 This notice was, in fact, published on June 4 of this year. 19 Prior to the publication of this notice in the 20 Danville Commercial News, the Agency received a letter from 21 a Mr. Frederick Underhill who is present here today and who

23 letter to the Agency was dated May 28 and was received by 24 the Agency on May 30.

is Illinois counsel for Mr. Bill Regan. Mr. Underhill's

L.A. REPORTING (312) 419-9292

1 In his letter, Mr. Underhill requested that his 2 client, Mr. Regan, be placed on the Agency service list to 3 receive copies of all notices, permits, and other relevant 4 information concerning this matter.

5 The Agency gladly accommodated Mr. Underhill's б request by mailing to him and his client a copy of Illinois 7 Landfill's amended petition for variance and a copy of the 8 Agency's recommendation and response to the amended petition 9 for variance. This information was mailed to Mr. Underhill and Mr. Regan on June 7 of 1996. I would just point out 10 that Mr. Regan was cc'd on the letter to Mr. Underhill, but 11 12 both Mr. Underhill and Mr. Regan, I believe, were provided 13 their own copies of the amended petition as well as the 14 Agency's response.

Incidentally, the Agency's response and recommendation was mailed for filing with the Board on June 6 and makes reference to Mr. Underhill's request and the mailing of this information to him and his client.

In short, in making its recommendation and response to Illinois Landfill's amended petition, the Agency has fully complied with the provisions of Section 104.180 of the Boards's Rules and Regulations. The Agency believes that Illinois Landfill's request for an extension of time until September 18th of 1996 is reasonable in duration under

the circumstances, particularly when taking into
 consideration the extenuating circumstances beyond Illinois
 Landfill's control.

Accordingly, the Agency recommends that Illinois Landfill's amended petition for variance being granted and in support thereof would stand on the representations made in its recommendation in response to the amended petition.

8 I would also like to point out that subsequent to 9 the filing of the Agency's response and recommendation, on 10 June 19 of this year, I was contacted by Mr. Charlie Marvin 11 who is the California counsel for Mr. Regan. At that time 12 Mr. Marvin requested that I send him a copy of the amended 13 petition for variance and the Illinois Environmental 14 Protection Agency's response and recommendation.

I sent him that information on that same day by 15 16 letter, and I also sent Mr. Marvin a copy of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act, the relevant regulations --17 particularly, Part 814 -- and a copy of the Illinois 18 19 Pollution Control Board's Rules and Regulations. On that 20 same day, I also faxed Mr. Marvin a copy of the Board's December 1, 1994, order pertaining to Illinois Landfill's 21 amended petition for variance filed on October 26 of 1994. 22 That December 1 order gave Illinois Landfill their first 23 24 extension of time to September 18 of 1995.

L.A. REPORTING (312) 419-9292

On July 3 of 1996, I was again contacted by 1 2 telephone by Mr. Marvin, and he requested that I send him a copy of the Illinois Pollution Control Board's June 6, 1996, 3 order that noted, I believe, that the decision deadline in 4 5 this matter had been restarted since Illinois Landfill had 6 filed their amended petition for variance. I believe I faxed him a copy of that order on 7 8 that same day. It was then on July 10 of 1996 that Mr. Marvin filed an objection on behalf of Mr. Regan with 9 the Illinois Pollution Control Board. And then this matter 10 was set for hearing by this hearing officer on July 16 of 11 12 this year. 13 Since that time, I have spoken with Mr. Marvin a 14 couple of times by telephone. He has had questions related to this matter, procedural questions. I have accommodated 15 16 him when possible. It's my understanding that Mr. Underhill 17 is here today in representation of Mr. Regan. 18 Thank you very much. 19 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Is there anything further from either of the parties? 20 MS. JOHNSON: Not at this time. 21 22 THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Scherschligt, were you planning on calling anyone? 23 24 MR. SCHERSCHLIGT: We have no evidence to present

