Page 1

ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD April 21, 2009

FOX MORAINE, L.L	.C.,)	CLERK'S OFFICE
	Petitioner,)	MAY 0 1 2009
VS)	STATE OF ILLINOIS Pollution Control Board
)	PCB 07-146
UNITED CITY OF YORKVILLE,)	(Pollution
CITY COUNCIL,)	Control Facility
)	Siting Appeal)
	Respondent,)	
)	
KENDALL COUNTY,)	
)	
	Intervenor.)	

REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS at the hearing of the above-entitled cause before Bradley P. Halloran, Hearing Officer, taken before Rebecca A. Graziano, Certified Shorthand Reporter within and for the County of Cook and State of Illinois, at the United City of Yorkville Public Library, Yorkville, Illinois, commencing at the hour of 9:00 a.m. on the 21st day of April, A.D., 2009.

	Page 2
1 2	APPEARANCES
3	ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD:
4	Mr. Bradley P. Halloran, Hearing Officer
5	HINSHAW & CULBERTSON, LLP,
6	100 Park Avenue
	P.O. Box 1389 Rockford, Illinois 61105
7	(815) 490-4900 BY: MR. RICHARD S. PORTER
8	
9	Appeared on behalf of the Petitioner,
10	MUELLER ANDERSON PC,
11	609 East Etna Road
11	Ottawa, Illinois 61350 (815) 431-1500
12	BY: MR. GEORGE MUELLER
13	Appeared on behalf of the Petitioner,
14	,
15	WILDMAN, HARROLD, ALLEN & DIXON, 225 West Wacker Drive
16	Suite 3000 Chicago, Illinois 60606-1229 (312) 201-2537
17	BY: MR. LEO P. DOMBROWSKI MR. ANTHONY G. HOPP
18	
	Appeared on behalf of the Respondent,
19	
20	MOMKUS MCCLUSKEY LLC, 1001 Warrenville Road
21	Suite 500 Lisle, Illinois 60532
22	(630) 434-0400 BY: MR. JIM MCCLUSKEY
23	DI. PHO. DIM MCCHODINET
24	Appeared on behalf of the Intervenor.

	Page 3
1	INDEX
2	
3	OPENING STATEMENTS
4	By Mr. Porter11 By Mr. Dombrowski27
5	
6	WITNESS DX CX RDX RCX
7	MS. ROSE ANN SPEARS
8	By Mr. Mueller47149 By Mr. Hopp133
9	MR. RONALD PARISH
11	By Mr. Porter164181 By Mr. Dombrowski171
12	
13	MS. VALERIE BURD
14	By Mr. Mueller182 By Mr. Hopp258
15	MC DODYN CIMCLIER
16	MS. ROBYN SUTCLIFF
17	By Mr. Porter
18	MR. WALLY WERDERICH
19	
20	By Mr. Mueller312344 By Mr. Dombrowski343
21	
22	
23	
24	

· ·

- MR. HALLORAN: We're on the record.
- 2 Good morning, everyone. My name is Bradley
- 3 Halloran. First off, I want to thank Yorkville for
- 4 accommodating this hearing with their nice
- 5 facilities.
- In any event, I'm a hearing
- officer with the Illinois Pollution Control Board,
- 8 and I'm also assigned to this case entitled Fox
- 9 Moraine, L.L.C., petitioner, versus United City of
- 10 Yorkville, City Council, the respondent, and Kendall
- 11 County, the intervenor. It's docketed with the
- 12 Board as PCB 7-146.
- In this case, Yorkville denied
- petitioner's application to site a pollution control
- facility in Yorkville. Petitioner, Fox Moraine,
- appeals on the grounds that Yorkville's decision was
- fundamentally unfair, and the findings on criteria
- one, two, three, five, six, seven and nine were
- 19 against the manifest weight of evidence.
- Today is April 21st, approximately
- 9:00 a.m. This hearing has been scheduled and
- noticed according to the Illinois Pollution Control
- Board's rules and procedures and the Environmental
- Protection Act. It will be conducted according to

- 1 procedural rules found at Sections 107 and 101 of
- the Board's procedural rules.
- As I stated off the record before,
- 4 we do welcome public statements, oral statements,
- 5 public comments, and I will discuss a little bit
- 6 about that later. But my intention is after the
- first break, we can talk more about it. If someone
- wants to come up here and give a statement, they may
- 9 do so.
- Before I begin, again, I would
- like to talk just a moment about the Board's hearing
- process. I think the majority of the people here
- know and are already familiar with that process. I
- will not be making the ultimate decision in the
- case, rather it's up to the Pollution Control Board.
- The Board is made up of five
- members. They'll review the record, the transcript,
- and then decide the case. They will also review any
- post hearing briefs that are filed. My job is to
- rule on any evidentiary matters here, and then
- ensure that the hearing goes orderly, and that a
- clear record is developed so that the Board can have
- all of the proper information before deciding the
- case. Again, after the hearing, the parties will

- have an opportunity to file post hearing briefs, and
- I believe we have an amicus brief coming in. These,
- too, will be considered by the Board.
- 4 And we do have a couple
- 5 preliminary matters, as I promised, I think,
- 6 yesterday at the telephone status conference.
- 7 Yorkville filed a couple of motion in limines,
- 8 specifically motion in limine five, six, and seven.
- 9 I reviewed Fox Moraine's responses, and regarding
- 10 motions -- excuse
- me -- Yorkville's motion number six, they contend
- that Fox Moraine intends to use mere snippets, as
- they say, of the minutes, transcripts, and video
- 14 recordings. My ruling is that, you know, they're
- allowed to do so, but Fox Moraine must present all
- of it or nothing. We may have to revisit that
- later, but that's my ruling on motion in limine 6.
- Motion in limine 7, it says Fox
- 19 Moraine has listed as exhibits all pleadings from
- 20 two other Pollution Control Board cases and three
- lawsuits, and they ask that the pleadings identified
- by Fox Moraine have no relevance to this appeal. My
- ruling is that I agree, the pleadings have no
- relevance. I can't take administrative notice of

- those. However, I can take administrative notice of
- the Board's opinions and rulings. So we may have to
- cross that bridge again if it arises.
- 4 Yorkville's motion in limine
- 5 number five, in summary, they ask the hearing
- officer to exclude any and all arguments,
- ⁷ statements, questions, testimony, or evidence of any
- 8 kind from petitioner, Fox Moraine, and its counsel,
- 9 and from any other party or fact witness involving
- speculation or opinions regarding the beliefs or
- mental states of other persons, and it goes on. My
- holding is that, again, Fox Moraine, as addressed in
- a couple of my previous hearing officer orders,
- cannot invade the decision maker's thought process.
- However, the witness can give his personal
- observations, but it can't be conjecture or
- speculation or guessing. So again, I'm sure we'll
- 18 revisit this as well.
- With that said, I guess at this
- point, Fox Moraine will do some introduction, and
- then we'll get right into opening statements unless
- other issues arise. Mr. Mueller?
- MR. MUELLER: George Mueller, Mueller
- Anderson, Ottawa, Illinois, for Fox Moraine

- 1 Landfill, Inc.
- MR. HALLORAN: You can remain seated,
- 3 Mr. Porter.
- 4 MR. PORTER: I'm sorry. Good morning.
- 5 Rick Porter on behalf of Fox Moraine, as well, and
- 6 Chuck Helston is also from my firm representing
- 7 them, and to my left is Charlie Murphy, the manager
- 8 of the client.
- 9 MR. HALLORAN: Mr. Dombrowski?
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: Good morning, Mr.
- 11 Hearing Officer. Leo Dombrowski of the firm
- 12 Wildman, Harrold, Allen and Dixon on behalf of the
- 13 United City of Yorkville.
- MR. HOPP: Anthony Hopp, H-o-p-p, also
- from Wildman, Harrold, Allen, and Dixon on behalf of
- the United City of Yorkville. With us today are
- 17 Mayor Burd and Alderman Spears.
- MR. HALLORAN: Thank you.
- 19 Mr. McCluskey, the intervenor?
- MR. MCCLUSKEY: Yes. Jim McCluskey,
- M-c capital C-l-u-s-k-e-y from Momkus McCluskey in
- Lisle. We're representing the county of Kendall.
- MR. HALLORAN: Thank you, sir. Fox
- Moraine can give an opening if they so chose.

- MR. MUELLER: Your Honor, we would
- 2 move to exclude witnesses.
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: Mr. Hearing Officer,
- 4 they're parties to this matter. They have an
- 5 absolute right to be here.
- MR. HALLORAN: Mr. McCluskey?
- 7 MR. MCCLUSKEY: No objection. I have
- 8 no comment on that.
- 9 MR. HALLORAN: Mr. Mueller's motion is
- granted. The witnesses are to be excused.
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: That would include
- 12 Mr. Murphy as well.
- MR. MUELLER: Mr. Murphy is our
- designated party representative, just like -- I
- presume Mayor Burd can sit in, because -- if she's
- their representative. Mr. Murphy would be our
- representative. Each side is entitled to one, is my
- understanding.
- 19 MR. HALLORAN: I think I've read that
- somewhere before. Mr. Dombrowski?
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: Well, I'd just like
- to say, again, what I just said. The alderman and
- the mayor, they're named parties to the case. Any
- party has an absolute right to be at the hearing,

- and there's no rule that limits it to just one.
- MR. HALLORAN: Just to one --
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: Just one
- 4 representative --
- 5 MR. HALLORAN: -- principal or
- 6 manager?
- 7 MR. DOMBROWSKI: -- on behalf of the
- 8 party.
- 9 MR. HALLORAN: I respectfully
- disagree. If you -- if you want, the mayor, Ms.
- Burd, can remain, and Mr. Murphy. The rest of the
- witnesses can be excluded.
- MR. HOPP: Thank you, your Honor.
- MR. HALLORAN: Thank you.
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: Can you give us one
- 16 moment?
- MR. HALLORAN: Yes. Take your time.
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: Thank you, sir.
- MR. HALLORAN: Oh, you're welcome, Mr.
- Hopp. Fox?
- MR. PORTER: Thank you, Mr. Halloran,
- 22 and I apologize to the audience for having to see my
- 23 back.
- Good morning. My name is Rick

- 1 Porter, and I am one of the attorneys representing
- the applicant in this appeal. The applicant, Fox
- Moraine, L.L.C., has filed this action in request to
- 4 review of the decision of the United City of
- 5 Yorkville, dated May 24th, 2007. We believe the
- 6 evidence in this case will show a multitude of
- 7 problems with the manner in with the Yorkville City
- 8 Council handled this matter.
- 9 I would like to refer to our
- 10 petition for review and briefly summarize these
- 11 problems for you. We will present evidence that the
- 12 proceedings of the Yorkville City Council, including
- the public hearing, post hearing procedures, and
- decision-making process, as well as the action of
- the Yorkville City Counsel taken on May 24th, 2007,
- were not fundamentally fair.
- We will show that the Yorkville
- 18 city council failed to comply with its own local
- 19 pollution control facility siting ordinance, and we
- will show that multiple members of the Yorkville
- city council were biased against Fox Moraine, L.L.C.
- 22 and prejudged the application.
- Further, multiple members of the
- Yorkville city council had disqualifying conflicts

- of interest. The action of the Yorkville city
- council is not based upon the evidence, and rather,
- 3 at best, was based upon matters outside the record,
- 4 including ex parte contacts by signing opponents,
- and so-called independent research by several
- 6 counsel members.
- 7 Furthermore, the Yorkville city
- 8 council disregarded the recommendation for approval
- 9 of the hearing office and its own technical staff,
- and adopted findings, which were a direct conflict
- of the findings of its technical staff -- of its
- technical and professional staff.
- Objectors to the application,
- including but not limited to, participants of
- 15 Kendall County and Friends of Greater Yorkville
- engaged -- well, I need to back up. Objectors of
- the application, including greater Yorkville,
- engaged in prejudicial ex parte contacts with
- members of the Yorkville city council. Yorkville
- city council applied a fundamentally unfair and
- incorrect burden of proof.
- The mayor of Yorkville, although
- not voting on the application, campaigned for office
- on the basis of opposing the application, and

- spearheaded a movement to defeat the application.
- 2 Her opposition of the application was for political
- 3 gain and not related to the evidence.
- 4 Ultimately, it will be clear that
- 5 the decision of the city council was based upon
- 6 promises made and political considerations related
- 7 to the municipal election, which took place while
- 8 the public hearings on the application were in
- 9 progress, rather than the evidence of the case.
- The evidence will show the fate of
- this hearing of the siting proposal was cast before
- the application was ever filed. To borrow a term
- used by one of the most strident and active
- objectors to this landfill, the proposal was a dead
- man walking due to bias and prejudgement before the
- evidence was ever in.
- The evidence will show that
- 18 proceedings related to the proposed landfill, but
- 19 legally separate from the siting process, will allow
- it to become an open, uncontrolled forum for the
- opposition group. The evidence will show, from this
- point forward, a relationship of sorts developed,
- that being those opposed to the landfill, and Rose
- Spears and Ms. Valerie Burd, two city aldermen, who

- decided to use opposition of the landfill as a
- spring board to election, one for the position of
- 3 the mayor of the city of Yorkville.
- The evidence will show that,
- 5 again, from early on and long before the siting
- 6 application was ever filed, and meetings of the city
- 7 council, which either indirectly involved the
- 8 landfill proposal or did not involve the landfill
- 9 proposal at all, opponents were allowed an open,
- uncontrolled forum using tactics that, at the end of
- the day, one council member indicated were
- despicable.
- The evidence will also show,
- rather than attempting to limit the discussion of
- the landfill proposal to its proper place, that
- being the landfill siting area, Alderman Spears and
- 17 Alderman Burd openly encouraged these outrageous
- comments and this outrageous behavior, behavior that
- became so bad that at one meeting in February
- of 2007, the mayor was prompted to summon the police
- to remove from the primary land the opponents.
- The evidence will show that this
- pattern of threats and intimidation continued
- unchecked, and caused at least one alderman to

- 1 complain to the city police department and file a
- police report. The evidence will show that he was
- not alone in his fate, and that at least several
- 4 other alderman and the mayor as well indicated they
- 5 received threatening and intimidating phone calls at
- 6 their personal residences. Again, all part of a
- 7 pattern of behavior that, at the end of the day, one
- 8 of the alderman indicated it had -- and we will
- 9 quote -- "reduced grown men to children."
- The evidence will show that
- involved in and actually encouraged by the conduct
- of the objectors and the principal group, FOGY, an
- acronym for an organization called Friends of
- Greater Yorkville, an anti-landfill organization and
- an anti-landfill slate of candidates, came together.
- The evidence will show that the
- mayor's own campaign committee included several
- primary leaders and organizers of FOGY, as well as
- 19 several of the most vocal opponents of the landfill
- 20 proposal. In fact, one of the more active members
- of Valerie Burd's campaign committee and Mr. Plocher
- was vehemently opposed to the landfill and ran for
- 23 alderman, and ultimately was elected. In addition,
- one of the additional officers of FOGY, a gentlemen

- by the name of Wall Werderich, was active in Valerie
- Burd's campaign and was also elected.
- The evidence will later show that
- 4 he, as well as Ms. Burd, Ms. Spears, Mr. Plocher,
- and Ms. Sutcliff were irrevocably opposed to the
- 6 landfill before they were ever elected, and made
- 7 their anti-landfill views plainly known before the
- 8 election had ever taken place or the siting hearings
- 9 had even taken place.
- The evidence will show a very
- deliberate and complex web of affiliations between
- 12 all candidates for city council who held
- anti-landfill views. The evidence will also show
- that this pattern of loud, aggressive, boisterous,
- and belligerent behavior continued throughout the
- landfill siting hearing, all which served to further
- intimidate the sitting alderman.
- 18 The evidence will also show that
- prior to the landfill siting hearing even ending,
- and prior to having the opportunity to ever consider
- the record as a whole, in an unabashed and
- unqualified fashion, the anti-landfill slate of
- candidates made their views known the Sunday before
- the election. They made their anti-landfill

- 1 sentiments known in an article about the campaign,
- which appeared in the Aurora Beacon News on April
- 3 15th. Here are some of the comments:
- Valerie Burd commented, quote, "Is
- there such a safe thing -- is there such a thing as
- a safe, state compliant landfill? I don't know if
- 7 that's an oxymoron." Walter Werderich said, again,
- 8 before his election and before the hearings, quote,
- "I don't think that a landfill is a good thing for
- 10 Yorkville." Joe Plocher said, before the election
- and before the conclusion of the hearings, "I don't
- think there's anything -- I don't think there is any
- such thing as a safe state compliant landfill."
- Robyn Sutcliff commented, "It would be a negative
- addition to the city. I have no question about
- that." And finally, Rose Ann Spears said, "If it
- had nothing surrounding it for acres, and if it was
- proven to be safe as far as leakage, and it would
- have no impact on traffic, that would be a perfect
- 20 scenario."
- These comments made it clear that
- 22 each of these candidates had no intention whatsoever
- of considering the record that had been assembled,
- but rather -- or the proposed hearing comments that

- were yet to come, but rather, each were sending a
- 2 clear message to anti-landfill constituents that "If
- you vote for me, I guarantee I will vote against
- 4 this landfill."
- As a matter of fact, Ms. Robyn
- 6 Sutcliff actually published a web page and campaign
- materials that explicitly stated that very thing,
- 8 that all that one simply needed to do to defeat the
- 9 landfill was vote for her.
- The evidence will also show that
- 11 after the election, Mayor-elect Burd moved swiftly
- and decisively to kill any slim hopes that the
- applicant may have had of approval, rather than
- 14 waiting for the report and recommendations from its
- own city staff and its own independent counsel, Mr.
- 16 Dirk Price, a Harvard Law School graduate with many
- years of landfill experience. And rather than
- waiting for the report and recommendations and the
- 19 findings of fact of Mr. Larry Clark, an independent
- hearing officer of over 30 years of experience and
- 21 30 siting hearings, and had, in fact, participated
- in the process from day one, the mayor moved quickly
- to find new legal counsel, attorneys strange and
- different to the process, and to the alderman who

- 1 had heard the evidence.
- You may ask why would Mayor Burd
- abandon the attorneys who they retained to expert on
- bias, guidance, and direction, experts that they had
- 5 seen through the evidence signing process? The
- 6 evidence will show why. The evidence will show that
- 7 Ms. Burd's intention was to obtain counsel and meet
- 8 with her in secret outside and without the knowledge
- 9 of any other alderman or any other city council some
- scant ten days after her election, to immediately
- and summarily negate the siting process that had
- just spanned the past six months, and to start to
- immediately sabotage the proposal, no matter what
- the cost no matter what the risks.
- The evidence in this regard will
- show that without any inkling of knowledge of or
- consent by city counsel, Ms. Burd met at length with
- the attorneys from the Wildman and Harrold firm,
- who, by their descriptions contained in their own
- invoices to the city, undertook immediate research
- in ways to defeat -- not objectively consider --
- this proposal.
- In short, the evidence will show
- that the emphasis of the newly-elected city council

- and mayor was to do whatever it could to set the
- stage for denial of this application. In fact, the
- evidence will show that more money was poured into
- 4 attorneys' fees in the first 30 days after the
- 5 election to defeat this proposal than had been
- 6 incurred from Price and Clark in the entire signing
- 7 process that it just ended.
- 8 The evidence will also show that
- 9 Valerie Burd, not even yet sworn in as mayor,
- presided over the incurring of close to \$100,000 in
- 11 attorneys' fees in a period of less than 30 days by
- 12 firms she admits had no existing attorney-client
- relationship with the city, a one-month expenditure
- of outside professional services that has not been
- rivaled or come close to either before or since.
- The evidence will also show the
- two critical reports, that of the city's own
- independent city staff, as well as the report
- recommendations and proposed findings of the hearing
- officer, and again, a hearing officer with over 30
- years of siting experience, was literally given to
- the counsel only minutes before deliberation started
- on May 23rd, 2007.
- The evidence will show that a

- 1 request was made by several of the alderman for a
- 2 reasonable amount of time in which to digest the
- additional material, rather than vote on it the very
- 4 next day as demanded by the mayor. Subtracting time
- for sleep, work, and attention and to other normal
- and necessarily family affairs, the counsel had less
- 7 than eight hours to review, analyze and weigh a
- 8 significant amount of additional material in excess
- 9 of 1,400 pages.
- The evidence will show that
- 11 counsel members were clearly frustrated,
- disoriented, and confused during their
- deliberations. The evidence will also show a sense
- of alienation and resentment with the fact Mr. Clark
- or Mr. Price were not present to help guide the city
- council in a decision-making process.
- 17 The evidence will also show
- 18 attempts by Alderman Spears to alienate the city
- 19 council from these two individuals by referring to
- them as a tight-knit group that travels the landfill
- 21 circuit from community to community, thereby
- unjustifiably aligning these two professionals with
- a distinguished career of service.
- The evidence will also show, in

- turn, that this led to a decision that reflects the
- 2 council did not know what they were deciding on, or
- 3 how they were deciding it.
- 4 The evidence will bear out several
- other important points. The transcript of the
- 6 proceedings shows that important questions and
- 7 important issues raised by a member of the city
- 8 council during the course of deliberations could not
- 9 or would not be answered. The transcript of the
- proceeding also shows abject and total confusion
- over the form of the resolution that would be
- 12 adopted. In fact, one councilman even asking if the
- resolution was for denial with conditions relating
- 14 to approval.
- The record of those proceedings
- shows that nothing was decided by the city council,
- but, in fact, cart blanche latitude was simply given
- to the attorneys retained by newly-elected Mayor
- 19 Burd to craft whatever decision they thought should
- 20 be crafted. The record of May 23rd and May 24th
- 21 show legitimate questions and legitimate inquiries
- by counsel being cut off summarily by Mayor Burd,
- who, again, had made promises to keep an agenda, and
- she was going to finish that agenda.

- The evidence will show that
- 2 24 minutes into the second night of deliberation on
- May 24th, 2007, and for the balance of that meeting,
- 4 that it was -- that the counsel centered its
- 5 discussion, not on the reasons for denial of the
- application, but rather discussion of conditions to
- be attached in the approval, which might result if
- 8 their decision of denial was reversed, and which of
- ⁹ these were, as Mayor Burd put it, legal and illegal.
- The evidence will show a mayor
- 11 hellbent on voicing a vote of the council for the
- council members. First, they had been afforded
- adequate time to review the record, most notably the
- reports of Mr. Price and Mr. Clark, which
- recommended approval of the application, and seconds
- before they had their questions concerning both of
- those recommendations for approval answered or even
- 18 addressed.
- 19 Rather, the evidence will show the
- 20 mayor was bent on reaching a denial only one or
- 21 two days after the public comment period had ended,
- 22 not attempting to afford adequate time of the city
- 23 council to evaluate the record as a whole. The
- transcripts of the proceedings held on both May

- 23rd, and more notably on May 24th, show a city
- 2 council which was confused and disoriented, and
- pointedly apologetic about the decision it was about
- 4 to make.
- 5 The evidence will show that there
- 6 was simply no vote as to the specific criteria of
- 7 Section 39.2. One of the aldermen even
- 8 characterized the purported deliberations as a
- 9 circus, a circus that led to a vague, ambiguous and
- unsupportable decision, a decision that contained
- inherent and significant contradictions, a decision
- which a transcript of the proceedings show that city
- council itself expressed a civil doubt that could
- ever be upheld in an appeal.
- Upon receipt of this evidence, it
- will be absolutely clear that the proceedings were
- fundamentally unfair. Further, the record at the
- 18 39.2B hearing will prove that the decision of the
- 19 city council was against the manifest weight of the
- evidence, and should be reversed in the siting
- 21 application allowed.
- MR. HALLORAN: Thank you, Mr. Porter.
- 23 Mr. Dombrowski?
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: Thank you, Mr.

- 1 Hearing Officer. I believe the evidence will show
- nothing of the kind. The evidence will show Fox
- 3 Moraine received a full and fair hearing. The
- 4 evidence will also show that Fox Moraine had a full
- 5 and complete opportunity to present evidence in
- support of this landfill application.
- 7 This hearing went on for 23 or 24
- 8 different -- 24 different days. Fox Moraine put on
- 9 six, eight, nine witnesses. They had plenty of
- opportunity, which they have admitted, to do
- everything that they thought proper to support their
- application, and the evidence will show that they
- 13 did -- that the hearing that they did receive was
- fundamentally fair, that the alderman, the city
- council, and the mayor were not biased against the
- application, that they did not prejudge anything.
- 17 There may have been some ex parte contacts, which
- are certainly not unexpected in a situation like
- this, but the evidence will show that if there were
- any ex parte communications, that it did not at all
- taint the process.
- The evidence will also show that
- Fox Moraine filed its application on December 1st,
- 24 2006, knowing that elections would be held

- approximately four, four and a half months later.
- 2 Evidence will show that Fox Moraine could have filed
- its application at a different date, but chose to do
- 4 so, knowing that the landfill would be an issue in
- 5 the campaign, and possibly a very controversial
- issue. And apparently, Fox Moraine's big gripe here
- 7 is that the citizens of Yorkville exercised their
- 8 first amendment rights to express their opinions to
- ⁹ their elected officials, and to petition their
- 10 elected officials and make themselves known and
- heard. That seems to be Fox Moraine's chief
- 12 complaint here.
- Now, in his opening statement,
- Mr. Porter talked a lot about the deliberations on
- 15 May 23rd and 24th, and Mr. Hearing Officer, I'd just
- like to read a sentence from your October 30, 2008,
- order on the motions to compel that each side filed.
- 18 Your statement was, "The Courts have been clear that
- nothing in Section 39.2 requires a detailed
- examination of each bit of evidence for a thorough
- going exposition of the decision makers' mental
- 22 processes." Apparently, Fox Moraine intends to
- violate the hearing officer's order on what is
- 24 permitted questioning and what is un-permitted

- 1 questioning.
- 2 Mr. Porter also mentioned that
- 3 it's Fox Moraine's belief that the city council did
- 4 not give due diligence to the hearing officer's
- 5 report, nor to a second report that was filed by
- 6 Mr. Dirk Price. However, as we all know, the
- 7 Illinois Environmental Protection Act entrusts the
- 8 Yorkville city council with the decision as to
- 9 whether to vote up or down on Fox Moraine's landfill
- application. It is not the hearing officer or
- 11 Mr. Price who had the final say on that decision.
- So in sum, the evidence will show
- that Fox Moraine received every bit of due process
- that they were entitled to, and that the process was
- lengthy, the process was detailed, the process was
- fundamentally fair, and that Fox Moraine petition's
- 17 should be denied because there was no bias. There
- was absolutely nothing that tainted the process or
- the ultimate decision of the Yorkville city council.
- MR. HALLORAN: Thank you,
- 21 Mr. Dombrowski. Mr. McCluskey, you are a party. Do
- you need a table, or do you feel comfortable back
- 23 there?
- MR. MCCLUSKEY: No, I'm fine. I'm

- 1 fine.
- MR. HALLORAN: Okay. Would you like
- 3 to give an opening?
- 4 MR. MCCLUSKEY: No.
- MR. HALLORAN: Okay.
- 6 MR. MCCLUSKEY: We have stipulated
- 7 that we will not present any evidence here, but that
- 8 the record will -- the record will be in evidence
- 9 and there will be no witnesses by Kendall County.
- MR. HALLORAN: Okay. Thank you, sir.
- 11 Fox Moraine, first witness, please?
- MR. MUELLER: Mr. Halloran, I would
- like to offer some exhibits into evidence initially.
- 14 I would like to offer as petitioner's -- or Fox
- Moraine Exhibit No. 1 the planned -- Yorkville
- 16 planning commission transcript of September 25th,
- 17 2006. This was a document furnished to us in
- 18 electronic form in discovery in this matter. Let me
- run through all of these, because the objections, if
- there are any, will be the same, I think.
- MR. HOPP: Mr. Halloran, I believe
- that's accurate. Let's run through them all. We'll
- handle our objections.
- MR. HALLORAN: Okay.

```
MR. MUELLER: Fox Moraine Exhibit No.
```

- 2 would be the minutes of the city council meeting
- of the city of Yorkville of September 26th, 2006.
- 4 MR. HALLORAN: I'm sorry. Is that
- 5 October 26th?
- MR. MUELLER: September 26th, 2006.
- 7 MR. HALLORAN: Okay. Thank you.
- 8 MR. MUELLER: That also was provided
- 9 to us in electronic form by the city. Exhibits --
- Exhibit 3 would be the amendments to the city
- council meeting of October 10th, 2006. This was
- transcribed by a court reporter at Fox Moraine's
- request from a video of the meeting presented to us.
- 14 The parties, I believe, have stipulated that there
- is no need to call the court reporter with regard to
- the accuracy of the transcript, but that in any
- event of a conflict between her transcript and the
- videotape, which was provided by the city, the video
- 19 would control.
- Mr. Halloran, I only have one copy
- of the video that was provided, and I have asked the
- city to provide me an additional copy that can be
- submitted to the Board. There's apparently a
- 24 proprietary software program that prevents easy

- 1 reproduction of the video that I was furnished, and
- they indicated they actually had some of the same
- 3 difficulties.
- 4 So the video on number three was
- 5 provided by the city -- by the city. We had it
- transcribed by a certified shorthand reporter, and
- 7 she is incidentally also the person that sat for a
- 8 number of the depositions that were taken in this
- 9 matter.
- Exhibit 4 is the transcript of
- October 24th, 2006, to the city council meeting.
- 12 Same description as Exhibit 3, we had the video, and
- then asked a court reporter to type a transcript
- 14 from that.
- Exhibit No. 5 is the city council
- meeting of October 30th, 2006. We had -- again, we
- got the video from the city, and had a court
- 18 reporter transcribe from that video.
- Exhibit No. 6 is the transcript of
- the city council meeting of November 13th, 2006.
- Once again, the city provided a video of the
- meeting. We had the transcript made from the video.
- Exhibit No. 7 is the meeting of --
- meeting transcript of November 14th, 2006. Once

- again, the city provided us a
- transcript -- or a video of the meeting. We had it
- 3 transcribed.
- Fox Moraine Exhibit No. 8 is the
- 5 transcript of the city council meeting of November
- 6 30th, 2006. Again, the city provided the videotape
- on disc. We had a transcript made from the video.
- Fox Moraine Exhibit No. 9 is the
- ⁹ transcript of the city council meeting of
- January 23rd, 2007. That one is like one and two,
- in that the transcript itself was provided to us by
- the city in discovery in this matter.
- The relevance of all of these are
- that they are public documents -- or public records,
- records of public meetings. They contain numerous
- admissions against interest by various city council
- members. We're offering them in their entirety,
- 18 Mr. Halloran, consistent with your ruling that it
- should be all or nothing. The parties are each free
- to emphasize whatever portions of those meetings
- they can that's irrelevant and important.
- 22 Additionally, these meetings all
- deal with the subject of the annexation of the
- subject property, execution of a host agreement

- between Fox Moraine and the city, consideration of
- whether that host agreement was fair and should be
- renegotiated, vacating a road that bisected the
- 4 landfill property, and which was a condition of the
- 5 annexation agreement that was executed between the
- 6 parties, subsequent reannexation of the property,
- 7 and all of the general matters that were
- 8 prerequisites to filing a landfill application and
- 9 conducting a landfill hearing.
- The transcripts make it clear that
- as early as the first meeting, September 25th,
- members of the public were advising the city council
- that the purpose of the annexation was to facilitate
- development of a landfill, and therefore denial of
- the annexation would stop the landfill process in
- its tracks. So this was all related to the landfill
- application, which was subsequently filed.
- Land and lakes, decided by the
- 19 Board and the third district appellate court, is
- clear that you can look at evidence of bias and
- 21 prejudgement prior to the filing of an application
- when it's clearly linked to the subject matter of
- the application.
- So I think these are obviously

- 1 relevant and probative, to the extent that we have
- 2 city council members, and in some cases, members of
- the public who were subsequently elected to the city
- 4 council, expressing strong sentiments against
- 5 annexation, against a host agreement between Fox
- 6 Moraine and the city, against Fox Moraine, accusing
- 7 Fox Moraine of breach of contract, and the like.
- 8 Those are very powerful statements of prejudgement
- 9 and bias, and, as such, should be received by the
- 10 Board.
- MR. HALLORAN: Thank you, Mr. Mueller.
- 12 Mr. Hopp?
- MR. HOPP: Your Honor, we do object on
- two grounds. Number one, hearsay. Mr. Mueller has
- 15 identified possibly several hearsay exceptions, but
- what we have here is a stack, eight, ten inches high
- of transcripts complete with hearsay. We've got no
- designations of precisely which statements he
- intends to try to introduce or rely on, so no
- foundation has been made for hearsay objections for
- the particular statements. All we know is there's a
- lot of hearsay in these documents. So there's -- we
- do have a hearsay objection. We don't believe that
- Fox Moraine has met its burden to prove that the

- 1 exceptions apply.
- Second, relevance, your Honor.
- These documents are all dated. These hearings all
- 4 occurred prior to filing of the landfill siting
- application. None of these are hearing transcripts
- on the landfill siting application. They are on
- 7 completely separate city matters, things that were
- 8 brought before the city on different issues.
- 9 So they have no relevance to the
- 10 landfill siting application, cannot be used in this
- 11 process to prove prejudgement of that application,
- because the evidence on the application came in
- 13 after the land application was filed, and that was
- filed in December of 2008. All of these are dated
- previous to that. I'm sorry, 2006. Forgive me.
- MR. HALLORAN: Mr. Mueller, any
- 17 further argument?
- MR. MUELLER: Very briefly. I agree
- with Mr. Hopp that the transcripts contain a lot of
- hearsay, and they are not offered for the truth of
- the matters asserted by individuals who are guoted
- in the transcript. For example, there are lots of
- members of the public who repeatedly said things to
- the effect of, "All landfills leak and they will

- poison and kill all the children in the community,"
- and obviously we don't believe that those statements
- are true, and so we are not offering the transcripts
- 4 for the truth of the matters asserted therein.
- 5 However, they are relevant, and
- they do contain statements by people to be called as
- witnesses herein. They also provide the Board a
- 8 wonderful opportunity to examine the circus
- 9 atmosphere and see for itself what things were like
- and how hostile the environment was for the
- petitioner prior to the actual landfill's beginning.
- 12 So we're offering them for what occurred at the
- meetings, not for the truth of every statement made
- 14 at every meeting by every speaker.
- Secondly, as to the time element,
- number one, the January 23rd transcript of 2007
- actually postdates the filing of the application in
- that the city and Fox Moraine were still discussing
- vacating Sleepy Hollow Road, which bisects the
- 20 proposed landfill. They were still discussing
- 21 annexation of the property. They were still
- discussing the host agreement, which some city
- 23 council members were very dissatisfied with. All of
- that is evidence of bias against the applicant.

- Additionally, these are very close
- in time, in terms of approximate time to the filing
- of the application. The earliest one predates the
- filing of the application by barely over two months,
- 5 and based upon Land and Lakes and other precedents,
- 6 I think that they're clearly not barred because they
- 7 didn't postdate the filing of the applications.
- MR. HALLORAN: Mr. Hopp, anything
- 9 further?
- MR. HOPP: Just, your Honor, we have
- had some examples of potential non-hearsay
- 12 statements. The petitioner has not offered
- particular statements. We don't have an opportunity
- to object on a statement-by-statement basis. All
- he's asking is that these documents be admitted
- en masse, and we think he hasn't met his burden.
- MR. HALLORAN: Mr. McCluskey,
- anything?
- MR. MCCLUSKEY: We have no comment.
- MR. HALLORAN: Okay. Thanks. You
- 21 know what, I'm going to -- I'm going to allow Fox
- 22 Moraine's Exhibit No. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9
- into evidence over Yorkville's objection. I do find
- 24 it possibly relevant. I think it may assist the

- 1 Board in reaching its decision, and, as Mr. Mueller
- said, the exhibits are not offered for the proof of
- 3 the matter asserted. So with that said, I do admit
- 4 those nine exhibits.
- MR. MUELLER: Mr. Halloran, we should
- 6 probably revisit before the end of the hearing the
- 7 issue of how we'll provide a copy of the videotapes
- 8 that accompanies, I think, six of these nine
- ⁹ transcripts, and we'll try to work that out with the
- 10 city.
- As Exhibit No. 10, Mr. Halloran,
- we would offer the complete court filing in Kendall
- 13 County, case number 07 OV 43, entitled the United
- 14 City of Yorkville versus Donald Hammon.
- MR. HALLORAN: Mr. Mueller, which
- one -- what was that?
- 17 MR. MUELLER: That is Fox Moraine
- 18 Exhibit Number 10.
- MR. HALLORAN: Okay. And that is the
- 20 Court file?
- MR. MUELLER: The_entire circuit court
- file of the proceedings in that case.
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: That's also the
- subject of one of our motions in limine. I believe

- it was number six that Mr. Halloran has granted.
- MR. HALLORAN: Yeah. Well, what's in
- 3 the file?
- 4 MR. MUELLER: This was an ordinance
- 5 violation case filed -- brought by the City against
- 6 Mr. Hammond, who was the majority owner of Fox
- 7 Moraine landfill. It demonstrates the hostility of
- 8 the city toward him, the bias of the city toward
- 9 him, and we believe it is relevant to show an
- ongoing pattern of hostile conduct by Mayor Burd
- towards Fox Moraine, and toward all of its
- 12 principles.
- MR. HALLORAN: Didn't I rule, though,
- 14 that --
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: Yes, you have.
- 16 MR. HALLORAN: -- I could take
- administrative notice of the Board rulings and
- orders and, obviously, the circuit court's board's
- 19 -- circuit court's ruling and orders. But I will
- 20 not accept the pleadings.
- MR. MUELLER: In that case, your
- Honor, I guess I'm offering it by way of offer of
- proof.
- MR. HOPP: Objection, for the same

- reasons set out in our motions in limine, your
- 2 Honor. This postdates the hearing -- postdates the
- decision on the denial and hearsay, all of the
- 4 reasons set forth in our --
- 5 MR. HALLORAN: Okay. Well, I will
- 6 accept it as an offer of proof, because again, this
- 7 is a time-sensitive matter. If I Board -- if I
- 8 exclude it entirely, the Board may say, "Hey, we
- 9 want to take a look at it." So we're right back
- where we were, and we got a decision deadline. So I
- will take plaintiff's -- Petitioner's Exhibit No. 10
- as an offer of proof. And Mr. Mueller, could you
- identify that again, please? It's the complete
- 14 court file of the Yorkville --
- MR. MUELLER: It is the complete court
- file in Kendall County, circuit court case number 07
- OV 43, United City of Yorkville versus Donald
- 18 Hammond.
- MR. HALLORAN: Okay.
- MR. MUELLER: And then as Fox Moraine
- 21 Exhibit No. 11, we would, in light of your Honor's
- ruling, by way of offer of proof, submit the
- complete court file in the case of United City of
- Yorkville versus Fox Moraine L.L.C., Kendall County

- 1 circuit court number 08 L 68, and my reasoning on
- that is exactly the same as on the other -- you
- 3 apparently already ruled, so no further argument is
- 4 necessary.
- MR. HOPP: For the record, your Honor,
- 6 same objection.
- 7 MR. HALLORAN: Same objection. I will
- 8 accept Petitioner's Exhibit No. 11 as an offer of
- 9 proof, but I do want to let the Board take note of
- my prior ruling.
- MR. MUELLER: Mr. Halloran, we would
- also ask the Board to take judicial notice of the
- pleadings and its rulings in PCB case number 07-95,
- 14 and 0 -- I guess it's 08-95 and 08-96. And since
- they're part of, you know, the Board's records, I
- think that we can just request them to take judicial
- 17 notice of those cases --
- MR. HALLORAN: But the --
- MR. MUELLER: -- and the rulings
- there. I'm not sure that your order on the motion
- in limine goes into whether they can consider the
- 22 pleadings as well as their orders.
- MR. HALLORAN: Again, I'll say no --
- I'm not accepting the pleadings. But I will take

- them in a certain notice of the rulings and orders
- 2 of the Board itself.
- MR. MUELLER: Mr. Halloran, would you
- 4 like these exhibits up by you now?
- MR. HALLORAN: I don't need them.
- 6 MR. MUELLER: I'll give them to you at
- 7 the break.
- 8 MR. HALLORAN: Mr. Hopp?
- 9 MR. HOPP: We'd like to -- well, first
- of all, we object on the same basis as before, and
- understand the Court's ruling that it takes judicial
- notice of rulings but not of pleadings, for the same
- reasons we've objected previously. And with that, I
- mean, we certainly object to even the acceptance as
- an offer of proof, but understand the hearing
- officer's rule.
- MR. HALLORAN: Okay. As far as
- Petitioner's Exhibit No. 12, I will take
- administrative notice again of the Board's rulings
- and orders, however, and I will take the proceedings
- as an offer of proof, as previously ruled.
- 22 MR. MUELLER: Thank you, Mr. Halloran.
- MR. HALLORAN: Thank you. First
- 24 witness?

- MR. MUELLER: We're ready to call Rose
- 2 Ann Spears.
- MR. HOPP: She's outside.
- 4 MR. HALLORAN: Okay. Thank you, Mr.
- 5 Hopp.
- Ms. Spears, just raise your right
- 7 hand and the court reporter will swear you in.
- 8 (Witness sworn.)
- 9 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 10 BY MR. MUELLER:
- 11 Q. State your full name, please.
- 12 A. Rose Ann Spears.
- 13 Q. Ms. Spears, you are an alderman for
- the city of Yorkville?
- A. Correct.
- Q. And how long have you been an
- 17 alderman?
- 18 A. I think I'm going on my thirteenth
- 19 year.
- Q. And when were you most recently
- 21 reelected?
- 22 A. Two years ago.
- Q. So you were up for election in the
- winter of 2006 and seven, and were elected in April

- of 2007. Is that correct?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. And you were then, obviously, on the
- Board -- or the council on September 26th, 2006,
- 5 right?
- f A. That's correct.
- 7 Q. Do you remember a meeting at the -- I
- 8 believe it is the senior citizens center next door,
- or the community center -- on September 26th, 2006,
- to consider the issue of the annexation of the Fox
- Moraine property, the execution of a host agreement,
- the execution of an annexation agreement, and
- adoption of an amended pollution control facility
- 14 siting ordinance?
- MR. HOPP: Hearing Officer, if I may,
- 16 I'd like to renew my objection on the grounds of
- relevance. All of these questions have to do with
- the conduct that occurred and hearings that occurred
- prior to the filing of the siting application.
- MR. HALLORAN: Okay. And as case law
- says, we can -- the Board can take a look at any
- kind of evidence that's relevant to a possible
- fundamental and fairness argument. Your objection
- is so noted, Mr. Hopp.

- MR. HOPP: Thank you, your Honor.
- MR. HALLORAN: You may proceed,
- 3 Mr. Mueller.
- 4 THE WITNESS: To be honest, I don't
- 5 recall that meeting exactly.
- 6 BY MR. MUELLER:
- 7 Q. You don't remember being at that
- 8 meeting?
- 9 A. Not that particular -- not -- no.
- 10 Q. Do you remember voting on the
- 11 annexation resolution?
- 12 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And you voted no on that resolution,
- 14 correct?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- Q. Do you remember voting on the host
- agreement that was presented between Fox Moraine and
- the city of Yorkville?
- A. Not clearly.
- Q. Do you remember that there was a large
- 21 crowd at that meeting?
- A. Not specifically that meeting.
- Q. Do you remember that the sentiment of
- the crowd at that meeting was that opposition to the

- annexation and defeating the annexation would
- 2 prevent a landfill from ever being sited?
- A. I would be speculating on the crowd's
- 4 mood and why they were there.
- 5 Q. All right. Well, you don't remember
- 6 an expression, for example, from George Gilson
- 7 stating, "We don't want this annexation, and we
- 8 don't want the landfill, and that's only reason for
- 9 this annexation?"
- MR. HOPP: Objection, your Honor.
- 11 Hearsay.
- MR. MUELLER: I'm just asking if she
- 13 remembers hearing it.
- MR. HALLORAN: Objection overruled.
- THE WITNESS: I don't remember
- specific conversations. That was two or three years
- 17 ago.
- 18 BY MR. MUELLER:
- 19 Q. And you do remember that you voted no
- on the annexation, correct?
- A. Yes, I did.
- 22 Q. You understood at that time that if an
- annexation didn't happen, then there couldn't be a
- landfill application in the city of Yorkville,

- 1 correct?
- MR. HOPP: Objection, Hearing Officer.
- 3 This invades the deliberative process of the city
- 4 council.
- 5 MR. MUELLER: Prior to deliberations
- on the siting application.
- 7 MR. HOPP: Still, the deliberative
- process privilege applies throughout. There's no
- 9 start date or end date to the deliberative process.
- MR. HALLORAN: Could you read the
- 11 question back?
- 12 (Whereupon, the record was read as
- requested.)
- MR. HALLORAN: I'm going to sustain
- Mr. Hopp's objection. You're touching into the
- mental processes, again, as a decision maker.
- MR. PORTER: Mr. Halloran, if I may,
- we have a brief in an argument regarding the
- deliberative process objection, and the ruling that
- arises out of the fact that we're going to be making
- 21 and presenting evidence in bad faith. And so at the
- present time, I'm going to provide a voir dire or an
- offer of proof concerning the bad faith that will be
- 24 presented here, and hence, delving into the mental

- impressions, even under your ruling is more than
- 2 appropriate. And so I need to make that record and
- present that motion. And since this is the first
- time we bumped into the issue, it's the appropriate
- 5 time to do it.
- 6 MR. HOPP: Hearing officer, this is
- 7 the first time that we've heard there's evidence of
- 8 bad faith. It was raised -- this is completely
- ⁹ briefed. You've previously indicated that you saw
- 10 no evidence of bad faith. This is -- the
- opportunity to present this motion has long passed,
- and we object based on surprise and, you know, out
- of bounds. It's outside --
- MR. HALLORAN: You know, I've set
- probably three different cutoff dates for prehearing
- motions.
- MR. PORTER: Well, this is not a
- prehearing motion, Mr. Halloran. This is a hearing
- motion. This is the first time the objection has
- been sustained at the hearing, and as we understand
- your ruling, the ruling was very clear that you
- would not entertain deliberative process unless
- there was evidence in bad faith.
- We are going to be presenting

- evidence in bad faith, and this pleading does that.
- 2 It provides all of the evidentiary support necessary
- to come to a conclusion of bad faith, and I would
- 4 like an opportunity to present it.
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: One additional thing,
- 6 Mr. Halloran, if I may. Mr. Porter, at the Kankakee
- 7 county hearing where you were the hearing officer,
- 8 argued that there should be no offers of proof on
- 9 the deliberative process privilege --
- MR. PORTER: Your Honor -- I'm sorry,
- 11 Mr. Halloran. If we're getting into presenting
- 12 it --
- MR. HALLORAN: Let Mr. Dombrowski
- 14 finish, Mr. Porter, please.
- MR. PORTER: It seems he's already --
- MR. HALLORAN: We're not starting off
- too well here.
- MR. PORTER: It sounds like he's
- 19 already --
- MR. HALLORAN: Okay. Mr. Dombrowski?
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: Mr. Porter objected
- to what he's essentially trying to do here at that
- 23 previous landfill hearing. You agreed with him. We
- have transcripts from that hearing if you'd like to

- see those, and the ruling in that matter was that
- there would be no offers of proof on the
- deliberative process privilege, because the offer of
- 4 proof delves into and violates the privilege that
- 5 attaches to the city council's deliberations. So
- 6 there were no offers of proof on that issue during
- 7 those two days of that hearing.
- That same ruling, that same logic,
- 9 in conclusion, should apply here. There's nothing
- to delve into. You, Mr. Hearing Officer, have
- already ruled on this, and have said that Fox
- Moraine may not delve into this issue, and they're
- trying to get around your rulings by claiming they
- can do it in an offer of proof.
- MR. HALLORAN: Again, as I stated to
- Mr. Porter before, I'm not too happy about this
- 17 latest development. However -- and again, I believe
- my ruling was correct back then that they cannot
- 19 delve into it. I didn't allow it. But again, I
- always have that backup as an offer of proof.
- Because again, it's a time-sensitive matter. If the
- Board rules against me or rules for Mr. Porter, then
- we're right back here and we're up against the clock
- 24 if Fox Moraine doesn't file a waiver. So my back is

- 1 up against the wall. Mr. Hopp, do you have
- 2 something?
- MR. HOPP: Your Honor, just further on
- 4 the timing, all of the matters that are raised in
- 5 this offer of proof in this brief have been
- 6 available to Fox Moraine since before the last
- 7 deadline. This is all based on hearing transcripts
- 8 that things that happened over two years ago.
- 9 MR. HALLORAN: Yeah. I totally agree
- with Yorkville, and I want the record to reflect
- that, and the Board to take a look at it, that --
- 12 I'm not going to say it's somewhat of an ambush.
- But this should have been brought up way before,
- 14 addressed. And again, I agree -- this is at a
- hearing, but, you know, it should have been raised
- before. You know, I like to -- you know, my
- hearings like to go smooth, and this is a surprise.
- But whatever the reason, I will accept a small
- window of an offer of proof. How long, Mr. Porter,
- do you think this questioning will go on?
- MR. PORTER: Well, there are several
- ways I can -- one, there is an offer of proof that's
- 23 been handed to you concerning the bad faith, and --
- Mr. Halloran, there's actually two issues. Number

- one, would be -- you have already ruled that if
- there's evidence of bad faith, then the evidence as
- 3 to deliberative process comes in not as an offer of
- 4 proof. You can get into it. And in this case,
- 5 there is, indeed, bad faith.
- I got to tell you, this is
- 7 completely different than the Kankakee County case
- 8 that I was involved in, as were you. In Kankakee
- 9 County, remember that Mr. Moran, Waste Management
- wanted to conduct discovery in questioning regarding
- the county board, which had been explicitly directed
- 12 and instructed to disregard any material received
- outside of the hearing process. We don't have that
- evidence here. As a matter of fact, we have
- evidence that there are several board members that
- 16 got elected and never received that instruction at
- 17 all.
- 18 They had also been -- there was
- evidence admitted at the Kankakee hearing that each
- of those people made efforts whenever they were
- 21 contacted by members of the public to tell them
- that, indeed, they could not consider evidence
- outside the record, and that those people should
- come and testify at the hearing. That is not at all

- what has happened here.
- To the contrary, we have county
- board members that solicited and accepted testimony
- 4 outside of the hearing from members of the public
- 5 and voiced their opinions while they were running
- 6 for office against the landfill itself. We have at
- 7 least three members, Mr. Plocher, Ms. Sutcliff, Mr.
- 8 Werderich, who received no instructions to limit
- 9 their decision to the evidence.
- You'll see we have attached here
- the various areas of bad faith as broken out by the
- 12 specific board members. First is Ms. Spears, who
- refused to sign a resolution that we've attached to
- keep an open mind, and to not make a decision or
- 15 otherwise form any conclusion until all the
- evidence, testimony or public comment, either oral
- or written had been submitted. She refused to do
- that, explicitly refused to keep an open mind and
- 19 limit her decision to the record. That's completely
- 20 and diametrically opposed to in the Kankakee County
- case.
- Therefore, in this case, it's
- obvious that we need to get into the mental
- impressions. At a minimum, it should be allowed as

- an offer of proof. And therefore, when something
- like this comes, we should be given the opportunity
- 3 to ask the question if it's sustained as an offer of
- 4 proof.
- 5 Following on Ms. Spears, two days
- before the election and a month before the vote and
- before the record was closed, she stated in a
- 8 newspaper article that there should be nothing
- 9 surrounding the landfill for acres, and it should
- have no impact on traffic. Those are not the
- criteria, and this is clear evidence of a
- misunderstanding of what was required and a
- pre-adjudication of the merits.
- Ms. Spears voted on her own motion
- to disqualify for bias, which was filed by the
- applicant here. Which, again, is obvious evidence
- of pre-adjudication and bias. She also urged the
- city council to just thumb through the hearing
- officer and the city attorney reports as well as the
- 20 1,400 pages of material submitted by the applicant
- in the days shortly before the alleged deliberative
- hearing took place. She invited and directed the
- city council members to just ignore, essentially,
- what had been submitted by its own applicants -- or

- 1 by its own experts.
- Finally, there was evidence that
- Ms. Spears was the hero of the objectors, which is
- 4 attached as Exhibit E to our pleading, when it was
- 5 reported by Ms. Sutcliff, who was later voted on to
- the city council, that every time Ms. Spears spoke
- ⁷ at the annexation hearing, that Mr. Mueller is now
- 8 -- I'm sorry -- Mr. Mueller is now questioning,
- 9 every time Ms. Spears spoke, there was applause, and
- she was identified as the hero of the phony
- organization.
- 12 As to Mr. Plocher, whom we'll
- also -- there was evidence of that city council
- 14 having bad faith -- Mr. Plocher was a member of
- FOGY, the primary opposition group. He served on
- 16 Ms. Burd's campaign and committee with
- Mr. Werderich, Mr. Milliron, and Mr. Parish, all of
- whom were objectors and, in some or another, at one
- 19 time associated with FOGY.
- Mr. Plocher took money from the
- 21 primary objectors for his campaign. Mr. Plocher
- said before election and the vote that he did not
- think there was any such thing as a safe landfill.
- Mr. Plocher admitted at a deposition that he ran

- with a slate of candidates, all of who --
- MR. HALLORAN: Mr. Porter, are you
- just reading this verbatim or what?
- 4 MR. PORTER: No.
- 5 MR. HALLORAN: It's -- I mean, I've
- 6 made my ruling. I'll accept it as an offer of
- 7 proof. However, I think we should have crossed this
- 8 -- taken up this issue long before today regarding
- your intent to do an offer of proof to this extent.
- MR. PORTER: Let me highlight one
- other thing before you make your final ruling as to
- offer of proof. Ms. Sutcliff made it absolutely
- clear, as I referenced in the opening -- and that's
- 14 attached -- that if you wanted to have the landfill
- denied, all you had to do was vote for her. If ever
- there is evidence of bad faith, it is there. And we
- can't get any clearer than bad faith when there is
- written statements made that, "All you have to do is
- vote for me, and I'll override the landfill." And
- those statements are made before the hearing is even
- concluded. It just doesn't get any clearer than
- that.
- 23 And so we are in the unusual
- circumstance, Mr. Halloran, where we have clear

- evidence of bad faith. That's why I'm here,
- unfortunately, having to make this motion one more
- time. Because while I appreciate that you're going
- 4 to allow us to ask these questions as offers of
- 5 proof, it honestly needs to be admitted as direct
- 6 evidence, and we need to be allowed to ask questions
- 7 regarding deliberative process in light of these
- 8 unbelievable statements made before the hearing
- 9 process was concluded. Thank you.
- MR. HALLORAN: Any final --
- MR. HOPP: Yes. Just -- we'd like to
- renew all of our previous objections, in particular,
- surprise. And I understand the hearing officer's
- position with respect to the Board, but I believe
- the hearing officer to be on solid ground given all
- of the prehearing deadlines, given the fact that all
- the information that Mr. Porter just raised is all
- over two years old, and clearly ignored the
- 19 prehearing deadlines in this case. There's
- absolutely no reason that this should be brought up
- 21 now.
- MR. HALLORAN: Again, I agree with
- Mr. Hopp. I'm not going to admit it as direct
- evidence. Again, I'm under time constraints. I

- will accept it as an offer of proof, and allow some
- questioning therein as an offer of proof. I will
- mark it as Hearing Officer Exhibit 1, offer of
- 4 proof, and the exhibits -- is it A through M, as in
- 5 man -- attached.
- 6 MR. HOPP: Your Honor, though, if I
- may address the substance of the offer of proof with
- 8 respect to Alderman Spears. All we have on Alderman
- 9 Spears is one statement that she refused to sign a
- city council ordinance saying that they agreed to
- 11 keep an open mind. That's not evidence that
- 12 Alderman Spears refused to keep an open mind. It's
- evidence that she refused to sign some sort of put
- up ordinance that someone was grandstanding and put
- in front of her. So that's all that is.
- The rest of the things Mr. Porter
- has just introduced or attempted to introduce are
- things that other people said about Ms. Spears. So
- therefore, there really is no evidence that Ms.
- Spears refused to keep an open mind. There's no
- evidence of bad faith on behalf of Alderman Spears.
- MR. HALLORAN: I agree, and I suspect
- the Board will agree with you, and the appellate and
- 24 Illinois Supreme Court as well. But at this

- juncture, I'll allow it in as an offer of proof, and
- 2 the questioning --
- MR. HOPP: May I ask about the scope
- 4 of questioning then? What's permissible?
- MR. HALLORAN: Well, I guess
- 6 anything -- anything he's asking about the --
- 7 delving into the mental processes of the decision
- maker as an offer of proof. I mean, I'm not going
- ⁹ to jump around and say this is an offer of proof,
- this isn't. It's too confusing for the Board. As I
- stated earlier, I have to try to make a clear record
- for the Board to follow and decide. So I'm not sure
- 13 how Fox Moraine is going to handle this.
- MR. PORTER: Mr. Halloran, the only
- thing I would ask is that when those questions arise
- and an objection be made, we don't have to make a
- record every time if this is now an offer of proof
- or it's not. But at least we at least need to know
- what they're considering to be deliberative process.
- It's going to come in and give us an opportunity to
- 21 argue that this is not deliberative process. I
- mean, if we get
- into -- there could be a variety of things. I can't
- just leave it open ended. There could be a brief

- argument that this isn't deliberative of process.
- 2 They need to make an objection --
- MR. HOPP: Well, your Honor, now we're
- 4 in the position of having to guess at what's part of
- 5 their offer of proof and what isn't. Again, that
- puts the burden on us in a completely and unfair
- 7 way. If they want to structure their examination so
- 8 that the offer of proof goes out all at once, then
- 9 we can have an objection.
- MR. HALLORAN: I agree. I agree. I
- got to make it concise and clear. I mean, I can't
- be jumping around, and then you're asking a question
- that I've already ruled. You know, you can't.
- 14 That's direct evidence, and that puts Yorkville, you
- know, on the defensive. And I don't see how that
- can happen. Can you get all your questions, your
- deliberative process questions in that I already
- 18 ruled you couldn't get in?
- MR. MUELLER: Mr. Halloran, the
- problem, then, that we have is there are some things
- that the city is going to think are deliberative
- process, and we don't, that we clearly think they're
- not. And we don't intend to ask people why they
- voted on the landfill application, but as an

- example, the record of the May 23rd and May 24th
- 2 proceedings is pretty clear that the -- a number of
- 3 alderman did not know what criteria they were voting
- 4 on. Asking them what criteria they consider to be
- met and unmet, we don't think is a deliberative
- 6 process. That's asking them if they even knew what
- 7 was in front of them.
- 8 MR. HALLORAN: I think I even ruled on
- 9 that, didn't I, previously?
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: You did, right.
- MR. HALLORAN: And you -- I know you
- don't think it's a deliberative process, but I've
- already ruled against you.
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: And Mr. Halloran --
- MR. HALLORAN: And so there's my
- 16 ruling.
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: The ruling in the
- 18 case laws say that the city council need to produce
- a written statement, a written resolution of their
- vote, which they did. There is nothing further
- 21 required.
- MR. HALLORAN: I think you mentioned
- that on opening.
- MR. HOPP: That doesn't get to the

- issue of bad faith. That's a completely separate
- issue. So now we're confusing apples and oranges,
- and they're trying to put the burden on Yorkville.
- 4 If this is a problem. It's a problem that Fox
- Moraine has created, and it's a problem that Fox
- 6 Moraine has to fix. They need to structure their
- 7 examination so that they can make an offer of proof.
- 8 If they can't, then we object to this, because they
- 9 cannot put the burden on the city of Yorkville and
- filter it through their examination.
- MR. HALLORAN: I agree. I've already
- 12 ruled. So if you can -- if you can filter through
- your offer of proofs and make it in a somewhat
- succinct order, then so be it. But we can't be
- jumping around. I've already ruled on this, and I
- don't like the surprise at all.
- MR. PORTER: So we're clear,
- Mr. Halloran, what we've handed up to you is an
- offer of proof.
- MR. HALLORAN: Yes. And the
- 21 attachments are, as well.
- MR. HOPP: Correct.
- MR. PORTER: There may, indeed, be
- questions that we will pose during an examination of

- each and every witness that will also turn as an
- offer of proof. And so that we're also clear on
- Mr. Halloran's issue, there are issues, for example,
- 4 the -- whether or not -- what you intended to vote.
- 5 Not how you voted, but did you intend to vote no as
- to criteria one. We know Mr. Munns had told us he
- 7 thought criteria one was met, and yet signed a
- 8 resolution that shows that criteria number one was
- 9 not met.
- What that means is the process
- that was employed resulted in requiring unanimous
- decisions as to each and every criteria. Because if
- one board member thought that one criteria wasn't
- met -- for example, let's say Ms. Spears thought
- criteria one was not met, but another board member
- thought criterion two was not met, those board
- members signed a general resolution saying that the
- 18 matter was denied.
- The problem is we are entitled,
- under 39.2E, to have decisions of the county board
- or governing body to be in writing specifying the
- reasons for the decision, such reasons to be in
- 23 conformance with subsection A. Subsection A is
- denying criterion. They had to make a finding as to

- each criterion. The law is absolutely clear under
- 2 39.2E that they do so. I'm not asking them why they
- yoted the way they did. I just want to know how
- 4 they voted. We don't have a record to show --
- MR. HALLORAN: Well, you can say
- how -- yeah. You can ask how they voted, but their
- 7 intentions, no. Then we're back into the mental
- 8 process.
- 9 MR. PORTER: Okay. So how did you
- vote on criterion one is going to be allowed?
- MR. HOPP: No. I'm sorry.
- MR. HALLORAN: That's public -- that's
- 13 public record.
- MR. MUELLER: Isn't that --
- MR. PORTER: That's what we're trying
- 16 to --
- MR. HALLORAN: That's -- isn't that --
- MR. HOPP: The ordinance is a public
- record, the deliberations are public record, your
- 20 Honor, and everything else is mental impression. So
- now they want to filter through again and say, "Did
- you really mean this, did you really mean that."
- MR. HALLORAN: Well, a yes or no is
- not a mental impression. I mean, that's a fact

- that's on paper.
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: Right. But it's the
- way the resolution is worded. Mr. Porter is
- 4 misrepresenting the case law and also
- 5 misrepresenting your ruling. They are not entitled
- to a city council vote by each member going through
- 7 criterion one through criterion ten. The way the
- 8 city council drafted their resolution was the way
- 9 they drafted it, and they voted up or down on the
- whole package, and they voted against it seven to
- one. They're not entitled to --
- MR. HALLORAN: I agree.
- MR. HOPP: They are --
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: They are not entitled
- to ask each member how they voted on each particular
- 16 criterion.
- MR. PORTER: Mr. Halloran --
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: That's not what the
- 19 case law says, and the case law is clear.
- MR. PORTER: What case law is he
- 21 talking about? There's no --
- MR. HALLORAN: You know, I agree
- with Mr. Porter. I've made my ruling. I'm getting
- 24 tired of this.

- MR. PORTER: Well, one more time.
- MR. HALLORAN: Let's go off the
- 3 record.
- 4 (Whereupon, a discussion was had
- off the record.)
- 6 MR. HALLORAN: Okay. We're back on
- 7 the record. Thank you, Counsel. Mr. Dombrowski
- 8 wants me to qualify my statement regarding
- 9 Mr. Porter. I think I previously said I agree with
- 10 Mr. Porter that he's allowed to ask how he vote --
- 11 how did the decision maker vote, yes or no. I was
- under the assumption that was on record, but my
- understanding it was just one complete package. And
- so I withdraw my approval of Mr. Porter's argument.
- Mr. Mueller has offered to try to
- summarize, or put in some kind of capsule form, all
- the offer of proofs, so it's -- so the record is
- 18 clear and complete for the Board. And I appreciate
- 19 that, Mr. Mueller. Mr. Porter?
- MR. PORTER: And one other thing you
- said, I think, off the record was that how someone
- intended to vote as to a specific criteria could
- only come in as an offer of proof. Is that correct?
- MR. HALLORAN: That's correct.

