Electronic Filing - Received, Clerk's Office, 03/05/2012

BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF:)	
)	
PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO CLEAN)	R12-009
CONSTRUCTION OR DEMOLITION)	(Rulemaking – Land)
DEBRIS (CCDD) FILL OPERATIONS:)	
PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO 35 III.)	
Adm. Code 1100)	

NOTICE OF FILING

TO: SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that I have filed today with the Illinois Pollution Control Board the <u>Pre-Filed Testimony of Kenneth Liss Submitted by Waste Management of Illinois</u>, <u>Inc.</u>, a copy of which is herewith served upon you.

Dated: March 5, 2012

Respectfully submitted,

WASTE MANAGEMENT OF ILLINOIS, INC.

Michelle A. Gale Waste Management

720 East Butterfield Road Lombard, Illinois 60148

(630) 572-8800

Electronic Filing - Received, Clerk's Office, 03/05/2012

BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF:)	
)	
PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO CLE	EAN)	R12-009
CONSTRUCTION OR DEMOLITION)	(Rulemaking – Land)
DEBRIS (CCDD) FILL OPERATIONS	S:)	
PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO 35 I	ll.)	
Adm. Code 1100)	

PRE-FILED TESTIMONY OF KENNETH LISS SUBMITTED BY WASTE MANAGEMENT OF ILLINOIS, INC.

Waste Management of Illinois, Inc. ("Waste Management") by and through its counsel, Dennis Wilt, hereby files the testimony of its expert, Kenneth Liss, in this matter, as required by the Hearing Officer Order issued on February 15, 2012.

- A. <u>Introduction and Background</u>. My name is Kenneth W. Liss. I testified in this matter on October 25, 2011. A copy of my curriculum vitae was attached to the first Pre-Filed Testimony submitted by Waste Management on October 7, 2011. I am again appearing on behalf of Waste Management of Illinois, Inc.
- B. <u>Groundwater Monitoring</u>. I believe that the Board should reconsider its position that groundwater monitoring should be not be required for CCDD and soil fill operations at this time for the reasons I previously testified to and for the reasons set forth below.
- 1. The Agency's position that Public Act 96-1416 and the rules being promulgated hereunder will result in significant quantities of contaminated soil that would have previously been disposed of in regulated, lined landfills being disposed of in unlined CCDD and soil fill facilities (see page 24 of Mr. Nightingale's pre-filed testimony and pages 52-54 of the transcript of his testimony) is unrefuted.
- 2. My earlier testimony (on page 3) that CCDD and other soil fill operations that will be accepting contaminated soils under Public Act 96-1416 and the rules being promulgated are located in areas without any natural protection of the underlying aquifer that are highly susceptible to groundwater contaminated is unrefuted. My testimony in this regard is consistent with the Agency's Statement of Reasons on page 6.
- 3. The Board found that there is no evidence to demonstrate that CCDD or uncontaminated soil fill sites were a source of groundwater contamination. That is not surprising

since these facilities have not been required to perform any such monitoring in the past. James Huff, when questioned at the hearings held on October 25, 2011, indicated that he was not aware of any CCDD or soil fill sites that have installed groundwater monitoring (see page 20 of the October 25 transcript). I do not believe it is appropriate to eliminate groundwater monitoring protection recommended by the Agency because there is no supporting groundwater monitoring evidence when these sites have never installed groundwater monitoring.

- 4. The Agency's rationale in support of groundwater monitoring that the front-end screening process will not keep 100% of contamination out of these fill operations (see page 6 of Statement of Reasons) should be persuasive support for groundwater monitoring, given the enforcement actions that have been before this Board, including *People v. Stark Excavating Inc.* Case No. PCB 09-65, People v. 87th & Greenwood, LLC, Case No. PCB 10-71, and People v. Reliable Materials et al., Case No. PCB 2012-052 and the unrefuted evidence that these fill sites are highly susceptible to groundwater contamination if the screening process breaks down for any reason.
- 5. In Illinois, sanitary landfills are designed, permitted and constructed assuming a series of worst case scenarios. Given the geologic vulnerability of these soil fill sites, I believe the Board should take a similar approach here by considering the succession of likely failures in the process of evaluating, accepting and depositing these contaminated soils in CCDD facilities and quarries. Groundwater monitoring then becomes an essential protection based on the assumption that screening will not always prevent soils contaminated with levels above the maximum allowable concentrations to be disposed of in these facilities.
- 6. The Board also considered "the potentially sizeable costs for groundwater monitoring" in its finding that the record does not support groundwater monitoring. In the Board's Opinion and Order, it refers to Mr. Hock's testimony that one monitoring well may cost approximately \$3,000 per year for the groundwater monitoring test. If a particular facility has four groundwater monitoring wells, the total annual cost will be approximately \$12,000, based on Mr. Hock's testimony. If that same facility accepts 100,000 tons of tainted soils in a given year, the cost per ton of the groundwater monitoring would be eight cents. If it only accepts 50,000 tons, the cost per ton would be sixteen cents. This certainly is not too high a price to pay for environmental protection.

