1	The ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD
2	STATE OF ILLINOIS
3	X
4	DAVID AND SUSI SHELTON :
5	Plaintiff - against - : No. PCB 96-53
6	ARI STEVEN CROWN & NANCY CROWN :
7	Defendant. :
8	X
	VOLUME II (Day 2)
9	REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS, taken in the
	above-entitled cause, taken before JUNE C. EDVENSON, ESQ.,
10	HEARING OFFICER for the Illinois Pollution Control Board,
	taken at 100 West Randolph Street, 9th Floor, Chicago,
11	Illinois, on the 2nd day of July, 1996 at the hour of 1:00
	p.m
12	
	APPEARANCES:
13	
	JEFFREY R. DIVER, ESQ.

14 STEVEN P. KAISER, ESQ.

45 S. Park Boulevard

15 Glen Ellyn, IL 60137

Appeared on behalf of the Complainant

16

REECE ELLEDGE, ESQ.

17 ROBERT A. CARSON

GOULD & RATNER

- 18 222 North LaSalle StreetChicago, IL 60601
- 19 Appeared on behalf of the Respondent

20

- 21
- 22
 VERNITA HALSELL-POWELL
 23
 HALSELL & HALSELL REPORTERS

1	HEARING OFFICER: Back on the record.	
2	MR. DIVER: We will proceed with Complainant	
3	calling as their next witness, Alan Shiner and ask that the	
4	be sworn.	
5	(Witness Sworn.)	
6	PROCEEDINGS	
7	WHEREUPON	
8	ALAN SHINER,	
9	having been duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth,	
10	and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as	
11	follows:	
12	DIRECT EXAMINATION	
13	BY MR. DIVER:	
14	Q Would you state your name, please?	
14 15	Q Would you state your name, please?A My name is Alan Shiner, S-hi-n-e-r.	
15	A My name is Alan Shiner, S-li-n-e-r.	
15 16	A My name is Alan Shiner, S-h-n-e-r.Q Mr. Shiner, what is your profession?	
15 16 17 18	 A My name is Alan Shiner, S-li-n-e-r. Q Mr. Shiner, what is your profession? A I'm an acoustical engineer. 	
15 16 17 18 19	 A My name is Alan Shiner, S-hi-n-e-r. Q Mr. Shiner, what is your profession? A I'm an acoustical engineer. Q In performing your profession as an acoustical 	
15 16 17 18 19	 A My name is Alan Shiner, S-hi-n-e-r. Q Mr. Shiner, what is your profession? A I'm an acoustical engineer. Q In performing your profession as an acoustical engineer, are you periodically called upon to measure 	
15 16 17 18 19 20	 A My name is Alan Shiner, S-hi-n-e-r. Q Mr. Shiner, what is your profession? A I'm an acoustical engineer. Q In performing your profession as an acoustical engineer, are you periodically called upon to measure sound? 	
 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 	 A My name is Alan Shiner, S-hi-n-e-r. Q Mr. Shiner, what is your profession? A I'm an acoustical engineer. Q In performing your profession as an acoustical engineer, are you periodically called upon to measure sound? A That's right. 	

1 A In 1963 I was hired by the United States 2 Gypsum Company as a research engineer. In 1964 the 3 decided that I should begin my training in acoustics. I 4 was sent to San Francisco, California to work in the 5 Office of an acoustical consultant, acoustical engineer 6 and I got my introduction to acoustics working with this 7 other acoustical engineer. His name, Winword Olipfant, 8 O-l-i-p-f-a-n-t. At the same time I was enrolled in the 9 University of California, Berkeley and also at Stanford 10 University to take courses in acoustical engineering. 11 In 1966 the U.S. Gypsum Company asked 12 that I return back to the Chicago area where I became 13 Manager of Acoustic Research. I was in charge then in 14 the building of and the management of the acoustic 15 research operation for the United States Gypsum Company. 16 In 1972 I left their employ and I 17 opened up the offices of Shiner & Associates, Acoustic 18 Engineer, and I've been in business since 1972. 19 0 Ifi could interrupt you. Mr. Shiner, could 20 you tell us in as far as you've gone so far in your 21 professional career or in your training, what the nature 22 of that training was as it was oriented to the actual 23 measurement of sound? 24 The entire science of acoustics was originally Α

1 based on the air. It was only after the second world war 2 that the electronics became so sophisticated that they 3 were able to develop instrumentation for the measurement 4 of sound. Part of my education in San Francisco and then 5 continued on at the U.S. Gypsum Research Laboratories was 6 in the acquisition and operation of instrumentation so 7 that we would be able to perform our research activities. 8 0 This instrumentation is actually used to 9 capture and measure in some form the intensity and 10 duration of sound. Can you explain? 11 А Yes. It is to measure the sound pressure 12 level as a function of frequencies as an example. 13 Q In addition to the training that you had in 14 that instrumentation and measurement in your undergraduate 15 education and with your early employment, have you had 16 continuing education with respect to use of particular 17 instrumentation in the measurement of sound? 18 А Certainly. As the scientist progress, new 19 instrumentation has come up and the evolution now of 20 instrumentation that one can hold in the hand where before 21 it took about four or five suitcases to hold. And so 22 certainly we're leading edge I would have to say, probably 23 say. 24 What is the kind of sound measuring Q

instrumentation that you personally use, primarily these
 days?

3 A Specifically, the instrumentation I use as an

4 example, the measurement for the Sheltons was Bruel &

5 Kjaer, I think it was Model 2209, Precision Sound Level

6 Meter and Opie Band Analyzer.

7 Q And for how long a period of time have you

8 been using that particular kind ofBruel & Kjaer product?

9 A That kind or that particular --

10 Q That particular one.

11 A That particular one, probably the last ten

12 years.

13 Q Previous to that, were you using otherBruel &

14 Kjaer products?

16 Q Are you still called upon by clients to

17 actually perform the measurement of sound and the

18 translation of those measurements into numerical figures?

19 A Yes.

20 Q Were you ever called upon to perform such

21 services in measuring sound on behalf of the Complainants

22 here, David and Susi Shelton?

A Yes, I was.

24 Q And approximately when was that, if you can

¹⁵ A Correct.

1 recall?

2 A July 5th, 1994.

3 Q And where were you asked -- what sound

4 emitting source were you asked to measure sound emissions

5 from?

6 A The neighboring property had an air

7 conditioning unit, air cooler condensing unit that they

8 felt was emitting higher noise levels than they felt

9 acceptable.

10 Q And did you, on or about July 5th actually

11 enter upon the Shelton property and establish your

12 instrumentation for the purpose of measuring sound from

13 that source?

14 A Yes, I did.

15 Q And were you familiar at that time with the

16 regulatory requirements of the Illinois Pollution Control

17 Board with respect to the measurement of sound being

18 received at what is known as a Class A property?

19 A Yes.

20 Q And did you establish your instrumentation on

21 that day, on July 5th as those regulations required?

22 A In my opinion, yes.

23 Q Where actually physically did you locate with

24 respect to the Crown property line, if you can tell us?

1 We were on the south edge of the Shelton А 2 property line. In line, I think, with the condenser unit. 3 Q I'm going to show you what has previously been 4 marked as Exhibit 2 and has been admitted being a hand 5 drawn diagram of relative locations. I wonder if you 6 could mark on that in yellow felt type pen the approximate 7 location. 8 Okay, indicating an arrow south --9 strike that -- indicating an area north of the fence line 10 on the Crown property, but actually on theShelton 11 property. 12 Α Correct. 13 Q And could you tell me what time of day it was 14 that you actually conducted this measurement? 15 Α According to my notes, it was at 2:40 in the 16 afternoon. 17 Q Okay. I'm showing you now what has been 18 marked as Exhibit 61 for Identification. Could you tell 19 me what that document is, Mr. Shiner? 20 А Those are my notes of the readings that I took 21 on the 5th of July at 2:40p.m 22 0 When you say those are your notes, there's a 23 line in the center of the page going from left to right 24 kind of breaking up the page?

00294			
1 A Right.			
2 Q Are your notes of that particular reading the			
3 numbers above or below that line?			
4 A Above the line.			
5 Q Do you have a recollection of what the numbers			
6 are below the line?			
7 A No.			
8 Q Okay. The numbers above the line indicate			
9 that there are certain numbers in the left-hand column at			
10 31.5, 63, et cetera.			
11 A Yes.			
12 Q What are those numerical entries? What do they			
13 refer to?			
14 A Those are the frequency octave band			
15 frequencies.			
16 Q And when you conducted the measurement here,			
17 you actually determined what the sound pressure levels			
18 were in various octave band frequencies?			
19 A Correct.			
20 Q To the right of that column there are three			
21 other columns?			
22 A Correct.			
23 Q What do those indicate; that is, what numbers			
24 were put in each of those columns?			

1 Α Those were three separate measurements of the 2 noise being emitted by the air cooling condenser unit. 3 Q All measurements being made at that location 4 that you have just indicated? A 5 Correct. 6 0 Did you then take this raw data shown on this 7 Exhibit 61 and transfer it onto a written or typewritten 8 form? 9 A Correct. 10 Q Now, showing you what has been marked as 11 Exhibit 56, I ask you is that the typewritten document 12 onto which you entered the data from your raw examination? 13 Α Correct. 14 0 Such that the numbers that are entered under 15 the various band readings are the center of the three 16 numbers that you actually read from your raw data? 17 Α Correct. 18 Q Having tossed out the biggest and the smallest 19 number? 20 А Yes. 21 Q In addition, you have shown two other sets of 22 numbers in your July 5 typewritten report being Exhibit 23 56. 24 А IPCB daytime standards, IPCB nighttime

1 standards. 2 What do those numbers relate to? Q 3 Α Those are the Illinois Pollution Control Board 4 standards for daytime and nighttime emissions, Class A, 5 Land. 6 0 And Class A land, as you understood it in this 7 particular site, vis-a-vis the Shelton property, was 8 what? 9 A Residential IPCB. 10 MR. DIVER: I move admission of 56 and 61. 11 MR.ELLEDGE: No objection. 12 HEARING OFFICER: 61 and 56 will be admitted 13 into evidence. 14 (Complainant's Exhibit Nos. 56 & 61 was 15 received into evidence.) 16 BY MR. DIVER: 17 Q Showing you what has been marked Exhibit 58 18 being a single document on your letterhead. Is that 19 document in your hand? 20 А Yes. 21 Q And it indicates that something was 22 transmitted on July 6th, 1994 to BradMautner. 23 Α Correct. 24 Q And the information that you transmitted to

1 Mr. Mautner on that date, was that the typewritten 2 document that we just spoke about being Exhibit 56? 3 Α That's correct. After this date on July 5th, 1995 -- strike 4 0 5 that -- '94, were you asked again by theSheltons to 6 measure the sound being emitted from this particular 7 chiller unit? 8 Α Yes. 9 Q And did you actually go out to their property 10 on another date to do those measurement? 11 А Yes, I did. 12 Q And do you recall what that date was? 13 Α You have the file. 14 0 Well, I'll show you now what's been marked as 15 Exhibit 67 and ask if that helps to refresh your 16 recollection? 17 Α Yes, on June 19, 1995 I returned to the 18 Shelton property to repeat the measurements of the 19 condenser unit. Okay. I'm going to ask you to look again at 20 Q 21 Exhibit 2 and in red pen on this exhibit, indicate where 22 it was that you placed your instrument. Indicating that essentially the same 23 24 location that you did the measurement on July 5th?

00270
1 A Correct.
2 MR.ELLEDGE: Can I see that?
3 MR. DIVER: Sure.
4 Move admission of the amended Exhibit 2
5 being amended by the placement of the yellow highlighter
6 and the red marking.
7 MR.ELLEDGE: Do we have a foundation for this
8 document, counsel? Has Number 2 been admitted?
9 MR. DIVER: Yes, it was with respect to the
10 relative location as far as Steven Crown was aware of the
11 Crown property structures. Now, this witness has
12 indicated that relative to the Crown property he located
13 his instrumentation at a point north of that property.
14 MR.ELLEDGE: Objection.
15 HEARING OFFICER: I think Exhibit Number 2 was
16 entered into evidence for a limited purpose on an
17 objection related to whether it was an accurate reflection
18 of the distance between various buildings and the road, et
19 cetera.
20 MR.ELLEDGE: And you're introducing this for
21 what purpose now?
22 MR. DIVER: To indicate the location of the
23 instrumentation.
24 Let me ask a couple of additional

1 questions of this witness before I make my motion again.

2 MR.ELLEDGE: Fine.

3 BY MR. DIVER:

4 Q Mr. Shiner, these locations that you've

5 indicated in yellow highlighter and in red, approximately

6 how many feet north of the crown property line is that

7 actually located?