- MR. PORTER: Thank you.
- MR. HALLORAN: Thank you.
- MR. MUELLER: All right. Let's do
- 4 this.
- 5 BY MR. MUELLER:
- 6 Q. Ms. Spears, I think the question I
- 7 asked you was: Did you understand that by voting no
- 8 on annexation and no on approval of the annexation
- ⁹ agreement on September 26th, 2006, if those were
- defeated, a landfill application could not be filed
- with the city?
- MR. HOPP: For the record, Mr.
- Halloran, not to belabor this, we are now in the
- offer of proof?
- MR. HALLORAN: That is correct.
- MR. HOPP: Thank you.
- MR. HALLORAN: We are in an offer of
- 18 proof. You may answer, Ms. Spears.
- THE WITNESS: Okay. The reason why I
- voted against the annexation agreement was because
- it did not follow procedures. They called a special
- meeting for it, and this is totally against what our
- counsel has done previously. Therefore, I voted
- against the agreement. It had nothing to do with

- 1 voting against bringing the landfill in.
- 2 BY MR. MUELLER:
- Q. Now, but you -- my question is: Did
- 4 you understand that if the annexation didn't happen,
- 5 a landfill application couldn't be filed?
- MR. HOPP: Objection. Asked and
- ⁷ answered.
- MR. MUELLER: She was unresponsive.
- 9 MR. HALLORAN: Overruled.
- THE WITNESS: Do I answer this?
- MR. HALLORAN: Yes, please.
- THE WITNESS: Okay. I wasn't looking
- that far in advance. I was looking on following the
- law as following the procedures that are established
- by our city.
- 16 BY MR. MUELLER:
- Q. Let's go back for a second. You
- indicated you didn't remember whether you voted yes
- or no on the host agreement, correct?
- A. That is correct.
- Q. Do you remember your deposition being
- taken on June 6th of -- June 4th, 2008, in this
- 23 matter?
- 24 A. Yes.

- MR. MUELLER: Do we have an extra copy
- of Ms. Spears' deposition transcript? Oh, I've got
- one for you. Leave to approach the witness,
- 4 Mr. Halloran?
- MR. HALLORAN: Oh, sure, Mr. Mueller.
- 6 MR. MUELLER: Thank you.
- 7 BY MR. MUELLER:
- 8 Q. Rose, I'm going to hand you what is a
- 9 copy of the transcript of your discovery deposition.
- 10 The -- there's some confusing page numbers on here.
- 11 The page numbers at control are the ones on the top
- right, as you'll see, that are -- do you understand
- what I'm talking about?
- A. Mm-hmm.
- Q. Okay. I would ask you to turn to
- Page 53 of that deposition.
- 17 A. Okay.
- Q. And do you see at Line 15 you were
- asked a question, "Did you also vote on the host
- agreement," and your answer was, "Yes, I did."
- A. Yes.
- Q. And actually, the question was, "Did
- you also vote no on the host agreement," and your
- answer was, "Yes, I did."

- 1 A. Oh, yes. Now I'm aware of what we're
- 2 talking about.
- Q. And so that refreshes your
- 4 recollection?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Did you understand that if there was
- 7 no host agreement between Fox Moraine and the city
- 8 there could be no landfill application?
- 9 A. Well, as I stated here, if I may
- quote, the reason why I voted against the --
- MR. MUELLER: Mr. Halloran, I'd ask
- that she answer the question as to what she
- understood. It's a yes or a no question.
- MR. HOPP: Mr. Halloran, she's
- entitled to explain her answer.
- MR. HALLORAN: I agree. Sustained.
- THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- MR. HALLORAN: Or you may explain your
- 19 answer.
- THE WITNESS: The reason why I voted
- 21 against the host agreement was vacating Sleepy
- 22 Hollow Road. We had no public hearing on that,
- which I believe is state statute, and we had no --
- just a public hearing. We had no information that

- we should have been vacating Sleepy Hollow Road, and
- that was all part of a host agreement, and I don't
- 3 believe it belonged in a host agreement.
- 4 MR. HALLORAN: Again, this is an offer
- of proof?
- 6 MR. MUELLER: Yes.
- 7 BY MR. MUELLER:
- Q. And you also understood that Sleepy
- 9 Hollow Road was going to bisect or run right through
- the middle of the proposed landfill, didn't you?
- 11 A. I'm not quite sure if we understood
- 12 that at that time.
- 13 Q. You knew it was on the landfill
- 14 property, right?
- 15 A. I knew it was near the landfill
- property.
- Q. Did you understand that if there -- if
- 18 Sleepy Hollow Road wasn't vacated, there could be no
- 19 landfill?
- A. At that time, if wasn't my concern.
- 21 My concern was Sleepy Hollow Road did not have a
- public hearing, and I had to stick with that.
- Q. And wasn't it, in fact, your state of
- belief that the annexation agreement was illegal?

- 1 A. That's correct.
- Q. And did you also feel that if the
- annexation agreement was illegal, whatever came
- 4 afterwards would also be illegal?
- 5 A. I did state that.
- 6 Q. Now, let's move ahead to the Beacon
- 7 News article --
- MR. HALLORAN: This is still under an
- ⁹ offer of proof?
- MR. MUELLER: Yes.
- MR. HALLORAN: Thank you, Mr. Mueller.
- MR. MUELLER: That's why I'm skipping
- 13 around.
- MR. HALLORAN: All right. I really
- 15 appreciate it.
- MR. MUELLER: And I got a whole bunch
- for her that don't deal with this stuff, so maybe we
- can get all of this out of the way.
- 19 BY MR. MUELLER:
- Q. Ms. Spears, do you remember being
- 21 asked by Heather Gillers shortly before -- who is a
- reporter for the Beacon News -- shortly before the
- election to answer the question, "Would a state --
- would a safe state compliant landfill be a positive,

- negative, or neutral addition to Yorkville?"
- A. I believe she did try to interview me,
- but her -- actually her articles are not accurate.
- Q. Well, let's get into that, if we can.
- MR. MUELLER: Our next exhibit is 12,
- 6 I believe.
- 7 MR. DOMBROWSKI: I believe it's 13.
- MR. HALLORAN: Yeah, 13.
- 9 BY MR. MUELLER:
- 10 Q. I think you indicated you were
- misquoted in the newspaper?
- 12 A. I believe I was. That does not sound
- 13 like something I would've said.
- Q. Then I'm going to get away from that
- as part of the offer of proof and revisit it outside
- the offer of proof.
- 17 It was your opinion, was it not,
- that any impact on traffic whatsoever would be
- sufficient to cause a no vote on criterion six,
- 20 wasn't it?
- 21 A. Based on the information presented
- during the hearings, yes.
- 23 O. You understood the criterion in the
- 24 statute calls for minimizing the impact on traffic

- 1 flows, right?
- A. Yes.
- Q. However, it was your belief that any
- 4 negative impact would be improper, and sufficient to
- 5 defeat the criterion, right?
- 6 A. Well, that would be your opinion.
- 7 That wasn't mine.
- \circ Q. Well --
- 9 MR. HOPP: Mr. Halloran, while we're
- waiting, I understand that we are inside the offer
- of proof, but I don't understand how any of this
- voting up or down on the criterion implicates bad
- faith or is any way connected with the proposed
- offer of that faith that we saw earlier today.
- MR. HALLORAN: Your statement is noted
- in the record. Thanks.
- 17 BY MR. MUELLER:
- 18 Q. Do you remember your deposition being
- 19 taken on June 4th, 2008?
- 20 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Could you turn to Page 46 of that
- deposition? Do you see on Line 3 you were asked,
- "Now, you voted no on all nine criteria, didn't
- 24 you?"

- 1 A. You know, I'm not quite sure if I
- voted on all nine.
- Q. Well, do you see you were asked that
- 4 question?
- A. Yes, it's there.
- 6 Q. And what was your answer?
- 7 A. I said I did.
- Q. And then you were asked, starting at
- 9 page -- at Line 23 -- no. Let's move on to Page 63
- of the deposition.
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: Excuse me. Was that
- 12 53, George?
- MR. MUELLER: 63.
- 14 BY MR. MUELLER:
- Q. Do you see -- do you have that, Rose?
- 16 A. I do.
- Q. Do you see on Line 4 you were asked,
- 18 "Given your admitted belief that the amount of
- traffic on Route 47 was already unacceptable, is
- that a fair statement," referring to -- from the
- 21 previous page, any additional traffic on Route 47
- being unacceptable?
- MR. HOPP: Objection. I don't believe
- this is proper impeachment.

- MR. MUELLER: Let me then move to
- 2 Line 8. I'll rephrase.
- MR. HALLORAN: Thank you, Mr. Mueller.
- 4 BY MR. MUELLER:
- Do you see being asked, "Okay. Was it
- 6 then your belief that any traffic on that roadway
- 7 would be unacceptable?"
- 8 A. Based on the evidence that was
- ⁹ presented, yes.
- Q. Well, do you see being asked that
- 11 question?
- 12 A. I see it.
- MR. HOPP: Again, I'll object.
- 14 Improper impeachment.
- MR. HALLORAN: I'll allow it.
- 16 BY MR. MUELLER:
- Q. And was your answer, "I would have to
- 18 agree, because I vote against new development coming
- in until their infrastructure?"
- A. I think our cousin, as a whole, does.
- Q. Was that your answer, ma'am?
- A. That's my answer. It's printed.
- MR. MUELLER: I think that actually
- concludes my offer of proof issues with Ms. Spears.

- MR. HALLORAN: Thank you, Mr. Mueller.
- 2 I appreciate your effort.
- 3 BY MR. MUELLER:
- 4 Q. Historically, Ms. Spears, isn't it
- true that you're opposed to any new development that
- 6 involved the use of Route 47 until the road was
- 7 widened and approved?
- 8 MR. HOPP: Objection. Deliberative
- 9 process.
- MR. MUELLER: It goes to other matters
- 11 that she's voted on.
- MR. HOPP: Again, deliberative
- process, how she --
- MR. MUELLER: It goes to her voting
- 15 record.
- MR. HOPP: How she feels -- are you
- asking whether she's voted or the reason for her
- 18 vote? That's --
- MR. HALLORAN: I don't think he asked
- 20 for the reason.
- MR. HOPP: I'm sorry.
- MR. MUELLER: Let me rephrase it.
- 23 BY MR. MUELLER:
- Q. Isn't it true that you were opposed,

- in the past as an alderman, to any new development
- that involved the use of Route 47 until the road was
- 3 widened and improved?
- 4 A. That's correct.
- MR. HOPP: I object, your Honor. He
- said opposed, not voted against. So the question is
- 7 whether she was opposed mentally, as opposed to
- 8 whether she opposed and voted against development.
- 9 MR. HALLORAN: Can you be more
- specific, Mr. Mueller?
- MR. HOPP: It gets into a reason.
- MR. MUELLER: Well, the reason I use
- that word is because that's what we used in her
- deposition, Mr. Halloran. So if you want to make it
- as an offer of proof -- it deals with previous
- 16 non-landfill related matters.
- MR. HALLORAN: Yeah.
- MR. MUELLER: So how can it be
- 19 deliberative?
- MR. HALLORAN: I mean, it could get
- 21 into deliberative situations.
- MR. MUELLER: I'm going to move right
- on after she answers the question.
- MR. HALLORAN: All right. I'll allow

- 1 it.
- 2 BY MR. MUELLER:
- Q. And your answer is no, correct?
- 4 A. Would you repeat the question?
- 5 Q. In the past, were you opposed to any
- 6 new development that involved the use of Route 47
- 7 until that road was widened and improved?
- 8 A. I actually did not vote against any
- 9 development, new development.
- Q. All right. Would you turn to Page 66
- of your deposition? Do you remember your discovery
- deposition being taken on June 4th, 2008?
- 13 A. Okay.
- Q. All right. And do you see being
- 15 asked --
- 16 A. What line?
- Q. Starting at Line 4, "I am
- understanding it was your position at that time --
- and may still be -- that you're opposed to any new
- development that involved the use of Route 47 until
- that road was widened and improved."
- Were you asked that question?
- A. Yes, I was.
- Q. And was your answer yes?

- 1 A. Well, I responded incorrectly, because
- I have checked my voting records.
- Q. Was your answer yes, Ms. Spears?
- 4 A. It was yes on here.
- 5 Q. Ms. Spears, did you receive any
- 6 e-mails regarding this landfill proposal?
- 7 A. Yes, I did.
- 8 Q. And you previously testified, I
- 9 believe, that you could not remember how many you
- 10 received?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. In fact, you testified that you didn't
- know whether it was one or 1,000. Isn't that right?
- 14 A. I believe I said that was quite a
- span, and I could not give you even a close number.
- Q. And, in fact, you said you didn't know
- whether it was one or 1,000, right?
- 18 A. That is correct.
- 19 Q. And did you receive any letters in
- 20 connection with public sentiments about this
- 21 proposed landfill?
- 22 A. We received four letters at the city
- that was addressed to the mayor, as well as every
- member of the city council, that our city clerk had

- 1 to copy and distribute to each of us.
- Q. And, again, with regard to letters
- that you received, you don't remember how many you
- 4 received, right?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. And you said you didn't know whether
- you received one or 1,000. Isn't that true?
- 8 A. I didn't know we had to count them.
- ⁹ That is absolutely true.
- Q. And you also indicated you received
- some personal communications from citizens regarding
- the landfill proposal, right?
- 13 A. I had some people that attempted to
- speak on it, and I had a card that was laminated so
- we could use it forever that attorney Price gave to
- us, and I would present that card to the
- 17 individuals.
- Q. All right. And you didn't remember
- whether you received one or 1,000 such personal
- 20 communications. Isn't that right?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- Q. Now, did you have some campaign
- literature when you were running for reelection?
- A. No, I did not.

```
Q. With regard to the meetings that you
```

- 2 attended of the city council in the
- 3 September/October/November time period, all the way
- 4 through the January 23rd, 2007, meeting, did you
- 5 ever have any concern about the demeanor and conduct
- of landfill opponents at any of those meetings?
- 7 A. I had more concern with attorneys.
- Q. And didn't city council members Jim
- 9 Bock and also Paul James tell you that they received
- threats from members of the community regarding
- their perceived position in favor of a landfill
- 12 application?
- MR. HOPP: Objection. Hearsay.
- MR. HALLORAN: Mr. Mueller?
- MR. MUELLER: I think she's a city
- council member, and the rules of the PCB allow you
- to be liberal in the admission of evidence if it's
- 18 at all reliable. Since I'm asking her about
- something she heard from other city council members,
- I think it's appropriate.
- MR. HALLORAN: I would agree. I think
- 22 it could be relevant. I think prudent people --
- MR. HOPP: If I could just be heard on
- one point?

- MR. HALLORAN: Sure.
- MR. HOPP: The two aldermen he just
- mentioned were not siting at the time of the final
- 4 vote on the landfill siting application. So I
- object on the grounds of relevance.
- 6 MR. MUELLER: They were siting at the
- 7 time that the hearings were going on.
- MR. HOPP: They did not vote.
- 9 MR. HALLORAN: I'll allow the
- question. Ms. Spears, if you can answer.
- THE WITNESS: Okay. Mr. Bock did say
- 12 -- actually it was during one of our breaks.
- 13 Mr. Bock was laughing about receiving a call, and it
- was at 2:00 or 4:00 in the morning. I don't know
- what the call was about. He just said that they got
- a call at 2:00 or 4:00, and it upset his wife
- because she has family out of town, and one family
- member, I believe, was ill, and that was a concern
- 19 to her.
- 20 BY MR. MUELLER:
- Q. And Mr. James also indicated to you
- that he had received threatening calls?
- A. They were talking among themselves and
- laughing, so I can't recall Mr. James.

- Q. Do you remember being asked, "Did any
- other city council members tell you that -- this is
- Page 6 -- Page 87 of your deposition, ma'am.
- 4 A. Okay.
- ⁵ Q. "Did any other city council members
- tell you that he or she had received threatening
- 7 phone calls," and your answer was yes?
- 8 A. What line are you on, please?
- 9 Q. That would be line 14.
- 10 A. Yes. And that's when I mentioned Jim
- 11 Bock.
- Q. And did you also mention that in line
- 13 22, "I believe it was also Paul James?"
- A. And that was questionable. I said I
- 15 believe.
- Q. So your belief is questionable is what
- you're saying?
- 18 A. Believing that it was Paul James, is
- 19 what I'm saying.
- MR. HOPP: If I may, improper
- impeachment, your Honor.
- MR. HALLORAN: Sustained.
- BY MR. MUELLER:
- Q. Now, Valerie Burd was an alderman at

- the time of the annexation and the actual landfill
- 2 hearings, correct?
- A. That's correct.
- 4 Q. And she was then elected mayor on
- 5 April 17th, correct?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 Q. And there was a slate of candidates
- 8 that ran with her. Isn't that correct?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- Q. And those included Arden Plocher,
- 11 Robyn Sutcliff, and Walter Werderich. Isn't that
- 12 right?
- 13 A. I believe that was correct.
- Q. Do you remember being at the city
- council meeting of October 24th, 2006?
- 16 A. Could you give me some information on
- 17 that?
- 18 Q. I'm asking if you remember being at
- 19 that meeting.
- A. I can't remember any specific meeting,
- no, especially three years ago.
- Q. Let me show you a copy --
- A. Is this it here?
- Q. -- of the transcript of that meeting.

- 1 A. Where was it held?
- MR. HOPP: Your Honor -- I'm sorry.
- 3 Hearing Officer, we renew our objection on
- 4 impeaching the witness with a document which is part
- of an offer of proof. If I remember correctly, the
- 6 -- unless I misremembered, the transcripts are part
- of an offer of proof as well.
- 8 MR. MUELLER: Well, the transcripts
- ⁹ were admitted in evidence.
- MR. HALLORAN: Yeah.
- MR. HOPP: I withdraw the objection.
- MR. HALLORAN: Okay. Thank you.
- Okay. Was this Exhibit 12?
- MR. MUELLER: Exhibit 4.
- MR. HALLORAN: Four. Okay yes.
- MR. MUELLER: Fox Moraine Exhibit
- No. 4, which is the transcript of October 24th,
- 18 2006.
- 19 BY MR. MUELLER:
- Q. I'd ask you to turn to Page 64 of that
- transcript. Do you remember being at that meeting
- 22 now?
- A. Not clearly, no.
- Q. Can I direct your attention to the

- bottom of that page, Line 24? You were guoted as
- saying, "We were presenting a host agreement," and
- then it goes on to Page 25, "I am not an attorney.
- 4 I have never reviewed a host agreement. I have been
- 5 reassured that our legal counsel both have reviewed
- 6 this. It was a good agreement. Again, I have never
- 5 seen one until I did attend a county meeting, and I
- 8 saw the comparison, and I just would like to say I
- 9 have concerns as well."
- Do you remember saying that?
- 11 A. I do.
- 12 Q. And this relates to the fact that
- around the time that the city approved Fox Moraine's
- 14 host agreement, the county approved a host agreement
- with Waste Management, and Kendall Land and Cattle
- 16 Company, correct?
- 17 A. I believe that would be correct.
- Q. And the county was claiming --
- MR. HOPP: Relevance, your Honor, to
- the other host agreement.
- MR. HALLORAN: Mr. Mueller?
- MR. MUELLER: Pardon me? What was the
- objection?
- MR. HOPP: Relevance.

- MR. MUELLER: This is background.
- 2 Just preliminary.
- MR. HALLORAN: Okay. Overruled.
- 4 BY MR. MUELLER:
- 5 Q. And the county was claiming that their
- 6 host agreement was superior in terms of protections
- offered to the host municipality than the one
- 8 executed by Fox Moraine in the city. Isn't that
- 9 true?
- 10 A. I can't say with --
- 11 Q. Well, isn't that what your statement
- meant here, is you had concerns about the fact that
- you thought the city didn't get the best possible
- 14 host agreement?
- MR. HOPP: Now we're vague.
- MR. HALLORAN: Mr. Mueller?
- 17 MR. MUELLER: This is a statement that
- she's making that I'm trying to have her explain.
- MR. HALLORAN: Well, your -- I'd
- ask -- the objection is sustained.
- MR. PORTER: Mr. Halloran, the door is
- open in the hallway where the witnesses are sitting.
- Do you mind if we close it?
- MR. HALLORAN: Do you hear a noise?

- MR. PORTER: No. I believe they can
- 2 hear what we're saying.
- MR. HALLORAN: It's fine with me. Oh,
- 4 you mean the witnesses?
- 5 MR. PORTER: Correct.
- MR. HALLORAN: Oh, I'm sorry. I
- 7 thought it was administrative personnel.
- 8 BY MR. MUELLER:
- 9 Q. If I could have you turn to Page 135
- of the transcript that you're holding -- and you
- probably want to turn -- look at your statement
- starting at Line 19 -- and going on to Page 186,
- does that refresh your recollection about what was
- occurring at that time?
- MR. HOPP: Objection, your Honor.
- 16 There's been no testimony that her recollection
- needed to be refreshed. There's no question
- pending.
- MR. HALLORAN: Overruled.
- THE WITNESS: If I may, I'd like to go
- 21 back to 184 and read the whole --
- 22 BY MR. MUELLER:
- Q. Go ahead.
- MR. HALLORAN: Mr. Porter, is there a

- light on in there? I didn't realize that's where
- 2 they are.
- MR. PORTER: I think they're further
- 4 away to that area. I'll go look in.
- MR. HALLORAN: That's okay. It's like
- 6 they're being punished.
- 7 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 8 BY MR. MUELLER:
- 9 Q. The -- you recall now being part of
- the discussion regarding possible lawsuits involving
- the city council's initial failure to vacate Sleepy
- 12 Hollow Road, don't you?
- A. Yes, I do.
- Q. And on the one hand, there was a
- perception that Fox Moraine might sue the city for
- breach of the annexation agreement, correct?
- MR. HOPP: Objection to perception.
- 18 Your Honor, I'm not sure who's perception he's
- 19 asking about.
- MR. MUELLER: I'm just trying to
- 21 provide some preliminary context.
- MR. HOPP: Same objection.
- MR. MUELLER: The witness gets it.
- MR. HOPP: So what?

- MR. HALLORAN: Could you rephrase the
- question, Mr. Mueller?
- 3 BY MR. MUELLER:
- 4 Q. All right. The two possible lawsuits
- 5 -- you remember what the two possible lawsuits are,
- 6 don't you?
- 7 A. Having us sued because we did not
- 8 follow the state statute procedures and vacating
- 9 Sleepy Hollow Road.
- 10 Q. That was one. That was what some
- members of the public were threatening, right?
- 12 A. No. I don't think they were
- 13 threatening that.
- Q. And then there was also a possibility
- that Fox Moraine might sue because you weren't
- vacating the road, right?
- 17 A. I don't believe that was my thoughts.
- Q. Well, on the bottom of Page 185, do
- 19 you remember -- starting at Page 19, do you remember
- saying, "I just would like to add one thing. What
- I'm hearing here is regardless we are going to have
- 22 a lawsuit against us. The petitioner may sue us or
- other people may sue us. I would take the chance of
- having, if we were so threatened, the petitioner sue

- us, because clearly, I don't think this would stand
- 2 up in court. Because again, it's against state
- 3 statutes. So if we have a choice of two lawsuits,
- 4 why don't we take what the best alternative is?
- 5 Vote no. Protect our city."
- 6 Did you say that?
- 7 A. I did.
- Q. And that vote no referred to vacating
- 9 Sleepy Hollow Road, right?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. And you felt that voting no on that
- would be protective of the city?
- MR. HOPP: Objection. Deliberative
- 14 process.
- MR. MUELLER: Withdrawn.
- MR. HALLORAN: Sustained.
- 17 BY MR. MUELLER:
- Q. Did you ever talk to the county
- 19 attorneys about the annexation and host agreement
- 20 issues?
- A. No, I did not.
- 22 Q. And did you state, on at least one
- occasion, that Fox Moraine had performed a breach of
- contract with the annexation agreement?

- A. And where do we have that?
- Q. I'm just asking you if you recall ever
- 3 stating that.
- 4 A. I don't recall.
- 5 Q. If I can retrieve that transcript,
- Rose, I'll give you another one, January 23rd, 2007.
- 7 Do you remember being at that meeting? And this
- 8 would be --
- 9 A. Not particularly.
- 10 Q. -- Fox Moraine Exhibit No. 9.
- 11 A. Okay.
- MR. HOPP: Are we done with four for
- 13 now?
- 14 BY MR. MUELLER:
- Q. Directing your attention to Page 114,
- and spilling on -- you made a statement starting at
- the bottom of Page 114 --
- MR. HOPP: Objection, your Honor.
- 19 Again, there's no issue of refreshing recollection.
- There's no impeachment going on here.
- MR. MUELLER: I'm refreshing her
- recollection if she says she remembers making the
- 23 statement.
- MR. HOPP: She actually said she

- didn't remember being at the meeting. So there's no
- statement to be refreshed or not refreshed, unless
- 3 I'm misunderstanding what he's doing.
- 4 MR. HALLORAN: Mr. Mueller, just
- 5 rephrase it, please.
- 6 BY MR. MUELLER:
- 7 Q. All right. Do you remember being at
- 8 the January 23rd meeting that dealt with the
- ⁹ reannexation of the Fox Moraine property?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. All right. And do you remember
- stating at that meeting in reference to Fox Moraine,
- 13 "They performed a breach of contract with this
- 14 annexation agreement?"
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. That's all I actually have on that
- 17 transcript.
- A. Do you want this back?
- 19 Q. Please. Do you remember being
- 20 presented on April 3rd with a resolution that
- essentially said that the city council members would
- 22 vote fairly?
- A. Is that when they had the camera crew
- 24 and everything in our meeting?

- 1 Q. Yes.
- A. I do remember that.
- Q. And you refused to sign that
- 4 resolution?
- 5 A. The reason why I refused is the
- 6 counsel was -- some members were grandstanding, and
- 7 didn't want to be a part of that. I took this very
- 8 seriously. It wasn't a joke to me.
- 9 Q. Let me show you, Ms. Spears, what I've
- marked as Fox Moraine Exhibit No. 14. It purports
- to be an article from the Kendall County record.
- MR. HOPP: Objection, your Honor.
- 13 This is hearsay. We object to any newspaper
- 14 articles being admitted as evidence in this hearing.
- MR. MUELLER: Well, that -- I think
- that the newspaper article, to the extent that it
- 17 contains a statement of the resolution, I can ask
- her whether that's an accurate version of the
- 19 resolution as she recalls.
- MR. HALLORAN: Is it -- is it her
- 21 statement?
- MR. MUELLER: It publishes the entire
- resolution in the article, Mr. Halloran.
- MR. HOPP: It's the resolution she

- 1 refused to adopt.
- MR. MUELLER: Right. We at least want
- 3 to know what it is she refused to sign.
- 4 MR. HOPP: Not from a newspaper
- ⁵ article. I object.
- 6 MR. MUELLER: She can answer whether
- 7 it looks like a correct copy of the resolution, I
- 8 think. And if it is, I believe the Board would want
- you to be liberal in admitting it.
- MR. HOPP: Same objection.
- MR. HALLORAN: You know, it is a
- newspaper article. I will go ahead and take it as
- an offer of proof, but you can proceed with your
- 14 question.
- MR. MUELLER: Let me hand the hearing
- officer an extra copy and one for counsel.
- 17 BY MR. MUELLER:
- 18 Q. Ms. Spears, this is an article, the
- 19 headline of which is, "Burd Spears Won't Sign
- 20 Statement on Landfill." It purports to be from the
- 21 Kendall County record dated May -- or April 5th,
- 22 2007.
- Do you remember ever seeing this
- 24 article?

- 1 A. Yes, I believe I did see the article.
- 2 Q. And --
- MR. HOPP: For the record, this
- question we are in the offer of proof at this point?
- 5 MR. HALLORAN: That's correct.
- 6 BY MR. MUELLER:
- 7 Q. The article, in fact, contains a
- statement of a resolution that you've admitted you
- 9 refuse to sign, correct?
- MR. HALLORAN: I mean, if she -- let's
- stop for a minute. If she can prove that up, then
- 12 I'll do away with the offer of proof. I mean, if
- she verifies that statement.
- MR. HOPP: The question is whether she
- can verify that this is, in fact, the statement
- that's reprinted in the newspaper.
- MR. HALLORAN: Correct.
- THE WITNESS: I really can't verify
- whether this is my exact statement or not.
- 20 BY MR. MUELLER:
- Q. Did you ever call anyone -- what
- statement are you referring to, the one that was
- 23 attributed to you?
- A. Right.

- 1 Q. And can you read that for us?
- MR. HOPP: I'll object. She just said
- that she doesn't know that it's accurate.
- 4 MR. HALLORAN: Well, I don't even know
- 5 what statement it is. So it might help if she does
- 6 read it.
- 7 THE WITNESS: Okay. Are you talking
- 8 about the third paragraph down?
- 9 BY MR. MUELLER:
- 10 Q. Yes.
- 11 A. Okay. Since I voted against the
- annexation, they knew I wasn't going to sign it.
- "Rose wasn't going to sign it."
- 14 Q. That's actually a statement attributed
- to Alderman Burd, isn't it? That's not a statement
- 16 by you?
- 17 A. Okay. Where is mine?
- Q. Well, I don't think there is one by
- 19 you. What I'm asking you is --
- MR. HOPP: I object, your Honor.
- MR. HALLORAN: Yeah. You know --
- yeah. I thought she had stated something in here.
- MR. MUELLER: Well, I thought -- she
- said she stated something, but that's not why I'm

- 1 asking her about the article.
- 2 BY MR. MUELLER:
- Q. Do you remember what the resolution
- 4 said?
- 5 A. To be honest with you, I did not read
- 6 the resolution. Like I said, it was grandstanding.
- 7 It was Alderman Besco, a gentleman there that had a
- 8 camera lens this big that was snapping pictures
- 9 throughout our counsel meeting, disrupting the
- entire meeting, and I did not want any part of the
- games, the publicity, the grandstanding. So right
- 12 after our meeting, I got up and I walked out.
- 13 Q. So you never even looked at the
- resolution that you were being asked to sign?
- A. No, I did not.
- 16 Q. So you would not know whether the
- resolution is reported in this article as an
- 18 accurate copy, would you?
- 19 A. This is what they explained,
- obviously, at the counsel meeting, and I didn't want
- 21 any part of it.
- 22 Q. That would conclude that offer of
- proof.
- Now, Ms. Spears, let me hand you

- what's previously been marked as Fox Moraine Exhibit
- No. 13, which is the copy of the front page and the
- 3 second page of the Beacon News from April 15th,
- 4 2007.
- 5 MR. HOPP: Same objection, your Honor.
- 6 Hearsay.
- 7 MR. MUELLER: Let me -- before he
- 8 makes the objection, your Honor --
- 9 MR. HALLORAN: Well, he already did.
- MR. MUELLER: You're right. Well,
- this contains an alleged statement to which I just
- want to ask her about her statement.
- MR. HALLORAN: It's an alleged
- statement by Ms. Spears?
- MR. MUELLER: Yes.
- MR. HALLORAN: Okay. You may proceed.
- 17 BY MR. MUELLER:
- Q. Have you seen that article before?
- 19 A. Yes, I believe he did show it to me.
- Q. And the reporter indicates that she
- 21 asked all of the -- this is two days before the
- 22 election, correct?
- A. I don't know.
- Q. The reporter indicates that she asked

- all of the people running for office to answer the
- question, "Would a safe state compliant landfill be
- a positive, negative, or neutral addition to
- 4 Yorkville, " and was your answer, as reported, "If it
- 5 had nothing surrounding it for acres, and if it was
- 6 proven to be safe as far as leakage, and if it would
- 7 have no impact on traffic, that would be a perfect
- 8 scenario."
- 9 A. I'm sorry. Did you have a question?
- 10 Q. Yeah. Was that your answer?
- MR. HALLORAN: That was --
- 12 BY MR. MUELLER:
- Q. Did you say that to the newspaper?
- 14 You're, by the way, on the second page of the
- ¹⁵ article.
- A. Yes, I am.
- 17 Q. Did you --
- 18 A. First --
- 19 Q. Did you say that?
- A. I don't believe I -- that doesn't
- sound like me, "If it had nothing surrounding it for
- 22 acres," and Heather did have a reputation of
- misquoting people, and that's why I believe we
- called it the Be Confused News.

- 1 Q. The fact is you had a subscription to
- the Beacon News, correct?
- A. I believe I did, and I believe it was
- 4 canceled.
- 5 Q. At the time, you had a subscription,
- 6 right?
- 7 A. I don't know when I canceled it. I
- 8 may have. I don't know.
- 9 Q. And you also never called Heather
- Gillers to complain about being misquoted, did you?
- 11 A. I have to tell you, I had previous
- experience with Ms. Gillers, and I've also called
- her editor, and there was no retraction of --
- Q. Did you -- did you call Heather
- Gillers or her editor about being misquoted on
- 16 April 15th?
- A. Why waste my time? Nothing is ever
- done, no.
- 19 Q. So the answer is no. Is that right?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. Do you remember being present on May
- 22 23rd and May 24th, 2008 -- or 2007 -- excuse me --
- when the landfill application was being deliberated
- and voted on by the city council?

- 1 A. I'm certain I was there.
- Q. All right. And let me give you a copy
- of the transcript of those proceedings.
- 4 MR. MUELLER: And Mr. Halloran, these
- are not exhibits, but have been appended to our
- 6 petition for review, so they are a part of the PCB
- 7 record.
- MR. HALLORAN: Okay. Her -- your
- 9 Exhibit 13 you offered, have you offered that yet,
- or what's going on with that, before I get too far?
- MR. MUELLER: We will not offer it at
- this point.
- MR. HALLORAN: Okay. Thanks.
- MR. MUELLER: We're going to revisit
- 15 it with other witnesses.
- MR. HALLORAN: Okay. I'm sorry,
- Mr. Mueller. It's part of the record as attached to
- 18 your --
- 19 MR. MUELLER: It's attached to the
- petition for review initially filed with the
- 21 Board --
- MR. HALLORAN: The first --
- MR. MUELLER: -- in this matter. The
- transcripts of May 23rd and 24th.

- MR. HALLORAN: Okay.
- MR. MUELLER: 2007.
- 3 BY MR. MUELLER:
- 4 Q. Now, Ms. Spears, the transcript of May
- 5 23rd are the minis that have four to a page, and the
- transcripts of May 24th are the ones that are full
- 7 pages, just to help you find appropriate pages.
- And first of all, on May 23rd, do
- you remember voting on a motion to disqualify you?
- 10 A. I don't remember that I specifically
- voted on it. I was rather shocked.
- Q. Well, that was a motion actually made
- at the beginning of the landfill hearings of March,
- 14 wasn't it?
- 15 A. I remember that clearly, yes.
- Q. And if I can direct you to Page 13 of
- that May 23rd transcript?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. All right. Do you remember at the
- bottom of that page voting aye on a motion to deny
- 21 disqualification?
- A. Also on Line 14, I would like to point
- out that, "I want to vote against any rules or any
- landfill rules, and I allow to vote on this to

- 1 remove myself. Okay. Thank you." I questioned
- whether I can vote on that.
- Q. And then you voted aye. Isn't that
- 4 correct?
- 5 A. I believe we got a response from Dirk
- 6 Price, a nod of the head.
- 7 Q. So you voted aye. Is that right?
- 8 A. That's correct, on my attorney's
- 9 recommendation.
- Q. Actually, Dirk Price wasn't at that
- 11 meeting, was he?
- 12 A. Well, I'm not quite sure what
- attorney, but I certainly wouldn't do that on my
- own.
- 15 Q. Mr. Roth was at that meeting, right?
- A. I don't know. Is it listed here?
- 17 Q. I'm just asking if you remember who
- was there.
- 19 A. No, I don't. We did have attorneys
- there, though, that I relied on. It does say
- 21 Mr. Roth on the next section.
- Q. Mr. Roth, in fact, gave the opening
- 23 statement, didn't he?
- A. Yes, on Page 4.

- 1 Q. And do you remember stating that one
- of the reasons that you believed that Fox Moraine
- 3 had moved to disqualify you is that they knew your
- 4 track record for researching?
- 5 A. For taking good notes, researching my
- 6 notes, yes.
- 7 Q. You did use the word researching,
- 8 correct?
- A. And I was referring to the notes that
- 10 I took.
- 11 Q. Now, directing your attention to the
- transcript of May 24th, you indicated previously
- that you had no problem with the way anybody, except
- 14 the lawyers, conducted themselves, right?
- A. Could we have a page, please?
- Q. Well, I'm not asking you about a page
- 17 yet. You previously indicated you had no problem
- with the way anyone conducted themselves during the
- 19 hearing except the lawyers.
- A. I don't know.
- Q. You don't know whether you indicated
- 22 that?
- A. I can't -- I don't know where it is in
- here.

- Q. Let me ask you this, Ms. Spears:
- Thinking back to the hearings, did you have any
- difficulty with the landfill opponent being unruly
- 4 and disruptive during those hearings?
- 5 A. For the opponent for the -- no.
- 6 Q. If I could direct you to Page 20 of
- 7 May 24th -- this is the one where they're the full
- page transcripts. Do you remember -- do you see
- 9 your statement starting at Line 4?
- 10 A. Okay.
- 11 Q. And do you remember saying, starting
- 12 at Line 8, "There were. It did get pretty dirty.
- 13 It got dirty among the aldermen as well?"
- A. And then I went on to say --
- 15 Q. I'm just asking you if you remember
- saying that, ma'am.
- A. We were taking a --
- 18 Q. I'm asking you if you remember saying
- 19 it.
- A. No, I don't.
- Q. Now, you proposed some additional
- conditions that night, didn't you, on May 24th?
- A. I asked if that were allowed.
- Q. Did you propose some additional

- 1 conditions?
- A. Well, I'd have to read on.
- 9 Q. You don't remember whether you did or
- 4 not?
- A. You know, you're asking me things that
- 6 happened two years ago. I need to read this as well
- 7 as you do.
- Q. I'm asking you if you remember
- ⁹ proposing additional conditions.
- 10 A. There doesn't appear to be any
- document in here.
- Q. All right. Turn to Page 26 of May
- 13 24th. Does that refresh your recollection as to
- proposing a condition, starting at Line 4, "Oh, yes.
- Also, we would like to limit the service area, and
- we would like to limit it to Kendall County."
- Did you propose that?
- 18 A. I believe I did mention that, in
- 19 reading this.
- Q. All right. And turning to Line 11 of
- the same page, did you also propose, "I would like
- to see the design include two layers of HDPE in the
- entire -- the entire liner system?"
- A. And that was based on the evidence

- presented, that's correct.
- Q. Well, there was never any evidence
- 3 presented about double composite liner systems, were
- 4 there?
- 5 A. Yes, there was.
- 6 Q. Okay. And do you remember, at the
- bottom of that page, Page 23, proposing, "Proper
- 8 tanks would be included in this design, and they
- 9 would be the welded steel tanks built AWWAD-100 or
- the API 650 standard?"
- 11 A. And that was from a PowerPoint
- 12 presentation.
- Q. Whose PowerPoint presentation?
- 14 A. I don't remember. It was in my notes.
- 15 Q. So you believe that that was in the
- 16 record?
- 17 A. I believe it was. It was presented to
- 18 us.
- 19 Q. Now, have you ever seen resolution
- number 2007-36, which is the denial of siting
- 21 application resolution?
- A. Do you have a copy of that?
- Q. I'm just asking if you ever saw it.
- A. I don't know. I may have.

- Q. Well, did you vote on a resolution
- 2 that night?
- A. You know, in 12 years I voted on many
- 4 resolutions. If you could be more specific, it
- 5 would be considerably helpful.
- Q. We're looking for a clean copy of the
- 7 resolution, Ms. Spears.
- MR. HALLORAN: This is the copy,
- 9 Mr. Mueller, attached to the first
- deposition -- or the original petition?
- MR. PORTER: Yes.
- MR. MUELLER: Right.
- MR. HALLORAN: I have a clean copy.
- 14 I'm looking at it here. You can take a look.
- MR. MUELLER: Can we use yours?
- MR. HALLORAN: Sure. 2007-36?
- MR. MUELLER: Right.
- MR. HALLORAN: This is December 1st,
- 19 2006.
- MR. MUELLER: I'd just like the
- witness to take a look at the resolution.
- 22 BY MR. MUELLER:
- Q. Ms. Spears, you've been handed a copy
- of what the hearing officer was kind enough to lend

- us of resolution number 2007-36. Do you have that
- 2 in front of you?
- A. I do.
- 9 0. What is the title?
- 5 A. Denial of siting application from Fox
- 6 Moraine, L.L.C., for proposed landfill in the United
- 7 City of Yorkville.
- 8 Q. And have you ever seen that resolution
- 9 before?
- 10 A. Along with two other resolutions that
- were presented to us at the same time.
- Q. Well, so I take it then that's a yes,
- you've seen this resolution before?
- A. As well as an approval and as well as
- an approval with conditions.
- MR. PORTER: Mr. Halloran, can we show
- that she nodded her head?
- MR. HALLORAN: Ms. Spears, yes or no?
- THE WITNESS: Yes, I have seen it.
- MR. HALLORAN: Okay. Thank you.
- 21
- BY MR. MUELLER:
- Q. And when did you see this resolution
- 24 the first time?

- 1 A. I believe it's dated May 24th.
- Q. All right. Do you believe that's when
- you saw this exact resolution?
- 4 A. I would assume that's when it was
- 5 dated, correct.
- 6 Q. Well, I'm asking you not to assume,
- but if that's when you believe you actually saw it.
- A. Typically that is when our documents
- ⁹ are signed, so I would say yes.
- Q. Not typically, ma'am. Do you remember
- seeing this resolution, this specific resolution
- with that language on May 24th?
- A. I can't answer that.
- Q. Okay. Let's look at the first page
- here, and if you go down the "Whereas is," about
- two-thirds of the way down the page, you'll see,
- 17 "Whereas a city council has received and reviewed a
- report from counsel for the city staff regarding the
- 19 application."
- Do you see that?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. And then the next whereas is, "The
- 23 city council has received and reviewed the hearing
- officer's report and recommendations regarding the

- 1 application."
- 2 Do you see that?
- A. Correct.
- 4 Q. Now, both of those reports recommended
- 5 approval with conditions, didn't they?
- 6 MR. HOPP: Objection, your Honor. Now
- 7 it's hearsay and we are getting into deliberative
- 8 process.
- 9 MR. MUELLER: I'm asking what the
- reports recommended. The resolution makes reference
- 11 to them.
- MR. HOPP: It doesn't say what --
- MR. MUELLER: And, in fact, the
- 14 resolution depends on the reports ultimately.
- MR. HALLORAN: What page are we on,
- 16 Mr. Mueller?
- MR. MUELLER: Page 1 of the
- 18 resolution.
- MR. HOPP: He's asking what the report
- said, and he's offering for the truth of the matter
- 21 asserted, your Honor.
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: He's also getting
- into the evidence that was induced in the 24 days of
- hearings. And as the city has pointed out before,

- all the city is required to do under the statute is
- 2 produce a written decision, which is what they did.
- MR. HALLORAN: Could you read the
- 4 question back, please?
- 5 (Whereupon, the record was read as
- f requested.)
- 7 MR. MUELLER: Mr. Halloran, I'll just
- 8 state one more thing. On the second page of the
- 9 resolution, the Price report is referred to as
- 10 Exhibit B, and the Clark report is referred to as
- 11 Exhibit C. They're part of the official written
- decision.
- MR. HALLORAN: I'll overrule the
- objection.
- MR. HOPP: That doesn't affect the
- 16 questioning.
- MR. HALLORAN: Ms. Spears, you can
- answer if you're able.
- THE WITNESS: I am not able to.

20

21

22

23

24

- 1 BY MR. MUELLER:
- Q. Okay. Did you receive any other
- 3 reports besides the Clark and the Price reports?
- 4 A. I can't recall.
- 5 Q. If I could direct you to the third
- 6 page of this resolution, and you'll see that it
- 7 includes a number of -- actually starting on the
- 8 second page -- a number of additional conditions,
- 9 correct?
- 10 A. Yes, uh-huh.
- 11 Q. And one of those conditions is
- 12 condition number 0. Do you see that?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. What does that one say?
- 15 A. "All aboveground storage tanks shall
- meet AWWD-100 or API 650 standards."
- Q. And, in fact, that's the exact
- condition that you proposed on that night, isn't it?
- 19 A. I think we read that.
- Q. So the answer is yes, you proposed it
- 21 that night?
- A. Yes, I proposed it that night.
- Q. So does that lead you to believe that
- maybe this resolution was actually prepared after

- the 24th to incorporate conditions that were
- 2 proposed by aldermen that night?
- MR. HOPP: Objection. Asked and
- 4 answered. It further gets into the deliberative
- 5 process.
- 6 MR. MUELLER: She said she didn't know
- 7 when the resolution was proposed. She wasn't sure.
- 8 MR. HALLORAN: I agree. Ms. Spears,
- 9 can you answer that, please?
- THE WITNESS: I'm not quite sure if we
- 11 had discussed this previously with our attorneys,
- and then they prepared the document. We had many
- meetings with our attorneys. I cannot be specific.
- 14 BY MR. MUELLER:
- Q. When did you have these many meetings
- with your attorneys?
- A. Do you want specific dates?
- 18 Q. Yeah. Give me any date that you met
- 19 with an attorney --
- A. I can't give you a date.
- Q. -- and discussed it.
- A. We can check the minutes.
- Q. Well, let me ask you this: Were there
- 24 any meetings with any of your attorneys that were

- 1 not open meetings?
- A. No. They were all open meetings.
- Q. So there would be minutes or
- 4 transcripts of all the meetings you had with your
- 5 attorneys?
- A. That's correct.
- 7 Q. Now, you also proposed on May 24th the
- 8 condition of limiting the service area to Kendall
- 9 County only, correct?
- 10 A. I believe that was in the other
- 11 transcript.
- Q. And also you did propose that
- 13 condition, right?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. And that one does not appear on this
- list of special conditions, does it?
- A. No, it's not on here.
- Q. Can you explain to me why one of the
- 19 conditions that you proposed was included in this
- resolution, and another one that you proposed at the
- same time was not included?
- MR. HOPP: Objection. Deliberative
- 23 process.
- MR. HALLORAN: Yeah. I agree.

- 1 Sustained.
- MR. MUELLER: Well, I'm asking if
- 3 she -- Mr. Halloran, if I may, I'm asking if she
- 4 shows why one was in the resolution and why the
- 5 other one wasn't.
- 6 MR. HOPP: She can't --
- 7 MR. MUELLER: She knows or she
- 8 doesn't.
- 9 MR. HOPP: She can't answer without --
- MR. MUELLER: It doesn't go to her
- 11 thought process at all.
- MR. HALLORAN: It could.
- MR. HOPP: In that case --
- 14 MR. HALLORAN: It could. Sustained.
- MR. MUELLER: Well, just as an offer
- of proof, I'd like to ask that one question.
- MR. HALLORAN: As an offer of proof,
- 18 Ms. Spears, you may answer if you're able.
- 19 THE WITNESS: Would you repeat the
- question, please?
- 21 BY MR. MUELLER:
- Q. Can you explain to us why, when you
- proposed two conditions simultaneously on May 24th,
- one of them, the spec for the aboveground tanks, was

- included in the resolution and the other one
- limiting the service area was not included in the
- 3 resolution?
- 4 A. I believe my intent was to just
- include the ones that were the most important.
- 6 Q. You didn't draft this resolution, did
- 7 you?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. Did you have any conversations with
- any attorneys about what to put in the resolution
- that are not part of the record?
- MR. HOPP: Objection. Attorney-client
- 13 privilege.
- MR. HALLORAN: Mr. Mueller?
- MR. MUELLER: I'm asking her if she
- directed counsel as to the contents of the
- 17 resolution outside of the record.
- MR. HOPP: That's a different
- 19 question.
- MR. HALLORAN: Yeah. That's --
- MR. HOPP: It's a different question.
- 22 It's still attorney-client privilege what she
- directed her attorneys to do.
- MR. MUELLER: I'm not asking her what

- she said. I just want to know if she had authorized
- conversations with counsel about what should be in
- 3 this resolution. Because she has represented that
- 4 the entire record is contained in that transcript,
- 5 and if there is some other dealings that took place
- in deliberations that took place off the record, we
- 7 at least ought to be entitled to know that.
- MR. HALLORAN: Okay. Well, one of the
- 9 questions was, "Did you direct your attorney to do
- such and such," and then when you were explaining,
- you said, "Did you have any off-the-record
- discussions with your attorney," which is a little
- different, and it's a simple yes or no.
- 14 BY MR. MUELLER:
- Q. Did you have any off the record
- 16 discussions --
- MR. PORTER: Let me interrupt for a
- moment. Are we out of the offer of proof?
- MR. HALLORAN: Yes, we're out of the
- offer of proof.
- 21 BY MR. MUELLER:
- Q. Did you have any off-the-record
- discussions with your attorneys about what should be
- in the resolution?

- 1 A. No.
- MR. HOPP: Objection.
- MR. MUELLER: She's answered it.
- 4 MR. HOPP: It's fine.
- 5 MR. HALLORAN: Yeah. That wasn't the
- question that I envisioned as an offer of proof.
- Your one question, when you were explaining it,
- 8 stopped at -- maybe I cut you off I don't know --
- 9 "Did you have any off-the-record discussions with
- your attorney," and then you just added this last
- little bit. So I'm going to take her answer in
- 12 question -- your question as an offer of proof.
- MR. MUELLER: I'm just about done,
- 14 Mr. Halloran.
- MR. HALLORAN: Yeah. Because I think
- we all need a break or we might take an early lunch,
- depending on how much cross we have, if any.
- 18 BY MR. MUELLER:
- Q. Did you state, Ms. Spears, on May 23rd
- that criterion one wasn't met because the EPA
- reports indicated that there was a landfill capacity
- of 15 years left in the state of Illinois?
- MR. HOPP: Objection, your Honor.
- Deliberative process and the record speaks for

- 1 itself.
- MR. HALLORAN: Yeah. What are you
- 3 reading from, Mr. Mueller?
- 4 MR. MUELLER: I'm not reading from
- 5 anything. I'm just remembering that she said that.
- 6 I'm asking if she recalls saying that.
- 7 MR. HALLORAN: Objection is sustained.
- 8 BY MR. MUELLER:
- 9 Q. If I direct you to Page 34 of the May
- 10 23rd transcript --
- MR. HOPP: Same objection, your Honor.
- MR. MUELLER: This is not deliberative
- process, Mr. Halloran. This is regarding
- considering evidence outside the record, and my
- question to the witness is, "Did you state on May
- 23rd on the record?" My findings, the applicant
- failed to meet criterion one due to EPA records,
- indicating there is adequate land availability for
- at least nine to 15 years.
- MR. HOPP: Same objection, your Honor.
- MR. HALLORAN: And she's just
- confirming what she said in the May 24th transcript.
- I think she can answer. And, Mr. Mueller, I didn't
- say it was outside the scope or involving mental

- processes. In fact, I didn't even rule on it at
- 2 that point.
- MR. MUELLER: It's actually May 23rd,
- 4 Mr. Halloran.
- 5 MR. HALLORAN: May 23rd.
- 6 MR. MUELLER: I don't want to confuse
- 7 the witness.
- MR. HALLORAN: You may answer.
- 9 THE WITNESS: Again, that was based on
- information that was presented to us during the
- 11 hearings.
- 12 BY MR. MUELLER:
- Q. And, in fact, isn't it true that EPA
- 14 records regarding landfill capacity were never
- 15 admitted into evidence?
- 16 A. I believe it was in a PowerPoint
- 17 presentation as well.
- Q. Do you remember whose PowerPoint
- 19 presentation?
- A. No, I do not.
- Q. And you also don't remember what
- 22 PowerPoint presentation you got the spec from for
- the steel tanks. Is that correct?
- A. That is correct.

- 1 Q. Do you remember stating also on May
- 2 23rd that vinyl chloride found in several landfills
- has no safe level for humans? That would be
- 4 Page 45.
- A. Again, this was from testimony
- 6 presented at the hearings.
- 7 Q. Whose testimony? So you did make the
- 8 statement?
- 9 A. It's in here that I made it.
- Q. Well, do you know whose testimony that
- 11 was?
- 12 A. No idea.
- Q. And by the way, the PowerPoints that
- you're alleging that contained some of this
- information, they were PowerPoints at the landfill
- 16 hearings?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- Q. So they'd be in the record?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- Q. Would it surprise you to learn that
- those references were not in the record?
- MR. HOPP: Objection. Improper
- impeachment.
- MR. HALLORAN: I agree. Sustained.

- 1 BY MR. MUELLER:
- 2 Q. Did you run on an anti-landfill
- 3 platform?
- 4 A. No, I did not.
- 5 Q. Do you remember -- if I can direct
- 6 your attention to Page 111 of the May 23rd
- 7 transcript?
- 8 A. Okay.
- 9 Q. Do you see there where Alderman Burd
- thanks you for doing the research for your
- 11 presentation?
- 12 A. What line would that be?
- Q. Oh, that would be starting on Line 9.
- 14 A. She was referring to my research and
- my notes and the documentation that we were
- presented.
- 17 Q. You didn't correct her regarding her
- use of the word research, did you?
- 19 A. I didn't think it would come to that.
- MR. MUELLER: I don't have anymore
- 21 questions.
- MR. HALLORAN: Thank you, Mr. Mueller.
- 23 And, I guess, for the record, I should clarify that
- the parties are sharing their witnesses, and I think

- it was represented that there probably won't be any
- objection to beyond the scope.
- But Mr. Dombrowski and Mr. Hopp,
- 4 do you want to take a break now? Do you think your
- 5 questioning will be long?
- 6 MR. HOPP: About five or ten minutes.
- 7 MR. HALLORAN: That's fine. Go ahead.
- 8 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 9 BY MR. HOPP:
- 10 Q. Ms. Spears, you talked earlier to
- 11 Mr. Mueller about some communications outside the
- hearing process. Do you know who Mr. Don Hammond
- 13 is?
- A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Who is Mr. Don Hammond?
- 16 A. He is the gentlemen that was
- 17 presenting the Fox Moraine landfill hearing for the
- 18 landfill.
- 19 Q. Is it your understanding that he is
- one of the principals for Fox Moraine?
- A. He is one of the principles.
- Q. Did Mr. Hammond ever approach you
- during the landfill siting hearings to talk to you
- off the record?

- 1 A. Yes, he did at the Beacher Building.
- I don't remember the exact date, unfortunately. But
- 3 at the Beacher Building during the break, he came up
- 4 to me because individuals were reporting -- they
- were giving testimony on the depreciation of land
- 6 surrounding the landfills, and came up to me and
- 7 asked if I would -- if he could take me to, I
- 8 believe, Will County, and it was a landfill that he
- 9 was involved in, and he indicated that the homes
- were half a million dollars to \$1 million homes
- 11 surrounding the area, and I told him I could not do
- that, it would be illegal, and he, kind of, shrugged
- his shoulders and laughed and said, "I promise we
- won't be talking about the landfill."
- Q. So he offered to take you somewhere?
- A. He did.
- Q. And you refused?
- A. I refused.
- 19 Q. Let's talk about before the landfill
- siting application was filed. Were you ever invited
- to a meeting, which you may have previously called a
- two-on-two meeting, with Mr. Charlie Murphy?
- A. It was the last week in August --
- Q. Let's back up. First of all, do you

- 1 remember being invited to a meeting?
- 2 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. And do you remember who -- do you know
- 4 Charlie Murphy? Do you know who he is?
- A. I do now.
- Q. Is he here?
- 7 A. Yes, he's right there.
- Q. Okay. And what is your understanding
- 9 of what Mr. Murphy's job is or was back in 2006?
- 10 A. He was -- we were told he was a
- 11 consultant.
- Q. For whom?
- A. For John Hammond. Well, no. I think
- 14 he just said a developer. I'm sorry.
- 15 Q. Okay.
- 16 A. No names were mentioned.
- Q. All right. Now, let's go back to the
- meeting. You said sometime in August you were
- invited to a meeting. Is that right?
- A. The last week in August, I received a
- memorandum from our city attorney, John Wyath
- 22 (phonetic), and it was addressed to the city council
- as well as Mayor Prohaska (phonetic), and had
- indicated that they would like to meet with us to

- discuss a development, and they did mention a
- 2 landfill in there, and they also said that they
- wanted to meet with us by ward, which would mean two
- 4 individuals representing the ward.
- 5 Q. So did you go to a meeting then with
- 6 Mr. Murphy and someone else?
- 7 A. Yeah. Jim -- I don't remember his
- 8 last name -- Burns or something.
- 9 Q. So there were two Fox Moraine people
- 10 at the meeting?
- 11 A. Correct.
- Q. And then two others. You and who
- 13 else?
- 14 A. I believe it was Alderman Besco.
- Q. Okay. Now, what occurred --
- MR. PORTER: Mr. Halloran, I object to
- 17 relevancy. This is pre-application.
- MR. HOPP: This goes to opportunity to
- 19 present, your Honor. This is a prehearing meeting
- in which the application was explained, and the
- 21 alderman briefed on what was going to be presented.
- 22 It goes to all of the bias issues that they've just
- 23 raised.
- MR. HALLORAN: Overruled. Quid pro

- quo.
- 2 BY MR. HOPP:
- 3 Q. So tell me what happened at the
- 4 meeting, Alderman Spears.
- 5 A. Mr. Murphy presented a very pretty
- 6 sketch of a landscaped area with a road in front of
- 7 it. There was nothing in there about a landfill or
- 8 a hole or whatever, and he was presenting us that
- 9 and just saying what a wonderful thing that this
- 10 could be for Yorkville.
- Q. Okay.
- 12 A. And I just took it all in. I had no
- idea what we were really discussing.
- Q. Did Mr. Murphy ever try to contact you
- then after the meeting?
- 16 A. Oh, yes, he did shortly after the
- meeting. It was a weekday, I believe, at the
- beginning of the week that we met, and when I
- 19 arrived home -- I work full-time -- there was a
- message on my answering machine, "Hi, this is
- 21 Charlie Murphy. I would like to speak with you --
- or first he asked if I had any questions, and if so,
- please feel free to contact him, and he gave me a
- 24 phone number, and I did not contact him.

- 1 And then I had a second call over
- the weekend, the same weekend, and again, "This is
- 3 Charlie Murphy. If you have any questions regarding
- 4 our presentation, please call me. I'd be more than
- 5 happy to speak with you," and he left me another
- 6 phone number, and I'm not sure if it was his cell at
- 7 that time.
- Q. Okay.
- 9 A. On Sunday, I received a third call
- from Mr. Murphy, and again, he asked that I call him
- if I had any questions and he would like to discuss
- 12 it in further detail.
- Q. Did you ever call Mr. Murphy back?
- A. No, I did not.
- Q. Now, was this common for you to be
- called into these two-on-two meetings with
- developers?
- 18 A. That was the first time. I've never
- been called in on a development meeting.
- Q. Okay. Before or since?
- A. Before or since.
- Q. Now, let's change subjects a little
- bit. At some point during the siting process, were
- you given a card with some sort of preprinted

- 1 statement on it?
- 2 A. Yes, from attorney Price.
- Q. And can you tell me, just in general,
- 4 what that card said?
- A. We were to present that to individuals
- saying that we were not allowed to discuss anything
- about the hearings, and that we were basically
- g judges and we could not discuss that with the
- 9 general public, and our vote would be based on what
- we have heard during the hearings.
- MR. HOPP: Hearing Officer, permission
- to approach? I'll show this to opposing counsel
- 13 first.
- MR. HALLORAN: Sure. Is that the
- Mr. Price, the Harvard Law School graduate you're
- 16 talking about?
- MR. MUELLER: Pretty card.
- MR. HALLORAN: That's fine.
- 19 BY MR. HOPP:
- Q. Alderman Spears, I'm handing you what
- we've marked as Yorkville Exhibit 1. Can you
- identify that document for me?
- A. Yes, I could. This is the card that I
- 24 carried around for months.

- 1 Q. You did, in fact, carry it with you in
- your purse or on your body?
- A. Oh, it's in my purse at all times.
- Q. And did you ever use that card?
- 5 A. Yes, I did.
- 6 Q. For what?
- 7 A. If somebody approached me and wanted
- 8 to give me an opinion on the landfill, I would just
- 9 hand this to them and say, "I'm sorry. I'm not
- 10 allowed to discuss this with you. I am acting as
- 11 judge."
- Q. And for the record, can you read
- what's on the card?
- 14 A. Certainly. It says, "From the desk of
- the mayor and city council of the United City of
- Yorkville elected officials welcome your input.
- 17 Thank you for your interest in the application and
- public hearing process concerning the landfill. My
- assigned role as a judge in this matter prevents me
- 20 from discussing it with you further. I offer this
- card to help explain why.
- 22 An application to the site, a
- landfill, has been delivered to the United City of
- Yorkville. This begins a process that includes

- examination of the application, formal public
- hearings, and ultimately a decision by the city
- 3 council as to whether the application meets the
- 4 standards set by the state of Illinois for a
- 5 landfill.
- 6 The state of Illinois has put me
- in the position of a judge, and the state commands
- 8 me to base my findings on the evidence presented
- 9 through that process. I am also commanded, like a
- jury, not to discuss the matter outside of the
- process in order to prevent any unfairness to anyone
- 12 involved.
- I hope that you understand this
- and will participate in a way that suits you best.
- You may attain an electronic version available on CD
- 16 from City Hall at 800 Game Farm Road between the
- hours of 8:00 and 4:30, Monday through Friday, or
- you can review the entire application or portions of
- it on the city's website at www.yorkville.il.us.
- The city clerks's office can also guide you.
- We anticipate that the formal
- public hearings will begin in March 2007. Those
- hearings will be well publicized and are open to the
- 24 public. You are invited to attend and participate.

- 1 The city's pollution control facility siting
- ordinance, also available from the clerk and on the
- website, contains the details of how you may comment
- on the application, participate in the hearing, or
- 5 otherwise provide information or comments as part of
- 6 the application process.
- 7 Again, I am sorry that I cannot
- 8 discuss this matter with you, but all other city
- 9 business would be fair game. The United City of
- 10 Yorkville."
- 11 Q. Now, you testified earlier that you
- did, in fact, use that card. Is that correct?
- 13 A. Oh, I did. It's a little bent, even
- though it's laminated.
- Q. And this is your copy that you gave
- 16 me?
- A. Yes, it is.
- Q. And you've kept that since?
- 19 A. I have kept that.
- MR. HOPP: First of all, Hearing
- Officer, I'd like to move Yorkville Exhibit 1 into
- evidence.
- MR. HALLORAN: Any objection?
- MR. MUELLER: Other than the witness

- did not disclose this in discovery and it's a
- surprise to us how she located it now.
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: It's on our witness
- 4 list, Mr. Hearing Officer. Ms. Spears also
- 5 discussed it extensively in her deposition.
- 6 MR. PORTER: Wherein she indicated she
- 7 had no further copies and it was not produced to us.
- 8 THE WITNESS: They didn't ask for
- 9 this.
- MR. HALLORAN: That's fine.
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: It's on the exhibit
- 12 list.
- MR. HALLORAN: Why wasn't it turned
- over in discovery?
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: I don't know if it
- was an oversight or what, but -- or it couldn't be
- 17 located at that time, but it
- was -- as I said, it was clearly identified by the
- 19 alderman at her deposition, and we also then
- 20 included it on our exhibit list.
- MR. HALLORAN: And your objection is
- you -- Fox Moraine, they didn't follow up on it?
- MR. MUELLER: Well, we've never seen
- it before.

- MR. PORTER: We had a production
- 2 request out there. They never produced it, and the
- witness told us she didn't have a copy.
- 4 MR. HALLORAN: Yes. That's my
- 5 recollection, an oversight or whatever. But I'll
- accept it as an offer of proof. The Board can hear
- 7 the arguments that are on the transcript.
- MR. HOPP: Thank you.
- 9 MR. HALLORAN: Thank you.
- MR. PORTER: What did we mark that as?
- 11 I'm sorry.
- MR. HOPP: Yorkville Exhibit 1.
- MR. HALLORAN: Exhibit 1.
- 14 BY MR. HOPP:
- Q. Are you the only alderperson or
- alderwoman, alderman who got a copy of that card?
- A. Oh, no. Everybody did.
- Q. Do you know that? Did you see them
- 19 hand it out?
- A. We were presented these, I think,
- 21 during -- well, I'm sure during one of our meetings.
- Q. Okay. Now, Let's move on. Robyn
- 23 Sutcliff is one of the people who ran for alderman
- 24 at some point in early 2007. Is that right?