Electronic Filing - Received, Clerk's Office, 03/05/2012

C. Alternative Protections. If the Board continues to disregard the Agency's recommendation that CCDD and soil fill sites be subject to groundwater monitoring requirements, I recommend that locational standards for these facilities be established to avoid contaminated materials being placed near or directly in the aquifer and/or that liners be required to provide protection. As the Agency has indicated, screening is important, but the Board should not assume that screening will be 100% effective given the nature of sampling and the high volumes of contaminated soil that will be disposed of.

Respectfully submitted,

Attorney for Waste Management of Illinois, Inc.

Dated: March 5, 2012

 $c: \verb|\mbox| CCDDR ule making Docs \verb|\LissPreFiledTestimony| 0312$

PROOF OF SERVICE

I, Michelle A. Gale, certify that I have served the attached <u>Notice of Filing</u> and <u>Pre-Filed</u> <u>Testimony of Kenneth Liss Submitted by Waste Management of Illinois, Inc.</u>, on March 5, 2012, to the following by the methods indicated:

By electronic mail:	
John Therriault, Clerk	Marie Tipsord, Hearing Officer
Illinois Pollution Control Board	Illinois Pollution Control Board
James R. Thompson Center	James R. Thompson Center
100 West Randolph Street, Suite 11-500	100 W. Randolph St., Suite 11-500
Chicago, IL 60601	Chicago, IL 60601
therriaj@ipcb.state.il.us	tipsorm@ipcb.state.il.us
Matthew J. Dunn, Chief	Stephen Sylvester, Asst. Attorney General
Environmental Enforcement	Environmental Enforcement
Office of the Attorney General	Office of the Attorney General
69 West Washington Street, Suite 1800	69 West Washington Street, Suite 1800
Chicago, IL 60602	Chicago, IL 60602
mdunn@atg.state.il.us	ssylvester@atg.state.il.us
Kimberly A. Geving, Assistant Counsel	Mark Wight, Assistant Counsel
Illinois Environmental Protection Agency	Illinois Environmental Protection Agency
1021 North Grand Avenue East	1021 North Grand Avenue East
P.O. Box 19276	P.O. Box 19276
Springfield, IL 62794-9276	Springfield, IL 62794-9276
Kimberly.Geving@illinois.gov	Mark.Wight@illinois.gov
Stephanie Flowers, Assistant Counsel	Mitchell Cohen, General Counsel
Illinois Environmental Protection Agency	Illinois Department of Natural Resources
1021 North Grand Avenue East	One Natural Resources Way
P.O. Box 19276	Springfield, IL 62702-1271
Springfield, IL 62794-9276	Mitchell.Cohen@illinois.gov
Stephanie.Flowers@illinois.gov	
John Henrickson, Executive Director	Claire A. Manning
Illinois Association of Aggregate Producers	Brown, Hay & Stephens LLP
1115 S. Second Street	700 First Mercantile Bank Building
Springfield, IL 62704	205 South Fifth St., P.O. Box 2459
iaap@hansoninfosys.com	Springfield, IL 62705-2459
	cmanning@bhslaw.com
Greg Wilcox, Executive Director	Brian Lansu, Attorney
Land Reclamation & Recycling Association	Land Reclamation & Recycling Association
2250 Southwind Blvd.	2250 Southwind Blvd.
Bartlett, IL 60103	Bartlett, IL 60103
gww@grp7.com	blansu@lansulaw.com

James Huff, Vice President	James M. Morphew
Huff & Huff, Inc.	Sorling, Northrup, Hanna, Cullen & Cochran,
915 Harger Road, Suite 330	Ltd.
Oak Brook, IL 60523	Illinois Building, 607 East Adams, Suite 800
jhuff@huffnhuff.com	Springfield, IL 62705
	jmmorphew@sorlinglaw.com
Dennis G. Walsh	Gregory T. Smith
Klein, Thorpe and Jenkins, Ltd.	Klein, Thorpe and Jenkins, Ltd.
20 North Wacker Drive, Suite 1660	20 North Wacker Drive, Suite 1660
Chicago, IL 60606-2903	Chicago, IL 60606-2903
dgwalsh@ktjlaw.com	gtsmith@ktjlaw.com

By First Class Mail, postage prepaid:	
Steven Gobelman, Geologic/Waste	Tiffany Chappell
Assessment Specialist	City of Chicago, Mayor's Office of
Illinois Department of Transportation	Intergovernmental Affairs
2300 S Dirksen Parkway	121 N. LaSalle Street
Springfield, IL 62764	City Hall - Room 406
	Chicago, IL 60602
Doris McDonald	
Chicago Department of Law	
30 North LaSalle St., Suite 900	
Chicago, IL 60602	