8 A According to my previous notes?

9 Q I'm going to show you again, to the extent it

10 helps you to refresh your recollection, Exhibit 61.

11 A My notes of July 5, 1994, your Exhibit Number

12 61 shows 15 feet from the property line.

13 Q And is that your recollection that that's the

14 approximate location north of the property line?

15 A Right.

16 Q That is this yellow and red marking?

17 A I'm assuming that this is five feet.

18 MR. DIVER: Okay. With that amendment, we

19 would re-move for introduction of this document,

20 indicating the relative location with respect to the Crown

21 property of the instrumentation for measurement of sound on

22 July 5th, 1994 and June 19th, 1995.

23 MR.ELLEDGE: I would object to admission of

24 this document because it's still a document that shows

1 various configurations and dimensions which are I think 2 not established by any competent testimony. He has 3 testified that he was 15 feet from the property line, but 4 I don't know that the mark really is very helpful and 5 reflective. So, I would object to the introduction of 6 this document at this time. 7 MR. DIVER: I'll withdraw my offer at this 8 moment. 9 BY MR. DIVER: 10 Q Mr. Shiner, could you tell *me with respect to 11 that location that you've identified on the document, the 12 yellow highlighter and the red circle, where with respect 13 to the face of the chiller unit itself were you located? 14 That is, were you located to the left of the face, the 15 right, the center? 16 А We try to be head on to the unit. 17 Q Pretty much smack in the center of the chiller 18 unit? 19 А Straight lines. 20 MR. DIVER: I'll withdraw the offer at this 21 point. 22 0 You prepared data with respect to this second 23 monitoring event?

A That's correct.

1 Q Now, previous to entering that data, had you 2 established your instrumentation, established your 3 location consistent with the requirement of the Illinois 4 Pollution Control Board regulations governing the 5 methodology for measurement of sound to establish a 6 violation or not on Class A property? 7 A That's correct. 8 0 The numbers that are shown on this exhibit, 9 these are written in your hand? 10 A Correct. 11 Q At the tope there are various notations that 12 look like 1C, 3, 4, 5. Could you tell me what those refer 13 to? Before beginning this testing procedure, we 14 Α 15 developed a protocol of different operating conditions to 16 test and so as on the second page of this exhibit, Item 1c 17 says that the cones on the condenser unit would be on, 18 compressor A on, compressor B on, but theShelton unit 19 off. Protocol on or test procedure 3 or condition 3 would 20 be compressor A on, compressor -- or compressor A off, 21 compressor B off and the Shelton condense unit off; 22 basically ambient conditions. Procedure 4 was compressor A 23 off, B off, Shelton on and continuing on. 24 Q There's actually I believe at the top of your

- 1 raw data sheet an indication of a 5?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q Correct?
- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q That doesn't appear to be described in the
- 6 document that follows.
- 7 A Right.
- 8 Q Is it described--
- 9 A It says east property line. During our
- 10 testing of that evening, one of the Shelton neighbors came
- 11 up I guess and we were going to go and check what the
- 12 level would be on the west side -- east side of the
- 13 property line.
- 14 Q Okay. I'm asking you to just turn around for
- 15 a second here. I'm looking at Exhibit 51 being the Crown
- 16 property. When you made that measurement shown at Number
- 17 5, were you on or on the borderline of the Crown property?
- 18 A That's correct.
- 19 Q I'm giving you a red pen and asking you to put
- 20 an X on that exhibit showing the location where, to the
- 21 extent that you recall it, you had your instrumentation
- 22 placed for the purposes of measuring the sound?
- A Is this a fence?
- 24 Q East is this way.

1 А Oh, east is this way. 2 Q This is the east line here I believe. 3 Α And where would the --4 Shelton property is up here. Q 5 Α Okay. So, the condenser was--6 Q In this red area here. 7 Α Okay. So, we were approximately right here. 8 Q Would you put a red X at that location, 9 please? 10 Okay, thank you. 11 MR. DIVER: I would ask for a stipulation just 12 as we provided with respect to the other markings on this 13 particular exhibit. 14 MR. CARSON: Exhibit 51? 15 MR. DIVER: Yes, Exhibit 51, the red X

16 indicates where the particular witness, Mr. Shiner, had

17 stood with his instrumentation for the conduct of the test

18 on June 19th, 1995.

19 MR. CARSON: We're stipulating that he placed

20 a red X in response to your question.

21 MR. DIVER: Yes, that's fine.

22 MR. CARSON: On Exhibit 51.

23 MR. DIVER: Yes.

24 BY MR. DIVER:

1 Q Okay. The data that that is recorded 2 underneath each of those various protocols? 3 Α Uh-huh. 4 Are your actual recorded data with respect to Q 5 each of the octave bands? 6 Α Correct. 7 Q And on this particular circumstance, did you, 8 as you had done before, do three different readings or 9 just one? 10 Α No, we just did one reading. 11 0 Okay. Did you at a subsequent hour or day 12 translate that raw data into a typewritten form? 13 Α That's correct. 14 0 Showing you now what's been marked as Exhibit 15 60 and ask you to look at that and tell me whether or not 16 that is the document onto which you translated the raw 17 data? 18 А That's correct. 19 Q The one exception seems to be that the raw 20 data from protocol 5, that is the location where the red X 21 is, was not actually translated onto the written form? 22 Α That's right. 23 And have you actually compared the raw data Q 24 with the numbers that were written to verify that there

- 1 was no transliteration or other kind of mistake in the
- 2 movement of the data from one to the other?
- 3 A To the best of my knowledge, everything is
- 4 correct.
- 5 MR. DIVER: Move the introduction of Exhibit

6 60 and 62.

- 7 MR.ELLEDGE: No objection.
- 8 (Complainant's Exhibit Nos. 60 & 62 were
- 9 admitted into evidence.)

10 BY MR. DIVER:

- 11 Q And last showing you, Mr. Shiner, Exhibit 57
- 12 being photocopies of a couple of what appear to be a
- 13 couple of fax sheets from your office dated June 20th I
- 14 believe this is 1995, is that correct?
- 15 A Correct.
- 16 Q And what was it that you sent to the
- 17 individuals noted here, Brad Mautner and Steven Crown?
- 18 A Copies of my report.
- 19 Q Of this June 30th report that we just
- 20 identified as Exhibit 60?
- 21 A Correct.
- 22 MR. DIVER: No further questions. Thank you,

23 Mr. Shiner.

24 HEARING OFFICER: We will have cross

1 examination. 2 MR. DIVER: I would ask the opportunity to 3 reopen my examination of this witness just to ask him 4 whether on the exhibit of June 19, 1995 he had been 5 engaged both by Mr. Mautner at Mid/Res Company as well as 6 the Sheltons to conduct the noise reading? 7 Α No, we were engaged by MrShelton to make 8 measurements. 9 Q For the second reading, the one in June of 10 1995? 11 Yes. We were paid by MrShelton to make the А 12 measurements. 13 Q You don't recall then having been paid by Mr. 14 Mauther as well for that event? 15 А No, we were not. 16 MR. DIVER: All right. No further question. 17 HEARING OFFICER: We'll then proceed with the 18 cross-examination of Mr. Shiner. 19 **CROSS-EXAMINATION** 20 BY MR. ELLEDGE: 21 Q I show you what's been previously marked as 22 Number 60 and if you'll -- do you have the original, your 23 Honor? 24 HEARING OFFICER: I have a copy of 60.

1 MR.ELLEDGE: May I show this to him?
2 HEARING OFFICER: Please.
3 Q I'd like to direct your attention to the line
4 that's three up from the bottom of the page and that's
5 with Shelton air conditioner on.
6 A Correct.
7 Q Could you tell us what that line reflects?
8 A The protocol. I don't remember what the
9 number was.
10 HEARING OFFICER: That was Exhibit 62. Here,
11 Mr. Shiner.
12 A Thank you. Prior to making these measurements
13 and in discussion with Mr. Crown, Mr. Crown asked that as
14 long as we're going to be making the measurements with the
15 Shelton unit on, he would also like to have a measurement
16 with the Crown unit on. He would like to have the Shelton
17 unit on and the Crown unit off.
18 Q And so this reflects
19 A This reflects the noise transmitted by the
20 Shelton air conditioner unit to the Crown property.
21 Q Okay. Thank you.
22 HEARING OFFICER: Which line are you referring
23 to? Oh, I see it indicated here.
24 Q **And were those measurements conducted in

- 1 accordance with the same protocol by the measurement
- 2 testing protocol to which you previously testified to?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Thank you. Now--
- 5 A IPCB protocols.
- 6 Q Let me direct your attention to your testimony
- 7 earlier about your experience and your training.
- 8 A Okay.
- 9 Q And I believe you said that you opened your
- 10 office in -- your own office as a consultant in 1973.
- 11 A That's correct.
- 12 Q And you've been continued in the operation of
- 13 that office as a consultant for the last 20 plus years, is
- 14 that correct?
- 15 A That's correct.
- 16 Q And that has been your exclusive profession
- 17 during that period?
- 18 A That's correct.
- 19 Q And so you would have occasion to take
- 20 measurements of this type on many occasions during each
- 21 year, is that not true?
- 22 A That's correct.
- 23 Q Would it be in the range of 10 or 15 every
- 24 year?

1 A Probably 15, 20, somewhere.

2 Q All right. And have you had occasion to
3 observe the effect of various levels of sound upon the
4 people you were testing then for whom you were testing
5 them?

6 MR. DIVER: Objection, your Honor. There's 7 been no foundation laid for this testimony. That's just 8 his capabilities with respect to relating particular sound 9 measurement levels to semantic complaints or physiological 10 or psychological conditions at all. If counsel wants to 11 make him a witness for counsel with respect to that, 12 counsel certain has the opportunity pursuant to the 13 agreement we had earlier. But, it's beyond the scope of 14 direct examination. 15 HEARING OFFICER: MrElledge? 16 MR.ELLEDGE: Your Honor, it would seem to me 17 that the nature of his experience and the aspects of his 18 various experience in the area of testing all are germane 19 and within the scope of the direct. If it's clear, I'll 20 be happy to do -- rest the cross-examination and then call 21 him as my witness to explore this area. 22 HEARING OFFICER: Objection overruled. 23 MR.ELLEDGE: Thank you.

24 BY MR. ELLEDGE:

1 Q Have you had occasion to observe the response 2 of the various measurements that you were making? 3 Α Yes. And you, of course, have heard it yourself, is 4 Q 5 that correct? 6 Α That's correct. 7 Q How would you characterize the sound levels 8 that you measured on July of 1994, the July 5th 9 measurements, 1995? 10 MR. DIVER: Objection, your Honor, 11 mischaracterization of this witness. Other than the 12 respect to the numerical measurements he made, is beyond 13 the scope of the direct examination. There's no 14 foundation. It's beyond the scope of the direct 15 examination. Again--16 HEARING OFFICER: It's not clear to me that 17 he's being asked to answer anything that's beyond the

18 scope of direct.

19 MR. DIVER: I thought he was being asked to

20 explain how he would characterize this sound and, of

21 course, he's characterized it in the written document.

22 That's the only characterization we offered the witness

23 for. If there's some other characterization that counsel

24 wants to go into, I suggest again that this witness be

1 made counsel's witness and he can go into it then.

2 HEARING OFFICER: Objection overruled. 3 Q Okay. Now, would you characterize the sound 4 that you measured on the first occasion? 5 A Could I see the first series? 6 Q That was Exhibit Number --7 A I have it here. 8 Q Exhibit 56 or do you want 61? 9 Α I'd like to see the raw data. 10 Q The witness is being handed Exhibit Number 61. 11 A Was A weighted. Am I allowed to talk about A 12 weighted levels? 13 Q Well, if you tell us what -- you tell me and 14 we'll ask you to explain anything that is not clear. 15 Α The ear -- you have to go into the 16 physiology of the ear. The ear hears different sounds and 17 perceives different sounds to different relative levels of 18 loudness or translate those levels to different perceived 19 loudness levels. When one listens to sound at 31 and a 20 half hertz, one hears a very low frequency sound. When 21 one hears a sound at a thousand hertz, one hears a sound 22 similar to what one hears at 10:30 when they're testing 23 the warning siren. The A waited value, which is strictly 24 a monitoring position o on the sound level meter, looks at

the overall spectrum of sound and tries to translate it
 into a single number which categorizes in a way, the way
 the ear perceives the sound.

