# **EXHIBIT A**

### BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF:	)	
	)	
WATER QUALITY STANDARDS AND	)	R08-9
EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS FOR THE	)	(Rulemaking - Water)
CHICAGO AREA WATERWAY SYSTEM	)	
AND THE LOWER DES PLAINES RIVER:	)	Subdockets C & D
PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO 35 III.	)	
Adm. Code Parts 301, 302, 303 and 304	)	

### **NOTICE OF FILING**

To: ALL COUNSEL OF RECORD

(Service List Attached)

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on the 8th day of January, 2013, I, on behalf of the Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago, electronically filed REPORT OF METROPOLITAN WATER RECLAMATION DISTRICT OF GREATER CHICAGO AND ENVIRONMENTAL GROUPS ON AGREEMENT REGARDING PROPOSED AQUATIC LIFE DESIGNATED USES with the Office of the Clerk of the Illinois Pollution Control Board.

Dated: January 8, 2013

# METROPOLITAN WATER RECLAMATION DISTRICT OF GREATER CHICAGO

By: <u>/s/Fredric P. Andes</u> One of Its Attorneys

Fredric P. Andes David T. Ballard BARNES & THORNBURG LLP One North Wacker Drive Suite 4400 Chicago, Illinois 60606 (312) 357-1313 Electronic Filing - Recived, Clerk's Office : 01/09/2013

\* \* \* \* \* PCB 1366 \* \* \* \* \*

### **PROOF OF SERVICE**

The undersigned, a non-attorney, certifies, under penalties of perjury pursuant to 735 ILCS 5/1-109, that I caused a copy of the forgoing, the REPORT OF METROPOLITAN WATER RECLAMATION **DISTRICT OF GREATER CHICAGO** AND REGARDING **ENVIRONMENTAL GROUPS PROPOSED AQUATIC** LIFE **DESIGNATED USES**, to be served via First Class Mail, postage paid, from One North Wacker Drive, Chicago, Illinois, on the 8th day of January, 2013, upon the attorneys of record on the attached Service List.

/s/ Barbara E. Szynalik

Barbara E. Szynalik

### **SERVICE LIST**

Roy M. Harsch Drinker, Biddle, Gardner, Carton 191 North Wacker Drive Suite 3700 Chicago, IL 60606-1698

Deborah J. Williams, Assistant Counsel Stefanie N. Diers, Assistant Counsel Illinois Environmental Protection Agency Division of Legal Counsel 1021 North Grand Avenue East P.O. Box 19276 Springfield, IL 62794-9276

Thomas W. Dimond Ice Miller LLP 200 West Madison Street Suite 3500 Chicago, IL 60606-3417

Robert VanGyseghem City of Geneva 1800 South Street Geneva, IL 60134-2203

Matthew J. Dunn, Chief Susan Hedman Thomas H. Shepherd - Asst. Atty. General Office of the Attorney General Environmental Bureau North 69 West Washington Street Suite 1800 Chicago, IL 60602

Thomas H. Shepherd Assistant Attorney General Environmental Bureau 69 West Washington Street 18th Floor Chicago, IL 60602 Claire A. Manning Brown, Hay & Stephens LLP 700 First Mercantile Bank Building 205 South Fifth Street P.O. Box 2459 Springfield, IL 62705-2459

Katherine D. Hodge N. LaDonna Drive Monica T. Rios Alec M. Davis Matthew C. Read Hodge Dwyer & Driver 3150 Roland Avenue P.O. Box 5776 Springfield, IL 62705-5776

Lenore Beyer-Clow Environmental Defenders of McHenry County 110 South Johnson Street Suite 106 Woodstock, IL 60098

Lisa Frede Chemical Industry Council of Illinois 1400 East Touhy Avenue Suite 110 Des Plaines, IL 60018

James L. Daugherty, District Manager Thorn Creek Basin Sanitary District 700 West End Avenue Chicago Heights, IL 60411

John J. Reichart, Corporate Counsel Illinois-American Water Company 727 Craig Road St. Louis, MO 63141

Bernard Sawyer Thomas Granato Metropolitan Water Reclamation District 6001 West Pershing Road Cicero, IL 60804-4112 Frederick D. Keady, P.E., President Vermilion Coal Company 1979 Johns Drive Glenview, IL 60025

Keith I. Harley Elizabeth Schenkier Chicago Legal Clinic, Inc. 211 West Wacker Drive Suite 750 Chicago, IL 60606 James E. Eggen
Director of Public Works & Utilities
City of Joliet, Department of Public
Works & Utilities
921 East Washington Street
Joliet, IL 60431

W.C. Blanton Husch Blackwell Sanders LLP 4801 Main Street Suite 1000 Kansas City, MO 64112 Ann Alexander, Sr. Attorney Natural Resources Defense Council 2 North Riverside Plaza Suite 2250 Chicago, IL 60606