- 1 A. That's correct.
- Q. During the time -- or around the time
- 3 that Alderman Sutcliff was running, did you have a
- discussion with Alderman Sutcliff about what was on
- 5 that card?
- 6 A. I did.
- 7 Q. And what did you say to Alderman
- 8 Sutcliff?
- 9 A. I told Alderman Sutcliff that she
- 10 could not make any comments whatsoever regarding the
- landfill, because if she were elected she would be a
- 12 judge.
- 13 Q. All right. Let's talk about this
- issue of research. Mr. Mueller was over this a
- couple of times with you. You did a few times, in
- your previous public statements, use the term
- 17 research. Is that right?
- A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. During the landfill siting process,
- did you do any outside research? And by that I mean
- on the internet, in the library, looking for other
- outside materials related to the landfill or the
- 23 landfill siting process?
- MR. PORTER: Well, I'm going to

- object. If we're not going to get into deliberative
- process, they can't get into deliberative process.
- MR. HOPP: The question is whether she
- 4 had -- she conducted outside research in the sense
- she accused her of that. She's got to be able to
- 6 answer that question, and I'm happy to do it as an
- offer of proof to the extent that the issue is
- 8 raised in their statements. In fact, it's part of
- ⁹ their issue regarding alleged bias and bad faith.
- MR. HALLORAN: Yeah. I'm allowing it
- in as an offer of proof. You may answer, Ms.
- 12 Spears.
- THE WITNESS: No, I did no research on
- my answer.
- 15 BY MR. HOPP:
- Q. When you said research, what did you
- mean?
- 18 A. Researching all the documents that
- were presented to us. We had also PowerPoint
- 20 printouts. We had various documents that were
- 21 presented during the hearings and my own notes. I
- took six legal sized line pads of notes.
- MR. HALLORAN: And as an aside, I
- remember Ms. Spears testifying that earlier when

- 1 Mr. Mueller was questioning her.
- MR. HOPP: Thank you.
- 3 BY MR. HOPP:
- 4 Q. You did say that there were Power
- 5 Points presented during the hearings, correct?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. And you watched those?
- 8 A. I did.
- 9 Q. And took notes?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. And so what people were saying during
- the PowerPoint, that might be in your notes as well?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. So even if some -- some particular
- term or word or code didn't actually appear in the
- PowerPoint slides, if it was stated, you might have
- 17 written it down. Is that correct?
- 18 A. That's correct. I --
- MR. PORTER: Mr. Halloran, I assume --
- MR. HALLORAN: Excuse me.
- THE WITNESS: Sorry.
- 22 MR. PORTER: I assume we're still on
- the offer of proof. If we are, I'm not going to
- make this objection. If we're not, these are

- 1 extremely --
- MR. HOPP: In the offer of proof on
- 3 research, your Honor.
- 4 MR. HALLORAN: I'm sorry?
- 5 MR. HOPP: In the offer of proof on
- 6 the research issue.
- 7 MR. PORTER: Okay. I'll withdraw the
- 8 objection.
- 9 MR. HALLORAN: Thank you, Mr. Porter.
- 10 Ms. Spears?
- 11 THE WITNESS: During the PowerPoint
- 12 presentations, just to clarify, they would have one
- line in -- under each photo or whatever, if it was a
- graph or a chart, and then the presenter -- the
- person that was presenting the testimony, would have
- further discussion, because what they were saying
- could not possibly be on -- under a little -- there
- was no room. So I was taking notes on what they
- were saying as well.
- MR. HOPP: Nothing further, your
- Honor.
- MR. HALLORAN: Thank you, Mr. Hopp.
- 23 Mr. Porter and Mr. Mueller?
- MR. MUELLER: I just have a couple

- 1 clarifying.
- 2 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 3 BY MR. MUELLER:
- 4 Q. The meetings that you had or the
- 5 meeting you had with Mr. Murphy happened in the
- 6 summer of 2006?
- 7 A. The end of August, correct.
- 8 O. So it would be about a month before
- 9 the September 25th and 26th initial annexation
- 10 meetings, right?
- 11 A. If that was the date, right.
- 12 Q. And that means you knew when the
- annexation and host agreement issues came before the
- 14 city council that the purpose of those annexations
- and host agreement was to ultimately site a
- 16 landfill?
- MR. HOPP: Objection. Deliberative
- process.
- MR. HALLORAN: Could you read the
- question back again, please?
- 21 (Whereupon, the record was read as
- requested.)
- MR. HOPP: That's to what she knew.
- MR. MUELLER: It's close to the

- 1 context. Not what she intended, but her actual
- 2 knowledge was an annexation of the landfills.
- MR. HALLORAN: I agree. Objection
- 4 overruled. You may answer, Ms. Spears, if you're
- 5 able.
- THE WITNESS: I believe we were also
- 7 invited to a second meeting to discuss what
- 8 Mr. Murphy and Jim spoke to us on, and this was an
- 9 invitation by our city attorney, and I did not
- 10 attend it.
- 11 BY MR. MUELLER:
- 12 Q. But my question, though, is at the
- city council meetings in -- on September 24th and
- 14 26th, by that point, you already knew that
- annexation was to start the process that would end
- in the landfill if everything went right for Fox
- Moraine, right?
- A. Not definitely, no.
- Q. And you did not approve of the meeting
- with Mr. Murphy, did you?
- A. Upon leaving, no, I did not.
- Q. Now, is there a reason that with
- regard to calls you received from Mr. Murphy, you
- could recall that there were precisely three of

- them, which you never returned, but with regard to
- calls from landfill opponents, you don't remember
- whether you got one or 1,000?
- 4 MR. HOPP: Objection. Argumentative.
- MR. HALLORAN: She can answer if she's
- 6 able. Overruled.
- 7 THE WITNESS: Well, I think Mr. Murphy
- 8 had a specific intent when he was calling me, and
- 9 after leaving that meeting, I did not think that
- that was above board, meeting with two of us at one
- time. And again, as I stated, I have never met with
- 12 a developer on any issue regarding the city. So it
- was a red flag to me.
- MR. MUELLER: That's all. Thank you.
- MR. HALLORAN: Thank you. Yorkville?
- MR. HOPP: No recross.
- MR. HALLORAN: All right. Thanks.
- 18 You may step down, Ms. Spears. You held your
- 19 ground.
- THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- MR. HALLORAN: You know, it's almost
- 11:40, but I promised the public that if you wanted
- to come up here and make a statement or comment, you
- may do so now, or we can get to it right after

- lunch. We'll probably take about an hour lunch.
- 2 Anybody want to go before lunch and state their
- 3 peace?
- 4 Yes, sir you can come up here.
- 5 When you get up here, I'll explain a little more to
- you. You can make a public comment, and you're not
- 7 subject to cross -- you won't be sworn in -- or if
- 8 you want to make an oral statement, you'll be sworn
- 9 in and subject to cross and the Board will weigh it
- 10 accordingly. So do you want to be sworn in or just
- 11 make a comment?
- MR. HYINK: I'll make a comment.
- MR. HALLORAN: Okay. Thank you.
- 14 State your name.
- MR. HYINK: My name is Darryl Hyink.
- MR. HALLORAN: Spell your last name,
- 17 please.
- MR. HYINK: H-y-i-n-k.
- MR. HALLORAN: Thank you.
- MR. HYINK: And I did present a
- 21 PowerPoint presentation at the hearing, and I
- 22 attended almost all of them, and I want to make a
- 23 comment that a lot of the information we've talked
- about today is in my PowerPoint, and it's part of

- 1 the public record.
- 2 As well, as we sit here at this
- meeting together, the whole demeanor of it is rather
- 4 quiet, it's not a chaotic meeting. It's a very
- 5 controlled environment. People are listening, and
- 6 this is the environment that I experienced while I
- 7 was at all the meetings, and they were very
- 8 structured. We had time to make our points. When
- 9 Fox Moraine did their testimony, we were able to
- submit our written questions, which they responded
- 11 to.
- So I just wanted that information
- to be, you know, explained, as well as that I do
- have a PowerPoint presentation that was referred to.
- 15 I still have it. It's in the record. It covers the
- items that Mr. Mueller questioned about.
- MR. HALLORAN: Thank you, sir. I
- 18 appreciate it. Have a great lunch. Anyone else?
- MR. KNIPPN: Are we going to get an
- opportunity after lunch again?
- MR. HALLORAN: Sure, sure. You know,
- we can start right off so you don't have to wait
- 23 anymore. All right. Let's -- what do you think, an
- hour? Okay. We'll be back here, say, 12:45. Thank

- 1 you.
- 2 (Whereupon, a break was taken,
- after which the following
- 4 proceedings were had.)
- MR. HALLORAN: Okay. We're back on
- 6 the record. It's approximately 12:50, and we have
- 7 some other housekeeping matters to get to before.
- 8 Any member of the public who wishes to make a
- 9 statement, they may. But Mr. McCluskey, you have a
- stipulation you wanted to present?
- MR. MCCLUSKEY: Yes, your Honor. This
- is a stipulation between Fox Moraine, L.L.C. and the
- County of Kendall. I don't know if counsel has had
- an opportunity to review it, but I would present it
- to you.
- Basically, what we're doing is
- anything that was said in the Section 39 hearing
- would be incorporated into the 40 hearing without
- 19 having -- for the County of Kendall without the
- necessity of bringing any live witnesses, and that
- 21 any arguments would be by written submissions post
- hearing. That's a summary of what the stipulation
- is, and Fox Valley Moraine, L.L.C., has agreed to
- not call any witnesses from Kendall County.

- MR. PORTER: That's correct. We've
- 2 executed that.
- MR. MCCLUSKEY: Thank you. I
- 4 appreciate it.
- MR. HALLORAN: Okay. Is there any
- 6 objection on behalf of Yorkville?
- 7 MR. DOMBROWSKI: No, there is not.
- 8 MR. HALLORAN: Okay. Thanks.
- 9 MR. MCCLUSKEY: Thank you,
- 10 Mr. Halloran.
- MR. HALLORAN: Thank you, Mr.
- 12 McCluskey. I'll mark this as Kendall County
- 13 Exhibit 1.
- MR. MCCLUSKEY: Very good. Thank you.
- MR. HALLORAN: Before I forget, are
- the parties' witnesses excluded, or is anybody --
- MR. HOPP: I just excluded the last
- one, your Honor.
- MR. HALLORAN: Oh, okay. Thank you.
- MR. HOPP: Other than Mr. Parish,
- there are no witnesses. Mr. Parish is here and he
- will be a witness, but it's all right for him to sit
- through public comment.
- MR. HALLORAN: Do we need to shut this

- door here.
- MR. PORTER: I think he's being called
- next. I don't think anybody's out there.
- 4 MR. HALLORAN: All right. At this
- 5 time, any members of the public wish to come up and
- 6 say their peace before we proceed? And if not at
- 7 this time, then at the next break. Okay. Yes, sir.
- 8 Would you care to give an oral statement or a public
- 9 comment, sir?
- MR. KNIPPN: A public comment.
- MR. HALLORAN: Okay. Thank you. Just
- 12 state your name.
- MR. PORTER: Mr. Halloran, is
- 14 Mr. Parish supposed to step out during the public
- comment or not? I guess I haven't addressed that
- particular issue before.
- MR. HALLORAN: No, he can stay. It's
- just public comment. He can stay. Thank you. I'm
- 19 sorry. Go ahead.
- MR. KNIPPN: That's quite all right.
- Jim Knippn, K-n-i-p-p-n, 2150 West Manchester Road,
- Wheaton, Illinois. I am an attorney, and I
- represent the citizens group, Friends of Greater
- Yorkville, and I am requesting the need to make a

- 1 public comment at this point with regard to an issue
- that has been dealt with in somewhat of a cursory
- 3 fashion.
- 4 At this point, I am not here to
- 5 discuss the underlying factual issues associated
- 6 with the siting. I believe that will be adequately
- addressed by Yorkville's attorneys in this case.
- 8 But I think in its deliberations in this matter, the
- 9 Pollution Control Board should consider an issue
- which has not been fully and narrowly addressed by
- the Pollution Control Board or by the courts, as far
- as I can ascertain, and I think the focusing in this
- issue in the context of the law is an important,
- perhaps, next step in the processes associated with
- these hearings.
- To briefly set a basis for that
- comment, I'd like to read just one quick segment of
- the case of Town and Country Utilities versus the
- 19 Illinois Pollution Control Board, 225 Illinois
- Second 103. And in that case the Illinois Supreme
- 21 Court states as follows: The Pollution Control
- 22 Board must consider all of that criteria, although a
- negative decision as to one of the criteria is
- sufficient to defeat an application for site

- approval of a pollution control facility.
- In the context of some of the
- 3 issues that have been raised in fundamental fairness
- in this case, that statement of law, I believe, is
- 5 extremely important. Because what it does is it
- sets up the following issue -- and Mr. Dombrowski
- 7 touched upon this in his opening statements -- and
- 8 it deals with core constitutional rights, and this
- 9 is the question that I would ask the Pollution
- 10 Control Board to consider in its deliberations in
- 11 this case.
- The issue is this: Where, in
- evidence in a sighting hearing record, is it
- 14 sufficient to defeat by any single criteria a siting
- application whether evidence of citizens in a
- 16 community's exercise of core constitutional rights
- in opposing a landfill sighting is sufficient to
- create the fundamental fairness required to set
- 19 aside the siting determination.
- We all know, as attorneys, that
- the paramount law of the land is the Constitution.
- 22 It will control, and it will govern over all other
- laws subject to reasonable rules and regulations. I
- see no evidence in this case that any members of

- 1 FOGY committed a crime in terms of disorderly
- conduct, in terms of intimidation. None of the
- 3 criteria of that is satisfied, which could make
- 4 their rights to exercise their free speech subject
- 5 to question. In this particular case, it does not
- 6 exist.
- So when we balance the fundamental
- 8 rights of their ability to speak at an annexation
- 9 hearing, which is distinct from the siting hearing
- 10 -- and that's extremely important, because under
- 11 Illinois law for municipalities, it's one of the
- 12 rare remaining circumstances in which municipalities
- have almost total discretion to either accept or
- reject an annexation. And where that annexation
- petition is actually filed in this case by the
- petitioner's, they, by the very filing of the
- annexation petition, have subjected themselves to
- public comment guaranteed by statute, and therefore
- 19 quaranteed constitutionally.
- I've heard comments that, perhaps,
- 21 at the annexation hearing there were things that
- were said by the public that were loud, boisterous,
- and hostile. Well, the constitution protects loud,
- boisterous, and hostile statements. If a hostile

- statement is, "If you don't vote this way with
- regard to the annexation, then we are going to put
- you out of office," and you say that's hostile,
- 4 that's protected by the first amendment of the
- 5 Constitution of the United States and the
- 6 protections provided under free speech under the
- 7 Illinois Constitution.
- 8 Loud and boisterous may be
- 9 uncomfortable for some people, but as long as they
- are committed within the context of political --
- guaranteed political core statements, they are
- 12 protected. And I think that that's what the
- evidence in this case will show.
- 14 And what I am suggesting by this
- public comment is because the evidence in this case
- in this record will support the conclusion that at
- least as to one of the siting criteria the denial
- was correct, all of the sideshow associated with who
- did what to whom and how horrible it was when they
- were doing it really melds into something that is
- 21 irrelevant and immaterial in the final determination
- of the Pollution Control Board.
- And as a consequence, as the PCB
- looks at this record, considers this, I would ask

- them to consider the fact that the core
- 2 constitutional principles that the legislature
- anticipated when it passed this statute -- because
- 4 it provided for the municipal body to act as a
- bearing body quasi-judicial. And when you go over
- that legislative proceeding, legislative as well, it
- was fully anticipated at the time that the people
- 8 who are able to speak. The people who are able to
- 9 address the issues. And that's precisely what the
- 10 citizens of this community did.
- And I would just ask that that
- question be asked and analyzed, and of course we
- will address that in much further detail in our
- amicus brief, which we would like to thank you for
- permitting us to file.
- MR. HALLORAN: Thank you.
- MR. KNIPPN: I have no further
- 18 comments.
- MR. HALLORAN: Thank you, sir. And
- 20 again, I do have to set a briefing schedule, and I
- will set a briefing schedule for any public comment
- as well. So if you don't speak today, you can
- submit it to the Board at that time. Mr. Porter?
- MR. PORTER: We would call Mr. Ronald

- 1 Parish.
- MR. HALLORAN: Mr. Parish, just have a
- 3 seat and Rebecca will swear you in.
- 4 (Witness sworn.)
- 5 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 6 BY MR. PORTER:
- 7 Q. Please state your full name for the
- 8 record.
- 9 A. Ron Parish.
- Q. And your address?
- 11 A. 11571 Route 71.
- 12 Q. And how are you employed?
- 13 A. I'm retired and a consultant.
- Q. A consultant for what?
- 15 A. The food industry.
- Q. You were named as an officer of an
- organization known as FOGY. Is that correct?
- 18 A. Yes, I was.
- 19 Q. And you went to the first meeting of
- 20 FOGY. Is that right?
- A. I don't know whether you would call it
- the first meeting of FOGY or not, because I don't
- know when FOGY was actually organized.
- Q. You do know that FOGY's charter

- 1 members were George Wilson, Todd Milliron, Wally
- Werderich and yourself. Is that correct?
- A. I do now. I didn't know that.
- Q. And you went to the first meeting --
- 5 as far as you know, you were at the first meeting of
- 6 those gentlemen. Is that right?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And you donated funds to that
- 9 organization. Is that correct?
- 10 A. No, sir, I did not.
- 11 Q. Isn't it true that you donated at
- least \$100 to FOGY?
- 13 A. No. I donated it to the raffle, and
- then I let FOGY keep it, but I didn't make a
- donation directly to them.
- Q. Okay. It was a raffle sponsored by
- 17 FOGY?
- 18 A. Right.
- 19 Q. A fundraiser for FOGY?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. All right. Now, you also donated
- certain funds to some candidates that were running
- for office in 2007. Is that correct?
- A. Yes, I did.

- 1 Q. And in particular, you donated funds
- 2 to Wally Werderich. Is that right?
- A. Yes, I did.
- 4 Q. You gave a donation to Mr. Werderich
- because you wanted someone to run against something
- that everybody said was a done deal. Is that right?
- 7 A. Well, I guess you could say that. I
- 8 wanted someone to run different, because the people
- 9 that were in there already -- had already made up
- their mind. It was already a done deal, according
- 11 to them. That's what they told me. The mayor came
- to my house and told me that.
- Q. And you had heard Mr. Werderich speak
- out against the landfill. Is that right?
- 15 A. No, I had not. I had heard him make a
- 16 comment in one of the meetings against the
- 17 annexation.
- Q. And you understand the annexation to
- be in relation to the proposed landfill. Is that
- 20 correct?
- 21 A. I understood that after the meeting.
- I don't know whether he said that before or after,
- but sometime during that, that did come up.
- Q. And it was subsequent to that that you

- donated to his campaign. Is that right?
- A. Yes, it was.
- Q. You also gave money to Mr. Plocher in
- 4 regard to his campaign. Is that correct?
- A. Yes, I did.
- 6 Q. And were they running as republicans
- 7 or democrats?
- A. Well, I guess they were running as
- 9 independents, because it was not supposed to be
- democratic and republican. I'm a republican. If
- 11 you cut me, I would bleed that way. But I did not
- like anybody that was in office, and I didn't care
- who was running against them. I didn't like the
- ones that were in there. They were already a done
- deal, and they said I was not a part of Yorkville,
- and it kind of upset me. My address is Yorkville.
- 17 Q. You also gave donations to Ms. Burd.
- 18 Is that correct.
- A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And she was actually a democrat. Is
- 21 that right?
- A. I think she is. She ran as an
- independent.
- Q. And Ms. Burd did not share your

- diehard convictions regarding certain issues. Is
- 2 that correct?
- A. On pro abortion we don't share the
- 4 shame views, but that wasn't really a factor. I
- 5 don't think she's going to make any decisions.
- 6 Q. And indeed, not only did you donate to
- 7 her, you voted?
- A. I don't get to vote.
- 9 Q. Well, strike that. You helped place
- 10 Valerie Burd's campaign signs about town. Is that
- 11 correct?
- 12 A. No, sir, I did not. I placed one
- 13 sign. If you say that's campaign signs as plural,
- 14 it was one.
- Q. When you placed that sign for Ms.
- Burd, you noticed that it was in close proximity to
- some other candidates. Is that right?
- A. No, I didn't.
- 19 Q. Isn't it true that you saw around town
- Ms. Burd's signs often posted next to Mr. Plocher's,
- Mr. Werderich's and Ms. Sutcliff?
- A. No, I did not.
- Q. Is it true you saw all of those
- candidates' signs often posted nearby the

- landfill -- no landfill signs?
- A. No, I did not. I do not travel any of
- those roads. I travel 71. 71 is county. My wife's
- 4 business is in the county. We drive straight down
- 5 71, and I've seen many landfill signs. There is no
- 6 political signs.
- 7 Q. You donated close to a couple thousand
- 8 dollars to the Burd campaign. Is that right?
- 9 A. If you count the plane tickets and
- 10 everything, yes.
- 11 Q. Are you aware that your donations to
- the Burd campaign are not reflected in any public
- 13 disclosure?
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: Objection.
- 15 Foundation. How would he know?
- MR. PORTER: I asked if he was aware.
- MR. HALLORAN: If he can answer, he
- may. Overruled.
- 19 BY MR. PORTER:
- Q. You can answer if you know.
- A. It doesn't -- I don't know.
- Q. You do know that FOGY was formed to
- oppose the landfill. Is that correct?
- A. FOGY was -- one of the first meetings

- 1 I was at, it was set up to oppose the annexation and
- several other things that was happening. I know you
- 3 keep bringing this back to the landfill, but I was
- 4 against the water tower, I was against the
- 5 commercial property that was going to be on 71, I
- 6 was against the houses that were going to be built
- 7 in the corridor, and I was also against the pond
- 8 that was going to be built next to my house because
- ⁹ I have a grandson.
- Now, you can narrate that down to
- landfill, but it's not just landfill, because
- landfill was the last of it. We were talking about
- the others a long time before.
- Q. Well, isn't it true that you
- ultimately resigned from FOGY because of some of the
- 16 radical tactics that were being employed?
- A. It wasn't radical tactics. It was --
- the people had disagreements, and I just don't like
- 19 going into the agreements. It wasn't radical
- 20 tactics.
- MR. PORTER: Okay. I have nothing
- 22 further.
- MR. HALLORAN: Thank you, Mr. Porter.
- 24 Mr. Dombrowski?

- CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 2 BY MR. DOMBROWSKI:
- Q. Mr. Parish, how long were you an
- 4 officer of FOGY?
- A. A couple weeks, I guess. Maybe three
- 6 weeks.
- 7 Q. Did you volunteer to be an officer of
- 8 FOGY?
- 9 A. I had no objections to it. I was
- called -- they called my wife at home, and asked if
- I would, and I think my wife said yes, and I went
- over there, yes.
- Q. And all you attended was one meeting
- of FOGY, correct?
- 15 A. I attended two meetings with FOGY.
- Now, when you say -- two of their official meetings
- is what I attended, yes.
- 18 Q. Okay.
- 19 A. I don't know whether they were even
- official meetings, because I don't know when they
- 21 became FOGY.
- Q. Did you attend any informational
- meetings put on by Fox Moraine?
- A. Yes, I did.

- 1 Q. How many did you attend?
- A. Three. Well, you know, I don't know
- who put them on, so I don't want to make a bad
- 4 statement. Two of them they put on, I know, at the
- 5 Beacher Center, and then the one that was held at
- the high school, I don't know who put it on.
- 7 Q. The two that were held at the Beacher
- 8 Center, when were those?
- 9 A. I do not recollect the dates. I'm
- 10 sure you all have them. They were somewhere -- I
- really don't know the dates. I'm 66 years old. I
- don't know what I had for breakfast two days ago.
- Q. And what happened at those meetings?
- A. Actually, the first meeting we started
- to talk about what was good or bad and what they
- were saying, and then the second meeting I was told
- that I should shut up or I could get hurt. And when
- 18 somebody tells me that, I pretty much -- that's it
- 19 for me. I left. I don't know what happened after
- 20 that.
- Q. All right. Let's back up a little.
- The purpose of these meetings -- well, first off,
- who appeared on behalf of Fox Moraine at these two
- informational meetings?

- A. Again, I do not know Devin's last
- 2 name.
- Q. Is it Moose, by the way?
- 4 A. Moose, Devin Moose. And then he had a
- 5 young gentlemen with him, and Charlie was there, you
- 6 came in later at the first one.
- 7 Q. And you're pointing to Mr. Murphy
- 8 here?
- 9 A. Yes, uh-huh.
- Q. And Mr. Moose and Mr. Murphy talked
- about the proposed Fox Moraine landfill. Is that
- 12 correct?
- A. Actually, Mr. Moose did. I talked to
- 14 Mr. Murphy in private over at the side of the first
- meeting.
- Q. And did you speak at the -- at either
- of these meetings?
- 18 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And at one of these meetings, did
- 20 Mr. Moose talk to you?
- MR. PORTER: I'm going to object to
- the relevancy, Mr. Halloran.
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: It'll become --
- relevance will become clear very quickly.

- MR. PORTER: As far as I know, we're
- 2 not in any lawsuit against Mr. Moose. There isn't
- any claims against Mr. Moose. If this relates to
- 4 what I believe it relates to, it has absolutely no
- 5 relevancy whatsoever whether or not the city council
- 6 was biased against my client. So if this is
- 7 strictly somehow an effort to impeach or affect
- one's perception of Mr. Moose, that would be beyond
- 9 the scope of a fundamental fairness hearing. What's
- the relevancy?
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: Mr. Halloran, Fox
- Moraine's whole point here is that they did not get
- a fair shake in the hearing process. Mr. Parish is
- telling us about meetings that they put on, and if
- 15 Fox Moraine, through its representatives, provoked
- some of the hostility that they are complaining
- about, then it's certainly very relevant to this
- 18 fundamental fairness proceeding.
- 19 MR. HALLORAN: Yeah. I think you can
- 20 continue for now. Objection overruled.
- 21 BY MR. DOMBROWSKI:
- Q. At either of these two informational
- meetings, did Mr. Moose say anything to you?
- A. Yes, he did.

- MR. PORTER: I'm going to object to
- that. Why would a private conversation between
- 3 Mr. Moose and this individual result in a
- 4 provocation of public hostility towards Fox Moraine?
- MR. HALLORAN: I don't know. Maybe he
- 6 can connect it up.
- 7 MR. PORTER: Well, before we prejudice
- 8 the record with what it is I think he's going to get
- 9 into, I would like to have an explanation of that.
- MR. MUELLER: It's also hearsay, Mr.
- 11 Halloran.
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: Well, it's a party
- admission. Mr. Moose was an advocate for Fox
- Moraine, and it's also not hearsay, because it's not
- offered for the truth of the matter. It's offered
- 16 for the effect on the person who heard it, and also
- what the declarant said. It's not a hearsay
- 18 statement.
- 19 MR. PORTER: Mr. Moose was not an
- employee of Fox Moraine. He was a consultant, and
- it in no way can be an admission against my client.
- MR. HALLORAN: He's an agent?
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: He's an agent, yes.
- MR. PORTER: He was a consultant.

- MR. DOMBROWSKI: He was one of the
- 2 main landfill engineers for Fox Moraine.
- MR. HALLORAN: Objection overruled.
- 4 You can continue, but --
- 5 BY MR. DOMBROWSKI:
- Q. What did Mr. Moose say to you, sir?
- 7 A. Well, it was just the second meeting.
- 8 First of all -- and I want to say this because
- 9 Charlie was very nice to me in the meeting -- but
- Mr. Moose, in the second meeting we went into, he
- came over and told me -- when I first walked in, he
- said, "You're not going to say anything." He said,
- "You can get hurt if you say anything. Just listen
- to what I'm telling you," and I went down to the
- front, and something was said. I stood up to make a
- statement, and he said he was not going to let me
- talk, and I got mad, and I left, and I don't know
- what was said after that.
- 19 Q. Now, where was Mr. Moose when he made
- 20 this statement?
- A. Standing at the front door of the
- 22 building.
- Q. Were other people around?
- A. At that time, no, just myself and

- 1 Mr. Moose and the young gentlemen may have been
- 2 there.
- MR. PORTER: Again, I move that the
- 4 entire testimony be stricken and then removed from
- 5 the record. It did not do at all what counsel said.
- 6 It was a private conversation between these two and
- 7 nobody else was around.
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: Well, it's a
- 9 statement by someone acting on behalf of Fox
- Moraine, attempting to influence the process and
- 11 attempting to silence people who are speaking out
- against the landfill. It's certainly relevant and a
- proper avenue of questioning.
- MR. HALLORAN: Well, it's relevant to
- a point if you can wrap it up, Mr. Dombrowski.
- 16 Objection overruled.
- 17 BY MR. DOMBROWSKI:
- Q. So he threatened -- he essentially
- threatened you with harm if you continued to speak?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. And the other meeting that you
- $2\overline{2}$ mentioned at the high school, you attended that as
- well?
- A. Yes, I did.

- 1 Q. Did Mr. Moose speak to you at all at
- 2 that meeting?
- A. He spoke to me when I was leaving. He
- 4 spoke to me in front of Dave Runtle (phonetic), who
- is a member of the Harvest Church, and he had come
- 6 over to me and said I was a radical and wouldn't
- 7 live very long with my comments, and then he
- 8 screamed radical right when I was walking out. You
- 9 can have 100 people that can qualify for that.
- 10 Q. Let me ask you one other thing,
- 11 Mr. Parish.
- 12 A. You know, and I should have -- and I
- got to go back. It was kind of childish on the
- whole thing. Really, a person should listen to a
- person. And I don't know -- Devin Moose is the only
- person that conducted himself that way.
- 17 Q. Let me ask you one other thing about
- 18 Mr. Moose. In this appeal, he has accused you of
- calling him a child molester. Have you ever called
- 20 Mr. Moose a child molester?
- A. No, I have not called him a child
- 22 molester.
- Q. Anything that you said in one of these
- meetings that Mr. Moose may have construed in that

- 1 way?
- A. Yes. I said that in one of the
- meetings -- we were talking about being truthful,
- 4 and I said, "If you just tell -- the truth is all I
- want to hear." He told me he had never heard of his
- 6 entire life of a landfill ever leaking, and I said,
- 7 "If you've never heard of a landfill leaking,
- 8 there's no reason for me to be listening to you, and
- 9 I don't trust you even enough to take my daughter to
- school." I don't have a daughter, first of all. My
- daughter died when she was a baby. But the whole
- 12 fact was I was telling him on trust.
- 13 If you're going to trust somebody
- 14 -- and I tried to trust everybody on Fox Moraine's
- side. If they said something, I wanted it to be a
- 16 fact. But if you start saying that you've never
- heard of a landfill that's ever leaked, then I would
- say you just absolutely challenged somebody's
- 19 integrity when you say that.
- Q. And finally, one other thing, did you
- write any letters to the newspaper during this time
- 22 period?
- A. Yes, I did. After that meeting, I
- walked out, and -- because one of my brothers in the

- church had come to my house, and it embarrassed me
- that he would think I was going to be attacked
- because of what I had said. I wrote a letter to the
- 4 paper and said that because I wanted Yorkville to
- 5 get along, I wanted to see that every phase of
- 6 Yorkville was in an order to help the town grow, not
- 7 to cause arguments or discontent, and that I was not
- 8 going to have anything else to do with it, and that
- 9 was it, and that was what was in the letter. I
- showed the letter to Mr. Don Hammond and I showed it
- 11 to Charlie Murphy in a restaurant the day before I
- turned it into a newspaper.
- Q. Did the newspaper publish that?
- A. Yes, they did.
- 15 Q. So after this last meeting where
- Mr. Moose threatened you with physical harm, did you
- 17 attend anymore meetings?
- 18 A. I never attended another meeting.
- 19 Q. Thank you, Mr. Parish.
- 20 MR. DOMBROWSKI: I have nothing
- 21 further.
- MR. PORTER: I have one followup.
- 23 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 24 BY MR. PORTER:

- 1 Q. Isn't it true that what Mr. Moose
- actually said was he had never heard of a subtitle D
- 3 landfill leaking?
- A. No, it's not true. He said he never
- 5 heard of a landfill -- I don't know what a subtitle
- 6 D is. I'm sure it's because it's got the liner or
- 7 something in it that's different. But, you know,
- 8 he's probably never heard of a landfill leaking at
- 9 my house either because I don't have one. But we
- were talking landfills, and I don't know what the
- 11 difference is, you do.
- MR. PORTER: Nothing further.
- MR. HALLORAN: Thank you, Mr. Porter.
- 14 Any followup?
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: None.
- MR. HALLORAN: Thank you, Mr. Parish.
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: Can we go off the
- 18 record for a second?
- 19 (Whereupon, a discussion was had
- off the record.)
- MR. HALLORAN: All right. We're back
- on the record. Mr. Mueller, Mr. Porter, your
- 23 witness?
- MR. MUELLER: We'll call Mayor Burd.

- MR. HALLORAN: Thank you. Good
- 2 afternoon, Ms. Burd. Raise your right hand and
- 3 Rebecca will swear you in.
- 4 (Witness sworn.)
- 5 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 6 BY MR. MUELLER:
- 7 Q. State your full name, please?
- 8 A. Valerie Leigh Burd.
- 9 Q. And Ms. Burd, you are the mayor of
- 10 Yorkville?
- 11 A. Yes, I am.
- Q. When were you elected?
- 13 A. April 2007.
- Q. That election was on April 17th?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. When were you sworn in?
- 17 A. May 8th, 2007.
- Q. And before that, were you an alderman?
- 19 A. Yes, I was.
- Q. For how long a period of time?
- A. Nine years.
- Q. So you were an alderman during the
- 23 annexation and host agreement proceedings and during
- the bulk of the actual landfill hearings?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And then you presided over the city
- 3 council on May 23rd and 24th, 2007, when they
- 4 deliberated and voted?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Now, it's your position that you have
- never made a public statement prior to May 24th
- 8 indicating opposition of landfill. Is that correct?
- 9 MR. HOPP: Objection, your Honor.
- 10 This is impeachment.
- MR. HALLORAN: Mr. Mueller?
- MR. MUELLER: What was the objection?
- MR. HOPP: It sounded like it's
- impeachment. I mean, you're asking about a prior
- 15 statement.
- MR. MUELLER: I'm asking if she ever
- made a public statement prior to May 23rd or 24th
- opposed to the landfill.
- MR. HALLORAN: Okay. That's an okay
- question.
- MR. HOPP: That's a different
- 22 question. Go ahead.
- THE WITNESS: Not as far as I recall.
- 24 BY MR. MUELLER:

- Q. And opposition to the landfill was not
- one of your campaign platforms, was it?
- A. No, it wasn't.
- Q. Do you know whether you were perceived
- by members of the community as being anti-landfill
- 6 during your campaign against Mayor Prohaska?
- 7 MR. HOPP: Objection as to what the
- 8 members of the community perceived.
- 9 MR. MUELLER: I asked her what she
- 10 knows.
- MR. HALLORAN: Excuse me. Rebecca,
- could you read the question back, please, of Mr.
- 13 Mueller?
- 14 (Whereupon, the record was read as
- requested.)
- MR. HALLORAN: She can answer if she's
- able.
- THE WITNESS: No, I don't know what
- the public perceived, especially since I was never
- discussing the landfill with anyone, so I wouldn't
- 21 know what they thought.
- 22 BY MR. MUELLER:
- Q. Now, you did vote against annexation,
- 24 correct?

- 1 A. I believe so. I can't -- I haven't
- 2 reviewed this lately, but yes.
- ³ Q. You voted against the host agreement
- with Fox Moraine on September 26th, 2006, didn't
- 5 you?
- A. I believe that's true.
- Q. And you voted against the annexation
- 8 agreement with Fox Moraine on September 26th, 2006,
- 9 didn't you?
- 10 A. That could be true, yes.
- 11 Q. And you also then voted against the
- vacation of Sleepy Hollow Road. Is that right?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. And you understood that Sleepy Hollow
- Road was going to run through the proposed landfill
- 16 property?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. And you also knew that annexation was
- a prerequisite to Fox Moraine filing a landfill
- 20 siting application?
- MR. HOPP: Objection. Deliberative
- 22 process.
- MR. MUELLER: She was not one of the
- decision makers in this case. She did not vote. It

- doesn't apply to her.
- MR. HOPP: She apparently voted on the
- annexation, which she's established that's still
- 4 covered by the deliberative process.
- 5 MR. HALLORAN: Mr. Mueller?
- 6 MR. MUELLER: I'm just -- you let Rose
- 7 Spears answer the same question, which is did they
- 8 have knowledge at the time of the annexation that
- 9 the purpose of it was to facilitate a landfill
- 10 siting application.
- MR. HOPP: I believe that was an offer
- 12 of --
- MR. HALLORAN: I think I sustained the
- objection. I can't be certain. I remember the
- question to Ms. Spears.
- MR. MUELLER: Then let me make an
- additional argument. Ms. Burd did not vote on the
- application on May 24th. Therefore, she is not one
- of the decision makers. The deliberative process
- 20 privilege does not apply to her. It is the theory
- of Fox Moraine that Ms. Burd was at the very center
- of a movement and, in fact, a conspiracy to
- undermine and defeat this application. It is always
- 24 appropriate to prove conspiracies and motive by

- 1 circumstantial evidence, and her actions in other
- areas are circumstances that support an inference
- that Fox Moraine's conclusion about her is right.
- 4 Therefore, the evidence is competent and relevant,
- 5 and she does not enjoy any privilege.
- MR. HOPP: Mr. Halloran, if I may, the
- 7 objection is not competency or relevance. We may
- get to that. The objection is privilege. The
- ⁹ ability to prove something by circumstantial
- evidence doesn't make the question appropriate. The
- question invades the deliberative process privilege
- and is inappropriate.
- MR. HALLORAN: Isn't Mr. Mueller
- correct when he says as far as the invasion of
- deliberative process it's regarding the application,
- not prior decisions on other annexations or
- applications?
- MR. HOPP: Well, it certainly can. I
- believe that it can affect -- it can apply to other
- decisions. It depends on how the counsel is
- sitting. And so I believe it at least covers the
- siting application hearing, and also covers all this
- other stuff, which they are not claiming is related.
- So it's all a piece, at least in terms of --

- MR. HALLORAN: But it's not, I guess,
- the ultimate issue. So I'm going to allow the
- question. The mayor may answer if she's able.
- 4 Objection overruled.
- 5 THE WITNESS: Could you restate it,
- 6 please?
- 7 BY MR. MUELLER:
- Q. Did you know at the time that you
- yoted on the annexation, the host agreement, and the
- annexation agreement -- you voted no on all of
- them -- that those matters were necessary in order
- for Fox Moraine to be able to file a siting
- 13 application?
- 14 A. They were necessary in order for them
- to file a siting application, no.
- Q. In order for them to get a landfill.
- 17 How's that?
- 18 A. In order for them to get a landfill
- they were necessary. No, I did not know that.
- Q. You didn't know there was any
- connection between the annexation and the landfill?
- A. Actually, we were told over and over
- that the two had nothing to do with each other, and
- 24 we should just look at it as an annexation based on

- the law. So that's the way I looked at it.
- Q. Well, you, in fact, met with
- 3 Mr. Murphy before the September 26th meeting where
- 4 he told you that Fox Moraine intended to annex his
- 5 property into the city of Yorkville so they could
- file a landfill siting application with the city,
- 7 didn't you?
- 8 A. I don't recall that. I recall meeting
- 9 with him. I don't recall his specific words to me.
- Q. And you remember on January 23rd,
- 11 2007, at the reannexation hearing and meeting,
- passing out information that you had gathered to
- other council members as to why Fox Moraine had
- breached the annexation agreement?
- A. No, I don't remember that.
- Q. Let me show you the transcript of that
- meeting and perhaps it will refresh your
- 18 recollection.
- MR. HOPP: Which exhibit is it,
- 20 George?
- MR. MUELLER: It's January 23rd, which
- I believe is number nine.
- BY MR. MUELLER:
- Q. If I may, if I can direct your

- 1 attention to Page 120 of that transcript. Do you
- 2 have that?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Do you see at the bottom, starting on
- 5 Line 21, where you said, "Just real quickly, I would
- 6 like to pass out some substantiated information on
- 7 why the annexation agreement could be seen as being
- 8 breached, some legal precedent supporting Alderman
- 9 Spears' position, and why we should have the ability
- to renegotiate."
- Do you see that?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you recall now making that
- 14 statement?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. That doesn't refresh your
- 17 recollection?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. What is it that you wanted to
- renegotiate at that time?
- A. I don't recall.
- Q. Weren't you wanting to renegotiate the
- host agreement because you felt it wasn't as
- favorable as what the county had gotten from Waste

- 1 Management?
- A. I don't recall.
- Q. Did you have a campaign committee --
- 4 and I'm done with that transcript.
- 5 A. Okay.
- 6 Q. So I can take it back?
- 7 A. Sorry.
- Q. I don't have anymore questions on it.
- 9 Let me back up. When did you decide to run for
- 10 mayor?
- 11 A. In the fall of 2006. Summer -- late
- 12 summer 2006.
- Q. So by the time that the annexation
- meetings came around in September, you were already
- a candidate for mayor?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you have a campaign committee?
- A. Yes, I did.
- 19 Q. And who was on that committee?
- A. Wally Werderich was on it, the
- Parishes, Todd Milliron, Dan Nicholson. That's the
- main people I can remember.
- Q. Anybody else?
- A. There were people coming and going,

- but those were probably the core people that came
- over every week.
- Q. Were you aware that Todd Milliron was
- 4 a landfill opponent?
- 5 A. Was I aware of it, no.
- 6 Q. Were you aware that Ronald Parish, who
- just testified, was a landfill opponent?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. He was described, in fact, by
- 10 Mr. Dombrowski not ten minutes ago as speaking out
- against the landfill at the meeting at the high
- school, which was a city sponsored meeting. That
- didn't cause you to become aware that he was a
- landfill opponent?
- 15 A. I don't recall when he said what. So
- no, we didn't -- like I said, we never discussed it
- personally.
- 18 Q. You never listened to what he had to
- 19 say at meetings?
- A. I did listen to him, you know, during
- the meetings when he spoke out against annexation,
- but I -- I did not discuss it with him personally.
- Q. You never heard him speak out against
- 24 the landfill?

- 1 A. I don't recall.
- Q. And you also never heard Todd Milliron
- speak out against the landfill at any city council
- 4 meetings?
- 5 A. I don't recall who said what when.
- Q. Well, at any meeting did you ever hear
- 7 Todd Milliron speak out against the landfill?
- 8 A. I heard him speak out very
- 9 passionately about issues, but if it was about the
- annexation, if it was about Sleepy Hollow Road, at
- this time I can't tell you exactly what he said.
- Q. My question is: Did you ever hear
- 13 Todd Milliron, at any city council meeting, speak
- out against the landfill?
- 15 A. I don't recall.
- MR. HOPP: Objection. Asked and
- answered.
- MR. MUELLER: She's answered.
- MR. HALLORAN: Overruled.
- 20 BY MR. MUELLER:
- Q. And you never became aware that he was
- against the landfill?
- A. We never discussed it.
- Q. I'm asking you if you ever became

- aware that he was opposed to the landfill.
- A. I can't comment. I don't recall what
- 3 he said.
- 4 MR. MUELLER: Mr. Halloran, I'd ask
- you to direct the witness to answer the question. I
- 6 think she's being intentionally evasive.
- 7 MR. HALLORAN: I think that's either a
- yes or no, Mayor Burd, what Mr. Mueller was asking.
- 9 If you could ask the question one more time and see
- what happens.
- 11 BY MR. MUELLER:
- Q. Were you ever aware that Todd Milliron
- was an opponent of the landfill?
- 14 A. I don't know what to say to that. I
- don't -- no, I'm not aware of it.
- Q. And Mr. Milliron was on your campaign
- 17 committee, right?
- A. Yes, he was.
- 19 Q. Mr. Parish was on your campaign
- 20 committee?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Now, was -- did you know that
- 23 Mr. Parish was involved with FOGY?
- A. No. I didn't know specifically he was

- 1 involved with it.
- 2 Q. Did you know that Mr. Werderich was
- one of the founding members of FOGY?
- 4 A. No, I didn't know he was a founding
- 5 member.
- Q. Did you know that Mr. Werderich was a
- 7 member of FOGY?
- 8 A. I was aware that he was involved with
- 9 it, because when he came to my committee meeting, we
- talked about -- he said he was going to resign
- because he was coming over to help with my campaign.
- We had talked about that wasn't a good thing to be
- involved in any other outside --
- Q. I'm sorry. I didn't mean to interrupt
- you. Your campaign committee continued on
- throughout the campaign up until your election on
- 17 April 17th, did it not?
- 18 A. Yes. It did, yes.
- 19 Q. Which is actually beyond the date when
- the evidence in the Fox Moraine hearing was closed,
- isn't that right?
- A. I don't know.
- Q. I take that back. It was about at the
- end of the evidence of the landfill area, wasn't it?

- 1 A. I don't remember the date.
- 2 Q. I bet you probably can remember
- 3 exactly how many phone calls you've had from Charlie
- 4 Murphy, though, right?
- A. No, I don't. I'm sorry.
- Q. Now, was Mr. Milliron ever disruptive
- 7 at any city council meetings?
- A. I know he was at one after I became
- 9 mayor.
- 10 Q. Now, even before that time, wasn't he
- 11 frequently disruptive?
- 12 A. I don't recall.
- Q. Hasn't he been asked to -- or
- threatened with being asked to leave city council
- meetings on a number of occasions?
- 16 A. I am only -- I can only testify to the
- one time as mayor when he was removed during a city
- council meeting that I'm aware of.
- Q. Ma'am, let me hand you a copy of your
- discovery deposition, if I may?
- MR. HALLORAN: If you could keep your
- voice up, Mayor, that would be great.
- THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
- 24 BY MR. MUELLER:

- 1 Q. Do you remember your discovery
- deposition being taken in this case on June 18th,
- 3 2008?
- A. Yes, I do.
- 5 Q. And if I could direct you to
- Page 60 -- make it Page 89 of that deposition.
- Well, actually let's make it page 90.
- 8 A. Okay.
- 9 Q. Do you remember being asked this
- question: "Do you remember if Mr. Milliron was ever
- threatened to be removed from a city council meeting
- during the annexation and landfill hearing and
- decision process," and you're giving this answer:
- 14 "I don't specifically remember the timeframe. I
- know he has been threatened periodically. There was
- more than one time, but I don't remember the exact
- 17 time."
- Did you give that -- do you
- remember giving that answer?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. And also, did it embarrass you that a
- member of your campaign committee was being
- threatened with eviction of city council meetings
- when you were running for mayor?

- 1 A. Do you want me to answer that again?
- Q. I'm just asking you. Did it embarrass
- you that he was being threatened with eviction?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. And also, were the opposition groups
- 6 and their members somewhat disruptive at the city
- 7 council meetings dealing with annexation and the
- 8 like, were they disruptive?
- 9 A. I -- yes. You could say they were
- disruptive, interrupting some of the discussion.
- 11 Q. Would you say Mr. Milliron was one of
- the most disruptive members of the opposition
- 13 groups?
- A. I can't say that he was affiliated
- with any group, so I don't know. He was disruptive.
- 16 He spoke out a lot.
- 17 Q. Mr. Plocher was on your campaign
- 18 committee, too, wasn't he?
- 19 A. He showed up fairly often, but not all
- 20 the time.
- Q. Have you previously characterized him
- as being on your campaign committee?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And did you know whether he was a

- landfill opponent?
- A. No, I did not.
- Q. Did you ever know that he was a
- 4 landfill opponent before he voted no on May 24th?
- 5 A. No. We hadn't discussed it.
- 6 Q. Well, I'm not asking if you discussed
- 7 it.
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. I'm asking if you knew that he was a
- 10 landfill opponent.
- 11 A. No.
- Q. One of the landfill opponents was
- represented by attorney Dan Kramer, correct?
- 14 A. I don't have any knowledge of that. I
- don't know.
- Q. Do you remember Dan Kramer appearing
- at the landfill hearings on behalf of one of the
- objectors?
- A. No, I don't.
- Q. Mr. Kramer is your -- has been your
- family attorney for a number of years?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Now, did you have any campaign
- 24 literature?

- 1 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Did you produce any of that in
- response to our production request in this case?
- A. I think I did. It's been awhile. I
- 5 think I did.
- Q. If we can tell you that we don't have
- any, would that surprise you?
- 8 A. I'm -- if you asked for the campaign
- 9 literature, I would've turned it over. I didn't get
- 10 a request for that. I think I got a request for
- 11 something that -- anything that had to do with the
- 12 landfill.
- 13 O. You don't believe that there was a
- 14 request for campaign literature?
- 15 A. I don't -- I didn't get it. If I got
- it, I would've turned it over. I don't think I got
- 17 it.
- Q. You did have campaign signs also?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. And did some of those signs get placed
- in close proximity with anti-landfill signs?
- 22 A. I did place the signs. I'm not sure
- where they were placed.
- Q. Did you notice that some of your signs

- were in close proximity to anti-landfill signs?
- A. Did I personally notice them, yes.
- Q. Did you do anything about it?
- 4 A. No, I did not.
- 5 Q. Those anti-landfill signs right
- outside the entrance to the library this morning,
- 7 did you see that?
- 8 A. Yes, I did.
- 9 Q. That's on city property, isn't it?
- 10 A. I know -- well, I didn't notice it
- when I came in, but I noticed it when I went out for
- 12 lunch. You're talking about the one at the end of
- the driveway?
- 14 Q. Yes.
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Is that on city property?
- 17 A. I would think it's in the right of
- 18 way.
- 19 Q. Have you done anything to cause its
- 20 removal?
- A. Not yet, but I was thinking about it.
- Q. Now, at one point in time, Dirk Price
- was the special city attorney dealing with
- environmental matters, including this landfill,

- 1 correct?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And did you, at some point, form the
- 4 opinion that he had a bias in favor of Fox Moraine?
- 5 A. I questioned him to that effect, but I
- 6 hadn't definitely determined any way, either way.
- 7 Q. Well --
- 8 A. I had some concerns.
- 9 Q. You had some concerns about that?
- 10 A. Yeah.
- Q. And, in fact, isn't it true that
- Mr. Price had recommended to the city that they
- should vacate Sleepy Hollow Road in compliance with
- their obligation under the annexation agreement with
- 15 Fox Moraine, and you felt he was browbeating you?
- 16 A. That was an executive session. That's
- 17 correct. He was actually yelling at me. He didn't
- recommend it, he was yelling.
- 19 Q. Now, you did not accept campaign
- 20 contributions from any waste haulers or utilities
- 21 associated with disposal or hauling. Is that
- 22 correct?
- A. That's the standard I set -- I told
- the members of my committee, yes.

- 1 Q. You, however, did accept campaign
- contributions for members of FOGY, didn't you?
- A. No, I did not.
- Q. Well, Mr. Parish gave you campaign
- 5 contributions, didn't he?
- A. I don't remember him giving us cash
- 7 contributions. I'd have to look at the, you know --
- 8 no.
- 9 Q. Mr. Parish donated two roundtrip
- 10 airline tickets to anywhere in the United States to
- 11 you for use in a raffle?
- A. A raffle, yes.
- 0. Didn't he?
- A. Yes, he did.
- Q. And he also gave you other
- 16 contributions?
- 17 A. What actually happened was we had
- several parties where people could come, and for \$20
- we had get-togethers, and they could give these
- donations, and whoever showed up there showed up
- there. We didn't keep any, kind of, door count on
- who they were or what they -- what their
- 23 affiliations were. They were just residents who
- could come in and donate. But anybody who gave

- bigger amounts, we tried to keep it in line.
- 2 Q. So did you know that you had an
- obligation to report campaign contributions?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. What was the threshold amount of
- 6 contributions that you had to report?
- 7 A. I think it was \$300.
- 8 Q. Well, in fact, let me show you what
- 9 I've marked as Fox Moraine Exhibit No. 14.
- MR. HOPP: Your Honor, now I want to
- object to the grounds of relevance. She's testified
- about Mr. Parish's contributions, she's testified
- about what she knows about the contributions. The
- 14 rest of it --
- MR. HALLORAN: I'm not sure what
- he's -- Exhibit No. 14 is, but it may bolster his
- 17 line of questioning.
- MR. PORTER: It should be marked 15,
- 19 by the way.
- MR. HOPP: It should be 15.
- MR. HALLORAN: Fifteen. Okay.
- MR. MUELLER: My apologies.
- MR. HALLORAN: Thank you.
- MR. HOPP: We object, your Honor.

- MR. MUELLER: Let me give an extra
- 2 copy to the hearing officer, also. And if I may
- have that one back, Mayor, and I'll remark the
- 4 number.
- 5 BY MR. MUELLER:
- Q. Do you recognize that document?
- 7 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Is that a copy of your campaign
- 9 contribution report filed with the Illinois State
- Board of Elections on August 21st, 2007, for the
- period January 1, 2007, through June 30th?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. And does that -- anywhere on there
- show a contribution from Ron Parish?
- MR. HOPP: Objection. Relevance.
- MR. HALLORAN: Overruled. She may
- answer if she's able.
- THE WITNESS: These are cash
- 19 contributions, according to my understanding. There
- is a spot for in-kind contributions, but this is
- cash. This is a section that's just for cash, is
- the way I understand it.
- 23 BY MR. MUELLER:
- Q. Why don't you look at the second page?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Those are in-kind contributions there,
- 3 right?
- A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Is Ron Parish listed as a contributor?
- A. No, he isn't.
- 7 Q. Now, on March 29th of 2007, some two
- 8 and a half weeks before the election, did you
- 9 release certain previously confidential city memos
- 10 regarding the annexation?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And you gave those to Heather Gillers
- 13 from the Beacon, correct?
- 14 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. She was the reporter?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. You also gave copies to Todd Milliron,
- 18 didn't you?
- A. No, I did not.
- Q. Well, didn't Todd Milliron then read
- from those memos into the record at the landfill
- 22 hearing?
- A. I don't remember if he did or not, but
- I did not give him copies of those.

- 1 Q. How did he get in the possession of
- 2 them?
- A. I don't know.
- 4 Q. There was an article about your
- release of the city's strategy memos on annexation
- of the landfill in the Kendall County record by Tony
- 7 Scott on March 29th. Did you ever see that article?
- 8 A. I don't recall specifically.
- 9 Q. Well, did you ever state that you were
- not opposed to the landfill, but you were opposed to
- the way it was handled?
- 12 A. That sounds like something I would
- 13 say, yes.
- Q. Did you also say, "If I had known
- everything at the beginning, I would've been against
- it, she said of the process for approving the host
- 17 agreement?"
- A. That sounds like something I would
- 19 say, yes.
- Q. And did you also indicate that you
- learned that you had been improperly advised that
- you couldn't talk about this process?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And that deals with the card that Ms.

- 1 Spears identified this morning, that had been given
- to you by Mr. Price, the Harvard Law School
- 3 graduate, right?
- A. Yes. Well, I'm not sure if he's the
- one that gave it to us, but yes.
- Q. And you actually began to question the
- 7 process after you were told the statutes
- 8 contradicted what was on the card, right?
- ⁹ A. The problem is it's some years ago. I
- can't remember what motivated any of this. No, I
- 11 can't.
- 12 Q. The memos, by the way, that you
- released had been written by Mr. Wyath, the city
- attorney, sometime in the spring of 2006. Isn't
- 15 that correct?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. And they dealt with the strategy for
- annexing a number of properties in order to
- 19 facilitate Fox Moraine getting city jurisdiction for
- their landfill application?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. There was then a resolution presented
- on, I believe, April 3rd at the city council asking
- everyone to keep an open mind and to act civilly

- toward each other. You refused to sign that
- 2 resolution, didn't you?
- A. That's incorrect. Number one, it
- 4 wasn't a resolution, and number two, it wasn't
- 5 presented during the meeting, and number three, it
- 6 was a campaign PR strategy by the former mayor, and
- 7 it was used in his public relations campaign for
- 8 office. There's an add that he subsequently ran
- 9 with him in it, and his supporters with this
- document, and the reason I had nothing to do with it
- is because we were not supposed to be talking about
- the landfill at that time.
- 13 Q. Now, is there anything in the
- 14 statement -- it's not a resolution, call it a
- 15 statement. Is there anything in the statement that
- 16 you disagreed with?
- A. No. It was -- it was just not a time
- to be discussing it.
- 9 Q. So you didn't sign the statement. Is
- 20 that right?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. Did you ever see the front page
- 23 article in the Sunday Beacon News of April 15th,
- 24 2007, quoting all of the city council candidates on

- their landfill positions?
- A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And did you, in fact, in response to
- the question of, "Would a safe state compliant
- 5 landfill with a positive negative -- positive
- 6 neutral -- let's do it again. Did you, in response,
- 7 to the reporter's question of, "Would a safe, state
- 8 compliant landfill be a positive, negative, or
- 9 neutral addition to Yorkville," state, "Is there
- such a thing as a safe state compliant landfill? I
- don't know if that's an oxymoron."
- 12 A. Yes, I said that.
- 13 Q. Now, we already covered that on
- 14 April 17th, you won the election for mayor, but you
- weren't sworn in until May 8th, correct?
- 16 A. Correct.
- Q. Did you meet with representatives of
- the Wildman law firm before May 8th?
- 19 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And did you retain the services of the
- Wildman law firm or any of its attorneys prior to
- 22 May 8th?
- A. No, I couldn't. What I did do was, as
- 24 all mayors do when they are in the transition time,

- meet with different legal firms to see which one I
- would be appointing, and go through the interview
- process, and I did that also with other people who I
- 4 would be appointing to see who I would want on my
- 5 staff. And this is a common practice, and I did
- 6 notify them after meeting with several law firms
- 7 that I found the qualifications to be very high, and
- 8 that I would want to appoint them on May 8th so that
- 9 they were notified that that was my intention.
- Q. All right. Let me show you, Mayor,
- what's been market as FM Exhibit No. 16.
- MR. HOPP: Your Honor, FM Exhibit No.
- 13 16 is a Wildman Harrold Allen and Dixon invoice for
- legal services. We have repeatedly objected to the
- 15 introduction of this evidence in this process, and I
- want to repeat all of those objections right now
- very briefly.
- This is a privileged document,
- indicating the relationship between the law firm and
- 20 its client. It was inadvertently produced, and was
- requested to be returned. It was and was not, and
- 22 as I said, we renew all of our longstanding prior
- objections.
- MR. MUELLER: Mr. Halloran, we don't

- 1 need to belabor this. This has been the subject of
- extensive pleadings before the Board and yourself,
- and you denied the motion in limine to keep this
- 4 out, as you denied the motion to return the document
- 5 previously.
- 6 MR. HALLORAN: Yeah. That's what I
- 7 recall. I was just trying to find the order, but I
- 8 agree. Objection overruled.
- 9 MR. HOPP: I'm sorry. What's the
- 10 exhibit number?
- MR. MUELLER: Sixteen.
- MR. HALLORAN: Sixteen.
- 13 BY MR. MUELLER:
- Q. Ms. Burd, have you ever seen
- 15 Exhibit 16 before?
- A. Yes, I have.
- 17 Q. That is the Wildman invoice dated June
- 18 15th, 2007, correct?
- 19 A. Correct.
- Q. What is the total of the charges on
- 21 that invoice?
- MR. HOPP: Objection. Relevance.
- MR. MUELLER: Well, this goes -- I'll
- tie it up in a second. It goes to a course of

- conduct by the mayor in terms of changing attorneys
- without authorization from the city, and engaging
- them to do extensive work for the purpose of getting
- 4 a report recommending denial of the application.
- 5 MR. HALLORAN: Yeah. I --
- 6 MR. MUELLER: Plus, it's all been
- ⁷ argued previously.
- MR. HALLORAN: I think -- the
- 9 objection is overruled. She can answer if she's
- 10 able.
- 11 THE WITNESS: Okay. It says
- 12 \$96,119.73.
- 13 BY MR. MUELLER:
- Q. And that's for approximately one month
- of work, correct?
- A. Well, it looks like it starts in
- 17 April.
- Q. All right. And it ends at the end of
- 19 May, correct, 5/29?
- A. Correct.
- Q. All right. Now, Ms. Burd, the first
- entry on that invoice is on April 27th, 2007, with
- the initials AGH, which I believe is Anthony Hopp,
- who's in the room here today. Is that correct?

- 1 A. That's correct.
- Q. Did you direct him to do any work on
- 3 that day?
- A. No, I did not.
- 5 Q. Had you hired the Wildman firm at that
- 6 point?
- 7 A. I think I've already answered that
- guestion.
- 9 O. So --
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. They had not been engaged?
- 12 A. They were engaged on May 8th when the
- city council approved my appointment.
- Q. All right. We'll get to that in a
- second. And had you given them any direction before
- May 8th as to what the scope of their work was going
- 17 to be?
- A. As I did with all the law firms that I
- met with and interviewed, we discussed things that
- were going on in the city at the time, different
- issues, annexation issues, development issues, how
- we handled those, and we discussed that we were in
- the middle of a landfill hearing, so that was
- 24 mentioned.

- Q. Well, what direction did you give them
- with regard to the landfill area?
- MR. HOPP: Objection.
- 4 THE WITNESS: I didn't give them
- 5 direction. I already said that.
- MR. HALLORAN: I'm sorry, Mr. Hopp.
- 7 MR. HOPP: Withdrawn, your Honor.
- 8 MR. HALLORAN: Okay. Thank you.
- 9 BY MR. MUELLER:
- Q. You did not, prior to May 8th,
- describe the scope of work that you wanted in
- connection with the landfill?
- 13 A. Scope of work?
- 14 Q. Yeah, what you wanted them to do with
- regard to the landfill matter, since you already had
- Mr. Price who was the special counsel on that
- subject.
- 18 A. Mr. Price represented the way the
- 19 former city attorney, Mr. Wyath explained it to me.
- 20 Mr. Price only represented the staff. He did not
- represent the corporate authorities. That's why
- Mr. Wyath was the only one who was allowed to sit at
- a table with us, and Mr. Wyath's term of office
- ended with the former mayor on May 8th, so he was

- gone. He was gone no matter what. According to
- 2 municipal law, the state statutes, Mr. Wyath's term
- of office was over.
- 4 Q. Now, this invoice doesn't appear to
- 5 contain any charges for matters such as annexation
- and other developments, as you said you discussed in
- your scope of work. It appears to have only
- 8 landfill related charges. Is that correct?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. Did you ever tell the Wildman firm to
- segregate landfill-related charges for this period
- 12 from other charges?
- A. At that time, no. We had not
- 14 discussed that.
- Q. Did the Wildman firm do any work for
- you before May 8th, other than landfill-related
- 17 work?
- 18 MR. HOPP: Objection. Misstates her
- prior testimony. She's already stated the Wildman
- firm did not do any work for her. Misstating prior
- 21 testimony.
- MR. HALLORAN: Mr. Mueller?
- MR. MUELLER: I don't think it
- misstates the testimony at all. I think it's cross

- 1 -- this is a hostile witness. I'm entitled to have
- 2 some --
- MR. HALLORAN: I don't think she's
- 4 hostile. Maybe adverse.
- 5 MR. MUELLER: Adverse. Hostile in a
- 6 legal term, Mr. Halloran.
- 7 MR. HALLORAN: I'm sorry?
- MR. MUELLER: Hostile in a legal
- 9 sense.
- MR. HALLORAN: Well, adverse in a
- 11 Board procedural sense.
- MR. MUELLER: Okay. Let me try to
- 13 rephrase.
- MR. HALLORAN: Thank you.
- 15 BY MR. MUELLER:
- Q. Do you know if the Wildman firm
- performed any work on other city legal matters not
- related to the landfill prior to May 9th?
- A. Right as I sit here, no. I don't know
- that, because I haven't reviewed their bills.
- Q. All right. And would it be fair to
- say that in this case there were actually nine time
- entries prior to May 9th involving three different
- 24 attorneys and one paralegal, two of those attorneys

- being Leo Dombrowski and Anthony Hopp?
- A. Yes, I count nine.
- Q. And one of those was a charge on
- 4 April 30th, 2007, over a week before you were sworn
- in, for a meeting with the mayor and city
- 6 administrator. Do you see that?
- 7 A. Yes, I do.
- 8 Q. It was a five-hour charge. Who was
- 9 the city administrator that attended that meeting
- with you?
- 11 A. I know this is going to upset you, but
- I don't even remember that meeting, and I wasn't the
- mayor then.
- Q. Do you believe that that meeting
- occurred with Mr. Prohaska?
- 16 A. I don't know. I don't remember.
- Q. Did you meet with the Wildman firm
- prior to May 8th for a five-hour period?
- 19 A. I don't recall. I know I met with
- them on several times. We met -- I don't remember
- the length of time we met, and I don't remember the
- 22 specific dates.
- Q. Who was the city administrator on
- 24 April 30th, 2007?