4 So, in other words, it de-emphasizes 5 the low frequencies, it emphasizes the higher frequencies 6 and it gives one a better idea of what the sound sounds 7 like. And when looking at the data that I measured on 8 July 5th, your reading levels in the range of 60 decibels 9 and 58, 57 decibels, my personal opinion is that this is a 10 high level of noise and especially if at night when one is 11 trying to sleep and one has one's window open. 12 Q All right. Now, would you take a look at 13 Exhibit Number 62 and would you make comparable 14 characterization of the measurements you found then? 15 Α Okay. Just looking at protocol Number 2A 16 wherein compressors A and B were both on, theShelton unit 17 was off, the cones were off. So, in other words, I 18 believe it was a condition that the condenser unit is 19 operating in today's mode. Am I correct? 20 Q There's been previous testimony --21 А Maybe there's been some more modification that

22 I'm not aware of.

23 Q We'll address that next.

A Okay. One looks again at the A value and one

looks at now the levels is at 48 decibels. One compares the 48 to the 58 and one sees a reduction of about 10db. One says by golly, there's a significant difference and reduction. From a, again, perceived level we're talkingi on the range of probably halving the level of sound being emitted by the condenser unit.

7 Q Having?

8 A Halving the level.

9 Q Cutting in half?

10 A Cutting in half. Now, whether that's an

11 acceptable level, noise is a noise that annoys. So, to

12 some people, listening to WFMT is noise, other people feel

13 it to be beautiful music. The converse of that is -- and

14 I don't know what the radio station call numbers are -- to

15 them it's music, but to me it might be noise. So, when

16 one asks me, you know, is it noise or is it a nuisance or

17 is it not a nuisance, I think that's where we have the

18 courts.

19 I can't honestly say that it's okay or

20 it's not okay. I can tell you that the procedures that

21 were implemented reduce the level, the emission level by a

22 perception of half.

23 Q Cut it in half?

A Cut iti in half.

1 Q And would you call that a substantial 2 reduction?

3 A I would say that's a significant reduction.

4 Q Significant reduction. All right. Now, with

5 regard to the protocol, did you have occasion to do any

6 measurements with one compressor and one fan operating?

7 A No, we were not able to do that because the

8 temperature was such that we were not able to have only

9 one compressor running.

10 Q Do you have an opinion as to what the relative

11 effect would have been had you been able to--

12 A Well, the level would certain have gone down.

13 Q Would it have -- would you expect it to have

14 gone down significantly?

15 MR. DIVER: Objection, speculation.

16 HEARING OFFICER: Objection sustained.

17 MR.ELLEDGE: Don't answer. I have no further

18 questions of this witness. Thank you.

19 HEARING OFFICER: Any redirect?

20 MR. DIVER: Yes, Madam Hearing Officer.

21 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

22 BY MR. DIVER:

23 Q Mr. Shiner, staying with Exhibit 60. You

24 still have that before you?

00315				
1	А	60?		
2	Q	Right.		
3	А	No, I don't have 60. I have 61, 62, 56.		
4	Okay.			
5	Q	Starting with the 8,000 octave band.		
6	А	Yes.		
7	Q	Okay. Comparing the number that you measured		
8	for June	19th without the discharge cones on which was the		
9	lowest re	eading that you had, correct?		
10	А	Correct.		
11	Q	Indicating a numerical difference of 11?		
12	Α	9.		
13	Q	Tell us what the perceived what the human		
14 ear perceives with respect to				
15	А	Excuse me, it's 9, not 11.		
16	Q	You're right. Thank you. Tell me what the		
17	human e	ear will perceive by that kind of difference, a		
18	differen	ce of 9?		
19	Α	If you wish to bring the 34 down to 25, you		
20 cut it in half.				
21	Q	So, again 34 is a hundred percent higher in		
22	terms of	how the ear perceives it than 25, is that		
23	correct?			
24	А	Yes.		

1 Q Okay. Let's compare then at 4,000. We have a 2 number of 37 without th4e discharge cones on and 25 3 indicating 12 is the difference. Tell me again how the 4 human ear would perceive -- again, is this more than a 5 hundred times louder than the night time standard? 6 Α Yes. 7 Q Going to the next number at the 2,000 band, we 8 have the number 38 versus 30. A difference of 8. Again, 9 are we in the general range of a number higher than the 10 standard? 11 Let me clarify one thing. A 12 Q Sure. 13 A Before when I was talking about A weighted, A 14 weighted takes the entire spectrum into consideration and 15 the ten, the perception of loudness relates, the 10db 16 relates more to the overall spectrum than it does to 17 individual frequencies. But, yes, you're correct, that 18 there is a significant difference at the 8,000, at the 19 4,000. 20 Q Is it in the order of magnitude that we were 21 talking about? Does the human ear perceive at the 8,000

22 frequency?

A It's to be significantly louder.

24 Q And could we say fairly twice as loud

1 comparing 25 to 37, for example?

2 A You're probably in that ballpark.

3 Q Okay. And, in your reading, did you find that

4 the actual readings that you reached were in excess of the

5 nighttime standard for all except one band, the band that

6 I'm referring you to is 250.

7 A 250. We were at 46 and the standard says 47.

8 Q And with the exception of that every other one

9 is in exceedance of nighttime standards, are we correct?

10 A That's correct.

MR. DIVER: Thank you. No further questionsof this witness.

13 HEARING OFFICER: Will there be anyrecross,

14 Mr. Elledge?

15 MR.ELLEDGE: Norecross.

16 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you very much, Mr.

17 Shiner.

18 MR. DIVER: Thank you.

19 (A brief recess was taken.)

20 MR. DIVER: We're ready for our next witness.

21 HEARING OFFICER: We'll go back on the record

22 at this point in time. I have before me Exhibit 57 and

23 that was introduced for Identification purposes when Mr.

24 Shiner was on the witness stand. In the interim, while we

1	were off the record, Mr. Diver proffered this exhibit into
2	evidence and Mr. Elledge indicated that the Respondent
3	would have no objection to the introduction of Exhibit 57
4	into evidence. Therefore, Exhibit 57 will be entered into
5	evidence at this time.
6	(Complainant's Exhibit No. 57 was received
7	into evidence .)
8	HEARING OFFICER: We now have before us the
9	next witness and would you please identify the witness,
10	his name and then we'll have the witness sworn.
11	MR. DIVER: The Complainant calls as our next
12	witness, Paul Constantine.
13	(Witness Sworn.)
14	PROCEEDINGS
15	WHEREUPON
16	PAUL CONSTANT,
17	having been duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth,
18	and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as
19	follows:
20	DIRECT EXAMINATION
21	BY MR. DIVER:
22	Q Good afternoon, Mr. Constant, would you tell
23	us what your profession is?
24	A I'm an architect.

- 1 Q Were you the architect in charge of the
- 2 reconstruction of the property known as the Crown property
- 3 on Ardsley Road in Winnetka?
- 4 A Yes, I was.
- 5 Q From what period of time essentially?
- 6 A When did we? '91.
- 7 Q Mid 1991 '93?
- 8 A Thereabouts, yes.
- 9 Q During the course of your -- of performing
- 10 your services as the architect, were you the person
- 11 principally responsible for monitoring the progress of the
- 12 work at the time that you were the architect?

13 A Monitoring the work.

- 14 Q Seeing to it that the work was designed and
- 15 then once designed, contracted for?
- 16 A Between myself and other gentlemen in my

17 office.

- 18 Q Okay. Among other things, was the budget and
- 19 the cost of the project one of your responsibilities?
- 20 A Not really.
- 21 Q Were you required to monitor the cost of the
- 22 project as against a budget that had been established
- 23 between you and Mr. Crown?
- A Yes.

00320 1 Q And did you perform that service up to the 2 time you left the project? 3 Α Yes. 4 And at the time you left the project, what was Q 5 the budgeted cost of the reconstruction of this property? 6 MR.ELLEDGE: I object, your Honor. It's 7 totally irrelevant and we've had prior discussions on 8 this, counsel, and I -- with regard to what real estate 9 prices might be, what remodeling costs might be. I 10 concede to him and will concede to him that he may wish to 11 measure -- may find occasion to measure the cost of the 12 equipment and the cost of repairs made to the equipment, 13 the control made to the equipment, the amount of money and 14 the cost of the remodeling job total are I think 15 completely beyond the scope of this particular proceeding. 16 MR. DIVER: Madam Hearing Officer, our 17 position is that in determining the economic 18 reasonableness of any changes that have to occur that 19 might have occurred originally during the course of this 20 project, but may occur now is to be taken in contrast with 21 the entire cost of this project, not in terms of the 22 cost of the unit itself, and that's an economic 23 reasonableness test that we believe is applicable in this 24 circumstance.

HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. The objection is
 sustained.

3 ** MR. DIVER: Okay. I would like to make an 4 offer of proof then with respect to that issue, Madam 5 Hearing Officer. I would offer as proof that this witness 6 would testify, if allowed to answer the question that I 7 have asked, that the cost of the remodeling aspect, 8 reconstruction aspect of this company would have been in 9 excess of \$3.5 million at the time he left the project in 10 the middle of 1993. 11 BY MR. DIVER: 12 Mr. Constant, as one of your functions during Q 13 the course of your performance of services as architect, 14 you sought and obtained specifications for the HVAC system 15 at this particular property? 16 А Yes. 17 Q Showing you now what's been marked as Exhibit 18 66, which is also been shown previously as Exhibit Number 19 9 to your deposition, ask you if that exhibit is the set 20 of specifications, 12 page sheet of specifications which 21 you received from Mid/res pursuant to this contract to 22 provide both plans and specs for theHVAC system?

A Yes.

24 MR. DIVER: Madam Hearing Officer, I would

- 1 move the admission of Exhibit 66.
- 2 MR.ELLEDGE: No objection.
- 3 MR. DIVER: Thank you.

4 Q Mr. Constant, I'm now showing you what has

5 been marked as Exhibit 69, asking you to take a second

6 look at it. It's dated February 12, 1992. Asking you if

7 that's a document that you yourself received from Midres

- 8 and had occasion to make notations on?
- 9 A Yes.

10 Q The notations that are shown in the margins

11 and elsewhere are in your hand, is that correct?

12 A That's correct.

13 Q Was this the initial proposal that you

14 received from Mid/Res with respect to a potential contract

15 for the construction and installation of theHVAC system

- 16 as opposed to the design?
- 17 A That's correct.
- 18 MR. DIVER: Madam Hearing Officer, I move the

19 introduction of Exhibit 69.

20 MR.ELLEDGE: Just a second, counsel.

21 HEARING OFFICER: We are here playing catch up

22 with some exhibits that were introduced earlier, but were

23 not entered into evidence.

24 MR.ELLEDGE: I have no objection, your Honor.

HEARING OFFICER: Then Number 66 and 69 will be entered into evidence. (Complainant's Exhibit Nos. 66 & 69 was received into evidence.) BY MR. DIVER:

6 0 Is it true, Mr. Constant, that with respect to 7 determining the appropriateness of the design of the air 8 conditioning system, particularly the appropriateness of 9 the design of the chiller unit, that their appropriateness 10 to the neighborhood you looked to Mid/res for that 11 determination? 12 Α Yes. 13 Q Is this particular project, the Crown project, 14 the first project involving a residential structure that 15 you were involved in that made use of this size of a

16 chiller unit?

17 A Yes.

18 MR. DIVER: No further questions.

19 MR.ELLEDGE: Your Honor, I have no cross

20 examination, but while he's here, I would like to put him

21 on for a few questions of my own as part of the case for

22 the Respondent.

23 HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Will Mr. Constant be

24 called later in your Respondent's case?

1 MR.ELLEDGE: I'm trying to avoid that for 2 him. I could call him later, but I know what a tight 3 schedule he has. HEARING OFFICER: Would that be all right with 4 5 you, Mr. Constant? 6 THE WITNESS: I appreciate it, if nothing 7 else. 8 HEARING OFFICER: Would that be all right with 9 counsel for Complainant? 10 MR. DIVER: That's acceptable to Complainant 11 and to counsel. 12 HEARING OFFICER: All right. Then let the 13 record show we have no cross-examination of Mr. Constant 14 as part of Complainant's case in chief and we will have 15 Respondent's case in chief with respect to the testimony 16 of Mr. Constant at this time. MR.ELLEDGE: May we go off the record for a 17 18 minute, your Honor. 19 HEARING OFFICER: Yes. 20 (A brief recess was taken.) 21 HEARING OFFICER: Back on the record. 22 PROCEEDINGS 23 WHEREUPON 24 PAUL CONSTANT

1 having been duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, 2 and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as 3 follows: 4 DIRECT EXAMINATION 5 BY MR. ELLEDGE: 6 0 Mr. Constant, I would like to hand you 4 7 photographs which have been marked on the back, R1, R2, 8 R3, and R4. Could you tell us what those are? 9 Α Those are photographs from the east end, from 10 the west of the air handler orchiller on the north side 11 of the grounds. 12 And who took those footprints? Q 13 Α I did. 14 Q And do they truly and accurately portray that 15 portrait? 16 MR. DIVER: On what date? 17 Α Those were taken last night at 7:30. 18 MR. DIVER: So, that's the true and accurate 19 depiction is what they looked like last night. 20 MR.ELLEDGE: That's correct. 21 MR. DIVER: Okay. MR.ELLEDGE: I would now move the 22 23 introduction of these four photographs, please. 24 MR. DIVER: No objection.

