

BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

' JUL 2 1 2004

PETITION BY HAYDEN WRECKING CORPORATION FOR AN ADJUSTED STANDARD FROM 35 ILL. ADM. CODE § 620.410(a)

)))

)

STATE OF ILLINOIS Pollution Control Board

Docket No.: AS 04-003 (Adjusted Standard)

NOTICE OF FILING

 TO: Dorothy M. Gunn, Clerk Illinois Pollution Control Board James R. Thompson Center 100 West Randolph Street Suite 11-500 Chicago, Illinois 60601 Kyle Nash Davis, Esq. Assistant Counsel Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, Division of Legal Counsel 1021 North Grand Avenue East PO Box 1976 Springfield, Illinois 62794-9276

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that I have today filed with the Office of the Clerk of the

Illinois Pollution Control Board the Amended Petition of Hayden Wrecking Corporation for

Adjusted Standard from 35 Ill. Adm. Code 620.410(a), copies of which are herewith served upon

you.

Dated: July 19, 2004

GREENSFELDER, HEMKER, & GALE, P.C.

By

Donald E. Weihl (# 2960672) Christina L. Archer (# 6215708) Anna Chesser Smith (# 6279428) 10 South Broadway, Suite 2000 St. Louis, Missouri 63102 Phone: (314) 241-9090 Fax: (314) 241-4245

Attorneys for Hayden Wrecking Corp.

RECEIVED

BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

PETITION BY HAYDEN WRECKING CORPORATION FOR AN ADJUSTED STANDARD FROM 35 ILL. ADM. CODE § 620.410(a) JUL 2 1 2004 STATE OF ILLINOIS Docket No.: AS 04-3

AMENDED PETITION FOR ADJUSTED STANDARD

)

)

)

Ì

COMES NOW, Hayden Wrecking Corporation ("Hayden"), through its undersigned counsel, and pursuant to Section 28.1 of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act (the "Act"), 415 ILCS 5/28.1, and 35 III. Adm. Code § 104.406, amends its Petition to the Illinois Pollution Control Board (the "Board") for an Adjusted Standard from the Class I groundwater quality standards for four inorganic chemicals codified at 35 Ill. Adm. Code § 620.410(a). This Amended Petition is being submitted in response to the Board's June 4, 2004 Order and addresses additional information that has become available since Hayden filed its initial Petition for Adjusted Standard. Incorporated by reference to this Amended Petition is Hayden's Petition for Adjusted Standard, filed on April 27, 2004, and its Response to the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency's ("IEPA") Recommendation to Petition for Adjusted Standard, filed on June 3, 2004.¹

A. Board's June 3, 2004 Order

In its June 3, 2004 Order, the Board requests that Hayden provide additional information on six categories in support of its Petition for Adjusted Standard: (1) off-site environmental impacts; (2) downgradient properties and anticipated groundwater uses; (3) location of potable/public water supply wells; (4) site map; (5)

¹ It should be noted that the Board's Order was issued on the same day that Hayden filed its Response to IEPA Recommendation. While the Board's Order does raise several issues different from what was contained in Hayden's Response, Hayden's Response does address some of the informational deficiencies cited by the Board, especially regarding costs of compliance and compliance alternatives.

groundwater monitoring information; and (6) compliance costs/compliance alternatives. This Amended Petition addresses those six categories as follows.

1. Off-Site Environmental Impact - 35 Ill. Adm. Code 104.406(g)

The Board requests additional information from Hayden regarding the offsite environmental impacts of arsenic, iron, lead, and manganese pursuant to 35 Ill. Adm. Code 104.406(g). It is important to note that the increased levels of these four inorganic constituents do not originate from Hayden's property, and the levels found on Hayden's property are also off-site impacts from the originating source.

Hayden's consultant, Environmental Operations, Inc. ("EOI"), has modeled the potential off-site impacts of arsenic, iron, lead and manganese based on groundwater flow towards the southwest. Based on the modeling runs, there are no offsite environmental impacts from arsenic. Iron, lead and manganese show only slight offsite impacts that do not extend further south or southwest than the highway right-of-way for Illinois State Route 203 and/or the Interstate 55/70 interchange. The results of the modeling runs are attached hereto as Attachment 1 to **Exhibit 1**, EOI's Report dated July 16, 2004.

EOI's modeling runs were based on very conservative transport calculations. The modeling runs do not take into account retardation, degradation or attenuation factors. Regardless, the only potential off-site impacts appear on property south and southwest of Hayden's property that is unlikely to be developed because of the presence of the highways.

2

726913

2. Downgradient Properties and Anticipated Groundwater Uses – 35 Ill. Adm. Code 104.406(d)

As stated above, the groundwater flow in the vicinity of Hayden's site is towards the southwest. Off-site properties downgradient of Hayden's site include the lower southeast corner of property owned by Gateway Midstate Truck Plaza, the stateowned rights-of-way for State Route 203 and for Interstate 55-70, and the Gateway International Raceway property. See Figure 1, Site Location and Surrounding Properties Map, from EOI's Report, attached hereto as **Exhibit 1**.

The portion of the Gateway Midstate Truck Plaza downgradient from Hayden's property is used for a parking lot, therefore there are no existing or anticipated uses of groundwater at that property. There are no existing or anticipated uses of groundwater from the state-owned rights-of-way for State Route 203 and for Interstate 55-70 directly south and southwest of Hayden's property. The Gateway International Raceway Property, west of State Route 203, is more than 1000 ft downgradient of the Hayden's site. Properties south of Interstate 55-70 are also more than 1000 ft downgradient of the site. The modeling runs conducted by EOI demonstrate that all four inorganic constituents met the groundwater quality standards within 616 feet of Hayden's site boundaries to the south and southwest. Therefore, no properties west of Rt. 203 or south of Interstate 55-70 are impacted.

3. Location of Potable/Public Water Supply Wells

The Board requests that Hayden clarify whether there are any potable or public water supply wells within 2500 feet of the site. Hayden notes that Exhibit C to its initial Petition for Adjusted Standard contained an Illinois Water Well Report prepared by Environmental Data Resources, Inc. ("EDR"), which provided this information.

3

Hayden is also re-providing this information in Attachment 2 of **Exhibit 1**. Attachment 2 also provides the results of an Illinois State Geological Survey search. Both the EDR Illinois Water Well Report and Illinois State Geological Survey search indicate that there are no potable or public water supply wells located within 2500 feet of Hayden's site.

For further clarification, Figure 4 to **Exhibit 1** identifies all wells within a 2500 foot radius of Hayden's site. The only wells within 2500 feet of Hayden's property are monitoring wells, which are all upgradient. There are no potable or public water supply wells identified on any downgradient properties within 2500 feet that may be affected based on the modeling runs discussed in Section A.1 above.

4. <u>Site Map – 35 Ill. Adm. Code 104.406(d)</u>

The Board requests that Hayden provide a site map clearly identifying all water wells within 2500 feet of the site, all groundwater monitoring wells, the Milam Landfill, Gateway International Raceway, and all relevant downgradient properties. Figure 1 to **Exhibit 1** identifies the location of Hayden's site, the Milam Landfill, the Gateway Midwest Truck Plaza, the Gateway International Raceway, and the State Route 203 and Interstate 55/70 interchanges. As stated above, only the Gateway Midwest Truck Plaza and the State Route 203 and Interstate 55/70 interchanges can be considered relevant downgradient properties, and their lack of impacts was stated in Section A.1. above. Figure 4 to **Exhibit 1** identifies all water wells within 2500 feet of the site and all groundwater monitoring wells. As stated in Section A.3 above, there are no potable or public water supply wells within 2500 feet of the site.

5. <u>Groundwater Monitoring Information – 35 Ill. Adm. Code 104.406(d)</u>

The Board requests that Hayden clarify whether Hayden monitored the groundwater at the site before 1991 or after 2001. Hayden has not monitored the

726913

4

groundwater before 1991 or after 2001. All available groundwater monitoring results were included in Exhibit C to Hayden's initial Petition for Adjusted Standard.

6. <u>Compliance Costs/Compliance Alternatives – 35 Ill. Adm. Code</u> 104.406(e)

The Board requests additional information on the efforts and corresponding costs that would be necessary to comply with the regulation of general applicability and any compliance alternatives. As stated throughout Hayden's initial Petition for Adjusted Standard, Hayden's Response to the IEPA's Recommendation, and this Amended Petition, Hayden is not the source of the groundwater exceeding the Class I groundwater quality standards contained in 35 Ill. Adm. Code 620.410(a). The groundwater is emanating from an off-site, upgradient source. Therefore it is extremely difficult for Hayden to attempt to quantify what would be necessary for Hayden to comply with 35 Ill. Adm. Code 620.410(a) unless and until the source of the groundwater is addressed.² The IEPA also recognized this and stated in its Recommendation that the lack of cost information did not affect its decision to recommend that the Board grant the adjusted standard.

Hayden's consultant, EOI, has identified two other possible options to comply with 35 Ill. Adm. Code 620.410(a). One option is to install a hydraulic barrier either upgradient of the Hayden site or around the entire Hayden site, and the other option is to pump and treat the groundwater to meet the Class I groundwater quality standards. EOI states that the hydraulic barrier is technically impractical because the location of the

² Hayden's Response to IEPA Recommendation also details other compliance options which include a judicial/administrative action either by Hayden or the state or federal governments, which are all unquantifiable, speculative, resource intensive and cost prohibitive. It is also unknown whether the source of the groundwater will be able to meet the standards through remediation of its on and off-site groundwater.

site in the Mississippi Bottoms area and the stratigraphy of the area consists of very sandy soils. EOI estimates that a barrier would have to be constructed at least 80 to 100 feet deep to effectively control groundwater flow in such sandy soils and that such a barrier within sandy soils and to that depth is not feasible and/or would be prohibitively expensive. Finally, EOI states that a hydraulic barrier may minimize any potential groundwater impacts directly downgradient of the Hayden site, but would have no impact on the upgradient sources or contaminants.

The pump and treat option is also prohibitively expensive. EOI estimates that the capital costs involved in designing and installing a pump and treat system would be approximately \$330,000 and the annual operation and maintenance costs would be approximately \$225,000/year. EOI estimates operation and maintenance costs for 15 years, for an estimated total of just over \$3.5 million. Because the source(s) of the inorganic constituents in the groundwater are located off-site, remediation of impacted groundwater on Hayden's site via a pump and treat system would not address the off-site source. Impacted groundwater would be continually renewed from the off-site source(s), requiring that remedial efforts be continual without prospect of completion.

Although groundwater pump and treat systems vary in the length of time they are needed to fully remediate a site, there is no guarantee when treatment could stop at the Hayden site. Annual costs for such a pump and treat system exceed the worth of the property, which is \$475,000 in the proposed sale to Gateway (see Exhibit A to Hayden's initial Petition for Adjusted Standard), with no guarantee of remediation. Additionally, any groundwater pump and treat system could disrupt the transportation and parking structure of the Raceway and potentially result in lost revenues for St. Clair

6

THIS FILING SUBMITTED ON RECYCLED PAPER

726913

County. Therefore, it is cost prohibitive and technically infeasible to comply with 35 Ill. Adm. Code 620.410.

B. Additional Information – Proposed Adjusted Standard – 35 Ill. Adm. Code 104.406(f)

When Hayden filed its initial Petition for Adjusted Standard and Response to IEPA Recommendation, Hayden believed that the eight monitoring wells were installed on Hayden's property (MW 1-8). Of those 8 monitoring wells, MW-5, MW-6 and MW-7 were believed to be located on the southern edge of Hayden's property boundary. See, e.g., Exhibit D to Hayden's initial Petition for Adjusted Standard, Site Plan from SCI. However, in preparing its report for this Amended Petition, EOI noticed that the site boundaries as delineated by SCI were actually the highway right-of-way south and parallel to the southern property boundary along a fence line. A revised SCI site boundary map is being provided as **Exhibit 2** which shows Hayden's correct site boundary and the right-of-way fence line.

This information only affects one portion of Hayden's request for adjusted standard. Hayden requested the alternate, adjusted levels for arsenic, iron, lead and manganese based upon the highest concentrations for each inorganic constituent previously found at Hayden's site. The requested alternate, adjusted levels for iron and manganese were previously found at MW 5-7, which Hayden now believes are off-site wells. Therefore, based on the highest concentrations of iron and manganese previously found on-site in MW 1-4 and MW 6-8, Hayden requests the following adjusted standard:

620.410 Groundwater Quality Standards for Class I: Potable Resource Groundwater

a) Inorganic Chemical Constituents: Except due to natural causes or as provided in Section 620.450, concentrations of the following chemical constituents must not be exceeded in Class I groundwater (those limitations marked with an * shall apply only

7

to Hayden Wrecking Corporation's former landfill sites located near the intersection of Illinois Route 203 and Interstate 55/70 in Madison, St. Clair County, Illinois):

Constituent	Units	Standard
Antimony	mg/L	0.006
Arsenic	mg/L	0.05 (* 0.082)
Barium	mg/L	2.0
Beryllium	mg/L	0.004
Boron	mg/L	2.0
Cadmium	mg/L	0.005
Chloride	mg/L	200.0
Chromium	mg/L	0.1
Cobalt	mg/L	1.0
Copper	mg/L	0.65
Cyanide	mg/L	0.2
Fluoride	mg/L	4.0
Iron	mg/L	5.0 (* 735)(* 373) ³
Lead	mg/L	0.0075 (* 0.220)
Manganese	mg/L	0.15 (* 24.2)(* 9.12) ³
Mercury	mg/L	0.002
Nickel	mg/L	0.1
Nitrate as N	mg/L	10.0

³ Those limits marked with a strike-through represent the limits Hayden previously requested for iron and manganese in Exhibit G to its initial Petition for Adjusted Standard and in its Response to IEPA Recommendation. Hayden hereby requests that those portions of the pleadings be superceded by the limits marked with an * herein.

Radium-226	pCi/l	20.0
Radium-228	pCi/l	20.0
Selenium	mg/L	0.05
Silver	mg/L	0.05
Sulfate	mg/L	400.0
Thallium	mg/L	0.002
Total Dissolved		
Solids (TDS)	mg/L	1,200
Zinc	mg/L	5.0

C. Hearing Waiver

Pursuant to 35 Ill. Adm. Code § 104.422(a)(1), Hayden reserves its right to request a hearing at this time. Additionally, Hayden is prepared to participate in a hearing if the Board determines one is necessary pursuant to 35 Ill. Adm. Code § 104.422(a)(3).

D. Conclusion

The Class I groundwater quality standards for arsenic, iron, lead, and manganese should be adjusted for Hayden because those constituents detected in Hayden's groundwater are emanating from an upgradient, off-site source. Even if Hayden remediates its onsite groundwater, groundwater with levels of those inorganics in excess of the Class I standards will continue to flow beneath its property from the off-site source. There are no downgradient off-site impacts that would affect any potable or public water supply wells, and any costs of attempting to comply with 35 Ill. Adm. Code 620.410(a) or any other compliance alternatives, other than the requested adjusted standard, are cost-prohibitive and technically-infeasible.