- 1 A. Oh, I don't remember his name.
- Q. Was John Croy still the city
- 3 administrator?
- 4 A. Yes, he was. I'm sorry.
- Q. Okay.
- 6 A. That's who it was.
- 7 Q. So to cut this a little bit short,
- 8 it's your position that none of these efforts
- 9 reflected by the nine time entries before May 9th
- were solicited or ever authorized by you?
- 11 A. No, they were not, and I'd like to add
- that the state's attorney was also handed this case,
- and he could find nothing that documented those
- 14 claims.
- Q. Well, the state's attorney
- investigated that in terms of potential criminal
- 17 liability by yourself, right?
- A. For ethics, yes.
- 19 Q. And what you're saying is you did not
- 20 get charged?
- A. What I'm saying is he could find
- nothing that could substantiate those claims.
- O. Substantiate what?
- A. Any claim that I had hired them

- before -- there was nothing -- there was no money
- given to them, there was nothing written, there was
- nothing beyond me saying to them that, "On May 8th I
- 4 will appoint you, " and from what I understand,
- 5 subsequently after this came out -- I talked to
- 6 several other mayors, and this is -- this is what
- 7 they all do. They tell the law -- they meet with
- law firms, they interview them, and when their term
- 9 begins, they appoint them, and I assume that they
- want to get up to par before they walk out the door
- of the day that they are going to assume the city
- work. So I don't think this is anything unusual.
- 13 But that was not authorized by me, and I think they
- 14 fully understood that.
- Q. Well, in fact, they were paid for that
- work eventually, weren't they?
- A. By the city council, yes, they were.
- Q. At that point, the city council that
- you were the mayor of, right?
- A. Absolutely.
- Q. And if you look at the entry of April
- 22 29th from Mr. Hopp for 4.5 hours, what is that
- entry?
- A. What is that entry?

- 1 Q. Yes.
- A. Do you want me to read it?
- Q. Yes, the description.
- 4 A. "View objections to landfill siting
- 5 petition, and exhibit submitted and supportive
- 6 objections. Review legal research."
- 7 Q. Why was -- or did you ever instruct
- 8 Mr. Hopp to pay particular attention to objections?
- A. Am I supposed to -- no. But I think
- that would be attorney-client, no?
- 11 Q. I mean, that's the first evidence they
- reviewed was objection evidence, isn't it?
- 13 A. I don't know.
- MR. HOPP: Objection. Asks for
- 15 speculation.
- 16 THE WITNESS: How would I know?
- MR. HALLORAN: I'm sorry --
- 18 BY MR. MUELLER:
- Q. Based on --
- MR. HALLORAN: Excuse me, Mr. Mueller.
- MR. HOPP: Objection. Calls for
- speculation as to the first evidence that anybody
- 23 reviewed.
- MR. HALLORAN: Well, she said she

- didn't know, so it wouldn't be speculation.
- ² Objection sustained.
- 3 BY MR. MUELLER:
- 4 Q. The city, by the way, is suing Fox
- 5 Moraine to get this bill paid, isn't it?
- 6 A. Yes. This bill, no. Actually, from
- 7 what I understand, it was more than just this bill.
- 8 Q. Well, this bill among others?
- 9 A. Yes.
- Q. So you believe even though the Wildman
- firm was not engaged for over \$10,000 worth of these
- services, that the city has a right to be repaid for
- them by Fox Moraine?
- 14 A. I don't think I should be discussing
- the city's legal position in a lawsuit with you.
- 16 That doesn't seem appropriate to me.
- MR. MUELLER: Mr. Halloran, the city
- 18 filed a lawsuit against Fox Moraine. I'd ask that
- the witness be instructed to answer the question.
- MR. HOPP: Mr. Halloran, I would
- object. He's just asked for her belief on a legal
- matter. I think it's privileged and an entirely
- 23 inappropriate question and he knows it.
- MR. HALLORAN: You know, I think -- I

- think she can answer it if she's able to answer
- 2 Mr. Mueller's question. I assume Mr. Mueller is
- 3 going to tie this up in a hurry.
- 4 MR. MUELLER: Yes.
- 5 MR. HALLORAN: Mayor?
- THE WITNESS: So I have to answer
- 7 whether or not I believe that they should pay this
- 8 bill?
- 9 MR. HALLORAN: Yeah. Just -- yeah.
- 10 Whatever --
- THE WITNESS: Even though he's suing
- them for the money?
- MR. HALLORAN: Yeah. That was
- 14 Mr. Mueller's question.
- THE WITNESS: Okay. Honestly, I think
- 16 you have a case that -- for this one week -- I want
- to know were the rest of the money is, because you
- haven't paid anything from the time I was sworn in
- as mayor or the week before. So I think I'd like to
- 20 know where the rest of the money is.
- 21 BY MR. MUELLER:
- 22 Q. Tair answer. We're going to get to
- that in a second. Now, I want to just ask you about
- one more entry on here, and that is the entry of May

- 7th on the second page by Mr. Roth for .70 hours.
- A. May 7th?
- Q. Yes. That's, "Work on strategies
- 4 concerning finality of decision."
- 5 MR. HALLORAN: I don't see May 7th.
- THE WITNESS: It's not on here.
- 7 MR. MUELLER: Excuse me, May 8th.
- 8 MR. HALLORAN: May 8th.
- 9 BY MR. MUELLER:
- Q. Second entry from the top, "Work on
- 11 strategies concerning finality of decisions,
- disconnection of territory, and development of
- city's decision." Do you see that?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Now, you understand, don't you, Mayor,
- that disconnection only becomes possible if there is
- 17 no landfill, right?
- 18 A. No. I don't understand that.
- 19 Q. Well, in fact, didn't Fox Moraine seek
- disconnection from the city after the landfill
- 21 application was denied?
- 22 A. Yes, they did.
- Q. And the city, at that point, opposed
- 24 disconnection?

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. But if a landfill had been sited,
- 3 there would be no point in even thinking about
- 4 disconnection. Isn't that true?
- 5 A. I don't know what the point is for Fox
- 6 Moraine. As far as I'm concerned, they could've
- 7 tried to disconnect the landfill and go into the
- 8 county. I don't know. I don't know what their
- 9 reasoning is.
- 10 Q. Now, you believe the Wildman firm was
- hired to do all of this work on May 8th, correct?
- 12 A. I believe -- I'm sorry. I don't know
- what you're talking about here.
- Q. Well, you said that the work before
- 15 May 8th wasn't authorized. After May 8th, it was
- authorized, because then the city had appointed the
- Wildman firm, correct?
- 18 A. The city -- I appointed Mike Roth to
- be the city attorney, and he was affiliated with
- them at that time, so he designated them to do the
- other work, which is what actually happened.
- Q. Let me show you, Mayor, what I've
- marked as Fox Moraine Exhibit 17 -- what I've marked
- as Fox Moraine Exhibit 17, if I may, and I've got

- one for Mr. Halloran.
- MR. HALLORAN: Thank you, sir.
- MR. MUELLER: And one for counsel.
- 4 BY MR. MUELLER:
- Q. And I'll ask you if that is a true and
- 6 correct copy of the minutes of the May 8th, 2007,
- 7 city council meeting?
- A. It looks to be.
- 9 Q. All right. And if you turn to the
- third page of those minutes, under mayor's report,
- 11 do you have that?
- 12 A. Okay.
- 13 Q. That section from there to the bottom
- of the page deals with the appointment of Mike Roth
- as the interim city attorney, correct?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Mike Roth was a member of the Wildman
- 18 firm at that time?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- Q. And didn't Mr. Roth explain prior to
- his appointment as reflected in the minutes that the
- proposal is for a fixed number of hours, totaling
- for a fixed number of hours starting at 50 hours per
- 24 month for a fixed fee?

- 1 A. That's correct.
- Q. And that's what the appointment was
- 3 for, correct?
- A. The thing is, the appointment is not
- 5 tied to the contract. The appointment is for the
- 6 person specifically, and then if you -- that
- 7 changes, and it's fluctuated with other attorneys
- 8 that have previously come in.
- 9 Q. Well, I think my question at that
- 10 point is the appointment, as approved by the city
- council on May 8th, was to Mike Roth for a maximum
- of 50 hours per month for a fixed fee?
- 13 A. That's what I thought you were saying.
- 14 And no, the appointment was for Mike Roth to be --
- and that I had worked out with him that it would be
- apparently 50 hours a month for X amount of dollars.
- Q. What was the X amount of dollars, by
- 18 the way?
- 19 A. I don't remember.
- Q. And is there any action in --
- reflected in the city council minutes appointing the
- Wildman firm generally, or appointing Mike Roth or
- 23 anyone else from the Wildman firm for more than
- 50 hours per month?

- 1 A. Is there any -- no. No, the
- 2 appointment was for him to be the city attorney.
- Q. Right. And it was explained to the
- 4 city council before they voted that that would be
- for a fixed fee for 50 hours per month, right?
- 6 A. What was explained to the city
- 7 attorney was that --
- 8 Q. No, what was explained to the city
- 9 council. That's what I'm asking.
- MR. HOPP: She's trying to answer the
- 11 question.
- 12 THE WITNESS: You're correct. I made
- a mistake there. What was explained to the city
- council, as I explained it to them, was I was going
- to appoint Mr. Roth from Wildman Harrold, and that
- 16 for the city business that we were conducted for him
- to come to our meetings so many times a month. At
- that time, I think we had four meetings a month, and
- then one meeting for one of our committee meetings.
- 20 That was for a fixed fee, but that was not -- it was
- not intended to mean that he couldn't go to anything
- else or if we needed him for anything else that's
- 23 all we could pay for.
- That's what I want to clarify

- here, because the way you're wording it makes it
- sound like I could only have him work 50 hours, and
- we could only spend X amount of dollars, and that
- 4 was not the appointment. That was what he would be
- 5 paid for the four meetings a month, plus one
- 6 committee meeting that was -- because if you look at
- the previous city attorneys' fees, we also have
- 8 passed through development projects that the city
- 9 attorney would be paid for by the developers. So
- that would've totally hobbled the city attorney so
- 11 he couldn't have done anything else. So what you're
- implying is -- no, that's not -- they were not tied
- 13 together.
- MR. MUELLER: I'm not implying
- anything. I'm asking questions.
- 16 BY MR. MUELLER:
- Q. And I guess my next question, then,
- is: So this appointment, as reflected in the city
- council minutes in front of you, is just an
- appointment to do the normal routine city attorney
- business for 50 hours per month?
- A. What it -- what it says is for the
- routine city business he would charge -- he would
- work 50 hours a month on that for a fixed fee.

- 1 Anything else was going to be billed at a -- at
- 2 the -- the firm.
- Q. Well, there is nothing else reflected
- 4 in these minutes, is there?
- 5 A. No. We only discussed -- we only
- 6 discussed our regular business.
- 7 Q. So the city council never approved the
- 8 appointment of the Wildman firm to do anything other
- 9 than the normal city business at 50 hours per month,
- 10 did they?
- MR. HOPP: Objection.
- MR. HALLORAN: I'm sorry?
- MR. HOPP: She's already answered this
- 14 question three times.
- MR. HALLORAN: I agree. Objection
- 16 sustained.
- 17 BY MR. MUELLER:
- 18 Q. Can you find -- can you reference me
- to any city record evidencing appointment of the
- Wildman firm to do either any landfill work, or to
- do work in excess of 50 hours per month?
- MR. HOPP: Same objection.
- MR. MUELLER: It's a different
- question, I think, Mr. Halloran.

- MR. HOPP: Same objection.
- MR. HALLORAN: If she can point to it
- in the minutes, fine. But Mr. Mueller, let's cease
- 4 this line of questioning after this.
- MR. MUELLER: Actually, I'm done.
- 6 BY MR. MUELLER:
- 7 Q. So I just want to know if -- is that
- 8 correct?
- 9 A. It's not in this -- it's not in these
- minutes, but there were subsequent records where
- city council members commented on Wildman and
- 12 Harrold's performance, and there were subsequent --
- especially after Mike Roth separated from their
- 14 firm, we entered into an agreement where they would
- continue to show that the city council was totally
- aware that they were doing our -- they handled the
- 17 landfill appeals process and all of that. So they
- were totally aware that they were handling this part
- 19 of our city.
- 0. I understand about awareness. My
- question was: Can you point me to a motion or
- resolution of proving them in any record?
- A. The firm itself, no. The
- representative from the firm, yes. That's the way

- the municipal law is set up. You don't appoint the
- 2 firm, you appoint the person.
- 3 Could I clarify that a little bit
- 4 more too?
- 5 MR. MUELLER: There's no question
- 6 pending.
- 7 MR. HALLORAN: I'll let you clarify,
- 8 it, Mayor.
- 9 THE WITNESS: Okay. With our current
- city attorney, we have a city attorney who
- designates a litigator who has never been appointed
- by the city council to be the litigator, but the
- city attorney has, under our understanding of the
- 14 process, the right to reach out and get help from
- whoever that city attorney thinks is appropriate to
- help them with the cases.
- So they hire -- they reach out to
- somebody to help us with employee relations. They
- reach out to somebody to help us with litigation.
- That's constant, and we never approve those people.
- MR. HALLORAN: Thank you, Ms. Burd.
- 22 BY MR. MUELLER:
- 23 Q. Now, do you remember reading a
- newspaper article from the Beacon authored by

- 1 Heather Gillers on May 19th, 2007, with the
- headline, "Yorkville Ex Mayor Flips on Landfill?"
- A. I remember that headline, yes.
- 4 Q. You were quoted in the article a
- number of times, so I just wonder if you'd read it.
- People tend to usually read stuff that they're
- 7 quoted in, right?
- 8 A. There's so many articles, though. I
- 9 remember the headline, though, so I probably read
- 10 it.
- 11 Q. Ms. Gillers, in one paragraph, refers
- to you as, "Burd, who has long criticized the
- landfill plan." Is that an accurate
- 14 characterization by her?
- A. No, and I've been concerned about that
- 16 kind of -- as Alderman Spears said, the newspapers
- tend to lump things -- they're looking for a quick
- description instead of really going into the issue.
- Q. Did you call Ms. Gillers and ask her
- for a retraction of that characterization?
- A. That specific one, probably not.
- Q. Now, on May 23rd, the city council met
- to deliberate on the landfill application, correct?
- A. I believe so, yes.

- Q. All right. Let me hand you a copy of
- 2 the transcript from May 23rd.
- MR. MUELLER: And actually,
- 4 Mr. Halloran, even though it's already part of the
- record, for ease of citation, we're going to move to
- 6 admit another copy as Fox Moraine Exhibit Number 18.
- 7 MR. HALLORAN: Okay. What is it
- 8 again, Mr. Mueller?
- 9 MR. MUELLER: FM18.
- MR. HALLORAN: I mean the document
- 11 itself. Is that the minutes of the --
- MR. MUELLER: It is the transcript of
- May 23rd and 24th, 2008, which was sent to us by the
- 14 city as part of the written decision, and appended
- to our petition to review. So the only reason I'm
- re-offering it is for ease of citation and briefing.
- MR. HALLORAN: Thanks. Any objection?
- MR. HOPP: No objection, your Honor.
- MR. HALLORAN: Okay. Exhibit 18 is
- 20 entered.
- MR. HOPP: So this is 18?
- MR. HALLORAN: Eighteen.
- MR. HOPP: And that's both days, one
- 24 exhibit?

- MR. MUELLER: Yes.
- 2 BY MR. MUELLER:
- 9 Q. Ms. Burd, as I told Ms. Spears, May
- 4 23rd is the mini transcript with four pages per
- 5 page, and May 24th is the full size.
- 6 Directing your attention to
- 7 Page 21 on May 23rd, do you see your statement on --
- 8 starting on Line 10?
- 9 A. Tell me again how this is --
- Q. All right. The small print pages, the
- one where there's four to a page, that's the May
- 12 23rd one.
- A. Okay.
- 14 Q. The full size 12 point print is the
- 15 May 24th transcript.
- A. So which one did you want me to look
- 17 at, the small?
- MR. MUELLER: And actually Mr. Porter
- 19 has a wonderful suggestion.
- MR. PORTER: I'd suggest we bust them
- out and have one be 17 and one be 18 or whatever
- number.
- MR. MUELLER: Eighteen and 19.
- MR. PORTER: Eighteen and 19.

- THE WITNESS: So what page did you
- want me to look at?
- MR. HALLORAN: So 18 would be May
- 4 23rd?
- 5 MR. MUELLER: Yeah, and 19 would be --
- 6 MR. PORTER: May I approach the
- 7 witness and facilitate that?
- MR. HALLORAN: Yes, you may.
- 9 MR. PORTER: So I'm handing 18, which
- is May 23rd, to the witness, and 19, which is May
- 11 24th, to the witness.
- 12 BY MR. MUELLER:
- Q. Are you on Page 21? That's the top
- left page. Number 21 is in the top right corner of
- the page, but it's the top left page. You'll see
- the pages are numbered individually at the bottom
- right of each one. I'm talking about right here.
- Okay. Do you see your comment
- 19 starting at Line 10 where --
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. -- you say, "Yes, it does change
- everything. The hearing officer is no longer in
- charge. That's why he's not needed tonight. It's
- back under the mayor, and the mayor is the one who

- is back and running the meeting."
- 2 A. Yes.
- Q. And the mayor obviously was yourself
- 4 at that time?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. The hearing officer was Larry Price,
- 7 correct -- or Larry Clark?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Was Mr. Clark invited to the May 23rd
- 10 meeting?
- 11 A. I don't know.
- Q. Was he told to stay home?
- A. No, I don't think so.
- Q. What direction was he given by you
- regarding whether or not to attend May 23rd?
- A. I don't remember giving him any
- direction. I just -- we were convening a regular
- 18 city council meeting.
- 19 Q. Did you give any direction to your
- attorneys as to Mr. Clark's attendance?
- MR. HOPP: Objection. Privileged.
- MR. MUELLER: I think her direction is
- not privileged if it goes to the issue of attendance
- of a material person.

- MR. HALLORAN: I'm sorry. Could you
- read Mr. Mueller's question back, please?
- 3 (Whereupon, the record was read as
- 4 requested.)
- MR. HALLORAN: Yeah, I think she can
- 6 answer that. Objection overruled, but we can't go
- any further than that, Mr. Mueller.
- MR. MUELLER: I understand.
- 9 MR. HALLORAN: Go ahead.
- THE WITNESS: I don't recall having
- any discussions on it at all.
- 12 BY MR. MUELLER:
- Q. What about Mr. Price? Was he invited
- to the meeting on May 23rd?
- A. I don't recall. I didn't invite
- anybody. It was a regular city council meeting.
- Q. Was Mr. Price specifically told not to
- 18 come?
- A. Not that I recall.
- Q. Now, Mr. Price had submitted a report
- on behalf of the city staff recommending approval
- with conditions, didn't he?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And Mr. Clark, the hearing officer,

- 1 likewise submitted a report on behalf of the hearing
- officer recommending approval with conditions. Is
- 3 that right?
- 4 A. Yes.
- ⁵ Q. Was there a third report submitted by
- 6 the Wildman firm?
- 7 MR. HOPP: Objection. Privileged.
- 8 MR. MUELLER: I'm just asking if there
- 9 was a report.
- MR. HALLORAN: I think he can ask
- 11 that, but I'm going to make a ruling --
- MR. HOPP: You did, your Honor.
- MR. MUELLER: The ruling was you
- weren't going to make him produce it.
- MR. HALLORAN: Right. You can ask the
- question, Mr. Mueller. Ms. Burd may answer.
- 17 THE WITNESS: I don't recall
- 18 specifically. I'm sure there was. I would hope
- 19 there was.
- 20 BY MR. MUELLER:
- Q. And I'm going to ask, then, did that
- report recommend denial --
- MR. HOPP: Objection.
- MR. MUELLER: I've asked it as an

- offer of proof. I don't even want to argue it.
- MR. HOPP: Your Honor, I would -- as
- this is legally privileged, and there is absolutely
- 4 no objection to this legal privilege, I would object
- 5 to the offer of proof, and --
- 6 MR. HALLORAN: I agree with you
- 7 totally, Mr. Hopp. And you can't even do
- 8 it -- I'm not going to let you do it as an offer of
- 9 proof, Mr. Mueller.
- MR. MUELLER: Just for the record,
- 11 then -- I don't want to argue with you,
- Mr. Halloran, but to the extent that the report was
- considered by decision makers in their decision, I
- 14 respectfully take issue with your ruling.
- MR. HALLORAN: Okay.
- 16 BY MR. MUELLER:
- Q. Now, on May 24th, Ms. Burd, were there
- 18 resolutions brought to the meeting by your
- 19 attorneys?
- A. Yes, there were.
- Q. How many resolutions?
- A. Three.
- 23 Q. And --
- MR. HALLORAN: Mr. Mueller, if I may

- stop you for a minute, I know you take objection --
- 2 respectfully object to my ruling, and I still can't
- find the order it was in, but I don't think you
- 4 appealed my ruling back then, correct? Or am I --
- MR. MUELLER: We did not appeal it
- 6 directly to the Board.
- 7 MR. HALLORAN: Okay. I just want to
- 8 make sure that -- I'm not being, you know,
- 9 sarcastic. I just wanted to make sure that --
- MR. MUELLER: No. We thought we'd let
- the whole thing go with the case.
- MR. HALLORAN: Okay. Thank you, sir.
- 13 BY MR. MUELLER:
- Q. Ms. Burd, let me show you a copy of
- resolution 2007-36, if I may. Is that the
- resolution denying the siting application?
- 17 A. That's what it says, yes.
- Q. And that's the final resolution,
- 19 correct?
- A. It appears to be.
- Q. All right. You were here for the
- testimony of Rose Spears, right?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. So let's just try to provide some

- context for what occurred. Is it correct that the
- alderman, on April 24th, started to orally add
- 3 conditions in the event that the Pollution Control
- 4 Board reversed the denial?
- 5 MR. DOMBROWSKI: We object,
- 6 Mr. Halloran. The case law is clear that the only
- 7 requirement is that the city council produce a
- 8 written decision, which it did. This line of
- 9 questioning also -- even though Mayor Burd did not
- vote on the application, intrudes on the
- deliberative process to others.
- MR. HALLORAN: Mr. Mueller?
- MR. MUELLER: How can she know what
- others deliberative processes were? Secondly, I'm
- just trying to understand -- and the question is
- really preliminary -- of what this resolution 07-36
- represents, because it appears to us, from the
- 18 record, that it was something produced several days
- after the meeting, and I think the witness may
- readily admit that, if given the chance.
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: But still, the line
- of questioning is irrelevant, and not proper to this
- 23 proceeding. They -- the city council voted on the
- landfill application, voted to deny it, and produced

- a written decision, and that's all they're required
- 2 to do.
- MR. MUELLER: Okay. Could you read
- 4 back Mr. Mueller's question? Sorry.
- 5 (Whereupon, the record was read as
- e requested.)
- 7 MR. HALLORAN: Yeah. I'm going to
- 8 sustain the objection. I mean, the document speaks
- 9 for itself. Any further than that, I think you're
- right into the invasion of the mental processes.
- MR. MUELLER: I'd like to do this as
- an offer of proof, and it doesn't go to legal
- privilege. I think this is part of the same bad
- 14 faith that we've been talking about.
- MR. HALLORAN: That's fair enough, and
- 16 I understand Yorkville would sustain -- or repeat
- their objection. I'll let it in as an offer of
- 18 proof. Go ahead, Mr. Mueller. Thank you.
- 19 BY MR. MUELLER:
- Q. Go ahead and answer the question if
- you can, Mayor.
- A. Yes. As far as I know, they did start
- 23 adding conditions.
- Q. And isn't it true that what then

- 1 happened was after the meeting was over, your
- 2 attorneys went and created a new resolution that
- incorporated some, but not all, of the verbal
- 4 conditions that were added by the alderman on the
- 5 24th?
- A. They created the resolution based on
- 7 the direction of the city council, and also based on
- 8 the direction not to add anything that might be
- 9 illegal.
- Q. And that direction came from you,
- correct, not to add anything that might be illegal?
- 12 A. Well, that's the standard we always --
- yeah. That's the direction I always give.
- Q. Okay.
- MR. HALLORAN: And -- okay. We were
- still on an offer of proof?
- MR. MUELLER: Right, and we still are.
- MR. HALLORAN: Okay.
- 19 BY MR. MUELLER:
- Q. And just to wrap this up, then, real
- quickly, the resolution numbered 07-36 was not the
- document in front of the city council on the night
- of May 24th. Is that right?
- A. Actually, it's my belief that it

- would've been, because it would've -- at the end of
- their deliberation, it would've been the document
- 3 that they came up with with one final run through by
- 4 this -- the attorneys to make sure there was nothing
- 5 illegal. So they are the ones who created it, and
- at the end of the day, the ones that they voted on
- 7 would've included these, as far as I understand it.
- Q. Well, they were adding conditions on
- 9 the 24th, which was, like, a 20-minute meeting,
- weren't they? That's when Rose Spears added her
- condition of the specification for the aboveground
- 12 storage tanks, which appears in this resolution as
- 13 item number N.
- A. Right. That's what I said, during the
- city council meeting. What they call that is an
- amended resolution, and so after debate and after
- input from the city council, they amended the
- 18 resolution to include all of these things.
- MR. HALLORAN: Mr. Mueller, were you
- 20 referring to 0?
- MR. MUELLER: O. Excuse me.
- MR. HALLORAN: Okay. Thank you.
- BY MR. MUELLER:
- Q. And the -- but the actual final

- resolution wasn't typed until a couple days later,
- or are you saying what we're actually seeing here is
- 3 07-36 was fully in front of the city council when
- 4 they voted?
- 5 A. What they would've had was the
- 6 basic -- and then they added to it, and then the
- 7 clerk would've read it back to them with these
- 8 particulars as amended, and that's what they
- 9 would've voted on.
- 10 So they would start out -- this is
- the way they always do things: They start out with
- the basic resolution. If there is a motion to
- amend, then they add on to it. The clerk reads it
- back, and then they vote on what they've created.
- 15 Q. And that would all be reflected in the
- minutes of May 24th, correct?
- 17 A. Yes. Yes, sir.
- Q. And if it's not reflected in those
- minutes, then it didn't happen, right?
- A. Well, the minutes are the official
- minutes, so things happen that aren't reflected in
- the minutes, because the minutes are often just a
- 23 generalization of what occurred.
- Q. Well, it would all be reflected in the

- 1 transcript of May 24th then, wouldn't it?
- A. It should be, yes.
- Q. And if it's not reflected there, it
- 4 didn't happen?
- 5 A. That's correct.
- Q. Can I -- and this is still part of the
- offer of proof -- take you back to the Wildman
- 8 invoice for a second?
- 9 A. Yes.
- Q. All right. If you go to the fifth
- 11 page of that invoice, do you see a charge from May
- 12 25th for several revisions to draft a resolution
- 13 from Anthony Hopp?
- A. Mm-hmm.
- Q. And also a similar charge on the same
- day for revise city council resolution from Leo
- 17 Dombrowski?
- A. Mm-hmm.
- MR. PORTER: Were those both yeses?
- THE WITNESS: Yes, I'm sorry.
- 21 BY MR. MUELLER:
- Q. And that's the day after the vote,
- 23 correct?
- 24 A. On the 25th?

- 1 O. Yes.
- A. Yes.
- Q. And then you see, as late as May 29th,
- 4 a charge for Mike Roth for one half hour for a
- 5 conference with Mr. Olsen regarding resolution
- 6 revisions?
- A. I see that, yes.
- Q. Is it still your belief that the
- 9 resolution number 07-36 was in front of the city
- council members in its current form at the time they
- 11 voted on May 24th?
- 12 A. I would think it would have to be in
- the hands of the clerk, not the -- not in front of
- each alderman, because the clerk reads back to them
- what they wanted included.
- Q. All right. Just one final question --
- or two questions actually.
- MR. HALLORAN: Still under an offer of
- 19 proof?
- MR. MUELLER: Yes.
- 21 BY MR. MUELLER:
- 22 O. Who decided which oral conditions that
- were proposed by various council members on May 24th
- were going to be added in and which ones were going

- 1 to be left out?
- A. Who decided? I would think it
- would've been -- well, it would be the attorneys
- saying whether or not they were legal. That's the
- only ones that should've been left out were if they
- 6 were illegal.
- Q. And was that decision ever approved by
- 8 a vote of the city council?
- 9 A. No, I don't believe it was.
- Q. That concludes the offer of proof.
- Now, Ms. Burd, on May 23rd, you
- did give your personal opinion about the
- application, didn't you?
- 14 A. In what way?
- Q. Well, didn't you indicate that you
- thought criteria three, six, eight and ten had not
- 17 been met?
- MR. HOPP: Objection. Deliberative
- 19 process.
- MR. MUELLER: She was not a decision
- 21 maker. She's trying to influence the decision
- 22 makers, and that goes to --
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: She couldn't have
- been a decision maker, because if the vote had been

- four to four, she would've been a decision maker.
- MR. HALLORAN: Yeah, I agree. I think
- you've jumped the line, Mr. Mueller, if you will.
- 4 MR. MUELLER: Well, actually I'm
- 5 asking her what she said. I'm not going into the
- 6 process. I just want to get into what she said,
- 7 Mr. Halloran.
- MR. HOPP: The record is clear.
- 9 MR. HALLORAN: Yeah. No, sustained.
- 10 BY MR. MUELLER:
- 11 Q. If I can direct you to Page 111 of the
- 12 May 23rd transcript, do you have that?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. Let me back up for one second. I
- apologize. Let's go to Page 107.
- 16 A. Excuse me. Could I ask a question?
- 17 Q. Sure.
- 18 A. This is the meeting of the 23rd?
- 19 O. Yes.
- A. Well, based on the page you just sent
- 21 me to, it says that -- see, I'll be honest, my
- 22 recollections aren't concrete. But this says that I
- would like to move that we direct our attorneys to a
- resolution consistent with tonight's deliberations

- 1 for consideration.
- So it sounds like, based on what
- you just directed me to, that I asked the attorneys
- 4 to incorporate whatever it was that the alderman had
- 5 brought forth that day, and stick it into the
- 6 resolution to change the one they originally brought
- 7 in. So it sounds like it did incorporate --
- 8 Q. Well, there was more that happened on
- 9 the 24th, correct?
- 10 A. Yeah, but it sounds like this was a
- 11 living document that was being changed by the
- 12 attorneys as we moved along, and they were
- incorporating with --
- 14 Q. Let's go to Page 107 and 108, if we
- 15 may?
- A. Okay.
- 17 Q. Directing you to the bottom of
- Page 107, Line 23, and then starting at the top of
- 19 Page 108, did you state that it, "Made we wonder
- about the credibility of the applicant's experts?"
- MR. HOPP: Objection, your Honor.
- Deliberative process. The record speaks for itself.
- MR. HALLORAN: Well, you know, if the
- record speaks for itself, I mean, I think you can

- 1 ask it.
- MR. HOPP: Well, it's --
- MR. HALLORAN: I mean, if it's -- if
- 4 it's on the record, then yeah.
- MR. HOPP: It's repetitive. I mean,
- 6 he's now asking --
- 7 MR. HALLORAN: Well, it's
- 8 repetitive --
- 9 MR. HOPP: Any questions based on this
- 10 I'm objecting to.
- MR. HALLORAN: I agree. I agree.
- 12 BY MR. MUELLER:
- Q. Well, if the record speaks for itself,
- then let me ask this: Was your purpose in stating
- that to undermine the credibility of the applicants'
- experts in the minds of the city council members?
- MR. HOPP: Objection. Deliberative
- process.
- MR. HALLORAN: I agree. Sustained.
- MR. MUELLER: And I need to do that as
- an offer of proof, and then I think we'll be done.
- MR. HALLORAN: I know there's an
- objection from Yorkville.
- MR. HOPP: There's an objection.

- MR. HALLORAN: Yeah. I'll allow -- I
- sustain the objection. I'll allow it in as an offer
- of proof.
- 4 BY MR. MUELLER:
- 5 Q. As an offer of proof, was your purpose
- in questioning the credibility the applicants'
- 7 experts to undermine the strength of their case in
- 8 the eyes of the other council members?
- 9 A. I have no conscious thought of doing
- that. When I read through this here -- apparently I
- even asked if I should comment, and our city
- 12 attorney, Mr. Roth, said yes, I should go ahead and
- comment. So I was just stating my opinion.
- Q. Do you still have your campaign
- materials, by the way?
- 16 A. I think so. I have some at home, yes.
- Q. Where are they physically located at?
- 18 A. If I have them they'd be in my house
- in my filing cabinet.
- Q. And you'd be willing to produce them
- if the hearing officer ordered that?
- A. Absolutely.
- Q. Were they generated on a computer or
- in some other fashion?

- 1 A. One of them was printed up
- 2 professionally. Some of the other ones, post cards,
- 3 that kind of thing, were printed up professionally.
- 4 Some of them were done off of my home computer.
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: Mr. Hearing Officer,
- 6 I'd like to interject, for the record, they have
- 7 never asked for general campaign materials. They
- 8 have asked for things related to landfill
- 9 application. Things related to the application --
- landfill application were all produced. If they
- didn't get something that they didn't ask for, they
- 12 should have asked for it.
- MR. HOPP: And further --
- MR. HALLORAN: Is that true,
- 15 Mr. Mueller?
- MR. MUELLER: I don't have my
- discovery response in front of me. My file is about
- 18 six boxes worth. So I can't tell you whether it was
- or not, but I don't think it's too late if it's not
- burdensome to make a supplemental request for
- campaign materials. I mean, Robyn Sutcliff provided
- them and apparently thought that they were within
- the scope of the original request.
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: Robyn Sutcliff

- 1 provided them because the word landfill appears in a
- 2 couple places in Robyn Sutcliff's document
- protection. That's why those were provided.
- 4 Mr. Mueller received our documents probably a year
- 5 and a half ago. They never asked for any general
- 6 campaign literature, therefore no general campaign
- 7 literature was produced. And for him to make a
- 8 supplemental document request on the first day of
- 9 the hearing is simply improper.
- MR. MUELLER: You know -- and I guess
- my point is: How do we know that they didn't deal
- with the subject of this hearing unless we see them?
- MR. HOPP: We have the same problem
- with any document in the world, your Honor.
- MR. HALLORAN: Well, my interaction
- would be this: I would -- I'm not making a ruling
- as to the admissibility or the inadmissibility, but
- 18 I would ask Ms. Burd if you could locate the
- 19 literature that Mr. Mueller has been talking about,
- and I'll take a look at it, and we can make a ruling
- tomorrow. And it looks like we'll be here until
- Thursday, if need be.
- MR. MUELLER: That's all I have.
- 24 Thank you.

- MR. HALLORAN: Thank you, Mr. Mueller.
- 2 Mr. Dombrowski, Mr. Hopp?
- MR. HOPP: Your Honor, this may take a
- 4 few minutes. Can I suggest a five-minute break?
- 5 MR. HALLORAN: Sure. Ten. Thank you.
- 6 (Whereupon, a break was taken,
- 7 after which the following
- proceedings were had.)
- 9 MR. HALLORAN: Mr. Hopp?
- 10 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 11 BY MR. HOPP:
- Q. Mayor Burd, let's start with a very
- brief discussion of the July 15th, 2007, invoice
- 14 from the Wildman Harrold law firm. Was that invoice
- eventually presented to the city council for
- 16 approval?
- 17 A. Yes, it was.
- Q. And was it approved by the city
- 19 council?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. Subsequent to the date that's on it,
- 22 June 15th?
- A. Yes. It was in August, I think, yeah.
- Q. Let's talk about Fox Moraine. You

- said Fox Moraine has not paid some invoices. Do you
- 2 remember that testimony?
- A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Is it accurate that Fox Moraine hasn't
- 5 paid any invoices for any work on the siting
- 6 application after the day you were elected?
- A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. And that's what you're currently suing
- 9 for?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. All right. Now, you did campaign for
- mayor in 2007. Is that right?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. And during the siting application and
- during your campaign, were you actually given a card
- by Dirk Price, similar to or identical to the one
- that Rose Spears read into the record?
- 18 A. I was given a card, yes.
- Q. Was it the same card?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. Containing the same statements?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you run on an anti-landfill
- 24 campaign platform?

- A. No, I did not.
- Q. Did you ever put your yard signs next
- 3 to any anti-landfill signs?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. Did you discuss the landfill at all
- 6 during the campaign in any of your campaign
- 7 appearances?
- 8 A. No, I did not. In fact, if somebody
- 9 tried to broach that subject, I always said, "I
- can't discuss that, I'm sorry," and some of them got
- angry.
- 12 Q. Fair enough. Let's talk about -- a
- 13 little bit about Rose Spears and the issue of
- 14 research. Are you aware of Rose Spears ever doing
- any research outside the record as it was presented
- at the landfill siting hearings?
- A. No, I'm not.
- Q. Mr. Mueller used the term disruptive a
- couple of times to talk about some of the landfill
- hearings. Do you remember that?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you -- let's back up a little.
- You've been mayor now for two years?
- A. Mm-hmm.

- 1 Q. And previously, you'd been an alderman
- for how many years?
- A. Nine.
- 4 Q. And you probably attended dozens of
- 5 city council meetings and other types of meetings
- for the city. Is that right?
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. Do meetings sometimes get boisterous?
- 9 A. Often.
- Q. Were the landfill siting hearings any
- more boisterous or disruptive than hearings on any
- other subject that comes before the city?
- 13 A. I think -- I think they were in with
- 14 all of the ones that are like that. There are quite
- a few we don't have anybody show up, but there are
- certain issues that people get excited about, you
- know, when you're raising their taxes, you know, dog
- ordinances, things that affect them directly. It
- was pretty much what we're used to.
- Q. So it's not unusual for people to come
- to city council meetings and speak their minds on
- issues?
- A. No. We just had one on a wind energy
- ordinance that we had people come and speak for two

- 1 hours on.
- 2 Q. Sometimes they raise their voice?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Does that bother you?
- 5 A. They call us crazy, they talk about
- our egos. You know, they attack us personally, but
- 7 that -- that's normal.
- 8 Q. Does that affect the way you do your
- 9 job as mayor?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. Did it ever affect the way you did
- your job as an alderman?
- 13 A. No.
- Q. Mr. Mueller asked you about a sign
- that apparently is somewhere outside the hearing
- 16 room here today. Did you put that sign up?
- 17 A. No. I was surprised to see it there.
- 18 Q. Did you recommend that anybody put it
- 19 up?
- A. No. It wasn't there when I came in,
- 21 no.
- Q. You spoke a little earlier during some
- of your testimony about process, and you talked
- about the annexation process. Is process something

- that's important to you in terms of city government?
- A. It's very important to me, and it's
- one of the reasons why I liked Wildman Harrold as a
- firm. I was looking for somebody who would really
- be sticklers with the legal process. It was mainly
- 6 my contention with the former administration and the
- 7 former city attorney. I want everything to be done
- 8 legally and by the statutes, and I'm probably very
- 9 much a stick in the mud when it comes to that kind
- of thing. So I think that probably is the most
- important thing to me.
- Q. Well, let's talk about the annexation
- process. Did you have some issues -- did you have
- some issues with the way that the annexation process
- was handled?
- 16 A. I had issues with the way the process
- was handled, how quickly it was done. These
- meetings that we had that circumvented the Open
- 19 Meetings Act -- we actually had one session where
- something was done in violation of the Open Meetings
- 21 Act, and the city of Yorkville was sited for that,
- so we had to revote because it was an improper vote.
- There were just several issues
- like that that I was very upset with, and when I

- would try to voice these concerns to the former
- 2 mayor and the other officials involved, they just,
- 3 you know, didn't want to discuss it.
- 4 Q. Let's talk a little bit about the
- 5 statement that Mr. Mueller talked about. This is
- the one that shows up in the newspaper article, the
- 7 statement that the aldermen were asked to sign at
- 8 some meeting of the city council. Do you remember
- 9 that discussion?
- 10 A. Yes.
- Q. All right. Was that statement offered
- 12 at a city council meeting or a meeting of the
- committee of the whole?
- A. Actually, it wasn't at either. It was
- after the committee of the whole meeting. It was
- something presented by Mr. Besco.
- Q. All right. And it's been called -- at
- various points during the day here, it's been called
- 19 a resolution. Was it a resolution?
- A. No, it was not.
- Q. What do you mean by that? Why was it
- not a city council resolution?
- A. Well, a resolution would've had to be
- 24 presented during a regular meeting of the city

- 1 council. And the aldermen never signs resolutions,
- the mayor signs the resolution. It was not an
- official document of the city. It was a campaign --
- 4 piece of campaign propaganda that was done after the
- meeting by the former mayor, and I think he even
- 6 commented on it in a paper, that it was PR for him
- 7 and his supporters, and it was used in his own
- 8 advertising for his campaign. So obviously I
- 9 wouldn't want to be in his campaign literature.
- Q. Okay. You were running against him at
- 11 the time?
- 12 A. Yes. I didn't want to endorse him.
- MR. HOPP: That's all I have,
- 14 Mr. Halloran.
- MR. HALLORAN: Thank you.
- 16 Mr. Mueller?
- MR. MUELLER: Nothing further.
- MR. HALLORAN: All right. Thank you,
- 19 Mayor. You may step down. And I think you still --
- you may be called as a rebuttal. Who knows. I'm
- sorry, you're not excluded. What I did mess up on
- is Mr. Parish was still in the room after he
- testified, which could be -- he could be called as a
- rebuttal. But I promised him -- he wants to make a

- 1 public comment now, and I think he has to leave and
- 2 he's in the other room.
- Does anyone else want to give a
- 4 public comment or a public statement after
- 5 Mr. Parish? I don't see any hands.
- 6 Hi, Mr. Parish. I just want to
- 7 preface it that you were supposed to leave the room
- 8 after you testified.
- 9 MR. PARISH: I know.
- MR. HALLORAN: I just want to -- based
- on the record -- excuse me, sir -- just based on the
- record. So you may still be called as a rebuttal.
- We don't know. So I would ask you to confine your
- comments to other areas, other than Mayor Burd's
- testimony that you just heard.
- MR. PARISH: Okay.
- MR. HALLORAN: Okay. So would you
- like to give an oral statement or a public comment?
- MR. PARISH: You know, when you say
- 20 that -- and I did listen to Mayor Burd's statement,
- but I would like to make it about me, though, and
- what we were doing.
- MR. HALLORAN: Okay. That's fine.
- But do you want to get sworn in and then you're

- 1 subject to cross?
- MR. PARISH: It would be fine -- well,
- 3 it doesn't make any difference.
- 4 MR. HALLORAN: It's your call. You
- 5 can stand up there and state your peace --
- MR. PARISH: I'll make the statement,
- 7 and if somebody wants to ask me about it that's
- 8 fine.
- 9 MR. HALLORAN: So you don't want to
- 10 get sworn in?
- MR. PARISH: No, I won't be sworn.
- MR. HALLORAN: Okay. You can step up.
- MR. PARISH: And the only thing I
- 14 wanted to say was --
- MR. HALLORAN: And you're Robert
- 16 Parish?
- MR. PARISH: Ron Parish.
- 18 MR. HALLORAN: Ron Parish.
- MR. PARISH: Yorkville is our home
- town, and we were interested in Yorkville being the
- 21 type of city that would be conducive for people to
- live and raise their children.
- I was concerned -- I have a
- property on the river, as well as a property on 71.

- 1 I was concerned about the dam products, I was
- 2 concerned about the water towers that were going to
- be built, I was concerned about the pond that was
- 4 going to be put in my backyard -- because my
- 5 grandson at the time was only ten years old -- I was
- 6 concerned about the traffic that was going to be on
- 7 Highway 71, and I was concerned about many, many
- 8 other things than the landfill, even though it has
- 9 been condensed down to just the landfill.
- 10 And our past mayor did not listen
- to anything that anybody had to say, and thank
- 12 goodness that we do have Valerie Burd as a mayor,
- because she does listen. I have not asked Valerie
- to do anything for me since she's come into office,
- because they are running an open government, and
- they're treating the business the way it should be
- 17 treated.
- 18 If you could've been in our town
- before, we didn't have a say. I was told I was not
- a member of the city. My wife was born in this town
- 21 and lived there -- we're not a citizen here. I was
- told I didn't have any comment, and I was told by
- the mayor and three of the counselmen that it was a
- done deal I shouldn't even ask anything about it,

- anything. And it wasn't just the landfill, it was I
- shouldn't ask about the water tower, I shouldn't ask
- about the pond, I shouldn't ask about the dam
- because it had nothing to do with us.
- And I just wanted to say thank
- 6 goodness that we do have Valerie as a mayor, and we
- do have an open government now. And it's not just
- 8 the one issue. You can twist it to what you want
- 9 the issue to be. I supported Valerie Burd because I
- totally disliked the other mayor, and I supported
- the other candidates that I supported because I
- totally disliked the other candidates because they
- were out for themselves what they could do, and they
- did not listen to anybody from the county. And
- 15 that's it.
- MR. HALLORAN: All right. Thank you,
- 17 Mr. Parish. Appreciate it.
- MR. PARISH: Thank you.
- MR. HALLORAN: All right. Mr. Mueller
- 20 and Mr. Porter?
- MR. PORTER: We're going to call Robyn
- 22 Sutcliff.
- MR. HALLORAN: We can go off the
- 24 record.

About the same time you started

that campaign, you started attending board meetings.

20

21

22

23

24

campaign -- strike that.

Yes.

Is that right?

Α.

- MR. HALLORAN: Speak up, please.
- THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Yes.
- 3 BY MR. HALLORAN:
- Q. I actually misspoke. You started
- 5 attending the city council meetings at that time.
- 6 Is that correct?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. You also published a web page
- 9 summarizing and commenting on the meetings. Is that
- 10 right?
- 11 A. Yes.
- Q. And what was the name of that web
- page?
- 14 A. Third Ward Advisor.
- 15 Q. Okay.
- A. Dot com.
- 17 Q. I'm going to hand you several
- documents here. It's going to take me a moment.
- 19 I've handed you a set of exhibits marked FM20
- through 23. Is that correct?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. And those are copies of the web pages
- that you produced to my client. Is that right?
- A. Possibly some of these may be.

- Q. Let me ask it this way --
- 2 A. Okay.
- Q. What are FM20 through 23?
- 4 A. Some of this material may have been on
- 5 my website, and some of it may have been drafts. I
- 6 pulled everything from my computer that met the
- 7 requirements.
- Q. All of this is material that you
- 9 personally drafted that relates to the landfill
- while you were campaigning. Is that correct?
- 11 A. These are the -- all the information I
- 12 could find that met the criteria, yes.
- Q. Okay. And are those true and accurate
- 14 copies of that material?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. Now, what was the purpose behind --
- well, strike that.
- Isn't it true that the purpose
- behind drafting this material was to facilitate your
- 20 election to the city council?
- A. Ultimately, yes.
- Q. And isn't it true -- well, strike
- that.
- Did you make any comments

- concerning the propriety of siting the landfill in
- these web pages?
- A. Could you rephrase that, please?
- Q. Well, did you draft these documents in
- order to summarize certain meetings that were
- 6 happening at city council?
- 7 A. Yes.
- Q. And in those summaries, did you make
- any statements, either in favor or against the
- proposed landfill?
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: I'll object to the
- question. The documents say whatever they say. If
- he'd like to ask her about a particular statement, I
- think that's fine. But twisting this into something
- 15 else, I think, is improper.
- MR. HALLORAN: Well, you know, I --
- overruled. If Mr. Porter could direct her attention
- to where he's leading here.
- MR. PORTER: Well, the reason I asked
- it the way I did, Mr. Halloran, is I've asked it
- that way before.
- 22 BY MR. PORTER: =
- Q. Is it safe to say that there was
- 24 commentary contained on that website against the

- proposed landfill?
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: I'll object to the
- question. That mischaracterizes the documents.
- 4 MR. HALLORAN: You know, overruled.
- 5 She can answer if she's able.
- 6 THE WITNESS: If there are -- from my
- 7 recollection, if there's information on here with
- 8 some kind of decision on it, it is not from me.
- 9 It's not a quote from me. I had quotes from other
- 10 people that may be construed that way, but they
- weren't from me. I was reporting on events that I
- 12 attended. So I don't want that to appear to be my
- opinion.
- 14 BY MR. PORTER:
- Q. Okay. Let's take a look at some of
- the specific web pages. Isn't it true that at FM20
- 17 you explicitly indicated that there were, at the
- top, "50 concerned citizens gathered at the steps of
- city hall armed with signs and concern for the
- 20 future of their community?"
- A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Those 50 concerned citizens you're
- talking about were armed with signs that said no
- 24 landfill. Is that correct?

- MR. HALLORAN: Is that a yes or no?
- 2 I'm sorry.
- THE WITNESS: It doesn't say that
- 4 exactly. It's paraphrasing. So could you say that
- 5 again? I'm sorry.
- 6 BY MR. PORTER:
- 7 Q. Isn't it true that the 50 concerned
- 8 citizens you were referring to of being armed with
- 9 signs were holding signs that said no landfill?
- 10 A. Some of them said that.
- Q. Well, isn't it true that you reported,
- 12 "A standing room only crowd proudly displayed the no
- 13 landfill signs?"
- 14 A. Yes, it does say that.
- Q. All right. So your comments that they
- were armed with signs and concern for the future and
- that they were proudly displayed, aren't those,
- indeed, comments in support of the position that
- they were espousing?
- A. Those particular people, right. There
- were people there without signs. There were people
- that had different signs. There were a lot of
- different people there, and there may have been
- 24 people there in support of it. I don't know. I

- didn't speak to them all, it's just what I saw.
- Q. Okay. Isn't it true that your web
- page gave explicit direction to the readers to
- 4 FOGY's website? And again, I'm referring now to
- 5 exhibit FM20.
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And at any time, did you give any
- 8 direction as to how one could contact the applicant?
- 9 A. Not that I recall.
- 10 Q. You certainly provided no web address
- or e-mail addresses for the applicant, did you?
- 12 A. No.
- Q. Your web page mentions that -- well,
- 14 strike that.
- You commented on some statements
- of Mayor Prohaska, is that correct, at FM20?
- 17 A. FM20, yes.
- Q. And in particular, you mentioned that
- it was your interpretation of a comment that he made
- that, "Regardless of what the people want, there may
- be a dump here anyway." Did you make that statement
- in your web page?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And you made that statement because

- 1 you were wanting to make sure the reader viewed
- 2 Mayor Prohaska's comments in a bad light. Isn't
- 3 that correct?
- 4 A. Well, I -- I think those comments
- 5 stand on their own. But I was making a comment,
- 6 yes, on it myself.
- 7 Q. And you would agree that the comments
- 8 that you were making were anti-landfill. Isn't that
- 9 right?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. You did direct the reader to contact
- the current alderman to let them know how you'd like
- them to represent you in this important upcoming
- 14 vote. Isn't that correct?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. And your intent there, again, was to
- be sure the readers voiced their opinion against the
- 18 landfill. Isn't that right?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. Now, at that meeting that you were
- 21 attending, which was the -- is that the January 3rd,
- 22 2007, meeting? I'm sorry, January 2nd, 2007,
- 23 meeting.
- A. I don't -- I don't see a date on here.

- 1 If you could direct me --
- Q. If you'll take a look at FM21, and --
- 3 A. Okay.
- 4 Q. -- in particular, if you want to take
- 5 a look at the second page of that, where you, again,
- 6 talk about Mayor Prohaska's statements, I think
- you'll see that these are two machinations of your
- 8 summary and comments of the same meeting. Is that
- 9 correct, FM21 and FM20?
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: Object to the form.
- 11 What's a machination?
- MR. PORTER: What I'm reading. I will
- 13 rephrase, though.
- 14 BY MR. PORTER:
- 0. Isn't it true that FM20 and FM21 are
- referring to the same meeting?
- 17 A. It appears so, yes.
- Q. And that meeting was January 2nd,
- 19 2007. Is that right?
- 20 A. That's what I see here, yes.
- Q. And you would agree that the comments
- that were being made at that meeting related
- primarily and boisterously about the landfill or
- proposal for a landfill. Is that correct?

- 1 A. The comments from the meeting?
- Q. The comments from the public at that
- 3 meeting.
- 4 A. From the public. That was most of the
- 5 public comment, yes.
- 6 Q. But that meeting was actually supposed
- 7 to be about annexation. Isn't that right?
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: I'll object to the
- 9 form. Foundation.
- 10 BY MR. PORTER:
- 11 Q. If you know?
- MR. HALLORAN: Mr. Porter, can you
- rephrase, please?
- 14 BY MR. PORTER:
- 15 Q. If you know, wasn't it -- well, strike
- 16 that.
- You reviewed the agenda for that
- meeting when you attended it, didn't you?
- 19 A. No.
- Q. Did you know that that meeting was
- supposed to be concerning the proposed annexation of
- the property as opposed to the siting for the
- 23 property for a landfill purpose?
- A. I don't know -- I don't recall if I

- 1 knew that at that time.
- Q. Do you know that now?
- 3 A. Yes.
- Q. Well, so you're testifying you were
- 5 unaware at the -- at the time you sat at the meeting
- 6 that the purpose of the meeting was annexation. Is
- 7 that correct?
- 8 A. You asked me if I knew that before the
- 9 meeting. At the meeting, it was stated that this
- was about the annexation. That's most likely when I
- learned about it, but I can't say when I learned
- 12 about it.
- Okay. And at that meeting that was
- supposed to be about annexation, the vast majority
- of comments that were allowed and entertained from
- the public were about proposed -- or a possibly
- 17 proposed landfill. Is that right?
- 18 A. I believe so, yes.
- 19 O. You mentioned in both FM20 and FM21
- that an attorney for the applicant was laughing at
- 21 Rose Spears. Do you recall that?
- 22 A. Can you point -- direct me to where
- 23 that is?
- Q. Well, first I'm asking if you recall

- 1 that.
- A. Vaguely.
- Q. I'll try to find the exact text here.
- 4 I'm going to withdraw that until I can figure out
- 5 where that was.
- You believe that the city council
- 7 was trying to push through the annexation. Is that
- 8 right?
- 9 A. That's what I read in the paper.
- Q. Well, and that was also your opinion.
- 11 Isn't that correct?
- 12 A. That's where my opinion came from,
- 13 yes.
- Q. And ultimately, your web page had
- some -- at FM21, some text concerning what is next.
- 16 Is that correct?
- A. Do you know where that's at? Oh, what
- 18 is next?
- 19 Q. It's marked YOR61, bottom of the
- 20 page --
- A. I see it now.
- 22 Q. -- of FM21.
- A. I see that now. And what do you want
- to know about that?

- 1 Q. Your web page contained some language
- entitled, "What is next." Is that right?
- A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And in that publication, you stated as
- 5 follows: "I'm asking you to take action. If you
- 6 want to stop the landfill, you can do several simple
- 7 things to make a difference."
- 8 Did you write that?
- 9 A. Yes.
- Q. You then went on to say, "Vote for new
- 11 leadership by voting for Robyn Sutcliff on
- 12 April 17th, 2007. I will vote against the
- annexation of a landfill property." Isn't that
- 14 right?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. You also indicated, "At your
- convenience, you should contact your alderman.
- 18 Encourage them to vote against the annexation
- 19 landfill property." Is that correct?
- 20 A. Yes.
- MR. PORTER: I move for admission of
- 22 FM20 and 21.
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: No objection.
- MR. HALLORAN: Okay. No objection.

- 1 Petitioner's Exhibit 20 and 21 are admitted. I
- think that's the first time we got along.
- 3 BY MR. PORTER:
- Q. Now, in FM20 and 21, you never
- 5 commented or posted or wrote about any positive
- 6 comments concerning the landfill. Is that right?
- 7 A. Not that I recall.
- 8 Q. You also reported at FM20 and 21, and
- 9 in particular FM20 -- I'm sorry. Look at 21 on page
- 10 YOR60 -- that Rose Spears was obviously against the
- 11 landfill. Is that right?
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: I'll object to the
- 13 form. It mischaracterizes the document.
- MR. PORTER: I'm not asking her to
- 15 characterize it.
- MR. HALLORAN: I'm sorry. Rebecca,
- 17 could you read that question back?
- 18 (Whereupon, the record was read as
- requested.)
- 20 BY MR. PORTER:
- Q. I'll make it simple and withdraw it.
- Isn't it true that when you attend
- the meeting on January 2nd, 2007, you understood
- that Rose Spears was against the landfill?

- MR. DOMBROWSKI: I'll object to the
- form. It calls for speculation.
- MR. HALLORAN: She can answer if -- if
- you can answer, you may do so.
- 5 THE WITNESS: No.
- 6 BY MR. PORTER:
- 7 Q. Isn't it true that you reported that
- 8 the no landfill sign -- "People applauded on cue
- 9 when their hero, Rose Spears, poked holes in Fox
- Moraine's threatening statements?"
- 11 A. That's what I wrote, yes.
- 12 Q. And how exactly did you come to the
- conclusion that Rose Spears was the hero of the no
- landfill people?
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: I'll object to the
- 16 form. It mischaracterizes her testimony and the
- 17 document.
- MR. HALLORAN: How so?
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: It doesn't say
- 20 anything that Rose Spears, in the document, is
- 21 anti-landfill.
- MR. PORTER: That's not what I asked.
- MR. HALLORAN: Yeah. You don't --
- miss Sutcliff, you can answer if you know.

- 1 Objection overruled.
- THE WITNESS: Can -- I'm sorry. Can
- you state that again?
- 4 BY MR. PORTER:
- 5 Q. How exactly did you come to the
- 6 conclusion that Rose Spears was the hero of the no
- 7 landfill people?
- A. As I recall, when Rose spoke, a lot of
- 9 people cheered.
- 10 Q. Now, when you were campaigning --
- 11 well, strike that.
- Before I go there, FM22 and FM23
- are also copies of documents that you authored and
- 14 posted. Is that correct?
- 15 A. I authored them.
- Q. And you posted them on your website
- for people to review. Is that right?
- 18 A. Well, some of my drafts did not make
- it to the website, so these came off my computer.
- 20 My website is no longer in service, so I couldn't
- say specifically which ones made it to the website.
- 22 But that was the intent of writing them.
- Q. Okay. Are those true and accurate
- copies of the documents that you authored?

- 1 A. They appear to be, yes.
- MR. PORTER: I move for admission of
- 3 22 and 23.
- 4 MR. HALLORAN: Mr. Dombrowski?
- 5 MR. DOMBROWSKI: The trend continues.
- 6 No objection, Mr. Halloran.
- 7 MR. HALLORAN: Thank you. And I
- 8 retract my -- getting along, because it was just in
- 9 the last couple exhibits before that. It was
- supposed to be funny, but it's not.
- In any event, Petitioner's
- 12 Exhibit 22 and 23 are admitted without objection.
- 13 BY MR. PORTER:
- 14 Q. Now, when you were campaigning, at no
- time did you ever tell anyone that you could not
- speak about the landfill. Is that correct?
- 17 A. No, no.
- 18 Q. My statement was correct?
- 19 A. Not the way I heard it, so could you
- 20 say it again?
- Q. While you were campaigning for
- election, you never told the public that you were
- campaigning so that you could not speak about the
- landfill project. Is that right?

- A. I told the public I was not able to
- 2 speak about the landfill.
- Q. Now, you and I have met before. Is
- 4 that correct?
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. And as a matter of fact, I took your
- 7 deposition. Is that right?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And your deposition happened awhile
- 10 back. Is that right?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And as a matter of fact, would you
- agree your recollection was probably fresher when
- 14 you gave your deposition than it is several months
- 15 later today?
- 16 A. It was three weeks ago, but yes.
- Q. And at that time, at Page 32, Line 7,
- isn't it true that I asked you, "At any time, did
- you tell the public while campaigning that you would
- not be approached about the landfill," to which you
- responded no?
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: What line are you at,
- 23 Mr. Porter?
- MR. PORTER: Page 32, Line 7.

- THE WITNESS: I --
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: Well, this is
- improper impeachment. In the deposition, Mr. Porter
- 4 used the word approachable. I think his question
- 5 was much different than that.
- 6 MR. HALLORAN: That was my
- 7 recollection as well.
- 8 BY MR. PORTER:
- 9 Q. Did you ever tell anyone that you
- would not be approachable concerning the landfill
- while campaigning?
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: Object to the form of
- the question. Vague.
- MR. HALLORAN: She can answer. Do you
- know what that means, Ms. Sutcliff? Can you
- rephrase, Mr. Porter, please?
- THE WITNESS: No, no, I'm sorry.
- 18 Approachable is --
- 19 BY MR. PORTER:
- Q. Did you tell people you couldn't talk
- to them about the landfill while campaigning?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. At any time in your campaigning, were
- you ever informed that members of city council were

- 1 not supposed to be communicating with members of the
- 2 public?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And who told you that?
- 5 A. I believe it was Alderman Spears.
- 6 Q. Okay. So while you were campaigning,
- you knew that you were not supposed to be giving
- 8 your position regarding the landfill. Is that
- 9 right?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. You just understood that you weren't
- supposed to learn or hear of what the public's
- position was. Is that correct?
- 14 A. No.
- Okay. So while you were campaigning,
- you believed it was perfectly appropriate to be
- voicing your opinions about the landfill, right?
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: I'll object. It's
- been asked and answered.
- MR. HALLORAN: I'm confused. She can
- 21 answer it.
- THE WITNESS: I am too.
- MR. HALLORAN: Overruled. Yeah, I can
- tell. But can you answer Mr. Porter's question? Do

- 1 you need him to repeat it slower?
- THE WITNESS: I don't want to -- he
- asked me several questions that are just worded just
- 4 a little bit different. And my statement is that at
- 5 some point, Alderman Spears approached me and said
- 6 it would not
- 7 be -- I should not, probably, talk about the
- 8 landfill. At that point, I stopped. I scrubbed my
- 9 website. I didn't distribute my newsletter.
- 10 BY MR. PORTER:
- Q. Okay.
- 12 A. Is that clear?
- 13 Q. Let me show you what's been marked as
- 14 FM25. Isn't it true that that was the brochure that
- you handed out to over 200 households while
- 16 campaigning?
- 17 A. No.
- Q. Okay. What's wrong with my statement?
- 19 A. This was my January newsletter. I had
- three of them. I probably handed it out for a few
- weeks before I was informed that I shouldn't speak
- 22 about the landfill. At that point, I created a new
- newsletter and this one was discarded.
- Q. You don't deny that you distributed

- 1 this newsletter. Is that correct?
- A. No. I mean yes. I mean no.
- 3 Q. Did you distribute this newsletter?
- 4 A. Yes, briefly.
- 5 Q. Okay. And isn't it true that this
- 6 newsletter explicitly provides that, "If you want to
- 7 stop the landfill, you can do several simple things
- 8 to make a difference. Vote for new leadership by
- yoting for Robyn Sutcliff on April 17, 2007."
- 10 A. I will vote against the annexation of
- 11 the landfill property, yes.
- 12 Q. Was what I read contained in the
- 13 newsletter?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. And isn't it true, then, that while
- 16 you were campaigning, you had already made up your
- mind to vote against the landfill?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. You indeed did vote against the
- 20 landfill. Is that correct?
- A. Yes.
- 22 Q. You lived up to this campaign promise.
- 23 Is that right?
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: I'll object to the

- 1 form of the question. It mischaracterizes her
- testimony. The document doesn't say she's going to
- yote against the landfill.
- MR. HALLORAN: Sustained.
- 5 BY MR. PORTER:
- 6 Q. The document provides you can do
- 7 several different things to stop the landfill,
- 8 right?
- 9 A. Yes.
- Q. And one of those things is to vote for
- 11 you, correct?
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: It's been asked and
- answered. The document reads as it reads.
- MR. HALLORAN: You may answer if
- you're able.
- THE WITNESS: What was the question?
- 17 BY MR. PORTER:
- Q. And one of those things is to vote for
- 19 you, correct?
- A. The whole question, please?
- Q. That was the whole question.
- A. And it's a fragment. What was the
- beginning of that?
- Q. It may be a sentence fragment, but it

- was the whole question.
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: Well, then I'll
- ³ object.
- 4 MR. HALLORAN: It wasn't the whole
- 5 sentence. There was a bit before that.
- MR. PORTER: Well, she's already
- 7 answered that. I will do it again.
- 8 BY MR. PORTER:
- 9 Q. Isn't it true that you authored the
- 10 following: "If you want to stop the landfill, you
- can do several simple things," correct?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. And one of those simple things was to
- 14 vote for you, right?
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: This has been asked
- and answered.
- MR. HALLORAN: No. I haven't heard
- it, because there's a lot of objections and a lot of
- 19 confusion.
- THE WITNESS: That's what it says,
- 21 yes.
- 22 BY MR. PORTER:
- Q. Now, you also spoke at a Taylor Street
- Pizza while campaigning. Is that correct?

