

ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD  
July 3, 1980

WILLOWBROOK DEVELOPMENT	)	
CORPORATION and DUPAGE COUNTY	)	
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS,	)	
	)	
Petitioners,	)	
	)	
v.	)	PCB 80-58
	)	
ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION	)	
AGENCY,	)	
	)	
Respondent.	)	

CONCURRING OPINION (by J.D. Dumelle):

My reasons for concurring in the grant of 52 units in this case lie in the incompleteness of the record.

The hardship concerned with the 32 units already under construction is sufficient to grant this amount of connections.


However, the record does not sufficiently detail the financial status of the Willowbrook Development Corporation to determine if the additional 20 units (now raw land) need to be built. Willowbrook's own scheduling of 52 units in 1980 does not prove the necessity for all of them.

A second deficiency in the record is the status of the remedial repair program for the Marionbrook sewage treatment plant. Its maintenance has been sadly deficient. No date is given for correction of these deficiencies. In addition, the record does not give a program for plant expansion of Marionbrook or of the interconnected Woodridge-Lisle and Woodridge-Greenvalley plants or of a possible alternative which might be the reduction of the storm-generated flows.

Lastly, the record gives only conclusory statements on alternatives. Why is a connection to the Hinsdale Sanitary District not feasible? What are the costs of installing a holding tank and pumping it out at regular intervals?

Because of these deficiencies in the record I would have granted connections for 32 units and not for 52 units.

Respectfully submitted



Jacob D. Dumelle

I, Christan L. Moffett, Clerk of the Illinois Pollution Control Board, hereby certify that the above Concurring Opinion was filed on the 7<sup>th</sup> day of July, 1980.

Christan L. Moffett  
Christan L. Moffett, Clerk  
Illinois Pollution Control Board