L.A. REPORTING (312) 419-9292

13

```
1
      at this time.
 2
                 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Well, then I open
 3
      the floor for public comment on the variance proceeding.
                 Mr. Underhill?
 4
 5
                 MR. UNDERHILL: Yes. My client, Mr. Regan, would
 б
      like to testify.
                 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Mr. Regan, please
 7
 8
      raise your hand to be sworn.
 9
                 Will the court reporter please swear him.
10
                             (Witness sworn.)
                 THE HEARING OFFICER: You may proceed.
11
12
                             WILLIAM REGAN,
      called as a witness, after being first duly sworn, testified
13
      upon his oath as follows:
14
15
                           NARRATIVE TESTIMONY
16
                             BY MR. REGAN:
                 My name is William Regan, and I live in Southern
17
      California. I own land adjacent to the Illinois Landfill.
18
19
                 First of all, I'd like to say thanks to you, your
20
      Honor, for letting me attend this meeting. I'm not an
      attorney. I've never been to a hearing before; so I'm sure
21
22
      that I'm not as equipped to proceed as many of you will be.
                 I would like to mention that the farm that's
23
24
      involved is a family farm; it's 241 acres. It's been in the
```

family for many, many years. We have a lot of desire to see 1 that the farm is not affected adversely. 2 I know that you're pressed for time, and I'll try 3 not to ramble on. And if I can have your patience, I'll try 4 5 to stay on track. 6 THE HEARING OFFICER: We have plenty of time. 7 Take your time. 8 MR. REGAN: So the issue is very important to me. 9 And I think it really should be important to the community. 10 And it appears to us that Illinois Landfill has had adequate time to file a permit; and, for various reasons, they 11 haven't done so. And I think that we're showing effects of 12 13 that -- not only to our farm, but to the environment -because it hasn't been done. 14 15 So I know that this takes time. And it's at some 16 expense to us and inconvenience to travel the 2,000 miles to attend here, but I think that I want you to know that I 17 18 really feel that the Pollution Control Board should not 19 approve the variance. I don't think that -- well, I would -- I'm not 20 saying that that's -- it's very, very difficult for me to 21 understand all the technicalities involved. And in doing my 22 research, it appears that Illinois Landfill has had 23 24 opportunities to do this; and for reasons only known to

1 them, they have not done it.

2 When the expansion was first offered, I was the first objector to that expansion on November 24, 1992. And 3 as we know or may not know, the expansion was very 4 5 controversial. It was approved, and then it was rejected by б the Pollution Control Board, and then it was approved again. And both times the council was evenly split in 7 8 approving. And both times the vote was broken by the mayor. So you can see that there is a lot of concern about the 9 environment and the conditions. There was a nonbinding 10 election in the City of Hoopeston, and that was, I think, 11 12 about 80/20 in favor of not having the landfill. 13 So it's my purpose here today, if I can, to simply say that this is a very important issue to the 14 community and to me as far as our family farm. And I would 15 16 like to just get into some of the details that we've looked at and feel that we should refer to. 17 Number one, as I mentioned, I have my local farm 18 19 manager and my farm operator here, and they are more closer 20 to the information and the exposure than I am. And with all due respect, I'd like for them to comment to you, your 21 22 Honor. THE HEARING OFFICER: They can be sworn and make 23 24 statements on the record. There's no problem with that.

L.A. REPORTING (312) 419-9292

1 Any member of the public can speak. 2 MR. REGAN: If we can do that, I'd like to start 3 with Mr. Martin, if I could. THE HEARING OFFICER: Can you please swear 4 5 Mr. Martin. 6 (Witness sworn.) 7 THE HEARING OFFICER: Can you please state your 8 name for the record and kind of tell us who you are. 9 MR. MARTIN: Yes. My name is Sam Martin. I live in Watseka, and I'm a farm manager. 10 11 THE HEARING OFFICER: Did you wish to go ahead 12 and make a statement? MR. UNDERHILL: Go ahead and state what you know 13 about this situation, what you've observed and, you know, 14 15 tell them. 16 SAM MARTIN, called as a witness, after being first duly sworn, testified 17 18 upon his oath as follows: 19 NARRATIVE TESTIMONY 20 BY SAM MARTIN: Well, Illinois Landfill adjoins Mr. Regan's farm 21 22 on the north. Approximately three years ago, we were starting to have some problems with water ponding in a 23 24 field. We contacted the Illinois Landfill to inquire if

L.A. REPORTING (312) 419-9292

they had cut any tile or whatever when they were doing their 1 2 digging. They said that they did not. However, they had agreed to dig a diversion channel to allow water to come 3 across our fence line and reroute it down to a little creek. 4 5 Subsequent to that, the following year, the water 6 was still ponding in that area on various rains. We had 7 noticed that the -- you know, the dirt that was on their 8 berm had, you know, washed down into the ditch and had made 9 a dam of the water. When we notified them of that, they came over and, you know, dug out that dirt. And, anyway, 10 the water had kind of flowed down to the ditch. 11

This spring, as everyone is well aware, we had substantial amounts of rainfall which the pond in our field was probably the largest that it's ever been in the last three years, you know, destroying the corn that had been planted.