9

726913

WHEREFORE, Hayden prays that the Board grant its Amended Petition

for Adjusted Standard from 35 Ill. Adm. Code § 620.410(a).

Dated: July 19, 2004

Respectfully submitted,

GREENSFELDER, HEMKER, & GALE, P.C.

By

Donald E. Weihl (# 2960672) Christina L. Archer (# 6215708) Anna C. Smith (# 6279428) 10 South Broadway, Suite 2000 St. Louis, Missouri 63102 Phone: (314) 241-9090 Fax: (314) 241-4245

Attorneys for Hayden Wrecking Corp.

10

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that a copy of Hayden's Amended Petition for Adjusted Standard was deposited in an envelope with postage fully prepaid, and that said envelope was deposited in a U.S. Post Office mailbox in St. Louis, Missouri, on the 19th day of July, 2004, addressed to the following persons:

Dorothy M. Gunn, Clerk Illinois Pollution Control Board James R. Thompson Center 100 West Randolph Street Suite 11-500 Chicago, Illinois 60601 Kyle Nash Davis, Esq. Assistant Counsel Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, Division of Legal Counsel 1021 North Grand Avenue East PO Box 1976 Springfield, Illinois 62794 9276

Christino 2. anter

726913

Exhibit 1

.



July 16, 2004

Ms. Anna Chesser Smith Greensfelder, Hemker & Gale 2000 Equitable Building 10 South Broadway St. Louis, MO 63102-1774

Subject: Additional Information Requested by IPCB In Support of Petition for Adjusted Standard Hayden Landfill Hayden Wrecking Corp. Madison, IL

Dear Ms. Smith:

At your request, Environmental Operations, Inc. (EOI) is providing the attached additional information requested by the Illinois Pollution Control Board in support of your petition for an adjusted groundwater standard.

If you have any questions or require additional information, please contact me at (314) 241-0900.

Sincerely, Environmental Operations, Inc.

Fill A Witto

Jill A. Witts Senior Project Manger

Environmental Consulting & Remediation

757 South Second Street • Saint Louis, Missouri 63102-1617 • 314-241-0900 • 314-436-2900 Fax

www.environmentalops.com





HAYDEN LANDFILL ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REQUESTED BY THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD IN SUPPORT OF GROUNDWATER ADJUSTED STANDARD PETITION

The Board found that the petition did not fully satisfy informational requirements contained in Section 28.1(c) of the Act (415 ILCS 5/28.1(c) (2002)) and the Board's rules at 35 III. Adm. Code 104.406. The following information is provided to address the Board's findings, repeated below:

1. Under Section 28.1(c)(3) of the Act (415 ILCS 28.1(c)(3) (2002)) and 35 III. Adm. Code 104.406(g), the petition does not address the off-site environmental impact of migration from the Hayden site of groundwater with levels of certain inorganic contaminants above the Class I groundwater standards.

The following constituents of interest (COI) were identified as exceeding Tier 1 Class I groundwater remedial objectives (ROs) in the 2001 monitoring event: arsenic, iron, lead, and manganese. In order to address the off-site environmental impact from the Hayden site of groundwater migration with levels of COI above the Class I groundwater standards, simulations of downgradient concentrations of the COI were calculated using the TACO Plus! Software package (ATR, 2001) and Equation R-26, pursuant to 35 IAC 742.810. R-26 provides a very conservative solution for groundwater transport of these inorganic COI. The simulations are considered conservative because the model does not include retardation, degradation or attenuation factors. Modeling input parameters including applicable calculated and default site-specific input parameters are summarized below:

Monitoring Well	Hydraulic Gradient (ft/ft)	Distance to Compliance Point (m)	Source Width (S _w) (m)
MW-1	88000.0	115.4	77.5
MW-2	0.00086	198.0	107.5
MW-3	0.00124	257.9	65.5
MW-4	0.00090	170.1	49.8
MW-5	0.00064	100	68.0
MW-6	0.00097	100	68.9
MW-7	0.00097	100	96.6
MW-8	0.00076	100	29.9

Site-Specific Information for R-26 Modeling at Hayden Landfill:

Hydraulic gradient is based on a groundwater elevation change from the monitoring well to the compliance point or southern-most elevation line along the path of groundwater flow as shown in Figure 2. The compliance point is the site boundary for upgradient monitoring wells. For downgradient wells, the compliance point was arbitrarily set at 100 m for the purposes of running the model. Source width (S_w) is conservatively set to reflect the midpoint of the distance between each monitoring well and the adjacent well or site boundary perpendicular to groundwater flow.

Environmental Consulting & Remediation

757 South Second Street • Saint Louis, Missouri 63102–1617 • 314–241–0900 • 314–436–2900 Fax

www.environmentalops.com



In that way, each well represents a portion of the site's groundwater that it could be expected to monitor, and the groundwater from the entire site and downgradient of the site is represented. For wells on the eastern and western edges, the S_w for the outer half (where there is no adjacent well) was set equal to the S_w for the inner half as described above. Gradients, distances to the compliance point, and S_w are shown above and on Figure 2.

The soil type is sand. The hydraulic conductivity (K) is based on the published general hydraulic conductivity for fine-grained sandy soils (Freeze and Cherry, 1979). The direction of groundwater flow is generally to the southwest. The model default natural organic carbon fraction (f_{oc}) values for surface and subsurface soils were used (6,000 and 2,000 mg/kg, respectively).

Results of the modeling are presented below and in Attachment 1. Arsenic, found in the upgradient wells only in 2001, met the Tier 1 RO within the distance to the compliance point (site boundary). Other COI in the upgradient wells also met the Tier 1 ROs within the distance to the compliance point, except for manganese. For wells already at the downgradient site boundary, the greatest migration distance was for manganese from MW-8, 187.75 m. The simulated migration plumes for manganese in groundwater from downgradient wells are less than the manganese plumes shown; therefore only manganese is shown on Figure 3. Based on these migration distances, impacted groundwater has potentially migrated onto the adjacent properties south and southwest of the site. COI potentially exceeding ROs on the downgradient properties include iron, lead, and manganese.

Groundwater COI	Tier 1 RO (mg/L)	Concentration in 2001 (mg/L) Exceeding Tier 1 RO	Tier 1 RO Compliance Distance from Source (meters)	In Compliance at Site Boundary?	Predicted Concentration at Compliance Point (Site Boundary) (mg/L)
UPGRADIENT WELLS					<u>(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</u>
MW-1					
Iron	5.0	28	82.42	YES	3.11
Lead	0.0075	0.014	27.33	YES	0.00155
Manganese	0.15	3.4	198.63	NO	0.377
MW-2					
Arsenic	0.05	0.082	23.28	YES	0.00462
Iron	5.0	54	145.57	YES	3.05
Lead	0.0075	0.0099	17.11	YES	0.000558
Manganese	0.15	3.4	229.57	NO	0.192
MW-3					
Iron	5.0	7.7	21.43	YES	0.180
Manganese	0.15	2.2	144.58	YES	0.0513
MW-4					
Iron	5.0	7.1	19.13	YËS	0.285
Manganese	0.15	6.9	234.22	NO	0.277
DOWNGRADIENT WELLS					
MW-5					
Manganese	0.15	0.640	64,29	*	0.0824
MW-6					
Iron	5.0	13	39.77	*	1.69
Lead	0.0075	0.015	29.65	1	0.00194
Manganese	0.15	1.7	127.15	*	0.220
MW-7					
Manganese	0.15	2.1	165.37	*	0.312
MW-8					
Iron	5.0	10	28.25	*	0.693
Lead	0.0075	0.014	26.40	*	0.000971
Manganese	0.15	7.3	187.75	*	0.506

* - Not applicable –compliance point is site boundary. Predicted concentration for downgradient wells is at 100 m downgradient.

Based on the modeling results presented above, the offsite environmental impact is summarized as follows:

- Arsenic Arsenic was only detected at concentrations exceeding the Class I RO in upgradient monitoring well MW-2 in 2001. Modeling results showed that the arsenic concentration met the Class I RO at a distance of 23.28 m, well within the distance to the site boundary along the downgradient flow line (198 m). Therefore, arsenic does not have any environmental impact on off-site properties based on these modeling results.
- Iron Iron was detected at concentrations exceeding the Class I RO in upgradient monitoring wells MW-1, MW-2, MW-3 and MW-4, and in downgradient wells MW-6 and MW-8 in 2001. The greatest concentrations were detected in upgradient wells MW-1 and MW-2. Groundwater migration simulations indicated that the Class I groundwater RO was met for all upgradient monitoring wells at distances considerably less than the distance to the point of compliance. For downgradient well MW-6, the iron concentration met the Class I RO within 39.77 m. Therefore, the Class I RO for iron is potentially exceeded in groundwater beneath the property south of the site, on which an Interstate 55-70 interchange is located. For well MW-8 at the

western property boundary, the iron concentration met the Class I RO within 28.25 m. Therefore, the Class I RO for iron is potentially exceeded in groundwater beneath the adjacent property to the west, and the highway right-of-way for Illinois State Route 203.

- Lead Lead was detected at concentrations exceeding the Class I RO in upgradient monitoring wells MW-1 and MW-2, and downgradient wells MW-6 and MW-8. Groundwater migration simulations indicated that the Class I groundwater RO for lead was met for all upgradient monitoring wells at distances considerably less than the distance to the point of compliance. For downgradient well MW-6, the lead concentration met the Class I RO within 29.65 m. Therefore, the Class I RO for lead is potentially exceeded in groundwater beneath the property south of the site, on which an Interstate 55-70 interchange is located. For well MW-8 at the western property boundary, the lead concentration met the Class I RO within 29.65 m. Therefore, the Class I RO for lead is potentially exceeded in groundwater beneath the adjacent property to the west, and the highway right-of-way for Rt. 203.
- Manganese Manganese concentrations exceeded the Class I RO in all monitoring wells at the site. Predicted manganese concentrations exceeded the Class I RO at the compliance point from all wells except MW-3. Therefore, the Class I RO for manganese is potentially exceeded in groundwater beneath the properties west, south and southwest of the site. The simulated plume that extends farthest off site is from MW-8, 187.75 m (616 ft). This distance is still within the state and interstate highway rights-of-way; therefore, the Class I RO for manganese is potentially exceeded in groundwater property to the west, and the highway rights-of-way for Rt. 203 and Interstate 55-70. No other offsite properties are potentially impacted, based on the results of the modeling.
- No surface water receptors or natural areas were identified at the offsite properties downgradient of the site within the area of potential impact.

2. Under 35 III. Adm. Code 104.406(d), the petition does not address off-site properties downgradient from the Hayden site or any existing or anticipated uses of the groundwater from those properties.

Off-site properties downgradient from the Hayden site include the following properties: to the west, Parcel ID # 02-06.0-400-003, owned by Gateway Midstate Truck Plaza; and the state-owned rights-of-way for State Route 203 and for Interstate 55-70 (Figure 1). The Gateway International Raceway property, west of Rt. 203, is more than 1000 ft downgradient of the site. Properties south of Interstate 55-70 are also more than 1000 ft downgradient of the site. Modeling results showed that all COI met the Class I ROs within 616 ft (maximum distance) of the site boundaries. Therefore, no properties west of Rt. 203 or south of Interstate 55-70 are impacted.

Gateway Midstate Truck Plaza operates a gas station, restaurant and truck stop on the property west of the site. The facilities are located on the northern portion of the Gateway property, and the southern two-thirds of the property is a parking area. The portion of the property directly adjacent to the Hayden site is used for parking only, and no facilities are located on that portion.

A well search was conducted through Environmental Data Resources, Inc. (EDR) and the Illinois State Geological Survey, and wells within 2500 ft of the site were identified (Figure 4). The well search results are included in Attachment 2. There are no public water supply wells or potable water wells identified within 2500 ft of the site. There are no water wells identified at any of the downgradient properties identified as potentially impacted. The closest downgradient wells identified as water wells are located approximately 4,500 ft downgradient from the site. Therefore, there is no existing water use on adjacent properties downgradient of the site. Due to the presence of the highways, future property uses are expected to remain the same. Therefore, there is no anticipated future use of groundwater on the adjacent properties downgradient of the site.

Groundwater at the site is precluded from development as a potable resource due to the establishment of protective institutional controls (a municipal ordinance in the City of Madison and an environmental land use control [ELUC] at the site). These institutional controls prevent the development of groundwater for consumptive purposes.

3. Under 35 III. Adm. Code 104.406(d), although the petition states that the site is not within the setback zone of any potable water supply well, Pet. At 5, the petition does not clarify whether there are any potable water wells or public water supply wells within 2500 feet of the site.

In section (h) of Attachment C to the petition, it was stated that there are no public water supply wells or potable water supplies located at the site or within a 2500 ft radius of the site, based on a search of the Illinois Water Survey records conducted by EDR. A copy of the search is attached in Attachment 2. In addition, the Illinois State Geological Survey search did not identify any public water supply wells or potable water wells within 2500 ft of the site. The only wells within 2500 ft of the site were monitoring wells, and those wells were upgradient of the site (Figure 4).

4. Under 35 III. Adm. Code 104.406(d) the petition does not include a map of the site clearly identifying the location of the following: all water wells within 2500 feet of the site, groundwater monitoring wells, the Milam Landfill, Gateway International Raceway, and all relevant downgradient properties.

Figure 1 was scanned from an enhanced aerial photo provided by St. Clair County and shows the Milam Landfill, the Gateway International Raceway, and all relevant downgradient properties. Figure 4 shows all water wells and groundwater monitoring wells within 2500 ft of the site.

5. Under 35 III. Adm. Code 104.406 (d), the petition does not clarify whether Hayden monitored groundwater at the site before 1991 or after 2001. If Hayden has monitored groundwater at the site before 1991 or after 2001, the petition does not include results of such monitoring.

Hayden did not monitor groundwater at the site before 1991 or after 2001. All available groundwater monitoring results for the site were presented in the petition.

6. Under 35 III. Adm. Code 104.406(e), the petition does not describe the efforts and corresponding costs that would be necessary to comply with the regulation of general applicability. Also, the petition does not describe any compliance alternatives and corresponding costs that would be necessary to comply with the regulation of general applicability.

In order to comply with the regulation of general applicability, the following options were identified: 1) attempt to locate the source of the exceeded levels of inorganics constituents and proceed with a judicial/administrative action to force the source to remediate both its on and off-site constituents; 2) attempt to convince the IEPA/U.S. EPA to become involved to force the source to remediate the on and off-site constituents; 3) install a hydraulic barrier either upgradient of the Hayden site or around the entire Hayden site; and 4) pump and treat groundwater to meet the Tier 1 Class I ROs. For Options 1 and 2 above, the corresponding costs and time frame associated with either option are unquantifiable, speculative and prohibitive (especially if litigation and/or state resource costs are factored in).

Option 3 is technically impractical because the site is located in the American Bottoms area (Mississippi River floodplain). The stratigraphy consists of over 100 ft of alluvium and it is estimated that the barrier would have to be constructed at least 80 to 100 ft deep to effectively control groundwater flow in the sandy soils. Construction of such a barrier within the alluvium and to that depth is not feasible and/or would be prohibitively expensive. A hydraulic barrier would minimize the groundwater impacts directly downgradient of the Hayden site, but would have no impact on the upgradient sources or contaminants.