Traci Barkley Prarie Rivers Networks 1902 Fox Drive Suite 6 Champaign, IL 61820 Beth Steinhorn 2021 Timberbrook Springfield, IL 62702

James Huff, Vice President Huff & Huff, Inc. 915 Harger Road Suite 330 Oak Brook, IL 60523 Dr. Thomas J. Murphy DePaul University 2325 North Clifton Street Chicago, IL 60614

Joe Deal
City of Chicago - Mayor's Office of
Intergovernmental Affairs
121 North LaSalle Street
City Hall - Room 509
Chicago, IL 60602

Vicky McKinley Evanston Environment Board 223 Grey Avenue Evanston, IL 60202

Irwin Polls Ecological Monitoring and Assessment 3206 Maple Leaf Drive Glenview, IL 60025 Kenneth W. Liss Andrews Environmental Engineering 3300 Ginger Creek Drive Springfield, IL 62711

Olivia Dorothy, Senior Policy Advisor Office of Lt. Governor Pat Quinn Room 414 State House Springfield, IL 62706

Jessica Dexter Environmental Law & Policy Center 35 East Wacker Drive Suite 1600 Chicago, IL 60601

Tom Muth Fox Metro Water Reclamation District 682 State, Route 31 Oswego, IL 60543

Jack Darin Sierra Club Illinois Chapter 70 East Lake Street Suite 1500 Chicago, IL 60601-7447

Marie Tipsord, Hearing Officer John Therriault, Assistant Clerk Illinois Pollution Control Board 100 West Randolph Street Suite 11-500 Chicago, IL 60601

Stacy Meyers-Glen Openlands 25 East Washington Suite 1650 Chicago, Illinois 60602

Jeffrey C. Fort Ariel J. Tesher Sonnenschein Nath & Rosenthal LLP 233 South Wacker Drive Suite 7800 Chicago, IL 60606-6404 Bob Carter
Bloomington Normal Water
Reclamation District
P.O. Box 3307
Bloomington, IL 61702-3307

Kay Anderson American Bottoms RWTF One American Bottoms Road Sauget, IL 62201

Kristy A. N. Bulleit Hunton & Williams LLP 2200 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. Washington, DC 20037

Lyman C. Welch Manager, Water Quality Programs Alliance for the Great Lakes 17 North State Street Suite 1390 Chicago, IL 60602

Mark Schultz
Regional Environmental Coordinator
Navy Facilities and Engineering Command
201 Decatur Avenue
Building 1A
Great Lakes, IL 60088-2801

Susan M. Franzetti Nijman Franzetti LLP 10 South LaSalle Street Suite 3600 Chicago, IL 60603

Kristen Laughridge Gale Nijman Franzetti LLP 10 South LaSalle Street Suite 3600 Chicago, IL 60603

# Electronic Filing - Recived, Clerk's Office : 01/09/2013 \* \* \* \* \* \* PCB 1366 \* \* \* \* \*

Frederick M. Feldman Ronald M. Hill Margaret T. Conway Metropolitan Water Reclamation District 100 East Erie Street Chicago, IL 60611 Albert Ettinger – Senior Staff Attorney 53 West Jackson Suite 1664 Chicago, IL 60604

### BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF:	)	
	)	
WATER QUALITY STANDARDS AND	)	R08-9
EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS FOR THE	)	(Rulemaking - Water)
CHICAGO AREA WATERWAY SYSTEM	)	
AND THE LOWER DES PLAINES RIVER:	)	Subdockets C and D
PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO 35 III.	)	
Adm. Code Parts 301, 302, 303 and 304	)	

# REPORT OF METROPOLITAN WATER RECLAMATION DISTRICT OF GREATER CHICAGO AND ENVIRONMENTAL GROUPS REGARDING PROPOSED AQUATIC LIFE DESIGNATED USES

As the Illinois Pollution Control Board ("IPCB") is aware, the Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago ("MWRD") and various environmental groups<sup>1</sup> have been engaged in discussions with the hope of resolving some of the issues pertaining to aquatic life designated uses and aquatic life water quality standards for dissolved oxygen (DO). The Illinois Environmental Protection Agency ("IEPA") and United States Environmental Protection Agency ("USEPA") are aware that these discussions are on-going. The MWRD has submitted several status reports relative to these discussions to the IPCB. This report is intended to notify the IPCB that the MWRD and NGOs have finalized an agreement.<sup>2</sup>

The MWRD and NGOs are in agreement as to the following issues:

- 1. The record supports an aquatic life use 'B' designation for the Chicago Sanitary and Ship Canal.
- 2. The record supports an aquatic life use 'A' designation for all portions of the CAWS other than the Chicago Sanitary and Ship Canal and Bubbly Creek.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The term "Environmental Groups" or "NGOs" refers to the following organizations: Natural Resources Defense Council, Environmental Law and Policy Center, Friends of the Chicago River, Openlands, Southeast Environmental Task Force, Prairie Rivers Network and Sierra Club – Illinois Chapter.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> It should be noted that nothing herein is intended to affect any party's position in *NRDC v. MWRDGC*, 11-cv-02937 (N.D. Illinois).