We had a local contractor, Bob Voyles from 17 18 Wellington, who brought his backhoe out to our farm. We dug 19 up along the fence row and found where we had a clay tile 20 that was running northward into the landfill that, when we hit the tile, filled the trench full of water and, you know, 21 obviously was causing all of the damage to the crop both in 22 the pond and the whole tile system that drains into that. 23 24 And going across the fence line, the ditch that

L.A. REPORTING (312) 419-9292

had been dug three years ago was completely silted in with
 the erosion coming off of the berm, not allowing any water
 whatsoever to go anywhere. Thus, it created a problem for
 us.

5 One of the problems that was noted this year, 6 particularly, there's about 25 or 30 feet of hedge -- I 7 shouldn't say -- there's a hedgerow of trees that is the 8 fence line between the Regan farm and the Illinois Landfill. 9 And there is about 25 or 30 feet of trees in the hedgerow 10 that are now dead because of the water that had stood there 11 and so forth.

You know, that's really the problems that we have noticed as a result of the berm. I don't know how many more trees in the hedgerow will be affected. That obviously, you know, would have an effect on our environment with the wildlife and whatnot.

We have pictures that we have taken. I don't
know if we -MR. UNDERHILL: We do have some photographs,

20 if we can use them.

21 THE HEARING OFFICER: We can mark them as public 22 comments and have them submitted into the record if you so 23 wish.

MR. UNDERHILL: Yes, we would.

L.A. REPORTING (312) 419-9292

1 THE HEARING OFFICER: You just need to bring them 2 up to me. 3 MR. UNDERHILL: I think we better refer to them and explain what they are on the record first of all. 4 5 THE HEARING OFFICER: That's fine. I'm going to б mark them when I receive them all as "Public Comment 7 Number 1" as a group exhibit. 8 MR. MARTIN: I don't know if anybody wants to see 9 the pictures, but we can just look at these. This is where we hit the tile. 10 THE HEARING OFFICER: For the record, this is a 11 12 picture that's marked Number 1. 13 MR. MARTIN: And if you look right in there, you can see the tile. When we hit it with the backhoe, that's 14 what caused this trench to suddenly fill up with water. 15 16 And there is a picture of the tile, Number 2, that, obviously, this buildup of clay has been caused by the 17 18 tile being severed on the other side. So when that was not 19 allowed to drain, the dirt backed up in there. And that's -- it's about half full of dirt. 20 Number 3 is really just a picture of the area of 21 22 our cornfield that was affected this year. Actually, Number 4 is a similar area that was affected. 23 24 Number 5 shows the distance of trees that are

1 dead along there.

2 Number 6 is more of an area of the cornfield that had, you know, obviously been flooded. 3 Number 7, this is on the Illinois Landfill's side 4 5 of the hedge, and this is their berm. And this was the 6 original channel that they had cut in there that obviously had been silted in, thus causing the problems. 7 8 Here are some better pictures of the dead trees. 9 These are the dead trees. THE HEARING OFFICER: For the record, the first 10 group were Instamatics. The next group are not numbered. 11 12 They are just normal pictures of the area. 13 MR. MARTIN: So I think you can see here the big 14 effect of not only the corn that's gone; but, also, through the tile system that drains through there, the water has 15 16 backed it up. And you can see there's standing water which occurs quite often. 17 18 MR. SCHERSCHLIGT: Excuse me. Perhaps maybe we 19 should mark those individually so the Board will know what 20 he's referring to. THE HEARING OFFICER: I think it's obvious from 21 the pictures. 22 MR. SCHERSCHLIGT: Okay. Fair enough. 23 24 THE HEARING OFFICER: There's pictures of trees,