Option 4, to pump and treat the groundwater, is also prohibitively expensive. A general cost estimate is provided below for a groundwater pump and treat system. The first step would be to install wells and conduct pump tests in order to collect data needed to design the treatment system. The treatment system would consist of groundwater extraction wells, groundwater recovery pumps, piping to the treatment system building, treatment system including system, pipe, fittings and valves, tanks, pumps, controls, heating, heating, insulation and electrical systems for the building, and permitting and system to dispose of treated wastewater. Annual operation and maintenance costs would include weekly system maintenance of the wells, pumps, treatment system, etc., replacement parts, treatment system supplies, utility fees, and reporting.

Item	Estimated Cost
Capital Cost	
System design	\$25,000
Extraction wells	\$30,000
Pumps	\$30,000
Treatment system	\$150,000
Building	\$50,000
Piping	\$30,000
Discharge permit/system	\$15,000
Subtotal	\$330,000
O & M (yearly)	
Maintenance	\$90,000
Repairs (parts)	\$25,000
Sampling and reporting	\$80,000
Utility fees	\$30,000
Subtotal	\$225,000
O & M 15 years	\$3,375,000
TOTAL	\$3,705,000

Listed below are estimated general costs for a groundwater pump and treat system.

Because the source(s) of COI in the groundwater are believed to be located off-site, remediation of impacted groundwater on site would not address the off-site source. Impacted groundwater would be continually renewed from the off-site source(s), requiring that remedial efforts be continual without prospect of completion. O & M costs in the table above were shown for 15 years; however, there would be no guarantee that treatment could stop after 15 years. Even using the 15-year period, the capital and O & M costs to treat the groundwater are much greater than the total worth of the property (\$475,000 in the proposed sale to Gateway).

Compliance alternatives would include the adjustment of the Class I groundwater standards as requested in the petition. There would be no costs associated with meeting the adjusted groundwater standards, other than the one-time costs to petition for the adjusted standards.









· 大教教院的治路教院学习研究院的考虑者,并且这些法院的自己的主任内容 限制特殊教院会 新闻型管理学习 新山型的智能力,这一个 电调整容易 经限制证 法财政法法庭的 子子



Datasheet B: Physical Soil Parameters for the RBCA Equations

Area(s)/Location(s) at the site, if applicable

Predominant Soil Type (e.g., clay, sand, silty clay, etc.

Surface (top 1 meter) or Subsurface (below 1 meter):

Site-specifc values [i.e., field measurements (F=) or calculated values using the SSL equation (S to be reported if they are used in developing the Tier 2 cleanup objectives. Acceptable procedur obtaining these values are identified in Appendix C, Table F of TACO