1 MR.ELLEDGE: May I keep them with him for a 2 minute? 3 HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Q Let me point out photograph No. R1. Could you 4 5 tell us where is that taken? From what direction is that? 6 Α That's taken from the west looking east along 7 the driveway, approximately a third of the way into the 8 property. 9 Q Would you turn around behind you and look at 10 the Exhibit No. 51? Let me give you a blue marker and 11 write Number 1 if that's what it is, and put a circle 12 around it. 13 A R1. And that's where the point from which R1 was 14 0 15 taken and in what direction is it facing? Put a little 16 arrow. Okay, fine. 17 Now, let me show you photograph Number R2 and 18 would you tell me -- would you mark on Exhibit No. 51 19 where that was taken with the same blue pen? That's R2 20 and would you put an arrow there, too? Right. 21 And with regard to R3 and R4, would you also 22 mark that on Exhibit Number 51? Thank you very much. 23 Now, Mr. Constant, during the course of this 24 construction project -- first of all, when were you first

1 -- when did you become associated with this particular

2 project, the Crown residence?

3 A Well, it was '90 or '91. I think that I first

4 showed and went over to look at the house with Steve and

5 Nancy Crown when it was owned by the previous owners.

6 Q I see. And have you had occasions to be on

7 the property all during the course of the intervening

8 years?

9 A Yes.

10 Q And did you have occasion to be on the

11 property during the fall of 1993?

12 A Yes.

13 Q And did you have occasion to be on the

14 property at a time when the, what people have been

15 referring to as the chiller unit was initially turned on

16 in late 1993?

17 A Yes.

18 MR. DIVER: I'm sorry, I didn't hear the

19 question, counsel.

20 HEARING OFFICER: Read the question back.

21 (Whereupon the record was read by the reporter.)

22 Q Have you had occasion to be on the property

23 after certain sound attenuating devices were incorporated

24 into that chiller unit in late 1993-'94 which you've

1 characterized among other things as cone cylinders?
2 MR. DIVER: Objection, no foundation that he
3 knows at all what the sound attenuating devices are or when
4 they were installed or anything else.
5 MR.ELLEDGE: May I have your Exhibit Number
6 1?
7 MR. KAISER: I believe Madam Hearing officer
8 is keeping track of those.
9 MR. DIVER: 1 is the map.
10 MR.ELLEDGE: Photographs is what I'm looking
11 for.
12 MR. DIVER: 4.
13 MR.ELLEDGE: 4 and 5 is what I'm looking for.
14 Number 4 is a photograph. If I may show it to him,
15 please. Thank you. May I see 5 as well? Thank you.
16 BY MR. ELLEDGE:
17 Q May I show you photographs that have been
18 identified as Exhibit 5a and 5b. Would you look at those
19 for a minute and would you tell us what they represent?
20 A That's thechiller.
21 Q That's thechiller?
22 A Yea.
23 Q And you see silvery cylinders at the top of
24 the chiller?

24 the chiller?

А	Yes.
Q	Do you know what those are?
А	The bands.
Q	Okay. Did the equipment come with those
particula	r cylinders on them?
А	I believe so, yes.
Q	Okay. Did you have occasion to would you
look at t	hat photograph and notice the wooden fence there.
А	Uh-huh.
Q	Do you know at what point construction in the
project	that fence and that fence was put around that
chiller i	n terms of sequence?
А	I don't know precisely, but it was installed
right are	ound the same time the landscape was done. Maybe
after the	e arbor Vitae hedge was put in. I think the green
arbor V	itae hedge was installed and the fence came in
later.	
	MR. DIVER: Can we have a sense of time? I
haven't	heard a time in the answer.
Q	What year do you think that was?
А	1993.
Q	Okay, '93. Thank you. To your knowledge, has
there be	en additions made with regard to the enclosure
around	the chiller unit since those photographs were
	Q A Q particula A Q look at t A Q project f chiller if A right ard after the arbor V later. haven't Q A Q there be

1 taken?

2 A Yes, I'm aware of the baffling as well.

3 Q And could you describe briefly for the record

4 what the baffling appeared to be?

5 A It looks from the outside like a louver, but

6 it's a little more sophisticated than a louver. It's

7 actually got a conical section through it and it acts as a

8 baffle.

9 Q And this is part of the acoustical enclosure

10 around the whole unit?

11 A That's correct.

12 Q And do you know when that was installed,

13 approximately?

14 A '94-'95, '95.

15 Q All right, thank you. Now, have you had

16 occasion to be on the property since that installation was

17 made?

18 A Yes.

19 Q And have you had occasion to be on that

20 property within the past week?

A Yes.

22 Q Have you had occasion -- did you have occasion

23 to hear the chiller unit operating during the period

24 before the acoustic enclosure was put around it?

00	331	
1	А	Yes.
2	Q	And from what distance could you hear it? Did
3	you have	occasion to walk all the way around it?
4	А	Yes, we had many occasions that we walked and
5	talked are	bund the chiller.
6	Q	Walked and talked around the hiller?
7	А	Yes.
8	Q	Was there a difference in the sound emitted in
9	the drive	ways and in the front of the Crown house after
10	the enclo	sure was put around it?
11	А	Yes.
12	Q	How would you characterize it?
13	А	I'd say the difference was substantial.
14	Q	Substantial?
15	А	Yes.
16	Q	And was a substantial what?
17	А	Improvement.
18	Q	Improvement?
19	А	Yes.
20	Q	And was it a reduction in sound?
21	А	Yes.
22	Q	Have you had occasion to be out there since
23	that time	9

23 that time?

A Yes.

1 Q And when were you last out there?

2 A 5:15 this morning.

3 Q And was the unit operating at that time?

4 A Yes.

5 Q And could you characterize the sound coming

6 from the unit, if any?

7 MR. DIVER: Objection. No foundation. I have

8 no idea where he was standing.

9 HEARING OFFICER: Objection sustained.

10 Q All right. Don't answer the question.

11 When you were out there this morning, where

12 did you go?

13 A I can show you. I parked my car here. I

14 walked here. I walked here. I walked here and I walked

15 back along that fence line.

16 MR.ELLEDGE: Let the record reflect that he

17 was pointing to the top line, the horizontal line on

18 Exhibit 51 at the top of the drawing which is Number 51.

19 MR. CARSON: You're referring to the yellow

20 line.

21 A North side of the property.

22 Q You walked along thenorthside of the

23 property?

A Uh-huh.

- 1 Q And could you hear thechiller unit
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q And how did it compare with the previous times

4 you've heard it?

5 A It's, I mean to me it's no noisier than any

6 other compressor that I've heard on the property line.

- 7 MR. DIVER: Objection, unresponsive.
- 8 MR.ELLEDGE: Your Honor, he was answering.
- 9 A I'm trying to describe--
- 10 MR DIVER: He was saying it sounds no
- 11 different from any other condenser. The question was how
- 12 does it compare to this particular unit at a prior time,
- 13 not how does it compare to some other condenser.
- 14 MR.ELLEDGE: Your Honor, he's answered what he

15 answered.

16 HEARING OFFICER: If you could answer the

17 question.

- 18 A Okay. I would say that last night at 10:00
- 19 o'clock, this morning at 5:00 o'clock. There was -- it
- 20 was quieter than I've heard it previously.

21 Q Is it substantially quieter?

- A It's quieter, yes.
- 23 Q Okay. Now, where do you live? I don't

24 believe we got your address.

003	34	
1	А	1070 Fisher Lane, Winnetka.
2	Q	So, you also live inWinnetka?
3	А	Yes.
4	Q	Do you have an air conditioning unit in your
5	house?	
6	А	I do.
7	Q	And do your neighbors?
8	А	Yes.
9	Q	And when you walk out your back door in the
10	evening	when you walk out the back door before you went
11	over to	the Crown house, did you hear air conditioners
12	operatin	g?
13	А	Yes, I did.
14	Q	And from whence were these air conditioners
15	operatin	g, where were they, the air conditioners?
16	А	They're on the south side of my property.
17	They're	located I assume just off of the set back line and
18	there ar	e two units, normal, I imagine somewhere between 3
19	and 5 to	ns and
20	Q	They were operating last night?
21	А	They were operating last night.
22	Q	They were operating this morning?
23	А	Yes, they were.
24	Q	Would you compare the sound levels from those

compared to what you experienced at the Crown home?
 A I'm closer. My house is closer to those
 units, but -- than the Crowns are to where I was standing,
 but--

5 MR. DIVER: Madam Hearing Officer, I'm going 6 to interject an objection at this point as allowing a 7 totality of completely irrelevant testimony to be admitted 8 into the record. I've gone a long distance in hoping that 9 this would lead someplace that would lead somehow to a 10 relevant piece of testimony, but how the air conditioning 11 unit next to Mr. Constant's house sounds to him is of no 12 relevance in this proceeding. 13 MR.ELLEDGE: Madam Hearing Officer, sound is 14 difficult to describe and sounds are obviously relative. 15 This is a witness who has heard the compressor in this 16 house straight through the whole project and he is

17 comparing it to other sounds and that's completely

18 relevant to this proceeding.

19 HEARING OFFICER: Objection overruled. I'll

20 permit the Respondent to ask the witness to answer this

21 question, which is part of this case in chief.

22 A To my ear, the neighboring compressors on my

23 property are louder than the Crown's compressors.

24 Q And do those compressors interfere with your

1 sleep in your house?
2 A No.
3 Q Do they bother you?
4 A No.
5 Q Okay.
6 MR. DIVER: I'll object to the last two
7 answers, Madam hearing Officer.
8 MR.ELLEDGE: Asked and answered.
9 Q Have you had occasion to hear the sound levels
10 from this compressor from the Shelton property?
11 A Yes.
12 Q When were you last have you ever been in
13 the Shelton house?
14 A Yes.
15 Q When were you last in the Shelton house?
16 A I went through with somebody who was
17 interested in the house in, I would say '94.
18 Q In 1994?
19 A Yes.
20 Q Is this in the summer or the winter, if you
21 know?
A It was fall I believe or the end of the
23 summer.
24 Q End of the summer?

- 1 A Yes.
- 2 Q At that time were the air condition -- the

3 chiller unit at the Crown residence in operation?

- 4 A I can't recall.
- 5 Q You cannot recall?
- 6 A No.
- 7 Q Okay. Have you had occasion to take clients or
- 8 prospective clients of yours onto the Crown property to
- 9 observe the house?
- 10 A Yes, I have.
- 11 Q And have you walked with them into the
- 12 vicinity of the chiller in question?
- 13 A Stood right by it.
- 14 Q And could you tell us who that client was?
- 15 A **DanJavan Putty and I think his name is Jim.
- 16 Q And what was the purpose of your visit there?
- 17 A They were interested in doing substantial
- 18 expansion of their residence and they were interested in
- 19 seeing the quality of the construction and construction
- 20 type.
- 21 Q Did they ask you any questions with regard
- 22 to the air conditioning?
- A Yes, we got done just walking around the
- 24 property and we stopped right outside of it and they said

1 they were aware of the property because of thechiller and 2 I said do you realize we're standing right next to it and 3 ---4 MR. DIVER: Objection. If he has any 5 intention of this witness to complete the sentence by 6 saying how they responded. If that was not his intention, 7 I have no objection. 8 Q Would you repeat what you told them, please, 9 at that point you said as you started before? 10 Α We were standing right at the compressors and 11 I explained to them, you know, that they were on and they 12 didn't say anything. 13 MR. DIVER: Objection, your Honor, to the not 14 saying anything is the same as saying something for 15 purposes of it's relevance and to the extent it's 16 relevant, it's totally hearsay. 17 HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Constant, just answer 18 the question that has been asked. 19 THE WITNESS: Okay. 20 BY MR. ELLEDGE: 21 0 Thank you. Did you have any difficulty 22 carrying on a conversation at that point with these 23 people? 24 A No, we didn't.

