RECEIVED CLERK'S OFFICE

### BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

APR 15 2005

STATE OF ILLINOIS Pollution Control Board

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS,

Complainant,

PCB 05-63

v.

(Enforcement - Air)

NORTH ROCKWELL, LLC, an Illinois limited liability company,

Respondent.

#### NOTICE OF FILING

#### TO: SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on April 15, 2005, the People of the State of Illinois filed with the Illinois Pollution Control Board a Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement and Motion for Relief from Hearing Requirement, true and correct copies of which are attached and hereby served upon you.

Respectfully submitted,

LISA MADIGAN Attorney General State of Illinois

MAC BY: JENNIFER A. TOMAS

ASSISTANT ALTOMAS Assistant Attorney General Environmental Bureau 188 W. Randolph Street, Suite 2001 Chicago, Illinois 60601 (312) 814-0609

### THIS FILING IS SUBMITTED ON RECYCLED PAPER

# SERVICE LIST

J. David Ballinger Horwood Marcus & Berk 180 N. LaSalle Street, Suite 3700 Chicago, Illinois 60601

Chris Pressnall Illinois Environmental Protection Agency 1021 North Grand Avenue East P.O. Box 19276 Springfield, Illinois 62794-9276

Bradley P. Halloran Hearing Officer Illinois Pollution Control Board James R. Thompson Center, Suite 11-500 100 W. Randolph Street Chicago, Illinois 60601

RECEIVED CLERK'S OFFICE

# BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS,

APR 15 2005

STATE OF ILLINOIS Pollution Control Board

Complainant,

PCB 05-63 (Enforcement - Air)

NORTH ROCKWELL, LLC, an Illinois limited liability company,

v.

Respondent.

#### MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM HEARING REQUIREMENT

NOW COMES Complainant, PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, by LISA MADIGAN, Attorney General of the State of Illinois, and pursuant to Section 31(c)(2) of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act ("Act"), 415 ILCS 5/31(c)(2)(2002), moves that the Illinois Pollution Control Board ("Board") grant the parties in the above-captioned matter relief from the hearing requirement imposed by Section 31(c)(1) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/31(c)(1)(2002). In support of this motion, Complainant states as follows:

 The Complaint in this matter alleges violations of Sections 9(a) and 9.1(d)(1) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/9(a) and 9.1(d)(1)(2002), Section 201.141 of the Board's Air Pollution Regulations, 35 Ill. Adm. Code 201.141, and Sections 61.145(a), 61.145(c)(1) and (6), and 61.145(b)(1) and (3) of the asbestos NESHAP, 40 C.F.R. 61.145(a), 61.145(c)(1) and (6), and 61.145(b)(1) and (3).

2. Complainant is filing this Motion and a Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement with the Board.

3. The parties have reached agreement on all outstanding issues in this matter.

4. This agreement is presented to the Board in a Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement filed this same date.

5. All parties agree that a hearing on the Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement is not necessary, and respectfully request relief from such a hearing as allowed by Section 31(c)(2) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/31(c)(2)(2002).

WHEREFORE, Complainant, PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, hereby requests that the Board grant this motion for relief from the hearing requirement set forth in Section 31(c)(1) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/31(c)(1)(2002).

Respectfully submitted,

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS

LISA MADIGAN Attorney General State of Illinois

mas BY: NIFER A. TOMAS

Assistant Attorney General Environmental Bureau 188 W. Randolph St., 20th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60601 (312) 814-0609

DATE: April 15, 2005.

# RECEIVED CLERK'S OFFICE

APR 15 2005

STATE OF ILLINOIS Pollution Control Board

# BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS,

2 4 1

Complainant,

PCB 05-63

v.

(Enforcement - Air)

NORTH ROCKWELL, LLC, an Illinois limited liability company,

Respondent.