Parameter	Soil Type	Default Value	Units	Field Measurement or Calculated	Value
ρ b (Soil Bulk Density)	Surface and/or Subsurface soils	1.5	g/cm³	F =	
	Gravel Sand	2.0	-	Surface	1.50
	Silt	1.6	1	Subsurface	1.50
	Clay	1.7	<u></u>	····	
W	Surface and/or	1			
(Moisture Content)	Subsurface Soils	0.1	gwater/gsoil		1
````	Surface Soils	0.1	(unitless)		-
	Subsurface Soils	0.2	1.`		
foc			,		0.007
(Organic Carbon Content)	Surface Soils	0.006	g/g	Surface	0.006
	Subsurface Soils	0.002	(unitless)	Subsurface	0.002
θτ	Surface and/or	}	{		
(Total Soil Porosity)	Subsurface Soils	0.43	cm ³ /cm ³		
	Gravel	0.25	(unitless)		1
	Sand	0.32	4	Surface	0.43
	Silt	0.40	ļ	Subsurface	0.43
L	Clay	0.36	<u> </u>		<u> </u>
		0.00			ļ
(Air-filled Soil Porosity)	Surface Soils	0.28	cm ³ /cm ³		
	Subsurface Soils Gravel	0.13	(unitless)		
	Sand	0.05	-[	( Surface	0.20
	Silt	0.14	4	Surface	0.28
	Clay	0.19	-{	Subsulface	0.13
θws		0.19	╆╾───────		
(Water-filled Soil Porosity)	Surface	0.15	cm ³ /cm ³		
(wawi-mied Son Folosity)	Subsurface Soils	0.15	(unitless)		1
1	Gravel	0.20	- (unuticas)	Surface	0.15
	Sand	0.18	-1	Subsurface	0.30
1	Silt	0.16	-1	Guosuitave	}
1	Clay	0.17	-1		
1	{ ~ …,		1		}

# Datasheet RBCA-VII. Concentration of Contaminant in Groundwater Source

Datasheet RBCA-VII is to be used to predict the groundwater concentration at a specified distance from the source as calculated by the equation in Appendix C of TACO: Equation R26 (residential, industrial/ commercial and construction worker scenarios). Since values listed in Datasheet RBCA-V are used in this evaluation, this datasheet must also be submitted.

Csource (mg/L)	See below	αy (cm)	385
X (cm)	1,540.00	Sd (cm)	200
$\alpha_x$ (cm)*	1,154	$\alpha_{z}(cm)$	58
λ(1/day)***	See below	K (cm/d)	86.40
U (cm/d)*	0.18	i (unitless)	0.00
Sw (cm)	7,750	θT (unitless)**	0.43

* αx, αy, αz, and U are reported on Datasheet RBCA-V ** Physical Soil Parameter (see Datasheet B) *** Chemical Properties (see Datasheet C)

Chemical Name	λ (1/day)	Csource* (mg/L)	C(x) (mg/L)	
Iron		28.00000	3.11E+00	
Lead		0.01400	1.55E-03	
Manganese		3.40000	3.77E-01	

^{*} Note: C_{source} is the measured concentration at the source for this form.

Calculated Ground Water Information

Iron



# Distance to Meet Ground Water Objectives

Class I	(
82.42 m.	

<u>s I</u>	Class II
2 m.	82.42 m.

Chicalated Oronia (rater Concentrations)	Calculated	Ground	Water	Concentrations
------------------------------------------	------------	--------	-------	----------------

Distance from Source (m)	Calculated Concentration (mg/L)
0	2.80E+01
10	2.67E+01
20	1.91E+01
30	1.39E+01
40	1.07E+01
50	8.68E+00
60	7.22E+00
70	6.10E+00
80	5.19E+00
90	4.45E+00
100	3.85E+00
110	3.34E+00
115.4	3.11E+00

Calculated Ground Water Information

Lead



# Distance to Meet Ground Water Objectives

Class I	<u>Class II</u>	
27.33 m.	Met	

Distance from Source (m)	Calculated Concentration (mg/L)
0	1.40E-02
10	1.34E-02
20	9.56E-03
30	6.93E-03
40	5.36E-03
50	4.34E-03
60	3.61E-03
70	3.05E-03
80	2.60E-03
90	2.23E-03
100	1.92E-03
110	1.67E-03
115.4	1.55E-03

Calculated Ground Water Information

# Manganese



# Distance to Meet Ground Water Objectives

Class I	Class II
198.63 m.	Met

Distance from Source (m)	Calculated Concentration (mg/L)
0	3.40E+00
10	3.25E+00
20	2,32E+00
30	1.68E+00
40	1.30E+00
50	1.05E+00
60	8.77E-01
70	7.40E-01
80	6.30E-01
90	5.41E-01
100	4.67E-01
110	4.06E-01
115.4	3.77E-01

# Datasheet B: Physical Soil Parameters for the RBCA Equations

Area(s)/Location(s) at the site, if applicable

Predominant Soil Type (e.g., clay, sand, silty clay, etc.

Surface (top 1 meter) or Subsurface (below 1 meter):

Site-specifc values [i.e., field measurements (F=) or calculated values using the SSL equation (S to be reported if they are used in developing the Tier 2 cleanup objectives. Acceptable procedur obtaining these values are identified in Appendix C, Table F of TACO

Parameter	Soil Type	Default Value	Units	Field Measurement or Calculated	Value
p <b>b</b> (Soil Bulk Density)	Surface and/or Subsurface soils Gravel Sand Silt Clay	1.5 2.0 1.8 1.6 1.7	g/cm³	F = Surface Subsurface	1.50 1.50
w (Moisture Content)	Surface and/or Subsurface Soils Surface Soils Subsurface Soils	0.1 0.1 0.2	g <i>water/gsoil</i> (unitless)		
f <i>oc</i> (Organic Carbon Content)	Surface Soils Subsurface Soils	0.006	g/g (unitless)	Surface Subsurface	0.006 0.002
θ _r (Total Soil Porosity)	Surface and/or Subsurface Soils Gravel Sand Silt Clay	0.43 0.25 0.32 0.40 0.36	cm³/cm³ (unitless)	Surface Subsurface	0.43 0.43
0as (Air-filled Soil Porosity)	Surface Soils Subsurface Soils Gravel Sand Silt Clay	0.28 0.13 0.05 0.14 0.24 0.19	cm³/cm³ (unitless)	Surface Subsurface	0.28 0.13
θws (Water-filled Soil Porosity)	Surface Subsurface Soils Gravel Sand Silt Clay	0.15 0.30 0.20 0.18 0.16 0.17	cm ³ /cm ³ (unitless)	Surface Subsurface	0.15 0.30

# Datasheet RBCA-VII. Concentration of Contaminant in Groundwater Source

Datasheet RBCA-VII is to be used to predict the groundwater concentration at a specified distance from the source as calculated by the equation in Appendix C of TACO: Equation R26 (residential, industrial/ commercial and construction worker scenarios). Since values listed in Datasheet RBCA-V are used in this evaluation, this datasheet must also be submitted.

Csource (mg/L)	See below	ay (cm)	663
X (cm)	9,900.00	Sd (cm)	200
$\alpha_x (cm)^*$	1,990	$\alpha_z$ (cm)	100
λ(1/day)***	See below	K (cm/d)	86.40
U (cm/d)*	0.17	i (unitless)	0.00
Sw (cm)	10,750	θT (unitless)**	0.43

* αx, αy, αz, and U are reported on Datasheet RBCA-V ** Physical Soil Parameter (see Datasheet B) *** Chemical Properties (see Datasheet C)

Chemical Name	λ (1/day)	Csource* (mg/L)	C(x) (mg/L)	
Arsenic		0.08200	4.62E-03	
Iron		54.00000	3.05E+00	
Lead		0.00990	5.58E-04	
Manganese		3.40000	1.92E-01	

 $[\]ast$  Note: C_{source} is the measured concentration at the source for this form.

Calculated Ground Water Information

Arsenic



### Distance to Meet Ground Water Objectives

<u>Class I</u>	
23.28 m.	

3.28 m.	Met

Class II

### Calculated Ground Water Concentrations

Distance from Source (m)	Calculated Concentration (mg/L)
0	8.20E-02
10	7.83E-02
20	5.60E-02
30	4.06E-02
40	3.14E-02
50	2.55E-02
60	2.14E-02
70	1.84E-02
80	1.60E-02
90	1.41E-02
100	1.25E-02
110	1.11E-02
120	9.96E-03
130	8.93E-03
140	8.04E-03
150	7.26E-03
160	6.58E-03
170	5.98E-03
180	5.46E-03

Calculated Ground Water Concentrations Arsenic

# Calculated Ground Water Concentrations

Distance from Source (m)	Calculated Concentration (mg/L)
190	5.00E-03
199	4.62E-03

Calculated Ground Water Information

Iron



# Distance to Meet Ground Water Objectives

Class I	Class II
145.57 m.	145.57 m.

# Calculated Ground Water Concentrations

Distance from Source (m)	Calculated Concentration (mg/L)
0	5.40E+01
10	5.15E+01
20	3.69E+01
30	2.67E+01
40	2.07E+01
50	1.68E+01
60	1.41E+01
70	1.21E+01
80	1.06E+01
90	9.30E+00
100	8.24E+00
110	7.34E+00
120	6.56E+00
130	5.88E+00
140	5.29E+00
150	4.78E+00
160	4.33E+00
170	3.94E+00
180	3.60E+00

Calculated Ground Water Concentrations		
Distance from Source (m)	Calculated Concentration (mg/L)	
190 199	3.29E+00 3.05E+00	
Calculated Ground Water Information

Lead



#### Distance to Meet Ground Water Objectives

Class I	
17.11 m.	

1	Class II
m.	Met

Calculated Ground Wate	er Concentrations
------------------------	-------------------

Distance from Source (m)	Calculated Concentration (mg/L)
0	9.90E-03
10	9.45E-03
20	6.76E-03
30	4.90E-03
40	3.79E-03
50	3.08E-03
60	2.58E-03
70	2.22E-03
80	1.94E-03
90	1.70E-03
100	1.51E-03
110	1.34E-03
120	1.20E-03
130	1.08E-03
140	9.71E-04
150	8.77E-04
160	7.95E-04
170	7.22E-04
180	6.59E-04

Calculated Ground Water Concentrations Lead

Calculated	Ground	Water	Concentrations

Distance from Source (m)	Calculated Concentration (mg/L)
190	6.03E-04
199	5.58E-04

- ....

. _

Calculated Ground Water Information

Manganese



#### Distance to Meet Ground Water Objectives

Class I	Class II
229.57 m.	Met

Calculated	Ground	Water	Concentrations

Distance from Source (m)	Calculated Concentration (mg/L)
0	3.40E+00
10	3.25E+00
20	2.32E+00
30	1.68E+00
40	1.30E+00
50	1.06E+00
60	8.87E-01
70	7.62E-01
80	6.65E-01
90	5.86E-01
100	5.19E-01
110	4.62E-01
120	4.13E-01
130	3.70E-01
140	3.33E-01
150	3.01E-01
160	2.73E-01
170	2.48E-01
180	2.26E-01

## Calculated Ground Water Concentrations

Distance from Source (m)	Calculated Concentration (mg/L)
190	2.07E-01
199	1.92E-01

....

#### Datasheet B: Physical Soil Parameters for the RBCA Equations

Area(s)/Location(s) at the site, if applicable

Predominant Soil Type (e.g., clay, sand, silty clay, etc.

Surface (top 1 meter) or Subsurface (below 1 meter):

Site-specifc values [i.e., field measurements (F=) or calculated values using the SSL equation (S to be reported if they are used in developing the Tier 2 cleanup objectives. Acceptable procedur obtaining these values are identified in Appendix C, Table F of TACO

Parameter	Soil Type	Default Value	Units	Field Measurement or Calculated	Value
ρ <b>b</b>	Surface and/or				
(Soil Bulk Density)	Subsurface soils	1.5	g/cm ³	F =	
	Gravel	2.0	.	ļ	
	Sand	1.8		Surface	1.50
	Silt	1.6		Subsurface	1.50
	Clay	1.7	]	 	
w	Surface and/or		İ		
(Moisture Content)	Subsurface Soils	0,1	gwater/gsoil		
` ´	Surface Soils	0.1	(unitless)		
	Subsurface Soils	0.2			
foc					
(Organic Carbon Content)	Surface Soils	0.006	g/g	Surface	0.006
	Subsurface Soils	0.002	(unitless)	Subsurface	0.002
θτ	Surface and/or				
(Total Soil Porosity)	Subsurface Soils	0.43	cm ³ /cm ³		1
	Gravel	0.25	(unitless)		
	Sand	0.32		Surface	0.43
	Silt	0.40	*	Subsurface	0.43
	Clay	0.36	1		
θas					
(Air-filled Soil Porosity)	Surface Soils	0.28	cm ³ /cm ³	1	
	Subsurface Soils	0.13	(unitless)		\ \
	Gravel	0.05			
	Sand	0.14		Surface	0.28
	Silt	0.24	1	Subsurface	0.13
	Clay	0.19	1	l	
θws				1	
(Water-filled Soil Porosity)	Surface	0.15	cm ³ /cm ³	1	
	Subsurface Soils	0.30	(unitless)	1	
	Gravel	0.20		Surface	0.15
	Sand	0.18	-1	Subsurface	0.30
	Silt	0.16	-		
	Clay	0.17	1	1	ł
	÷				

#### Datasheet RBCA-VII. Concentration of Contaminant in Groundwater Source

Datasheet RBCA-VII is to be used to predict the groundwater concentration at a specified distance from the source as calculated by the equation in Appendix C of TACO: Equation R26 (residential, industrial/ commercial and construction worker scenarios). Since values listed in Datasheet RBCA-V are used in this evaluation, this datasheet must also be submitted.

Csource (mg/L)	See below	αy (cm)	860
X (cm)	5,790.00	Sd (cm)	200
$\alpha_x (cm)^*$	2,579	$\alpha_z(cm)$	129
λ(1/day)***	See below	K (cm/d)	86.40
U (cm/d)*	0.25	i (unitless)	0.00
Sw (cm)	6,550	θT (unitless)**	0.43

* ax, ay, az, and U are reported on Datasheet RBCA-V ** Physical Soil Parameter (see Datasheet B)

*** Chemical Properties (see Datasheet C)

Chemical Name	λ (1/day)	Csource* (mg/L)	C _(x) (mg/L)	
Iron		7.70000	1.80E-01	
Manganese		2.20000	5.13E-02	

^{*} Note: Csource is the measured concentration at the source for this form.

Calculated Ground Water Information

Iron



#### Distance to Meet Ground Water Objectives

Class I	<u>Class II</u>
21.43 m.	21.43 m.

#### Calculated Ground Water Concentrations

Distance from Source (m)	Calculated Concentration (mg/L)
0	7.70E+00
10	7.35E+00
20	5.26E+00
30	3.81E+00
40	2.94E+00
50	2.37E+00
60	1.94E+00
70	1.61E+00
80	1.35E+00
90	1.14E+00
100	9.71E-01
110	8.34E-01
120	7.23E-01
130	6.32E-01
140	5.55E-01
150	4.92E-01
160	4.38E-01
170	3.93E-01
180	3.54E-01

Calculated Ground Water Concentrations Iron

Calculated	Ground	Water	Concentrations
			0.0.000.0000000000000000000000000000000

Distance from Source (m)	Calculated Concentration (mg/L)		
190	3.20E-01		
200	2.91E-01		
210	2.65E-01		
220	2.43E-01		
230	2.23E-01		
240	2.06E-01		
250	1.91E-01		
257.9	1.80E-01		

Calculated Ground Water Information

Manganese



### Distance to Meet Ground Water Objectives

Class I	
144.58 m	

<u>I</u>	Class II
58 m.	Met

Calculated Ground Wate	r Concentrations
------------------------	------------------

Distance from Source (m)	Calculated Concentration (mg/L)
0	2.20E+00
10	2.10E+00
20	1.50E+00
30	1.09E+00
40	8.41E-01
50	6.76E-01
60	5.55E-01
70	4.60E-01
80	3.85E-01
90	3.25E-01
100	2.77E-01
110	2.38E-01
120	2.07E-01
130	1.80E-01
140	1.59E-01
150	1.41E-01
160	1.25E-01
170	1.12E-01
180	1.01E-01
100	1.0112-01

## Calculated Ground Water Concentrations

Distance from Source (m)	Calculated Concentration (mg/L)		
190	9.14E-02		
200	8.31E-02		
210	7.58E-02		
220	6.94E-02		
230	6.38E-02		
240	5.89E-02		
250	5.44E-02		
257.9	5.13E-02		

- .-

- - ----

-

#### Datasheet B: Physical Soil Parameters for the RBCA Equations

Area(s)/Location(s) at the site, if applicable

Predominant Soil Type (e.g., clay, sand, silty clay, etc.

Surface (top 1 meter) or Subsurface (below 1 meter):

Site-specifc values [i.e., field measurements (F=) or calculated values using the SSL equation (S to be reported if they are used in developing the Tier 2 cleanup objectives. Acceptable procedur obtaining these values are identified in Appendix C, Table F of TACO

Parameter	Soil Type	Default Value	Units	Field Measurement or Calculated	Value
ρ <b>b</b> (Soil Bulk Density)	Surface and/or Subsurface soils Gravel Sand Silt	1.5 2.0 1.8 1.6	g/cm³	F = Surface Subsurface	1.50 1.50
w (Moisture Content)	Clay Surface and/or Subsurface Soils Surface Soils Subsurface Soils	0.1 0.1 0.2	gwater/gsoil (unitless)		
f <i>oc</i> (Organic Carbon Content)	Surface Soils Subsurface Soils	0.006	g/g (unitless)	Surface Subsurface	0.006 0.002
θ _τ (Total Soil Porosity)	Surface and/or Subsurface Soils Gravel Sand Silt Clay	0.43 0.25 0.32 0.40 0.36	cm ³ /cm ³ (unitless)	Surface Subsurface	0.43 0.43
θ <b>as</b> (Air-filled Soil Porosity)	Surface Soils Subsurface Soils Gravel Sand Silt Clay	0.28 0.13 0.05 0.14 0.24 0.19	cm ³ /cm ³ (unitless)	Surface Subsurface	0.28 0.13
θws (Water-filled Soil Porosity)	Surface Subsurface Soils Gravel Sand Silt Clay	0.15 0.30 0.20 0.18 0.16 0.17	cm ³ /cm ³ (unitless)	Surface Subsurface	0.15 0.30

