RECEIVED CLERK'S OFFICE

BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

DEC 23 2003

GINA PATTERMANN,	STATE OF ILLINOIS Pollution Control Board
Complainant,)
) DCD No. 00 197
v.) PCB No. 99-187
) (Citizens Enforcement - Noise, Air)
BOUGHTON TRUCKING AND	
MATERIALS, INC.,	
Respondent.	

NOTICE OF FILING

TO: See Attached Certificate of Service

Please take notice that on December 23, 2003, I filed with the Illinois Pollution Control Board an original and nine copies of this Notice of Filing and the attached Boughton's Motion for Board Action on Motion for Summary Judgment, copies of which are attached and hereby served upon you.

Dated: December 23, 2003

BOUGHTON TRUCKING AND MATERIALS, INC.

By:

Mark R. Ter Molen, Esq.
Patricia F. Sharkey, Esq.
Kevin G. Desharnais, Esq.
MAYER, BROWN, ROWE & MAW LLP
190 S. LaSalle Street
Chicago, Illinois 60603
(312) 782-0600

BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

RECEIVED CLERK'S OFFICE

DEC 23 2003

		DEC 29 7003	
GINA PATTERMANN,)	STATE OF ILLINOIS	
Complainant,) PCB 99-187	Pollution Control Board	
v.) (Citizen Enforcement –) Noise, Air)		
BOUGHTON TRUCKING AND MATERIALS, INC.,			
Respondent.)		

MOTION FOR BOARD ACTION ON MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

NOW COMES Respondent, Boughton Trucking and Materials, Inc. ("Boughton"), by its attorneys, Mayer, Brown, Rowe & Maw LLP, and moves the Board to act on Respondent's pending Motion for Summary Judgment filed on November 10, 2003.

In support thereof, Respondent states:

- 1. Plaintiff, by Hearing Officer order and agreement of the parties, was granted in excess of the fourteen day response period provided by rule to respond to Respondent's Motion for Summary Judgment. In fact, Plaintiff was given thirty-seven days to file its response. Her response was due December 17, 2003.
- 2. Plaintiff has failed to respond and has also failed to file a motion for extension of time to respond.
- 3. Plaintiff's failure to respond constitutes a waiver of any objection to the granting of the Motion for Summary Judgment. 35 Ill. Admin. Code 101.500(d). It effectively admits the uncontested facts in the Motion for Summary Judgment. A party opposing a motion for

summary judgment may not rest on its pleadings, but must "present a factual basis which would arguably entitle [it] to a judgment." <u>Gauthier v. Westfall</u>, 266 Ill. App. 3d 213, 219, 639 N.E. 2d 994 (2d Dist. 1994).

- 4. Although Plaintiff's waiver of its response is not binding on the Board, it does allow the Board to go forward and act on the Motion for Summary Judgment without further delay. Section 5 of the Environmental Protection Act ("Act") (735 ILCS 5/2-1005(c)) states: "The judgment sought shall be rendered without delay if the pleadings, depositions, and admissions on file, together with the affidavits, if any, show that there is no genuine issue as to any material fact and that the moving party is entitled to a judgment as a matter of law."
- 5. All admissible evidence is now before the Board in the detailed Motion for Summary Judgment filed by Respondent, including sworn affidavits, deposition transcripts and other exhibits. This evidence includes all facts relevant to the Board's consideration of the allegations in the Complaint was well as to the Section 33(c) factors, and, if the Board finds it necessary to consider a civil penalty, to the Section 42 (h) factors.
- 6. Further delay in Board action on the Motion for Summary Judgment is unwarranted. The Complaint in this case was filed over four years ago and Plaintiff has dragged her feet ever since, repeatedly failing to meet deadlines or provide facts substantiating the claims in the Complaint.
- 7. The Motion for Summary Judgment is ripe for Board decision and Respondent urges the Board to take action on that motion without further delay.

WHEREFORE, Respondent moves the Board to grant its November 10, 2003 Motion for Summary Judgment without further delay.

Respectfully submitted,

December 23, 2003

Boughton Trucking and Materials, Inc.

By One of Its Attorneys

Patricia F. Sharkey Kevin Desharnais Mark R. Ter Molen Mayer, Brown, Rowe & Maw LLP 190 South LaSalle Street Chicago, IL 60603 312-782-0600 Attorney Registration No. 6181113

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Patricia F. Sharkey, an attorney, hereby certifies that a copy of the attached Notice of Filing and Boughton's Motion for Board Action on Motion for Summary Judgment was served on the persons listed below by First Class U.S. Mail, proper postage prepaid, on December 23, 2003.

Bradley Halloran Illinois Pollution Control Board 100 West Randolph Street Chicago, IL 60601 (Courtesy Copy)

Michael S. Blazer Matthew E. Cohn The Jeff Diver Group, LLC 1749 S. Naperville Road, Suite #102 Wheaton, IL 60187 (U.S. Mail)

Kenneth A. Carlson Tracy Johnson & Wilson Sixth Floor, Two Rialto Square 116 North Chicago Street Joliet, IL 60432 (U.S. Mail)

Patricia F. Sharkey

Patricia F. Sharkey Attorney for Respondents Mayer, Brown, Rowe & Maw LLP 190 South LaSalle Street Chicago, Illinois 60603 312-782-0600