1 Q Was it in a low tone of voice?

2 A Yes.

- 3 Q Normal tone of voice?
- 4 A Absolutely.
- 5 Q And the inquiry to you was -- what was the
- 6 inquiry that was made of you?
- 7 A That they were aware of the property. They
- 8 had heard about the problems with thechiller and the
- 9 noise from the chiller.
- 10 Q So, they were asking you about the noise from
- 11 the chiller?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q And at a time when you were standing right
- 14 next to the chiller?
- 15 A And then I informed them that we were standing
- 16 right next to it.
- 17 Q All right. Have you -- let me direct your
- 18 attention to Exhibit Number 51 again and I take it that
- 19 north is up.
- 20 A Right.
- 21 Q And so on the right-hand side, could you tell
- 22 me who owns, currently owns the house that's to the right,
- 23 to the east of that?
- 24 A JeffNeal and Susan Solomon Neal.

00340	
1 Q	And are they clients of yours?
2 A	Yes.
3 Q	And have they gone through a reconstruction
4 recently	/?
5 Q	And have you ever had occasion to be on their
6 propert	y during the summertime well, have you had an
7 occasio	n to be on that property?
8 A	Yes.
9 Q	Were you on their property during the summer of
10 1994?	
11 A	'94 and '95.
12 Q	And '95?
13 A	Yes.
14 Q	Okay. And how far away from the property line
15 let's	back up. How many times were you on in 1995,
16 if you l	know?
17 A	A lot.
18 Q	Was there a time when you were particularly
19 called t	to the property by Mr. Neal?
20 A	Yes. Jeff called me one night at 10:00, I
21 guess a	quarter to 10:00.
22 Q	What month was that, approximately?
23 A	I believe it was July, last year.
24 Q	July of last year?

1	А	Yes.
2	Q	And did you go over to his house?
3	А	Yes.
4	Q	And where did you stand?
5	А	Where did we stand?
6	Q	Where did you stand?
7	А	We stood right in his walkway, right up to his
8	front doo	Dr.
9	Q	And what did he ask you, if anything?
10		MR. DIVER: You're Honor, I'm going to object.
11	This ent	ire line of questioning, we're talking about an
12	alleged	nuisance at the Shelton property. We're talking
13	about an	alleged violation of the Illinois Numerical Noise
14	Limitati	ons on the Shelton property. We're not talking
15	about the	e status of noise reception or sound on theNeal
16	property	v or anyplace else.
17		HEARING OFFICER: Objection sustained.
18		MR.ELLEDGE: Your Honor, may I make one
19	response	e to that, to at least part of that and; that is,
20	he says	we're not concerned about any testimony of noise
21	received	from any property other than the Shelton's
22	property	y. I would like at this time to move to strike the
23	entire te	stimony of Marge Julian yesterday. I'd like to
24	strike it	from the record since it spoke only about noise

received at some property other than theShelton property,
 counsel.

3 MR. DIVER: The property that was involved was 4 on the other side of the Shelton property and in direct 5 line, as she testified, from the noise. I don't know that 6 you're going to be able to establish that theNeal 7 property, directly east of the Crown property is in a 8 direct line with the Shelton property. But, if you can do 9 it, go at it. 10 MR.ELLEDGE: The motion has been for the 11 striking of Julian testimony, your Honor. 12 MR. DIVER: And our response, your Honor, is 13 that that testimony is relevant inasmuch as that 14 particular receptor source is in a direct line between the 15 sound emitter and the sound receiver. The sound receiver, 16 the Shelton house, is directly between. 17 MR. CARSON: Can we have one moment, your 18 Honor. We're looking for the configuration of the 19 neighborhood which is one of the exhibits from yesterday. 20 HEARING OFFICER: Okay. We'll go off the 21 record. 22 (A brief recess was taken.) 23 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. The motion to 24 strike the testimony of Marjorie Julian is denied. I will

1 not be issuing a separate written ruling on this. The

2 objection is sustained as to Mr. Elledge's last question

3 of Mr. Elledge.

- 4 MR.ELLEDGE: Thank you.
- 5 HEARING OFFICER: You may proceed.

6 BY MR. ELLEDGE:

7 Q Mr. Constant, I'd like to show you what's been

8 marked for Identification as Exhibit Number 50 which is an

9 Exhibit that contains four pages and I direct your

10 attention to the fourth page. Would you look at that for

11 a minute? Now, Mr. Constant, does that indicate the

- 12 location of the Crown lot, the Crown property?
- 13 A Correct.
- 14 Q And is it correctly positioned on that plate?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q And does it indicate the position of the

17 Shelton property?

18 A Yes.

19 Q And does it show then the Julian property to

20 the north of that?

21 A Yes.

22 Q Okay. Now, moving to the right from the Crown

23 property, what is it's designation of the property that's

24 immediately to the east?

1	A	Rycroft.
2	Q	And could you tell us what kind of a residence
3	or buildir	ng on the Rycroft property?
4	А	It's a big Englishtudor home.
5	Q	And who currently owns that house?
6	А	JeffNeal and Susan Solomon Neal.
7	Q	And so these are the clients of whom you were
8	testifying	earlier?
9	А	Correct.
10	Q	When you were called out last summer at the
11	time you	were talking about, would you take this blue pen
12	and put a	in X on the spot that you testified where you were
13	standing	outside?
14		MR. KAISER: Excuse me, MrElledge, do you
15	want to u	use the original 50 so the one in the record is
16	marked?	
17		MR.ELLEDGE: Thank you.
18		MR. DIVER: And could I ask, Madam Court
19	Reporter	, to read me the question that's been asked of this
20	witness?	
21		(The record was read.)
22		MR. DIVER: We're talking about in 1995, is
23	that corre	ect?
24	А	Correct.

00345

1 MR. DIVER: Okay.
2 Q And where were you
3 MR. DIVER: Excuse me, may I have an
4 opportunity to take a look at this document? Okay.
5 Q Now, were you in direct line when you were
6 standing there? Were you in direct line of sight with the
7 Crown residence at that time?
8 A Yes.
9 Q Would you estimate the distance from the Crown
10 residence you were standing at that time?
11 A You're speaking of from the residence?
12 Q Yes.
13 A Eighty to a hundred feet.
14 Q Eighty to a hundred feet. Could you hear the
15 Crown air conditioner at that time?
16 MR. DIVER: Objection, your Honor, relevance
17 again. The issue here is not the perception of sound on
18 the property to the east of the Crown residence, but the
19 reception of sound on the Shelton property or property
20 that could reasonably be acting as a surrogate. And
21 there's been no foundation establishing any foundation at
22 all that that's the circumstance in this particular
23 hypothetical or this particular question.
24 MR.ELLEDGE: Your Honor, we had testimony

1 yesterday from Marge Julian which we let in which she 2 testified she was hearing sound from the Crown property 3 both a few days ago and in earlier years. She testified 4 that she was standing as I recall, something in excess of 5 a hundred feet. This witness has testified that he was 6 outside at a time I believe he testified when the -- I'm 7 trying to get him to say whether or not the air 8 conditioning was operated in a direct line of it with the 9 house. It's completely comparable to the Julian 10 testimony. 11 HEARING OFFICER: The objection is overruled. 12 MR.ELLEDGE: Thank you, your Honor. 13 Would you repeat the question again, 14 please? 15 (The record was read.) 16 MR.ELLEDGE: Would you answer the question, 17 please? 18 Α Barely. 19 The answer washarely?n Q 20 А Barely. 21 Q Could you hear other air conditioners? 22 A That was the problem. The house to the east 23 was actually the air conditioning that Mr. Neal was 24 calling about.

1 Q Thank you. When you talk about the house to

2 the east, could you tell us--

3 A TheBardjney residence.

4 Q So, that was an air conditioner which--

5 A Right here.

6 Q Why don't you put a line under the name?

7 So, if I understand your testimony, you were

8 out there last July?

9 A July or August.

10 Q And you were specifically identifying the

11 sound of the air conditioners?

12 A Right.

13 Q And from a distance of approximately 90 feet

14 away from the Crown lot line, another air conditioner

15 which was even further -- well, further east was louder,

16 is that correct?

17 A Yes.

18 MR. DIVER: Madam Hearing officer, again,

19 object to the relevance of this entire line. Now we're

20 talking about sound emissions from an air conditioner that

21 isn't involved here to the east of the Crown residence

22 being allegedly perceived by Mr. Constant on a property to

23 the east of the Crown residence. This has nothing to do

24 with this proceeding other than to confuse it.

1 MR.ELLEDGE: Your Honor, the whole proceeding		
has to do with noise of an air conditioner in a		
3 residential neighborhood.		
4 MR. DIVER: It has with this air conditioner.		
5 MR.ELLEDGE: it's generic air conditioner.		
6 MR. DIVER: This is not a generic case.		
7 HEARING OFFICER: Please, Mr. Diver. The		
8 objection is overruled. I'll permit the witness to answer		
9 the question.		
10 MR.ELLEDGE: Let me withdraw the question and		
11 ask it again, if I may, and perhaps clarify and satisfy		
12 some of Mr. Diver's objections.		
13 Q The lot that you've indicated to the east of		
14 the Neal property, what is the name again?		
15 A Bardjney.		
16 Q And when you were standing on the Neal		
17 property, how far away were youfro the Bardjney house,		
18 could you estimate?		
19 A Definitely over a hundred feet at that point.		
20 Q Okay.		
A A hundred to a hundred and twenty.		
22 Q A hundred to a hundred and twenty feet from		
23 the Bardjney house?		
24 MR. DIVER: Objection, lake of foundation. It		

1 has nothing to do with the source of noise.
2 HEARING OFFICER: Objection overruled.
3 Q Could you tell us again how far you were
4 standing at that point from the Crown residence,
5 approximately?
6 A Eighty to a hundred feet.
7 Q And which air conditioner was louder?
8 A TheBardjney's.
9 Q By a little bit or a lot?
10 A A lot.
11 MR.ELLEDGE: Thank you. I have no further
12 questions.
13 HEARING OFFICER: We will then have the
14 cross-examination of Mr. Constant by Complainant.
15 MR. DIVER: Excuse me, I have an opportunity
16 to examine this witness?
17 HEARING OFFICER: Yes. We are in Respondent's
18 case in chief on Mr. Constant. It's your opportunity to
19 cross-examine the witness.
20 MR. DIVER: Thank you, Madam Hearing Officer.
21 CROSS EXAMINATION
22 BY MR. DIVER:
23 Q Mr. Constant, are you an acoustics expert?
24 HEARING OFFICER: Could you please speak up?

- 1 Q Are you an acoustic expert?
- 2 A No.
- 3 Q Are you an expert in the measurement of sound?
- 4 A No.
- 5 Q Did you terminate your professional
- 6 relationship with the Crown property at the end of the
- 7 summer of 1993?
- 8 A Terminate?
- 9 Q Yes. Did you end your role as the architect
- 10 in charge of the reconstruction in the summer of 1993?
- 11 A Well, we were hired to do the development of
- 12 the shell package and then the interior people took over.
- 13 Q I understand, but you ceased to have an
- 14 operating, day to day responsibility with respect to the
- 15 site in the end of the summer of 1993?
- 16 A Well, we completed our obligation, the shell
- 17 package, the mechanical systems.
- 18 HEARING OFFICER: You simply need to answer
- 19 the question to the best of your ability, sir.
- 20 Q As I understand it, you first heard of this
- 21 project when you were out running with Steven crown. First
- 22 heard of the ideas of buying this property and
- 23 reconstructing it. You were out running with Steven, is
- 24 that correct?

1 A Well, we talked about the project on the run 2 one time, yes.

3 Q At the various times that you have testified

4 that you or others were experiencing sound coming from the

5 chiller unit at the Crown property, were you aware whether

6 the compressors were all on?

7 A The fans are on.

8 Q No, I'm asking were you aware whether the

9 compressors were all on?

10 A I can't say I could be aware of it, no.

11 Q Okay. Were you aware of whether all the fans

12 were on during those circumstances?

13 A Well--

14 Q It's a simple question.

15 A Yea, but it isn't a simple answer because

16 there are times when the units were totally on and I was

17 there and I'm aware of that. I was thinking particularly

18 when they were installing the millwork.

19 Q And you knew at that time that they were

20 totally on?

A Yes.

22 Q How did you know that?

A Because we instructed them to run them full

24 board so we could install the -- to dry out the interior.