#### Datasheet RBCA-VII. Concentration of Contaminant in Groundwater Source

Datasheet RBCA-VII is to be used to predict the groundwater concentration at a specified distance from the source as calculated by the equation in Appendix C of TACO: Equation R26 (residential, industrial/ commercial and construction worker scenarios). Since values listed in Datasheet RBCA-V are used in this evaluation, this datasheet must also be submitted.

Csource (mg/L)	See below	αy (cm)	567
X (cm)	7,010.00	Sd (cm)	200
$\alpha_x$ (cm)*	1,701	$\alpha_z$ (cm)	85
$\lambda (1/day)^{***}$	See below	K (cm/d)	86.40
U (cm/d)*	0.17	i (unitless)	0.00
Sw (cm)	4,980	θT (unitless)**	0.43

* αx, αy, αz, and U are reported on Datasheet RBCA-V ** Physical Soil Parameter (see Datasheet B) *** Chemical Properties (see Datasheet C)

Chemical Name	λ (1/day)	Csource* (mg/L)	C(x) (mg/L)	
 Iron		7.10000	2.85E-01	
Manganese		6.90000	2.77E-01	

^{*} Note: C_{source} is the measured concentration at the source for this form.

Calculated Ground Water Information

Iron



### Distance to Meet Ground Water Objectives

Class I	Class II
19.13 m.	19.13 m.

Distance from Source (m)	Calculated Concentration (mg/L)
0	7.10E+00
10	6.78E+00
20	4.85E+00
30	3.51E+00
40	2.68E+00
50	2.09E+00
60	1.65E+00
70	1.33E+00
80	1.08E+00
90	8.94E-01
100	7.49E-01
110	6.34E-01
120	5.44E-01
130	4.70E-01
140	4.11E-01
150	3.61E-01
160	3.20E-01
170	2.86E-01
170.1	2.85E-01

Calculated Ground Water Information

#### Manganese



### Distance to Meet Ground Water Objectives

Class I	Class II	
234.22 m.	Met	

#### Calculated Ground Water Concentrations

Distance from Source (m)	Calculated Concentration (mg/L)
0	6.90E+00
10	6.59E+00
20	4.71E+00
30	3.41E+00
40	2.60E+00
50	2.03E+00
60	1.61E+00
70	1.29E+00
80	1.05E+00
90	8.69E-01
100	7.28E-01
110	6.17E-01
120	5.28E-01
130	4.57E-01
140	3.99E-01
150	3.51E-01
160	3.11E-01
170	2.78E-01
170.1	2.77E-01

#### **Datasheet B: Physical Soil Parameters for the RBCA Equations**

Area(s)/Location(s) at the site, if applicable

. . . .

Predominant Soil Type (e.g., clay, sand, silty clay, etc.

Surface (top 1 meter) or Subsurface (below 1 meter):

Site-specifc values [i.e., field measurements (F=) or calculated values using the SSL equation (S to be reported if they are used in developing the Tier 2 cleanup objectives. Acceptable procedur obtaining these values are identified in Appendix C, Table F of TACO

Parameter	Soil Type	Default Value	Units	Field Measurement or Calculated	Value
p <b>b</b> (Soil Bulk Density)	Surface and/or Subsurface soils Gravel Sand Silt Clay	1.5 2.0 1.8 1.6 1.7	g/cm³	F = Surface Subsurface	1.50 1.50
w (Moisture Content)	Surface and/or Subsurface Soils Surface Soils Subsurface Soils	0.1 0.1 0.2	g <i>water/gsoil</i> (unitless)		
foc (Organic Carbon Content)	Surface Soils Subsurface Soils	0.006	g/g (unitless)	Surface Subsurface	0.006 0.002
θ _τ (Total Soil Porosity)	Surface and/or Subsurface Soils Gravel Sand Silt Clay	0.43 0.25 0.32 0.40 0.36	cm³/cm³ (unitless)	Surface Subsurface	0.43 0.43
θas (Air-filled Soil Porosity)	Surface Soils Subsurface Soils Gravel Sand Silt Clay	0.28 0.13 0.05 0.14 0.24 0.19	cm ^{3/} cm ³ (unitless)	Surface Subsurface	0.28 0.13
θws (Water-filled Soil Porosity)	Surface Subsurface Soils Gravel Sand Silt Clay	0.15 0.30 0.20 0.18 0.16 0.17	cm ³ /cm ³ (unitless)	Surface Subsurface	0.15 0.30

#### Datasheet RBCA-VII. Concentration of Contaminant in Groundwater Source

Datasheet RBCA-VII is to be used to predict the groundwater concentration at a specified distance from the source as calculated by the equation in Appendix C of TACO: Equation R26 (residential, industrial/ commercial and construction worker scenarios). Since values listed in Datasheet RBCA-V are used in this evaluation, this datasheet must also be submitted.

Csource (mg/L)	See below	$\alpha_y$ (cm)	333
X (cm)	0,000.00	Sd (cm)	200
$\alpha_{\rm X}$ (cm)*	1,000	$\alpha_z$ (cm)	50
$\lambda (1/day)^{***}$	See below	K (cm/d)	86.40
U (cm/d)*	0.13	i (unitless)	0.00
Sw (cm)	6,800	θT (unitless)**	0.43

*  $\alpha x$ ,  $\alpha y$ ,  $\alpha z$ , and U are reported on Datasheet RBCA-V ** Physical Soil Parameter (see Datasheet B)

*** Chemical Properties (see Datasheet C)

Chemical Name	λ (1/day)	Csource* (mg/L)	C(x) (mg/L)	
Manganese	······································	0.64000	8.24E-02	

^{*} Note:  $C_{\text{source}}$  is the measured concentration at the source for this form.

Calculated Ground Water Information

#### Manganese



### Distance to Meet Ground Water Objectives

Class II

Met

Class I	
64.29 m.	

Calculated Ground	Water	Concentrations
-------------------	-------	----------------

Distance from Source (m)	Calculated Concentration (mg/L)
0	6.40E-01
10	6.11E-01
20	4.37E-01
30	3.17E-01
40	2.45E-01
50	1.97E-01
60	1.62E-01
70	1.35E-01
80	1.14E-01
90	9.64E-02
100	8.24E-02

### **Datasheet B: Physical Soil Parameters for the RBCA Equations**

Area(s)/Location(s) at the site, if applicable

Predominant Soil Type (e.g., clay, sand, silty clay, etc.

Surface (top 1 meter) or Subsurface (below 1 meter):

Site-specifc values [i.e., field measurements (F=) or calculated values using the SSL equation (S to be reported if they are used in developing the Tier 2 cleanup objectives. Acceptable procedur obtaining these values are identified in Appendix C, Table F of TACO

Parameter	Soil Type	Default Value	Units	Field Measurement or Calculated	Value
p <b>b</b> (Soil Bulk Density)	Surface and/or Subsurface soils Gravel Sand Silt Clay	1.5 2.0 1.8 1.6 1.7	g/cm³	F≈ Surface Subsurf <i>a</i> ce	1.50 1.50
w (Moisture Content)	Surface and/or Subsurface Soils Surface Soils Subsurface Soils	0.1 0.1 0.2	gw <i>ater</i> /gs <i>oil</i> (unitless)		
foc (Organic Carbon Content)	Surface Soils Subsurface Soils	0.006	g/g (unitless)	Surface Subsurface	0.006 0.002
θ _τ (Total Soil Porosity)	Surface and/or Subsurface Soils Gravel Sand Silt Clay	0.43 0.25 0.32 0.40 0.36	cm ³ /cm ³ (unitless)	Surface Subsurface	0.43 0.43
θas (Ait-filled Soil Porosity)	Surface Soils Subsurface Soils Gravel Sand Silt Clay	0.28 0.13 0.05 0.14 0.24 0.19	cm ³ /cm ³ (unitless)	Surface Subsurface	0.28 0.13
θws (Water-filled Soil Porosity)	Surface Subsurface Soils Gravel Sand Silt Clay	0.15 0.30 0.20 0.18 0.16 0.17	cm ³ /cm ³ (unitless)	Surface Subsurface	0.15 0.30

#### Datasheet RBCA-VII. Concentration of Contaminant in Groundwater Source

Datasheet RBCA-VII is to be used to predict the groundwater concentration at a specified distance from the source as calculated by the equation in Appendix C of TACO: Equation R26 (residential, industrial/ commercial and construction worker scenarios). Since values listed in Datasheet RBCA-V are used in this evaluation, this datasheet must also be submitted.

Csource (mg/L)	See below	αy (cm)	333
X (cm)	0,000.00	Sd (cm)	200
$\alpha_x (cm)^*$	1,000	$\alpha_z$ (cm)	50
λ(1/day)***	See below	K (cm/d)	86.40
U (cm/d)*	0.19	i (unitless)	0.00
Sw (cm)	6,890	θT (unitless)**	0.43

* αx, αy, αz, and U are reported on Datasheet RBCA-V ** Physical Soil Parameter (see Datasheet B) *** Chemical Properties (see Datasheet C)

Chemical Name	$\lambda$ (1/day)	Csource* (mg/L)	C(x) (mg/L)	
Iron		13.00000	1,69E+00	
Lead		0.01500	1.94E-03	
Manganese		1.70000	2.20E-01	

^{*} Note: C_{source} is the measured concentration at the source for this form.

Calculated Ground Water Information



#### Distance to Meet Ground Water Objectives

Class I	Class II
39.77 m.	39.77 m.

#### Calculated Ground Water Concentrations

Distance from Source (m)	Calculated Concentration (mg/L)		
0	1.30E+01		
10	1.24E+01		
20	8.87E+00		
30	6.44E+00		
40	4.97E+00		
50	4.01E+00		
60	3.31E+00		
70	2.76E+00		
80	2.32E+00		
90	1.97E+00		
100	1.69E+00		

Calculated Ground Water Information

Lead



Distance to Meet Ground Water Objectives

Class I 29.65 m.

Class II Met

Distance from Source (m)	Calculated Concentration (mg/L)		
0	1.50E-02		
10	1.43E-02		
20	1.02E-02		
30	7.43E-03		
40	5.74E-03		
50	4.63E-03		
60	3.81E-03		
70	3.18E-03		
80	2.68E-03		
90	2.27E-03		
100	1.94E-03		

Calculated Ground Water Information

#### Manganese



#### Distance to Meet Ground Water Objectives

Class I	Class II
127.15 m.	Met

#### Calculated Ground Water Concentrations

Distance from Source (m)	Calculated Concentration (mg/L)		
0	1,70E+00		
10	1.62E+00		
20	1.16E+00		
30	8.42E-01		
40	6.50E-01		
50	5.24E-01		
60	4.32E-01		
70	3.61E-01		
80	3.04E-01		
90	2.58E-01		
100	2.20E-01		

Area(s)/Location(s) at the site, if applicable

Predominant Soil Type (e.g., clay, sand, silty clay, etc.

Surface (top 1 meter) or Subsurface (below 1 meter):

Site-specifc values [i.e., field measurements (F=) or calculated values using the SSL equation (S to be reported if they are used in developing the Tier 2 cleanup objectives. Acceptable procedur obtaining these values are identified in Appendix C, Table F of TACO

Parameter	Soil Type	Default Value	Units	Field Measurement or Calculated	Value
pb (Soil Bulk Density)	Surface and/or Subsurface soils Gravel Sand Silt Clay	1.5 2.0 1.8 1.6 1.7	g/cm³	F = Surface Subsurface	1.50 1.50
w (Moisture Content)	Surface and/or Subsurface Soils Surface Soils Subsurface Soils	0.1 0.1 0.2	g <i>water[/]gsoil</i> (unitless)		
foc (Organic Carbon Content)	Surface Soils Subsurface Soils	0.006	g/g (unitless)	Surface Subsurface	0.006 0.002
θ _τ (Total Soil Porosity)	Surface and/or Subsurface Soils Gravel Sand Silt Clay	0.43 0.25 0.32 0.40 0.36	cm ³ /cm ³ (unitless)	Surface Subsurface	0.43 0.43
θ <b>as</b> (Air-filled Soil Porosity)	Surface Soils Subsurface Soils Gravel Sand Silt Clay	0.28 0.13 0.05 0.14 0.24 0.19	cm ^{3/} cm ³ (unitless)	Surface Subsurface	0.28 0.13
θws (Water-filled Soil Porosity)	Surface Subsurface Soils Gravel Sand Silt Clay	0.15 0.30 0.20 0.18 0.16 0.17	cm ³ /cm ³ (unitless)	Surface Subsurface	0.15 0.30

07/14/04

Inventory ID (10 digits): 0011-MW-7

#### Datasheet RBCA-VII. Concentration of Contaminant in Groundwater Source

Datasheet RBCA-VII is to be used to predict the groundwater concentration at a specified distance from the source as calculated by the equation in Appendix C of TACO: Equation R26 (residential, industrial/ commercial and construction worker scenarios). Since values listed in Datasheet RBCA-V are used in this evaluation, this datasheet must also be submitted.

Csource (mg/L)	See below	αy (cm)	102
X (cm)	3,050.00	Sd (cm)	200
α _x (cm)*	305	$\alpha_z$ (cm)	15
λ(1/day)***	See below	K (cm/d)	86.40
U (cm/d)*	0.17	i (unitless)	0.00
Sw (cm)	10,820	θT (unitless)**	0.43

* αx, αy, αz, and U are reported on Datasheet RBCA-V ** Physical Soil Parameter (see Datasheet B) *** Chemical Properties (see Datasheet C)

Chemical Name	λ (1/day)	Csource* (mg/L)	C(x) (mg/L)	
Manganese		2.10000	3.12E-01	

 $[\]ast$  Note:  $C_{\mbox{source}}$  is the measured concentration at the source for this form.

Calculated Ground Water Information

## Manganese



#### Distance to Meet Ground Water Objectives

Class I	<u>Class II</u>
165.37 m.	Met

(

Calculated Ground Water Concentrations
----------------------------------------

Distance from Source (m)	Calculated Concentration (mg/L)
0	2.10E+00
10	2.00E+00
20	1.43E+00
30	1.04E+00
40	8.04E-01
50	6.53E-01
60	5.47E-01
70	4.69E-01
80	4.07E-01
90	3.55E-01
100	3.12E-01

#### **Datasheet B: Physical Soil Parameters for the RBCA Equations**

Area(s)/Location(s) at the site, if applicable

Predominant Soil Type (e.g., clay, sand, silty clay, etc.

Surface (top 1 meter) or Subsurface (below 1 meter):

Site-specifc values [i.e., field measurements (F=) or calculated values using the SSL equation (S to be reported if they are used in developing the Tier 2 cleanup objectives. Acceptable procedur obtaining these values are identified in Appendix C, Table F of TACO

Parameter	Soil Type	Default Value	Units	Field Measurement or Calculated	Value
pb (Soil Bulk Density)	Surface and/or Subsurface soils Gravel Sand Silt	1.5 2.0 1.8 1.6	g/cm³	F = Surface Subsurface	1.50 1.50
w (Moisture Content)	Clay Surface and/or Subsurface Soils Surface Soils Subsurface Soils	0.1 0.1 0.2	g <i>water/gsoil</i> (unitless)		
f <i>oc</i> (Organic Carbon Content)	Surface Soils Subsurface Soils	0.006	g/g (unitless)	Surface Subsurface	0.006 0.002
θ _r (Total Soil Porosity)	Surface and/or Subsurface Soils Gravel Sand Silt Clay	0.43 0.25 0.32 0.40 0.36	cm³/cm³ (unitless)	Surface Subsurface	0.43 0.43
θas (Air-filled Soil Porosity)	Surface Soils Subsurface Soils Gravel Sand Silt Clay	0.28 0.13 0.05 0.14 0.24 0.19	cm ³ /cm ³ (unitless)	Surface Subsurface	0.28 0.13
θws (Water-filled Soil Porosity)	Surface Subsurface Soils Gravel Sand Silt Clay	0.15 0.30 0.20 0.18 0.16 0.17	cm ³ /cm ³ (unitless)	Surface Subsurface	0.15 0.30

#### Datasheet RBCA-VII. Concentration of Contaminant in Groundwater Source

Datasheet RBCA-VII is to be used to predict the groundwater concentration at a specified distance from the source as calculated by the equation in Appendix C of TACO: Equation R26 (residential, industrial/ commercial and construction worker scenarios). Since values listed in Datasheet RBCA-V are used in this evaluation, this datasheet must also be submitted.

Csource (mg/L)	See below	αy (cm)	333
X (cm)	0,000.00	Sd (cm)	200
$\alpha_x (cm)^*$	1,000	$\alpha_z$ (cm)	50
λ (1/day)***	See below	K (cm/d)	86.40
U (cm/d)*	0.15	i (unitless)	0.00
Sw (cm)	2,990	θT (unitless)**	0.43

* αx, αy, αz, and U are reported on Datasheet RBCA-V ** Physical Soil Parameter (see Datasheet B) *** Chemical Properties (see Datasheet C)

Chemical Name	$\lambda$ (1/day)	Csource* (mg/L)	C(x) (mg/L)	
Iron		10.00000	6.93E-01	
Lead		0.01400	9.71E-04	
Manganese		7.30000	5.06E-01	

 $[\]ast$  Note:  $C_{\mbox{source}}$  is the measured concentration at the source for this form.

Calculated Ground Water Information

Iron



#### Distance to Meet Ground Water Objectives

Class I	Class II
28.25 m.	28.25 m.

#### Calculated Ground Water Concentrations

Distance from Source (m)	Calculated Concentration (mg/L)