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. And you raised money at that location.
- 3 Is that right?
- 4 A. Yes.
- Q. And at that time, you spoke about your
- opposition to the landfill. Isn't that right?
- 7 A. I don't believe so.
- Q. Who spoke there with you?
- 9 A. No one.
- Q. And isn't it true that at that
- location, you received contributions from members of
- 12 FOGY?
- A. I'm not certain of that.
- Q. Now, your husband also drafted a
- correspondence concerning this matter. Isn't that
- 16 correct?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Let me show you what's been marked as
- 19 FM24. Is that a true and accurate copy of the
- correspondence that your husband drafted?
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: I'll object. This is
- irrelevant. This is not a statement of the alderman
- 23 -- for Ms. Sutcliff when she was running for office.
- This is something that purports to be a statement

- 1 from her husband.
- MR. HALLORAN: Well, I mean, if she
- 3 can answer yes or no, that's fine. But how far are
- 4 we going to delve into this?
- 5 MR. PORTER: Just three questions.
- 6 MR. HALLORAN: Mr. Porter --
- 7 MR. PORTER: Two or three questions.
- MR. HALLORAN: Okay.
- 9 THE WITNESS: The question was?
- MR. PORTER: I have to have it read
- 11 back. I'm sorry.
- MR. HALLORAN: Could you read the
- 13 question?
- 14 (Whereupon, the record was read as
- requested.)
- THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 17 BY MR. PORTER:
- Q. And you, indeed, saw this
- 19 correspondence before it was drafted -- before it
- was published in the paper. Is that correct?
- A. I don't recall if I saw it prior to
- 22 publication.
- Q. And this publication was in support
- of, "Valerie Burd's blowing the whistle on the

- 1 mayor's underhanded, backroom plan to create a
- corridor of the development to the proposed landfill
- 3 site." Is that right?
- 4 MR. DOMBROWSKI: I'll object again on
- 5 relevance grounds. This is not something authored
- 6 by Ms. Sutcliff. This is from her husband.
- 7 MR. HALLORAN: Yeah. I agree. I
- 8 agree, Mr. Porter.
- 9 BY MR. PORTER:
- 10 Q. Did you ever direct your husband not
- to publish this letter?
- 12 A. No.
- Q. This letter was published while you
- were running for office. Is that correct?
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: Same objections.
- MR. HALLORAN: Sustained.
- 17 MR. PORTER: In case I have not done
- so, I want to move for admission of FM23 and 24.
- MR. HALLORAN: Twenty-three and 24 or
- 20 25?
- MR. PORTER: I'm sorry. Twenty-four
- 22 and 25.
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: Well, we have no
- objections to 25. We do have objections, as stated,

- to 24. It's hearsay and it's irrelevant.
- MR. HALLORAN: Yeah. Petitioner's
- 3 Exhibit 24 I'll take as an offer of proof. I will
- 4 not take it into evidence.
- 5 BY MR. PORTER:
- 6 Q. Now, you received substantial
- 7 materials on the evening of May 23rd. Is that
- 8 correct?
- 9 A. Yes.
- Q. And isn't it true that those materials
- included the city consultant's reports from Dirk
- 12 Price and the hearing officers report from
- 13 Mr. Clark?
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: I'll object to the
- question, Mr. Hearing Officer. The case law says
- that the -- materials such as this -- and I believe
- he's going to get into the post hearing comments
- submitted by Fox Moraine. The requirement is only
- they be provided to the city council, not that the
- 20 city council go through them.
- MR. HALLORAN: Rebecca, could you read
- the question back, please?
- 23 (Whereupon, the record was read as
- requested.)

- MR. DOMBROWSKI: I don't have an
- objection to what they received or if they received.
- MR. HALLORAN: Okay.
- 4 THE WITNESS: It was two years ago. I
- 5 don't really recall.
- 6 MR. HALLORAN: Okay.
- 7 THE WITNESS: Honestly.
- 8 MR. HALLORAN: Thank you.
- 9 BY MR. PORTER:
- 10 Q. Do you also know that there were about
- 11 1,400 pages of material that were submitted from the
- 12 applicant that evening?
- 13 A. I don't recall.
- 0. And isn't it true that the
- resolution -- or the resolution that was ultimately
- voted upon was presented to you the very next
- 17 evening?
- 18 A. I don't recall. I wasn't -- I didn't
- review any of that. It's two years ago. I don't
- remember.
- Q. Now, when you were drafting your web
- page about stopping the landfill, what independent
- research had you done concerning the landfill at
- 24 that time?

- 1 A. None.
- Q. So why is it that before you had ever
- 3 attended any hearing you had come to the conclusion
- 4 that the landfill should be stopped?
- 5 MR. DOMBROWSKI: I'll object to the
- 6 question. It mischaracterizes the document. It's
- 7 not what she stated.
- MR. HALLORAN: Mr. Porter?
- 9 MR. PORTER: I don't agree. She
- indicated -- she indicated that if, indeed, one
- wanted to stop the landfill, all they had to do was
- 12 vote for her. So now my question is: Why it is
- that she wanted to stop the landfill?
- MR. HALLORAN: I'll agree. I'll allow
- 15 it.
- THE WITNESS: Okay. Why did I
- write -- okay. What's the question?
- 18 BY MR. PORTER:
- 19 Q. If you did no independent research,
- why is it that you campaigned on a premise that,
- "Vote for me, and you can stop the landfill?"
- I was uninformed, I believe, at the
- 23 time.
- Q. But nonetheless, you came to that

- judgment that the landfill should be stopped,
- 2 correct?
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: I'll object to the
- 4 question. It mischaracterizes her testimony.
- MR. HALLORAN: Sustained.
- THE WITNESS: This is what I wrote,
- yeah.
- 8 BY MR. PORTER:
- 9 Q. You would agree that you had already
- 10 reached a judgment that the landfill should be
- 11 stopped as of the date that you wrote that
- 12 publication. Is that correct?
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: I'll object. It's
- been asked and answered.
- MR. HALLORAN: Well --
- MR. PORTER: That's the heart of the
- issue. I'm asking about --
- 18 MR. HALLORAN: And I'm not sure it's
- been asked and answered between the objections and
- the unresponsiveness of the witness, and among other
- 21 things. Could
- you -- can you answer the question, and then --
- THE WITNESS: Can I answer the
- 24 question --

- MR. HALLORAN: That Mr. Porter just
- 2 posed.
- THE WITNESS: -- why I wrote this?
- 4 Did I have --
- MR. HALLORAN: The question, Mr.
- 6 Porter --
- 7 MR. PORTER: I would ask that it be
- 8 read back.
- 9 MR. HALLORAN: Okay. Rebecca, can you
- 10 read it back?
- 11 (Whereupon, the record was read as
- requested.)
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: Same objections.
- MR. HALLORAN: Overruled.
- THE WITNESS: No.
- 16 BY MR. PORTER:
- 17 Q. Your husband, in the letter which has
- not been admitted, but has been entered --
- 19 MR. PORTER: I'll have to do this as
- an offer of proof, Mr. Halloran, since this document
- is not admitted. So this question is an offer of
- 22 = proof.
- 23 MR. HALLORAN: This is 25 -- 24?
- MR. PORTER: Twenty-four.

- 1 BY MR. PORTER:
- 2 Q. In the correspondence drafted by your
- husband, he indicates that, "It has been well
- 4 documented that landfills reduce the air quality
- 5 around them, but the political tactics by the mayor
- 6 and his dumpling gang has caused a premature
- 7 extension on our city."
- 8 Did you rely upon statements made
- 9 by your husband about the effects of the landfill
- and coming to your conclusions by running for office
- that the landfill should be stopped?
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: We were now in an
- offer of proof, correct?
- MR. HALLORAN: We're in an offer of
- proof, yeah.
- MR. PORTER: It's still in an offer of
- proof.
- THE WITNESS: No.
- MR. PORTER: Nothing further.
- MR. HALLORAN: Thank you.
- 21 Mr. Dombrowski?
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: Thank you, Mr.
- 23 Hearing Officer.
- 24 CROSS-EXAMINATION

- 1 BY MR. DOMBROWSKI:
- 2 Q. Alderman, why did you decide to run
- 3 for office?
- 4 A. Well, I've been a resident of the city
- for 13 years, probably, and I've been in Kendall
- 6 County my entire life and seen a lot of change
- 7 happen, and I didn't like the direction I saw of the
- growth and the quality of the growth of the city,
- 9 and that's what probably got me the most upset. I
- 10 actually decided I could make a difference if I
- joined the political side of it.
- Q. And had you ever held office before?
- 13 A. No.
- Q. And in January of 2007, did you have
- any concerns about the annexation process?
- 16 A. I'm sorry. What date?
- Q. As of January of 2007.
- 18 A. Yes, I did. It was a 17-day
- 19 annexation. It was not enough time to review -- to
- review such an important, you know, procedure to add
- to the city. That doesn't seem like enough time to
- 22 review it.
- Q. Now earlier you mentioned that you
- scrubbed your website at some point. Do you recall

- 1 that?
- A. Yes.
- Q. When did you start your website?
- 4 A. In January, I believe. Early January
- of '07.
- 6 Q. And when did you last update it?
- 7 A. Before the election was the last time
- 8 it was updated, which was April of '07.
- 9 Q. And what do you mean when you said
- that at some point you had scrubbed your website?
- 11 A. I took anything off of there that
- would reference the landfill or reference an
- annexation related to this property.
- Q. And why did you do that?
- 15 A. It was a recommendation of an alderman
- 16 at the time.
- 17 Q. That was Alderman Spears?
- A. Yes, mm-hmm.
- 19 Q. But you still continued your website,
- 20 correct?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. And you put up other materials related
- to your campaign after that?
- A. Yes. My campaign -- and I attended

- all city council meetings, and I did a narrative of
- every campaign -- of every counsel meeting, so the
- public could know what happened at council meetings.
- Q. Did you run out of slate with other
- 5 candidates?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. You were not in a group of any other
- 8 candidates running for office?
- ⁹ A. No. I didn't know any of the
- 10 candidates or the alderman.
- 11 Q. So if anyone has testified or
- suggested that you were part of a slate of
- candidates, that would be incorrect?
- A. That's true, yes. It's true that it's
- incorrect, yes.
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: I have nothing
- 17 further. Thank you.
- MR. HALLORAN: Thank you,
- 19 Mr. Dombrowski. Mr. Porter?
- 20 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 21 BY MR. PORTER:
- Q. Isn't it true that you gave an
- interview shortly before April 15, 2007, to Heather
- 24 Gillers?

- 1 A. Yes.
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: I'll object. This is
- 3 beyond the scope of my questions.
- 4 MR. HALLORAN: Mr. Porter?
- MR. PORTER: I don't believe it is. I
- think he's opened the door to some discussion
- 7 concerning the statements that she's made.
- 8 Regardless, I'd ask for some leeway to bring it up
- 9 again.
- MR. HALLORAN: I'll allow that.
- 11 BY MR. PORTER:
- Q. And at that -- in that article, which
- has been marked as FM13, isn't it true that you told
- miss Gillers that, "A landfill would be a negative
- addition to the city. I have no question about
- 16 that?"
- 17 A. That's what it says, yes.
- 18 Q. And that's what you told her, right?
- 19 A. I can't recall the exact words I said
- to her. We had an extensive conversation. It was
- 21 two years ago.
- Q. But you agree that you informed her
- that you were against the landfill coming. You
- thought it would be a bad addition to the city,

- 1 right?
- A. I don't know that for sure.
- Q. Did you tell her you thought it would
- 4 be a positive addition to the city?
- 5 A. I don't recall.
- Q. At any time, have you ever held the
- opinion that it would be a positive addition to the
- 8 city?
- ⁹ A. There's positives and negatives to
- anything. It needs to be weighed.
- 11 Q. The purpose of making the statement to
- 12 Ms. Gillers was to facilitate your campaign. Isn't
- 13 that right?
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: I'll object to the
- 15 question. It mischaracterizes the testimony. She
- said she doesn't recall making the statement.
- MR. HALLORAN: Yeah, I agree.
- 18 Sustained.
- 19 BY MR. PORTER:
- Q. Well, the purpose of making a
- statement to Ms. Gillers was to facilitate your
- campaign, correct?
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: I'll object to the
- question.

- MR. HALLORAN: Sustained.
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: What statement are we
- 3 talking about?
- 4 MR. HALLORAN: Exactly. Mr.
- 5 Dombrowski -- Mr. Porter, what statement?
- 6 MR. PORTER: The statement that
- 7 appears in the April 15th newspaper article.
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: Same objection. She
- 9 said she doesn't recall making the statement.
- MR. HALLORAN: I agree. Sustained.
- 11 BY MR. PORTER:
- 12 Q. Isn't it true that at your deposition,
- I asked you at Page 43, Line 20, "You knew that your
- statements would be put forth in the public sector.
- 15 Is that correct, " to which you responded, "Uh-huh."
- I said, "Yes?" You said, "Yes, I'm sorry." I then
- asked, "The purpose of making the statement was to
- 18 facilitate your campaign, correct?" You responded
- 19 yes.
- Did you make those statements to
- me at your deposition?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. And did you understand in the
- deposition I was asking about the statement of April

- 1 15th, 2007?
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: Well, what statement
- 3 are we referring to?
- 4 MR. PORTER: I just said.
- 5 MR. HALLORAN: The April 15th?
- 6 MR. PORTER: The statement that's
- 7 contained in the article of April 15th, 2007.
- 8 THE WITNESS: Are you waiting for me?
- 9 MR. HALLORAN: Yeah.
- THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
- MR. HALLORAN: You can answer if
- 12 you're able.
- 13 THE WITNESS: I said that. I believe
- 14 you're asking me the question differently than you
- asked it then. The questions prior are different.
- 16 BY MR. PORTER:
- Q. At any time did you call Ms. Gillers
- and tell her you thought you'd been misquoted in any
- 19 way?
- 20 A. No.
- MR. PORTER: I have nothing further.
- MR. HALLORAN: Thank you, Mr. Porter
- 23 Mr. Dombrowski?
- 24 RECROSS EXAMINATION

- 1 BY MR. DOMBROWSKI:
- Q. Just one question, Alderman. You
- understood that if you were elected you would be
- 4 voting on the application, correct?
- 5 A. That's what I believed at the time I
- 6 wrote this, yes.
- 7 Q. And what did you understand your role
- 8 to be as a decision maker in the application?
- 9 A. I didn't really understand the process
- 10 at the time, so I didn't know.
- 11 Q. What did you understand you were
- allowed to consider in making your vote on the
- 13 application?
- MR. PORTER: Well, I do not have an
- objection to this question, as long as we
- acknowledge it opens the door to me asking the same
- one.
- MR. HALLORAN: I agree.
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: I'll withdraw the
- 20 question. Nothing further.
- MR. HALLORAN: Okay. Thank you, Ms.
- 22 Sutcliff. You may step down, Alderman.
- THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- MR. HALLORAN: And unfortunately, I

- think you still have to leave the hearing room,
- because you may or may not be called back.
- THE WITNESS: That's fine.
- 4 MR. HALLORAN: I'm sure you're looking
- 5 forward to that.
- 6 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 7 MR. HALLORAN: Thank you.
- 8 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- 9 MR. HALLORAN: We can go off the
- 10 record for a second.
- 11 (Whereupon, a discussion was had
- off the record.)
- 13 (Witness sworn.)
- DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 15 BY MR. MUELLER:
- Q. Would you state your full name,
- 17 please?
- 18 A. Walter George Werderich.
- Q. Mr. Werderich, you are a member of the
- 20 Yorkville city council?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. When were you elected as an alderman?
- A. I was elected in April of 2006.
- Q. And when did you first announce your

- 1 candidacy to run for alderman?
- A. I don't remember the exact date. I
- want to say it was -- I might be wrong on the date.
- I think I was elected in April of 2007 it was the
- end of 2006 when I announced my candidacy.
- Q. And, in fact, didn't you announce your
- 7 candidacy by the end of October of 2006?
- A. It could've been around that date,
- 9 yes.
- Q. All right. Because we've got
- 11 newspaper articles confirming your candidacy as
- early as November 2nd. Does that sound about right?
- A. That sounds about right, yes.
- Q. Now, what is FOGY?
- A. It's an Illinois not for profit
- 16 corporation.
- Q. And the acronym means Friends of
- 18 Greater Yorkville?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. When was that formed?
- A. I don't remember the exact date off
- the top of my head, but I do want to say it was at
- the beginning of 2007, end of 2006, somewhere in
- there.

- Q. Okay. Well, actually it was formed in
- 2 2006, wasn't it?
- A. I just said I don't recall.
- 4 MR. HALLORAN: Could you keep your
- 5 voice up?
- THE WITNESS: I apologize.
- 7 BY MR. MUELLER:
- Q. Mr. Werderich, you were one of the
- 9 cofounders of Friends of Greater Yorkville, weren't
- 10 you?
- 11 A. There was more than two founders.
- Q. You were one of the cofounders?
- 13 A. I was one of the founders, yes. But
- there was more than two, if two is referring to
- cofounders -- or cofounders is referring to two.
- 16 Q. The other founders would've been
- George Gilson, Ron Parish and Tom Gillmore?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And the four of you then founded the
- 20 group?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And that group was founded shortly
- after the annexation of the Fox Moraine property in
- the city of Yorkville, wasn't it?

- 1 A. It was a couple of months afterward,
- 2 yes.
- Now, you attended the annexation
- 4 public hearings, didn't you?
- 5 A. I attended some of them.
- Q. All right. And you understood early
- on that the tone of the -- let me put this a
- 8 different way. Would it be fair to characterize the
- bulk of the public comments made as being opposed to
- 10 annexation?
- 11 A. Opposed to annexation?
- Q. Yes.
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. And would it also be fair to
- characterize that a number of people who spoke
- against annexation specifically said, "The purpose
- of this annexation is to facilitate a landfill, and
- the way to stop the landfill is to not do the
- 19 annexation?"
- A. Some of them did say that.
- Q. In fact, Mr. Gillson was very vehement
- about that point, wasn't he?
- A. Yes. If I recall correctly, yes.
- Q. He was one of your -- the four

- 1 cofounders?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- Q. And you actually drafted the legal
- 4 documents in order to make that a not for profit
- 5 corporation, didn't you?
- A. That's correct.
- 7 Q. And I take it you were not paid for
- 8 that?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. Do you know when you resigned from
- 11 FOGY, if you ever did?
- 12 A. At the end of 2006.
- 13 Q. Let's go back for a second to the
- 14 annexation process. You spoke out at some of those
- annexation hearings, didn't you?
- 16 A. I spoke at some of the annexation
- hearings, yes.
- 18 Q. You were still a citizen at that time
- 19 and not an alderman, right?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. By the way, you are an attorney
- licensed to practice law in the state of Illinois,
- 23 aren't you?
- 24 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. And where are you employed?
- A. Right now?
- Q. Yes.
- A. Kane County, Illinois.
- 5 Q. Kane County what?
- 6 A. In Kane County.
- 7 Q. Okay. And when you spoke out against
- 8 the annexation, you criticized the process the city
- 9 was using, didn't you?
- 10 A. That was one of the things that I was
- 11 critical of.
- 12 Q. And you also were critical of the
- timing of the annexation, weren't you?
- 14 A. That was one of the things that I made
- 15 comment to, yes.
- Q. Specifically that it was happening too
- 17 fast, right?
- 18 A. No. There was other things, but that
- is one of the things that I did include in my
- 20 statement.
- 21 Q. So you did say the annexation was
- happening too fast and that was disturbing to you?
- A. I don't recall if I said that in any
- of my statements that I had made at any of the city

- 1 council meetings.
- 2 Q. You certainly said it in your
- discovery deposition, didn't you?
- 4 A. I did say that then, yes. But that
- wasn't the question.
- 6 Q. See, this is easy when -- you've read
- 7 your deposition, right?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. In the summer of 2007, about nine or
- ten months after the Fox Moraine annexation, the
- city annexed a parcel of property that actually
- connected to the Fox Moraine property and was owned
- by Virginia Wells. Do you recall that?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. And that annexation also happened very
- 16 quickly, didn't it?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. And you didn't have a problem at the
- time of that one, did you?
- A. No. I had voiced to certain members
- of the city council that I thought that that was
- happening relatively quickly, and that one of the
- things that I wanted to make sure of was that there
- was proper information that was disseminated to the

- citizens of Yorkville, so that if anybody had any
- objections about that, that that information could
- be relayed to the members of the city council.
- Q. Well, you remember being asked at your
- deposition, "Was there anything about the timing of
- the Wells annexation you objected to, " and your
- 7 answer was no.
- 8 MR. DOMBROWSKI: I'll object to the
- 9 question. It's improper impeachment.
- MR. MUELLER: We can get him a copy of
- his deposition, or I can just show him the page if
- 12 he wants.
- MR. HALLORAN: Sustained.
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: That's not what I'm
- objecting to.
- 16 BY MR. MUELLER:
- Q. We're going to hand you a copy of your
- discovery deposition taken on September 19th, 2008,
- and ask you to turn to Page 74. Directing your
- attention to Line 7, do you remember being asked,
- "Was there anything about the timing of the Wells
- 22 annexation that you objected to?"
- A. I think that my copy of the transcript
- may be paginated differently than yours.

- Q. Well, if you look at the top right of
- the page, you'll figure it out. These are the page
- numbers here, not the bottom ones.
- A. Okay. Line 7?
- Q. Yeah.
- 6 A. Okay.
- 7 O. It's there.
- A. Okay.
- 9 Q. You were asked that question, correct?
- A. Right. I didn't object to anything at
- that time on the record.
- Q. And the annexation of the Wells
- property in 2007 made it legally more difficult for
- 14 Fox Moraine to disconnect from the city of
- 15 Yorkville, didn't it?
- 16 A. I don't know.
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: I'll object to the
- question. It's irrelevant. This is beyond the time
- that the city council voted on the landfill
- ²⁰ application.
- MR. MUELLER: Well, he said he didn't
- know. I think he's answered the question.
- MR. HALLORAN: I'll allow it.
- 24 Overruled.

- 1 BY MR. MUELLER:
- Q. And didn't you also express that -- in
- some of your public statements that you really
- 4 didn't want the city to get involved in the landfill
- siting process, that you didn't think it was
- 6 appropriate for them to be doing that?
- 7 A. I don't recall if I had said that at
- 8 any of the public hearings. But I do know, just to
- 9 clarify, that you and I discussed that at my
- deposition.
- 11 Q. And at that time, you indicated that
- 12 you felt that during the annexation process that the
- city should not be dealing with the issue of the
- 14 landfill?
- 15 A. There was -- yes.
- Q. And did you also express a concern at
- that time that the city had not executed, as
- 18 favorable, a host agreement with Fox Moraine as the
- county had with Waste Management?
- A. I don't recall if I had said that at
- 21 any of those hearings.
- Q. That was, in fact, your belief,
- though, wasn't it?
- A. I don't recall. Actually, just to

- 1 clarify the question, the first question was -- is
- that the host agreement wasn't favorable?
- Q. That's correct.
- 4 A. And I had said that I don't recall if
- 5 I made any statements. And then what was the
- 6 followup question?
- 7 Q. But that was, in fact, your belief,
- 8 that the city's host agreement wasn't as good as the
- 9 county's?
- 10 A. Not necessarily. I think that there
- were different terms from both the county and the
- city in terms of the two host agreements that they
- were looking at. The terms were different, not
- 14 necessarily making one better than the other.
- 15 Q. Now, at the meetings and hearings that
- you attended, you never saw anyone from the public
- acting inappropriately. Is that right?
- A. In my opinion, no.
- 19 Q. No, that's not right, or no, they
- weren't acting inappropriately?
- A. In my opinion, no one was acting
- 22 inappropriately.
- Q. And that would include Mr. Milliron,
- who had to be threatened with eviction from some of

- 1 the meetings?
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: I'll object to the
- questions. Assumes facts not in evidence.
- 4 MR. MUELLER: We've already had
- testimony from the mayor that he was threatened with
- 6 ejection a few times.
- 7 MR. DOMBROWSKI: She talked about
- 8 times after she had been elected mayor.
- 9 MR. HALLORAN: I've heard testimony
- 10 regarding -- is it Milliron?
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: Yes.
- MR. MUELLER: Yes.
- MR. HALLORAN: -- being thrown out.
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: After the mayor had
- been elected.
- MR. MUELLER: That wasn't what she
- said in her deposition.
- MR. HALLORAN: Well, we didn't broach
- 19 that. Objection sustained.
- 20 BY MR. MUELLER:
- Q. Todd Milliron is a friend of yours,
- 22 right?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And he continues to be a friend of

- 1 yours?
- A. Yes.
- Q. He was a contributor to your campaign?
- 4 A. Not monetarily.
- ⁵ Q. He helped with time and effort though?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Do you remember an article about you
- 8 with your picture in it in the Beacon on
- 9 November 2nd, 2008, with the headline, "Yorkville
- 10 Landfill Issue Fuels Bid for Office?"
- 11 A. I think I vaguely recall that, yes.
- But, I mean, I'm sure that I'll remember if you're
- asking followup questions.
- Q. Well, that's the first time you ever
- ran for office, right?
- A. Yes.
- Q. So I'm going to guess that you
- probably read pretty carefully all articles that
- discussed your bid for that office?
- A. You're right, Mr. Mueller. However,
- it was quite some time ago, so you'd have to -- I
- have to apologize if my recollection is not as good
- as you'd like it to be.
- Q. And this one even has your picture in

- it. So I'm guessing that your wife probably looked
- at this one, too, right?
- A. I hope it's a good picture,
- 4 Mr. Mueller.
- ⁵ Q. It's not bad, actually.
- 6 A. Great. Again, I apologize. I'm not
- 7 trying to play any type of cat and mouse game here.
- 8 There are a lot of documents that I may not recall,
- 9 and I -- if that's the case, please present them to
- 10 me.
- Q. Mr. Werderich, you're fine.
- 12 A. Oh, okay.
- Q. I just have a couple quick questions
- about this newspaper article.
- 15 A. Thank you.
- 16 Q. The article was written by the now
- famous Heather Gillers. You know her, I take it?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. You've talked to her on a number of
- occasions both before and after you were elected,
- 21 correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. And if you go to the column
- immediately to the right of your picture, it talks

- about your growing frustration over the city's
- 2 handling of the plan to locate a landfill in
- 3 Yorkville. Is that an accurate characterization of
- 4 what you conveyed to Ms. Gillers?
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. And then in the next column, it says,
- 7 "Werderich helped mobilize concerned citizens
- 8 through a mass e-mail list and internet messaging
- 9 board, and their vocal presence at city hall likely
- contributed to the city's decision to hold a
- 11 question and answer session on the landfill this
- week."
- 13 Is that an accurate
- characterization of what you did, or did Ms. Gillers
- 15 get it wrong?
- A. An accurate representation of what I
- 17 had did -- I guess that's some of what I did, yes.
- 18 Q. So she's not wrong in that statement?
- A. No, she's not wrong.
- Q. There was another article about all of
- the campaigns in the Beacon again on November 7th,
- just the following week, in which you are quoted by
- Heather Gillers as saying in regard to the entire
- landfill controversy, "I just think that they're not

- listening to their constituents, Werderich said of
- the council's statement per treatment of the
- 3 landfill issue."
- Is that an accurate quote?
- 5 MR. DOMBROWSKI: I'll object to the
- 6 question. Foundation. Quote about what?
- 7 MR. MUELLER: Well, the statement in
- 8 its entirety, and I can show it to the witness.
- 9 I'll mark it as an exhibit.
- MR. HALLORAN: Yeah. I don't have a
- 11 copy either.
- MR. MUELLER: All right.
- MR. HALLORAN: If you could pass them
- out like you did earlier. Thanks. Get Mr. Porter
- to get up and pass them out. He's younger.
- MR. MUELLER: I need the exercise
- more. I've got one for myself, and one for the
- hearing officer or counsel. I'm short one.
- MR. HALLORAN: Give it to counsel.
- 20 I'll just --
- MR. MUELLER: All right.
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: Thank you.
- BY MR. MUELLER:
- Q. Mr. Werderich, I've handed you what's

- 1 marked as Exhibit Number 27, which is an article
- from the Beacon with the headline, "Landfill Deal
- 3 May Be Key to Elections."
- 4 Did you ever see that article
- 5 before?
- A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And in the first column where it shows
- 8 it is continued from Page A1, you'll see a break,
- 9 and it starts with, "Aldermanic races." Do you see
- 10 that?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And then it talks about two members of
- 13 Friends of Greater Yorkville running for alderman,
- 14 you and Alderman Plocher. Do you see that?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. So at that point in time, you were
- still a member of FOGY, and you were also a
- 18 candidate for alderman, correct?
- A. When was this written?
- Q. November 7th.
- A. Yes, I do believe so.
- Q. All right. And at the very bottom of
- that column, there's -- you are quoted as saying,
- quote, "I just think that they're not listening to

- their constituents, unquote, Werderich said of the
- council's treatment of the landfill issue."
- Is that an accurate quotation?
- 4 A. That quotation was taken as part of a
- 5 larger statement, where I said I was objecting to
- the process of the way that the city was handling
- 7 the landfill all together, and I felt that the
- 8 politicians involved weren't listening to the
- 9 concerns of their constituents, and that's one of
- the reasons why I ran.
- 11 Q. And the wishes of the constituents, by
- the way, as you observed them at these various
- meetings was overwhelmingly anti-landfill, wasn't
- 14 it?
- 15 A. There was a lot of different opinions
- that were voiced.
- 17 O. But it --
- 18 A. I mean, I can't attest to what each
- one of their opinions were. I can attest, however,
- that there were a lot of people there that did not
- 21 want a landfill in Yorkville.
- Q. I mean, to be fair, at some of these
- larger meetings, such as the one at the high school
- auditorium and at the junior high, the people that

- 1 made anti-landfill statements got loud applause, and
- people that said anything pro-landfill got the
- silent treatment, and basically there weren't very
- 4 many of them. Isn't that true?
- 5 A. I don't think everybody got loud
- 6 applause, but I would say that the people that said
- 7 something for the landfill probably didn't get any
- 8 applause. That's correct.
- 9 Q. And do you remember actually speaking
- at a meeting of the city on November 30th, 2006?
- 11 A. I don't recall the specific date or
- the time. But like I had said, there were a lot of
- meetings which I spoke at, and I may have done it at
- 14 that time.
- Do you remember opining with regard to
- the landfill hearing process that, "It's not
- adversarial. The hearing process presents only one
- side of the story. That's the side of the people
- that want to put in the landfill."
- A. I do recall saying that, yes. But
- that's not all of what I said. That's a portion of
- 22 what I said.
- Q. By the way, you were on Valerie Burd's
- campaign committee, weren't you?

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. Mr. Milliron was also?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. Let me show you what I've marked as
- 5 Fox Moraine Exhibit No. 28.
- 6 MR. HALLORAN: Thank you, sir.
- 7 BY MR. MUELLER:
- Q. And I'll ask you if you can identify
- 9 that.
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. What is that?
- 12 A. This is a palm card or election
- material that I handed out when I was running for
- office.
- Q. And does that election material state,
- 16 "Wally was the first to question the annexation of
- the landfill property?"
- 18 A. That's what it says, yes.
- 19 Q. You authorized this card?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. And you obviously put it out there in
- the hope that it would help you get elected?
- A. And that's a true statement.
- Q. Do you remember being interviewed

- shortly before the election again by Heather Gillers
- for her Sunday feature story on the Yorkville
- 3 election?
- 4 A. I think I remember that, yes.
- 5 Q. And do you remember that Heather
- 6 Gillers asked you, "Would a safe state compliant
- 7 landfill be a positive, negative, or neutral
- 8 addition to Yorkville," and your answer, as reported
- 9 in the newspaper article, is, "I don't think that
- the landfill is a good thing for Yorkville."
- 11 A. That's how it was reported. However,
- that statement was taken out of context of a lot of
- different musings that I gave to Heather, both for
- and against the landfill at the time of the
- 15 interview.
- Q. Well, you did say that though?
- 17 A. I also --
- Q. Did she misquote you or did you say
- 19 it?
- 20 A. I also -- yes. She did not misquote
- 21 me. However, that being said, I also said a lot of
- things that were favorable to the landfill at that
- time, which were not reported in that article.
- Q. Did you call Heather Gillers to

- 1 complain about being taken out of context?
- A. No, I didn't follow up with a phone
- call about being taken out of context.
- 4 Q. By the way, during your campaign, did
- 5 you have a website?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And on that website, you had a link to
- 8 the FOGY group?
- 9 A. I had a link to FOGY and I also had a
- link to GRUT (phonetic), among other links of
- 11 various community interests at the time.
- 12 Q. Then --
- A. And if I may add, there was a general
- links page, as you may find on any -- or on most
- informational websites. It had a lot of different
- links to hot button community issues. So that's why
- 17 I included both of those, to get both sides of the
- story, I guess one would say.
- 19 Q. You were present when the city council
- voted on May 24th and deliberated on May 23rd, 2006,
- weren't you?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. If Mr. Porter, would be kind enough to
- find the transcript of May 23rd, I have just a

- couple of questions about it, and then I think we're
- ² going to be done.
- Mr. Werderich, if I can direct
- 4 your attention to the -- to Page 86 and 87. You
- were expressing your opinions on the landfill
- 6 evidence, correct?
- A. Would that be the 86th and 87th at the
- 8 top, or the --
- 9 Q. Bottom of each page.
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: And what is the
- 11 question?
- MR. MUELLER: The preliminary question
- is -- this represents a portion of your expression
- of your sentiments during the deliberations.
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: I'll object. That
- invades the deliberative process privilege.
- MR. MUELLER: It's preliminary.
- MR. HALLORAN: Well, it still invades
- 19 the process.
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: It still invades the
- 21 privilege.
- MR. HALLORAN: Sustained.
- 23 BY MR. MUELLER:
- Q. Were you talking? Was this you

- talking here on these pages, Mr. Werderich?
- 2 A. If you could give me a moment. Do you
- have a notation as to where my testimony would
- 4 begin?
- 5 Q. Actually, quite a ways back. How
- 6 about on Page 62.
- 7 A. Sixty-two. I mean, without going
- 8 through this line by line, I wouldn't have any
- 9 reason to believe that you're not telling the truth
- 10 there.
- 11 Q. Okay. My question is -- I just have a
- 12 couple simple ones here.
- 13 A. Sure.
- Q. At the bottom of Page 86, you make the
- statement, "According to hearing testimony and
- exhibits, there have been several citizen complaints
- regarding Hammond's composting operation." Hammond
- is the Don Hammond who owns the majority interest of
- 19 Fox Moraine, correct?
- 20 A. I don't know who owns Fox Moraine.
- 21 Q. Then -- it is Donald Hammond, right,
- that you are referring to?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And then if we go to the top of

- 1 Page 87, you say, "Since it's been annexed to the
- city, it's also my understanding that there have
- been several complaints made to the city." Do you
- 4 see that?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Did you make that statement?
- 7 MR. DOMBROWSKI: I'll object. This
- 8 is -- again, it's invading the privilege.
- 9 MR. MUELLER: I'm just asking if he --
- MR. HALLORAN: Well, it's part of the
- minutes. I mean, he's --
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: Right, but it goes
- into the evidence that the city council members were
- presented at the hearings, and he's asking what the
- 15 alderman considered.
- MR. MUELLER: Well, I'm going to get
- to the privilege in my next question, so he's a
- 18 little premature in his objection.
- MR. HALLORAN: I agree. All
- Mr. Mueller is doing is asking the alderman to
- 21 confirm what he said in the transcript. So
- objection overruled.
- 23 BY MR. MUELLER:
- Q. Now, Mr. Werderich, did you think it

- was appropriate to consider unsubstantiated citizen
- 2 complaints that did not result in any notices or
- findings of violation in evaluating operator
- 4 experience?
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: I'll object. It
- 6 invades the privilege.
- 7 MR. HALLORAN: Mr. Mueller.
- MR. MUELLER: I think I don't need to
- 9 make any other argument than what I've made before,
- assuming you'll sustain the objection. I'd ask
- leave to do it as an offer of proof.
- MR. HALLORAN: Your assumption is
- correct. The objection is sustained. You may ask
- it in an offer of proof.
- MR. MUELLER: If I can have the -- if
- 16 I can ask Mr. Halloran to have the reporter read the
- 17 question back.
- MR. HALLORAN: Okay.
- 19 (Whereupon, the record was read as
- requested.)
- THE WITNESS: I think that the
- complaint, coming from a citizen and it being
- unsubstantiated, was something that I took into
- terms when weighing the credibility, and the amount

- of evidence that that should
- 2 have -- or the amount of bearing that that would
- 3 have on my final decision.
- 4 BY MR. MUELLER:
- 5 Q. You also -- and this is still part of
- 6 the offer of proof -- understood that you were
- 7 making statements to other city council members here
- 8 who would have the opportunity to take what you said
- 9 into account, didn't you?
- 10 A. Can you please repeat the question?
- 11 Q. Well, did you understand this
- deliberative process as one -- strike that.
- When you made these statements,
- there were other city council members listening who
- had also made statements, right?
- 16 A. Yes. Some had made statements, and I
- think at that point some probably hadn't made
- 18 statements. I don't recall where this is in the
- whole timing of when people were making statements.
- Q. Fair enough. Now, if we move to the
- 21 next page, 88?
- MR. HALLORAN: Are we still going to
- 23 be in the --
- MR. MUELLER: This is still part of

- 1 his offer of proof, and it will be concluded
- shortly. It just deals with what's on this page.
- 3 BY MR. MUELLER:
- 4 Q. You make reference to the report of
- 5 attorney Price, which is Dirk Price, the staff
- 6 attorney, and the hearing officer, and you make
- 7 reference to both of those reports being for
- 8 approval with conditions, correct?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And then you go on to say, "What
- should be taken into consideration is the fact that
- the applicants must be -- the application must be
- judged on its face, not based upon the conditions
- which are suggested to be included by the -- by
- either Dirk Price or the hearing officer.
- Accordingly, when reading through this, please take
- that into consideration."
- Did you say that?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. Was it your intention to suggest to
- the rest of the city council that if they -- if the
- 22 applicant could not meet the criteria without
- conditions, the application should be denied?
- A. That's not what I was suggesting.

- 1 Q. And when you say please take that into
- consideration, who are you speaking to?
- A. I do believe that I was speaking to
- 4 city council at that point, or everyone who was in
- 5 attendance.
- Q. And was it your feeling that if the
- 7 application --
- 8 MR. HALLORAN: We're still under the
- 9 offer of proof?
- MR. MUELLER: Yes. This is the last
- 11 question.
- 12 BY MR. MUELLER:
- Q. And was it your feeling that the
- 14 application did not meet the criteria without
- conditions that should be denied?
- 16 A. I'm sorry. Can you restate the
- 17 question?
- Q. Was it your feeling that if the
- 19 application did not meet the criteria without
- 20 conditions it should be denied?
- A. Not necessarily. I did not like the
- way that it was put together by -- or the opinion ?
- that Attorney Price or the hearing officer had put
- together in their reports at that time.

- 1 Q. That concludes the offer of proof.
- Now, on May 24th, there was a
- resolution passed, wasn't there?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Do you know whether the resolution has
- 6 been handed out as 2007-36 -- was actually the
- 7 resolution -- the exact resolution verbatim that was
- 8 adopted or in front of you that night?
- 9 A. I don't recall.
- Q. Do you recall how many forms of
- resolutions were in front of you on May 24th?
- 12 A. I don't recall.
- MR. MUELLER: One second,
- 14 Mr. Halloran. We are done or very close to it.
- MR. HALLORAN: Okay.
- 16 BY MR. MUELLER:
- Q. You had no reason to doubt Larry
- 18 Clark's competence, did you?
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: I'll object to the
- question.
- MR. HALLORAN: I'm sorry. Could you
- read the whole question back?
- Whereupon, the record was read as
- requested.)

- MR. DOMBROWSKI: Objection. That
- 2 invades the privilege. Mr. Clark was a hearing
- officer. He submitted a report.
- 4 MR. HALLORAN: Yeah. I agree.
- 5 Sustained.
- 6 MR. MUELLER: That's all I have,
- 7 Mr. Werderich. Thank you.
- 8 MR. HALLORAN: Thank you
- 9 Mr. Dombrowski, Mr. Hopp?
- 10 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 11 BY MR. DOMBROWSKI:
- Q. A few quick things, Alderman.
- 13 Mr. Hammond testified at his deposition that he saw
- you wearing an anti-landfill button at the landfill
- hearings. Did you -- did you ever wear an
- anti-landfill button at the hearings?
- 17 A. No.
- Q. Did you see any other sitting aldermen
- or people running for office wearing anti-landfill
- 20 buttons?
- 21 A. No.
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: No further questions.
- MR. HALLORAN: Thank you
- Mr. Dombrowski, Mr. Mueller?

- MR. MUELLER: Mr. Halloran, I'd ask to
- reopen cross for one short series of maybe two or
- three questions. It would take about 30 seconds,
- 4 pretty noncontroversial too.
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: I'm sure it's beyond
- 6 the scope of what I just asked.
- 7 MR. MUELLER: Yeah, it is. That's why
- 8 I'm asking leave to reopen this.
- 9 MR. HALLORAN: I'll allow you a little
- 10 leeway --
- MR. MUELLER: Thank you.
- MR. HALLORAN: -- over objection.
- MR. MUELLER: Thank you.
- 14 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 15 BY MR. MUELLER:
- Q. Mr. Werderich, were there fact sheets
- prepared by FOGY for dissemination of the public?
- A. I don't know.
- 19 Q. Did you have any role in preparing any
- literature or fact sheets for FOGY?
- 21 A. No.
- MR. MUELLER: That's all I have.
- ²³ Thank you.
- MR. HALLORAN: Thank you. All right

- 1 you may step down. Thank you.
- THE WITNESS: Okay. Thank you.
- MR. HALLORAN: Before we move any
- 4 further, some housekeeping. Petitioners Exhibit 28,
- 5 27, and 26, I'm not sure they were offered.
- 6 MR. HOPP: They were not moved.
- 7 MR. MUELLER: I don't think they have
- 8 to be, because they were marked for use with the
- 9 witness, and he didn't deny them, and my
- understanding is you're not going to admit newspaper
- 11 articles as such.
- MR. HOPP: Well, as long as they're
- not admitted into evidence, we have no objection
- with the use of them with the witness as they were
- used, other than the objections we stated. So as
- long as they're not part of the record from his
- hearing, that's fine.
- MR. HALLORAN: Okay.
- MR. MUELLER: Because we got the
- 20 answers orally without having to --
- MR. HALLORAN: Okay.
- MR. MUELLER: -- you know, argue with
- him about whether he said it or not.
- MR. HALLORAN: Well, some of them you

- 1 did.
- MR. MUELLER: Well, can we review
- quickly -- I thought I got all three of them in.
- 4 MR. HALLORAN: Well, 26 -- number 26,
- 5 Exhibit 26, you asked the witness his active
- 6 representation of what he said, "Yes."
- 7 MR. MUELLER: Oh, 28 is campaign
- 8 literature we moved that into evidence.
- 9 MR. HALLORAN: It was campaign
- 10 literature. Twenty-seven was not accurate. It was
- 11 not an accurate representation, and so I will accept
- 12 Petitioner's Exhibit 27 as an offer of proof.
- MR. MUELLER: Twenty-eight we would
- move into evidence. That's his campaign literature.
- MR. HALLORAN: All right.
- 16 Twenty-eight?
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: No objections.
- MR. HALLORAN: No objection.
- 19 Admitted.
- MR. PORTER: I'm sorry, Mr. Halloran,
- 21 what was 25?
- MR. HALLORAN: I haven't gotten there
- yet, Mr. Porter.
- MR. DOMBROWSKI: Twenty-five was

- 1 Yorkville 0072, Sutcliff.
- MR. HOPP: Third ward advisor.
- MR. PORTER: That was already
- 4 admitted.
- 5 MR. DOMBROWSKI: And what are we doing
- 6 with 26?
- 7 MR. HALLORAN: I am -- I think that's
- my next topic. Twenty-six, it hasn't been offered,
- but based on the representation of the testimony
- with an accurate representation of what the alderman
- 11 stated, and I -- you know, I don't know if
- 12 Mr. Mueller --
- MR. MUELLER: I'll withdraw 26.
- MR. HALLORAN: Withdraw?
- MR. MUELLER: Admit as an offer of
- 16 proof. So it just supports that.
- MR. HALLORAN: Okay. All right.
- MR. HOPP: Mr. Halloran, just so we're
- 19 clear, our objection isn't whether or not he
- affirmed or denied that he said certain things based
- on reviewing the article, it's the entirety of the
- 22 article.
- MR. HALLORAN: Right.
- MR. HOPP: I think the rest of it

- 1 should go in.
- MR. HALLORAN: Right. Just the part
- 3 he verified?
- 4 MR. HOPP: Right.
- 5 MR. HALLORAN: Or she, be it the case.
- 6 And then Mr. Mueller, you got some other things here
- 7 I don't think you moved. The campaign disclosure,
- 8 Exhibit 15.
- 9 MR. MUELLER: Please move that into
- 10 evidence.
- MR. HOPP: We object to relevance.
- MR. HALLORAN: No. I mean, it is what
- it is. I'll allow it over objection. Sixteen, that
- 14 was the invoice?
- MR. MUELLER: Obviously we move that
- into the record.
- MR. HOPP: Obviously we repeat our
- objection.
- MR. HALLORAN: Okay. Objection
- overruled. Admitted. And Exhibit 17?
- MR. MUELLER: Are the May 8th minutes.
- MR.= HALLORAN: Correct.
- MR. MUELLER: We'd move those into
- evidence.

- MR. HOPP: Object. Relevance.
- MR. HALLORAN: Okay. Objection
- overruled. I'll admit Exhibit 17. All right. So
- 4 what do you want to do? It's about 25 to 5:00.
- 5 MR. HOPP: Mr. Halloran, if I may on
- 6 the subject of exhibits, we still have Yorkville 1,
- 7 which was admitted as an offer of proof.
- 8 MR. HALLORAN: Oh, okay.
- 9 MR. HOPP: Just so we're clear, this
- 10 is the card.
- MR. HALLORAN: Thanks.
- MR. HOPP: Alderman Plocher is here
- and ready to go and we'll stay a little late if need
- 14 be.
- MR. MUELLER: Frankly, I'd rather
- start him in the morning. We're not 100 percent
- 17 ready on him.
- MR. HALLORAN: Well, yeah. It's been
- 19 a long day. And if it's okay with you, he can be up
- 20 here at 9:00 a.m.
- MR. HOPP: I'll talk to him. He
- works, but we'll do what we can. If not 9:00 a.m.,
- we'll get him sometime during the day. We know that
- we have Munns coming in at 10:00. So I'm not sure

Page 336

- who's first tomorrow. Can I go talk to him?
- MR. HALLORAN: Sure, sure.
- MR. HOPP: Let me just say, before I
- 4 talk to him, is 9:00 a.m. okay? Can you start with
- 5 him?
- 6 MR. MUELLER: Oh, yeah.
- 7 MR. HALLORAN: We can go off the
- 8 record.
- 9 (Whereupon, a discussion was had
- off the record.)
- MR. HALLORAN: We're back on the
- 12 record. Anybody else from the public want to make a
- statement today or a comment? If not, you can sleep
- on it overnight, and you can come here tomorrow and
- make one if you so choose. All right. Doesn't look
- like anybody wants to.
- We're going to adjourn the hearing
- for today, and we'll meet back tomorrow, April 22nd
- 19 at 9:00 a.m. Thanks. Have a great night.
- 20 AND FURTHER DEPONENT SAITH NAUGHT...

21

22

23

24

	1	<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>
A	215:3,13	230:3 243:22	12:1 217:20	100:3 200:9
abandon 19:3	221:20 223:15	accept 38:20	270:5	294:15,24
ability 151:8	226:17 228:13	39:6 40:8	actions 177:1	295:4,7 319:8
177:9 180:9	233:14 239:12	50:18 55:6	active 13:13	additional 15:24
abject 22:10	241:20 244:17	57:1 136:6	15:20 16:1	21:3,8 29:22
able 113:18,19	245:19 246:24	151:13 192:19	332:5	48:5 74:21
117:18 138:5	248:12,13,19	193:1 332:11	actual 35:11	106:21,24
142:5 143:6	249:16 250:5	acceptance	84:1 142:1	107:9 114:8
145:9 153:8,8	250:14,23,24	41:14	172:24 235:24	176:17
174:17 178:3	251:12 252:4,5	accepted 52:3	actually 15:11	Additionally
178:12 195:17	254:21 255:7	accepting 40:24	18:6 30:2	31:22 36:1
203:10 213:1	256:1,2,3,6,7	accommodating	35:17 50:24	address 57:7
262:5 275:1	256:24 257:2,3	4:4	68:22 72:3	153:9,13
280:15 297:12	257:3 258:21	accompanies	75:23 78:8	154:10 157:16
abortion 158:3	261:13 262:23	37:8	82:12 92:24	264:10
about 5:6,7,11	266:6,23 267:7	according 4:22	93:16 97:14	addressed 7:12
17:1,15 24:3,3	268:10,11,12	4:24 156:10	103:12 104:10	23:18 50:14
26:14 49:16	268:14,16	195:19 206:1	111:7 114:7,24	79:23 127:22
57:18 58:3,6	269:24 271:5	322:15	122:3 139:15	148:15 149:7
64:21 68:13	274:16,23	accordingly	151:15 154:23	149:10
69:2 79:20	275:2,20	144:10 326:16	157:20 162:14	addresses
81:5,18 82:13	276:21 277:17	account 325:9	163:13 171:2	264:11
82:15 87:12	278:7,22 282:5	accuracy 29:16	178:22 185:19	adequate 23:13
88:13 89:19	286:10,22	accurate 28:22	187:7 192:17	23:22 121:18
91:19 97:8	288:17 290:9	72:3 94:18	193:17 198:6	adequately
98:1 99:12	291:15 294:15	97:3 98:18	207:22 212:6	149:6
101:10,15	296:3,24	223:13 247:4	215:21 221:5	adjourn 336:17
105:16 108:3	300:12,13	260:13 273:23	224:3 225:18	administration
111:15 118:10	302:22 305:9	282:19 313:3	234:24 236:2	251:6
119:2,23	306:2,5,21	313:13,16	238:17 240:4	administrative
120:13 125:6	310:7 311:7	314:4 316:3	247:15 251:19	6:24 7:1 38:17
125:11 126:14	312:14 313:1	332:10,11	252:14 259:4	41:19 88:7
126:19 129:7	313:20 314:6	333:10	267:6 291:10	administrator
131:7,16 137:4	315:12 320:1,3	accused 138:5	301:1 303:3	208:6,9,23
137:13 141:8	321:1 322:6	168:18	305:11 308:24	209:3
144:1,24	330:3 331:23	accusing 33:6	312:5 317:9	admissibility
145:16 158:10	335:4	acknowledge	322:5 328:6	245:17
160:12 162:15	above 143:10	298:16	add 90:20 199:8	admission 81:17
163:11 164:14	aboveground	acres 17:17 53:9	209:11 232:2	165:13,21
164:17 168:17	114:15 117:24	100:5,22	234:8,11	270:21 274:2
169:3 173:14	235:11	acronym 15:13	236:13 291:20	284:18
177:3 183:9,9	above-entitled	300:17	320:13	admissions
183:10 185:10	1:11	act 4:24 27:7	added 120:10	31:16
185:12,23	absolute 9:5,24	153:4 198:24	234:4 235:10	admit 37:3
191:3,12,21	absolutely 24:16	251:19,21	236:6 238:24	56:23 224:6
192:9 194:12	27:18 55:12	acting 132:10 167:9 309:17	adding 233:23	232:20 331:10
194:13,13	56:20 63:1 80:9 164:4		235:8	333:15 335:3
197:4,22	169:18 210:20	309:20,21	addition 15:23 17:15 72:1	admits 20:12 admitted 25:10
199:11 213:23	107.10 210.20	action 11:3,14	1/.13/2.1	aumitted 23.10
				<u> </u>

36:15 51:19	169:23 170:15	35:24 38:5	240:2 242:11	98:7 124:9
54:24 56:5	186:8 198:7	49:22,23 50:1	242:11,19	128:14,21
74:18 85:9	201:6 210:5	52:6 60:13	265:7 266:21	129:4 131:20
94:14 96:8	214:20 215:15	64:10 66:20,22	275:13 284:7,8	135:19 136:16
122:15 271:1	221:4,13	66:24 67:1	287:9,14 288:9	136:23 137:3,4
274:12 289:18	232:19 234:1	69:10,21 75:18	294:22 295:17	137:7,9 172:18
289:21 331:13	235:16,16	77:6,8 78:8	296:10 298:18	172:22 180:8
332:19 333:4	237:22 246:7	90:22 91:2	323:19 329:4	223:16 232:2
334:20 335:7	247:6 252:15	97:11 103:23	agreed 48:23	234:4 238:14
admitting 95:9	253:4,22 254:4	156:5,14,16	57:10 146:23	241:4 249:1
adopt 95:1	254:8 292:23	157:13 160:4,4	agreement	250:12 258:11
adopted 12:10	301:23 305:10	160:6,7 164:2	31:24 32:2,5	258:13 265:12
22:12 328:8	310:8,14	164:3,6 165:21	33:5 35:22	270:17 277:5
adoption 43:13	312:20	167:12 174:6	43:11,12 44:17	278:5 282:22
advance 67:13	afternoon 172:2	174:23 175:3,7	66:9,20,24	291:2 292:15
adversarial	afterward 302:1	175:11 182:11	67:19 68:20,23	292:17 293:10
317:17	afterwards 71:4	182:21,23	69:7,21 70:2,3	298:2,22
adverse 207:4,5	again 5:10,24	183:3,7,14,22	70:24 71:3	299:22 300:1
207:10	7:3,12,17 9:22	197:15 212:18	86:2,4,6,14,14	303:19 315:13
advertising	14:5 15:6 17:7	253:10 261:9	86:20 87:6,14	315:14,18
253:8	20:20 22:23	261:24 265:17	89:16 91:19,24	323:15,20
advised 197:21	30:16,21 31:1	270:12,18	93:14 141:13	329:12 333:10
advising 32:12	31:6 39:6,13	271:10,24	141:15 172:23	335:12
advisor 259:14	40:23 41:19	279:10,17,19	175:3,8 178:9	Aldermanic
333:2	46:16 49:15,17	280:3 294:23	178:10 179:14	315:9
advocate 165:13	49:19,21 50:14	302:16 304:7	180:7,23	aldermen 13:24
affairs 21:6	53:16 56:22,24	319:14	192:14 197:17	24:7 82:2
affect 113:15	59:5 63:21	agenda 22:23,24	221:14 308:18	106:13 115:2
164:7 177:19	70:4 75:13	267:17	309:2,8	252:7 253:1
249:18 250:8	76:12 80:2	agent 165:22,23	agreements	329:18
250:11	86:6 91:2	aggressive 16:14	160:19 309:12	alderperson
affiliated 188:14	92:19 122:9	AGH 203:23	ahead 71:6	136:15
215:19	123:5 130:2,10	ago 42:22 45:17	88:23 95:12	alderwoman
affiliations	134:7 141:20	50:8 84:21	125:7 148:19	136:16
16:11 193:23	143:11 145:20	107:6 162:12	173:22 228:9	alienate 21:18
affirmed 333:20	153:20 163:1	182:10 198:9	233:18,20	alienation 21:14
afford 23:22	167:3 188:1	245:5 275:16	243:12	aligning 21:22
afforded 23:12	200:6 224:8	286:4,19	air 290:4	alleged 53:21
aforesaid 337:11	225:9 263:5	294:21 311:21	airline 193:10	99:11,13 138:9
after 5:6,24	264:4 265:16	agree 6:23 34:18	alderman 8:17	alleging 123:14
18:11 19:10	266:5 273:3	50:9,14 56:22	9:22 14:16,17	Allen 2:14 8:12
20:4 23:21	274:20 281:7	57:22,23 59:10	14:24 15:4,8	8:15 201:13
34:13 77:23	284:4 294:9	59:10 61:11	15:23 16:17	allow 13:19
98:12 114:24	312:6 313:21	64:12,22 65:9	18:24 19:9	36:21 49:19
129:15,16	319:1 323:8	69:16 75:18	21:1,18 25:14	56:4 57:1 58:1
143:9,24	against 4:19	81:21 115:8	42:13,17 57:8	75:15 77:24
145:20 146:3	11:21 18:3	116:24 123:24	57:8,12,21	81:16 82:9
156:21,22	24:19 25:15	142:3 202:8	60:3 77:1	103:24 178:2
162:19 166:18	31:16 33:4,5,6	220:15 230:6	83:24 97:15	243:1,2 287:14
	1	I	I	1