#### STIPULATION AND PROPOSAL FOR SETTLEMENT

Complainant, PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, by LISA MADIGAN, Attorney General of the State of Illinois, the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency ("Illinois EPA"), and NORTH ROCKWELL, LLC, an Illinois limited liability company, ("Respondent"), have agreed to the making of this Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement ("Stipulation") and submit it to the Illinois Pollution Control Board ("Board") for approval. The parties agree that the statement of facts contained herein represents a fair summary of the evidence and testimony which would be introduced by the parties if a hearing were held. The parties further stipulate that this statement of facts is made and agreed upon for purposes of settlement only and that neither

the fact that a party has entered into this Stipulation, nor any of the facts stipulated herein, shall be introduced into evidence in any other proceeding regarding the claims asserted in the Complaint except as otherwise provided herein. If the Board approves and enters this Stipulation, Respondent agrees to be bound by the Stipulation and Board Order and not to contest their validity in any subsequent proceeding to implement or enforce their terms.

### I. JURISDICTION

The Board has jurisdiction of the subject matter herein and of the parties consenting hereto pursuant to the Illinois Environmental Protection Act ("Act"), 415 ILCS 5/1 et seq. (2002).

#### **II. AUTHORIZATION**

The undersigned representatives for each party certify that they are fully authorized by the party whom they represent to enter into the terms and conditions of this Stipulation and to legally bind them to it.

### III. STATEMENT OF FACTS

# A. Parties

1. On October 4, 2004, a Complaint was filed on behalf of the People of the State of Illinois by Lisa Madigan, Attorney General of the State of Illinois, on her own motion and upon the request of the Illinois EPA, pursuant to Section 31 of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/31(2002), against the Respondent.

2. The Illinois EPA is an administrative agency of the State of Illinois, created pursuant to Section 4 of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/4 (2002).

3. At all times relevant to the Complaint, Respondent was and is an Illinois limited liability company that is authorized to transact business in the State of Illinois.

# B. Site Description

 At all times relevant to the Complaint, Respondent owned a former print shop located at 2609 West Belmont, Chicago, Cook County, Illinois ("site").

2. On June 6, 2003, the City of Chicago, Department of the Environment ("DOE") observed three (3) 1.5 yard garbage dumpsters full of asbestos-containing thermal system insulation ("TSI") in a secured area at the Site.

3. On June 11, 2003, the Illinois EPA, pursuant to

information provided by the DOE, observed that the lock securing the garbage dumpster area had been cut and that only two (2) 1.5 yard garbage dumpsters of regulated asbestos-containing material ("RACM") remained at the site. The two (2) 1.5 yard dumpsters contained approximately 150 cubic feet of RACM.

4. On June 11, 2003, there was asbestos-containing TSI and aluminum sleeves that hold TSI along the east side of the building located at the site.

#### C. Allegations of Non-Compliance

Complainant contends that the Respondent has violated the following provisions of the Act and Board regulations:

- Count I: Air Pollution, in violation of Section 9(a) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/9(a)(2002), and Section 201.141 of the Board's Air Pollution Regulations, 35 Ill. Adm. Code 201.141.
- Count II: Violation of Asbestos Emission Control Procedures, in violation of Section 9.1(d)(1) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/9.1(d)(1)(2002), and Sections 61.145(a), 61.145(c)(1) and (6) of the asbestos NESHAP, 40 C.F.R. 61.145(a), 61.145(c)(1) and (6).
- Count III: Failure to Follow NESHAP Renovation Notification Requirement, in violation of Section 9.1(d)(1) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/9.1(d)(1)(2002), and Sections 61.145(b)(1) and (3) of the asbestos NESHAP, 40 C.F.R. 61.145(b)(1) and (3).

Count IV: Improper Disposal, in violation of Section 9.1(d)(1) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/9.1(d)(1)(2002), and Section 61.150(b)(1) of the asbestos NESHAP, 40 C.F.R. 61.150(b)(1).

# D. Admission of Violations

The Respondent admits to the violations alleged in the Complaint filed in this matter and referenced within Section III.C herein.

# IV. APPLICABILITY

This Stipulation shall apply to and be binding upon the Complainant and the Respondent, and any officer, director, agent, or employee of the Respondent, as well as any successors or assigns of the Respondent. The Respondent shall not raise as a defense to any enforcement action taken pursuant to this Stipulation the failure of any of its officers, directors, agents, employees or successors or assigns to take such action as shall be required to comply with the provisions of this Stipulation.