0	1.00E+01
10	9.54E+00
20	6.80E+00
30	4.68E+00
40	3.26E+00
50	2.34E+00
60	1.74E+00
70	1.33E+00
80	1.05E+00
90	8.44E-01
100	6.93E-01

Calculated Ground Water Information

Lead



## Distance to Meet Ground Water Objectives

<u>Class II</u> Met

Class I	
26.40 m.	

Calculated Ground	Water Concentrations

Distance from Source (m)	Calculated Concentration (mg/L)
0	1.40E-02
10	1.34E-02
20	9.52E-03
30	6.56E-03
40	4.57E-03
50	3.28E-03
60	2.43E-03
70	1.86E-03
80	1.47E-03
90	1.18E-03
100	9.71E-04

Calculated Ground Water Information

#### Manganese



### Distance to Meet Ground Water Objectives

<u>Class I</u>	Class II
187.75 m.	Met

#### Calculated Ground Water Concentrations

Distance from Source (m)	Calculated Concentration (mg/L)
0	7.30E+00
10	6.97E+00
20	4.96E+00
30	3.42E+00
40	2.38E+00
50	1.71E+00
60	1.27E+00
70	9.72E-01
80	7.65E-01
90	6.16E-01
100	5.06E-01

#### ATTACHMENT 2

-----

#### Well Search Results

.

---

# EDR Illinois Water Well Report

1 . ·

Hayden Landfill 750 Madison Road Madison, IL 62201

Inquiry Number: 0704556.1r

November 16, 2001

# EBR[®] Environmental Data Resources, Inc.

# *The* Source For Environmental Risk Management Data

3530 Post Road Southport, Connecticut 06490

## Nationwide Customer Service

 Telephone:
 1-800-352-0050

 Fax:
 1-800-231-6802

 Internet:
 www.edrnet.com

FORM-TWC

# TABLEICECONTENTS

#### SECTION

Introduction	1
Topographic Map	2
Well Search Summary	3
Well Findings	4

#### APPENDICES

*Thank you for your business.* Please contact EDR at 1-800-352-0050 with any questions or comments.

#### Disclaimer Copyright and Trademark Notice

This report contains information obtained from a variety of public and other sources. NO WARRANTY EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, IS MADE WHATSOEVER IN CONNECTION WITH THIS REPORT. ENVIRONMENTAL DATA RESOURCES INC. SPECIFICALLY DISCLAIMS THE MAKING OF ANY SUCH WARRANTIES, INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR USE OR PURPOSE. ALL RISK IS ASSUMED BY THE USER. IN NO EVENT SHALL EDR BE LIABLE TO ANYONE, WHETHER ARISING OUT OF ERRORS OR OMISSIONS, NEGLIGENCE, ACCIDENT OR ANY OTHER CAUSE, FOR ANY LOSS OR DAMAGE, INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, SPECIAL, INCIDENTAL, CONSEQUENTIAL, OR EXEMPLARY DAMAGES.

Entire contents copyright 2001 by Environmental Data Resources, Inc. All rights reserved. Reproduction in any media or format, in whole or in part, of any report or map of Environmental Data Resources, Inc., or its affiliates, is prohibited without prior written permission.

EDR and the edr logos are trademarks of Environmental Data Resources, Inc. or its affiliates. All other trademarks used herein are the property of their respective owners.

TC0704556.1r

# The EDR Illinois Water Well Report

The EDR-Illinois Water Well Report is a screening tool designed to assist in the location of water supply wells in accordance with the Illinois EPA Leaking Underground Storage Tank Program: Site Classification Completion Report.

The EDR-Illinois Water Well Report consists of the following information within 1/2 mile of target property:

- wells •
- map displaying concentric rings at 200', 400' 1000' and 2500' topography (25 foot intervals unless otherwise shown) •
- •
- major roads
- surface water bodies
- railroad tracks
- flood plains (available in selected counties)
- wetlands (available in selected counties)
- geologic data
- radon data

## **TOPOGRAPHIC MAP - 0704556.1r - Environmental Operations, Inc.**



#### **GEOLOGIC AGE IDENTIFICATION[†]**

Geologic Code:	M3
Era:	Paleozoic
System:	Mississippian
Series:	Chesterian Series

#### **ROCK STRATIGRAPHIC UNIT**[†]

Category:

Stratified Sequence

#### SEARCH DISTANCE RADIUS INFORMATION

DATABASE	SEARCH DISTANCE (miles)
Federal Database	0.500
State Database	0.500
PWS Database	Nearest PWS within 1 mile

#### FEDERAL DATABASE WELL INFORMATION

MAP	WELL	LOCATION
ID	ID	FROM TP

NO WELLS FOUND

#### STATE DATABASE WELL INFORMATION

MAP	WELL	LOCATION
ID		FROM TP
A1	121632745400	1323 Ft. NNW
A2	121632745300	1323 Ft. NNW
A3	121632745500	1323 Ft. NNW
A4	121632745700	1323 Ft. NNW
A5	121632745600	1323 Ft. NNW
A6	121632745200	1323 Ft. NNW
A7	121632744800	1323 Ft. NNW
A8	121632744700	1323 Ft. NNW
A9	121632744900	1323 Ft. NNW
A10	121632745100	1323 Ft. NNW
A11	121632745000	1323 Ft. NNW

#### PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY SYSTEM INFORMATION

NO WELLS FOUND

#### AREA RADON INFORMATION

EPA Radon Zone for ST CLAIR County: 2

Note: Zone 1 indoor average level > 4 pCi/L. : Zone 2 indoor average level >= 2 pCi/L and <= 4 pCi/L. : Zone 3 indoor average level < 2 pCi/L. Not Reported

+ Source: P.G. Schruben, R.E. Andt and W.J. Bawlec, Geology of the Conterminous U.S. at 1:2,500,000 Scale - A digital representation of the 1974 P.B. King and H.M. Beikman Map, USGS Digital Data Series DDS + 11 (1994).

TC0704556.1r Page 3
AVELUSEARCH AGNOINGS

Map ID Direction Distance

A1 NNW 1323 Ft.	Info Source: API ID: Well Type: X Coord:	IL Geological Survey 121632745400 WATER 2822354	Group Number: Boring: Y Coord:	31 0 2052415	
A2 NNW 1323 Ft.	Info Source: API ID: Well Type: X Coord:	IL Geological Survey 121632745300 WATER 2822354	Group Number: Boring: Y Coord:	31 0 2052415	
A3 NNW 1323 Ft.	Info Source: API ID: Well Type: X Coord:	IL Geological Survey 121632745500 WATER 2822354	Group Number. Boring: Y Coord:	31 0 2052415	
A4 NNW 1323 Ft.	Info Source; API ID; Well Type: X Coord;	IL Geological Survey 121632745700 WATER 2822354	Group Number: Boring: Y Coord:	31 0 2052415	
A5 NNW 1323 Ft.	Info Source: API ID: Well Type: X Coord:	IL Geological Survey 121632745600 WATER 2822354	Group Number: Boring: Y Coord:	31 0 2052415	
A6 NNW 1323 Ft.	Info Source: API ID: Well Type: X Coord:	IL Geological Survey 121632745200 WATER 2822354	Group Number: Boring: Y Coord:	31 0 2052415	
A7 NNW 1323 Ft.	Info Source: API ID: Well Type: X Coord:	IL Geological Survey 121632744800 WATER 2822354	Group Number: Boring: Y Coord:	31 0 2052415	
A8 NNW 1323 Ft.	Info Source: API ID: Well Type: X Coord:	IL Geologicał Survey 121632744700 WATER 2822354	Group Number: Boring: Y Coord:	31 0 2052415	
A9 NNW 1323 Ft.	Info Source: API ID: Well Type: X Coord:	IL Geological Survey 121632744900 WATER 2822354	Group Number: Boring: Y Coord:	31 0 2052415	

TC0704556.1r Page 4

## $\sum_{i=1}^{n} ||\mathbf{x}_i||^2 ||\mathbf{x}_i|^2 ||\mathbf$

## Map ID Direction Distance

A10 NNW 1323 Ft.	Info Source: API ID: Well Type: X Coord:	IL Geological Survey 121632745100 WATER 2822354	Group Number: Boring: Y Coord:	31 0 2052415	
A11 NNW 1323 Ft.	Info Source: APi ID: Well Type: X Coord:	IL Geological Survey 121632745000 WATER 2822354	Group Number: Boring: Y Coord:	31 0 2052415	

TC0704556.1r Page 5

Source: EPA/Office of Drinking Water

Telephone: 202-260-2805

Public Water System data from the Federal Reporting Data System. A PWS is any water system which provides water to at least 25 people for at least 60 days annually. PWSs provide water from wells, rivers and other sources.

PWS ENF: Public Water Systems Violation and Enforcement Data

Source: EPA/Office of Drinking Water

Telephone: 202-260-2805

Violation and Enforcement data for Public Water Systems from the Safe Drinking Water Information System (SDWIS) after August 1995. Prior to August 1995, the data came from the Federal Reporting Data System (FRDS).

Area Radon Information: The National Radon Database has been developed by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) and is a compilation of the EPA/State Residential Radon Survey and the National Residential Radon Survey. The study covers the years 1986 - 1992. Where necessary data has been supplemented by information collected at private sources such as universities and research institutions.

EPA Radon Zones: Sections 307 & 309 of IRAA directed EPA to list and identify areas of U.S. with the potential for elevated indoor radon levels.

**USGS Water Wells:** In November 1971 the United States Geological Survey (USGS) implemented a national water resource information tracking system. This database contains descriptive information on sites where the USGS collects or has collected data on surface water and/or groundwater. The groundwater data includes information on more than 900,000 wells, springs, and other sources of groundwater.

County Well Data in Illinois:Cook and DuPage Counties Source: Illinois State Geological Survey Telephone: 217-244-2387

Illinois Private Well Database and PICS (Public, Industrial, Commercial Survey) Source: Illinois State Water Survey Telephone: 217-333-9043

Illinois State Geological Survey Water Wells

Source: Illinois State Geological Survey

Telephone: 217-333-5102

Point data set that shows locations, well type, and well ID for wells in Illinois. Data comes from driller's logs.





 $\underline{N}$ 

•	Oil	\$₹	Gas Injection	ò	Junked
¥	Oil & Gas	$\odot$	Gas Storage	¢	Temporarily Abandoned
ÿ:	Gas	⊕	Salt Water Disposal	8	Observation
¢	D&A - Oil Show	ø	Water Injection	à	Other Injection
÷	D&A - Gas Show		Water Supply		Confidential
÷	D&A - Oil & Gas Show	0	Permit	M	Other Well Type
÷	D&A	õ	Water	+	Status Unknown



Displayed data is based upon information supplied to the Illinois State Geological Survey (ISGS) and are not field verified. The ISGS does not guarantee the validity, accuracy or completeness of these data.

## **QuEStoR** Data Extraction

Non Oil and Gas - Wells 121632978800Geo Engineering, Inc.5- 2N- 9WStClairMilam Sanitary LandfillGEI-1Status: MONIT2550 NL 1600 WLElev: 411GLpermit:permit date:comp. date: 01/16/86Lambert X: 2822637Lambert Y: 2051189td: 81producing formation:td formation:latitude: 38.652900longitude: 90.124421Water from at depth0 to0 ft.Screen: Diam.in.Length:0 ft.Slot: Casing and Liner Pipe -Diam. (in.) Kind and Weight From(ft) To(ft) Size hole below casing: in. Static level0 ft. below casing top which is0 ft. above grnd level.Pumping level0 ft. when pumping at0 gpm for0 hours. 121632978600John Mathes & Assoc., Inc.5- 2N- 9WMilam Sanitary LandfillGEI-1Status: ENGElev: 411GLpermit:permit date:Lambert X: 2823688Lambert Y: 2051086producing formation:td formation:latitude: 38.652635longitude: 90.120719 121632978400Geo Engineering, Inc.5- 2N- 9WStClairMilam Sanitary LandfillGEI-17dStatus: MONIT2600 NL 650 WLElev: 413GLpermit:permit date:comp. date: 01/16/86Lambert X: 2821687Lambert Y: 2051157td: 82producing formation:td formation:latitude: 38.652793longitude: 90.127765Water from at depth0 to0 ft.Screen: Diam.in.Length:0 ft.Slot:Casing and Liner Pipe --Casing and Liner Pipe -Diam. (in.) Kind and Weight From(ft) To(ft) Size hole below casing: in. Static level 0 ft. below casing top which is 0 ft. above grnd level. Pumping level 0 ft. when pumping at 0 gpm for 0 hours. 121632978500Geo Engineering, Inc.5- 2N- 9WStClairMilam Sanitary LandfillGEI-17sStatus: MONIT2600 NL 650 WLElev: 413GLpermit:permit date:comp. date: 01/28/86Lambert X: 2821687Lambert Y: 2051157td: 62producing formation:td formation:latitude: 38.652793longitude: 90.127765Water from at depth0 to0 ft.Screen: Diam.in.Length:0 ft.Slot:Casing and Liner Pipe --Casing and Liner Pipe -Diam. (in.) Kind and Weight From(ft) To(ft) Size hole below casing: in. Static level0 ft. below casing top which is0 ft. above grnd level.Pumping level0 ft. when pumping at0 gpm for0 hours. 121632978700John Mathes & Assoc. Inc.5- 2N- 9WStClairMilam Sanitary LandfillGEI-1sStatus: MONIT2550 NL 1600 WLElev: 410GLpermit:permit date:comp. date: 01/15/86Lambert X: 2822637Lambert Y: 2051189td: 71producing formation:td formation:latitude: 38.652900longitude: 90.124421Water from at depth0 to0 ft.

-1-

Screen: Diam. in. Length: 0 ft. Slot: Casing and Liner Pipe -Diam. (in.) Kind and Weight From(ft) To(ft) Size hole below casing: in. Static level0 ft. below casing top which is0 ft. above grnd level.Pumping level0 ft. when pumping at0 gpm for0 hours. 5- 2N- 9W Baldwin, Harvey Thomas 121632744800 G020 Elev: 405GL Waste Management of IL NW StClair Status: MONIT permit: none permit date: comp. date: 06/08/93 Lambert X: 2822354 Lambert Y: 2052415 td: 30 producing formation: td formation: latitude: 38.656279 longitude: 90.125447 Water from alluvial deposits at depth 8 to 30 Screen: Diam. 2 in. Length: 10 ft. Slot: .01 30 ft. Casing and Liner Pipe -Kind and Weight From(ft) SCH 40, 304 SS 5 SCH 40 304 SS SCREEN 20 Diam. (in.) Kind and Weight To(ft) 20 2 2 30 Size more perce casing: 8.25 in. Static level 8 ft. below casing top which is 5 ft. above grnd level. Pumping level 8 ft. when pumping at 5 gpm for 1 hours. Formations Passed Through Formations Passed Through clay w/silt & sand to silty & sand 4 4 6 silty - sand - clay with rubbish 10 fine sand with silt 3 13 fine-med sand, fine-med-coarse sand 17 30 121632744900Baldwin, Harvey ThomasStClairWaste Management of ILStatus: MONITNW 5- 2N G021 Elev: 406GL 5- 2N- 9W Status: MONIT comp. date: 06/10/93 permit: nonepermit date:Lambert X: 2822354Lambert Y: 2052415producing formation:td formation:latitude: 38.656279longitude: 90.125447 td: 32 Water from alluvial deposits at depth 9 to 31 ft. Screen: Diam. 2 in. Length: 10 ft. Slot: .01 Size hole below casing: in. Static level 9 ft. below casing: in. Casing and Liner Pipe -To(ft) 4 21 21 31 Static level9 ft. below casing top which is4 ft. above grnd level.Pumping level9 ft. when pumping at5 gpm for1 hours. Formations Passed Through Thickness Bottom 7 7 clay with silty & sand clay silt sand cinder gravel & rubbish 3 10 б. silt-sand-clay to silt w/sand & gravel 16 32 fine sand 16 121632745000Baldwin, Harvey ThomasStClairWaste Management of IL G022 5- 2N- 9W Elev: 406GL Status: MONIT NW Lambert X: 2822354 Lambert V producing formation comp. date: 06/08/93 permit: none Lambert X: 2822354 producing formation: latitude: 38.656279 Lambert Y: 2052415 longitude: 90.125447 td: 31 Water from alluvial deposits at depth 9 to 31 ft. Screen: Diam. 2 in. Length: 10 ft. Slot: .01 Casing and Liner Pipe -Diam. (in.) Kind and Weight From(ft) 2 SCH 40, 304 SS 4 2 SCH 40 304 SS SCREEN 21 To(ft) 21 31 Size hole below casing: 8.25 in. Static level 9 ft. below casing top which is 4 ft. above grnd level. Pumping level 9 ft. when pumping at 5 gpm for 1 hours. -2-