	I	1	1	1
294:10 307:23	among 82:23	291:15,19	262:5 272:3,4	anyone 96:21
330:9 334:13	106:13 212:8	292:13 301:23	272:24 276:14	105:18 133:11
allowed 6:15	288:20 320:10	302:3,10,11,16	277:21,24	145:18 174:20
14:9 24:21	amount 21:2,8	302:17,19	280:14 283:3	217:23 254:3
52:24 56:6	74:18 194:5	303:14,15,16	288:22,23	274:15 276:9
63:10 65:10	217:16,17	304:8,13,21	297:11 306:7	293:11 309:16
106:23 131:6	219:3 324:24	305:10,15	313:11 319:8	anything 17:12
132:10 205:22	325:2	306:6,22	answered 22:9	25:16 36:8,18
268:15 298:12	amounts 194:1	307:12 308:12	23:17 67:7	58:6,6 121:5
allowing 138:10	analyze 21:7	318:16	115:4 120:3	131:6 146:17
almost 143:21	analyzed 153:12	annexations	183:17,18	164:23 166:12
144:22 151:13	Anderson 2:10	141:14 177:16	204:7 220:13	166:13 168:23
alone 15:3	7:24	annexed 305:11	277:19 280:13	170:8 190:11
along 110:10	angry 248:11	323:1	281:7,16	191:3,19
170:5 241:12	Ann 3:6 17:16	annexing 198:18	288:14,19	199:13,15
271:2 274:8	42:2,12	announce	307:22	210:12 213:18
already 5:13	annex 179:4	299:24 300:6	answering	218:21,22
40:3 48:15,19	annexation	announced	129:20	219:11,15
49:11 51:1	31:23 32:5,13	300:5	answers 77:23	220:1,8 234:8
59:13,17 60:13	32:15 33:5	another 54:18	331:20	234:11 256:11
61:11,15 74:19	35:21 43:10,12	62:15 92:6	Anthony 2:17	256:14,24
99:9 142:14	44:11 45:1,1,7	116:20 130:5	8:14 203:23	257:1 272:20
156:9,9,10	45:9,20,23	170:18 224:6	208:1 237:13	292:11 295:10
157:14 181:14	54:7 66:8,8,20	313:20	anticipate	306:5,21
200:13 204:7	67:4 70:24	answer 66:18	133:21	307:10 317:2
205:5,15	71:3 84:1	67:10 68:20,24	anticipated	anyway 264:21
200.0,10	/1.5 07.1	07.10 00.20,24	anucipateu	anyway 204.21
206:19 220:13	89:16 91:19,24	69:12,15,19	153:3,7	anyway 204.21 anywhere
•	1		1 -	1 * *
206:19 220:13	89:16 91:19,24	69:12,15,19	153:3,7	anywhere
206:19 220:13 224:4 279:16	89:16 91:19,24 93:14 97:12	69:12,15,19 71:23 74:6	153:3,7 anti-landfill	anywhere 193:10 195:13
206:19 220:13 224:4 279:16 281:6 288:9	89:16 91:19,24 93:14 97:12 141:9,13 142:2	69:12,15,19 71:23 74:6 75:17,21,22	153:3,7 anti-landfill 15:14,15 16:7	anywhere 193:10 195:13 API 108:10
206:19 220:13 224:4 279:16 281:6 288:9 310:4 333:3	89:16 91:19,24 93:14 97:12 141:9,13 142:2 142:15 151:8	69:12,15,19 71:23 74:6 75:17,21,22 78:3,24 79:3	153:3,7 anti-landfill 15:14,15 16:7 16:13,22,24	anywhere 193:10 195:13 API 108:10 114:16
206:19 220:13 224:4 279:16 281:6 288:9 310:4 333:3 alternative 91:4	89:16 91:19,24 93:14 97:12 141:9,13 142:2 142:15 151:8 151:14,14,17	69:12,15,19 71:23 74:6 75:17,21,22 78:3,24 79:3 82:10 83:7	153:3,7 anti-landfill 15:14,15 16:7 16:13,22,24 18:2 124:2	anywhere 193:10 195:13 API 108:10 114:16 apologetic 24:3
206:19 220:13 224:4 279:16 281:6 288:9 310:4 333:3 alternative 91:4 although 12:22	89:16 91:19,24 93:14 97:12 141:9,13 142:2 142:15 151:8 151:14,14,17 151:21 152:2	69:12,15,19 71:23 74:6 75:17,21,22 78:3,24 79:3 82:10 83:7 95:6 100:1,4	153:3,7 anti-landfill 15:14,15 16:7 16:13,22,24 18:2 124:2 174:5 190:21	anywhere 193:10 195:13 API 108:10 114:16 apologetic 24:3 apologies 194:22
206:19 220:13 224:4 279:16 281:6 288:9 310:4 333:3 alternative 91:4 although 12:22 149:22	89:16 91:19,24 93:14 97:12 141:9,13 142:2 142:15 151:8 151:14,14,17 151:21 152:2 156:17,18	69:12,15,19 71:23 74:6 75:17,21,22 78:3,24 79:3 82:10 83:7 95:6 100:1,4 100:10 101:19	153:3,7 anti-landfill 15:14,15 16:7 16:13,22,24 18:2 124:2 174:5 190:21 191:1,5 247:23	anywhere 193:10 195:13 API 108:10 114:16 apologetic 24:3 apologies 194:22 apologize 10:22
206:19 220:13 224:4 279:16 281:6 288:9 310:4 333:3 alternative 91:4 although 12:22 149:22 always 49:20	89:16 91:19,24 93:14 97:12 141:9,13 142:2 142:15 151:8 151:14,14,17 151:21 152:2 156:17,18 160:1 172:23	69:12,15,19 71:23 74:6 75:17,21,22 78:3,24 79:3 82:10 83:7 95:6 100:1,4 100:10 101:19 111:13 113:18	153:3,7 anti-landfill 15:14,15 16:7 16:13,22,24 18:2 124:2 174:5 190:21 191:1,5 247:23 248:3 265:8	anywhere 193:10 195:13 API 108:10 114:16 apologetic 24:3 apologies 194:22 apologize 10:22 240:15 301:6
206:19 220:13 224:4 279:16 281:6 288:9 310:4 333:3 alternative 91:4 although 12:22 149:22 always 49:20 176:23 234:12	89:16 91:19,24 93:14 97:12 141:9,13 142:2 142:15 151:8 151:14,14,17 151:21 152:2 156:17,18 160:1 172:23 174:23 175:7	69:12,15,19 71:23 74:6 75:17,21,22 78:3,24 79:3 82:10 83:7 95:6 100:1,4 100:10 101:19 111:13 113:18 114:20 115:9	153:3,7 anti-landfill 15:14,15 16:7 16:13,22,24 18:2 124:2 174:5 190:21 191:1,5 247:23 248:3 265:8 272:21 316:13	anywhere 193:10 195:13 API 108:10 114:16 apologetic 24:3 apologies 194:22 apologize 10:22 240:15 301:6 311:22 312:6
206:19 220:13 224:4 279:16 281:6 288:9 310:4 333:3 alternative 91:4 although 12:22 149:22 always 49:20 176:23 234:12 234:13 236:11	89:16 91:19,24 93:14 97:12 141:9,13 142:2 142:15 151:8 151:14,14,17 151:21 152:2 156:17,18 160:1 172:23 174:23 175:7 175:18 176:3,8	69:12,15,19 71:23 74:6 75:17,21,22 78:3,24 79:3 82:10 83:7 95:6 100:1,4 100:10 101:19 111:13 113:18 114:20 115:9 117:9,18	153:3,7 anti-landfill 15:14,15 16:7 16:13,22,24 18:2 124:2 174:5 190:21 191:1,5 247:23 248:3 265:8 272:21 316:13 317:1 329:14	anywhere 193:10 195:13 API 108:10 114:16 apologetic 24:3 apologies 194:22 apologize 10:22 240:15 301:6 311:22 312:6 apparently 26:6
206:19 220:13 224:4 279:16 281:6 288:9 310:4 333:3 alternative 91:4 although 12:22 149:22 always 49:20 176:23 234:12 234:13 236:11 248:9	89:16 91:19,24 93:14 97:12 141:9,13 142:2 142:15 151:8 151:14,14,17 151:21 152:2 156:17,18 160:1 172:23 174:23 175:7 175:18 176:3,8 178:9,10,21,24	69:12,15,19 71:23 74:6 75:17,21,22 78:3,24 79:3 82:10 83:7 95:6 100:1,4 100:10 101:19 111:13 113:18 114:20 115:9 117:9,18 120:11 121:23	153:3,7 anti-landfill 15:14,15 16:7 16:13,22,24 18:2 124:2 174:5 190:21 191:1,5 247:23 248:3 265:8 272:21 316:13 317:1 329:14 329:16,19	anywhere 193:10 195:13 API 108:10 114:16 apologetic 24:3 apologies 194:22 apologize 10:22 240:15 301:6 311:22 312:6 apparently 26:6 26:22 29:23
206:19 220:13 224:4 279:16 281:6 288:9 310:4 333:3 alternative 91:4 although 12:22 149:22 always 49:20 176:23 234:12 234:13 236:11 248:9 ambiguous 24:9	89:16 91:19,24 93:14 97:12 141:9,13 142:2 142:15 151:8 151:14,14,17 151:21 152:2 156:17,18 160:1 172:23 174:23 175:7 175:18 176:3,8 178:9,10,21,24 179:14 180:7	69:12,15,19 71:23 74:6 75:17,21,22 78:3,24 79:3 82:10 83:7 95:6 100:1,4 100:10 101:19 111:13 113:18 114:20 115:9 117:9,18 120:11 121:23 122:8 138:6,11	153:3,7 anti-landfill 15:14,15 16:7 16:13,22,24 18:2 124:2 174:5 190:21 191:1,5 247:23 248:3 265:8 272:21 316:13 317:1 329:14 329:16,19 anybody 105:13	anywhere 193:10 195:13 API 108:10 114:16 apologetic 24:3 apologies 194:22 apologize 10:22 240:15 301:6 311:22 312:6 apparently 26:6 26:22 29:23 40:3 176:2
206:19 220:13 224:4 279:16 281:6 288:9 310:4 333:3 alternative 91:4 although 12:22 149:22 always 49:20 176:23 234:12 234:13 236:11 248:9 ambiguous 24:9 ambush 50:12	89:16 91:19,24 93:14 97:12 141:9,13 142:2 142:15 151:8 151:14,14,17 151:21 152:2 156:17,18 160:1 172:23 174:23 175:7 175:18 176:3,8 178:9,10,21,24 179:14 180:7 181:13 182:21	69:12,15,19 71:23 74:6 75:17,21,22 78:3,24 79:3 82:10 83:7 95:6 100:1,4 100:10 101:19 111:13 113:18 114:20 115:9 117:9,18 120:11 121:23 122:8 138:6,11 138:14 142:4	153:3,7 anti-landfill 15:14,15 16:7 16:13,22,24 18:2 124:2 174:5 190:21 191:1,5 247:23 248:3 265:8 272:21 316:13 317:1 329:14 329:16,19 anybody 105:13 144:2 147:16	anywhere 193:10 195:13 API 108:10 114:16 apologetic 24:3 apologies 194:22 apologize 10:22 240:15 301:6 311:22 312:6 apparently 26:6 26:22 29:23 40:3 176:2 217:16 243:10
206:19 220:13 224:4 279:16 281:6 288:9 310:4 333:3 alternative 91:4 although 12:22 149:22 always 49:20 176:23 234:12 234:13 236:11 248:9 ambiguous 24:9 ambush 50:12 amend 236:13	89:16 91:19,24 93:14 97:12 141:9,13 142:2 142:15 151:8 151:14,14,17 151:21 152:2 156:17,18 160:1 172:23 174:23 175:7 175:18 176:3,8 178:9,10,21,24 179:14 180:7 181:13 182:21 183:10 187:12	69:12,15,19 71:23 74:6 75:17,21,22 78:3,24 79:3 82:10 83:7 95:6 100:1,4 100:10 101:19 111:13 113:18 114:20 115:9 117:9,18 120:11 121:23 122:8 138:6,11 138:14 142:4 143:5 159:17	153:3,7 anti-landfill 15:14,15 16:7 16:13,22,24 18:2 124:2 174:5 190:21 191:1,5 247:23 248:3 265:8 272:21 316:13 317:1 329:14 329:16,19 anybody 105:13 144:2 147:16 157:12 181:23	anywhere 193:10 195:13 API 108:10 114:16 apologetic 24:3 apologies 194:22 apologize 10:22 240:15 301:6 311:22 312:6 apparently 26:6 26:22 29:23 40:3 176:2 217:16 243:10 244:22 250:15
206:19 220:13 224:4 279:16 281:6 288:9 310:4 333:3 alternative 91:4 although 12:22 149:22 always 49:20 176:23 234:12 234:13 236:11 248:9 ambiguous 24:9 ambush 50:12 amend 236:13 amended 43:13	89:16 91:19,24 93:14 97:12 141:9,13 142:2 142:15 151:8 151:14,14,17 151:21 152:2 156:17,18 160:1 172:23 174:23 175:7 175:18 176:3,8 178:9,10,21,24 179:14 180:7 181:13 182:21 183:10 187:12 188:7 192:14	69:12,15,19 71:23 74:6 75:17,21,22 78:3,24 79:3 82:10 83:7 95:6 100:1,4 100:10 101:19 111:13 113:18 114:20 115:9 117:9,18 120:11 121:23 122:8 138:6,11 138:14 142:4 143:5 159:17 159:20 174:16	153:3,7 anti-landfill 15:14,15 16:7 16:13,22,24 18:2 124:2 174:5 190:21 191:1,5 247:23 248:3 265:8 272:21 316:13 317:1 329:14 329:16,19 anybody 105:13 144:2 147:16 157:12 181:23 193:24 211:22	anywhere 193:10 195:13 API 108:10 114:16 apologetic 24:3 apologies 194:22 apologize 10:22 240:15 301:6 311:22 312:6 apparently 26:6 26:22 29:23 40:3 176:2 217:16 243:10 244:22 250:15 appeal 1:6 6:22
206:19 220:13 224:4 279:16 281:6 288:9 310:4 333:3 alternative 91:4 although 12:22 149:22 always 49:20 176:23 234:12 234:13 236:11 248:9 ambiguous 24:9 ambiguous 24:9 ambush 50:12 amend 236:13 amended 43:13 235:16,17 236:8 amendment	89:16 91:19,24 93:14 97:12 141:9,13 142:2 142:15 151:8 151:14,14,17 151:21 152:2 156:17,18 160:1 172:23 174:23 175:7 175:18 176:3,8 178:9,10,21,24 179:14 180:7 181:13 182:21 183:10 187:12 188:7 192:14 196:10 197:5	69:12,15,19 71:23 74:6 75:17,21,22 78:3,24 79:3 82:10 83:7 95:6 100:1,4 100:10 101:19 111:13 113:18 114:20 115:9 117:9,18 120:11 121:23 122:8 138:6,11 138:14 142:4 143:5 159:17 159:20 174:16 176:7 178:3	153:3,7 anti-landfill 15:14,15 16:7 16:13,22,24 18:2 124:2 174:5 190:21 191:1,5 247:23 248:3 265:8 272:21 316:13 317:1 329:14 329:16,19 anybody 105:13 144:2 147:16 157:12 181:23 193:24 211:22 228:16 249:15	anywhere 193:10 195:13 API 108:10 114:16 apologetic 24:3 apologies 194:22 apologize 10:22 240:15 301:6 311:22 312:6 apparently 26:6 26:22 29:23 40:3 176:2 217:16 243:10 244:22 250:15 appeal 1:6 6:22 11:2 24:14
206:19 220:13 224:4 279:16 281:6 288:9 310:4 333:3 alternative 91:4 although 12:22 149:22 always 49:20 176:23 234:12 234:13 236:11 248:9 ambiguous 24:9 ambiguous 24:9 ambiguous 24:9 amend 236:13 amended 43:13 235:16,17 236:8	89:16 91:19,24 93:14 97:12 141:9,13 142:2 142:15 151:8 151:14,14,17 151:21 152:2 156:17,18 160:1 172:23 174:23 175:7 175:18 176:3,8 178:9,10,21,24 179:14 180:7 181:13 182:21 183:10 187:12 188:7 192:14 196:10 197:5 204:21 206:5	69:12,15,19 71:23 74:6 75:17,21,22 78:3,24 79:3 82:10 83:7 95:6 100:1,4 100:10 101:19 111:13 113:18 114:20 115:9 117:9,18 120:11 121:23 122:8 138:6,11 138:14 142:4 143:5 159:17 159:20 174:16 176:7 178:3 184:5 187:13 187:19 188:1 195:17 203:9	153:3,7 anti-landfill 15:14,15 16:7 16:13,22,24 18:2 124:2 174:5 190:21 191:1,5 247:23 248:3 265:8 272:21 316:13 317:1 329:14 329:16,19 anybody 105:13 144:2 147:16 157:12 181:23 193:24 211:22 228:16 249:15 250:18 256:11 257:14 306:1 336:12,16	anywhere 193:10 195:13 API 108:10 114:16 apologetic 24:3 apologies 194:22 apologize 10:22 240:15 301:6 311:22 312:6 apparently 26:6 26:22 29:23 40:3 176:2 217:16 243:10 244:22 250:15 appeal 1:6 6:22 11:2 24:14 168:18 231:5 appealed 231:4 appeals 4:16
206:19 220:13 224:4 279:16 281:6 288:9 310:4 333:3 alternative 91:4 although 12:22 149:22 always 49:20 176:23 234:12 234:13 236:11 248:9 ambiguous 24:9 ambiguous 24:9 ambiguous 24:9 amend 236:13 amended 43:13 235:16,17 236:8 amendment 26:8 152:4 amendments	89:16 91:19,24 93:14 97:12 141:9,13 142:2 142:15 151:8 151:14,14,17 151:21 152:2 156:17,18 160:1 172:23 174:23 175:7 175:18 176:3,8 178:9,10,21,24 179:14 180:7 181:13 182:21 183:10 187:12 188:7 192:14 196:10 197:5 204:21 206:5 250:24 251:12 251:14 267:7 267:21 268:6	69:12,15,19 71:23 74:6 75:17,21,22 78:3,24 79:3 82:10 83:7 95:6 100:1,4 100:10 101:19 111:13 113:18 114:20 115:9 117:9,18 120:11 121:23 122:8 138:6,11 138:14 142:4 143:5 159:17 159:20 174:16 176:7 178:3 184:5 187:13 187:19 188:1	153:3,7 anti-landfill 15:14,15 16:7 16:13,22,24 18:2 124:2 174:5 190:21 191:1,5 247:23 248:3 265:8 272:21 316:13 317:1 329:14 329:16,19 anybody 105:13 144:2 147:16 157:12 181:23 193:24 211:22 228:16 249:15 250:18 256:11 257:14 306:1 336:12,16 anybody's 148:3	anywhere 193:10 195:13 API 108:10 114:16 apologetic 24:3 apologies 194:22 apologize 10:22 240:15 301:6 311:22 312:6 apparently 26:6 26:22 29:23 40:3 176:2 217:16 243:10 244:22 250:15 appeal 1:6 6:22 11:2 24:14 168:18 231:5 appealed 231:4
206:19 220:13 224:4 279:16 281:6 288:9 310:4 333:3 alternative 91:4 although 12:22 149:22 always 49:20 176:23 234:12 234:13 236:11 248:9 ambiguous 24:9 ambiguous 24:9 ambiguous 24:9 amend 236:13 amended 43:13 235:16,17 236:8 amendment 26:8 152:4 amendments 29:10	89:16 91:19,24 93:14 97:12 141:9,13 142:2 142:15 151:8 151:14,14,17 151:21 152:2 156:17,18 160:1 172:23 174:23 175:7 175:18 176:3,8 178:9,10,21,24 179:14 180:7 181:13 182:21 183:10 187:12 188:7 192:14 196:10 197:5 204:21 206:5 250:24 251:12 251:14 267:7 267:21 268:6 268:10,14	69:12,15,19 71:23 74:6 75:17,21,22 78:3,24 79:3 82:10 83:7 95:6 100:1,4 100:10 101:19 111:13 113:18 114:20 115:9 117:9,18 120:11 121:23 122:8 138:6,11 138:14 142:4 143:5 159:17 159:20 174:16 176:7 178:3 184:5 187:13 187:19 188:1 195:17 203:9 212:19 213:1,1 213:6,22	153:3,7 anti-landfill 15:14,15 16:7 16:13,22,24 18:2 124:2 174:5 190:21 191:1,5 247:23 248:3 265:8 272:21 316:13 317:1 329:14 329:16,19 anybody 105:13 144:2 147:16 157:12 181:23 193:24 211:22 228:16 249:15 250:18 256:11 257:14 306:1 336:12,16 anybody's 148:3 anymore 124:20	anywhere 193:10 195:13 API 108:10 114:16 apologetic 24:3 apologies 194:22 apologize 10:22 240:15 301:6 311:22 312:6 apparently 26:6 26:22 29:23 40:3 176:2 217:16 243:10 244:22 250:15 appeal 1:6 6:22 11:2 24:14 168:18 231:5 appealed 231:4 appeals 4:16 221:17 appear 107:10
206:19 220:13 224:4 279:16 281:6 288:9 310:4 333:3 alternative 91:4 although 12:22 149:22 always 49:20 176:23 234:12 234:13 236:11 248:9 ambiguous 24:9 ambiguous 24:9 ambiguous 24:9 ambiguous 24:13 235:16,17 236:8 amended 43:13 235:16,17 236:8 amendment 26:8 152:4 amendments 29:10 amicus 6:2	89:16 91:19,24 93:14 97:12 141:9,13 142:2 142:15 151:8 151:14,14,17 151:21 152:2 156:17,18 160:1 172:23 174:23 175:7 175:18 176:3,8 178:9,10,21,24 179:14 180:7 181:13 182:21 183:10 187:12 188:7 192:14 196:10 197:5 204:21 206:5 250:24 251:12 251:14 267:7 267:21 268:6 268:10,14 269:7 270:13	69:12,15,19 71:23 74:6 75:17,21,22 78:3,24 79:3 82:10 83:7 95:6 100:1,4 100:10 101:19 111:13 113:18 114:20 115:9 117:9,18 120:11 121:23 122:8 138:6,11 138:14 142:4 143:5 159:17 159:20 174:16 176:7 178:3 184:5 187:13 187:19 188:1 195:17 203:9 212:19 213:1,1 213:6,22 218:10 228:6	153:3,7 anti-landfill 15:14,15 16:7 16:13,22,24 18:2 124:2 174:5 190:21 191:1,5 247:23 248:3 265:8 272:21 316:13 317:1 329:14 329:16,19 anybody 105:13 144:2 147:16 157:12 181:23 193:24 211:22 228:16 249:15 250:18 256:11 257:14 306:1 336:12,16 anybody's 148:3 anymore 124:20 145:23 170:17	anywhere 193:10 195:13 API 108:10 114:16 apologetic 24:3 apologies 194:22 apologize 10:22 240:15 301:6 311:22 312:6 apparently 26:6 26:22 29:23 40:3 176:2 217:16 243:10 244:22 250:15 appeal 1:6 6:22 11:2 24:14 168:18 231:5 appealed 231:4 appeals 4:16 221:17 appear 107:10 116:15 139:15
206:19 220:13 224:4 279:16 281:6 288:9 310:4 333:3 alternative 91:4 although 12:22 149:22 always 49:20 176:23 234:12 234:13 236:11 248:9 ambiguous 24:9 ambiguous 24:9 ambiguous 24:9 amend 236:13 amended 43:13 235:16,17 236:8 amendment 26:8 152:4 amendments 29:10	89:16 91:19,24 93:14 97:12 141:9,13 142:2 142:15 151:8 151:14,14,17 151:21 152:2 156:17,18 160:1 172:23 174:23 175:7 175:18 176:3,8 178:9,10,21,24 179:14 180:7 181:13 182:21 183:10 187:12 188:7 192:14 196:10 197:5 204:21 206:5 250:24 251:12 251:14 267:7 267:21 268:6 268:10,14	69:12,15,19 71:23 74:6 75:17,21,22 78:3,24 79:3 82:10 83:7 95:6 100:1,4 100:10 101:19 111:13 113:18 114:20 115:9 117:9,18 120:11 121:23 122:8 138:6,11 138:14 142:4 143:5 159:17 159:20 174:16 176:7 178:3 184:5 187:13 187:19 188:1 195:17 203:9 212:19 213:1,1 213:6,22	153:3,7 anti-landfill 15:14,15 16:7 16:13,22,24 18:2 124:2 174:5 190:21 191:1,5 247:23 248:3 265:8 272:21 316:13 317:1 329:14 329:16,19 anybody 105:13 144:2 147:16 157:12 181:23 193:24 211:22 228:16 249:15 250:18 256:11 257:14 306:1 336:12,16 anybody's 148:3 anymore 124:20	anywhere 193:10 195:13 API 108:10 114:16 apologetic 24:3 apologies 194:22 apologize 10:22 240:15 301:6 311:22 312:6 apparently 26:6 26:22 29:23 40:3 176:2 217:16 243:10 244:22 250:15 appeal 1:6 6:22 11:2 24:14 168:18 231:5 appealed 231:4 appeals 4:16 221:17 appear 107:10
206:19 220:13 224:4 279:16 281:6 288:9 310:4 333:3 alternative 91:4 although 12:22 149:22 always 49:20 176:23 234:12 234:13 236:11 248:9 ambiguous 24:9 ambiguous 24:9 ambiguous 24:9 ambiguous 24:13 235:16,17 236:8 amended 43:13 235:16,17 236:8 amendment 26:8 152:4 amendments 29:10 amicus 6:2	89:16 91:19,24 93:14 97:12 141:9,13 142:2 142:15 151:8 151:14,14,17 151:21 152:2 156:17,18 160:1 172:23 174:23 175:7 175:18 176:3,8 178:9,10,21,24 179:14 180:7 181:13 182:21 183:10 187:12 188:7 192:14 196:10 197:5 204:21 206:5 250:24 251:12 251:14 267:7 267:21 268:6 268:10,14 269:7 270:13	69:12,15,19 71:23 74:6 75:17,21,22 78:3,24 79:3 82:10 83:7 95:6 100:1,4 100:10 101:19 111:13 113:18 114:20 115:9 117:9,18 120:11 121:23 122:8 138:6,11 138:14 142:4 143:5 159:17 159:20 174:16 176:7 178:3 184:5 187:13 187:19 188:1 195:17 203:9 212:19 213:1,1 213:6,22 218:10 228:6	153:3,7 anti-landfill 15:14,15 16:7 16:13,22,24 18:2 124:2 174:5 190:21 191:1,5 247:23 248:3 265:8 272:21 316:13 317:1 329:14 329:16,19 anybody 105:13 144:2 147:16 157:12 181:23 193:24 211:22 228:16 249:15 250:18 256:11 257:14 306:1 336:12,16 anybody's 148:3 anymore 124:20 145:23 170:17	anywhere 193:10 195:13 API 108:10 114:16 apologetic 24:3 apologies 194:22 apologize 10:22 240:15 301:6 311:22 312:6 apparently 26:6 26:22 29:23 40:3 176:2 217:16 243:10 244:22 250:15 appeal 1:6 6:22 11:2 24:14 168:18 231:5 appealed 231:4 appeals 4:16 221:17 appear 107:10 116:15 139:15

	1	1		
274:1	110:5 111:19	226:6	300:4 336:18	312:16 313:20
appearances	112:1 126:20	approachable	Arden 84:10	315:1,4 319:9
248:7	128:20 132:17	276:4,10,18	area 14:16 89:4	319:23 333:21
appeared 2:8,13	132:22 133:1,3	approached	107:15 116:8	333:22
2:18,23 17:2	133:18 134:4,6	132:7 275:20	118:2 126:11	articles 72:3
162:23	149:24 150:15	278:5	129:6 185:24	94:14 223:8
appearing	175:20 176:10	appropriate	205:2	300:11 311:18
189:16	176:18,23	47:2,4 81:20	areas 52:11	331:11
appears 206:7	177:15,22	103:7 176:24	177:2 254:14	ascertain 149:12
231:20 232:17	178:13,15	177:10 212:16	argue 58:21	aside 138:23
235:12 245:1	179:6 198:20	222:15 277:16	230:1,11	150:19
266:17 296:7	203:4 214:21	308:6 324:1	331:22	asked 29:21
appellate 32:19	223:23 231:16	approval 12:8	argued 48:8	30:13 66:7
57:23	232:10,24	18:13 22:14	203:7	67:6 68:19
appended 102:5	239:13 244:9,9	23:7,15,17	argument 34:17	71:21 73:22
224:14	244:10 247:6	65:14 66:8	40:3 43:23	74:3,8,17 75:5
applauded	247:14 298:4,8	110:14,15	46:18 59:1	75:10 76:19
272:8	298:13 307:20	112:5 150:1	65:14 176:17	78:15,22 83:1
applause 54:9	326:12,23	228:21 229:2	324:9	98:14 99:21,24
317:1,6,8	327:7,14,19	246:16 326:8	Argumentative	106:23 115:3
apples 61:2	applications	approve 142:19	143:4	126:7 129:22
applicant 11:2,2	36:7 177:17	222:20	arguments 7:6	130:10 153:12
18:13 35:24	applied 12:20	approved 76:7	136:7 146:21	159:16 161:10
53:16,20	applies 46:8	86:13,14	170:7	174:9 183:16
121:16 264:8	apply 34:1 49:9	204:13 217:10	arise 7:22 58:15	186:13,14
264:11 268:20	176:1,20	220:7 239:7	arises 7:3 46:20	187:9 190:8
286:12 326:22	177:19	246:18	armed 262:19	212:21 229:24
applicants 53:24	appoint 201:8	approving	262:23 263:8	241:3 243:11
242:15 243:6	210:4,9 218:15	197:16	263:16	244:7,8,12
326:12	222:1,2	approximate	around 49:13	245:5 250:14
applicant's	appointed	36:2	58:9 59:12	252:7 256:13
241:20	215:16,18	approximately	61:15 71:13	261:19,20
application 4:14	222:11	4:20 26:1	86:13 131:24	268:8 272:22
11:22 12:13,17	appointing	146:6 203:14	137:2 158:19	275:18 277:19
12:23,24 13:1	201:2,4 217:21	April 1:1,17	166:23 167:7	278:3 280:12
13:2,8,12 14:6	217:22	4:20 17:2	181:14 290:5	281:15 288:14
20:2 23:6,15	appointment	42:24 84:5	300:8	288:19 296:13
24:21 25:6,12	204:13 216:14	93:20 95:21	arrived 129:19	296:17 297:15
25:16,23 26:3	216:21 217:2,4	99:3 101:16	article 17:1 53:8	306:4,20 307:9
27:10 32:8,17	217:5,10,14	172:13,14	71:7 94:11,16	319:6 330:6
32:21,23 34:5	218:2 219:4,18	185:17 198:23	94:23 95:5,12	332:5
34:6,10,11,12	219:20 220:8	199:23 200:14	95:18,24 96:1	asking 22:12
34:13 35:17	220:19	203:17,22	96:7 98:1,17	36:15 45:12
36:3,4 43:19	appreciate 56:3	208:4,24	99:18 100:15	58:6 59:12
45:24 46:6 59:24 66:10	65:18 71:15 76:2 145:18	210:21 232:2 270:12 279:9	197:4,7 199:23 222:24 223:4	60:4,6 63:2 76:17 81:18
67:5 69:8	147:4 257:17	292:8 293:23	252:6 294:12	84:18 89:19
81:12 82:4	approach 68:3	296:7,24 297:5	296:7 297:7	92:2 97:19
101:23 108:21	125:22 131:12	297:7 299:23	311:7 312:14	98:1 104:17
101.23 100.21	145.44 151.14	491.1 499.43	311./ 314.14	70.1 10 4 .1/
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

Γ		*		
105:16 106:15	attain 133:15	303:21 326:5,6	aware 69:1	283:11 285:22
106:18 107:5,8	attempted 57:17	327:23	159:11,16	289:8,10 299:2
108:23 111:6	80:13	attorneys 11:1	182:3,5,6,13	303:13 322:5
112:9,19 117:2	attempting	18:23 19:3,18	183:21 184:1	324:17 328:22
117:3 118:15	14:14 23:22	20:4,11 22:18	184:12,15	336:11,18
118:24 121:6	167:10,11	81:7 91:19	185:8 186:18	background
173:14,16	attempts 21:18	104:19 115:11	221:16,18	87:1
183:24 184:8	attend 86:7	115:13,16,24	248:14	backroom 284:1
188:2 189:6,9	133:24 142:10	116:5 118:10	awareness	backup 49:20
198:23 218:9	161:22 162:1	118:23 119:23	221:20	backyard 256:4
219:15 229:8	170:17 227:15	149:7 150:20	away 72:14 89:4	bad 14:19 46:21
240:5 242:6	271:22	200:21 203:1	96:12	46:23 47:8,10
268:24 270:5	attendance	207:24,24	awhile 190:4	47:23 48:1,3
271:14 288:17	227:20,23	217:7 219:7	275:9	50:23 51:2,5
296:24 297:14	327:5	227:20 230:19	AWWAD-100	52:11 54:14
298:16 311:13	attended 81:2	234:2 235:4	108:9	55:16,17 56:1
323:9,14,20	144:22 161:13	239:3 240:23	AWWD-100	57:21 61:1
330:8	161:15,17	241:3,12	114:16	73:12 138:9
Asks 211:14	167:22 170:18	attorney's 104:8	aye 103:20	162:3,15
assembled 17:23	208:9 249:4	attorney-client	104:3,7	233:13 265:2
asserted 34:21	262:12 267:18	20:12 118:12	A.D 1:17 337:20	294:24 312:5
35:4 37:3	287:3 292:24	118:22 211:10	a.m 1:16 4:21	balance 23:3
112:21	302:3,5 309:16	attributed 96:23	335:20,22	151:7
assigned 4:8	attending	97:14	336:4,19	barely 36:4
132:19	258:22 259:5	audience 10:22	A1 315:8	barred 36:6
assist 36:24	265:21	auditorium		base 133:8
associated 54:19	attention 21:5	316:24	B	based 12:2,3
149:5,14	85:24 92:15	August 126:23	B 113:10	13:5 36:5
152:18 192:21	105:11 124:6	127:18,20	baby 169:11	47:12 50:7
assume 111:4,6	180:1 211:8	141:7 195:10	back 10:23	72:21 75:8
139:19,22	225:6 261:17	246:23	12:16 27:22	107:24 122:9
210:9,11 213:2	306:20 321:4	Aurora 17:2	39:9 46:11	131:9 178:24
Assumes 310:3	attest 316:18,19	authored 222:24	49:18,23,24	211:19 234:6,7
assuming	attorney 53:19	273:13,15,24	63:7 65:6	240:20 241:2
324:10	80:15 86:3	281:9 284:5	67:17 88:21	242:9 254:10
assumption	104:13 115:19	authorities	93:18 106:2	254:11 326:13
65:12 324:12	119:9,12	205:21	113:4 126:24	333:9,20
atmosphere	120:10 127:21	authorization	127:9,17	basic 236:6,12
35:9	131:2 142:9	203:2	130:13 141:20	basically 131:7
attached 23:7	148:22 189:13	authorized	145:24 146:5	146:16 317:3
52:10,13 54:4	189:21 191:23	119:1 209:10	160:3 162:21	basis 12:24
	1001100710	210:13 215:15	168:13 171:21	36:14 41:10
55:14 57:5	198:14 205:19	ł .	1 171.10 101 CO	
102:17,19	209:12,15	215:16 318:19	174:12 181:6,9	149:16
102:17,19 109:9	209:12,15 215:19 216:15	215:16 318:19 availability	185:23 195:3	Beacher 126:1,3
102:17,19 109:9 attaches 49:5	209:12,15 215:19 216:15 218:2,7 219:9	215:16 318:19 availability 121:18	185:23 195:3 226:24 227:1	Beacher 126:1,3 162:5,7
102:17,19 109:9 attaches 49:5 attachments	209:12,15 215:19 216:15 218:2,7 219:9 219:10,20	215:16 318:19 availability 121:18 available 50:6	185:23 195:3 226:24 227:1 228:2 231:4	Beacher 126:1,3 162:5,7 Beacon 17:2
102:17,19 109:9 attaches 49:5 attachments 61:21	209:12,15 215:19 216:15 218:2,7 219:9 219:10,20 222:10,10,13	215:16 318:19 availability 121:18 available 50:6 133:15 134:2	185:23 195:3 226:24 227:1 228:2 231:4 233:4 236:7,14	Beacher 126:1,3 162:5,7 Beacon 17:2 71:6,22 99:3
102:17,19 109:9 attaches 49:5 attachments 61:21 attack 250:6	209:12,15 215:19 216:15 218:2,7 219:9 219:10,20 222:10,10,13 222:15 243:12	215:16 318:19 availability 121:18 available 50:6 133:15 134:2 avenue 2:5	185:23 195:3 226:24 227:1 228:2 231:4 233:4 236:7,14 237:7 238:14	Beacher 126:1,3 162:5,7 Beacon 17:2 71:6,22 99:3 101:2 196:13
102:17,19 109:9 attaches 49:5 attachments 61:21	209:12,15 215:19 216:15 218:2,7 219:9 219:10,20 222:10,10,13	215:16 318:19 availability 121:18 available 50:6 133:15 134:2	185:23 195:3 226:24 227:1 228:2 231:4 233:4 236:7,14 237:7 238:14 240:14 248:22	Beacher 126:1,3 162:5,7 Beacon 17:2 71:6,22 99:3
102:17,19 109:9 attaches 49:5 attachments 61:21 attack 250:6	209:12,15 215:19 216:15 218:2,7 219:9 219:10,20 222:10,10,13 222:15 243:12	215:16 318:19 availability 121:18 available 50:6 133:15 134:2 avenue 2:5	185:23 195:3 226:24 227:1 228:2 231:4 233:4 236:7,14 237:7 238:14	Beacher 126:1,3 162:5,7 Beacon 17:2 71:6,22 99:3 101:2 196:13

	<u> </u>		1	I
311:8 313:21	291:12 292:7	319:21 320:1,3	believed 105:2	281:5
315:2	293:23 312:20	324:22 326:7	277:16 298:5	blanche 22:17
bear 22:4	315:5 319:1	337:5	Believing 83:18	bleed 157:11
bearing 325:2	324:9 331:3	belabor 66:13	belligerent	blowing 283:24
became 14:19	336:3 337:19	202:1	16:15	board 1:1 2:2
161:21 183:21	began 198:6	belief 27:3 70:24	belonged 70:3	4:7,12 5:15,16
183:24 186:8	begin 5:10	73:3 74:18	bent 23:20	5:22 6:3,20
become 13:20	133:22 322:4	75:6 83:16	134:13	14:2 29:23
163:23,24	beginning 35:11	212:21 234:24	Besco 98:7	32:19 33:10
182:13	103:13 129:18	238:8 308:22	128:14 252:16	35:7 37:1
becomes 214:16	197:15 280:23	309:7	besides 114:3	38:17 39:7,8
before 1:11,12	300:23	beliefs 7:10	best 12:3 87:13	40:9,12 41:2
5:3,10,23 9:20	begins 132:24	believe 6:2 11:5	91:4 133:14	43:4,21 49:22
13:11,15 14:5	210:9	25:1 28:21	bet 186:2	50:11 51:11,15
16:6,7,23 17:8	behalf 2:8,13,18	29:14 33:23	better 309:14	52:3,12 56:14
17:8,10,11	2:23 8:5,12,15	35:2 37:24	between 16:11	57:23 58:10,12
20:15,22 23:16	10:7 57:21	38:9 43:8	29:17 32:1,5	62:13,15,16,20
34:8 37:6	147:6 162:23	49:17 56:14	33:5 44:17	65:18 95:8
41:10 49:16	167:9 189:17	69:23 70:3	69:7 133:16	102:21 136:6
50:6,13,16	228:21 229:1	72:2,6,7,12	146:12 165:2	143:10 144:9
53:6,6,7,21	behavior 14:18	74:23 79:9,14	167:6 178:21	149:9,11,19,22
54:22 55:8,11	14:18 15:7	82:18 83:13,15	201:19 288:19	150:10 152:22
55:20 56:8	16:15	84:13 86:17	beyond 125:2	153:23 195:10
71:21,22 99:7	behind 260:16	88:1 90:17	164:8 185:19	202:2 207:11
99:18,21	260:19	95:8 96:1	210:3 294:3	231:6 232:4
102:10 110:9	being 13:23	99:19 100:20	307:18 330:5	258:22 313:9
110:13 112:24	14:16 22:22	100:23 101:3,3	bias 13:15 19:4	board's 4:23 5:2
126:19 130:20	44:7 45:2	104:5 107:18	27:17 32:20	5:11 7:2 38:18
130:21 135:24	67:21 71:20	108:15,17	33:9 35:24	40:15 41:19
141:8,13 144:2	73:18 74:22	111:1,2,7	38:8 53:15,17	Bock 81:9 82:11
146:7 147:15	75:5,10 78:12	114:23 116:10	128:22 138:9	82:13 83:11
148:6,16	78:14 83:1	118:4 122:16	192:4	body 62:21
156:22 160:13	84:14,18 85:21	126:8 128:14	biased 11:21	132:2 153:4,5
165:7 170:11	89:6,9 92:7	129:17 142:6	25:15 164:6	boisterous 16:14
172:18 179:3	93:1,7,19	149:6 150:4	bid 311:10,19	151:22,24
186:10 189:4	94:14 98:14	164:4 175:1,6	big 26:6 98:8	152:8 249:8,11
196:8 200:18	101:10,15,21	176:11 177:19	bigger 194:1	boisterously
202:2,15	101:23 106:3	177:21 179:22	bill 212:5,6,7,8	266:23
204:15 206:16	127:1 148:2	190:13 198:23	213:8	bolster 194:16
208:4 209:9	160:16 169:3	203:23 208:14	billed 220:1	born 256:20
210:1,10	174:5 180:7	212:10 213:7	bills 207:20	borrow 13:12
213:19 215:14	184:6 186:14	215:10,12	bisect 70:9	both 23:16,24
218:4 249:12	187:2,9,22	223:24 239:9	bisected 32:3	86:5 112:4
256:19 258:19	188:3,22 208:1	268:18 269:6	bisects 35:19	224:23 237:19
261:21 268:8	231:8 241:11	277:5 282:7	bit 5:5 26:20	268:19 309:11
273:12 274:9	255:20 263:8	285:16 287:22	27:13 120:11	312:20 319:13
275:3 278:21	266:22 302:9	292:4 294:5	130:23 209:7	320:17,17
281:5 283:19	306:4,20	297:13 315:21	222:3 248:13	326:7
283:19 287:2	310:13 318:24	322:9 327:3	252:4 278:4	bother 250:4
	I		1	

	I	1	I	I
bottom 86:1	241:5,6	337:7	166:11 181:14	canceled 101:4,7
90:18 92:17	browbeating	bust 225:20	182:1 185:9	candidacy 300:1
103:20 108:7	192:15	button 320:16	191:11 210:5	300:5,7,11
180:4 216:13	building 126:1,3	329:14,16	234:10 235:3	candidate
226:16 241:17	166:22	buttons 329:20	250:20 269:12	181:15 315:18
269:19 307:3	built 108:9		273:19 287:24	candidates
315:22 321:9	160:6,8 256:3	C	camera 93:23	15:15 16:12,23
322:14	bulk 172:24	C 2:1 42:9	98:8	17:22 55:1
bounds 47:13	302:9	113:11 125:8	campaign 15:17	84:7 155:22
Box 2:6	bumped 47:4	141:2 154:5	15:21 16:2	158:17,24
boxes 244:18	bunch 71:16	161:1 170:23	17:1 18:6 26:5	199:24 257:11
Bradley 1:11 2:3	Burd 3:12 8:17	172:5 246:10	54:16,21 80:22	257:12 293:5,8
4:2	9:15 10:11	258:4 290:24	157:1,4 158:10	293:10,13
breach 33:7	13:24 14:17	293:20 297:24	158:13 159:8	capacity 120:21
89:16 91:23	16:4 17:4	299:14 329:10	159:12 174:2,6	122:14
93:13	18:11 19:2,17	330:14	181:3,17	capital 8:21
breached 179:14	20:9 22:19,22	cabinet 243:19	184:16,19	capsule 65:16
180:8	23:9 38:10	call 29:15 42:1	185:11,15,16	card 80:14,16
break 5:7 41:7	83:24 95:19	82:13,15,16	187:22 188:17	130:24 131:4
120:16 125:4	97:15 124:9	96:21 101:14	188:22 189:23	131:17,23
126:3 146:2	157:17,24	130:1,4,9,10	190:8,14,18	132:4,13,21
148:7 246:4,6	158:16 159:8	130:13 146:24	192:19 193:1,4	134:12 136:16
315:8	159:12 171:24	153:24 154:21	194:3 195:8	137:5 197:24
breakfast	172:2,8,9	171:24 199:14	199:6,7 243:14	198:8 247:15
162:12	176:17,21	223:19 235:15	244:7,21 245:6	247:18,19
breaks 82:12	184:8 202:14	250:5 255:4	245:6 247:11	318:12,19
bridge 7:3	203:21 222:21	257:21 297:17	247:15,24	335:10
brief 6:2 46:18	223:12 225:3	319:24 320:3	248:6,6 253:3	cards 244:2
50:5 58:24	229:16 230:17	called 15:13	253:4,8,9	care 148:8
153:14 246:13	231:14 232:9	35:6 66:21	258:16,20,22	157:12
briefed 47:9	239:11 245:18	100:24 101:9	279:22 292:23	career 21:23
128:21	246:12 256:12	101:12 126:21	292:24 293:2	carefully 311:18
briefing 153:20	257:9	130:16,19	295:12,22	carried 131:24
153:21 224:16	burden 12:21	148:2 161:10	296:18 311:3	carry 132:1
briefly 11:10	33:24 36:16	161:10 168:19	317:24 320:4	cart 22:17
34:18 149:16	59:6 61:3,9	168:21 252:17	332:7,9,14	case 4:8,13 5:15
201:17 279:4	burdensome	252:18 253:20	334:7	5:18,24 9:23
briefs 5:19 6:1	244:20	253:23 254:12	campaigned	11:6 13:9
bring 294:8	Burd's 15:21	299:2	12:23 287:20	37:13,22 38:5
bringing 67:1	16:2 19:7	calling 143:8	campaigning	38:21 39:16,23
146:20 160:3	54:16 158:10	168:19	260:10 273:10	40:13 43:20
broach 248:9	158:20 254:14	calls 15:5 72:24	274:14,21,23	51:4,7 52:21
310:18	254:20 283:24	82:22 83:7	275:19 276:11	52:22 56:19
brochure 278:14	317:23	142:23 143:2	276:21,23	60:18 64:4,19
broken 52:11	Burns 128:8	186:3 211:21	277:6,15	64:19,20
brothers 169:24	business 134:9	272:2	278:16 279:16	117:13 149:7
brought 34:8	159:4 218:16	came 15:15	281:24	149:18,20
38:5 50:13	219:21,23	34:12 71:3	campaigns	150:4,11,24
56:20 230:18	220:6,9 256:16	126:3,6 141:13	313:21	151:5,15
		156:11 163:6		
		I	I	l

	1	I		
152:13,15	chaotic 145:4	177:1,9	81:15,19 83:2	239:8 242:16
175:24 187:2	characterizati	circumvented	83:5 84:14	243:11 246:15
190:3 207:22	223:14,20	251:18	86:13 87:8,13	246:18 249:5,6
209:12 213:16	313:3,14	circus 24:9,9	89:11,15 91:5	249:12,21
231:11 232:6	characterize	35:8	91:12 93:21	251:1,7,21
243:7 284:17	271:15 302:8	citation 224:5	101:24 110:7	252:8,12,22,24
285:15 312:9	302:15	224:16	111:17,18,23	253:3 255:21
334:5	characterized	citizen 256:21	112:24 113:1	256:20 258:11
cases 6:20 33:2	24:8 188:21	303:18 322:16	127:21,22	258:14 259:5
40:17 222:16	charge 208:3,8	324:1,22	132:15,15,23	260:20 261:6
cash 193:6	219:23 226:23	citizens 26:7	133:2,16,20	262:19 269:6
195:18,21,21	237:11,15	43:8 80:11	134:8,9 141:14	276:24 285:11
cast 13:11	238:4	148:23 150:15	142:9,13	285:19,20
cat 312:7	charged 209:20	153:10 262:18	143:12 164:5	290:7 291:4,8
Cattle 86:15	charges 202:20	262:22 263:8	173:2 179:5,6	291:21 293:1
cause 1:11 72:19	206:5,8,11,12	306:1 313:7	182:12 183:3	294:15,24
170:7 182:13	Charlie 8:7	city 1:5,6,15 4:9	183:13 186:7	295:4,8 299:20
191:19	126:22 127:4	4:10 8:13,16	186:14,17	301:24 304:8
caused 14:24	129:21 130:3	11:4,7,12,15	187:11,23	304:24 305:11
290:6	163:5 166:9	11:18,21,24	188:6 191:9,16	305:21 306:3
CD 133:15	170:11 186:3	12:1,7,19,20	191:23 192:12	307:14,19
cease 221:3	chart 140:14	13:5,24 14:3,6	196:9 198:13	308:4,13,17
cell 130:6	charter 154:24	15:1 16:12	198:19,23	309:12 313:9
center 43:8,9	check 115:22	17:15 18:15	199:24 203:2	316:6 317:10
162:5,8 176:21	checked 79:2	19:9,17,20,24	204:13,20	320:19 323:2,3
centered 23:4	cheered 273:9	20:13,18 21:15	205:19 207:17	323:13 325:7
certain 41:1	Chicago 2:16	21:18 22:7,16	208:5,9,23	325:14 326:21
102:1 155:22	337:7,16	23:22 24:1,12	209:2 210:11	327:4 337:7
158:1 176:14	chief 26:11	24:19 25:14	210:17,18	city's 20:17
196:9 249:16	child 168:19,20	27:3,8,19 29:2	212:4,12,17	133:19 134:1
261:5 282:13	168:21	29:3,9,10,18	214:20,23	197:5 212:15
305:20 333:20	childish 168:13	29:22 30:5,5	215:16,18,19	214:13 309:8
certainly 25:18	children 15:9	30:11,15,17,20	216:7,15	313:1,10
41:14 104:13	35:1 255:22	30:21 31:1,5,6	217:10,21	civil 24:13
132:14 164:17	chloride 123:2	31:9,12,16	218:2,4,6,8,13	civilly 198:24
167:12 177:18	choice 91:3	32:1,12 33:2,3	218:16 219:7,8	claim 209:24
264:10 305:2	choose 336:15	33:6 34:7,8	219:10,18,20	claiming 49:13
certified 1:13	chose 8:24 26:3	35:18,22 37:10	219:23 220:7,9	86:18 87:5
30:6	Chuck 8:6	37:14 38:5,8,8	220:19 221:11	177:23
challenged	church 168:5	39:17,23 42:14	221:15,19	claims 164:3
169:18	170:1	44:18 45:24	222:10,10,12	209:14,22
chance 90:23	circuit 21:21	46:3 49:5	222:13,15	clarify 124:23
232:20	37:21 38:18,19	53:18,19,23	223:22 224:14	140:12 218:24
change 130:22	39:16 40:1	54:6,13 57:10	227:18 228:16	222:3,7 308:9
226:21 241:6 291:6	circumstance	59:21 60:18	228:21 232:7	309:1
	55:24	61:9 64:6,8	232:23 234:7	clarifying 141:1
changed 241:11 changes 217:7	circumstances 151:12 177:2	66:11 67:15	234:22 235:15	Clark 18:19
changes 217:7 changing 203:1	circumstantial	69:7 79:22,24	235:17 236:3	20:6 21:14
Changing 203.1	CII Cumstantiai	79:24 81:2,8	237:16 238:9	23:14 113:10

<u></u>				
114:3 227:7,9	20:15 48:3	commenting	competence	267:21 269:15
228:24 285:13	51:24 58:20	259:9	328:18	271:6 276:10
329:2	65:23 124:19	comments 5:5	competency	282:15 286:23
Clark's 227:20	143:23 144:4	14:18 17:3,21	177:7	294:7
328:18	148:5 156:23	17:24 134:5	competent 177:4	concerns 86:9
clean 109:6,13	168:5 170:1	137:10 151:20	complain 15:1	87:12 192:8,9
clear 5:22 13:4	193:18,24	153:18 168:7	101:10 320:1	252:1 291:15
17:21 18:2	217:8 218:17	254:14 260:24	complaining	316:9
24:16 26:18	228:18 249:20	263:15,18	164:16	concise 59:11
32:10,20 47:21	249:24 256:14	265:2,4,7	complaint 26:12	conclude 98:22
53:11 55:13,24	272:12 273:5	266:8,21 267:1	324:22	concluded 55:21
58:11 59:11	287:3 336:14	267:2 268:15	complaints	56:9 326:1
60:2 61:17	comes 51:3 53:2	271:6 285:17	322:16 323:3	concludes 75:24
62:2 63:1	249:12 251:9	302:9	324:2	239:10 328:1
64:19 65:18	comfortable	commercial	complete 25:5	conclusion
163:24 232:6	27:22	160:5	33:17 37:12	17:11 48:3
240:8 278:12	coming 6:2	commission	39:13,15,23	49:9 52:15
333:19 335:9	75:18 181:24	28:16	65:13,18	152:16 177:3
clearer 55:17,21	185:11 290:10	committed	completely 34:7	272:13 273:6
clearly 21:11	294:23 324:22	151:1 152:10	47:8 51:7	287:3
32:22 36:6	335:24	committee 15:17	52:19 59:6	conclusions
44:19 56:18	commanded	15:21 54:16	61:1	290:10
59:22 85:23	133:9	181:3,17,19	complex 16:11	concrete 240:22
91:1 103:15	commands	184:17,20	compliance	condensed 256:9
135:18	133:7	185:9,15	192:13	condition 32:4
clerk 79:24	commencing	187:22 188:18	compliant 17:6	107:14 114:12
134:2 236:7,13	1:16	188:22 192:24	17:13 71:24	114:18 116:8
238:13,14	comment 9:8	218:19 219:6	100:2 200:4,8	116:13 235:11
clerks's 133:20	23:21 36:19	252:13,15	200:10 319:6	conditions 22:13
client 8:8 164:6	52:16 134:3	317:24	comply 11:18	23:6 106:22
165:21 201:20	143:23 144:6	common 130:15	composite 108:3	107:1,9 110:15
259:23	144:11,12,23	201:5	composting	112:5 114:8,11
clock 49:23	147:23 148:9	communicating	322:17	115:1 116:16
close 20:10,15	148:10,15,18	277:1	computer	116:19 117:23
36:1 79:15	149:1,17	communicatio	243:23 244:4	228:22 229:2
87:23 141:24	151:18 152:15	25:20 80:11,20	260:6 273:19	232:3 233:23
158:16 159:7	153:21 156:16	125:11	concern 70:20	234:4 235:8
190:21 191:1	184:2 226:18	community	70:21 81:5,7	238:22 326:8
328:14	243:11,13	21:21,21 35:1	82:18 262:19	326:13,23
closed 53:7	254:1,4,18	43:9 81:10	263:16 308:16	327:15,20
185:20	256:22 264:19	153:10 174:5,8	concerned 215:6	conducive
code 139:15	265:5 267:5	262:20 320:11	223:15 255:23	255:21
cofounders	304:15 336:13	320:16	256:1,2,3,6,7	conduct 15:11
301:9,12,15,15	commentary	community's	262:18,22	38:10 43:18
303:1	261:24	150:16	263:7 313:7	51:10 81:5
column 312:23	commented 17:4	Company 86:16	concerning	151:2 203:1
313:6 315:7,23	17:14 221:11	comparison	23:16 46:23	conducted 4:24
com 259:16	253:6 264:15	86:8	50:23 132:18	105:14,18
come 5:8 18:1	271:5	compel 26:17	214:4,11 261:1	138:4 168:16
I				

01016	220 12 222 12	1 0.45 0.4		1 , , ,
218:16	230:13 323:15	247:21	controlled 145:5	44:15 45:20
conducting 32:9	considering	contains 94:17	controversial	46:1 49:18
conference 6:6	17:23 58:19	96:7 99:11	26:5	61:22 65:23,24
238:5	121:14	134:3 337:11	controversy	66:15 67:19,20
confidential	considers	contend 6:11	313:24	71:1 77:4 78:3
196:9	152:24	contention	convenience	79:11,18 80:21
confine 254:13	consistent 31:18	251:6	270:17	84:2,3,5,6,8,9
confirm 323:21	240:24	contents 118:16	convening	84:13 86:16,17
confirming	conspiracies	context 89:21	227:17	88:5 89:16
121:22 300:11	176:24	142:1 149:13	conversation	91:10 95:7
conflict 12:10	conspiracy	150:2 152:10	165:2 167:6	96:5,9,17
29:17	176:22	232:1 319:12	294:20	99:22 101:2,20
conflicts 11:24	constant 222:20	320:1,3	conversations	104:4,8 105:8
conformance	constituents	continue 164:20	45:16 118:9	108:1 111:5
62:23	18:2 314:1	166:4 221:15	119:2	112:3 114:9
confuse 122:6	316:1,9,11	continued 14:23	conveyed 313:4	116:6,9 122:23
confused 21:12	constitution	16:15 167:19	convictions	122:24 123:17
24:2 100:24	150:21 151:23	185:15 292:19	158:1	123:19 124:17
277:20	152:5,7	315:8	Cook 1:14 337:2	128:11 134:12
confusing 58:10	constitutional	continues 274:5	Cooperative	137:1,18 139:5
61:2 68:10	150:8,16 153:2	310:24	258:11	139:6,10,13,17
confusion 22:10	constitutionally	contract 33:7	copies 135:7	139:18 141:7
281:19	151:19	91:24 93:13	196:17,24	147:1 152:18
conjecture 7:16	constraints	217:5	259:22 260:14	154:17 155:2,9
connect 165:6	56:24	contradicted	273:13,24	155:23 156:20
connected 73:13	construed	198:8	copy 29:20,22	157:4,18 158:2
305:12	168:24 262:10	contradictions	37:7 68:1,9	158:11 159:23
connection	consultant	24:11	80:1 84:22	161:14 163:12
79:20 178:21	127:11 154:13	contrary 52:2	95:7,16 98:18	173:8 174:24
205:12	154:14 165:20	contributed	99:2 102:2	177:14 189:13
conscious 243:9	165:24	313:10	108:22 109:6,8	192:1,17,22
consent 19:17	consultant's	contribution	109:13,23	196:13 198:15
consequence	285:11	195:9,14	134:15 136:3	199:21 200:15
152:23	contact 129:14	contributions	136:16 186:19	200:16 202:18
consider 16:20	129:23,24	192:20 193:2,5	195:2,8 216:6	202:19 203:15
19:21 40:21	264:8 265:11	193:7,16 194:3	224:1,6 231:14	203:19,20,24
43:10 51:22	270:17	194:6,12,13	282:19 306:10	204:1 206:8,9
60:4 149:9,22	contacted 51:21	195:19,20	306:17,23	215:11,17
150:10 153:1	contacts 12:4,18	196:2 282:11	314:11	216:6,15,19
298:12 324:1	25:17	contributor	core 150:8,16	217:1,3 218:12
considerably	contain 31:15	196:5 311:3	152:11 153:1	221:8 223:23
109:5	34:19 35:6	control 1:1,6 2:2	182:1	227:7 231:4,19
consideration	206:5	4:7,14,22 5:15	corner 226:14	232:1 234:11
32:1 241:1	contained 19:19	6:20 11:19	corporate	236:16 237:5
326:11,17	24:10 119:4	29:19 43:13	205:21	237:23 241:9
327:2	123:14 261:24	68:11 134:1	corporation	247:7 258:17
considerations	270:1 279:12	149:9,11,19,21	300:16 303:5	259:6,20
13:6	297:7	150:1,10,22	correct 42:15	260:10 262:24
considered 6:3	Containing	152:22 232:3	43:1,2,6 44:14	264:16 265:3
L				

265:14 266:9	54:13 57:10	11:15 12:6	court 29:12,15	304:8
266:24 268:7	60:18 64:6,8	18:15,23 19:7	30:13,17 32:19	cross 7:3 120:17
269:11,16	79:24 81:2,8	19:17 20:22	37:12,20,21	144:7,9 206:24
270:19 273:14	81:16,19 83:2	21:6,11 22:22	39:14,15,16,23	255:1 330:2
274:16,18	83:5 84:15	23:4 65:7	40:1 42:7	crossed 55:7
275:4 277:13	93:21 101:24	66:23 86:5	57:24 91:2	crowd 44:21,24
279:1,20	111:17,23	94:6 95:16	149:21 337:6	263:12
280:11,19	127:22 132:15	98:9,20 111:18	courts 26:18	crowd's 45:3
281:11,24	133:3 141:14	118:16 119:2	149:11	Croy 209:2
282:16 283:20	142:13 164:5	131:12 146:13	court's 38:18,19	CSR 337:15
284:14 285:8	173:3 179:13	167:5 177:20	41:11	cue 272:8
288:2,12	183:3,13 186:7	205:16 216:3	cousin 75:20	CULBERTSON
290:13 292:20	186:14,18	293:2 314:18	covered 176:4	2:5
295:22 296:15	187:11,23	314:19	200:13	current 222:9
296:18 298:4	188:7 198:23	counselmen	covers 145:15	238:10 265:12
303:2,6,20	199:24 204:13	256:23	177:21,22	currently 247:8
307:9 309:3	210:17,18	count 80:8 159:9	craft 22:19	cursory 149:2
312:21 315:18	216:7 217:11	193:21 208:2	crafted 22:20	cut 22:22 120:8
317:8 321:6	217:21 218:4,9	Country 149:18	crazy 250:5	157:11 209:7
322:19 324:13	218:14 219:19	county 1:8,14	create 150:18	cutoff 47:15
326:8 334:22	220:7 221:11	4:11 8:22	284:1	CX 3:5
337:10	221:15 222:12	12:15 28:9	created 61:5	C-l-u-s-k-e-y
correctly 85:5	223:22 227:18	37:13 39:16,24	234:2,6 235:5	8:21
302:23	228:16 232:7	48:7 51:7,9,11	236:14 278:22	
correspondence	232:23 234:7	52:2,20 62:20	credibility	D
282:15,20	234:22 235:15	86:7,14,18	241:20 242:15	D 3:1 42:9 141:2
283:19 290:2	235:17 236:3	87:5 91:18	243:6 324:24	154:5 170:23
corridor 160:7	237:16 238:10	94:11 95:21	crew 93:23	171:2,6 172:5
284:2	238:23 239:8	107:16 116:9	crime 151:1	258:4 293:20
cost 19:14	242:16 243:8	126:8 146:13	criminal 209:16	299:14 330:14
could've 215:6	246:15,19	146:19,24	criteria 4:17	dam 256:1 257:3
256:18 300:8	249:5,21 252:8	147:12 159:3,4	24:6 53:11	Dan 181:21
council 1:6 4:10	252:12,22	180:24 197:6	60:3,4 62:6,7,8	189:13,16
11:8,12,18,21	253:1 259:5	215:8 257:14	62:12,13,15	Darryl 144:15
11:24 12:2,8	260:20 261:6	258:11 291:6	65:22 73:23	date 26:3 46:9,9
12:19,20 13:5	269:6 276:24	304:4,5,6	149:22,23	115:18,20
14:7,11 16:12	285:19,20	308:19 309:11	150:14 151:3	126:2 141:11
19:9,24 21:16	293:1,3 299:20	337:2	152:17 239:16	185:19 186:1
21:19 22:2,8	305:1,21 306:3	county's 309:9	260:12 326:22	246:21 265:24
22:16 23:11,12	307:19 320:19	couple 6:4,7	327:14,19	288:11 291:16
23:23 24:2,13	323:13 325:7	7:13 137:15	criterion 62:16	300:2,3,8,21
24:19 25:15	325:14 326:21	140:24 159:7	62:24 63:1,10	317:11
27:3,8,19 29:2	327:4	161:5 236:1	64:7,7,16	dated 11:5 34:3
29:11 30:11,15	councilman	245:2 248:19	72:19,23 73:5	34:14 95:21
30:20 31:5,9	22:12	274:9 302:1	73:12 120:20	111:1,5 202:17
31:16 32:12	council's 49:5	312:13 321:1	121:17	dates 47:15
33:2,4 35:23	89:11 314:2	322:12	critical 20:17	115:17 162:9
43:4 46:4	316:2	course 22:8	304:11,12	162:11 208:22
53:18,23 54:6	counsel 7:8	153:12 202:24	criticized 223:12	daughter 169:9
Í				169:10,11
	l .	1	I	I

Dave 168:4	23:8 24:3,10	149:8 150:10	333:20	153:13
day 1:17 14:11	24:10,11,18	240:24 321:14	deny 103:20	detailed 26:19
15:7 18:22	26:21 27:8,11	deliberative	232:24 278:24	27:15
21:4 170:11	27:19 37:1	46:3,7,9,19	331:9	details 134:3
204:3 210:11	39:3,10 46:16	47:22 48:9	denying 62:24	determination
235:6 237:16	52:9,14,19	49:3 51:3	231:16	150:19 152:21
237:22 241:5	58:7 62:22	53:21 56:7	department	determined
245:8 247:6	65:11 113:2,12	58:19,21 59:1	15:1	192:6
252:18 335:19	133:2 149:23	59:17,21 60:5	depending	developed 5:22
335:23 337:19	175:24 176:19	60:12 76:8,12	120:17	13:22
days 19:10 20:4	187:13 214:4	77:19,21 91:13	depends 112:14	developer
20:11 23:21	214:13 224:14	112:7 115:4	177:20	127:14 143:12
25:8 49:7 53:5	230:13,13	116:22 120:24	DEPONENT	developers
53:21 99:21	232:8 233:1	121:12 138:1,2	336:20	130:17 219:9
112:23 162:12	239:7,20,21,24	141:17 175:21	deposition 54:24	development
224:23 232:18	240:1 262:8	176:4,19	67:21 68:2,9	32:14 49:17
236:1	298:8 313:10	177:11,15	68:16 73:18,22	75:18 76:5
dead 13:14	325:3	232:11,14	74:10 77:14	77:1,8 78:6,9,9
deadline 39:10	decisions 62:12	239:18 241:22	78:11,12 83:3	78:20 128:1
50:7	62:20 158:5	242:17 321:16	109:10 135:5	130:19 204:21
deadlines 56:16	177:16,20	325:12	135:19 186:20	214:12 219:8
56:19	214:11	delivered 132:23	187:2,6 275:7	284:2
deal 31:23 71:17	decision-maki	delve 49:10,12	275:9,14 276:3	developments
156:6,10	11:14 21:16	49:19 283:4	296:12,21,24	206:6
157:15 245:11	decisively 18:12	delves 49:4	305:3,7 306:5	Devin 163:4
256:24 315:2	declarant	delving 46:24	306:11,18	168:15
dealing 188:7	165:17	58:7	308:10 310:17	Devin's 163:1
191:23 308:13	defeat 13:1 18:8	demanded 21:4	329:13	diametrically
dealings 119:5	19:21 20:5	demeanor 81:5	depositions 30:8	52:20
deals 77:15	73:5 149:24	145:3	depreciation	died 169:11
150:8 197:24	150:14 176:23	democrat	126:5	diehard 158:1
216:14 326:2	defeated 66:10	157:20	describe 205:11	difference
dealt 93:8 149:2	defeating 45:1	democratic	described 182:9	171:11 255:3
198:17	defensive 59:15	157:10	description	270:7 279:8
debate 235:16	definitely	democrats 157:7	30:12 211:3	291:10
December 25:23	142:18 192:6	demonstrates	223:18	different 18:24
34:14 109:18	deliberate 16:11	38:7	descriptions	25:8,8 26:3
decide 5:18	223:23	denial 20:2	19:19	34:8 47:15
58:12 181:9	deliberated	22:13 23:5,8	design 107:22	51:7 118:18,21
291:2	101:23 173:4	23:20 32:14	108:8	119:13 156:8
decided 14:1	320:20	39:3 108:20	designated 9:14	171:7 173:21
22:16 32:18	deliberation	110:5 152:17	215:20	201:1 204:20
238:22 239:2	20:22 23:2	203:4 229:22	designates	207:23 220:23
291:10	235:2	232:4	222:11	263:22,23
deciding 5:23	deliberations	denied 4:13	designations	276:5 278:4
22:2,3	21:13 22:8	27:17 55:15	33:18	280:7 297:15
decision 4:16	24:8 26:14	62:18 202:3,4	desk 132:14	302:8 309:11
5:14 7:14 11:4	46:5 49:5	214:21 326:23	despicable 14:12	309:13 316:15
13:5 22:1,19	63:19 119:6	327:15,20	detail 130:12	319:13 320:15

