BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

MATE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.		RECEIVED CLERK'S OFFICE
Complainant,	* .	FFR 1 0 2
v.)	PCB No. 2004-075	FEB 1 0 2004 STATE OF ILLINOIS Pollution Control Board
F.I.C. AMERICA CORPORATION	(Enforcement X)	Control Board
Respondent.)		

NOTICE OF FILING

TO: Carey S. Rosemarin
Law Offices of Carey S. Rosemarin, P.C.
500 Skokie Boulevard, Suite 510
Northbrook, IL 60062

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on the 10th day of February, 2004 F.I.C AMERICA CORPORATION, by and through its attorneys, Jeremy A. Gibson and Mitchell Chaban of MASUDA, FUNAI, EIFERT & MITCHELL, LTD., shall file its MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE REPLY BRIEF INSTANTER with the Office of the Clerk of the Pollution Control Board, a copy of which is hereby served upon you.

One of Its Attorneys

Jeremy A. Gibson Mitchell S. Chaban Masuda, Funai, Eifert & Mitchell, Ltd. 203 N.LaSalle Street, Suite 2500 Chicago, Illinois 60601 (312) 245-7500

PROOF OF SERVICE

Emula Luc ?!

Subscribed and sworn to before the this in day of All Man 12004.

Notary Public

OFFICIAL SEAL KATHLEEN E BARRY NOTARY PUBLIC STATE OF ILLINOIS MY COMMISSION EXP. MAY 10,2004,

BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

MATE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.		
Complainant,)		CLERK'S OFFICE
)		FEB 1 0 2004
v.)	PCB No. 2004-075 (Enforcement X)	STATE OF ILLIAM
F.I.C. AMERICA CORPORATION	,	STATE OF ILLINOIS Pollution Control Board
Respondent.)		

MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE REPLY BRIEF INSTANTER

Respondent, F.I.C. AMERICA CORPORATION ("FIC") hereby moves for leave to file instanter its Reply of Respondent ("Reply") in support of its Motion to Dismiss or, in the alternative, Strike ("Motion"), which is attached.

- 1. Complainant, MATE TECHNOLOGIES, INC. ("Mate") has filed a complex 9-count Complaint. The Complaint and Mate's Response to the Motion ("Response") concern novel theories of liability for which there is little precedent directly on point. The Reply addresses and clarifies many points introduced by Mate. FIC would be materially prejudiced if it is not allowed to reply to the theories and arguments raised by the Response.
- 2. Mate has attached to the Response an exhibit purporting to be an FIC employee complaint to the U.S. Occupational Safety and Health Administration. This is an inappropriate effort to introduce factual information, as explained by the attached Reply. FIC would be materially prejudiced if it is not allowed to reply to Mate's assertions.

3. Mate served its Response to the wrong address, the former address of FIC's counsel. The Response served by Mate was not received by FIC counsel until on or about January 29, 2003. FIC's counsel has since reminded Mate's counsel of the correct address.

For the foregoing reasons, the Reply should be accepted instanter.

Respectfully submitted,

One of the Attorneys for Respondent

Jeremy A. Gibson Mitchell S. Chaban MASUDA, FUNAI, EIFERT & MITCHELL, LTD. 203 North LaSalle Street, Suite 2500 Chicago, Illinois 60601

PROOF OF SERVICE

•

Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of Alliand 2004.

Notary Public

OFFICIAL SEAL KATHLEEN E BARRY NOTARY PUBLIC STATE OF ILLINOIS MY COMMISSION EXP. MAY 10,2004