Thickness Bottom Formations Passed Through clay w/sand & silt to silt-sand-clay 6 6 8 2 clay w/silt & sand to rubbish 23 31 fine to fine - medium sand 5- 2N- 9W Baldwin, Harvey Thomas Waste Management of IL 121632745100 G024 Elev: 409GL StClair Status: MONIT NW Lambert X: 2822354 Lambert X producing formation comp. date: 05/25/93 permit: none Lambert X: 2822354 Lambert Y: 2052415 producing formation: td formation: latitude: 38.656279 longitude: 90.125447 td: 110 Water from alluvial deposits at depth 11 to 110 ft. Screen: Diam. 2 in. Length: 10 ft. Slot: .01 Casing and Liner Pipe -Diam. (in.) Kind and Weight From(ft) 2 SCH 40, 304 SS 3 2 SCH 40 304 SCREEN 100 t) To(ft) 3 10 100 100 110 Size hole below casing: 8.25 in. Static level11 ft. below casing top which is3 ft. above grnd level.Pumping level11 ft. when pumping at5 gpm for4 hours. Formations Passed Through Thickness Bottom clay silt & sand to sand w/silt locally 7 7 clay-silt-sand trace rubbish 5 12 58 40 fine to coarse sand, trace silt locally 70 110 sand & gravel to weathered limestone G025 5- 2N- 9W 121632745200 Baldwin, Harvey Thomas Waste Management of IL StClair Elev: 414GL NW Status: MONIT Lambert X: 2822354 Lambert T comp. date: 06/07/93 Lambert Y: 2052415 Lambert X: 2022334 producing formation: td formation: 10ngitude: 90.125447 17 to td: 39 39 ft. Water from alluvial deposits at depth 17 to 39 Screen: Diam. 2 in. Length: 10 ft. Slot: .01 Casing and Liner Pipe - 
 Diam. (in.)
 Kind and Weight
 From(ft)

 2
 SCH 40, 304 SS
 4

 2
 SCH 40 304 SS SCREEN
 29
 TO(ft) 29 4 39 29 Size hole below casing: 8.25 in. Static level17 ft. below casing top which isPumping level7 ft. when pumping at5 4 ft. above grnd level. 5 gpm for 1 hours. Formations Passed Through Thickness Bottom clay w/silt & sand-bricks-gravel-cinders 8 8 sandy clay - clay w/silt, sand interbed 3 11 fine sand with silt 5 16 fine to fine & medium sand 23 39 121632745300 5- 2N- 9W Baldwin, Harvey Thomas G026 Waste Management of IL StClair Elev: 410GL Status: MONIT NW Lambert X: 2822354 Lambert T comp. date: 06/10/93 Lambert X: 2822354 Lambert Y: 2052415 producing formation: td formation: latitude: 38.656279 longitude: 90.125447 td: 35 Water from alluvial deposits at depth 12 to 35 ft. Screen: Diam. 2 in. Length: 10 ft. Slot: .01 Screen: Diam. 2 in. Length: 10 ft. Casing and Liner Pipe -From(ft) Diam. (in.) Kind and Weight To(ft) SCH 40, 304 SS SCH 40 304 SS SCREEN 3 2 25 35 2 Size hole below casing: 8.25 in. Static level12 ft. below casing top which isPumping level2 ft. when pumping at5 gpm 3 ft. above grnd level. 5 gpm for 1 hours. Formations Passed Through Thickness Bottom clay w/silt sand cinders & brick debris 2 2 -3silt w/sand & clay fine sand 15

Baldwin, Harvey Thomas Waste Management of IL 5- 2N- 9W 121632745400 5- 2N G027 Elev: 415GL StClair NW Status: MONIT permit: none permit date: Lambert X: 2822354 Lambert Y: 2052415 producing formation: td formation: latitude: 38.656279 longitude: 90.125447 comp. date: 06/09/93 td: 40 Water from alluvial deposits at depth 18 to 40 ft. Screen: Diam. 2 in. Length: 10 ft. Slot: .01 Casing and Liner Pipe -Kind and Weight From(ft) To(ft) SCH 40, 304 SS 3 SCH 40 304 SS SCREEN 30 Diam. (in.) Kind and Weight 30 2 2 40 Size hole below casing: 8.25 in. Static level 18 ft. below casing top which is 3 ft. above grnd level. Pumping level 18 ft. when pumping at 5 gpm for 1 hours. Formations Passed Through Thickness Bottom 11 11 clay w/silt, sand, wood debris, rubbish 2 13 silt with sand & clay 28 fine sand 15 4 32 fine 8 40 sand & gravel to sand 5- 21 G028 Elev: 416GL 121632745500Baldwin, Harvey ThomasStClairWaste Management of IL 5- 2N- 9W Status: MONIT NW permit date: comp. date: 06/07/93 permit: none Lambert Y: 2052415 Lambert X: 2822354 td: 41 producing formation: latitude: 38.656279 td formation: longitude: 90.125447 Water from alluvial deposits at depth 19 to 4 Screen: Diam. 2 in. Length: 10 ft. Slot: .01 41 ft. Casing and Liner Pipe ind and Weight From(ft) SCH 40, 304 SS 4 SCH 40 304 SS SCREEN 31 Kind and Weight To(ft) Diam. (in.) 31 2 41 Size hole below casing: 8.25 in. Static level 19 ft. below casing top which is 4 ft. above grnd level. Pumping level 19 ft. when pumping at 5 gpm for 1 hours. Thickness Bottom Formations Passed Through clay w/silt, sand, gravel brick debris
sand & silt to silt-sand-clay 8 . . 8 13 5 fine sand w/silt to trace silt locally 28 41 Baldwin, Harvey Thomas 5- 2N- 9W Waste Management of IL NW 121632745600 StClair Status: MONIT permit: none permit date: Lambert X: 2822354 Lambert Y: 2052415 producing formation comp. date: 06/04/93 td: 34 producing formation: td formation: latitude: 38.656279 longitude: 90.125447 Water from alluvial deposits at depth 14 to 34 ft. Screen: Diam. 2 in. Length: 10 ft. Slot: .01 Casing and Liner Pipe -Kind and Weight From(ft) SCH 40, 304 SS 4 SCH 40 304 SS SCREEN 24 Diam. (in.) Kind and Weight To(ft) 4 2 24 34 2 Size hole below casing: 8.25 in. Static level 14 ft. below casing top which is 4 ft. above grnd level. Pumping level 14 ft. when pumping at 5 gpm for 1 hours. Formations Passed Through Thickness Bottom silt & clay with sand 3 3 21 fine sand tr/silt & gravel, sand & silt fine sand w/silt to sand w/clay & silt 18 **1**3 34

-4-

Baldwin, Harvey Thomas5- 2N- 9WWaste Management of ILG030NWElev: 408GL 121632745700 StClair Status: MONIT permit: none permit date: Lambert X: 2822354 Lambert Y: 2052415 producing formation comp. date: 06/02/93 td: 109 producing formation: td formation: latitude: 38.656279 longitude: 90.125447 Water from alluvial deposits at depth 12 to 109 ft. Screen: Diam. 2 in. Length: 10 ft. Slot: .01 Casing and Liner Pipe -Diam. (in.) Kind and Weight From(ft) To(ft) 2 SCH 40 304 SS 4 5 2 SCH 40 304 SS 4 5 . 99 2 SCH 40 304 SS SCREEN 99 109 Size hole below casing: 8.25 in. Static level12 ft. below casing top which is4 ft. above grnd level.Pumping level12 ft. when pumping at5 gpm for4 hours. Formations Passed Through Thickness Bottom clay w/sand to sand with clay & silt 3 3 fine sand w/silt trace clay locally 8 11 clay tr/silt & sand to clay 18 7 fine to fine medium sand 24 42 fine-coarse sand to sand & gravel 67 109 121632744700Baldwin, Harvey Thomas5- 2NStClairWaste Management of ILR003Status: MONITNWElev: 406GLComp. date: 04/2 5- 2N- 9W Lambert X: 2822354 Lambert V producing formation comp. date: 04/27/94 Lambert X: 2822354 Lambert Y: 2052415 t producing formation: td formation: latitude: 38.656279 longitude: 90.125447 Water from alluvial deposits at depth 0 to 31 Screen: Diam. 2 in. Length: 10 ft. Slot: .01 Casing and Liner Pipe td: 31 31 ft. Casing and Liner Pipe -Diam. (in.) Kind and Weight From(ft) To(ft) 2 . 304 SS RISER 304 SS SCREEN 4 21 2 21 31 Size hole below casing: 10.5 in. Static level 0 ft. below casing top which is 0 ft. above grnd level. Pumping level 0 ft. when pumping at 0 gpm for 0 hours. Thickness Bottom 16 16 Formations Passed Through soft brn-gry clay trace silt & sand 16 med gry coarse-fine sand, trace silt 15 31 121632990600 Geotechnology 6- 2N- 9W Gateway Midstate Truck Plaza StClair Elev: 420 Status: STRAT NW NE SE Lambert X: 2821164 Lambert T permit:permit date:Lambert X: 2821164Lambert Y: 2051016producing formation:td formation:latitude: 38.652394longitude: 90.129603Water from at depth0 to0 ft.Screen: Diam.in.Length:0 ft. comp. date: 08/06/01 td: 0 Casing and Liner Pipe -Diam. (in.) Kind and Weight From(ft) To(ft) Size hole below casing: in. Static level 0 ft. below casing top which is 0 ft. above grnd level. 0 ft. when pumping at 0 gpm for 0 hours. Pumping level 121632697900 Kohnen, Clarence 6- 2N- 9W StClair Gatewaỳ Motorsports Corp Status: WATER Elev: NE SW NE Lambert X: 2819374 producing formation NE SW NE 0 producing formation: latitude: 38.655468 comp. date: 04/24/89 td: 78 td formation: longitude: 90.135932

-5-

Water from brown & gray sand at depth 8 to 77 ft. Screen: Diam. 6 in. Length: 5 ft. Slot: 30 Casing and Liner Pipe -Diam. (in.) Kind and Weight From(ft) To(ft) 6 PVC SDR 21 -1 6 PVC SCREEN #30 SLOT 72 72 78 Size hole below casing: in. Static level 7 ft. below casing top which is 1 ft. above grnd level. Pumping level 0 ft. when pumping at 0 gpm for 0 hours. Formations Passed Through Formations Passed Through Thickness Bottom 7 7 black gumbo 1 8 brown silty clay fine med clean fairly uniform brn sand 20 fine med clean fairly uniform gry sand 37 13 brown silty clay 28 65 78 13 bb to pea size clean gray gravel 0 gravel at 121632966400IL Div. of HighwaysStClairFAI 55/70 over TRRA, CSX & Conrail 18Status: ENG2900 NL 1900 ELElev:permit:permit date:comp. datLambert X: 2819227Lambert Y: 2045593td:producing formation:td formation:latitude:38.637385longitude: 90.136288 7- 2N- 9W Elev: 405GL comp. date: 10/30/96 td: 95 121632966500IL Div. of HighwaysStClairFAI Route 70Status: ENG3400 NL 2300 ELpermit:permit date:Lambert X: 2818835Lambert Y: 2045113producing formation:td formation:latitude: 38.636053longitude: 90.137656 7- 2N- 9W 1 Elev: 416GL comp. date: 06/25/86 td: 51 121632966600IL Div. of Highways7- 2N- 9WStClairFAI Route 703Status: ENG2400 NL 1500 ELElev: 406GLpermit:permit date:comp. date: 06/26/86Lambert X: 2819619Lambert Y: 2046073td: 51producing formation:td formation:latitude: 38.638718longitude: 90.134920 121630189000Watson, Harold L.StClairHunter Packing Co.Status: WATER2000 SL 1000 ELpermit: 0permit date:combert X: 2820132Lambert Y: 2045312producing formation:td formation:latitude: 38.636627longitude: 90.133096Water from at depth0 to0 ft.Screen: Diam. 12 in.Length:26 ft.Casing and Liner Pipe --7- 2N- 9W Elev: 418GL comp. date: 03/01/48 td: 116 Slot: 30 Casing and Liner Pipe -Diam. (in.) Kind and Weight From(ft) To(ft) Size hole below casing: in. Static level 0 ft. below casing top which is 0 ft. above grnd level. Pumping level 0 ft. when pumping at 0 gpm for 0 hours. Formations Passed Through Thickness Bottom SS #23817 0 0 no log 30-30 68 fine sand 38 74 medium sand 6 76 80 90 95 mud 2 4 medium sand & gravel good sand & gravel 10 5 fine sand 21 116 good sand & gravel

-6-

121632705100 7- 2N- 9W • • • Sisk, Gary Drill Co. StClair IL Dept. Transportation Elev: Status: WATER __∩ permit: 021179 permit date: 10/18/91 Lambert X: 2818710 Lambert Y: 2045923 comp. date: 04/06/92 td: 113 producing formation: latitude: 38.638286 Water from sand at depth 60 to 113 ft. Screen: Diam. 16 in. Length: 50 ft. Slot: .07 Casing and Liner Pipe -From(ft) Diam. (in.) Kind and Weight To(ft) 16 STAINLESS .290 63 Size hole below casing: 42 in. Static level 34 ft. below casing top which is 0 ft. above grnd level. Pumping level 39 ft. when pumping at 0 gpm for 1 hours. Thickness Bottom Formations Passed Through 37 silty fine sandy 37 edium fine sandy 30 67 coarse sand & cobbles 27 94 cobbles to boulders 19 113 0 limestone at 113 121632705800 Sisk, Gary Drill Co. 7- 2N- 9W 11A Elev: IL Dept. of Trans StClair 0 Status: WATER comp. date: 04/06/92 permit: 021183 permit date: 10/18/91 Lambert X: 2818710 Lambert Y: 2045923 producing formation: td formation: latitude: 38.638286 longitude: 90.138116 Water from sand at depth 90 to 100 ft. td: 100 Slot: .05 Screen: Diam. 16 in. Length: 50 ft. Casing and Liner Pipe -From(ft) To(ft) Diam. (in.) Kind and Weight 50 16 STAINLESS .250 Size hole below casing: 42 in. Static level25 ft. below casing top which is0 ft. above grnd level.Pumping level35 ft. when pumping at0 gpm for1 hours. Formations Passed Through Thickness Bottom 20 silt fine sand 20 medium fine sand 30 50 coarse sand to cobbles 30 80 cobbles to boulders 100 20 limestone at 0 100 Sisk, Gary Drill Co. IL Dept. of Trans. 121632705700 7- 2N- 9W • W3A Elev: StClair 0 
 permit:
 021181
 permit date:
 10/18/91
 Elev:
 0

 Lambert X:
 2818710
 Lambert Y:
 2045923
 101
 101
 04/06/92
 permit date: 10/18/91 comp Lambert X: 2818710 Lambert Y: 2045923 to producing formation: td formation: latitude: 38.638286 longitude: 90.138116 Water from sand at depth 56 to 106 ft. Screen: Diam. 16 in. Length: 90 ft. Slot: .05 Casing and Liner Pipe -Diam. (in.) From(ft) Diam. (in.) Kind and Weight To(ft) 16 STAINLESS .250 56 Size hole below casing: 42 in. Static level 23 ft. below casing top which is 0 ft. above grnd level. Pumping level 30 ft. when pumping at 0 gpm for 1 hours. Formations Passed Through Thickness Bottom limestone at 0 0 silt & fine sand 27 27 medium fine sand 30 57 coarse sand & cobbles 27 84 106 cobbles & boulders 22

-7-