	Ī	1	I	I
differently	disclosure	257:12	271:13 272:17	171:17 182:10
297:14 306:24	159:13 334:7	disorderly 151:1	272:20 280:2,6	208:1 232:5,21
difficult 307:13	disconnect	disoriented	280:13 287:6	237:17 239:23
difficulties 30:3	215:7 307:14	21:12 24:2	289:20	244:5,24 246:2
difficulty 106:3	disconnection	displayed	documentation	261:11 262:2
digest 21:2	214:12,16,20	263:12,17	124:15	266:10 267:8
diligence 27:4	214:24 215:4	disposal 192:21	documented	270:23 271:12
dire 46:22	discontent 170:7	disqualification	209:13 290:4	272:1,15,19
direct 12:10	discovery 28:18	103:21	documents	274:4,5 275:22
56:5,23 59:14	31:12 51:10	disqualify 53:15	31:14 33:22	276:2,12
85:24 103:16	68:9 78:11	103:9 105:3	34:3 36:15	277:18 279:24
106:6 114:5	135:1,14	disqualifying	111:8 138:18	280:12 281:2
119:9 121:9	186:20 187:1	11:24	138:20 245:4	281:15 282:21
124:5 179:24	244:17 305:3	disregard 51:12	259:18 261:4	284:4,15,23
184:5 187:5	306:18	disregarded	261:12 262:3	285:14 286:1
204:2 240:11	discretion	12:8	273:13,24	287:5 288:3,13
240:23 261:17	151:13	disrupting 98:9	303:4 312:8	289:13 290:12
265:11 266:1	discuss 5:5	disruptive 106:4	dog 249:17	290:21,22
268:22 284:10	128:1 130:11	186:6,11 188:6	doing 93:3	291:1 293:16
321:3	131:6,8 132:10	188:8,10,12,15	124:10 146:16	293:19 294:2
directed 51:11	133:10 134:8	248:18 249:11	152:20 221:16	295:14,23
53:22 118:16	142:7 149:5	dissatisfied	243:9 248:14	296:2,5,8
118:23 241:3	182:22 248:5	35:23	254:22 308:6	297:2,23 298:1
directing 92:15	248:10 252:3	disseminated	323:20 333:5	298:19 306:8
105:11 225:6	discussed	305:24	337:7	306:14 307:17
241:17 306:19	115:11,21	dissemination	dollars 126:10	310:2,7,11,14
direction 19:4	135:5 182:16	330:17	159:8 217:16	314:5,22
204:15 205:1,5	183:23 189:5,6	distinct 151:9	217:17 219:3	321:10,15,20
227:14,17,19	204:19,22	distinguished	Dombrowski	323:7,12 324:5
227:22 234:7,8	206:6,14 220:5	21:23	2:17 3:4,11,17	328:19 329:1,9
234:10,13	220:6 308:9	distribute 80:1	3:20 8:9,10,11	329:11,22,24
264:3,8 291:7	311:19	278:9 279:3	9:3,11,20,21	330:5 332:17
directly 155:15	discussing 35:18	distributed	10:3,7,15,18	332:24 333:5
231:6 249:18	35:20,22	278:24	24:23,24 27:21	Don 125:12,15
Dirk 18:16 27:6	129:13 132:20	district 32:19	37:23 38:15	170:10 322:18
104:5,10	174:20 199:18	disturbing	48:5,13,20,21	Donald 37:14
191:22 247:16	212:14	304:22	60:10,14,17	39:17 322:21
285:11 326:5	discussion 14:14	Dixon 2:14 8:12	64:2,14,18	donate 158:6
326:15	23:5,6 65:4	8:15 201:13	65:7 72:7	193:24
dirty 106:12,13	89:10 137:4	docketed 4:11	74:11 112:22	donated 155:8
disagree 10:10	140:16 171:19	document 28:17	125:3 135:3,11	155:11,13,21
disagreed	188:10 246:13	85:4 107:11	135:15 147:7	156:1 157:1
199:16	252:9 258:1	115:12 131:22	150:6 159:14	159:7 193:9
disagreements	294:6 299:11	195:6 199:10	160:24 161:2	donation 155:15
160:18	336:9	201:18 202:4	163:23 164:11	156:4
disc 31:7	discussions	224:10 233:8	164:21 165:12	donations
discarded	119:12,16,23	234:22 235:2	165:23 166:1,5	157:17 159:11
278:23	120:9 228:11	241:11 245:2,8	167:8,15,17	193:20
disclose 135:1	disliked 257:10	245:14 253:3	170:20 171:15	done 66:23
			1	
Committee Commit	regular and the control of the contr	CONTRACTOR		

00 10 101 10	106 4 100 10	120.16.126.04	210.1.2	224 20 200 10
92:12 101:18	106:4 122:10	120:16 136:24	319:1,3	224:20 289:18
120:13 156:6	125:23 126:3	292:4 300:12	elections 25:24	entertain 47:22
156:10 157:14	130:23 131:10	302:6	195:10 315:3	entertained
181:4 191:19	136:21,21	ease 224:5,16	electronic 28:18	268:15
219:11 221:5	137:2,19	East 2:10	29:9 133:15	entire 20:6
242:21 244:4	138:21 139:5	easy 29:24 305:6	element 35:15	37:21 94:22
251:7,17,20	139:11 140:11	editor 101:13,15	embarrass	98:10 107:23
253:4 256:24	148:14 156:23	effect 34:24	187:21 188:2	107:23 119:4
284:17 286:23	169:21 172:22	165:16 192:5	embarrassed	133:18 167:4
317:13 321:2	172:23 174:6	effects 290:9	170:1	169:6 291:6
328:14	182:20 186:17	effort 76:2 164:7	emphasis 19:24	313:23
door 43:8 87:21	187:12 199:5	311:5	emphasize 31:20	entirely 39:8
148:1 166:21	235:14 247:14	efforts 51:20	employed 62:11	212:22
193:21 210:10	247:15 248:6	209:8	154:12 160:16	entirety 31:17
294:6 298:16	250:22 252:18	egos 250:6	258:9 304:1	314:8 333:21
Dot 259:16	252:24 308:12	eight 21:7 25:9	employee	entitled 4:8 9:17
double 108:3	320:4 321:14	33:16 239:16	165:20 222:18	27:14 37:13
doubt 24:13	335:23	Eighteen 224:22	en 36:16	62:19 64:5,11
328:17	DX 3:5	225:23,24 either 14:7	Encourage 270:18	64:14 69:15 119:7 207:1
down 27:9 64:9				270:2
73:12 97:8	E 2:1,1 3:1 42:9	20:15 52:16 151:13 163:16	encouraged 14:17 15:11	entrance 191:6
111:15,16 139:17 143:18	42:9 54:4	164:22 171:9	end 14:10 15:7	entrance 191.6 entries 207:23
159:4 160:10	125:8 141:2,2	184:7 192:6	37:6 46:9	209:9
	141:2 154:5,5		141:7 142:15	
166:14 253:19	161:1 170:23	220:20 252:14		entrusts 27:7
256:9 298:22 331:1	170:23,23	261:9 314:11 326:15	185:24 191:12	entry 203:22
dozens 249:4	172:5,5 246:10		203:18 235:1,6	210:21,23,24 213:24,24
draft 118:6	258:4,4 290:24	ejection 310:6 elected 15:23	300:5,7,23 303:12	213:24,24 214:10
237:12 261:4	293:20,20,20	16:2,6 26:9,10	ended 20:7	environment
drafted 64:8,9	297:24,24	33:3 42:24	23:21 58:24	35:10 145:5,6
1	299:14,14	51:16 84:4	205:24	environmental
260:9 282:14 282:20 283:19	329:10 330:14	132:16 137:11	ending 16:19	4:23 27:7
290:2 303:3	330:14,14	172:12 247:6	ending 10.19 endorse 253:12	191:24
drafting 260:19	each 9:17 17:22	258:13 298:3	endorse 255.12 ends 203:18	envisioned
286:21	18:1 26:17,20	299:22,23	energy 249:23	120:6
drafts 260:5	31:19 51:19	300:4 310:8,15	energy 249.23 engaged 12:16	EPA 120:20
273:18	62:1,12 63:1	312:20 318:22	12:18 204:11	121:17 122:13
drive 2:15 159:4	64:6,15,15	election 13:7	204:12 212:11	especially 84:21
driveway 191:13	80:1 140:13	14:2 16:8,24	engaging 203:2	174:19 221:13
due 13:15 27:4	178:23 199:1	17:8,10 18:11	engineers 166:2	espousing
27:13 121:17	226:17 238:14	19:10 20:5	enjoy 177:5	263:19
duly 337:6	316:18 321:9	42:23 53:6	enough 109:24	essentially 48:22
dump 264:21	earlier 58:11	54:22 71:23	169:9 233:15	53:23 93:21
dumpling 290:6	73:14 125:10	99:22 172:14	248:12 291:19	167:18
during 21:12	134:11 138:24	185:16 196:8	291:21 320:23	established
22:8 49:6	250:22 291:23	200:14 260:20	325:20	67:14 176:3
61:24 72:22	314:14	274:22 292:7	ensure 5:21	ethics 209:18
82:12 105:18	earliest 36:3	318:12,15	entered 221:14	Etna 2:10
	early 14:5 32:11	,		
L	l	1		<u> </u>

				
evaluate 23:23	291:12 295:6	57:19,21 59:14	excited 249:16	334:20 335:3
evaluating 324:3	303:11 311:14	75:8 81:17	exclude 7:6 9:2	exhibits 6:19
evasive 184:6	315:4 329:15	85:9 94:14	39:8	28:13 29:9
even 16:9,19	every 27:13	107:24 108:2	excluded 10:12	37:2,4 41:4
20:9 22:12	35:13,14,14	112:23 121:14	147:16,17	57:4 102:5
23:17 24:7	54:6,9 58:17	122:15 133:8	253:21	259:19 274:9
41:14 47:1	62:1,12 79:23	134:22 150:13	excuse 6:10	322:16 335:6
55:20 60:6,8	170:5 182:2	150:15,24	74:11 101:22	exist 151:6
79:15 97:4	293:2,2	152:13,15	139:20 174:11	existing 20:12
98:13 122:1	everybody	177:1,4,10	211:20 214:7	expenditure
134:13 139:14	136:17 156:6	185:20,24	235:21 240:16	20:13
161:19 169:9	169:14 317:5	201:15 211:11	254:11	experience
186:10 208:12	everyone 4:2	211:12,22	excused 9:10	18:17,20 20:21
212:10 213:11	198:24 327:4	285:4 310:3	executed 32:5	101:12 324:4
215:3 224:4	everything	321:6 323:13	87:8 147:2	experienced
230:1,7 232:9	25:11 63:20	325:1 331:13	308:17	145:6
243:11 253:5	93:24 142:16	332:8,14	execution 31:24	expert 19:3
256:8,24	159:10 197:15	334:10,24	43:11,12	experts 19:4
311:24	226:22 251:7	evidencing	executive 192:16	54:1 241:20
evening 285:7	260:6	220:19	exercise 150:16	242:16 243:7
286:12,17	eviction 187:23	evidentiary 5:20	151:4 314:16	explain 69:15,18
event 4:6 29:17	188:3 309:24	48:2	exercised 26:7	87:18 116:18
232:3 274:11	evidence 4:19	ex 12:4,18 25:17	exhibit 28:15	117:22 132:21
events 262:11	7:7 11:6,11	25:20 223:2	29:1,10 30:10	144:5 216:20
eventually	12:2 13:3,9,10	exact 96:19	30:12,15,19,23	explained 98:19
210:16 246:15	13:16,17,21	111:3 114:17	31:4,8 36:22	128:20 145:13
ever 13:12,16	14:4,13,22	126:2 187:16	37:11,18 39:11	205:19 218:3,6
14:6 16:6,8,20	15:2,10,16	269:3 294:19	39:21 40:8	218:8,13,14
24:14 45:2	16:3,10,13,18	300:2,21 328:7	41:18 54:4	explaining
55:15 81:5	18:10 19:1,5,6	exactly 40:2	57:3 72:5	119:10 120:7
91:18 92:2	19:6,15,23	44:5 183:11	85:13,14,16	explanation
95:23 96:21	20:3,8,16,24	186:3 263:4	92:10 94:10	165:9
101:17 108:19	21:10,13,17,24	272:12 273:5	99:1 102:9	explicit 264:3
108:23 110:8	22:4 23:1,10	296:4	113:10,11	explicitly 18:7
125:22 126:20	23:19 24:5,15	examination	131:21 134:21	51:11 52:18
129:14 130:13	24:20 25:1,2,4	26:20 59:7	135:11,20	262:17 279:6
132:4 168:19	25:5,12,19,22	61:7,10,24	136:12,13	exposition 26:21
169:6,17	26:2,20 27:12	133:1	147:13 179:19	express 26:8
173:16 183:6	28:7,8,13	examine 35:8	194:9,16	308:2,16
183:12,24	32:20 34:12	example 34:22	201:11,12	expressed 24:13
184:12 186:6	35:24 36:23	45:6 60:1 62:3	202:10,15	expressing 33:4
187:10 189:3	43:22 46:21	62:14	211:5 215:23	321:5
197:7,9 199:22	47:7,10,23	examples 36:11	215:24 224:6	expression 45:6
202:14 206:10	48:1 51:2,2,14	except 105:13	224:19,24	321:13
209:10 211:7	51:15,19,22	105:19	= 264:5 271:1	extension 290:7
239:7 248:2,14	52:9,16 53:11	exceptions 33:15	274:12 285:3	extensive 202:2
250:11 274:15	53:16 54:2,13	34:1	314:9 315:1	203:3 294:20
276:9,24	55:16 56:1,6	excess 21:8	318:5 331:4	extensively
284:10 287:2	56:24 57:11,13	220:21	332:5,12 334:8	135:5
	·			
		I		I

extent 33:1 55:9	failure 89:11	218:20 219:24	finally 17:16	134:20 152:4
94:16 138:7	fair 11:16 25:3	feel 27:22 71:2	54:2 169:20	
	1	129:23		154:19,22
230:12	25:14 27:16		find 18:23 36:23	155:4,5 159:24
extra 68:1 95:16	32:2 74:20	feeling 327:6,13	103:7 202:7	162:14,22
195:1	134:9 164:13	327:18	209:13,21	163:6,14 166:8
extremely 140:1	207:21 213:22	feels 76:16	220:18 231:3	166:11 169:10
150:5 151:10	233:15 248:12	fees 20:4,11	260:12 269:3	203:21 211:11
eyes 243:8	302:8,14	219:7	320:14,24	211:22 245:8
e-mail 264:11	316:22 325:20	felt 91:11 180:23	finding 62:24	268:24 271:2
313:8	fairly 93:22	192:15 308:12	findings 4:17	299:24 309:1
e-mails 79:6	188:19	316:7	12:10,11 18:19	311:14 315:7
F	fairness 43:23	few 137:15	20:19 121:16	318:16 336:1
face 326:13	150:3,18 164:9	246:4 249:15	133:8 324:3	337:5
	164:18	278:20 310:6	fine 27:24 28:1	five 4:18 5:16
facilitate 32:13	faith 46:21,23	329:12	88:3 120:4	6:8 7:5 125:6
176:9 198:19	47:8,10,23	Fifteen 194:21	125:7 131:18	five-hour 208:8
226:7 260:19	48:1,3 50:23	fifth 237:10	135:10 221:3	208:18
295:12,21	51:2,5 52:11	figure 269:4	254:23 255:2,8	five-minute
296:18 302:17	54:14 55:16,17	307:2	261:14 283:3	246:4
facilities 4:5	56:1 57:21	file 6:1 15:1	299:3 312:11	fix 61:6
facility 1:6 4:15	61:1 73:13,14	37:20,22 38:3	331:17	fixed 216:22,23
11:19 43:13	138:9 233:14	39:14,16,23	finish 22:24	216:24 217:12
134:1 150:1	fall 181:11	49:24 153:15	48:14	218:5,20
fact 7:9 15:20	familiar 5:13	178:12,15	firm 8:6,11	219:24
18:5,19,21	family 21:6	179:6 244:17	19:18 200:18	flag 143:13
20:2 21:14	82:17,17	filed 5:19 6:7	200:21 201:19	Flips 223:2
22:12,17 46:20	189:21	11:3 13:12	204:5 206:10	flows 73:1
51:14 56:16	famous 312:17	14:6 25:23	206:15,20	fluctuated 217:7
63:24 70:23	far 17:18 41:17	26:2,17 27:5	207:16 208:17	FM 201:11,12
79:12,16 86:12	67:13 100:6	32:17 34:13,14	212:11 215:10	FM13 294:13
87:12 96:7,15	102:10 149:11	38:5 53:15	215:17 216:18	FM18 224:9
101:1 104:22	155:5 164:1	66:10 67:5	217:22,23	FM20 259:19
112:13 114:17	173:23 177:14	102:20 126:20	220:2,8,20	260:3 262:16
122:1,13 132:1	215:6 233:22	151:15 195:9	221:14,23,24	264:5,16,17
134:12 138:8	235:7 283:3	212:18	222:2 229:6	266:9,15
153:1 169:12	Farm 133:16	filing 32:8,21	246:14 251:4	268:19 270:22
169:16 176:22	fashion 16:22	34:4 35:17	firms 20:12	271:4,8,9
179:2 182:9	149:3 243:24	36:2,4,7 37:12	201:1,6 204:18	FM21 266:2,9
192:11 194:8	fast 304:17,22	43:19 151:16	210:8	266:15 268:19
200:3 210:15	fate 13:10 15:3	175:19 243:19	first 4:3 5:7 20:4	269:15,22
214:19 248:8	favor 81:11	filter 61:10,12	23:12 26:8	FM22 273:12
275:6,12 300:6	192:4 261:9	63:21	28:11 32:11	FM23 273:12
302:21 308:22	favorable	final 27:11	41:9,23 47:3,7	284:18
309:7 326:11	180:24 308:18	55:11 56:10	47:19 52:12	FM24 282:19
330:16,20	309:2 319:22	82:3 152:21	100:18 102:22	FM25 278:14
factor 158:4	feature 319:2	231:18 235:3	103:8 109:9	focusing 149:12
facts 310:3	February 14:19	235:24 238:16	110:24 111:14	FOGY 15:12,18
factual 149:5	fee 216:24	325:3	126:24 129:22	15:24 54:15,19
failed 11:18	217:12 218:5	finality 214:4,11	130:18 131:13	151:1 154:17
121:17				-
		l	I	I

154:20,22,23 14:10 161:23,162:23 94:11 95:4,20 313:1 155:12,14,17 forward 13:22 163:11 164:11 99:3 104:5 Fuels 311:10 155:19 159:22 299:5 164:15 165:4 108:11 110:5 full 25:3,4 42:11 159:24 160:15 found 5:1 123:2 165:13,20 111:18 121:3,4 103:6 106:7 161:21 184:23 foundation 169:14 175:4,8 127:21 130:10 225:5,14 185:3,7 193:2 33:20 159:15 175:19 176:21 131:2 132:14 299:16 282:12 300:14 267:9 314:6 177:3 178:12 132:20 133:16 fully 149:10 303:11 315:17 301:22 185:20 192:4 143:2 146:24 236:3 300:20 founders 301:11 192:15 194:9 151:9 160:15 fully 149:10 FOGY's 154:24 301:13,16 198:19 212:4 167:4 180:24 167:4 180:24 264:4 founding 185:3 212:13,18 186:3 187:11 43:23 150:3,18 150ws 53:5 92:12 103:5 247:1,4 272:9 210:4,22 212:6 12:20 24:17 67:13,14 146:3 218
155:12,14,17
155:19 159:22
159:24 160:15
161:4,8,14,15
161:21 184:23 foundation 169:14 175:4,8 127:21 130:10 225:5,14 185:3,7 193:2 33:20 159:15 175:19 176:21 131:2 132:14 299:16 282:12 300:14 267:9 314:6 177:3 178:12 132:20 133:16 fully 149:10 303:11 315:17 founded 301:19 179:4,13 134:2 142:23 153:7 210:14 320:8,9 330:17 301:22 185:20 192:4 143:2 146:24 236:3 309:20 founders 301:11 192:15 194:9 151:9 160:15 full-time 129:19 FOGY's 154:24 301:13,16 198:19 212:4 167:4 180:24 fundamental 264:4 founding 185:3 212:13,18 186:3 187:11 43:23 150:3,18 66:21 90:8 four 26:1,1 215:23,24 196:13,21 fundamentally 135:22 320:2 79:22 85:15 224:6 246:24 203:2 206:12 4:17 11:16 67:13,14 146:3 218:18 219:5 285:18 301:23 213:18 214:10 25:14 27:16 150:6 246:7 225:4,11 240:1 307:14 308:18 217:23 218:15 155:19 follows 149:21 <td< td=""></td<>
185:3,7 193:2 33:20 159:15 175:19 176:21 131:2 132:14 299:16 282:12 300:14 267:9 314:6 177:3 178:12 132:20 133:16 fully 149:10 303:11 315:17 founded 301:19 179:4,13 134:2 142:23 153:7 210:14 320:8,9 330:17 301:22 185:20 192:4 143:2 146:24 236:3 330:20 founders 301:11 192:15 194:9 151:9 160:15 full-time 129:19 FOGY's 154:24 301:13,16 198:19 212:4 167:4 180:24 fundamental 264:4 founding 185:3 212:13,18 186:3 187:11 43:23 150:3,18 follow 58:12 185:4 214:19 215:5 192:20 195:14 151:7 164:9,18 66:21 90:8 four 26:1,1 215:23,24 196:13,21 fundamentally 135:22 320:2 79:22 85:15 224:6 246:24 203:2 206:12 4:17 11:16 67:13,14 146:3 218:18 219:5 285:18 301:23 213:18 214:10 25:14 27:16 150:6 246:7 225:4,11 240:1 305:10,12 214:20 216:13 155:19 281:10 313:22 Fox 1:
282:12 300:14 267:9 314:6 177:3 178:12 132:20 133:16 fully 149:10 303:11 315:17 301:22 179:4,13 134:2 142:23 153:7 210:14 302:8,9 330:17 301:22 185:20 192:4 143:2 146:24 236:3 300:20 founders 301:11 192:15 194:9 151:9 160:15 full-time 129:19 FOGY's 154:24 301:13,16 198:19 212:4 167:4 180:24 fundamental 264:4 founding 185:3 212:13,18 186:3 187:11 43:23 150:3,18 follow 58:12 185:4 214:19 215:5 192:20 195:14 151:7 164:9,18 following 53:5 92:12 103:5 224:6 246:24 203:2 206:12 4:17 11:16 following 53:5 92:12 103:5 247:1,4 272:9 210:4,22 212:6 12:20 24:17 67:13,14 146:3 218:18 219:5 285:18 301:23 213:18 214:10 25:14 27:16 follows 149:21 302:24 318:5 322:19 217:23 218:15 155:19 followup 170:22 6:9,12,15,18 fragment 280:22 222:14,24 156:1 funny 274:10 forl
303:11 315:17 founded 301:19 179:4,13 134:2 142:23 153:7 210:14 320:8,9 330:17 301:22 185:20 192:4 143:2 146:24 236:3 300:20 founders 301:11 192:15 194:9 151:9 160:15 full-time 129:19 FOGY's 154:24 301:13,16 198:19 212:4 167:4 180:24 fundamental 264:4 founding 185:3 212:13,18 186:3 187:11 43:23 150:3,18 follow 58:12 185:4 214:19 215:5 192:20 195:14 151:7 164:9,18 66:21 90:8 four 26:1,1 215:23,24 196:13,21 fundamentally 135:22 320:2 79:22 85:15 224:6 246:24 203:2 206:12 4:17 11:16 following 53:5 92:12 103:5 247:1,4 272:9 210:4,22 212:6 12:20 24:17 67:13,14 146:3 218:18 219:5 285:18 301:23 213:18 214:10 25:14 27:16 follows 149:21 302:24 307:14 308:18 217:23 218:15 155:19 followup 170:22 6:9,12,15,18 322:20 222:14,24 156:1 funny 274:10 6:22 7:8,12,20<
320:8,9 330:17 301:22 185:20 192:4 143:2 146:24 236:3 FOGY's 154:24 301:13,16 198:19 212:4 167:4 180:24 full-time 129:19 264:4 founding 185:3 212:13,18 186:3 187:11 43:23 150:3,18 follow 58:12 185:4 214:19 215:5 192:20 195:14 151:7 164:9,18 66:21 90:8 four 26:1,1 215:23,24 196:13,21 fundamentally 135:22 320:2 79:22 85:15 224:6 246:24 203:2 206:12 4:17 11:16 following 53:5 92:12 103:5 247:1,4 272:9 210:4,22 212:6 12:20 24:17 67:13,14 146:3 218:18 219:5 285:18 301:23 213:18 214:10 25:14 27:16 150:6 246:7 225:4,11 240:1 305:10,12 214:20 216:13 fundraiser 281:10 313:22 240:1 301:19 307:14 308:18 217:23 218:15 155:19 follows 149:21 302:24 318:5 322:19 221:13,24 156:1 fonds 155:8,22 6:9,12,15,18 fragment 280:22 224:2 232:17 funny 274:10 follows 160:0 6:2
330:20 founders 301:11 192:15 194:9 151:9 160:15 full-time 129:19 FOGY's 154:24 301:13,16 198:19 212:4 167:4 180:24 fundamental 264:4 founding 185:3 212:13,18 186:3 187:11 43:23 150:3,18 follow 58:12 four 26:1,1 215:23,24 196:13,21 fundamentally 135:22 320:2 79:22 85:15 224:6 246:24 203:2 206:12 4:17 11:16 following 53:5 92:12 103:5 247:1,4 272:9 210:4,22 212:6 12:20 24:17 67:13,14 146:3 218:18 219:5 285:18 301:23 213:18 214:10 25:14 27:16 150:6 246:7 225:4,11 240:1 305:10,12 214:20 216:13 fundraiser 281:10 313:22 40:1 301:19 307:14 308:18 217:23 218:15 155:19 follows 149:21 302:24 318:5 322:19 221:13,24 funds 155:8,22 followup 170:22 6:9,12,15,18 fragment 280:22 224:2 232:17 funny 274:10 171:14 309:6 6:22 7:8,12,20 280:24 234:10 235:17 furnished 28:17
264:4 founding 185:3 212:13,18 186:3 187:11 43:23 150:3,18 follow 58:12 185:4 214:19 215:5 192:20 195:14 151:7 164:9,18 66:21 90:8 four 26:1,1 215:23,24 196:13,21 fundamentally 135:22 320:2 79:22 85:15 224:6 246:24 203:2 206:12 4:17 11:16 following 53:5 92:12 103:5 247:1,4 272:9 210:4,22 212:6 12:20 24:17 67:13,14 146:3 218:18 219:5 285:18 301:23 213:18 214:10 25:14 27:16 150:6 246:7 225:4,11 240:1 305:10,12 214:20 216:13 fundraiser 281:10 313:22 240:1 301:19 307:14 308:18 217:23 218:15 155:19 follows 149:21 302:24 318:5 322:19 221:13,24 funds 155:8,22 270:5 Fox 1:2 4:8,15 6:9,12,15,18 fragment 280:22 224:2 232:17 funny 274:10 171:14 309:6 6:22 7:8,12,20 280:24 234:10 235:17 furnished 28:17
follow 58:12 185:4 214:19 215:5 192:20 195:14 151:7 164:9,18 66:21 90:8 four 26:1,1 215:23,24 196:13,21 fundamentally 135:22 320:2 79:22 85:15 224:6 246:24 203:2 206:12 4:17 11:16 following 53:5 92:12 103:5 247:1,4 272:9 210:4,22 212:6 12:20 24:17 67:13,14 146:3 218:18 219:5 285:18 301:23 213:18 214:10 25:14 27:16 150:6 246:7 225:4,11 240:1 305:10,12 214:20 216:13 fundraiser 281:10 313:22 240:1 301:19 307:14 308:18 217:23 218:15 155:19 follows 149:21 302:24 318:5 322:19 221:13,24 funds 155:8,22 270:5 Fox 1:2 4:8,15 322:20 222:14,24 156:1 followup 170:22 6:9,12,15,18 fragment 280:22 224:2 232:17 funny 274:10 171:14 309:6 6:22 7:8,12,20 280:24 234:10 235:17 furnished 28:17
follow 58:12 185:4 214:19 215:5 192:20 195:14 151:7 164:9,18 66:21 90:8 four 26:1,1 215:23,24 196:13,21 fundamentally 135:22 320:2 79:22 85:15 224:6 246:24 203:2 206:12 4:17 11:16 following 53:5 92:12 103:5 247:1,4 272:9 210:4,22 212:6 12:20 24:17 67:13,14 146:3 218:18 219:5 285:18 301:23 213:18 214:10 25:14 27:16 150:6 246:7 225:4,11 240:1 305:10,12 214:20 216:13 fundraiser 281:10 313:22 240:1 301:19 307:14 308:18 217:23 218:15 155:19 follows 149:21 302:24 318:5 322:19 221:13,24 funds 155:8,22 270:5 Fox 1:2 4:8,15 322:20 222:14,24 156:1 followup 170:22 6:9,12,15,18 fragment 280:22 224:2 232:17 funny 274:10 171:14 309:6 6:22 7:8,12,20 280:24 234:10 235:17 furnished 28:17
66:21 90:8 four 26:1,1 215:23,24 196:13,21 fundamentally 135:22 320:2 79:22 85:15 224:6 246:24 203:2 206:12 4:17 11:16 following 53:5 92:12 103:5 247:1,4 272:9 210:4,22 212:6 12:20 24:17 67:13,14 146:3 218:18 219:5 285:18 301:23 213:18 214:10 25:14 27:16 150:6 246:7 225:4,11 240:1 305:10,12 214:20 216:13 fundraiser 281:10 313:22 240:1 301:19 307:14 308:18 217:23 218:15 155:19 follows 149:21 302:24 318:5 322:19 221:13,24 funds 155:8,22 270:5 Fox 1:2 4:8,15 322:20 222:14,24 156:1 followup 170:22 6:9,12,15,18 fragment 280:22 224:2 232:17 funny 274:10 171:14 309:6 6:22 7:8,12,20 280:24 234:10 235:17 furnished 28:17
following 53:5 92:12 103:5 247:1,4 272:9 210:4,22 212:6 12:20 24:17 67:13,14 146:3 218:18 219:5 285:18 301:23 213:18 214:10 25:14 27:16 150:6 246:7 225:4,11 240:1 305:10,12 214:20 216:13 fundraiser 281:10 313:22 240:1 301:19 307:14 308:18 217:23 218:15 155:19 follows 149:21 302:24 318:5 322:19 221:13,24 funds 155:8,22 270:5 Fox 1:2 4:8,15 322:20 222:14,24 156:1 followup 170:22 6:9,12,15,18 fragment 280:22 224:2 232:17 funny 274:10 171:14 309:6 6:22 7:8,12,20 280:24 234:10 235:17 furnished 28:17
67:13,14 146:3 218:18 219:5 285:18 301:23 213:18 214:10 25:14 27:16 150:6 246:7 225:4,11 240:1 305:10,12 214:20 216:13 fundraiser 281:10 313:22 240:1 301:19 307:14 308:18 217:23 218:15 155:19 follows 149:21 302:24 318:5 322:19 221:13,24 funds 155:8,22 270:5 Fox 1:2 4:8,15 322:20 222:14,24 156:1 followup 170:22 6:9,12,15,18 fragment 280:22 224:2 232:17 funny 274:10 171:14 309:6 6:22 7:8,12,20 280:24 234:10 235:17 furnished 28:17
150:6 246:7 225:4,11 240:1 305:10,12 214:20 216:13 fundraiser 281:10 313:22 240:1 301:19 307:14 308:18 217:23 218:15 155:19 follows 149:21 302:24 318:5 322:19 221:13,24 funds 155:8,22 270:5 Fox 1:2 4:8,15 322:20 222:14,24 156:1 followup 170:22 6:9,12,15,18 fragment 280:22 224:2 232:17 funny 274:10 171:14 309:6 6:22 7:8,12,20 280:24 234:10 235:17 furnished 28:17
281:10 313:22 240:1 301:19 307:14 308:18 217:23 218:15 155:19 follows 149:21 302:24 318:5 322:19 221:13,24 funds 155:8,22 270:5 Fox 1:2 4:8,15 322:20 222:14,24 156:1 followup 170:22 6:9,12,15,18 fragment 280:22 224:2 232:17 funny 274:10 171:14 309:6 6:22 7:8,12,20 280:24 234:10 235:17 furnished 28:17
follows 149:21 302:24 318:5 322:19 221:13,24 funds 155:8,22 270:5 Fox 1:2 4:8,15 322:20 222:14,24 156:1 followup 170:22 6:9,12,15,18 fragment 280:22 224:2 232:17 funny 274:10 171:14 309:6 6:22 7:8,12,20 280:24 234:10 235:17 furnished 28:17
270:5 Fox 1:2 4:8,15 322:20 222:14,24 156:1 followup 170:22 171:14 309:6 6:9,12,15,18 fragment 280:22 234:10 235:17 funny 274:10 fun
followup 170:22 6:9,12,15,18 fragment 280:22 224:2 232:17 funny 274:10 171:14 309:6 6:22 7:8,12,20 280:24 234:10 235:17 furnished 28:17
171:14 309:6 6:22 7:8,12,20 280:24 234:10 235:17 furnished 28:17
311:13 7:24 8:5,23 Frankly 335:15 237:11.13.16 30:1
food 154:15 10:20 11:2,21 free 31:19 242:23 246:14 further 11:23
foregoing 337:9 25:2,4,8,23 129:23 151:4 257:14 260:6 16:16 24:17
forever 80:15 26:2,6,11,22 152:6 262:6,8,9,9,11 34:17 36:9
forget 147:15 27:3,9,13,16 frequently 267:1,2,4 40:3 50:3
Forgive 34:15 28:11,14 29:1 186:11 268:15 269:12 60:20 89:3
form 22:11 29:12 31:4,8 fresher 275:13 282:11 283:1 115:4 130:12
28:18 29:9 32:1 33:5,6,7 Friday 133:17 284:6 285:11 132:20 135:7
52:15 65:16 33:24 35:18 friend 310:21,24 285:12 286:11 140:16,20
192:3 238:10 36:21 37:17 Friends 12:15 303:10 307:14 153:13,17
266:10 267:9 38:6,11 39:20 15:13 148:23 309:11,16,24 160:22 170:21
271:13 272:2 39:24 43:10 300:17 301:9 310:5 315:2,8 171:12 228:7
272:16 276:12 44:17 49:11,24 315:13 324:22 331:16 233:9 244:13
280:1 50:6 58:13 from 6:19 7:8,9 336:12 253:17 290:19
formal 133:1,21 61:4,5 69:7 8:6,15,21 front 57:15 60:7 293:17 297:21
formed 159:22 85:16 86:13 13:19,21 14:5 99:2 110:2 298:20 329:22
300:20 301:1 87:8 89:15 14:21 18:14,22 129:6 166:15 331:4 336:20
former 199:6 90:15 91:23 19:18 20:6 166:21 168:4 Furthermore
205:19,24 92:10 93:9,12 21:19,21 26:16 199:22 219:19 12:7
251:6,7 252:1 94:10 99:1 29:13 30:14,17 234:22 236:3 future 262:20
253:5 105:2 110:5 30\(\frac{1}{2}\)8,22 31:7 238:9,13 263:16
forms 328:10 125:17,20 45:2,6 48:24 244:17 328:8 G
101 th 35.4 241.3 126.5 133.22 32.4 34.20 326.11
250.11 112.10 113.5 72.11 / 1.20 Hustrateu 21.11
forum 13:20 146:12,23 80:11 81:10,19 frustration gain 13:3 game 133:16

	1			
134:9 312:7	Gillmore 301:17	336:1,7	good 4:2 8:4,10	grow 170:6
games 98:11	Gillson 302:21	goes 5:21 7:11	10:24 17:9	growing 313:1
gang 290:6	Gilson 45:6	40:21 59:8	86:6 105:5	grown 15:9
gathered 179:12	301:17	76:10,14 86:3	147:14 162:15	growth 291:8,8
262:18	give 5:8 7:15	128:18,22	172:1 185:12	GRUT 320:10
gave 80:15	8:24 10:15	202:23,24	309:8 311:22	guarantee 18:3
104:22 129:23	27:4 28:3 41:6	227:23 239:22	312:3 319:10	guaranteed
134:15 156:4	58:20 79:15	323:12	goodness 256:12	151:18,19
157:3,17 193:4	84:16 92:6	going 22:24	257:6	152:11
193:15,24	102:2 115:18	26:21 36:21,21	gotten 180:24	guess 7:19 38:22
196:12,17	115:20 132:8	42:18 46:14,20	332:22	40:14 58:5
198:5 264:3	148:8 187:18	46:22 47:24	govern 150:22	59:4 124:23
275:14 293:22	193:19 195:1	50:12 56:3,23	governing 62:21	148:15 156:7
319:13	196:24 205:1,4	58:8,13,20	government	157:8 161:5
general 32:7	227:19 234:13	59:21 63:10	251:1 256:15	178:1 219:17
62:17 131:3,9	239:12 254:3	64:6 68:8 70:9	257:7	245:10 311:17
244:7 245:5,6	254:18 264:7	72:14 77:22	graduate 18:16	313:17 320:18
320:13	314:19 322:2	82:7 88:12	131:15 198:3	guessing 7:17
generalization	given 20:21	90:21 92:20	grandson 160:9	312:1
236:23	22:17 53:2	97:12,13	256:5	guidance 19:4
generally 217:22	56:15,16 74:18	102:10,14	grandstanding	guide 21:15
generated	130:24 198:1	120:11 128:21	57:14 94:6	133:20
243:23	204:15 210:2	137:24 138:1	98:6,11	
gentleman 98:7	227:14 232:20	139:23 145:19	granted 9:10	<u> </u>
gentlemen 15:24	247:15,18	152:2 158:5	38:1	half 26:1 126:10
125:16 155:6	337:8,12	160:5,6,8,19	graph 140:14	196:8 238:4
163:5 167:1	giving 126:5	163:21 165:1,8	Graziano 1:12	245:5
George 2:12	187:13,19	166:12,16	337:5,15	hall 133:16
7:23 45:6	193:6 227:16	169:13 170:2,8	great 145:18	262:19 313:9
74:12 155:1	277:7	175:15 178:2	186:22 312:6	Halloran 1:11
179:20 299:18	go 50:17,20 65:2	181:24 185:10	336:19	2:3 4:1,3 8:2,9
301:17	67:17 88:20,23	204:16,20	greater 12:15,17	8:18,23 9:6,9
gets 77:11 89:23	89:4 95:12	208:11 210:11	15:14 148:23	9:19 10:2,5,9
115:4	111:15 117:10	213:3,22	300:18 301:9	10:14,17,19,21
getting 48:11	125:7 127:17	218:14 220:1	315:13	24:22 27:20
64:23 112:7,22	128:5 144:2	223:18 224:5	gripe 26:6	28:2,5,10,12
198:19 203:3	148:19 153:5	229:11,14,21	ground 56:15	28:21,24 29:4
274:8	168:13 171:17	230:8 233:7	143:19	29:7,20 31:18
get-togethers	173:22 201:2	238:24,24	grounds 4:16	33:11 34:16
193:19	215:7 218:21	240:5 256:2,4	33:14 43:16	36:8,17,20
Gillers 71:21	228:6,9 231:11	256:6 257:21	82:5 194:11	37:5,11,15,19
101:10,12,15	233:12,18,20	259:17,18	284:5	38:1,2,13,16
196:12 223:1	237:10 240:15	269:4 280:2	group 13:21	39:5,19 40:7
223:11,19	241:14 243:12	283:4 285:17	15:12 21:20	40:11,18,23
293:24 294:14	257:23 273:12	306:17 311:17	54:15 148:23	41:3,5,8,17,22
295:12,21	285:20 299:9	321:2 322:7	188:15 293:7	41:23 42:4
297:17 312:17	303:13 312:23	323:16 325:22	301:20,22	43:20 44:2
313:4,14,23	322:24 326:10	331:10 336:17	320:8	45:14 46:10,14
319:1,6,24	334:1 335:13	gone 206:1,1	groups 188:5,13	46:17 47:14,18
				48:6,11,13,16
		I	I	I

г					
	48:20 49:15	136:4,9,13	235:22 238:18	331:3,18,21,24	52:1 107:6
1	50:9,24 55:2,5	138:10,23	240:2,7,9	332:4,9,15,18	129:3 141:5
1	55:24 56:10,22	139:19,20	241:23 242:3,7	332:20,22	162:13,19
1	57:22 58:5,14	140:4,9,22	242:11,19,22	333:7,14,17,18	193:17 215:21
١	59:10,19 60:8	141:19 142:3	243:1 244:14	333:23 334:2,5	234:1 241:8
	60:11,14,15,22	143:5,15,17,21	245:15 246:1,5	334:12,19,22	275:9 293:3
	61:11,18,20	144:13,16,19	246:9 253:14	335:2,5,8,11	305:15
l	63:5,12,17,23	145:17,21	253:15,18	335:18 336:2,7	happening
	64:12,17,22	146:5 147:5,8	254:10,17,23	336:11	160:2 261:6
	65:2,6,24 66:2	147:10,11,15	255:4,9,12,15	Halloran's 62:3	304:16,22
l	66:13,15,17	147:19,24	255:18 257:16	hallway 87:22	305:22
	67:9,11 68:4,5	148:4,11,13,17	257:19,23	Hammon 37:14	happens 184:10
	69:11,14,16,18	153:16,19	259:1,3 261:16	Hammond 38:6	happy 49:16
	70:4 71:8,11	154:2 159:17	261:20 262:4	39:18 125:12	130:5 138:6
1	71:14 72:8	160:23 163:22	263:1 267:12	125:15,22	harm 167:19
1	73:9,15 75:3	164:11,19	270:24 271:16	127:13 170:10	170:16
1	75:15 76:1,19	165:5,11,22	272:3,18,23	322:17,18,21	Harrold 2:14
l	77:9,14,17,20	166:3 167:14	274:4,6,7	329:13	8:12,15 19:18
١	77:24 81:14,21	171:13,16,21	276:6,14	Hammond's	201:13 218:15
	82:1,9 83:22	172:1 173:11	277:20,23	322:17	246:14 251:3
	85:10,12,15	173:19 174:11	280:4,14 281:4	hand 42:7 68:8	Harrold's
	86:21 87:3,16	174:16 176:5	281:17 283:2,6	89:14 95:15	221:12
	87:19,21,24	176:13 177:6	283:8,12 284:7	98:24 132:9	Harvard 18:16
1	88:3,6,19,24	177:13 178:1	284:16,19	136:19 172:2	131:15 198:2
	89:5 90:1	183:19 184:4,7	285:2,21 286:3	186:19 224:1	Harvest 168:5
	91:16 93:4	186:21 194:15	286:6,8 287:8	259:17 306:17	haulers 192:20
	94:20,23 95:11	194:21,23	287:14 288:5	handed 50:23	hauling 192:21
	96:5,10,17	195:16 201:24	288:15,18	61:18 109:23	having 10:22
	97:4,21 99:9	202:6,12 203:5	289:1,5,9,14	209:12 259:19	16:20 54:14
1	99:13,16	203:8 205:6,8	289:20,23	278:15,20	56:2 59:4 90:7
1	100:11 102:4,8	206:22 207:3,6	290:14,20	314:24 318:13	90:24 146:19
	102:13,16,22	207:7,10,14	293:18 294:4	328:6	228:10 331:20
	103:1 109:8,13	211:17,20,24	294:10 295:17	handing 131:20	HDPE 107:22
l	109:16,18	212:17,20,24	296:1,4,10	226:9	head 104:6
ı	110:16,18,20	213:5,9,13	297:5,9,11,22	handle 28:23	110:17 300:22
ĺ	112:15 113:3,7	214:5,8 216:1	298:18,21,24	58:13	headline 95:19
	113:13,17	216:2 220:12	299:4,7,9	handled 11:8	223:2,3,9
	115:8 116:24	220:15,24	301:4 306:13	197:11 204:22	311:9 315:2
	117:3,12,14,17	221:2 222:7,21	307:23 310:9	221:16 251:15	hear 87:24 88:2
	118:14,20	224:4,7,10,17	310:13,18	251:17	136:6 169:5
	119:8,19 120:5	224:19,22	314:10,13,19	handling 221:18	183:6,12
	120:14,15	226:3,8 228:1	318:6 321:18	313:2 316:6	277:12
ı	121:2,7,13,21	228:5,9 229:10	321:22 323:10	hands 238:13	heard 19:1
	122:4,5,8	229:15 230:6	323:19 324:7	254:5	26:11 47:7
	123:24 124:22	230:12-15,24	324:12,16,18	happen 45:23	81:19,23
	125:7 128:16	231:7,12 232:6	325:22 327:8	59:16 67:4	131:10 151:20
-	128:24 131:14	232:12 233:7	328:14,15,21	236:19,21	156:13,15
-	131:18 134:23	233:15 234:15	329:4,8,23	237:4 291:7	165:16 169:5,7
-	135:10,13,21	234:18 235:19	330:1,9,12,24	happened 50:8	169:17 171:2,5

L					

	l			I
171:8 182:23	287:3 290:23	103:7 132:21	high 33:16 162:6	255:19
183:2,8 254:15	299:1 314:18	170:6 185:11	167:22 182:11	homes 126:9,10
274:19 281:17	317:16,17	222:14,16,18	201:7 316:23	honest 44:4 98:5
310:9	322:15 326:6	222:19 318:22	316:24	240:21
hearing 1:10,12	326:15 327:23	helped 158:9	highlight 55:10	honestly 56:5
2:3 4:4,6,21	329:2 331:17	311:5 313:7	Highway 256:7	213:15 286:7
5:11,19,21,24	336:17 337:9	helpful 109:5	him 38:8,9 48:23	Honor 9:1 10:13
6:1 7:5,13 8:11	337:12	Helston 8:6	126:11 129:23	33:13 34:2
9:3,24 11:13	hearings 13:8	hence 46:24	129:24 130:10	36:10 38:22
11:13 12:9	16:8 17:8,11	her 13:2 18:9	147:22 156:15	39:2 40:5 44:1
13:11 16:16,19	18:21 34:3	19:8,10 29:17	163:5 168:19	45:10 48:10
17:24 18:20	43:18 50:17	52:19 53:14	168:21 169:12	50:3 57:6 59:3
20:19,20 24:18	72:22 82:7	55:15 57:15	179:9 182:20	63:20 77:5
25:1,3,7,13	84:2 103:13	69:15 71:17	182:22,23	83:21 85:2
26:15,23 27:4	106:2,4 112:24	72:3,3 76:14	183:8 188:21	86:19 88:15
27:10 32:9	122:11 123:6	76:17 77:13	192:5 193:6	89:18 92:18
34:5 37:6 39:2	123:16 125:23	81:18 82:19	196:24 199:9	94:12 97:20
41:15 43:15	131:7,10 133:2	84:8 87:18	204:2 217:15	99:5,8 112:6
45:13 46:2	133:22,23	88:16 92:21	218:2,16,22	112:21 120:23
47:6,18,20	138:21 139:5	94:18,20 98:1	219:2 227:16	121:11,20
48:7,7,23,24	149:15 172:24	99:12,12	229:14 245:7	128:19 140:3
49:7,10 50:7	189:17 248:16	101:13,15	253:6,10,12,24	140:21 146:11
50:15 51:13,19	248:20 249:10	102:8 110:17	278:1 306:10	147:18 173:9
51:24 52:4	249:11 302:4	117:10 118:15	306:11 331:23	194:10,24
53:18,22 54:7	303:15,17	118:23,24	335:16,17,21	201:12 205:7
55:20 56:8,13	308:8,21	120:11 124:17	335:23 336:1,4	224:18 229:12
56:15 57:3	309:15 323:14	124:17 135:5	336:5	230:2 241:21
69:22,24 70:22	329:15,16	135:19 138:5	himself 168:16	245:14 246:3
85:3 90:21	hearsay 33:14	139:1 142:1	HINSHAW 2:5	Honor's 39:21
94:14 95:15	33:15,17,20,22	158:7 174:9	hire 222:17	hope 133:13
105:19 109:24	33:23 34:20	176:1,20 177:1	hired 204:5	229:18 312:3
111:23 125:12	39:3 45:11	177:3 206:18	209:24 215:11	318:22
125:17 131:11	81:13 94:13	206:20 212:21	Historically	hopes 18:12
132:18 134:4	99:6 112:7	223:14,19	76:4	Hopp 2:17 3:8
134:20 135:4	165:10,14,17	227:22 235:10	hobbled 219:10	3:14 8:14,14
144:21 146:17	285:1	240:5 261:13	hold 313:10	10:13,20 28:21
146:18,22	heart 288:16	261:17 271:14	holding 7:12	33:12,13 34:19
150:13 151:9,9	Heather 71:21	272:16 280:1	88:10 263:9	36:8,10 38:24
151:21 153:5	100:22 101:9	283:1 284:6	hole 129:8	40:5 41:8,9
164:9,13	101:14 196:12	287:12 288:4	holes 272:9	42:3,5 43:15
177:22 179:11	223:1 293:23	294:18,20,22	Hollow 35:19	43:24 44:1
185:20 187:12	312:17 313:23	295:3 297:18	69:22 70:1,9	45:10 46:2,7
195:2 196:22	319:1,5,13,24	310:17 312:17	70:18,21 89:12	47:6 50:1,3
204:23 226:22	held 16:12 23:24	312:19 319:2	90:9 91:9	56:11,23 57:6
227:6 228:24	-25:24 85:1	337:10	175:12,14	58:3 59:3
229:1 243:21	143:18 162:5,7	hero 54:3,10	183:10 192:13	60:24 61:22
244:5 245:9,12	291:12 295:6	272:9,13 273:6	home 129:19	63:11,18 64:13
250:15 285:12	hellbent 23:11	Hey 39:8	161:10 227:12	66:12,16 67:6
285:15,17	help 21:15 97:5	Hi 129:20 254:6	243:16 244:4	69:14 73:9

	1	1	I	
74:23 75:13	239:18 240:8	housekeeping	immediately	107:22 118:5
76:8,12,16,21	241:21 242:2,5	146:7 331:4	19:10,13	235:18 304:19
77:5,11 81:13	242:9,17,24	houses 160:6	312:24	309:23
81:23 82:2,8	244:13 245:13	How's 178:17	impact 17:19	included 15:17
83:20 85:2,11	246:2,3,9,11	humans 123:3	53:10 72:18,24	84:10 108:8
86:19,24 87:15	253:13 329:9	hurry 213:3	73:4 100:7	116:19,21
88:15 89:17,22	331:6,12 333:2	hurt 162:17	impeach 164:7	118:1,2 135:20
89:24 91:13	333:18,24	166:13	impeaching 85:4	235:7 238:15
92:12,18,24	334:4,11,17	husband 282:14	impeachment	285:11 320:17
94:12,24 95:4	335:1,5,9,12	282:20 283:1	74:24 75:14	326:14
95:10 96:3,14	335:21 336:3	284:6,10	83:21 92:20	includes 114:7
97:2,20 99:5	Hopp's 46:15	289:17 290:3,9	123:23 173:10	132:24
112:6,12,19	horrible 152:19	Hyink 144:12,15	173:14 276:3	including 11:12
113:15 115:3	host 31:24 32:2	144:15,18,20	306:9	12:4,14,17
116:22 117:6,9	33:5 35:22	H-о-р-р 8:14	implicates 73:12	191:24
117:13 118:12	43:11 44:16	H-y-i-n-k	implying 219:12	incorporate
118:18,21	67:19 68:19,23	144:18	219:14	115:1 241:4,7
120:2,4,23	69:7,21 70:2,3		important 22:5	incorporated
121:11,20	86:2,4,14,14	I	22:6,7 31:21	146:18 234:3
123:22 125:3,6	86:20 87:6,7	idea 123:12	118:5 149:13	incorporating
125:9 128:18	87:14 91:19	129:13	150:5 151:10	241:13
129:2 131:11	141:13,15	identical 247:16	251:1,2,11	incorrect 12:21
131:19 134:20	172:23 175:3	identified 6:21	265:13 291:20	199:3 293:13
136:8,12,14	178:9 180:23	33:15 54:10	impression	293:15
138:3,15 139:2	197:16 308:18	135:18 198:1	63:20,24	incorrectly 79:1
139:3 140:2,5	309:2,8,12	identify 39:13	impressions	incurred 20:6
140:20,22	hostile 35:10	131:22 318:8	47:1 52:24	incurring 20:10
141:17,23	38:10 151:23	ignore 53:23	improper 73:4	indeed 51:5,22
143:4,16	151:24,24	ignored 56:18	75:14 83:20	61:23 158:6
147:17,20	152:3 207:1,4	ill 82:18	123:22 245:9	263:18 279:19
173:9,13,21	207:5,8	illegal 23:9	251:22 261:15	283:18 287:10
174:7 175:21	hostility 38:7	70:24 71:3,4	276:3 306:9	independent
176:2,11 177:6	164:16 165:4	126:12 234:9	improperly	12:5 18:15,19
177:18 179:19	hot 320:16	234:11 235:5	197:21	20:18 157:23
183:16 194:10	hour 1:16 144:1	239:6	improved 77:3	286:22 287:19
194:20,24	145:24 238:4	Illinois 1:1,14	78:7,21	independents
195:15 201:12	hours 21:7	1:16 2:2,6,11	inadmissibility	157:9
202:9,22	133:17 210:22	2:16,21 4:7,22	245:17	indicate 197:20
203:23 205:3,6	214:1 216:22	7:24 27:7	inadvertently	239:15
205:7 206:18	216:23,23	57:24 120:22	201:20	indicated 14:11
208:1 210:22	217:12,16,24	133:4,6 148:22	inappropriate	15:4,8 30:2
211:8,14,21	218:5 219:2,21	149:19,19,20	177:12 212:23	47:9 67:18
212:20 218:10	219:24 220:9	151:11 152:7	inappropriately	72:10 80:10
220:11,13,22	220:21 250:1	195:9 300:15	309:17,20,22	82:21 105:12
221:1 224:18	house 156:12	303:22 304:4	Inc 8:1	105:17,21
224:21,23	160:8 170:1	337:1,16	inches 33:16	120:21 126:9
227:21 229:7	171:9 243:18	immaterial	incidentally	127:24 135:6
229:12,23	households	152:21	30:7	262:17 270:16
230:2,7 237:13	278:15	immediate 19:20	include 9:11	287:10,10
		19.20		
L				and the second s

	1			<u> </u>
308:11	212:19	15:5	irrelevant 31:21	148:21
indicates 99:20	instruction	intimidation	152:21 232:22	job 5:19 127:9
99:24 290:3	51:16	14:23 151:2	282:22 285:1	250:9,12
indicating	instructions	introduce 33:19	307:18	Joe 17:10
121:18 173:8	52:8	57:17	irrevocably 16:5	John 127:13,21
201:19	integrity 169:19	introduced	issue 26:4,6 37:7	209:2
indirectly 14:7	intend 59:23	57:17	43:10 47:4	joined 291:11
individual 165:3	62:5	introduction	49:6,12 55:8	joke 94:8
individually	intended 62:4	7:20 201:15	61:1,2 62:3	judge 132:11,19
226:16	65:22 142:1	intrudes 232:10	92:19 137:14	133:7 137:12
individuals	179:4 218:21	invade 7:14	138:7,9 140:6	judged 326:13
21:19 34:21	intends 6:12	invades 46:3	143:12 148:16	judges 131:8
80:17 126:4	26:22 33:19	177:11 321:16	149:1,9,13	judgment 288:1
128:4 131:5	intent 55:9	321:18,20	150:6,12 178:2	288:10
induced 112:23	118:4 143:8	324:6 329:2	223:18 227:23	judicial 40:12
industry 154:15	265:16 273:22	invading 323:8	230:14 248:13	40:16 41:11
inference 177:2	intention 5:6	invasion 177:14	257:8,9 288:17	July 246:13
influence 167:10	17:22 19:7	233:10	308:13 311:10	jump 58:9
239:21	201:9 326:20	investigated	314:3 316:2	jumped 240:3
information	intentionally	209:16	issues 7:22 22:7	jumping 59:12
5:23 56:17	184:6	invitation 142:9	34:8 50:24	61:15
69:24 72:21	intentions 63:7	invite 228:15	62:3 75:24	juncture 58:1
84:16 122:10	interaction	invited 53:22	91:20 128:22	June 67:22,22
123:15 134:5	245:15	126:20 127:1	141:13 149:5	73:19 78:12
144:23 145:12	interest 12:1	127:19 133:24	150:3 153:9	187:2 195:11
179:12 180:6	31:16 132:17	142:7 227:9	158:1 183:9	202:17 246:22
260:11 262:7	322:18	228:13	204:21,21,21	junior 316:24
305:24 306:2	interested	invoice 201:13	249:16,22	jurisdiction
informational	255:20	202:17,21	251:13,14,16	198:19
161:22 162:24	interests 320:11	203:22 206:4	251:23 320:16	jury 133:10
164:22 320:15	interim 216:15	237:8,11	item 235:13	just 5:11 9:14,21
informed 276:24	interject 244:6	246:13,14	items 145:16	9:22 10:1,2,3
278:21 294:22	internet 137:21	334:14	It'll 163:23	19:12 20:7
infrastructure	313:8	invoices 19:20		26:15 36:10
75:19	interpretation	247:1,5	J	40:16 42:6
inherent 24:11	264:19	involve 14:8	James 81:9	45:12 50:3
initial 89:11	interrupt 119:17	involved 14:7	82:21,24 83:13	53:18,23 55:3
141:9	185:14	15:11 51:8	83:18	55:21 56:11,17
initially 28:13	interrupting	76:6 77:2 78:6	January 31:10	57:17 58:24
102:20	188:10	78:20 126:9	35:16 81:4	63:3 65:13
initials 203:23	intervenor 1:9	133:12 184:23	92:6 93:8	69:24 81:23
inkling 19:16	2:23 4:11 8:19	185:1,8,13	179:10,21	82:2,15 86:8
input 132:16	interview 72:2	252:2 308:4	195:11 265:21	87:2 89:20
235:17	201:2 210:8	316:8	265:22 266:18	90:20 92:2
inquiries 22:21	293:23 319:15	involving 7:9	271:23 278:19	93:4 97:2
inside 73:10	interviewed	89:10 121:24	291:14,17	99:11 103:7
instead 223:18	204:19 318:24	207:23	292:4,4	104:17 106:15
instruct 211:7	intimidate 16:17	in-kind 195:20	Jim 2:22 8:20	108:23 109:20
instructed 51:12	intimidating	196:2	81:8 83:10	113:7 117:15
			128:7 142:8	
			I	l

118:4 119:1	52:20	99:23 101:7,8	267:15,20,24	53:9 54:23
120:10,13	keep 22:23	104:16 105:20	268:2 269:17	55:14,19 59:24
121:5,21	52:14,18 57:11	105:21,23	269:24 272:24	66:10 67:1,5
127:14 128:22	57:12,20	107:5 108:24	276:15 286:10	69:8 70:10,13
129:9,12 131:3	155:14 160:3	109:3 115:6	291:20 293:3,9	70:15,19 71:24
132:8 140:12	186:21 193:21	119:1,7 120:8	295:2 298:10	79:6,21 80:12
140:24 144:10	194:1 198:24	123:10 125:12	303:10 307:16	81:6,11 82:4
145:12 147:17	202:3 301:4	127:3,4 135:15	307:22 308:8	84:1 95:20
148:11,18	Kendall 1:8 4:10	136:18 143:21	312:17 322:20	100:2 101:23
149:17 153:11	8:22 12:15	145:13,21	328:5 330:18	103:13,24
154:2 160:11	28:9 37:12	146:13 150:20	331:22 333:11	106:3 110:6
160:18 166:7	39:16,24 86:15	154:21,23,24	333:11 335:23	120:21 122:14
166:13,24	94:11 95:21	155:3,5 156:22	knowing 25:24	123:15 125:17
169:4,18 176:6	107:16 116:8	159:15,20,21	26:4	125:18,23
178:24 180:5	146:13,19,24	159:22 160:2	knowledge 19:8	126:8,14,19
182:7 188:2	147:12 197:6	161:19,20	19:16 142:2	128:2 129:7
193:23 195:21	258:10 291:5	162:2,2,4,6,11	176:8 189:14	132:8,18,23
199:17 202:7	kept 134:18,19	162:12,19	known 16:7,23	133:5 137:11
212:7,21 213:9	Key 315:3	163:1 164:1	17:1 26:10	137:19,22,23
213:23 219:19	kill 18:12 35:1	165:5 166:17	154:17 197:14	141:16 142:16
221:7 223:5	kind 7:8 25:2	168:12,15	knows 117:7	143:2 150:17
227:17 229:8	43:22 65:16	171:5,7,10	174:10 194:13	156:14,19
230:10 231:7,9	109:24 126:12	174:4,18,21	212:23 253:20	159:1,1,5,23
231:24 232:15	157:16 168:13	178:8,19,20	Kramer 189:13	160:3,11,11,12
234:20 236:22	193:21 223:16	182:20 184:14	189:16,20	163:11 166:2
238:16 240:6	244:3 251:9	184:22,24	K-n-i-p-p-n	167:12 169:6,7
240:20 241:3	262:8 320:23	185:2,4,6,22	148:21	169:17 171:3,5
243:13 249:23	knew 60:6 70:13	186:8 187:15		171:8 172:24
251:23 252:2	70:15 97:12	188:15,24	L	173:8,18 174:1
254:6,10,11,15	105:3 141:12	189:3,15	L 40:1	174:20 175:15
256:9 257:1,5	141:23 142:14	191:10 193:7	lakes 32:18 36:5	175:19 176:9
257:7 264:1	175:18 189:9	194:2 197:3	laminated 80:14	178:16,18,21
274:8 277:11	268:1,8 277:7	200:11 207:16	134:14	179:6 182:4,7
278:3,3 283:5	296:13	207:19 208:11	land 14:21 32:18	182:11,14,24
289:1 297:4	Knippn 145:19	208:16,19	34:13 36:5	183:3,7,14,22
298:2 301:3	148:10,20,21	211:13,16	86:15 121:18	184:1,13
306:11 308:8	153:17	212:1,24	126:5 150:21	185:24 187:12
308:24 312:13	know 5:13 6:14	213:17,20	landfill 8:1	189:1,4,10,12
313:22,24	17:6 22:2 27:6	215:5,8,8,12	13:14,18,23	189:17 190:12
314:20 315:24	33:21 36:21	221:7 227:11	14:1,8,8,15,16	191:24 196:21
320:24 322:11	40:15 47:12,14	231:1,8 232:13	15:19,22 16:6	197:6,10
323:9 326:2	50:15,16,16	233:22 241:23	16:16,19 17:6	198:20 199:12
330:6 333:16	58:18 59:13,15	242:22 245:10	17:9,13 18:4,9	200:1,5,8,10
333:18 334:2	60:3,11 62:6	245:11 249:17	18:17 21:20	204:23 205:2
335:9 336:3	63:3 64:22	249:17 250:6	25:6 26:4 27:9	205:12,15
K	74:1 79:13,16	252:3 254:9,13	32:4,8,9,14,15	206:8 207:18
	80:6,8 82:14	254:19 261:16	32:16 34:4,6	211:4 214:17
Kane 304:4,5,6	95:3,11 97:3,4	262:4 263:24	34:10 35:20	214:20 215:2,7
Kankakee 48:6	97:21 98:16	265:12 267:11	38:7 45:2,8,24 48:23 52:6	220:20 221:17
51:7,8,19			40.25 32.0	