- 3. The IPCB should create a separate docket or subdocket for Bubbly Creek and not take action in that docket or subdocket before the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers issues its report regarding Bubbly Creek, which is currently in progress.
- 4. The MWRD will withdraw its proposal for a wet-weather aquatic life use designation.
- 5. A 5-year variance allowing the MWRD time to work towards compliance with the proposed DO criteria is appropriate.<sup>3</sup> During the variance term, the MWRD anticipates moving forward with completing TARP, moving ahead with green infrastructure, and taking other steps designed to reduce pollutant loadings to the CAWS. An additional variance at the conclusion of the initial variance term may be appropriate, with variance terms and requirements to be addressed at such time. The MWRD has developed a draft petition for the initial variance, which was attached (as Exhibit B) to the MWRD's March 19, 2012 filing with the Board, and which is hereby incorporated in this report by reference. However, since the subject of the variance petition is the set of DO standards that are currently before the IPCB, the MWRD would file a formal petition for variance with the IPCB after final DO standards have been adopted. If the requested variance is granted by the IPCB, and approved by USEPA<sup>4</sup>, the MWRD would comply with all commitments stated in the petition for variance, including working with the NGOs on agreed-upon habitat improvement projects in the CAWS. As part of the habitat improvement projects, the MWRD would commit to funding up to \$500,000 toward implementation of those projects. Additional funding from other parties may be applied toward those projects as well.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The Environmental Groups support issuance of a variance with regard to CSO discharges. In this proceeding in which nutrient discharges are not in issue, the Environmental Groups take no position at this time regarding issuance of a variance with respect to dissolved oxygen violations due to nutrient discharges from the District's wastewater reclamation plants.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> A letter providing USEPA's views at this time regarding issuance of a variance is attached as Exhibit A.

Electronic Filing - Recived, Clerk's Office: 01/09/2013 \* \* \* \* \* PCB 1366 \* \* \* \* \*

6. Existing SEPA stations 3, 4 and 5 will be operated during the months of April

through October, except during occurrences of short-term equipment failure, weed control

problems, mechanical problems and replacement of equipment for preventive maintenance

purposes. Operation of those stations will not be required during any particular time period if it

is not needed for the CAWS to meet the DO water quality standards.

7. The record supports the District's proposed standards changes for zinc.

8. The DO criteria proposed by IEPA are appropriate to protect to the 'A' and 'B'

uses for which they are proposed.

The District and the NGOs request that the IPCB consider the foregoing areas of

agreement in reaching its final decisions in Subdockets C and D. Adopting the areas of

agreement would substantially expedite the Subdocket C and Subdocket D rulemakings since

there would be no need for the Board to address the issues and concerns raised by the MWRD in

its testimony and filings in those Subdockets.

Respectfully submitted,

METROPOLITAN WATER RECLAMATION DISTRICT OF

**GREATER CHICAGO** 

/s/ Fredric P. Andes By:

> Fredric P. Andes David T. Ballard

BARNES & THORNBURG LLP

One North Wacker Drive

**Suite 4400** 

Chicago, Illinois 60606

(312) 357-1313

3

Electronic Filing - Recived, Clerk's Office : 01/09/2013 \* \* \* \* \* \* PCB 1366 \* \* \* \* \*

NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL

ENVIRONMENTAL LAW AND POLICY CENTER

**OPENLANDS** 

SIERRA CLUB—ILLINOIS CHAPTER

PRAIRIE RIVERS NETWORK

FRIENDS OF THE CHICAGO RIVER

By: <u>/s/ Albert Ettinger</u>

Albert Ettinger

Authorized to represent all of the above parties with regard to this document



#### UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION 5 77 WEST JACKSON BOULEVARD CHICAGO, IL 60604-3590

JUN 26 2012

REPLY TO THE ATTENTION OF:

WQ-16J

Mr. David St. Pierre
Executive Director
Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago
100 East Erie Street
Chicago, Illinois 60611-3154

Dear Mr. St. Pierre:

At our May 23, 2012 meeting, you requested U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's reaction to a possible variance from dissolved oxygen (DO) criteria that are being considered for adoption by the Illinois Pollution Control Board (IPCB) at some point in the future. You referred us to the information presented to the IPCB by the Metropolitan Water Reclamation District of Greater Chicago (MWRD) as support for the proposed variance. You suggested that this would affect MWRD's permits for the Northside, Stickney and Calumet plants. This letter provides preliminary EPA feedback. This letter does not constitute a formal EPA decision. No such decision can or will be made until after the state of Illinois adopts a variance and submits it to EPA for review and approval as a new or revised water quality standard in accordance with section 303(c) of the Clean Water Act. In the event that the state does in fact adopt a variance and submit it to EPA, EPA's decision to approve or disapprove the variance will be based upon a review of the information submitted at that time by Illinois in support of the variance, any public comments made on the proposed variance in the state administrative proceedings, and applicable law.