121632705600Sisk, Gary Drill Co.StClairIL. Dept. Trans 7- 2N- 9W W1-A Lambert X: 2818710 Lambert V 2018/91 Status: WATER Elev: 0 Lambert X: 2818710 Lambert Y: 2045923 to producing formation: td formation: latitude: 38.638286 longitude: 90.138116 Water from sand at depth 60 to 114 ft. Screen: Diam. 16 in. Length: 50 ft. Slot: .07 Casing and Liner Pipe -Diam. (in.) comp. date: 04/06/92 td: 114 Diam. (in.) Kind and Weight From(ft) To(ft) 42 STAINLESS 250 7 64 42 STAINLESS .250 Size hole below casing: 42 in. Static level 34 ft. below casing top which is 0 ft. above grnd level. Pumping level 40 ft. when pumping at 0 gpm for 1 hours. Formations Passed Through Thickness Bottom silt and fine sand 38 . 38 68 95 30 medium fine sand coarse sand to cobbles 27 19 114 cobbles to boulders limestone at 0 114 121632371500 Luhr Brothers, Inc. 7- 2N- 9W ..8 StClair State Of Illinois یں Elev: 0 Status: WATER permit: NF16957 permit date: comp Lambert X: 2818710 Lambert Y: 2045923 to producing formation: td formation: latitude: 38.638286 longitude: 90.138116 Water from at depth 0 to 0 ft. Screen: Diam. 16 in. Length: 60 ft. Slot: .08 Casing and Liner Pirc comp. date: 03/01/73 td: 89 Casing and Liner Pipe -) To(ft) 0 Diam. (in.) Kind and Weight From(ft) 16 STAINLESS STEEL 0 16 Size hole below casing: in. Static level 0 ft. below casing top which is 0 ft. above grnd level. Pumping level 0 ft. when pumping at 0 gpm for 0 hours. Formations Passed Through Thickness Bottom 5 5 brown dirt 10 5 brown dirt with fine brown sand 15 40 very fine sand 5 25 very fine gray sand coarse sand with small gravel 5 45 medium crs s w/ traces of small gravel 5 50 10 60 medium fine sand with small gravel 65 70 med f s w/ sm gvl & trs of cbbls 5 medium fine sand 5 . 80 coarse sand with small gravel 10 5 85 f s mixed w/ sm & med crs gvl 4 89 f s w/ sm & med crs gvl w/ some cbbls. 121632370800 Luhr Brothers, Inc. 7- 2N- 9W 1 StClair State of Illinois I Elev: O Status: WATER permit: permit date: Lambert X: 2818710 Lambert Y: 2045923 producing formation: td formation: latitude: 38.638286 longitude: 90.138116 Water from at depth 0 to 0 ft. Screen: Diam. 16 in. Length: 60 ft. Slot: Casing and Liner Pipe comp. date: 11/28/72 td: 114 Slot: .08 Casing and Liner Pipe -Diam. (in.) Kind and Weight From(ft) To(ft) 16 STAINLESS STEEL 0 52 Size hole below casing: in. Static level 0 ft. below casing top which is 0 ft. above grnd level. Pumping level 0 ft. when pumping at 0 gpm for 0 hours. Thickness Bottom Formations Passed Through SS #58913 0 0

brown clay 5 -5 10 · 15 fine tan sand 5 20 gray clay 25 gray clay & crs s w/ traces of sm gravel 5 11 36 medium fine tan sand 40 med f gry s w/ traces of gry clay Λ 5 45 fine gray sand medium coarse brown sand 5 50 medium fair gray sand coarse sand & small gravel 10 60 5 65 5 70 medium fine gray sand med f gry&med crs gvl w/trs of sm cbbls 5 75 coarse sand & medium coarse gravel 5 80 5 85 coarse sand & medium cobbles med f brn s w/ med crs gravel 5 90 fine gray sand 5 - 95 vy crs s w/ pea size to med crs gravel med f brn s w/ traces of lrg cobbles 5 100 med f brn s w/ traces of lrg cobbles 5 105 med crs brn s w/ med crs gravel 5 110 vy crs s w/ sm & crs gravel 114 121632371700Luhr Brothers, Inc.StClairState of Illinois 7- 2N- 9W 10 Elev: 0 Status: WATER Status: WATERpermit: NF16959permit date:Lambert X: 2818710Lambert Y: 2045923producing formation:td formation:latitude: 38.638286longitude: 90.138116Water from at depth0 to0 ft.Screen: Diam. 16 in.Length:60 ft.Slot:Slot: comp. date: 11/09/72 td: 109 Slot: .08 Casing and Liner Pipe -Diam. (in.) Kind and Weight From(ft) To(ft) 16 STAINLESS STEEL 0 4 Size hole below casing: 42 in. Static level 0 ft. below casing top which is 0 ft. above grnd level. Pumping level 0 ft. when pumping at 0 gpm for 0 hours. Formations Passed Through Thickness Potter Thickness Bottom Formations Passed Through 18 clay 18 very fine sand 50 32 3 7 5 53 medium sand medium coarse gray sand with pea gravel 60 - 65 medium fine gray sand coarse gray sand with pea gravel 10 75 coarse gray sand with gravel to 3/4" 5 80 5 5 vy crs gry s w/ gvl to 1 1/2" 85 90 medium coarse sand 5 5 5 vy crs gry s w/ pea gvl vy crs gry s w/ gvl to 3/4" 95 100 7 med crs gry s w/ gvl to 3/4" 107 vy crs gry s w/ gvl to 2" 109 121632371800 Luhr Brothers, Inc. 7- 2N- 9W 11 Elev: StClair State of Illinois Status: WATER permit: NF16960 permit date: Lambert X: 2818710 Lambert Y: 2045923 producing formation: td formation: latitude: 38.638286 longitude: 90.138116 Water from at depth 0 to 0 ft. Screen: Diam. 16 in. Length: 60 ft. Slot: Casing and Liner Pipe -Diam (in ) Kind and Weight From(ft) StClair State of Illinois 0 comp. date: 04/13/73 td: 102 Slot: .08 Diam. (in.) Kind and Weight From(ft) To(ft) 16 STAINLESS STEEL 0 31 Size hole below casing: in. Static level 0 ft. below casing top which is 0 ft. above grnd level. Pumping level 0 ft. when pumping at 0 gpm for 0 hours. Thickness Bottom Formations Passed Through SS #58942 · 0 0

5 brown dirt 10 15 fine tan sand 20 5 gray clay 5 25 fine tan sand 30 very fine tan sand 5 40 coarse sand w/ traces of small gravel 10 45 med crs s w/ sm gvl -5 10 55 vyfs med f s w/ sm & med qvl 5 60 5 5 65 coarse sand 70 med f s w/ sm to med crs gvl 5 75 med crs s w/ sm & med crs gvl 5 80 crs s w/ trs of sm gvl med crs s w/ trs of sm gvl 5 85 f & med f s mxd w/ sm gvl 95 10 102 crs s w/ sm gvl to cobbles 7 7- 2N- 9W 121632371900 Luhr Brothers, Inc. 12 State of Illinois StClair 0 Elev: Status: WATER Lambert X: 2818710 Lambert V producing formation comp. date: 04/19/73 Lambert X: 2818710 producing formation: latitude: 38.638286 Water from at depth 0 to 0 ft. Screen: Diam. 16 in. Casing and Liner Pipe td: 95 60 ft. Slot: .08 Casing and Liner Pipe -Diam. (in.) Kind and Weight From(ft) 16 STAINLESS STEEL 0 To(ft) 27 Size hole below casing: in. Static level0 ft. below casing top which is0 ft. above grndPumping level0 ft. when pumping at0 gpm for0 hours. 0 ft. above grnd level. Thickness Bottom Formations Passed Through 0 0 SS #58943 5 5 brown dirt mixed with gray silt 15 10 very fine tan sand 5 20 medium coarse sand mixed w/ small gvl 25 5 med f s mxd s/ sm gvl 30 5 medium fine tan sand 35 5 fine sand 5 40 medium coarse sand with small gravel 5 45 med f gray sand 60 75 80 15 coarse sand 15 medium coarse sand mxd w/ small gravel 5 5 med crs s w/ sm & med crs gvl . 85 fine tan sand with small coarse gravel 5 90 med / crs s w/ sm & med crs gvl 95 med f&med crs s w/sm/vy crs gvl (cbbls) 5 121632370900 7-2N-9W Luhr Brothers, Inc. State of Illinois . 2 StClair Elev: 0 Status: WATER Status: WATERpermit: NF16951permit date:Lambert X: 2818710Lambert Y: 2045923producing formation:td formation:latitude: 38.638286longitude: 90.138116Water from at depth0 to0 ft.Screen: Diam. 16 in.Length: 60 ft.Slot: comp. date: 11/01/72 td: 110 Slot: .08 Casing and Liner Pipe -From(ft) To(ft) Diam. (in.) Kind and Weight 50 STAINLESS STEEL 16 Size hole below casing: 42 in. Static level0 ft. below casing top which is0 ft. above grnd level.Pumping level0 ft. when pumping at0 gpm for0 hours. Thickness Bottom Formations Passed Through 5 brown silt 5 5 -10 brown silt & small gravel 5 15 brown silt

20 5 gray clay 5 25 coarse sand with small gravel 30 fine tan sand 5 35 very fine tan sand 5 very fine gray sand 5 40 very fine gray sand 15 55 fine gray sand 5 60 65 fine sand with a trace of clay crs sd w/ small and medium coarse gravel 5 70 - 75 5 medium coarse sand with small gravel 5 med f gry sand w/ traces of small gravel 80 med f s w/ crs & med crs gravel med crs sand w/ crs gravel 5 85 5 90 coarse sand with small gravel 5 95 5 100 medium coarse tan sand 5 medium tan coarse sand 105 5 med crs s w/sm to crs gvl & trs of cbbls 110121632371000Luhr Brothers, Inc.StClairState of Illinois 7- 2N- 9W 3 Elev: Status: WATER El permit date: comp Lambert X: 2818710 Lambert Y: 2045923 to producing formation: td formation: latitude: 38.638286 longitude: 90.138116 Water from at depth 0 to 0 ft. Screen: Diam. 16 in. Length: 60 ft. Slot: .08 Casing and Liner Pipe -Diam. (in.) comp. date: 01/03/73 td: 104 Diam. (in.) Kind and Weight From(ft) 16 STAINLESS STEEL 0 To(ft) 44 Size hole below casing: 42 in. Static level 0 ft. below casing top which is 0 ft. above grnd level. Pumping level 0 ft. when pumping at 0 gpm for 0 hours. Formations Passed Through Thickness Bottom 5 5 brown clay very fine tan sand very fine tan sand 5 10 15 25 fine tan sand with gray clay 5 30 35 fine tan sand with traces of gray clay 5 45 very fine gray sand 10 med f s with traces of med crs rock 5 · 50 60 coarse sand and small gravel 10 coarse sand and small gravel10medium fine sand with small gravel5medium coarse sand with small gravel5medium tan sand5fine tan sand with very coarse rock5coarse sand with small and med crs gvl5pea size gravel with medium coarse rock5fine tan sand with traces of cobbles5f tan s w/ sm gvl & traces of crs rock5very coarse rock cobbles4 medium fine sand with small gravel 65 70 75 80 85 90 95 - 100 104 121632371100Luhr Brothers, Inc.StClairState of Illinois 7- 2N- 9W 4 Elev: 0 Status: WATER permit: NF16953 permit date: Lambert X: 2818710 Lambert Y: 2045923 producing formation: td formation: latitude: 38.638286 longitude: 90.138116 Water from at depth 0 to 0 ft. Screen: Diam. 16 in. Length: 60 ft. Slot: comp. date: 05/15/73 td: 95 Slot: .08 Casing and Liner Pipe ng and Liner Pipe -Diam. (in.) Kind and Weight From(ft) To(ft) 16 STAINLESS STEEL 0 25 Size hole below casing: in. Static level 0 ft. below casing top which is 0 ft. above grnd level. Pumping level 0 ft. when pumping at 0 gpm for 0 hours. Thickness Bottom Formations Passed Through

SS #58945 0 0 gray clay 5 5 very fine sand 5 10 gry clay 5 15 very fine sand 15 30 medium fine sand 5 35 5 fine sand 40 5 fine sand with small gravel 45 55555 coarse sand with small gravel 50 med f to crs s w/ traces of sm gravel 55 med crs s w/ traces of sm gravel 60 f to crs s w/ sm to med size gravel med crs to crs s w/ sm to med crs gvl 65 5 70 [.] 75 small to medium coarse gravel 5 med f to med crs s w/ sm to med crs gvl 5 80 fine sand to medium coarse sand 5 85 crs s w/ sm to crs gvl (rock) crs s w/ sm to crs gravel (rock) 5 90 5 95 121632371200Luhr Brothers, Inc.StClairState of Illinois 7- 2N- 9W - 5 State of Illinois Elev: 0 Status: WATER permit: NF16954 permit: NF16954 permit date: Lambert X: 2818710 Lambert Y: 2045923 comp. date: 03/27/73 td: 91 producing formation: latitude: 38.638286 longitude: 90.138116 Water from at depth 0 to 0 ft. Screen: Diam. 16 in. Length: 60 ft. Slot: .08 Casing and Liner Pipe -Diam. (in.) Kind and Weight From(ft) To(ft) 16 STAINLESS STEEL 0 21 Size hole below casing: in. Static level 0 ft. below casing top which is 0 ft. above grnd level. Pumping level 0 ft. when pumping at 0 gpm for 0 hours. Formations Passed Through Thickness Bottom SS #58946 0 0 brown dirt 5 5 10 15 fine sand 10 5 25 coarse sand with small gravel crs s w/ sm gvl & trs of med crs gvl 30 med f s w/ sm gvl 5 35 5 fine sand 40 15 crs s w/ trs of sm gravel 55 med crs s w/ sm gvl
med crs s w/ sm gvl & trs of lg gvl 5 60 5 65 medium fine sand with small gravel 5 70 med crs s w/ sm & med crs gvl 5 75 5 5 6 vy crs s w/ sm & med crs gvl 80 med crs s w/ sm & med crs gvl 85 med f s w/ sm gvl to crs rock 91 7- 2N- 9W 121632371300 Luhr Brothers, Inc. 6 State of Illinois Elev: StClair Status: WATER 0 permit: NF16955 permit date: Lambert X: 2818710 Lambert Y: 2045923 producing formation: td formation: latitude: 38.638286 longitude: 90.138116 Water from at depth 0 to 0 ft. Screen: Diam. 16 in. Length: 60 ft. Slot: Casing and Liner Pipe comp. date: 05/10/73 td: 94 Slot: .08 Casing and Liner Pipe -Diam. (in.) Kind and Weight From(ft) 16 STAINLESS STEEL ) To(ft) 0 22 Size hole below casing: in. Static level0 ft. below casing top which is0 ft. above grnd level.Pumping level0 ft. when pumping at0 gpm for0 hours. Formations Passed Through Thickness Bottom SS #58941 0 0

5 gray clay 10 5 fine sand 5 5 15 fine sand with small gravel 20 med crs to crs s w/ sm gvl med fine sand w/traces of small gravel 5 25 3.0 med crs to crs sand 35 medium coarse sand fine sand 40 45 med crs sand 5 50 fine sand 10 60 coarse sand with small gravel 5 coarse sand with coarse rock 65 5 medium coarse to coarse sand 70 75 coarse sand mixed with small gravel 5 coarse sand with small gravel 80 crse s w/ sm gvl w/ trs med crs gvl 5 85 9 94 crs s w/ sm to med crs gvl 121632371400 Luhr Brothers, Inc. StClair State of Illinois Status: WATER 7- 2N- 9W 7 Status: WATER permit: NF16956 permit date: Lambert X: 2818710 Lambert Y: 204592 Elev: 0 Lambert X: 2818710 Lambert Y: 2045923 to producing formation: td formation: latitude: 38.638286 longitude: 90.138116 Water from at depth 0 to 0 ft. Screen: Diam. 16 in. Length: 60 ft. Slot: .08 Casing and Liner Pipe -Diam. (in.) comp. date: 02/23/73 td: 90 Diam. (in.) Kind and Weight From(ft) 16 STAINLESS STEEL 0 To(ft) 20 Size hole below casing: in. Static level 0 ft. below casing top which is 0 ft. above grnd level. Pumping level 0 ft. when pumping at 0 gpm for 0 hours. Formations Passed Through Thickness Bottom 5 5 brown dirt & gray silt fine sand with small gravel 10 15 5 5 f s & vy sm gvl w/ trs of gry sl 20 very fine sand 25 5 30 medium fine sand 5 35 f & med crs s mxd w/ sm gvl 10 very fine gray sand 45 med crs s w/ sm gvl w/ trs of cbbls
med crs s w/ sm gvl 5 50 5 55 f s mxd w/ trs of sm gvl 5 60 f s mxd w/ trs of sm gvl and cbbls 5 65 med f tan sand small & medium crs gvl 5 70 5 75 5 coarse sand with very small gravel 80 5 med crs s w/ sm gvl & cbbls 85 vy sm to crs gvl & cbbls 5 90 121632371600Luhr Brothers, Inc.StClairState of Illinois 7- 2N- 9W 9 121032371000Hunt Brothers, Inc.StClairState of IllinoisStatus: WATERpermit: NF16958permit date:Lambert X: 2818710Lambert Y: 2045923producing formation:latitude: 38.638286longitude: 90.138116Water from at depth0 toWater from at depth0 to0 ft.Screen: Diam. 16 in.Length:60 ft.Slot:Casing and Liner Pipe -ک Elev: 0 comp. date: 02/15/73 td: 101 Slot: .08 Casing and Liner Pipe -Diam. (in.) Kind and Weight From(ft) To(ft) 16 STAINLESS STEEL 0 35 Size hole below casing: in. Static level 0 ft. below casing top which is 0 ft. above grnd level. Pumping level 0 ft. when pumping at 0 gpm for 0 hours. Formations Passed Through Thickness Bottom SS #58908 0 0

brown dirt 5 5 10 5 fine sand with very small gravel 5 15 gray silt 5 20 very fine sand gray clay covered w/ fine sand 5 25 5 very fine sand 30 5 35 gray silk covered with fine sand very fine sand 10 45 5 50 very fine gray s w/ traces of sm gvl 5 med f s w/ traces of sm gvl 55 5 med crs s w/ sm gvl & a tr of gry cl 60 5 65 vy f gry s w/some sm gvl&trs of lrgr gvl med crs s w/ sm gv1 10 75 80 5 f s mxd w/ sm gvl 5 85 med crs s w/ sm gvl med f & med crs s w/sm trs of lrgr gvl 5 90 5 95 med f s w/some sm & lrgr gvl med crs s w/sm to lrg gvl & cbbls 6 101

8- 2N- 9W 121632966700 IL Div. of Highways IL 203 over FAI 55/70 2 N Abut StClair Elev: 430GL Status: ENG NL 500 WL comp. date: 12/14/95 permit date: permit: Lambert X: 2821579 Lambert Y: 2048409 td: 94 producing formation: td formation: latitude: 38.645205 longitude: 90.128078

-14-

## Exhibit 2

.



i