00352

1 Q You're assuming whoever you instructed did it 2 put them on so that -- how many compressors were in this 3 unit? Α In the chiller there are either nine or eleven 4 5 I had other jobs that's similar, so I'm not sure. 6 MR. DIVER: I have no further questions of 7 this witness. 8 HEARING OFFICER: Then we will have redirect 9 on the witness. 10 MR.ELLEDGE: May I ask one redirect question, 11 please? 12 **REDIRECT EXAMINATION** 13 BY MR. ELLEDGE: 0 Did you understand that last question fully of 14 15 how many compressors because I didn't hear your complete 16 answer. 17 Α I was trying to recall just how many units 18 were in there, how many zones are in the house and I've 19 done too many jobs. 20 Q So, your -- and was your thought nine zones in 21 the house? 22 Α Yes. MR.ELLEDGE: Thank you. No further questions. 23 24 **RECROSS EXAMINATION**

1	BY	MR.	DIVER:
---	----	-----	--------

- 2 Q Mr. Constant, your assumption was that there
- 3 was five compressors for each of the zones, is that
- 4 correct?
- 5 A That's correct.
- 6 MR. DIVER; Thank you. No further questions.
- 7 HEARING OFFICER: Thank you for coming in, Mr.
- 8 Constant. Thank you.
- 9 At this point it would be an
- 10 appropriate time to take that five minute break that we
- 11 need to identify the hearing room that we will use
- 12 tomorrow and then we'll come back in session. I'll also
- 13 take the exhibits that were introduced at this time.
- 14 (A brief recess was taken.)
- 15 HEARING OFFICER: We'll go back on the record.
- 16 MR. KAISER: Thank you. Complainants would
- 17 like to call as their next witness, Susi Shelton.
- 18 HEARING OFFICER: MsShelton, would you
- 19 please be sworn?
- 20 (Witness Sworn.)
- 21 PROCEEDINGS
- 22 WHEREUPON
- 23 SUSI SHELTON,
- 24 having been duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth,

00354 1 and nothing but the truth, was examined and testified as 2 follows: 3 DIRECT EXAMINATION 4 BY MR. KAISER: 5 Mrs.shelton, could you please state your full Q 6 name and spell your last name, for the court reporter's 7 benefit? 8 Α Susi Barber Shelton, S-h-e-l-t-o-n. 9 Q And may I refer to you asusi during my 10 interrogation? 11 Α Yes. It's S-u-s-i. 12 Susi, are you married to David Shelton? Q 13 Α Yes. Do you and David have any children? 14 Q 15 А Yes, we have three. 16 Q And what are their names and what are their 17 ages? 18 A Lisa is 16, David is 14, Mark, 9. 19 Q And do you and David own a home or Ardsley 20 Road in Winnetka, Illinois? 21 Α Yes. 22 Q What is the address? 23 А 707Ardsley Road. 24 How long have you lived at that address? Q

1 A Approximately six years.

2 Q Do you recall when you and David first looked

3 at the home located at 707 Ardsley Road in Winnetka,

4 Illinois?

- 6 Q When was that?
- 7 A I think we started looking at it in 1989.

8 Q Where were you living at that time?

9 A We were on Forest Glen inWinnetka.

10 Q And what was your motivation for looking to

11 purchase a new home?

12 A We wanted a nice quiet neighborhood and a

13 large backyard. We wanted it within proximity to the

14 junior high and the grade school. We wanted our kids to

15 stay within the neighborhood so they don't have to change

16 schools. We bought our house for the piece of property.

17 It's one of the most gorgeous pieces of property that we

18 saw.

19 Q And isArdsley Road, is that a private road?

20 A Yes, it's a private road.

21 Q AndPelham Road is also a private road?

A Yes.

23 Q Can you turn around and look at that diagram

24 that's been taped to the wall and I believe it bears the

1 Exhibit Number 51.

2 A Yes.

3 Q And on the south end of that siteplan, d does

4 it show Pelham Road?

5 A Yes,Pelham runs along the south side.

6 Q And is that intersect with Ardsley Road?

7 A Yes.

8 Q And how would you describe the amount of car

9 traffic on either of those two roads?

10 A I believe on all of Ardsley and Pelham here's

11 approximately 22 houses and it's a very large area. Most

12 of the pieces of property are over a half an acre.

13 There's very little traffic.

14 Q And you were hoping that this area would be a

15 quiet area. Did you find when you moved into your home on

16 Ardsley in 1990 that, in fact, it was a quiet area?

17 A Yes, it was.

18 Q Did you take certain pleasure in the quiet of

19 the neighborhood back in 1990?

20 A Yes. We entertained a lot on the patio. We

21 had many family -- all of our family meals during the

22 summertime would be outside on the patio.

23 Q Now, can you describe for the Hearing Officer

24 and by extension for the Board, where your home is

00357 1 situated on the property at 707Ardsley? 2 We set back from the street approximately I'd A 3 say 60 feet and our home is in the center of the property. 4 The property in the backyard is probably twice in amount 5 the size as in the front yard. It's very wooded. We have 6 an open grass area and then we have an area with trees. 7 Q The patio that you refer to is, what part of 8 the home is that located on? 9 Α That's across the east side of the house. It 10 runs from our family room over to our bedroom and across 11 the whole back of the house. 12 Q Can you estimate what the length of the patio 13 is? 14 I would guess 80 feet. А 15 Q And what is the width of the patio? 16 Α I think 12 or 15 feet. 17 Q Back in 1990, did you have patio furniture out 18 there during the warm seasons? 19 Yes, the patio was something that we added to А 20 the house. 21 Q Is your house a one or two story home? 22 Α Our house is a two story home. 23 0 What rooms are located along the southern wall 24 of your home?

1 A Along the southern wall we have our kitchen,

2 our living room, dining room area, a family room and

3 upstairs we have a bathroom and a bedroom.

- 4 Q And who uses the bedroom along the south wall?
- 5 A My son David.
- 6 Q And where are the other bedrooms located?
- 7 A Next to David's room is another bedroom which
- 8 Mark sleeps in and then there are two bedrooms on the
- 9 first floor on the north end of the house.
- 10 Q And where is the bedroom that you share with
- 11 David Shelton?
- 12 A It's on the northeast corner of the house.
- 13 Q On the first or second floor?
- 14 A First floor and my daughter is on the
- 15 northwest corner of the house.
- 16 Q On the first floor?
- 17 A On the first floor.
- 18 Q Now, within your family do you have a
- 19 preference for the use of air conditioning or the use of
- 20 windows to cool your home in the summer?
- 21 A Being fairly close to the lake, we do not use
- 22 our air conditioner very often. We prefer to have our
- 23 windows open, and sometimes we will operate a ceiling fan
- 24 with windows open in order to generate air.

1 Q Can you estimate the number of nights during 2 the course of an average summer that you would operate the 3 air conditioner unit at that home at 707Ardsley? Maybe seven or eight nights a year and it 4 Α 5 would be -- wouldn't be for a continual day. We maybe 6 have the unit on during the daytime when it's hot, turning 7 it off at night or vice versa. So, seven days, but it's 8 not a 24 hour period. 9 0 And do you have a central air conditioning 10 unit? 11 А We have two units. 12 Q And am I correct to state that you moved into 13 your home in roughly 1990? 14 Α Yes. 15 Q And was it roughly one year later in 1991 that 16 Steven and Nancy Crown began the reconstruction of the 17 residence located just to the south of you? 18 Α Yes. 19 Now, again, if I may draw your attention to 0 20 Exhibit 51 there. Can you show on that site plan the 21 approximate location of your home, the southern wall of 22 your home and if you can mark that in the plan? My guess is it would start here and run all 23 Α 24 the way down there.

1 Q All right And could you show us perhaps 2 marking with initials where the kitchen is located, where 3 the living room, dining room area is located and where the 4 family room is located? 5 A Okay. This is the garage and back entrance. 6 Q Indicating the far left or westerly most 7 portion? 8 A Yes. Then we have our kitchen and then we 9 have a combined living/dining room and I'm out of scale 10 here and then the back is our family room. 11 Q Now, staying with the kitchen area, are there 12 any windows along the south facing wall? 13 А Yes. 14 0 How many? There's two windows over the kitchen sink and 15 Α 16 a window in front of our eating area. 17 Q Could you note then with an arrow and the 18 Arabic numeral 3 that there are three windows on the south 19 facing wall of the kitchen? 20 А Okay. Three windows. 21 Q And in the living room/dining room area, are 22 there any south facing windows? 23 We have one large picture window on the south Α 24 side.

00361				
1 Ç	Could you estimate the dimensions of that			
2 picture window?				
3 A	Probably 8 feet by 6 feet.			
4 Ç	Could you note that on the site plan?			
5 A	These are estimates.			
6 Ç	Are there any south facing windows in the			
7 family room?				
8 A	Our family room is glass for 14 feet.			
9 Q	And what is the height of that window? If you			
10 were to say it has a 14 foot run, can you estimate the				
11 heig	??			
12	Ten feet.			
13 (If you could please note that on the site			
14 plan.				
15 A	Okay.			
16 0	You mentioned that your son's bedroom is			
17 located on the south wall of the second story?				
18 A	Yes.			
19 (Is his bedroom above the living room/dining			
20 area, the family room area or where would you approximate?				
21 A	His bedroom is above the living room/dining			
22 room area.				
23 0	And is there a window along the south wall?			
24 A	Yes.			

1 Q Is there more than one window or is there a 2 single window?

3 A There's a window on the south facing wall and

4 two windows on the east wall.

5 Q Could you note that above the other marks that

6 you've now made?

7 A David's room. David's room, one window south,

8 2 windows east.

9 Q Do you know whether the windows in David's

10 room are storm windows?

11 A They are double paned windows, so they are

12 storm windows. It's a storm window.

13 Q Could you note that as well

14 A Double paned windows.

15 Q Is there any way either by showing or by use

16 of the pen, can you show us just approximately where the

17 patio is located in relation to the family room?

18 A The patio is the same width as the family

19 room. So, I believe its about 14 feet wide and we have an

20 area that comes out where we have a little wall and seating

21 area and it runs all the way to the back of the house by

22 our bedroom window.

23 Q Can you note where you've put that bubble to

24 the right wall and seating area?

1 MR. CARSON: Your Honor, I want to interpose an				
2 objection here in that we're dealing with an obviously an				
3 architecturally perused drawing that is to scale and now				
4 we have a witness that is basically creating another				
5 sketch on top of it that's obviously not to scale and I				
6 think that given that the distances are not going to be				
7 correct, it's going to be misleading. At a minimum can we				
8 have the witness just write on there that it's not to				
9 scale so it would be obvious to anyone that's				
10 MR. KAISER: I'll stipulate to that.				
11 A Not to scale.				
12 MR. CARSON: Thank you.				
13 Q Do you have knowledge as to the distances				
14 between the Crown property line and the wall of your home?				
15 A 28 feet.				
16 Q And how do you know that it's that distance?				
17 A We have hopes to run an addition across the				
18 southside of our house and in an ordinance by the Village				
19 says we have to be 18 feet from the property line, so our				
20 addition would be a ten foot addition across the kitchen				
21 and the living room/dining room.				
22 Q Did you have an architect prepare those plans?				
A Yes, we did.				
24 Q What year did you have those plans prepared?				

1

2

4

7

9

A 1990. Q Have you subsequently abandoned plans for a 3 build out along the south property line? Until we know what's happening with the noise Α 5 next door, we do not feel at all comfortable extending our 6 house closer to the noise source. Q Now, the patio that you and your husband David 8 constructed, why did you build a patio? A The house did not have a patio and the one 10 thing that attracted us to this house was the piece of 11 property. The privacy of the backyard. 12 HEARING OFFICER: MsShelton, you can direct 13 your comments to your counsel. 14 We enjoy being outside. А 15 0 And you mentioned the privacy of your 16 backyard. Can you describe for the Board as you sit or 17 stand on your patio and look to the east, what do you see 18 in that direction? 19 To the east when the trees are filled in, we А 20 really just see trees because the property behind us also 21 has trees. And if you were to look just to the north as 22 0 23 you stood on the patio, would you see the Julian's home? 24 А We would see a wing of this home, but there's

1 also some large evergreen trees that block part of it. 2 Q Now, to return to our narrative here. 3 Approximately one year after you and your family moved 4 into your residence at 707 Ardsley Road, did Steven and 5 Nancy Crown begin construction on the property to the 6 south of you? 7 Α Yes. 8 0 How did you first become aware that this was a 9 construction project underway to the south of you? 10 Α I believe I saw construction equipment over 11 there. 12 Do you recall whether the construction began Q 13 in the -- what time of the year in 1991 it began? 14 Α I believe it was the fall of 1991. 15 0 And to the best of your knowledge did that 16 construction continue for an additional three years until 17 Steven and Nancy Crown move in? 18 А '92, '93, '94. At least closer to four years. 19 Do you know whether Steven and Nancy Crown and 0 20 heir family were living in the residence located just to 21 the south of you in the summer of 1993? 22 Α They were not. 23 I want to direct your attention to September 0 24 of 1993 at which point it's the testimony up until now has

1 demonstrated there have been a certain level of 2 construction and the heating and air conditioning system 3 for the Crown residence had been installed. Do you recall 4 where you were when the Crown air conditioning system was 5 first started up? 6 Α Yes. It was a school night. I had arrived 7 home around 9:30 after a junior high meeting and my 8 husband and I were watching the news in our family room 9 and we heard an explosion around 10:00 o'clock at night. 10 Q Now, when you say family room, is that the 11 room on the far right that you showed in the diagram? 12 А Yes. 13 Q And can you describe with more particularity 14 the source of this explosion that you heard at 15 approximately 10:00 p.m.? 16 Α It was a rumble and a backfire and it sounded 17 like something had exploded over at the construction site 18 next door.