For EPA to approve a variance from water quality standards granted by a state, the state should provide documentation that addresses the considerations in the Water Quality Standards Handbook (see Chapter 5. Section 3) and demonstrates that water quality standards cannot be attained for one or more of the reasons found at 40 CFR 131.10(g) for a definite period of time. In addition, a variance should include a definite expiration date, limits based on the level of effluent quality currently achievable by the variance applicant to ensure that existing uses are protected, and some description of the highest level of water quality attainable during the course of the variance. The attainable level can be described in terms of attainable ambient water quality, attainable effluent quality, and/or specific actions to be completed by the variance holder over the course of the variance that are expected to result in the highest attainable water quality. In situations where a substantial number of documents are related to the variance request, it is helpful if the key points are summarized with detailed citations to the parent documents that exist in the record.

EXHIBIT

Recycled/Recyclable • Printed with Vegetable Oil Based Inks on 100% Recycled Paper (50% Postconsumer)

Given EPA's understanding of the proposed variance, it appears that MWRD's variance request would be based primarily upon MWRD's assertions that: 1) the DO criteria that are being considered for adoption by the IPCB are not attainable because, at a minimum, the Combined Sewer Overflow (CSO) controls specified in the Tunnel and Reservoir Project (TARP) are a necessary precursor to attainment of those DO criteria; 2) there is a schedule for completion of TARP included in the consent decree pertaining to MWRD, Illinois EPA and EPA that has been lodged in federal district court; and 3) TARP cannot be completed within five years, such that the DO criteria are not attainable for at least the first proposed five-year variance term. Further, MWRD might apply for additional variances to the extent aspects of TARP remain to be completed for certain segments. It appears to EPA that MWRD is asserting that CSOs are human caused conditions or sources of pollution that prevent the attainment of the DO criteria and cannot be remedied within the term of the variance, in accordance with 40 CFR 131.10(g)(3) (factor 3). EPA's initial review of MWRD's proposed variance suggests that an adequate variance demonstration may be able to be based on factor 3. MWRD has also suggested that 40 CFR 131.10(g)(4) and (5) (factors 4 and 5) might also support the granting of the proposed variance. However, based upon the information that MWRD has asked EPA to consider in providing this preliminary feedback, EPA does not believe that either factor 4 or 5 would provide an appropriate basis for the proposed variance.

In describing the proposed variance, MWRD offered terms and conditions to be included in its three NPDES permits listed above that include continual DO monitoring plans and will ensure completion of TARP in accordance with the schedule set forth in the lodged consent decree. These terms and conditions will result in elimination of CSOs over time and require continual DO monitoring such that adequate data are collected in order to determine the highest attainable water quality and evaluate the appropriateness of additional variance terms. To the extent that TARP comes on-line, the human-caused conditions preventing attainment of the DO criteria will be remedied for certain segments such that a variance would likely not be appropriate so long as water quality in the segment is not influenced by CSOs in other segments that have not yet been controlled. For example, the Thornton Reservoir (which will impact the Calumet portion of the Chicago Area Waterway System (CAWS)) is scheduled to be completed in 2015. Completion of the reservoir would mean that CSOs would not be a human-caused source of pollution that prevents attainment of the DO criteria in the Calumet portion of the CAWS.

EPA notes that the information that MWRD has asked EPA to consider in providing this preliminary feedback does not appear to support MWRD's assertion that the DO variance should apply to the three wastewater treatment plants' effluents since the information provided by MWRD focuses on CSOs. To the extent that MWRD would be seeking to have the variance apply to the wastewater treatment plant effluents, MWRD should demonstrate what DO-related water quality-based permit conditions applicable or expected to be applicable to the treated effluent discharges from its plants cannot be complied with, why those compliance problems could not be remedied in five years (the term of the proposed variance), what conditions are currently attained, and what actions MWRD could take to achieve the highest attainable effluent quality during the term of the variance.

# Electronic Filing - Recived, Clerk's Office: 01/09/2013 \* \* \* \* \* PCR 1366 \* \* \* \* \*

I hope that this letter provides useful information as you decide whether to move forward with a request for a DO variance. We would be happy to meet with you again to discuss these comments in greater detail. Please feel free to contact me at (312) 353-2147, or Linda Holst of my staff at (312) 886-6758.

Sincerely,

Tinka G. Hyde

Director, Water Division

cc: Marcia Willhite, Illinois EPA