RECEIVED CLERK'S OFFICE SEP 12 2013

ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD September 12, 2013

	september 12, 2013	ST	ATE OF ILLINOIS ution Control Board
ILLINOIS POWER HOLDINGS, LLC	AND)	-011	ation Control Board
AMERENENERGY MEDINA VALLI	EY)	Sec. Sec.	
COGEN, LLC,)	9	ODrazes
Petitioners,)		ORIGINAL
T CHILOMOIS,	5		
AMEREN ENERGY RESOURCES, L	LC,)		
) PCB 14-	-10	
Co-Petitioner,) (Variano	ce – Air)	
v.	3		
)		
ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROT AGENCY,	recion)		
AGENC1,	3		
Respondent.)		
)		
)		

HEARING OFFICER ORDER

On September 5, 2013, the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA) filed its recommendation (Agency Rec.) and both Petitioners and IEPA filed responses to questions posed by the Board on August 14, 2013 through the Hearing Officer (Pet. Resp. to Aug. 8, 2013 Hearing Officer Order; Agency Resp. to Aug. 14, 2013 Hearing Officer Order). In their response, Petitioners suggested the Board provide any additional or follow-up questions related to the response in advance of the hearing so that Petitioners might be better equipped to provide an informed and thorough response. Pet. Resp. to Aug. 14, 2013 Hearing Officer Order at 9. The hearing is scheduled for September 17, 2013 in Springfield.

As such, follow-up questions to the responses from the Board's staff are provided in Attachment A. Petitioners and IEPA are directed to respond to the attached questions no later than 10:00 a.m. on September 16, 2013. The responses may be filed through the Clerk's Office On-Line (COOL) on the Board's website at www.ipcb.state.il.us. The "mailbox rule" (35 Ill. Adm. Code 101.300(b)(2)) does not apply to the filing of these responses. Therefore, the Clerk must receive responses to the attached questions by 10:00 am on Monday, September 16, 2013. The responses must also be served on those persons on the PCB 14-10 service list, which is available on COOL.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

It is hereby certified that true copies of the foregoing order were mailed, first class, on September 12, 2013, to each of the persons on the attached service list.

It is hereby certified that a true copy of the foregoing order was hand delivered to the following on September 12, 2013:

John T. Therriault Illinois Pollution Control Board James R. Thompson Center 100 W. Randolph St., Ste. 11-500 Chicago, Illinois 60601

Carol Webb

Carol Webb Hearing Officer Illinois Pollution Control Board 1021 North Grand Avenue East P.O. Box 19274 Springfield, Illinois 62794-9274 217/524-8509 Carol.Webb@illinois.gov PCB 2014-010 William D. Ingersoll Brown, Hay & Stephens LLP 205 South Fifth Street, Suite 700 P.O. Box 2459 Springfield, IL 62705-2459 PCB 2014-010 Claire A. Manning Brown, Hay & Stephens LLP 205 South Fifth Street, Suite 700 P.O. Box 2459 Springfield, IL 62705-2459

PCB 2014-010 Renee Cipriano Schiff Hardin, LLP 6600 Willis Tower 233 S. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606-6473 PCB 2014-010 Amy Antoniolli Schiff Hardin, LLP 6600 Willis Tower 233 S. Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606-6473

PCB 2014-010 Gina Roccaforte IEPA 1021 North Grand Avenue East P.O. Box 19276 Springfield, IL 62794-9276

PCB 14-10 ILLINOIS POWER HOLDINGS, LLC and AMERENERGY MEDINA VALLEY COGEN, LLC

Attachment A

QUESTIONS FOR PETITIONERS

Annual Emissions

- Petitioners present Tables 1 and 2 in Exhibit 10 of the petition to demonstrate a "net benefit to the environment" of 74,303 tons in reduced SO₂ emissions from 2010 through 2020, and 7,778 tons in reduced SO₂ emissions from 2013 through 2020. Pet. Exh. 10.
 - (a) Petitioners state that IPH and Ameren anticipate closing on the transaction in the fourth quarter of 2013. Pet. at 31. Please present a revised version of Table 2 in the petition that takes into account only the emissions attributable to the fourth quarter of 2013 through the end of 2020 and the emission reductions attributable to retirement of E.D. Edwards Unit 1 as early as 2017.
 - (b) In PCB 12-126, Ameren Energy Resources (AER) provided a table showing the projected emissions during the years 2013 through 2019 using a revised heat input and emission reductions to reflect the closures of the Meredosia and Hutsonville plants. See PCB 12-126 AER's Resp. to [IPCB] Technical Unit's Questions filed July 30, 2012 at 8-9, Table 2. Please provide a similar table for projected emissions from the fourth quarter of 2013 through the end of 2020 that also includes the projected retirement of E.D. Edwards Unit 1 as early as 2017.
- 2. In response to question #1 in the August 14, 2013 Hearing Officer Order, petitioners state, "While Petitioners fully expect that emissions will be consistent with the numbers provided in Table 2, Petitioners at this time do not support annual emission caps relative to the MPS Group" Pet. Resp. to Aug. 14, 2013 Hearing Officer Order. Please comment on whether the current air permits for the five operating stations in the MPS Group include a limitation on heat input, operating hours, or mass emissions.

Proposed Variance Conditions

 IEPA's Recommendation suggested three additional conditions for the variance. See Agency Rec. at 30. Please comment on including these conditions in the requested variance.

IPH-Ameren Transaction

- 4. Assume for purposes of this question that the Board grants the variance requested in this matter and petitioners timely accept it. Please address the legal effect on such a variance if after its acceptance the transaction through which IPH would acquire AER's active coal-fired power plants never closes.
- 5. The first condition in petitioners' proposed variance order begins as follows: "If at any time [IPH] acquires ownership or control of the five operating power stations in the

Ameren MPS group, IPH must assure compliance" Pet. at 69. Please address whether this contingency or threshold includes approval by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission of the transaction by which IPH would acquire AER's active coal-fired power plants.

QUESTION FOR THE AGENCY

SIP Revisions

6. Please comment on whether SIP revisions that would include the requested variance would require assessing annual mass emissions under the variance. If so, please comment on the merits of including an annual mass emissions cap consistent with petitioners' projections in Table 2 of the petition along with the proposed mitigation SO₂ emission rate in the variance conditions (as mentioned in the August 14, 2013 Hearing Officer Order question #1).