 No change in ownership or corporate status shall in any way alter the responsibilities of the Respondent under this Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement. In the event of any conveyance of title, easement or other interest in the site, the

Respondent shall continue to be bound by and remain liable for performance of all obligations under this Stipulation.

#### V. COMPLIANCE WITH OTHER LAWS AND REGULATIONS

This Stipulation in no way affects the responsibilities of the Respondent to comply with any other federal, state or local laws or regulations including, but not limited to, the Act and the Board regulations, 35 Ill. Adm. Code, Subtitles A through H.

### VI. IMPACT ON THE PUBLIC RESULTING FROM ALLEGED NON-COMPLIANCE

Section 33(c) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/33(c)(2002), provides as follows:

In making its orders and determinations, the Board shall take into consideration all the facts and circumstances bearing upon the reasonableness of the emissions, discharges, or deposits involved including, but not limited to:

- the character and degree of injury to, or interference with the protection of the health, general welfare and physical property of the people;
- the social and economic value of the pollution source;
- 3. the suitability or unsuitability of the pollution source to the area in which it is located, including the question of priority of location in the area involved;
- 4. the technical practicability and economic reasonableness of reducing or eliminating the

emissions, discharges or deposits resulting from such pollution source; and

5. any subsequent compliance.

In response to these factors, the parties state the following:

1. Human health and the environment were threatened and the Illinois EPA's information gathering responsibilities hindered by the Respondent's violations.

2. There is social and economic benefit to the facility.

3. Operation of the facility was suitable for the area in which it occurred.

4. Supplying the required information prior to renovation activities at the site and performing renovation activities in compliance with the Act, regulations, Clean Air Act and asbestos NESHAP is both technically practicable and economically reasonable.

5. Respondent has subsequently complied with the Act and the Board Regulations.

# VII. CONSIDERATION OF SECTION 42(h) FACTORS

Section 42(h) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/42(h)(2002), provides as follows:

In determining the appropriate civil penalty to be imposed under . . . this Section, the Board is

authorized to consider any matters of record in mitigation or aggravation of penalty, including but not limited to the following factors:

- 1. the duration and gravity of the violation;
- 2. the presence or absence of due diligence on the part of the respondent in attempting to comply with requirements of this Act and regulations thereunder or to secure relief therefrom as provided by this Act;
- 3. any economic benefits accrued by the respondent because of delay in compliance with requirements, in which case the economic benefits shall be determined by the lowest cost alternative for achieving compliance;
- 4. the amount of monetary penalty which will serve to deter further violations by the respondent and to otherwise aid in enhancing voluntary compliance with this Act by the respondent and other persons similarly subject to the Act;
- 5. the number, proximity in time, and gravity of previously adjudicated violations of this Act by the respondent;
- whether the respondent voluntarily selfdisclosed, in accordance with subsection i of this Section, the non-compliance to the Agency; and
- 7. whether the respondent has agreed to undertake a "supplemental environmental project," which means an environmentally beneficial project that a respondent agrees to undertake in settlement of an enforcement action brought under this Act, but which the respondent is not otherwise legally required to perform.

In response to these factors, the parties state as follows:

1. The Respondent failed to supply the required information prior to renovation activities at the site, and violated the Act, Board regulations, Clean Air Act and asbestos NESHAP. The gravity of the violations is severe because of the fact that a significant amount of RACM was disturbed during the renovation exposing any and all workers to carcinogenic asbestos fibers. The RACM was observed throughout the site and in unlined dumpsters outside of the facility. Furthermore, someone removed a significant amount of RACM after the DOE had identified a problem.

2. Respondent did not act diligently by failing to adhere to any of the asbestos NESHAP requirements. However, once apprised of the situation, the Respondent promptly remediated the site.

3. Respondent's economic benefit from noncompliance was nominal and the penalty obtained includes any economic benefit received.

4. Complainant has determined, based upon the specific facts of this matter, that a penalty of thirty-seven thousand dollars (\$37,000.00) will serve to deter further violations and aid in future voluntary compliance with the Act and Board regulations.

