

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 03/25/2026 P.C. #22

From: [Pam Roessler](#)
To: [Halloran, Brad](#); [Brown, Don](#)
Subject: [External] Citgo pollution!
Date: Tuesday, March 24, 2026 10:21:44 PM

We are all watching!

I oppose CITGO's request for emissions relief. They have already been cited for violations during these conditions. This should be fixed, not excused. The lack of local public notice is also unacceptable. Please deny this petition.

I live near CITGO and oppose this petition. A company with repeated violations should fix the issue, not be granted relief. Our community was also not properly notified, which is unfair. Please deny this petition.

I oppose CITGO's petition and am especially concerned about how it was communicated. Publishing notice outside of Romeoville and Lemont limited denied. As awareness. That is not a fair or transparent process. This petition should be denied.

As a resident near CITGO, I oppose this petition. We are already impacted by emissions, and this request would allow more of the same instead of requiring solutions. Our community also wasn't properly notified. Please deny this request.

I respectfully ask that CITGO's petition be denied. They have documented violations during the same conditions they are now seeking relief for. This raises serious concerns. Additionally, local residents were not properly informed. CITGO has repeatedly exceeded CO limits during startup and shutdown. Granting relief would normalize these violations rather than require correction. This is not in the best interest of surrounding communities. Please deny the petition.

Thank you for caring!
Sent from my iPhone