ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD February 16, 2017

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS,)	
Complainant,))	
v.))	PCB 17-45
MAGNA TAX SERVICE CO., INC.,)	(Enforcement - Land)
Respondent.))	

ORDER OF THE BOARD (by C.K. Zalewski):

On February 2, 2017, the Office of the Attorney General, on behalf of the People of the State of Illinois (People), filed a five-count complaint against Magna Tax Service Co., Inc. (Magna). The complaint concerns Magna's property, the former site of the Young Radiator factory at 120 North 14th Street in Mattoon, Coles County (site). For the reasons below, the Board accepts the complaint for hearing.

Under the Environmental Protection Act (Act), the Attorney General may bring actions before the Board to enforce Illinois' environmental requirements on behalf of the People. 415 ILCS 5/31 (2014); 35 Ill. Adm. Code 103. In this case, the People allege that Magna violated Sections 12(a), 12(d), 21(a), 21(d)(2), and 21(e) of the Act and Sections 722.111 and 812.101(a) of the Board's waste disposal regulations by:

<u>Count I</u>: causing or allowing dark liquid to be consolidated at the site and causing or allowing an underground storage tank (UST) to discharge, deposit, spill, or leak waste material into the environment;

<u>Count II</u>: failing to determine whether the waste released from the UST was a hazardous waste or special waste;

<u>Count III</u>: failing to apply for and maintain a waste disposal permit for the site; <u>Count IV</u>: causing, threatening, or allowing the discharge of contaminants in close proximity to groundwater; and

<u>Count V</u>: depositing contaminants on the site in such place and manner so as to create a water pollution hazard. 415 ILCS 5/12(a), 12(d), 21(a), 21(d)(2), 21(e) (2014); 35 Ill. Adm. Code 722.111, 812.101(a)).

The People ask that Magna pay civil penalties of up to \$50,000 for each violation and up to \$10,000 for each day during which each violation continued. The People also ask that the Board order Magna to undertake action necessary to correct violations of Section 12(a) and 12(d) of the Act. The People request that the Board: award the People their costs and reasonable attorney fees; order Magna to cease and desist from any further violations of the Act and regulations; and grant other relief as the Board may deem appropriate.

The Board finds that the complaint meets the content requirements of the Board's procedural rules and accepts the complaint for hearing. *See* 35 Ill. Adm. Code 103.204(c), (f), 103.212(c). Magna's failure to file an answer to the complaint within 60 days after receiving the complaint may have severe consequences. Generally, if Magna fails to file an answer within that time specifically denying, or asserting insufficient knowledge to form a belief of, a material allegation in the complaint, the Board will consider Magna to have admitted the allegation. *See* 35 Ill. Adm. Code 103.204(d).

The Board directs the hearing officer to proceed expeditiously to hearing. Upon its own motion or the motion of any party, the Board or the hearing officer may order that the hearing be held by videoconference. In deciding whether to hold the hearing by videoconference, factors that the Board or the hearing officer will consider include cost-effectiveness, efficiency, facility accommodations, witness availability, public interest, the parties' preferences, and the proceeding's complexity and contentiousness. *See* 35 Ill. Adm. Code 101.600(b), 103.108.

Among the hearing officer's responsibilities is the "duty . . . to ensure development of a clear, complete, and concise record for timely transmission to the Board." 35 Ill. Adm. Code 101.610. A complete record in an enforcement case thoroughly addresses, among other things, the appropriate remedy, if any, for the alleged violations, including any civil penalty.

If a complainant proves an alleged violation, the Board considers the factors set forth in Sections 33(c) and 42(h) of the Act to fashion an appropriate remedy for the violation. *See* 415 ILCS 5/33(c), 42(h) (2014). Specifically, the Board considers the Section 33(c) factors in determining, first, what to order the respondent to do to correct an on-going violation, if any, and, second, whether to order the respondent to pay a civil penalty. The factors provided in Section 33(c) bear on the reasonableness of the circumstances surrounding the violation, such as the character and degree of any resulting interference with protecting public health, the technical practicability and economic reasonableness of compliance, and whether the respondent has subsequently eliminated the violation.

If, after considering the Section 33(c) factors, the Board decides to impose a civil penalty on the respondent, only then does the Board consider the Act's Section 42(h) factors in determining the appropriate amount of the civil penalty. Section 42(h) sets forth factors that may mitigate or aggravate the civil penalty amount. These factors include the following: the duration and gravity of the violation; whether the respondent showed due diligence in attempting to comply; any economic benefits that the respondent accrued from delaying compliance based upon the "lowest cost alternative for achieving compliance"; the need to deter further violations by the respondent and others similarly situated; and whether the respondent "voluntarily self-disclosed" the violation. 415 ILCS 5/42(h) (2014). Section 42(h) requires the Board to ensure that the penalty is "at least as great as the economic benefits, if any, accrued by the respondent as a result of the violation, unless the Board finds that imposition of such penalty would result in an arbitrary or unreasonable financial hardship." *Id.* Such penalty, however, "may be off-set in whole or in part pursuant to a supplemental environmental project agreed to by the complainant and the respondent." *Id.*

Accordingly, the Board further directs the hearing officer to advise the parties that in summary judgment motions and responses, at hearing, and in briefs, each party should consider: (1) proposing a remedy for a violation, if any (including whether to impose a civil penalty), and supporting its position with facts and arguments that address any or all of the Section 33(c) factors; and (2) proposing a civil penalty, if any (including a specific total dollar amount and the portion of that amount attributable to the respondent's economic benefit, if any, from delayed compliance), and supporting its position with facts and arguments that address any or all of the Section 42(h) factors. The Board also directs the hearing officer to advise the parties to address these issues in any stipulation and proposed settlement that may be filed with the Board.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

I, Don A Brown, Assistant Clerk of the Illinois Pollution Control Board, certify that the Board adopted the above order on February 16, 2017, by a vote of 5-0.

(1)on a. Brown

Don A. Brown, Assistant Clerk Illinois Pollution Control Board