5. To Complainant's knowledge, Respondent has no previously adjudicated violations of the Act.

6. The Respondent did not self-disclose.

7. The settlement of this matter does not include a supplemental environmental project.

#### VIII. TERMS OF SETTLEMENT

### A. Penalty Payment

1. The Respondent shall pay a civil penalty in the sum of Thirty-Seven Thousand Dollars (\$37,000.00) within thirty (30) days from the date the Board adopts and accepts this Stipulation. The Respondent stipulates that payment has been tendered to Respondent's attorney of record in this matter in a form acceptable to that attorney. Further, Respondent stipulates that said attorney has been directed to make the penalty payment on behalf of Respondent, within thirty (30) days from the date the Board adopts and accepts this Stipulation, in a manner prescribed below. The penalty described in this Stipulation shall be paid by certified check, money order or electronic funds transfer payable to the Illinois EPA, designated to the Illinois Environmental Protection Trust Fund and submitted to:

Illinois Environmental Protection Agency Fiscal Services Section 1021 North Grand Avenue East P.O. Box 19276 Springfield, IL 62794-9276

The name and number of the case and Respondent's Federal Employer Identification Number (FEIN), 36-4494807, shall appear on the check. If submitting an electronic funds transfer to the Illinois EPA, the electronic funds transfer shall be made in accordance with specific instructions to be timely provided to Defendant prior to the date of the entry of the Consent Order. A copy of the certified check, money order or record of electronic funds transfer and any transmittal letter shall be sent to the following:

> Jennifer A. Tomas Assistant Attorney General Environmental Bureau 188 W. Randolph St., 20<sup>th</sup> Floor Chicago, Illinois 60601

Chris Pressnall Assistant Counsel Illinois Environmental Protection Agency 1021 North Grand Avenue East P.O. Box 19276 Springfield, Illinois 62794-9276

2. Pursuant to Section 42(g) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/42(g) (2002), interest shall accrue on any payment not paid within the time period prescribed above at the maximum rate allowable under Section 1003(a) of the Illinois Income Tax Act, 35 ILCS 5/1003 (2002). Interest on any unpaid payment shall begin to accrue from the date the payment is due and continue to accrue until the date payment is received. When partial payment(s) are made, such partial payment shall be first applied to any interest on unpaid payment then due and owing. All interest on payment owed shall be paid by certified check, money order or electronic funds transfer, payable to the Illinois EPA, designated to the Illinois Environmental Protection Trust Fund and delivered to the address and in the manner described above.

3. For purposes of payment and collection, Respondent may be reached at the following address:

North Rockwell, LLC c/o Gary L. Plotnick, Agent 222 North LaSalle Street, #1910 Chicago, Illinois 60601

4. In the event of default of this Section VIII.A, the Complainant shall be entitled to all available relief including, but not limited to, reasonable costs of collection and reasonable attorney's fees.

B. Future Use

Notwithstanding any other language in this Stipulation to the contrary, and in consideration of the mutual promises and conditions contained in this Stipulation, including the Release

from Liability contained in Section VIII.D, below, the Respondent hereby agrees that this Stipulation may be used against the Respondent in any subsequent enforcement action or permit proceeding as proof of a past adjudication of violation of the Act and the Board Regulations promulgated thereunder for all violations alleged in the Complaint in this matter, for purposes of Section 39(a) and (i) and/or 42(h) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/39(a) and(i) and/or 5/42(h)(2002). Further, Respondent agrees to waive any rights to contest, in any subsequent enforcement action or permit proceeding, any allegations that these alleged violations were adjudicated.

# C. Cease and Desist

The Respondent shall cease and desist from future violations of the Act and Board Regulations that were the subject matter of the Complaint as outlined in Section III.C ("Allegations of Non-Compliance") of this Stipulation.

# D. Release from Liability

In consideration of the Respondent's payment of the \$37,000.00 penalty and any specified costs and accrued interest, to Cease and Desist as contained in Section VIII.C and upon the Pollution Control Board's acceptance and approval of the terms of this Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement, the Complainant releases, waives and discharges the Respondent from any further liability or penalties for violations of the Act and Board Regulations that were the subject matter of the Complaint herein. The release set forth above does not extend to any matters other than those expressly specified in Complainant's Complaint filed on October 4, 2004. The Complainant reserves, and this Stipulation is without prejudice to, all rights of the State of Illinois against the Respondent with respect to all other matters, including but not limited to, the following:

a. criminal liability;

b. liability for future violation of state, federal,local, and common laws and/or regulations;

c. liability for natural resources damage arising out of the alleged violations; and

d. liability or claims based on the Respondent's failure to satisfy the requirements of this Stipulation.