L.A. REPORTING (312) 419-9292

and there's pictures of cornfields. It's pretty easy to --1 2 MR. SCHERSCHLIGT: All right. 3 MR. MARTIN: So those are the pictures. THE HEARING OFFICER: Let's go off the record for 4 5 a moment. 6 (Discussion off the record.) 7 THE HEARING OFFICER: As I said earlier, for the 8 record, these pictures will be marked as Public Comment 9 Number 1, and they were introduced by Sam Martin. 10 Mr. Martin, did you have anything further you wished to say on the record? 11 12 MR. MARTIN: No. I think that's pretty much all. MR. UNDERHILL: It's been over a three-year 13 period. 14 15 MR. MARTIN: It has been over a three-year period 16 that that's happened. And it's obviously gotten progressively worse over the last three years. 17 18 THE HEARING OFFICER: Do the attorneys for either 19 ILI or the Agency have any questions for --MR. SCHERSCHLIGT: I have no questions. 20 21 MS. JOHNSON: I have no questions. 22 THE HEARING OFFICER: How about for Mr. Regan? I didn't ask if you had any questions for him when he was 23 24 speaking.

1 MR. SCHERSCHLIGT: No questions. 2 MS. JOHNSON: No questions. 3 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. MR. UNDERHILL: Then we'd like to have Mr. Decker 4 5 sworn now. 6 MR. REGAN: Allen is the one that farms the land 7 and has been for many years, and I think he'd like to 8 comment. 9 THE HEARING OFFICER: Could you please swear the 10 witness. (Witness sworn.) 11 12 THE HEARING OFFICER: Please state your name for 13 the record. ALLEN DECKER, 14 15 called as a witness, after being first duly sworn, testified 16 upon his oath as follows: 17 NARRATIVE TESTIMONY 18 BY MR. DECKER: 19 I'm Allen Decker from Wellington, Illinois. I've farmed Bill's partial since 1991. I've been farming since 20 1981 and come from a farm background, family farm. 21 22 In 1994, when the Landfill project at that time was in corn and we got stuck in this area, the rest of the 23 24 farm was dry and able to get through. And at that time, I

brought it to Bill's attention that there is definitely a
 problem there.

3 When this berm was put through, it's kind of held the surface water back in that area which in turn has caused 4 5 this ponding. So we met with the Landfill people -- I think б Dave Geier (phonetic) was his name, at the time was the head operational manager as such, and he said that he'd try to 7 8 work with us on it. And that's when he cut this ditch to try to alleviate the problem. And in turn it has silted in 9 10 since.

11 So the next year, which would be '95, it was in 12 soybeans, and we had a ponding area again. And up until 13 this time, we'd always farmed this area. And that's why I 14 kept telling Bill that there's probably a problem here; and, 15 to my knowledge, I thought there probably should be a tile 16 in this area because it was never wet before.

So then, again, here in '96, we did plant through the area but not in the best conditions. And we did get a crop to come up. And then with the heavy rains and, like I said -- so we finally went and exposed the tile here probably, I'm going to say, a month ago possibly. MR. MARTIN: End of June or July. MR. DECKER: End of June. We did find the tile,

and it is backlogged with clay. I'm going to say that tile

probably goes into that farm, to the best of my knowledge, a
 quarter of a mile. So it's probably -- to drain, I'm going
 to say, 40, 50 acres.

I don't know whether you're familiar with tile,
but it's kind of like if your basement drain was plugged;
it's the same theory on farmland. To raise a crop, first
you have to have a drainage and then your nutrients and then
your seed.

9 So, basically, we found the tile was having some 10 problems. And more so, I think the surface drainage --11 there's probably, I'm going to say, a 60-acre watershed that 12 used to go that way. And now with the berm, it just swings 13 back around and comes back on the farm. So it's almost been 14 a diversion of water, too, on top of it.

15 THE HEARING OFFICER: Is there anything further? 16 MR. REGAN: If I could just maybe comment about 17 the berm. When you say a "berm," sometimes we think a berm 18 of maybe 4 or 5 feet. This berm is probably, what, 25 feet 19 in the air?

