

**JOINT COMMITTEE ON ADMINISTRATIVE RULES**  
ILLINOIS GENERAL ASSEMBLY

CO-CHAIR:  
SEN. DON HARMON

CO-CHAIR:  
REP. KEITH WHEELER

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR:  
VICKI THOMAS



700 STRATTON BUILDING  
SPRINGFIELD, ILLINOIS 62706  
217/785-2254

**MEMORANDUM**

SEN. PAMELA ALTHOFF  
SEN. KAREN McCONAUGHAY  
SEN. TONY MUÑOZ  
SEN. IRA SILVERSTEIN  
SEN. CHUCK WEAVER  
REP. PETER BREEN  
REP. BARBARA FLYNN CURRIE  
REP. TOM DEMMER  
REP. GREG HARRIS  
REP. ANDRÉ THAPEDI

RECEIVED  
CLERK'S OFFICE

OCT 22 2018

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
Pollution Control Board

TO: Katie Papadimitriu, Chairman  
Pollution Control Board

FROM: Vicki Thomas  
Executive Director

DATE: 10/19/2018

RE: Design, Operation and Maintenance Criteria (35 Ill. Adm. Code 604)  
42 Ill. Reg. 14523 - 8/10/18

Pursuant to Section 5-40(c) of the Administrative Procedure Act, JCAR is requesting from your agency an analysis of the economic and budgetary effects of the above-referenced rulemaking. The analysis can be completed using the standard JCAR economic impact form and can be transmitted to JCAR as soon as it is ready, but at least by the time the agency submits its Second Notice on this rulemaking.

JCAR staff will contact you if they need any further economic information not covered by your submission of the form. The information from the economic and budgetary analysis will be presented to the members of JCAR as part of the staff's review of this issue.

Thank you for your cooperation. If you have any questions regarding this request, please contact us at 217/785-2254.

VT:JE:cw  
cc: Don Brown

**JOINT COMMITTEE ON ADMINISTRATIVE RULES**  
ILLINOIS GENERAL ASSEMBLY

CO-CHAIR:  
SEN. DON HARMON

CO-CHAIR:  
REP. KEITH WHEELER

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR:  
VICKI THOMAS



700 STRATTON BUILDING  
SPRINGFIELD, ILLINOIS 62706  
217785-2254

**MEMORANDUM**

SEN. PAMELA ALTHOFF  
SEN. KAREN M<sup>c</sup>CONNAUGHAY  
SEN. TONY MUÑOZ  
SEN. IRA SILVERSTEIN  
SEN. CHUCK WEAVER  
REP. PETER BREEN  
REP. BARBARA FLYNN CURRIE  
REP. TOM DEMMER  
REP. GREG HARRIS  
REP. ANDRÉ THAPEDI

RECEIVED  
CLERK'S OFFICE

OCT 22 2018

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
Pollution Control Board

TO: Katie Papadimitriu, Chairman  
Pollution Control Board

FROM: Vicki Thomas  
Executive Director

DATE: October 19, 2018

RE: Design, Operation and Maintenance Criteria (35 Ill. Adm. Code 604)  
42 Ill. Reg. 14523 - 8/10/18

Pursuant to Section 5-40(b) of the Illinois Administrative Procedure Act, the Committee is requesting from your agency an analysis of the effects of the above-referenced rulemaking on units of local government, school districts, or community college districts, pursuant to the State Mandates Act, as it appears that this rulemaking may create or expand a State mandate on these entities.

The enclosed illustration entitled "State Mandates Act Questionnaire" indicates the information to be provided as a part of the Second Notice submitted to JCAR.

If you have any questions about this request, please contact us at (217)785-2254. Thank you for your cooperation in this matter.

VT:JE:rm  
Enc.  
cc: Don Brown

**STATE MANDATES ACT QUESTIONNAIRE**

**Agency:** Pollution Control Board

**Heading of the Part:** Design, Operation and Maintenance Criteria

**Administrative Code Citation:** 35 Ill. Adm. Code 604

**Signature of Agency Head:**

1. Does this rulemaking affect any of the following:

Municipality	_____	Other Unit of Local Govt.	_____
County	_____	School District	_____
Township	_____	Community College District	_____

2. Does this rule require any of the above entities to establish, expand or modify its activities in such a way as to necessitate additional expenditures from local revenues?

Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_ Number of units affected \_\_\_\_\_

If yes, please estimate the amount of additional expenditures necessitated by this rulemaking per unit of government: \$\_\_\_\_\_

NOTE: If the dollar amount, or total number of units affected is unknown, please outline and attach to this form an explanation of the steps taken by the agency to determine the approximate expense of the rulemaking, and the number of units affected.

If no, please explain why the rule does not necessitate such additional expenditures.

3. Were any alternatives that do not necessitate additional expenditures considered?  
Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

If yes, please list these alternatives and explain why they were rejected.

4. What are the policy objectives of the rulemaking? (Please be specific)

5. Please explain why the policy objectives of this rule cannot be achieved in the absence of the rule or through a rule that does not create a State Mandate.