19 Q What, if anything, did you do on hearing the20 rumble backfire and explosion?

A I called the police to tell them there was anexplosion next door.

23 Q Did the police come and investigate?

A They did not come to our house to investigate.

I don't know if they came to the Crown property to
 investigate.

3 Q What, if anything, else did you do after you 4 had called the police and alerted them to this explosion? 5 A After I called the police, the sound stopped 6 and then another explosion came on. And at that point I 7 figured out it could have been the air conditioner unit 8 and I tried to call Steven Crown. It took me awhile to 9 find his telephone number, but I was able to call him. 10 Q Did you actually reach Mr. Crown by telephone 11 the night the system was started up? 12 А Yes and I said I had called the police because 13 I heard an explosion and I now think it could be the air 14 conditioner going on and off because by then it had gone 15 on and of more than once. 16 Q What, if anything, did Mr. Crown say?

17 A He apologized and he said he would -- that

18 someone must have accidentally left it on and he would

19 make sure it would be turned off.

20 Q Was the unit turned off that evening?

21 A Yes -- I don't know. We did not hear the22 noise anymore.

23 Q And did you hear any further explosions that24 evening?

1 A Not that evening.

2 Q Were you able to sleep without interruption

3 that evening?

4 A Yes.

5 Q When was the next time you became aware that 6 the air conditioning system at the Crown residence was in 7 operation?

8 A Well, throughout the next several days after 9 that it would go on for short periods at a time, being 10 an hour of two hours. It was not a continual operation 11 and there was a lot of construction next door, but I was 12 still able to hear the sound that it was creating.

13 Q Now, when you say 15, you were still able to

14 hear the sound. Does that mean you were able to hear the

15 sound when you were out on your patio?

16 A I was able to hear the sound inside my house.

17 Q I'd like you to be as specific as possible as

18 to where you could hear the sound in September of 1993.

19 If you were in your family room along the south wall of

20 your home, could you see where the sound of the Crown air

21 conditioning system?

22 A Yes or in the kitchen doing the dishes. And I

23 did go when I would drive home into my driveway, I could

24 hear the sound when I'd get out of my car.

1 Q So, your testimony thus far is you could hear 2 it in the family room, in the driveway, in the kitchen. 3 Were there any other rooms where you could hear the Crown 4 air conditioning unit? Not really. I did not at that point walk 5 A 6 around my house listening for an air conditioner, but 7 those rooms I could hear if I was working in the kitchen or 8 I actually work in the family room for business, so I do 9 hear it. 10 Q And so you described that over the next 11 several days you would hear the air conditioner 12 intermittently for periods of one to two hours? 13 А Yes. And how long did that pattern of operation 14 0 15 continue? 16 А I would say a week, maybe two weeks. I'm not 17 quite sure. During that time I attended several business 18 meetings and I was out of the house. 19 So, it's your testimony that for a week or Q 20 perhaps two it continued during that pattern of operation? 21 Α Yes. 22 Did you -- were you aware of the operation of 0 23 the Crown air conditioning unit during October of 1993? 24 А No.

1 Q How about November of 1993?

2 A No.

3 Q Did you personally have any communication with 4 Steven or Nancy Crown during October or November of 1993? 5 A I know my husband corresponded with him and I 6 think I did have correspondence with him in November of 7 1993. Oh, I believe I called the office or I saw him and 8 I asked where the plans were for abating the noise and we 9 were told -- I was told on at least two occasions that we 10 would be receiving some type of their plans for resolving 11 the noise problem. 12 MR. CARSON: Can I just interject an objection 13 to the lack of foundation as to that conversation as to 14 time and who it was. 15 0 Did you form any opinion during October or 16 November of 1993 as to whether your husband, David

17 Shelton, was in communication with Steven Crown concerning

18 the air conditioner and related noise issue?

19 A Yes, my husband was.

20 Q And what was the basis for your opinion that

21 your husband was in communication with Mr. --

22 A I was at his office once when he talked to

23 Mr. Crown. I know he sent a letter to Mr. Crown and I

24 believe it was after that that I talked with Mr. Crown in

1 November.

2 Q Now, that conversation in November of 1993 with

3 Mr. Crown, was that in person or by telephone?

- 4 A By telephone.
- 5 Q Who was on the telephone line?
- 6 A Mr. Crown and myself.
- 7 Q And what did you say to Mr. Crown?
- 8 A At this point I was calling because there had
- 9 been 11 spotlights that shown on our property for six
- 10 months at night and I was calling to complaint about them.
- 11 I was told in May they would only be on for six months for
- 12 security reasons and I waited until that six month time
- 13 period was up to call and say the spotlights are still
- 14 shining on our property at night and it was bothering me.
- 15 Also, on that conversation I said, and Steven we're still
- 16 waiting for plans to see what you're going to do about the
- 17 noise from this air conditioning unit.
- 18 Q What, if anything, did Mr. Crown say in

19 response?

20 A His normal response would be he would be

- 21 getting back to us and he never did.
- 22 HEARING OFFICER: the question is as to a
- 23 specific conversation, so you need to try to answer it as
- 24 to that specific conversation.

1 Q Do you recall what Mr. Crown's response was to 2 you when you were on the telephone with him in November of 3 1993 and you asked him when and whether you would receive 4 a plan for the resolution of the noise issue? 5 A He said that he was not going to respond to my 6 husband's stupid letter and he had no intention at that 7 point of getting back to us. 8 0 Those were Mr. Crown's words, your husband's 9 stupid letter? 10 А As I remember them, those are the words. 11 0 What, if anything, did you say in response? 12 Α I had a very short conversation with him. I 13 said we were waiting to see what they were going to do and 14 I think we got off the phone at that point. 15 0 Did you receive any information from Mr. Crown 16 during that telephone conversation in November of 1993 17 concerning when or whether he would cause the 11 18 spotlights located on his property and shining throughout 19 the night to be discontinued? 20 Α I think they were discontinued the next day. 21 0 But, is it your testimony that from 22 approximately May of 1993 through November of 1993 11

23 spotlights were located on the Crown property and were

24 kept on throughout the night?

00372

1 A Yes.

Q Did you receive a plan from Steven Crown or an
agent of Steven Crown in December of 1993 setting forth
steps that would be taken in order to alleviate the noise
problem because of the air conditioning unit at the Crown
property?

A No. In December of 1993 Mr. Crown did call
8 and say there would be a meeting with his engineers. I
9 believe it was going to be the second week in January. It
10 was a specific date and a specific time set. That meeting
11 never took place and we were never notified as a reason why
12 that meeting never took place.
13 Q So, as of January of 1994, did you receive a
14 plan from Mr. Crown or one of his agents setting forth

15 steps to be taken to reduce the sound coming from the air

16 conditioning unit?

17 A No, we were never notified.

18 Q In February of 1994, did you receive a plan

19 from Mr. Crown or any of his agents setting forth steps to

20 be taken in the spring and summer of 1994 to reduce sound

21 originating from his air conditioning unit?

22 A No.

23 Q Did you receive such a plan from Mr. Crown or

24 any of his agents in March of 1994?

1 A No. 2 Q Did you receive such a plan from Mr. Crown or 3 anyone of his agents in April of 1994? Α 4 No. 5 Q Did you receive such a plan from Mr. Crown or 6 any of his agents in May of 1994? 7 A No. 8 0 In June of 1994, did Mr. Crown or one of his 9 agents cause the air conditioning system at his property 10 on Ardsley Road in Winnetka, Illinois to be turned on? 11 A Yes. 12 Do you recall what weekend in June the air Q 13 conditioning system at the Crown property was turned on? 14 Α It ran the weekend of father's day, 24 hours a 15 day. It had run the week prior to that during the 16 daytime. 17 Q And when you say it operated the week prior to 18 Father's Day, sometime in the middle of June, 1994 during 19 the day. What do you mean by daytime hours, 20 approximately? During what period of time did it operate? 21 Α Between maybe 6:00 or 6:30 a.m. and until 22 construction ended at 3:00 or 3:30. 23 And is it your testimony that then on Father's Q 24 Day the operation of the Crown air conditioning system

00375			
1 went to a 24 hour schedule of operation?			
2 A For that weekend it did. It was on for 72			
3 hours that weekend.			
4 Q Could you hear the Crown air conditioning			
5 system during Father's Day weekend, June of 1994 when you			
6 were in your home?			
7 A Yes.			
8 Q Could you hear it when you were in your			
9 kitchen?			
10 A Yes.			
11 Q Could you hear it when you were in your living			
12 room/dining room area?			
13 A Yes.			
14 Q Could you hear it when you were in your family			
15 room area?			
16 A Yes.			
17 Q What, if any, other rooms could you hear the			
18 air conditioner?			
19 A We could hear it in our bedroom, my son could			
20 hear it in his bedroom and he had to sleep somewhere else.			
21 Q is that the first time that your son, David,			
22 well, when you say he had to sleep somewhere else, was			
23 there a particular evening where David awoke and talked to			
24 you or did you awake one morning and find him sleeping			

1 somewhere else or could you give us some facts? 2 The noise generated on the second floor was A 3 louder than the noise on our first floor. And when you say noise, you mean noise from 4 Q 5 the Crown air conditioning system? 6 Α Yes, the on/off of the system. The pulsating 7 sound, the high pitches of the fans. The different 8 components coming in. My son could not sleep in his room 9 with his windows closed and with a fan going in his room. 10 It was -- it's too loud. You could not--11 MR. CARSON: Your Honor, I'm going to move to 12 strike as non responsive. The question was directed 13 particularly --14 MR. KAISER: Excuse me, counsel. Non 15 responsive is an objection that only the questioning 16 attorney can make. So, that's not a basis for striking 17 the testimony. 18 MR. CARSON: I move to strike as non 19 responsive. 20 HEARING OFFICER: The motion to strike is not 21 granted. 22 BY MR. KAISER: 23 Prior to Father's Day weekend of June, 1994, Q 24 had your son ever had difficulty sleeping in his bedroom?

1 A No.

2 Q Did you ask your son why he was unable to 3 sleep in his bedroom during the Father's Day weekend of 4 June, 1994?

5 A I don't believe I asked him right out with

6 that question, but he was constantly complaining of the

7 noise.

8 Q What, if anything, did he say to you?

9 A He responded with writing a letter to Mr.

10 Crown describing how the sound appeared to him.

11 Q I want to show you what's been marked for

12 purposes of Identification as Exhibit 18B and ask you to

13 take a minute to look at that and when you're done, please

14 let me know.

15 A This is what my son wrote.

16 Q Was that -- is that a true and accurate copy

17 of the letter that your son wrote?

18 A Yes.

19 Q What is the date of that letter?

20 A June 7, 1994.

21 Q Could you please read that letter into the

22 record?

23 MR. CARSON: Your Honor, the letter I believe

24 is in evidence or certainly is about to be offered into

1 evidence. I don't see why it would be necessary for the

2 witness to read it.

3 MR. KAISER: I think it would be helpful to

4 preserve the continuity of her testimony. It would take

5 but a minute for her to read it into the record.

6 HEARING OFFICER: The letter is received as

7 evidence and is as read. We will not need to have the

8 letter re-read at this time.

9 BY MR. KAISER:

10 Q I want to also show you at this time what's

11 previously been marked for purposes of identification as

12 Exhibit 18A and ask you to take a look at that and tell me

13 when you're done reviewing it.

14 A I wrote this.

- 15 Q When did you write that?
- 16 A On June 28th, 1994.
- 17 Q Is that a true and accurate copy of the letter

18 that you wrote?

19 A Yes.

20 Q I note that your letter, 18A, is addressed to

21 Dear Steven and Nancy. To whom were you addressing that

22 note?

23 A To Mr. and Mrs. Crown.

24 Q Did you cause that note and your son's letter

1 to be mailed to Mr. and Mrs. Crown?