20 MR. DECKER: Yeah.

21 MR. REGAN: So it's a huge berm. We don't want 22 to bore you with more detail than what you need, but it's 23 changed the whole complex of that area with this vertical 24 berm that's probably, what, half a mile by half a mile each

1 way? 2 MR. MARTIN: Uh-huh. 3 MR. REGAN: And it's clay; it's strictly clay. And that clay, whenever it moves, there's moisture. It 4 5 moves, and then it goes into tile. And we're not the only 6 ones affected. There have been other neighbors that have been involved. 7 8 And that's one of the reasons that -- when I was here in June, I saw this condition that Allen and Sam were 9 talking about. And we had corn planted, and I said, "Well, 10 I think we ought to get a backhoe in here and see where the 11 12 tile is that's stopped. And they didn't think that was a very wise 13 decision. I don't make very many wise decisions. But I 14 said, "Let's find out where the tile is." And we had to 15 16 knock some corn down, destroy some corn, in getting the end loader into the field. 17 18 And, sure enough, they did that. And that's 19 where they found the tile had been cut off. And that's the 20 reason we weren't getting drainage. So that's the reason we think that extension 21 shouldn't be granted because we're not the only ones 22 affected. There are other people affected, also. 23 24 So I don't know if you have any questions. But I

1 think Allen is saying, in summary, this has been a condition 2 that's gone on for at least three years. 3 MR. DECKER: Three crop years. MR. REGAN: Thank you very much. 4 5 THE HEARING OFFICER: Are there any questions for 6 any of the members of the public? 7 MR. SCHERSCHLIGT: No. Thank you. 8 MS. JOHNSON: No questions, your Honor. 9 THE HEARING OFFICER: Is there anyone else who wishes to speak? 10 Let's go off the record for a moment. 11 12 (Discussion off the record.) THE HEARING OFFICER: The members of the public 13 have requested a written public comment period. Because of 14 the current decision deadline and the fact that the 15 16 objection was received so late -- and we set a hearing as quickly as we could, but we are close to the Board's 17 18 decision deadline -- we have decided kind of amongst 19 ourselves that the public comment period will run until August 23 which is this Friday. And then ILI and the Agency 20 will have time until August 30 for any kind of response to 21 the public comment or any type of brief, if they wish to 22 file. If they don't wish to file anything, that's fine. 23 24 But that way it gives them a chance to do that.

MS. JOHNSON: Copies of the public comment will 1 2 be sent to us? 3 THE HEARING OFFICER: Copies are sent by the Board if anything is filed in writing. I am not going to 4 5 request that the Board reproduce these photographs unless 6 you feel that you --7 MS. JOHNSON: No. I mean of the comments made 8 after the hearing. 9 THE HEARING OFFICER: Yes. They will be sent to 10 you. I will let the Board know that they need to go out as quickly as possible since you only have a week. But we'll 11 12 do our best. They copy them and send them out usually the 13 same day that they get them. 14 MS. JOHNSON: Okay. Thank you. 15 THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Underhill? 16 MR. UNDERHILL: Should the public comment be sent to the Board or to your attention or --17 THE HEARING OFFICER: They need to be filed with 18 19 the Board. The Board usually -- I mean, you can send me a 20 copy, but the Board usually sends me a copy of public comments; so I would receive them. 21 22 And mailed is "filed" for the Board. So if you mail it on Friday, it counts, although I would encourage you 23 24 to get it in as quickly as possible because the other side

does need a chance to look at it and respond to it. 1 2 And we will get it out to you guys as quickly as 3 we can. If it looks like it's going to be cutting it close and it's something that's easily faxable, I will try and 4 5 take care of getting it faxed to you. We'll have to keep in 6 contact over the next couple weeks. Okay? 7 Is there anything else? 8 (No response.) 9 THE HEARING OFFICER: Then I will note for the 10 record that on August 30 the record will close, and that way 11 the Board will have a short time but will have time to make 12 its decision in this matter. Thank you all for coming. The hearing is 13 14 adjourned. 15 16 (Which were all of the proceedings 17 had in the above-entitled cause.) * * * * * * * * * * 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

STATE OF ILLINOIS)) COUNTY OF MCLEAN) I, Brenda L. Zeitler, CSR-RPR, do hereby state that I am a court reporter doing business in the City of Bloomington, County of McLean, and State of Illinois; that I reported by means of machine shorthand the proceedings held in the foregoing cause, and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of my shorthand notes so taken as aforesaid. Brenda L. Zeitler, CSR-RPR Illinois License No. 084-004062

L.A. REPORTING (312) 419-9292