Nothing in this Stipulation is intended as a waiver, discharge, release, or covenant not to sue for any claim or cause of action, administrative or judicial, civil or criminal, past or future, in law or in equity, which the State of Illinois or the Illinois EPA may have against any person, as defined by

Section 3.315 of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/3.315, or entity other than the Respondent.

### E. Right of Entry

In addition to any other authority, the Illinois EPA, its employees and representatives, and the Attorney General, her agents and representatives, shall have the right of entry into and upon the Respondent's site which is the subject of this Stipulation, at all reasonable times for the purposes of carrying out inspections. In conducting such inspections, the Illinois EPA, its employees and representatives, and the Attorney General, her employees and representatives may take photographs, samples, and collect information, as they deem necessary.

# F. Correspondence, Reports and Other Documents

Any and all correspondence, reports and any other documents required under this Stipulation, except for payments pursuant to Section VIII.A ("Penalty Payment") of this Stipulation, shall be submitted as follows:

### As to the Complainant

Jennifer A. Tomas Assistant Attorney General Environmental Bureau 188 W. Randolph St., 20<sup>th</sup> Floor Chicago, Illinois 60601

Chris Pressnall Assistant Counsel Illinois EPA 1021 North Grand Avenue East P.O. Box 19276 Springfield, Illinois 62794-9276

# As to the Respondent

North Rockwell, LLC c/o Gary L. Plotnick, Agent 222 North LaSalle Street, #1910 Chicago, Illinois 60601

David Ballinger Horwood, Marcus & Berk 180 North LaSalle Street, Suite 3700 Chicago, Illinois 60601

# G. Modification of Stipulation

The parties may, by mutual written consent, agree to modify the terms of this Stipulation. A request for any modification shall be made in writing and submitted to the contact persons identified in Section VIII.F. Any such request shall be made by separate document, and shall not be submitted within any other report or submittal required by this Stipulation. Any such agreed modification shall be in writing, signed by authorized representatives of each party, and then accompany a joint motion to the Illinois Pollution Control Board seeking a modification

of the prior order approving and accepting the Stipulation to approve and accept the Stipulation as amended.

# H. Enforcement of Board Order

1. Upon the entry of the Board's Order approving and accepting this Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement, that Order is a binding and enforceable order of the Illinois Pollution Control Board and may be enforced as such through any and all available means.

2. Respondent agrees that notice of any subsequent proceeding to enforce the Board Order approving and accepting this Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement may be made by mail and waives any requirement of service of process.

3. The parties agree that, if the Board does not approve and accept this Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement, then neither party is bound by the terms herein.

4. It is the intent of the Complainant and Respondent that the provisions of this Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement and any Board Order accepting and approving such shall be severable, and should any provision be declared by a court of competent jurisdiction to be inconsistent with state or federal law, and therefore unenforceable, the remaining clauses shall remain in full force and effect.

WHEREFORE, Complainant and Respondent request that the Board adopt and accept the foregoing Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement as written.

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS,

LISA MADIGAN Attorney General State of Illinois

MATTHEW J. DUNN, Chief Environmental Enforcement/ Asbestos Litigation Division

BY: ROSEMARTE Chief ZEAU

Environmental Bureau Assistant Attorney General

ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

BY:

DATE: Feb 8. 2005

DATE:

WILLIAM D. INGERSOLL ' Acting Chief Legal Counsel

NORTH ROCKWELL, LLC

BY: Name: Title: 18

05 DATE:

# CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, JENNIFER A. TOMAS, an Assistant Attorney General, certify that on the 15<sup>th</sup> day of April 2005, I caused to be served by First Class Mail the foregoing Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement and Motion for Relief from Hearing Requirement to the parties named on the attached Service List, by depositing same in postage prepaid envelopes with the United States Postal Service located at 100 West Randolph Street, Chicago, Illinois 60601.

Imas