2 A I mailed my son's note and this attached

3 letter.

4 Q Thank you. Did you receive a written response

5 from either Steven or Nancy Crown?

6 A No.

7 Q Did you receive a telephone call from either

8 Steve or Nancy Crown in response to your letter dated June

9 28, 1994?

10 A No, none.

11 Q Can you describe for us what your experience

12 was of the noise emanating from the Crown air conditioner

13 during the Father's Day weekend of June, 1994?

14 A The noise was -- it occupied our weekend. It

15 overtook any type of relaxation that we could have. There

16 was cycling on and off of the compressors and the fans.

17 There was loud, high pitches from the cycling of the three

18 fans coming on. At nighttime the noise was far worse. We

19 could hear the noise inside our house with our windows

20 closed, on our patio, in our backyard. It was everywhere.

21 At nighttime we wold be awakened and we could sit and

22 count, lie in our bed and count the cycling on and off of

23 the system. At some point it could cycle on and off as

24 much as six or seven times in an hour.

1 Q Did that cycling of the system on and off five 2 or six times during the course of an hour prevent you from 3 sleeping? It prevented us room sleeping. We were 4 Α 5 exhausted on that weekend. 6 0 Now, did it go on -- did it, meaning the air 7 conditioning system, go on and begin 24 hour a day 8 operations on Friday night? 9 A Yes, Friday morning of Father's Day weekend it 10 went on and it did not turn off until Monday night when 11 the construction crew left. 12 Did you observe within your own person or Q 13 being a heightened tension at all as a result of this 14 noise? 15 Α It created headaches, it created stress and 16 tightness and the shoulders down the arms. It did create 17 a tremendous amount of tension in our family, our children 18 were tired, my husband and I were tired. 19 Q And I want to make it clear at this point, are 20 we talking about just the Father's Day weekend of June of 21 1994? 22 А Just the Father's Day weekend of June, 1994. What, if anything, did you do shortly 23 0

24 thereafter?

1 Α Prior to this we had seen Mr. Crown at a 2 swimming meet where our children were swimming and I went 3 up to him and said, Steven, we really just want to get the 4 air conditioner fixed, and he said it would be fixed 5 within a week or two and asked us to wait until the 6 fencing and the trees were put in before we did anything. 7 So, I waited. We did not, after that weekend, we did not 8 do anything until the fencing and the trees were put in. 9 0 Now, do you recall when the fencing and trees 10 were put in? 11 А I believe it was the end of June, maybe June 12 26th or 27th. 13 Q Of 1994? 14 Α 1994. 15 0 I want to show you what's previously been 16 marked for purposes of identification as Exhibit 4, 5a and 17 5b, a series of photographs. I'd like you to take a look 18 at those and tell me if you recognize those? 19 A Yes. 20 Q What do you recognize those to be -- well, 21 let's do them one at a time. 4, what do you recognize 22 that to be? 23 Α Well, this is the chiller unit in the fall of 24 1939.

02		
Q	And is that you standing in front of it?	
А	That's me standing in front of it.	
Q	What would you estimate to be the height of	
4 the chiller unit?		
А	It was a little bit taller than myself, I	
believe.		
Q	And what is your height?	
А	5'4".	
Q	So, the height of the unit is in excess of 5	
10 foot 4 inches?		
А	Yes.	
Q	And in that photo, I note it doesn't seem to	
13 have those cones on the top that are indicated in 5a and		
5b.		
А	Right.	
Q	Do those add to the height of the unit?	
А	They add, yes. They add to the height of the	
unit.		
Q	And I want to again turn your attention to	
Exhibit 5	i1. You'll note, if you will, behind you here	
that Mr.	Crown during his testimony had indicated with red	
pen an a	rea on eh north of his residence where these	
chiller u	nits are located. Do you see that red circle?	
А	Yes.	
	A Q the chiller A believe. Q A Q foot 4 ind A Q have thos 5b. A Q have thos 5b. A Q have thos 5b. L A Q have thos 5b. St. St. St. St. A Q have thos 5b. St. A Q have thos 5b. St. A Q have thos 5b. St. A Q have thos 5b. St. A Q have thos 5b. St. A Q have thos 5b. St. A Q have thos 5b. St. A Q have thos 5b. A Q have thos 5b. A Q A Q have thos 5b. A Q A Q have thos 5b. A Q A Q have thos 5b. A Q A Q have thos 5b. A Q A Q have thos 5b. A Q A Q have thos 5b. A Q A A Q A A Q A A Q A A Q A A Q A A Q A A Q A A Q A A Q A A Q A A Q A A Q A A Q A A Q A A Q A A Q A A Q A A A Q A A A A Q A	

1 Q Is that accurate, is that in fact where these 2 chiller units are located? 3 Α Yes. 0 And were these photographs 4, 5a and 5b were 4 5 taken? 6 Α Yes. 7 Q Can you estimate the distance -- strike that 8 -- so, do these photographs; 4, 5a and 5b truly and 9 accurately represent the location and the appearance of 10 the chiller compressor unit on the Crown property before 11 the shrubs were put in, shrubs and fence? 12 А One or those pictures has the fencing and I 13 believe the shrubs put in. 14 I see, yes, I stand corrected. Thank you. 0 15 I'd like to show you, if I may, 16 paragraphs that Mr. 17 Constant introduced into evidence; R1, 2, 3 and 4. Do you

18 recognize what's depicted in those photographs?

19 A Well, those are the shrubs surrounding the

20 chiller unit.

21 Q So, is it your testimony that when you saw Mr.

22 Crown at a swim meet in June of 1994, he asked you to wait

23 until the fence and shrubs were put in place before you

24 took any further action?

1 A Yes.

2 Q And when these shrubs and fence were put into 3 place sometime toward the latter part of June, 1994, did 4 you notice any reduction in the noise coming from the 5 Crown Air Conditioning unit? 6 Α No noise reduction was noticed. 7 Q And is that to say that when you were outside 8 you could hear the air conditioning unit, there was no 9 reduction in the noise? 10 А There was no reduction in the noise to my 11 ears. 12 Q After the placement of the fence and 13 the arbor Vitae hedge around thechiller unit on the Crown 14 property in June, 1994, could you still hear the Crown air 15 conditioning system when you were in your home? 16 А Yes. 17 Q Did you ever close all of the windows along 18 your south wall of your home in June of 1994? 19 А Yes. 20 Q Were you able to hear the Crown air 21 conditioning unit in your home with the windows closed? 22 A Yes. 23 Were you able to hear the Crown air Q 24 conditioning unit in your home with the windows closed on

1 the first floor?

2 A Yes.

Q Were you able to hear the Crown air
conditioning unit in your home with the windows closed in
the latter part of June, 1994 on the second floor of your
home?
A Yes.
Q Are you aware of whether your husband, David
Shelton, sent a letter to A. Steven Crown on or about July

10 6th, 1994, a letter concerning the sound issue?

11 A Yes, I am.

12 Q Did you receive a plan from Steven Crown or

13 anyone of his agents during July of 1994 setting forth

14 steps to be taken to reduce the sound coming from the air

15 conditioning unit at his house?

16 A Yes. I believe the end of July we received a

17 sketch from Al Shiner or BradMautner, I'm not sure from

18 who, a diagram of what was to go in place. Mr. Crown

19 called me the end of July and told me construction on that

20 would go into effect immediately after Labor Day, 1994.

21 Q Throughout July of 1994, are you aware of

22 whether the air conditioning unit on the Crown property was

23 in operation?

A It was in operation 24 hours a day.

1 Q Were you able to hear the sound in the Crown 2 air conditioning unit while you were in your home in July 3 of 1994? 4 Α Yes. 5 In which rooms of your house could you hear the Q 6 Crown air conditioning unit in July of 1994? 7 A Again, all of our rooms we could hear the 8 noise. There were two rooms where it was not as loud. 9 Q Which rooms were those? 10 А There are two rooms on the west side of our 11 house, the den and my daughter's bedroom. 12 Q In all other homes you could hear--13 Α Yes. 14 The Crown air conditioning unit? Q 15 А Yes. 16 Q Now, did the source of the Crown air 17 conditioning unit interfere with your ability to use and 18 enjoy your home during July of 1994? 19 Yes. I would try to leave during the day in А 20 July of 1994. I did not want to be in my home. I did not 21 want to entertain in my home. I did not want to be 22 outside on the patio. 23 Did you find that the level of use of the Q 24 patio in July of 1994 was less than it had been in the

1 summer of 1993, summer of 1992 and the summer of 1991?

2 A Definitely.

3 Q What do you attribute that lack of use of the

4 patio in 1994, July of 1994 to?

5 A The sound of the hiller unit. The on/off,

6 the cycling o on and off. The loud bangs. The two constant

7 drones.

8 Q Did you and your family continue to take your

9 meals out of doors during July of 1994?

10 A No.

11 Q Why did you discontinue the practice of eating

12 your meals out of doors during July of 1994?

13 A It created a lot of tension to sit in an area

14 that was once very peaceful and to have this noise

15 everywhere outside while we're eating.

16 Q Did you find that in July of 1994 you were

17 able to escape the sound of the Crown air conditioning

18 unit by going indoors, closing the windows and turning on

19 the television?

20 A No, I was not able to escape turning on the

21 television, going indoors.

22 Q Were you able to escape the sounds of the

23 Crown air conditioning unit during July of 1994 by going

24 into your house, closing the windows and turning on music?

1 Α No, I was not. I would do those things, but I 2 could still hear the compressors cycling on and off. 3 Q Did your son, David BShelton -- did you 4 observe him having any difficulty sleeping in his bedroom 5 during July of 1994? 6 Α To the best of my knowledge, he did not sleep 7 in his bedroom during July of 1994. 8 0 Where did he sleep during July of 1994? 9 A To the best of my knowledge, he did not sleep 10 in his bedroom during July of 1994. 11 0 Where did he sleep during July of 1994. 12 Α He slept in the Department on a pull out 13 couch. Are you aware of the reason why he did not 14 0 15 sleep in his bedroom during the month of July, 1994, but 16 instead slept on a pull out couch in the den? 17 Α The noise in his bedroom on the second floor 18 was one of the loudest areas in the house. The noise 19 from the air conditioner was loudest there. 20 Did you or your wife ever purchase a so-called 21 sound machine or white noise machine for your son's use in 22 his bedroom on the south side of your home? 23 Α Yes. 24 Q When did you purchase that sound machine or

- 1 white noise machine?
- 2 A Father's Day weekend.
- 3 Q Of 1994?
- 4 A Yes.

5 Q And can you describe for the Board just what a

6 sound machine or a white noise machine is?

7 A It's a small, I describe it as a radio and you

8 could set it on three settings, onewold be a constant

9 white out noise. Another would be the tide coming in and

10 going out. And the third one would be rain falling. And

11 you would use this to create noise in your room so you

12 wouldn't hear noise elsewhere.

13 Q And what was the effect of using that sound

14 machine or white noise machine in your son's room in July

15 of 1994?

16 A The white out noise had a higher pitch than

17 the noise from the compressors. So, there would be a

18 constant white out noise, but you would now have the

19 compressors in a lower drone kicking in and kicking out.

20 So, it did not cover up that sound.

21 HEARING OFFICER: At this point we have come

22 to the time that we have agreed we will conclude our

23 proceedings for today.

24 Will it be all right with counsel if we

1 stop at this point? MR. KAISER: Yes, this would be fine. HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, MsShelton and I 4 understand that you will be continuing your testimony 5 tomorrow. THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. KAISER: May we begin at 9:00 a.m.? HEARING OFFICER: Off the record. (A brief off the record discussion was held.) (Whereupon the trial proceedings were adjourned for the day.)

00	391		
1	CERTIFICATE		
2			
3	We, VERNETTA MC CREE & VERNITA HALSELL-POWELL,		
4	the undersigned Notary Public in and for the State of		
5	Illinois, do hereby certify:		
6	That the annexed and foregoing testimony of the		
7	witness named herein was takenstenographically before me		
8	and reduced to typewriting under my direction;		
9	I further certify that I am not a relative or		
10	employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties to		
11	said action, or a relative or employee of any such attorney		
12	or counsel, and that I am not financially interested in the		
13	said action or the outcome thereof;		
14	I further certify that the proceedings, as		
15	5 transcribed, comprise an accurate transcript of the		
16	16 testimony, including questions and answers, and all		
17	objections, motions, and exceptions of counsel.		
18	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my and		
19	affixed my official seal this 16th day of July. 1996.		
20			
21			
22	VERNETTA MC CREE CSR #		
23			
24	VERNITA HALSELL-POWELLCSR#		