	1	1	1	I
223:2,13,23	larger 316:5,23	leaders 15:18	Leigh 172:8	248:12,22
232:24 244:8	Larry 18:19	leadership	lend 109:24	251:12 252:4
244:10 245:1	227:6,7 328:17	270:11 279:8	length 19:17	262:15 303:13
248:5,16,19	LaSalle 337:16	leading 261:18	208:21	level 123:3
249:10 256:8,9	last 50:6 120:10	leak 34:24	lengthy 27:15	liability 209:17
257:1 260:9	126:23 127:20	leakage 17:18	lens 98:8	liberal 81:17
261:1,10 262:1	128:8 144:16	100:6	Leo 2:17 8:11	95:9
262:24 263:9	147:17 160:12	leaked 169:17	208:1 237:16	library 1:15
263:13 265:18	163:1 170:15	leaking 169:6,7	less 20:11 21:6	137:21 191:6
266:23,24	274:9 292:6,7	171:3,8	let 28:18 40:9	License 337:17
267:23 268:17	327:10	learn 123:20	48:13 55:10	licensed 303:22
270:6,13,19	late 181:11	277:12	75:1 76:22	life 169:6 291:6
271:6,11,24	238:3 244:19	learned 197:21	84:22 94:9	light 39:21 56:7
272:8,14 273:7	335:13	268:11,11	95:15 98:24	89:1 265:2
274:16,24	lately 175:2	least 14:24 15:3	99:7 102:2	like 5:11 9:14,21
275:2,20	later 5:6 6:17	52:7 58:18,18	106:1 115:23	11:9 25:18
276:10,21	16:3 26:1 54:5	91:22 95:2	119:17 155:14	26:16 28:2,13
277:8,17 278:8	163:6 236:1	119:7 121:19	166:16 168:10	28:14 31:10
278:22 279:7	275:15	152:17 155:12	168:17 176:6	33:7 35:9 41:4
279:11,17,20	latest 49:17	177:21,24	176:16 179:16	41:9 43:16
280:3,7 281:10	latitude 22:17	leave 58:24 68:3	181:9 186:19	48:4,18,24
282:6 284:2	laughed 126:13	186:14 254:1,7	194:8 195:1	50:16,17 53:2
286:22,23	laughing 82:13	299:1 324:11	201:10 207:12	56:11 61:16
287:4,11,13,21	82:24 268:20	330:8	215:22 222:7	72:13 86:8
288:1,10 290:9	law 18:16 43:20	leaving 142:21	224:1 230:8	88:20 89:5
290:11 292:12	63:1 64:4,19	143:9 168:3	231:10,14	90:20 95:7
294:14,23	64:19,20 67:14	led 22:1 24:9	233:17 240:14	98:6 100:21
302:17,18	131:15 149:13	leeway 294:8	242:14 260:1	103:22 107:15
307:19 308:4	150:4,21	330:10	265:12 278:13	107:16,21
308:14 311:10	151:11 179:1	left 8:7 120:22	282:18 302:7	109:20 117:16
313:2,11,24	198:2 200:18	130:5 162:19	318:4 336:3	127:24 129:21
314:3 315:2	200:21 201:6	166:17 226:14	letter 170:3,9,10	130:11 133:9
316:2,7,21	201:19 204:18	226:15 239:1,5	284:11,13	134:21 149:17
317:7,16,19	206:2 210:7,8	legal 18:23 23:9	289:17	153:14 157:12
318:17 319:7	222:1 232:6	86:5 138:22	letters 79:19,22	157:13 160:18
319:10,14,22	246:14 285:15	180:8 201:1,14	80:2 169:21	165:9 173:13
321:5 329:14	303:22	207:6,8,17	let's 28:22 62:14	180:6 182:16
landfills 34:24	laws 60:18	211:6 212:15	65:2 66:3	188:8 197:12
123:2 126:6	150:23	212:21 230:4	67:17 71:6	197:18 203:16
142:2 171:10	lawsuit 90:22	233:12 239:4	72:4 74:9	209:11 213:19
290:4	164:2 212:15	251:5 303:3	96:10 111:14	219:2 233:11
landfill's 35:11	212:18	legally 13:19	126:19,24	235:9 240:23
landfill-related	lawsuits 6:21	230:3 251:8	127:17 130:22	241:2,7,10
206:11,16	89:10 90:4,5	307:13	136:22 137:13	244:6 245:21
landscaped 129:6	91:3	legislative 153:6 153:6	145:23 162:21	249:14 251:24
	lawyers 105:14 105:19	legislature 153:2	187:7 200:6 221:3 231:24	254:18,21 261:13 265:12
language 111:12 270:1	layers 107:22	legitimate 22:21	240:15 241:14	291:7,21
large 44:20	lead 114:23	22:21	240:13 241:14	311:23 314:14
large TT.20	10au 117.43	44.41	Z70.12,24	311.23 314.14

	I			
317:12 327:21	256:13 257:14	273:20	154:5 161:1	144:11,12,22
336:16	listened 182:18	longstanding	170:23 172:5	145:8 146:8
liked 251:3	listening 145:5	201:22	246:10 258:4	148:24 151:3
likely 268:10	169:8 314:1	look 32:20 39:9	290:24 293:20	155:14 156:15
313:9	315:24 316:8	43:21 50:11	297:24 299:14	158:5 162:3
likewise 229:1	325:14	88:11 89:4	329:10 330:14	166:15 176:16
limine 6:8,17,18	literally 20:21	109:14,21	machination	177:10 187:6,7
7:4 37:24 39:1	literature 80:23	111:14 178:24	266:11	229:11,14
40:21 202:3	189:24 190:9	193:7 195:24	machinations	231:8,9 235:4
limines 6:7	190:14 245:6,7	210:21 219:6	266:7	244:20 245:7
limit 14:14 52:8	245:19 253:9	225:16 226:2	machine 129:20	245:20 253:24
52:19 107:15	330:20 332:8	245:20 262:15	mad 166:17	254:21 255:3,6
107:16	332:10,14	266:2,5 271:9	made 5:16 13:6	260:24 261:8
limited 12:14	litigation 222:19	307:1 336:15	16:6,23,24	264:21 265:1
limiting 116:8	litigator 222:11	looked 98:13	17:21 21:1	270:7 271:21
118:2	222:12	179:1 312:1	22:23 30:22	273:18 279:8
limits 10:1	little 5:5 119:12	looking 67:12,13	31:7 33:20	291:10 296:20
line 68:18 73:22	120:11 130:22	109:6,14	35:13 51:20	303:4 305:23
74:9,17 75:2	134:13 140:17	137:21 223:17	55:6,12,18,20	322:14 323:6
78:16,17 83:8	144:5 162:21	251:4 299:4	56:8 58:16	324:9 326:4,6
83:9,12 86:1	209:7 222:3	309:13	64:23 92:16	336:12,15
88:12 103:22	248:13,22	looks 95:7	103:12 123:9	maker 46:16
106:9,12	250:22 252:4	152:24 203:16	156:9 166:19	58:8 65:11
107:14,20	278:4 323:18	216:8 245:21	173:7,17	239:21,24
124:12,13	330:9 335:13	lot 26:14 33:22	218:12 241:19	240:1 298:8
138:22 140:13	live 146:20	34:19 144:23	264:19,24	makers 26:21
180:5 194:1,17	168:7 255:22	188:16 263:22	266:22 273:21	175:24 176:19
221:4 225:8	lived 256:21	273:8 281:18	279:16 290:8	230:13 239:22
226:19 232:8	279:22	281:18 291:6	294:7 302:9	maker's 7:14
232:21 240:3	living 241:11	312:8 316:15	304:14,24	makes 99:8
241:18 275:17	LLC 2:20	316:20 317:12	307:13 309:5	112:10 219:1
275:22,24	LLP 2:5	319:12,21	317:1 323:3	making 5:14
296:13 306:20	local 11:18	320:15	324:9 325:13	46:20 87:18
307:4 322:8,8	locate 245:18	lots 34:22	325:15,16,17	92:22 180:13
liner 107:23	313:2	loud 16:14	main 166:2	245:16 265:5,8
108:3 171:6	located 135:2,17	151:22,23	181:22	295:11,16,20
link 320:7,9,10	243:17	152:8 317:1,5	mainly 251:5	296:9,17
linked 32:22	location 282:2	lump 223:17	majority 5:12	298:12 309:14
links 320:10,14	282:11	lunch 120:16	38:6 268:14	325:7,19
320:16	logic 49:8	144:1,1,2	322:18	man 13:15 57:5
Lisle 2:21 8:22	long 14:5 42:16	145:18,20	make 24:4 26:10	Management
list 116:16 135:4	47:11 50:19	191:12	32:10 47:2	51:9 86:15
135:12,20	55:8 125:5	L.L.C 1:2 4:9	52:14 55:11	181:1 308:19
313:8	152:9 160:13	11:3,21 39:24	56:2 58:11,16	manager 8:7
listed 6:19	161:3 168:7	110:6 146:12	59:2,11 61:7	10:6
104:16 196:5	172:20 223:12	146:23	61:13 62:24	Manchester
listen 166:13	298:15 331:12	M	77:14 123:7	148:21
168:14 182:20	331:16 335:19		137:10 139:24	manifest 4:19
254:20 256:10	longer 226:22	M 42:9 57:4	143:23 144:6,8	24:19
		125:8 141:2		
L				

<u></u>				
manner 11:7	275:6,12	195:2,16	21:4 22:18,22	77:20 88:4
many 18:16 79:9	282:15	200:15,18,22	23:9,10,20	96:10,12 128:3
80:3 109:3	matters 5:20 6:5	201:8 203:19	25:15 38:10	137:20 138:17
115:12,15	12:3 32:7 34:7	204:12,16	79:23 84:4	173:14 185:14
159:5 162:1	34:21 35:4	205:10,24	127:23 132:15	211:11 218:21
186:3 218:17	50:4 76:10	206:16 207:18	156:11 171:24	224:10 233:8
223:8 230:21	77:16 146:7	207:23 208:18	172:9 174:6	241:24 242:3,5
249:2 256:7,7	178:11 191:24	209:9 210:3	178:3 181:10	244:21 252:21
317:4 328:10	206:5 207:17	213:24 214:2,5	181:15 184:8	279:2,2 283:2
March 103:13	maximum	214:7,8 215:11	186:9,17,22	292:9 311:12
133:22 196:7	217:11	215:15,15,24	187:24 195:3	316:18,22
197:7	may 5:8 6:16 7:2	216:6 217:11	199:6 200:14	322:7 323:11
mark 57:3	11:5,15 18:13	223:1,22 224:2	201:10 203:1	334:12
136:10 147:12	19:2 20:23	224:13 225:3,5	205:24 208:5	means 62:10
314:9	22:20,20 23:3	225:7,11,15	208:13 210:19	141:12 276:15
marked 94:10	23:24 24:1	226:3,6,8,10	213:5,19	300:17
99:1 131:21	25:17 26:15	226:10 227:9	214:15 215:22	meant 87:12
194:9,18	36:24 39:8	227:15 228:14	222:8 223:2	meet 19:7
215:23,23	43:15 44:2	229:16 230:17	226:24,24	114:16 121:17
259:19 269:19	46:17 48:6	230:24 231:15	227:3 232:9	127:24 128:3
278:13 282:18	49:12 57:7	232:19 234:23	233:21 246:12	200:17 201:1
294:13 315:1	58:3 60:1,1	236:16 237:1	247:12 248:23	208:17 210:7
318:4 331:8	61:23 66:18	237:11 238:3	250:9 252:2	326:22 327:14
market 201:11	69:9,18 78:19	238:11,23	253:2,5,19	327:19 336:18
mass 313:8	83:20 88:20	239:11 240:12	254:14,20	meeting 14:19
masse 36:16	90:22,23 95:21	241:15 246:3	256:10,12,23	23:3 29:2,11
material 21:3,8	99:16 101:8,21	253:19,20	257:6,10	29:13 30:11,16
51:12 53:20	101:22 102:24	254:12 258:15	264:16 265:2	30:20,22,23,24
227:24 260:4,8	103:4,6,8,17	259:24 260:4,5	266:6 290:5	31:2,5,9 32:11
260:14,19	105:12 106:7	262:10 263:23	310:5,8,14	35:14 43:7
286:11 318:13	106:22 107:12	264:20 272:4	mayors 200:24	44:5,8,21,22
318:15	108:24 111:1	280:14,24	210:6	44:24 66:22
materials 18:7	111:12 116:7	285:7 298:22	mayor's 15:17	81:4 84:15,19
137:22 243:15	117:3,18,23	299:2,2 306:24	216:10 284:1	84:20,24 85:21
244:7,21 285:7	120:19 121:9	312:8 315:3	Mayor-elect	86:7 92:7 93:1
285:10,16	121:15,22	317:13 320:13	18:11	93:8,12,24
292:22	122:3,5,8	320:14,20,20	ma'am 75:21	98:9,10,12,20
matter 9:4 11:8	123:1 124:6	320:24 324:13	83:3 106:16	104:11,15
18:5 19:13,14	126:21 133:15	328:2,11 331:1	111:10 186:19	126:21,22
28:18 30:9	134:3 138:11	334:21 335:5	McCluskey 2:20	127:1,18,19
31:12 32:22	142:4 143:18	maybe 71:17	2:22 8:19,20	128:5,10,19
37:3 39:7 49:1	143:24 146:9	114:24 120:8	8:20,21 9:6,7	129:4,15,17
49:21 51:14	152:8 159:18	161:5 165:5	27:21,24 28:4	130:19 141:5
62:18 67:23	167:1 168:24	207:4 330:2	28:6 36:17,19	142:7,19 143:9
102:23 112:20	172:17 173:3,7	mayor 8:17 9:15	146:9,11 147:3	143:10 145:3,4
132:19 133:10	173:17 176:18	9:23 10:10	147:9,12,14	154:19,22
134:8 149:8	177:6,7 178:3	12:22 14:3,20	mean 41:14 55:5	155:4,5 156:21
165:15 205:15	179:24 186:20	15:4 18:22	58:8,22 59:11	161:13 162:14
206:1 212:22	189:4 194:16	19:2 20:1,9	63:22,22,24	162:16 163:15
	•		•	•

	1	I	1	1
166:7,9,10	258:22 259:5,9	121:24 233:10	mind 52:14,18	206:20
167:21 168:2	261:5 293:1,3	mentally 77:7	57:11,12,20	mistake 218:13
169:23 170:15	305:1 309:15	mention 83:12	87:23 156:10	misunderstan
170:18 179:3,8	310:1 316:13	107:18 128:1	198:24 279:17	53:12 93:3
179:11,17	316:23 317:13	mentioned 27:2	minds 242:16	mm-hmm 68:14
182:11,12	meets 133:3	60:22 82:3	249:21	237:14,18
183:6,13 185:9	melds 152:20	83:10 127:16	mine 73:7 97:17	248:24 292:18
186:18 187:11	member 14:11	167:22 204:24	mini 225:4	mobilize 313:7
199:5 201:6	22:7 54:14	264:18 268:19	minimizing	molester 168:19
208:5,9,12,14	62:13,15 64:6	291:23	72:24	168:20,22
216:7 218:19	64:15 79:24	mentions 264:13	minimum 52:24	moment 5:11
219:6 227:1,10	81:16 82:18	mere 6:12	minis 103:5	10:16 119:18
227:18 228:14	146:8 168:5	merits 53:13	minute 96:11	259:18 322:2
228:16 230:18	185:5,7 187:22	mess 253:21	231:1	Momkus 2:20
232:19 234:1	216:17 256:20	message 18:2	minutes 6:13	8:21
235:9,15	299:19 315:17	129:20	20:22 23:2	Monday 133:17
240:18 252:8	members 5:17	messaging 313:8	29:2 115:22	monetarily
252:12,12,15	11:20,23 12:6	met 19:17 33:24	116:3 125:6	311:4
252:24 253:5	12:19 15:20	36:16 60:5	182:10 216:6	money 20:3
265:20,22,23	21:11 23:12	62:7,9,14,15	216:10,21	54:20 157:3
266:8,16,18,22	31:17 32:12	62:16 115:18	217:21 219:19	210:1 213:12
267:1,3,6,18	33:2,2 34:23	120:20 129:18	220:4 221:3,10	213:17,20
267:20 268:5,6	35:23 51:15,21	143:11 179:2	224:11 236:16	282:2
268:9,9,13	52:3,4,7,12	204:19 208:19	236:19,20,21	month 53:6
271:23 293:2	53:23 62:17	208:20,21	236:22,22	141:8 203:14
317:10	81:8,10,19	223:22 239:17	246:4 323:11	216:24 217:12
meetings 14:6	83:2,5 90:11	260:6,12 275:3	334:21	217:16,24
31:15,20,22	93:21 94:6	middle 70:10	mischaracteri	218:5,17,18
35:13 81:1,6	148:5 150:24	204:23	262:3 271:13	219:5,21,24
115:13,15,24	155:1 174:5,8	might 23:7	272:16 280:1	220:9,21
116:1,2,4	179:13 185:3	89:15 90:15	287:6 288:4	months 19:12
130:16 136:21	188:6,12	97:5 120:16	295:15	26:1 36:4
141:4,10	192:24 193:2	139:12,16	misquote 319:18	131:24 275:14
142:13 145:7	221:11 238:10	234:8,11 300:3	319:20	302:1 305:10
156:16 159:24	238:23 242:16	Mike 215:18	misquoted 72:11	mood 45:4
161:15,16,20	243:8 276:24	216:14,17	101:10,15	Moose 163:3,4,4
161:23 162:13	277:1 282:11	217:11,14,22	297:18	163:10,13,20
162:22,24	305:20 306:3	221:13 238:4	misquoting	164:2,3,8,23
163:17,19	315:12 323:13	million 126:10	100:23	165:3,13,19
164:14,23	325:7,14	126:10	misremembered	166:6,10,19
168:24 169:3	memorandum	Milliron 54:17	85:6	167:1 168:1,15
170:17 181:14	127:21	155:1 181:21	misrepresenting	168:18,20,24
182:19,21	memos 196:9,21	182:3 183:2,7	64:4,5	170:16 171:1
183:4 186:7,15	197:5 198:12	183:13 184:12	miss 272:24	Moraine 1:2 4:9
187:23 188:7	men 15:9	184:16 186:6	294:14	4:15 6:12,15
218:17,18,19	mental 7:11	187:10 188:11	misspoke 259:4	6:19,22 7:8,12
219:5 249:5,5	26:21 46:16,24	196:17,20	misstates 206:18	7:20,24 8:5,24
249:8,21	52:23 58:7	309:23 310:10	206:24	11:3,21 25:3,4
251:18,19,20	63:7,20,24	310:21 318:2	Misstating	25:8,23 26:2
				<u> </u>
	I	l	I	I

	t	t		
26:22 27:13,16	81:7 109:4	153:13 162:18	109:20,22	229:13,16,20
28:11,15 29:1	113:8 130:4	249:19 251:9	110:22 112:9	229:24 230:9
31:4,8 32:1	144:5 184:9	276:5	112:13,16,17	230:10,16,24
33:6,6,7,24	187:16 212:7	mud 251:9	113:7 114:1	231:5,10,13
35:18 37:17	213:24 217:23	Mueller 2:10,12	115:6,14 117:2	232:12,13
38:7,11 39:20	222:4 241:8	3:7,13,19 7:22	117:7,10,15,21	233:3,11,18,19
39:24 43:11	249:11 301:11	7:23,23,23 9:1	118:14,15,24	234:17,19
44:17 49:12,24	301:14 307:13	9:13 28:12	119:14,21	235:19,21,23
50:6 58:13	314:17	29:1,6,8 33:11	120:3,13,18	237:21 238:20
61:5,6 69:7	morning 4:2 8:4	33:14 34:16,18	121:3,4,8,12	238:21 239:20
85:16 87:8	8:10 10:24	37:1,5,15,17	121:23 122:3,6	240:3,4,10
89:15 90:15	82:14 191:6	37:21 38:4,21	122:12 124:1	242:12,20
91:23 92:10	198:1 335:16	39:12,15,20	124:20,22	243:4 244:15
93:9,12 94:10	most 13:13	40:11,19 41:3	125:11 131:17	244:16 245:4
99:1 105:2	15:19 23:13	41:6,22 42:1	134:24 135:23	245:10,19,23
110:6 125:17	42:20 118:5	42:10 44:3,6	137:14 139:1	246:1 248:18
125:20 128:9	188:12 251:10	45:12,18 46:5	140:23,24	250:14 252:5
135:22 142:17	267:4 268:10	54:7,8 59:19	141:3,24	253:16,17
145:9 146:12	291:9 320:14	63:14 65:15,19	142:11 143:14	257:19 299:15
146:23 161:23	motion 6:7,8,11	66:3,5 67:2,8	145:16 165:10	301:7 306:10
162:23 163:11	6:17,18 7:4 9:9	67:16 68:1,5,6	171:22,24	306:16 307:21
164:15 165:4	40:20 47:3,11	68:7 69:11	172:6 173:11	308:1 310:4,12
165:14,20	47:18,19 53:14	70:6,7 71:10	173:12,16,24	310:16,20
166:2 167:10	56:2 103:9,12	71:11,12,16,19	174:9,13,22	311:20 312:4
175:4,8,19	103:20 202:3,4	72:5,9 73:17	175:23 176:5,6	314:7,12,16,21
176:21 178:12	221:21 236:12	74:13,14 75:1	176:16 177:13	314:23 318:7
179:4,13	motions 6:10	75:3,4,16,23	178:7 179:21	321:12,17,23
185:20 192:4	26:17 37:24	76:1,3,10,14	179:23 183:18	323:9,16,20,23
192:15 194:9	39:1 47:16	76:22,23 77:10	183:20 184:4,8	324:7,8,15
198:19 212:5	motivated	77:12,18,22	184:11 186:24	325:4,24 326:3
212:13,18	198:10	78:2 81:14,15	194:22 195:1,5	327:10,12
214:19 215:6	motive 176:24	82:6,20 83:23	195:23 201:24	328:13,16
215:23,24	mouse 312:7	85:8,14,16,19	202:11,13,23	329:6,24 330:1
224:6 246:24	move 9:2 71:6	86:21,22 87:1	203:6,13 205:9	330:7,11,13,15
247:1,4 285:18	74:9 75:1	87:4,16,17	206:22,23	330:22 331:7
301:23 305:10	77:22 134:21	88:8,22 89:8	207:5,8,12,15	331:19,22
305:12 307:14	136:22 167:3	89:20,23 90:2	211:18,20	332:2,7,13
308:18 318:5	224:5 240:23	90:3 91:15,17	212:3,17 213:2	333:12,13,15
322:19,20	270:21 274:2	92:14,21 93:4	213:4,21 214:7	334:6,9,15,21
Moraine's 6:9	284:18 325:20	93:6 94:15,22	214:9 216:3,4	334:23 335:15
26:6,11 27:3,9	331:3 332:14	95:2,6,15,17	219:14,16	336:6
29:12 36:22	334:9,15,23	96:6,20 97:9	220:17,23	Mueller's 9:9
86:13 164:12	moved 18:11,22	97:23 98:2	221:3,5,6	213:2,14 228:2
169:14 177:3	105:3 241:12	99:7,10,15,17	222:5,22 224:3	233:4
272:10	331:6 332:8	100:12 102:4	224:8,9,12	multiple 11:20
Moran 51:9	334:7	102:11,14,17	225:1,2,18,23	11:23
more 5:7 15:20	movement 13:1	102:19,23	226:5,12	multitude 11:6
20:3 24:1 47:1	176:22	103:2,3 109:9	227:22 228:7,8	municipal 13:7
56:2 65:1 77:9	much 120:17	109:12,15,17	228:12 229:8	153:4 206:2
		. ,		
				l

	•			
222:1	named 9:23	143:1,11 169:5	181:21	100:5,21
municipalities	154:16	169:7,16	night 23:2	101:17 129:7
151:11,12	names 127:16	170:18 171:2,4	106:22 109:2	140:20 160:21
municipality	narrate 160:10	171:8 173:7	114:18,21,22	170:20 171:12
87:7	narrative 293:1	174:19 182:16	115:2 234:22	178:23 199:10
Munns 62:6	narrowly 149:10	182:18,23	328:8 336:19	209:13,22
335:24	NAUGHT	183:2,21,23	nine 4:18 25:9	210:1,2,3
Murphy 8:7	336:20	220:7 222:11	37:4,8 73:23	220:3 235:4
9:12,13,16	near 70:15	222:20 244:7	74:2 121:19	253:17 257:4
10:11 126:22	nearby 158:24	245:5 253:1	172:21 179:22	290:19 293:16
127:4 128:6	necessarily 21:6	271:4 274:22	207:22 208:2	297:21 298:20
129:5,14,21	309:10,14	309:16	209:9 249:3	notice 6:24 7:1
130:3,10,13	327:21	new 18:23 75:18	305:9	38:17 40:12,17
141:5 142:8,20	necessary 40:4	76:5 77:1 78:6	nobody 167:7	41:1,12,19
142:23 143:7	48:2 178:11,14	78:9,19 234:2	nod 104:6	190:24 191:2
163:7,10,14	178:19	270:10 278:22	nodded 110:17	191:10
170:11 179:3	necessity 146:20	279:8	noise 87:24	noticed 4:22
186:4	need 12:16	newly-elected	noncontrovers	158:16 191:11
Murphy's 127:9	27:22 29:15	19:24 22:18	330:4	notices 324:2
musings 319:13	41:5 47:2	News 17:2 71:7	none 34:5 151:2	notified 201:9
must 6:15	52:23 56:6	71:22 99:3	171:15 209:8	notify 201:6
149:22 326:12	58:18 59:2	100:24 101:2	287:1	November 30:20
326:12	60:18 61:6	199:23	nonetheless	30:24 31:5
myself 104:1	107:6 120:16	newsletter 278:9	287:24	300:12 311:9
166:24 265:6	147:24 148:24	278:19,23	non-hearsay	313:21 315:20
314:17	202:1 242:20	279:1,3,6,13	36:11	317:10
M-c 8:21	245:22 278:1	newspaper 53:8	non-landfill	number 6:11 7:5
	314:16 324:8	72:11 94:13,16	77:16	30:4,8 33:14
N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	335:13	95:4,12 96:16	normal 21:5	35:16 37:13,18
N 2:1 3:1 42:9,9	needed 18:8	100:13 169:21	219:20 220:9	38:1 39:16
125:8,8 141:2	88:17 218:22	170:12,13	250:7	40:1,13 50:24
141:2 154:5,5	226:23	222:24 252:6	notably 23:13	60:2 62:8
161:1,1 170:23	needs 56:5	296:7 300:11	24:1	79:15 108:20
170:23 172:5,5	295:10	312:14 319:9	Notary 337:21	110:1 114:7,8
235:13 246:10	negate 19:11	331:10	notation 322:3	114:12 129:24
246:10 258:4,4	negative 17:14	newspapers	note 40:9	130:6 179:22
290:24,24	72:1 73:4	223:16	noted 43:24	186:15 189:21
293:20,20	100:3 149:23	next 21:4 43:8	73:15	195:4 198:18
297:24,24 299:14,14	200:5,8 294:14	72:5 104:21	notes 105:5,6,9	199:3,4,5
1	319:7	111:22 148:3,7	108:14 124:15	202:10 216:22
329:10,10 330:14,14	negatives 295:9	149:14 158:20	138:21,22	216:23 223:5
name 4:2 10:24	neutral 72:1	160:8 219:17	139:9,12	224:6 225:22
16:1 42:11	100:3 200:6,9	248:2 269:15	140:18 337:10	226:14 235:13
128:8 144:14	319:7	269:18 270:2	nothing 6:16	238:9 302:15
144:15,16	never 51:16 86:4	286:16 313:6	17:17 22:16	312:19 315:1
148:12 154:7	86:6 98:13	323:17 325:21	25:2 26:19	332:4
163:2 172:7	101:9 108:2	333:8	27:18 31:19	numbered
209:1 258:6	122:14 130:18	nice 4:4 166:9	49:9 53:8	226:16 234:21
259:12 299:16	135:23 136:2	Nicholson	60:20 66:24	numbers 68:10
-55.112 255.110				

			i	I
68:11 307:3	43:16,23 45:10	335:2	244:4 257:23	333:8
numerous 31:15	45:14 46:2,15	objections 28:19	258:2 273:19	offering 31:17
	46:19 47:19	28:23 33:20	292:11 299:9	35:3,12 38:22
O	58:16 59:2,9	56:12 161:9	299:12 300:21	112:20
O 42:9 125:8,8	67:6 74:23	201:16,23	336:7,10	offers 48:8 49:2
141:2 154:5	76:8 81:13	211:4,6,8	offer 28:13,14	49:6 56:4
161:1,1 170:23	85:3,11 86:23	281:18 284:15	37:12 38:22	office 12:9,23
172:5 235:20	87:20 88:15	284:24,24	39:6,12,22	52:6 100:1
235:21 246:10	89:17,22 91:13	288:19 289:13	40:8 41:15,21	133:20 152:3
246:10 258:4	92:18 94:12	306:2 331:15	46:23 49:3,14	155:23 157:12
290:24,24	95:10 99:5,8	332:17	49:20 50:5,19	199:8 205:23
293:20 297:24	112:6 113:14	objectively	50:22 51:3	206:3 256:14
297:24 299:14	115:3 116:22	19:21	53:1,3 55:6,9	282:23 284:14
329:10,10	118:12 120:2	objectors 12:13	55:12 57:1,2,3	290:10 291:3
330:14	120:23 121:7	12:16 13:14	57:7 58:1,8,9	291:12 293:8
oath 337:6	121:11,20	15:12 54:3,18	58:17 59:5,8	311:10,15,19
object 33:13	123:22 125:2	54:21 189:18	61:7,13,19	318:14 329:19
36:14 41:10,14	134:23 135:21	obligation	62:2 65:17,23	officer 1:12 2:3
47:12 61:8	139:24 140:8	192:14 194:3	66:14,17 70:4	4:7 7:6,13 8:11
75:13 77:5	141:17 142:3	observations	71:9 72:15,16	9:3 18:20
82:5 94:13	143:4 147:6	7:16	73:10,14 75:24	20:20,20 25:1
95:5 97:2,20	159:14 164:20	observed 316:12	77:15 85:5,7	26:15 27:10
128:16 138:1	166:3 167:16	obtain 19:7	95:13 96:4,12	43:15 46:2
163:21 165:1	173:9,12 174:7	obvious 52:23	98:22 102:11	47:6 48:7
194:11,24	175:21 176:14	53:16	117:15,17	49:10 53:19
212:21 230:4	177:7,8 178:4	obviously 32:24	119:18,20	56:15 57:3
231:2 232:5	183:16 195:15	35:2 38:18	120:6,12	85:3 95:16
261:11 262:2	202:8,22 203:9	43:3 98:20	132:20 136:6	109:24 131:11
266:10 267:8	205:3 206:18	227:3 253:8	138:7,11	134:21 135:4
271:12 272:1	211:12,14,21	271:10 318:21	139:23 140:2,5	154:16 161:4,7
272:15 276:12	212:2 220:11	334:15,17	176:11 230:1,5	195:2 226:22
277:18 279:24	220:15,22	occasion 91:23	230:8 233:12	227:6 228:24
281:3 282:21	221:1 224:17	occasions	233:17 234:16	229:2 243:21
284:4 285:14	224:18 227:21	186:15 312:20	237:7 238:18	244:5 285:15
287:5 288:3,13	228:6 229:7,23	occurred 34:4	239:10 242:21	290:23 314:18
294:2 295:14	230:4 231:1	35:12 43:18,18	243:2,5 285:3	326:6,15
295:23 306:8	233:8,17	128:15 208:15	289:20,21	327:23 329:3
307:10,17	239:18 241:21	232:1 236:23	290:13,14,16	officers 15:24
310:2 314:5	242:17,23,24	occurring 88:14	324:11,14	285:12
321:15 323:7	243:2 270:23	October 26:16	325:6 326:1	officer's 26:23
324:5 328:19	270:24 273:1	29:5,11 30:11	327:9 328:1	27:4 41:16
334:11 335:1	274:6,12 286:2	30:16 84:15	332:12 333:15	56:13 111:24
objected 41:13	296:8 298:15	85:17 300:7	335:7	official 113:11
48:21 201:14	310:19 323:18	off 4:3 5:3 22:22	offered 34:20	161:16,20
306:6,22	323:22 324:10	48:16 65:2,5	36:12 37:2	236:20 253:3
objecting 242:10	324:13 329:1	65:21 119:6,15	65:15 87:7	officials 26:9,10
306:15 316:5	330:12 331:13	120:8 125:24	102:9,9 126:15	132:16 252:2
objection 9:7	332:18 333:19	145:22 162:22	165:15,15	off-the-record
33:23 36:23	334:13,18,19	171:17,20	252:11 331:5	119:11,22
38:24 40:6,7				
		l	I	I

120:9	230:15 231:7	82:12,17 86:7	257:8 264:8	8:24 26:13
often 158:20,24	231:12 233:3	87:7 89:14	278:23 280:10	28:3 55:13
188:19 236:22	234:14,15,18	90:10,20 91:22	280:18 281:13	60:23 104:22
249:9	235:22 241:16	92:6 95:16	282:9 287:10	150:7
Oh 10:19 68:2,5	253:10 254:16	96:22 97:18	298:2,17 301:8	openly 14:17
69:1 88:3,6	254:17,23	105:1 106:7	301:12,13	opens 298:16
107:14 124:13	255:12 259:15	113:8 114:11	302:24 304:10	operation
129:16 132:3	260:2,13	114:14 116:15	304:14,19	322:17
134:13 136:17	262:15 264:2	116:18,20	305:19,22	operator 324:3
147:19 209:1	266:3 268:13	117:4,5,16,24	309:14,21	opining 317:15
269:17 312:12	270:24 273:23	118:1 119:8	311:24 312:2	opinion 72:17
332:7 335:8	277:6,15	120:7,20	314:17,17,18	73:6 132:8
336:6	278:11,18	121:17 125:20	316:9,19,23	192:4 239:12
okay 28:2,5,10	279:5 283:8	125:21 136:21	317:17 320:18	243:13 262:13
28:24 29:7	286:3,6 287:16	136:23 140:12	325:12 328:13	265:17 269:10
36:20 37:19	287:17 289:9	143:3,10	330:2 336:15	269:12 295:7
39:5,19 41:17	298:21 301:1	147:18 149:17	ones 68:11 103:6	309:18,21
42:4 43:20	304:7 307:4,6	149:23 151:11	118:5 157:14	327:22
48:20 63:9	307:8 312:12	152:17 156:16	235:5,6 238:24	opinions 7:2,10
65:6 66:19	322:11 324:18	158:12,14	239:5 244:2	26:8 52:5
67:12 68:15,17	328:15 331:2	159:24 161:13	249:14 273:21	277:17 316:15
75:5 78:13	331:18,21	162:5 163:6,19	307:3 322:12	316:19 321:5
82:11 83:4	333:17 334:19	166:1 168:10	one's 164:8	opponent 106:3
85:12,13,15	335:2,8,19	168:17,23	one-month	106:5 182:4,7
87:3 89:5,7	336:4	169:2,20,24	20:13	182:14 184:13
92:11 97:7,11	old 56:18 162:11	170:22 171:9	ongoing 38:10	189:1,4,10
97:17 99:16	256:5	174:2 175:23	only 20:22 23:20	opponents 12:4
102:8,13,16	Olsen 238:5	176:18 184:9	29:20 45:8	14:9,21 15:19
103:1 104:1	once 30:21,24	185:3 186:8,17	58:14 65:23	81:6 143:2
106:10 108:6	59:8	187:16 188:11	116:9 136:15	189:12
110:20 111:14	one 4:18 9:17	189:12,17	158:6 168:15	opportunity 6:1
114:2 119:8	10:1,2,3,15	191:12,22	186:16,16	16:20 25:5,10
124:8 127:8,15	11:1 13:13	195:3 198:5	205:20,22	35:8 36:13
128:15 129:11	14:2,11,19,24	199:3 201:1	206:7 214:16	47:11 48:4
130:8,20	15:7,20,24	203:14 205:22	219:2,3 220:5	53:2 58:20
136:22 140:7	18:8,22 22:12	207:24 208:3	220:5 224:15	128:18 145:20
144:13 145:24	23:20 24:7	213:16,24	232:6 239:5	146:14 325:8
146:5 147:5,8	29:20 31:10,10	216:1,3 218:19	255:13 256:5	oppose 159:23
147:19 148:7	33:14 35:16	218:19 219:5	263:12 285:18	160:1
148:11 155:16	36:3 37:16,24	223:11,21	317:17	opposed 13:23
160:21 161:18	48:5 50:22	224:23 225:11	open 13:20 14:9	15:22 16:5
173:19,19	51:1 54:18	225:12,16,21	52:14,18 57:11	52:20 76:5,24
181:5 187:8	55:10 56:2	225:21 226:17	57:12,20 58:24	77:6,7,7,8 78:5
194:21 203:11	57:9 62:6,7,8	226:24 235:3	87:22 116:1,2	78:19 173:18
205:8 207:12	62:13,13,15	238:4,16	133:23 198:24	184:1 197:10
209:5 213:15	63:10 64:7,11	240:14 241:6	251:18,20	197:10 214:23
216:12 222:9	65:1,13,20	244:1 247:16	256:15 257:7	267:22 302:9
224:7,19	68:3 79:13,17	249:23 251:3	opened 294:6	302:11
225:13 226:18	80:7,19 81:24	251:19 252:6	opening 3:2 7:21	opposing 12:24
1	!			

	_	-		
131:12 150:17	114:2 116:10	183:3,7,8,14	overruled 45:14	114:6,8 121:9
opposition 13:2	117:5 118:1	188:16 191:11	67:9 87:3	123:4 124:6
13:21 14:1	119:5 134:8,24	202:4 210:5,10	88:19 128:24	180:1 187:6,6
44:24 54:15	137:21 146:7	217:15 222:14	142:4 143:6	187:7 195:24
173:8 174:1	147:20 150:22	222:17,19	159:18 164:20	199:22 214:1
188:5,12 282:6	158:17 160:2	225:21 236:10	166:3 167:16	216:10,14
oral 5:4 52:16	166:23 167:21	236:11 239:1,5	178:4 183:19	225:5,7,11
144:8 148:8	168:10,17	257:13 269:4	195:16 202:8	226:1,13,14,15
238:22 254:18	169:20 177:1	278:15,20	203:9 228:6	226:15 237:11
orally 232:2	177:16,19,23	293:4 303:14	261:17 262:4	240:11,15,20
331:20	178:23 179:13	304:7 307:2	273:1 277:23	241:14,18,19
oranges 61:2	185:13 193:15	310:13 314:14	289:14 307:24	259:8,13 264:3
order 26:17,23	199:1 201:3	314:15 318:13	323:22 334:20	264:13,22
40:20 61:14	206:6,12,16	318:21 319:12	335:3	266:5 269:14
133:11 170:6	207:17 210:6	320:1,3 328:6	oversight 135:16	269:20 270:1
178:11,14,16	215:21 217:7	outrageous	136:5	271:9 275:17
178:18 198:18	220:8 243:8,24	14:17,18	overwhelmingly	275:24 286:22
202:7 231:3	244:2 249:5,12	outside 12:3	316:13	296:13 306:11
261:5 303:4	252:2 254:2,14	19:8 20:14	own 11:18 12:9	306:19 307:2,2
ordered 243:21	254:14 256:8	42:3 47:13	15:17 18:15,15	315:8 320:14
orderly 5:21	257:10,11,12	51:13,23 52:4	19:19 20:17	321:4,9 322:6
orders 7:13	262:9 288:20	72:15 118:17	53:14,24 54:1	322:14 323:1
38:18,19 40:22	292:22 293:4,7	121:14,24	104:14 138:21	325:21 326:2
41:1,20	301:16 304:18	125:11 133:10	253:7 265:5	pages 21:9 53:20
ordinance 11:19	309:14 320:10	137:20,22	owned 305:12	103:7,7 225:4
38:4 43:14	324:9 325:7,14	138:4 185:13	owner 38:6	225:10 226:16
57:10,14 63:18	329:18 331:15	191:6 248:15	owns 322:18,20	259:22 261:2
134:2 249:24	334:6	250:15	oxymoron 17:7	262:16 286:11
ordinances	others 128:12	OV 37:13 39:17	200:11	322:1
249:18	160:13 212:8	over 18:20 20:10		paginated
organization	232:11,14	20:20 22:11	<u>P</u>	306:24
15:13,14 54:11	otherwise 52:15	36:4,23 50:8	P 1:11 2:1,1,3,17	paid 210:15
154:17 155:9	134:5	56:18 130:1	package 64:10	212:5 213:18
organized	Ottawa 2:11	135:14 137:14	65:13	219:5,9 247:1
154:23	7:24	150:22 153:5	pads 138:22	247:5 303:7
organizers	ought 119:7	161:12 163:14	page 18:6 68:10	palm 318:12
15:18	out 22:4 37:9	166:11 168:6	68:11,16 73:21	paper 64:1
original 109:10	39:1 46:20	173:2 178:22	74:9,9,21	170:4 253:6
244:23	47:12 52:11	178:22 182:2	78:10 83:3,3	269:9 283:20
originally 241:6	59:8 71:18	185:11 190:9	85:20 86:1,3	par 210:10
other 6:20 7:9	82:17 98:12	190:16 206:3	88:9,12 90:18	paragraph 97:8
7:11,22 15:4	103:23 112:24	208:4 212:11	90:19 92:15,17	223:11
19:9,9 21:5	119:18,19	234:1 278:15	99:2,3 100:14	paralegal
22:5 36:5 40:2	136:2,19 148:3	313:1 330:12	103:5,16,20	207:24
55:11 57:18	148:14 152:3	334:13	104:24 105:15	paramount
65:20 76:10	156:14 167:11	overnight	105:16 106:6,8	150:21
81:19 83:2,5	168:8 169:24	336:14	107:12,21	paraphrasing
86:20 90:23	179:12 180:6	override 55:19	108:7,7 111:14	263:4
102:15 110:10	182:10,21,23	overrule 113:13	111:16 112:15	parcel 305:11
			112:17 113:8	
in-resolves accomplished in the signature of the control of the distribution of the control of t		-	-	-

Pardon 86:22	211:8 261:13	167:11 168:9	153:15	253:4
Parish 3:9 54:17	263:20 264:18	181:22,24	person 30:7	Pizza 281:24
147:20,21	266:4 271:9	182:1 193:18	140:15 165:16	place 13:7 14:15
148:14 154:1,2	particularly	201:3 222:20	168:14,15,16	16:8,9 53:22
154:9 161:3	92:9	223:6 249:16	217:6 222:2	119:5,6 158:9
164:13 168:11	particulars	249:20,24	227:24	190:22
170:19 171:16	236:8	255:21 262:10	personal 7:15	placed 158:12
182:6 184:19	parties 5:24 9:4	263:20,21,21	15:6 80:11,19	158:15 190:20
184:23 193:4,9	9:23 29:14	263:23,24	239:12	190:23
195:14 196:5	31:19 32:6	264:20 272:8	personally	places 245:2
253:22 254:5,6	124:24 147:16	272:14 273:7,9	182:17,22	plainly 16:7
254:9,16,19	193:18	273:17 276:20	191:2 250:6	plaintiff's 39:11
255:2,6,11,13	party 7:9 9:14	302:15 316:20	260:9	plan 223:13
255:16,17,17	9:24 10:8	316:24 317:2,6	personnel 88:7	284:1 313:2
255:18,19	27:21 165:12	317:18 325:19	persons 7:11	plane 159:9
257:17,18	pass 180:6	329:19	petition 11:10	planned 28:15
301:17	314:13,15	per 216:23	26:9 102:6,20	planning 28:16
Parishes 181:21	passed 47:11	217:12,24	109:10 151:15	platform 124:3
Parish's 194:12	153:3 219:8	218:5 219:21	151:17 211:5	247:24
Park 2:5	328:3	220:9,21 225:4	224:15	platforms 174:2
part 15:6 40:15	passing 179:12	314:2	petitioner 1:3	play 312:7
59:4 70:2	passing 175.12	perceived 81:11	2:8,13 4:9,15	play 512.7
72:15 85:4,6	183:9	174:4,8,19	7:8 35:11	54:4
89:9 94:7	past 19:12 77:1	percent 335:16	36:12 90:22,24	pleadings 6:19
98:10,21 102:6	78:5 256:10	perception	Petitioners	6:21,23 38:20
102:17 113:11	pattern 14:23	89:15,17,18	331:4	40:13,22,24
118:11 134:5	15:7 16:14	164:8	petitioner's 4:14	41:12 202:2
138:8 144:24	38:10	perfect 17:19	28:14 39:11	please 28:11
157:15 221:18	Paul 81:9 83:13	100:7	40:8 41:18	39:13 42:11
224:4,14	83:18	perfectly 277:16	151:16 271:1	48:14 67:11
233:13 237:6	pay 211:8 213:7	performance	274:11 285:2	83:8 93:5,19
293:13 237:0	218:23	221:12	332:12	105:15 113:4
323:10 325:5	PC 2:10	performed	petition's 27:16	115:9 117:20
325:24 331:16	PCB 1:5 4:12	91:23 93:13	phase 170:5	129:23 130:4
334:2	40:13 81:16	207:17	phone 15:5 83:7	141:20 144:17
parte 12:4,18	102:6 152:23	perhaps 149:14	129:24 130:6	154:7 172:7
25:17,20	peace 144:3	151:20 179:17	186:3 320:2	174:12 178:6
participants	148:6 255:5	period 20:11	phonetic 127:22	228:2 258:7
12:14	pending 88:18	23:21 81:3	127:23 168:4	259:1 261:3
participate	222:6	169:22 172:20	320:10	267:13 276:16
133:14,24	people 5:12 35:6	195:11 206:11	phony 54:10	280:20 285:22
134:4	51:20,23 57:18	208:18	photo 140:13	299:17 312:9
participated	59:23 80:13	periodically	physical 170:16	325:10 326:16
18:21	81:22 90:23	187:15	physically	327:1 334:9
particular 33:21	100:1,23 128:9	permissible 58:4	243:17	plenty 25:9
36:13 44:9	136:23 139:11	permission	picture 311:8,24	Plocher 15:21
56:12 64:15	145:5 152:9	131:11	312:3,24	16:4 17:10
139:14 148:16	153:7,8 156:8	permitted 26:24	pictures 98:8	52:7 54:12,14
151:5 156:1	160:18 166:23	permitting	piece 177:24	54:20,21,24
		1	1	
	İ		1	I

	I	1	1	1
84:10 157:3	3:10,16 8:3,4,5	281:22 283:5,6	244:2 285:17	115:12 330:17
188:17 315:14	10:21 11:1	283:7,10,17	postdate 36:7	preparing
335:12	24:22 26:14	284:8,9,17,21	postdates 35:17	330:19
Plocher's 158:20	27:2 46:17	285:5 286:9	39:2,2	preprinted
plural 158:13	47:17 48:6,10	287:8,9,18	posted 158:20	130:24
plus 203:6 219:5	48:14,15,18,21	288:8,16 289:1	158:24 271:5	prerequisite
point 7:20 13:22	49:16,22 50:19	289:6,7,16,19	273:14,16	175:19
81:24 96:4	50:21 55:2,4	289:24 290:1	potential 36:11	prerequisites
102:12 103:22	55:10 56:17	290:16,19	209:16	32:8
122:2 130:23	57:16 58:14	293:19,21	poured 20:3	presence 313:9
136:24 142:14	61:17,23 63:9	294:4,5,11	Power 139:4	present 6:15
149:1,4 164:12	63:15 64:3,17	295:19 296:5,6	powerful 33:8	11:11 21:15
167:15 191:22	64:20,23 65:1	296:11 297:4,6	PowerPoint	25:5 28:7
192:3 204:6	65:9,10,19,20	297:16,21,22	108:11,13	46:22 47:3,11
210:18 214:23	66:1 87:21	298:14 314:14	122:16,18,22	48:4 80:16
215:3,5 217:10	88:1,5,24 89:3	320:23 332:20	138:19 139:12	101:21 128:19
221:2,21	109:11 110:16	332:23 333:3	139:16 140:11	131:5 144:20
225:14 245:11	119:17 128:16	Porter's 65:14	144:21,24	146:10,14
268:22 278:5,8	135:6 136:1,10	277:24	145:14	312:9 320:19
278:22 291:24	137:24 139:19	portion 317:21	PowerPoints	presentation
292:10 302:22	139:22 140:7,9	321:13	123:13,15	108:12,13
315:16 325:17	140:23 147:1	portions 31:20	PR 199:6 253:6	122:17,19,22
327:4	148:2,13	133:18	practice 201:5	124:11 130:4
pointed 112:24	153:23,24	pose 61:24	303:22	144:21 145:14
pointedly 24:3	154:6 159:16	posed 289:2	precedent 180:8	presentations
pointing 163:7	159:19 160:21	position 14:2	precedents 36:5	140:12
points 22:5	160:23 163:21	56:14 59:4	precisely 33:18	presented 29:13
139:5 145:8	164:1 165:1,7	78:18 81:11	142:24 153:9	44:17 46:24
252:18	165:19,24	133:7 173:6	predates 36:3	72:21 75:9
poison 35:1	167:3 170:22	180:9 209:8	preface 254:7	93:20 108:1,3
poked 272:9	170:24 171:12	212:15 263:18	prehearing	108:17 110:11
police 14:20	171:13,22	277:8,13	47:15,18 56:16	122:10 123:6
15:1,2	194:18 225:18	positions 200:1	56:19 128:19	124:16 128:21
political 13:2,6	225:20,24	positive 71:24	prejudge 25:16	129:5 133:8
152:10,11	226:6,9 237:19	100:3 200:5,5	prejudged 11:22	136:20 138:19
159:6 290:5	257:20,21	200:8 271:5	prejudgement	138:21 139:5
291:11	258:5 261:17	295:4,7 319:7	13:15 32:21	198:22 199:5
politicians 316:8	261:19,22	positives 295:9	33:8 34:11	246:15 248:15
pollution 1:1,5	262:14 263:6	possession 197:1	prejudice 165:7	252:16,24
2:2 4:7,14,22	266:12,14	possibility 90:14	prejudicial	286:16 323:14
5:15 6:20	267:10,12,14	possible 43:22	12:18	presenter
11:19 43:13	270:21 271:3	87:13 89:10	preliminary 6:5	140:14
134:1 149:9,11	271:14,20	90:4,5 214:16	87:2 89:21	presenting
149:19,21	272:6,22 273:4	possibly 26:5	232:16 321:12	46:21 47:24
150:1,9 152:22	274:2,13	33:15 36:24	321:17	48:11 86:2
232:3	275:23,24	140:17 259:24	premature	125:17 129:8
pond 160:7	276:3,8,16,19	268:16	290:6 323:18	140:15
256:3 257:3	278:10 280:5	post 5:19 6:1	premise 287:20	presents 317:17
Porter 2:7 3:3	280:17 281:6,8	11:13 146:21	prepared 114:24	presided 20:10
	, ,			_
		l	l	I

		<u> </u>	I	1
173:2	125:20	105:13,17	138:2,2 141:18	Prohaska's
presume 9:15	principles 38:12	198:9 245:13	142:15 164:13	265:2 266:6
pretty 60:2	125:21 153:2	305:18	167:10 175:22	project 274:24
106:12 129:5	print 225:10,14	problems 11:7	176:4,19	projects 219:8
131:17 162:18	printed 75:22	11:11	177:11,15	promise 126:13
249:19 311:18	244:1,3	procedural 5:1	187:13 197:16	279:22
330:4	printouts 138:20	5:2 207:11	197:22 198:7	promised 6:5
prevent 45:2	prior 16:19,20	procedure	201:3,15	143:22 253:24
133:11	32:21 34:4	291:20	221:17 222:14	promises 13:6
prevents 29:24	35:11 40:10	procedures 4:23	232:11 239:19	22:23
132:19	43:19 46:5	11:13 66:21	240:6 241:22	prompted 14:20
previous 7:13	173:7,14,17	67:14 90:8	242:18 250:23	proof 12:21 37:2
34:15 48:23	177:16 200:21	proceed 44:2	250:24,24	38:23 39:6,12
56:12 74:21	201:22 205:10	95:13 99:16	251:5,13,14,16	39:22 40:9
77:15 101:11	206:19,20	148:6	291:15 298:9	41:15,21 46:23
137:16 219:7	207:18,23	proceeding	303:14 304:8	48:8 49:2,4,6
previously 41:13	208:18 216:20	22:10 153:6	308:5,12 316:6	49:14,20 50:5
41:21 47:9	283:21 297:15	164:18 232:23	317:16,17	50:19,22 51:4
60:9 65:9	private 163:14	proceedings	321:16,19	53:1,4 55:7,9
66:23 79:8	165:2 167:6	1:10 11:12	325:12	55:12 56:5
99:1 105:12,17	privilege 46:8	13:18 22:6,15	processes 26:22	57:1,2,4,7 58:1
115:11 126:21	48:9 49:3,4	23:24 24:12,16	46:16 58:7	58:8,9,17 59:5
188:21 196:9	118:13,22	37:22 41:20	122:1 149:14	59:8 61:7,19
202:5 203:7	176:20 177:5,8	60:2 102:3	232:14 233:10	62:2 65:23
217:8 249:1	177:11 230:4	146:4 172:23	produce 60:18	66:14,18 70:5
pre-adjudicati	233:13 321:16	246:8 337:8,12	113:2 190:2	71:9 72:15,16
53:13,17	321:21 323:8	process 5:12,13	229:14 232:7	73:11 75:24
pre-application	323:17 324:6	7:14 11:14	243:20	77:15 85:5,7
128:17	329:2	13:19 18:22,24	produced 135:7	95:13 96:4,12
Price 18:16 20:6	privileged	19:5,11 20:7	136:2 201:20	98:23 117:16
21:15 23:14	201:18 212:22 227:21,23	21:16 25:21	232:18,24	117:17 119:18
27:6,11 80:15 104:6,10 113:9	229:7 230:3	27:13,14,15,15 27:18 32:15	244:10 245:7	119:20 120:6 120:12 136:6
114:3 131:2,15	pro 128:24		259:23	
191:22 192:12	158:3	34:11 46:3,8,9 46:19 47:22	production 136:1 190:3	138:7,11
198:2 205:16	probably 37:6	48:9 49:3 51:3	products 256:1	139:23 140:2,5
205:18,20	47:15 88:11	51:13 56:7,9	products 230.1	230:1,5,9 233:12,18
203.18,20	125:1 144:1	58:19,21 59:1	12:12 20:14	234:16 237:7
228:17,20	171:8 182:1	59:17,22 60:6	professionally	238:19 239:10
247:16 285:12	186:2 223:9,21	60:12 62:10	244:2,3	242:21 243:3,5
326:5,5,15	245:4 249:4	63:8 76:9,13	professionals	285:3 289:20
327:23	251:8,10	91:14 112:8	21:22	289:22 290:13
primarily	275:13 278:7	115:5 116:23	profit 300:15	290:15,17
266:23	278:20 291:5,9	117:11 120:24	303:4	324:11,14
primary 14:21	311:18 312:1	121:13 125:12	program 29:24	325:6 326:1 =
15:18 54:15,21	317:7 325:17	130:23 132:18	progress 13:9	327:9 328:1
principal 10:5	probative 33:1	130:23 132:18	Prohaska	332:12 333:16
15:12	problem 59:20	133:11 134:6	127:23 174:6	335:7
principals	61:4,4,5 62:19	137:19,23	208:15 264:16	proofs 61:13
L	01.1,1,0 02.19	10,110,000	200.15 20 1.10	P. 00.13
			<u> </u>	

(5.17	29:24	62.12.12.19.10	206.17.202.16	109.6 200.4 7
65:17		63:12,13,18,19	296:17 302:16	198:6 200:4,7
propaganda	propriety 261:1	69:22,24 70:22	purse 132:2,3	204:8 212:19
253:4	Protect 91:5	79:20 90:11	push 269:7	212:23 213:2
proper 5:23	protected 152:4	131:9 132:18	put 23:9 25:8	213:14 217:9
14:15 25:11	152:12	133:1,22,24	57:13,14 61:3	218:11 219:17
74:24 108:7	protection 4:24	137:16 143:22	61:9 65:16	220:14,24
167:13 232:22	27:7 245:3	144:6 145:1	118:10 133:6	221:21 222:5
305:24	protections 87:6	146:8 147:23	152:2 161:23	228:2 229:16
properties	152:6	148:5,8,10,14	162:3,4,6	232:15 233:4
198:18	protective 91:12	148:18 149:1	164:14 248:2	233:20 238:16
property 31:24	protects 151:23	151:18,22	250:16,18	240:16 261:12
32:4,6 35:21	proudly 263:12	152:15 153:21	256:4 292:22	262:3 271:17
43:11 70:14,16	263:17	159:12 165:4	296:14 302:7	276:4,13
93:9 160:5	prove 24:18	173:7,17	317:19 318:21	277:24 280:1
175:16 179:5	33:24 34:11	174:19 199:7	327:22,23	280:16,20,21
191:9,16	96:11 176:24	254:1,4,4,18	puts 59:6,14	281:1 283:9,13
255:24,24	177:9	267:2,4,5	P.O 2:6	285:15,22
267:22,23	proven 17:18	268:16 274:22	Q	287:6,12,17
270:13,19	100:6	275:1,19 277:2		288:4,22,24
279:11 292:13	provide 29:22	293:3 296:14	qualifications	289:5,21
301:23 305:11	35:7 37:7	302:4,9 308:3	201:7	294:15 295:15
305:12 307:13	46:22 89:21	308:8 309:16	qualify 65:8	295:24 297:14
318:17	134:5 231:24	330:17 336:12	168:9	298:2,15,20
proposal 13:11	provided 29:8	337:21	quality 290:4	305:5 306:9
13:14 14:8,9	29:18,21 30:5	publication	291:8	307:9,18,22
14:15 15:20	30:21 31:1,6	270:4 283:22	quasi-judicial	309:1,1,6
19:13,22 20:5	31:11 152:6	283:23 288:12	153:5	313:11 314:6
79:6 80:12	153:4 244:21	publicity 98:11	question 17:15	318:16 321:11
216:22 266:24	245:1,3 264:10	publicized	46:11 53:3	321:12 322:11
propose 106:24	285:19	133:23	59:12 66:6	323:17 324:17
107:17,21	provides 48:2	public's 277:12	67:3 68:19,22	325:10 327:11
116:12	279:6 280:6	publish 170:13	69:12,13 71:23	327:17 328:20
proposed 13:18	proving 221:22	284:11	74:4 75:11	328:22
17:24 20:19	provocation	published 18:6	77:6,23 78:4	questionable
35:20 70:10	165:4	259:8 283:20	78:22 82:10	83:14,16
73:13 79:21	provoked	284:13	88:17 90:2	questioned
106:21 110:6	164:15	publishes 94:22	95:14 96:4,14	104:1 145:16
114:18,20,22	proximity	pulled 260:6	100:2,9 113:4	192:5
115:2,7 116:7	158:16 190:21	punished 89:6	117:16,20	questioning
116:19,20	191:1	purported 24:8	118:19,21	26:24 27:1
117:23 156:19	pro-landfill	purports 94:10	120:6,7,12,12	50:20 51:10
163:11 175:15	317:2	95:20 282:24	121:15 138:3,6	54:8 57:2 58:2
238:23 261:10	prudent 81:22	purpose 32:13	141:20 142:12	58:4 113:16
262:1 267:21	public 1:15 5:4	141:14 162:22	150:9 151:5	125:5 139:1
268:16,17	5:5 11:13 13:8	176:9 203:3	153:12 173:20	167:13 194:17
284:2	23:21 31:14,14	242:14 243:5	173:22 174:12	221:4 232:9,22
proposing 107:9	31:15 32:12	260:16,18	176:7,15	243:6
107:14 108:7	33:3 34:23	267:23 268:6	177:10,11	questions 7:7
proprietary	51:21 52:4,16	295:11,20	178:3 183:12	22:6,21 23:16
			184:5,9 187:10	
	•		•	•

	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	I	1
43:17 56:4,6	329:10 330:14	285:21,23	reassured 86:5	receiving 82:13
58:15 59:16,17	330:14	289:8,10,11	Rebecca 1:12	recently 42:20
61:24 119:9	races 315:9	305:6 311:18	154:3 172:3	recognize 195:6
124:21 129:22	radical 160:16	324:16,19	174:11 271:16	recollect 162:9
130:3,11	160:17,19	328:22,23	285:21 289:9	recollection 69:4
145:10 181:8	168:6,8	reader 265:1,11	337:5,15	88:13,16 92:19
219:15 238:17	raffle 155:13,16	readers 264:3	rebuttal 253:20	92:22 107:13
242:9 278:3	193:11,12	265:17	253:24 254:12	136:5 179:18
283:5,7 294:3	raise 42:6 172:2	readily 232:20	recall 44:5 82:24	180:17 262:7
297:15 310:3	250:2 255:22	reading 55:3	89:9 92:2,4	275:13 276:7
311:13 312:13	raised 22:7 47:8	107:19 121:3,4	114:4 142:24	311:22
321:1 329:22	50:4,15 56:17	222:23 266:12	173:23 179:8,8	recollections
330:3	128:23 138:8	326:16	179:9 180:13	240:22
quick 149:17	150:3 282:2	reads 236:13	180:21 181:2	recommend
223:17 312:13	raising 249:17	238:14 280:13	182:15 183:1,5	192:18 229:22
329:12	ran 15:22 54:24	280:13	183:15 184:2	250:18
quickly 18:22	84:8 136:23	ready 42:1	186:12 197:8	recommendati
163:24 180:5	157:22 199:8	335:13,17	202:7 208:19	12:8 104:9
234:21 251:17	311:15 316:10	real 180:5	228:10,15,19	292:15
305:16,22	rare 151:12	234:20	229:17 264:9	recommendati
332:3	rather 5:15 12:2	realize 89:1	267:24 268:21	18:14,18 20:19
Quid 128:24	13:9 14:14	really 57:19	268:24 271:7	23:17 111:24
quiet 145:4	17:24 18:1,13	63:22,22 71:14	273:8 283:21	recommended
quite 70:11 74:1	18:17 21:3	96:18 129:13	286:5,13,18	23:15 112:4,10
79:14 104:12	23:6,19 103:11	152:20 158:4	291:24 294:19	192:12
115:10 148:20	145:3 335:15	162:11 168:14	295:5,16 296:9	recommending
249:14 311:21	RCX 3:5	223:18 232:16	301:3 302:23	203:4 228:21
322:5	RDX 3:5	251:4 286:5	304:23 305:13	229:2
quo 129:1	reach 222:14,17	298:9 308:3	308:7,20,24	record 4:1 5:3
quotation 316:3	222:19	reannexation	309:4 311:11	5:17,22 12:3
316:4	reached 288:10	32:6 93:9	312:8 317:11	16:21 17:23
quote 15:9 17:4	reaching 23:20	179:11	317:20 325:18	22:15,20 23:13
17:8 69:10	37:1	reason 45:8	328:9,10,12	23:23 24:17
262:9 314:4,6	read 9:19 26:16	50:18 56:20	recalls 94:19	28:8,8 40:5
315:24	46:10,12 88:21	66:19 69:10,20	121:6	46:12 47:2
quoted 34:21	97:1,6 98:5	76:17,20 77:11	receipt 24:15	50:10 51:23
86:1 223:4,7	107:2,6 113:3	77:12 94:5	receive 25:13	52:19 53:7
313:22 315:23	113:5 114:19	142:22 169:8	79:5,19 114:2	58:11,17 60:1
quotes 262:9	132:12 141:19	199:10 224:15	received 15:5	63:4,13,19,19
quoting 199:24	141:21 149:17	261:19 322:9	25:3 27:13	65:3,5,7,12,17
	174:12,14	328:17	33:9 51:12,16	65:21 66:12
R	196:20 211:2	reasonable 21:2	52:8 79:10,22	73:16 76:15
R 2:1 42:9 125:8	223:5,6,9	150:23	80:3,4,7,10,19	94:11 95:21
141:2,2 154:5	228:2,3 233:3	reasoning 40:1	81:9 82:22	96:3 102:7,17
161:1 170:23	233:5 236:7	215:9	83:6 111:17,23	105:4 108:16
170:23 172:5	243:10 247:17	reasons 23:5	127:20 130:9	113:5 118:11
246:10 258:4	269:9 271:17	39:1,4 41:13	142:23 245:4	118:17 119:4,6
290:24 293:20	271:18 279:12	62:22,22 105:2	282:11 285:6	119:15 120:24
293:20 297:24	283:10,12,14	251:3 316:10	286:2,2	121:14,16
297:24 299:14				
	l	1	1	ı

123:18,21	referring 21:19	196:10 227:15	177:4	319:4,5
124:23 125:24	74:20 96:22	238:5 277:8	reliable 81:18	remembering
132:12 141:21	105:9 124:14	310:10 322:17	relied 104:20	121:5
145:1,15 146:6	235:20 263:8	regardless 90:21	rely 33:19 290:8	remembers
150:13 152:16	264:4 266:16	264:20 294:8	remain 8:2	45:13 92:22
152:24 154:8	297:3 301:14	regular 220:6	10:11	removal 191:20
165:8 167:5	301:15 322:22	227:17 228:16	remaining	remove 14:21
171:18,20,22	refers 223:11	252:24	151:12	104:1
174:14 196:21	reflect 50:10	regulations	remark 195:3	removed 167:4
197:6 220:19	reflected 159:12	150:23	remember 43:7	186:17 187:11
221:22 224:5	209:9 216:21	reject 151:14	44:7,10,16,20	renegotiate
228:3 230:10	217:21 219:18	related 13:3,6	44:23 45:5,15	180:10,20,22
232:18 233:5	220:3 236:15	13:18 32:16	45:19 51:9	renegotiated
240:8 241:22	236:18,21,24	77:16 137:22	67:18,21 71:20	32:3
241:24 242:4	237:3	177:23 206:8	73:18 78:11	renew 43:16
242:13 244:6	reflects 22:1	207:18 244:8,9	79:9 80:3,18	56:12 85:3
247:17 248:15	refresh 88:13	266:22 292:13	83:1 84:14,18	201:22
254:11,12	107:13 179:17	292:22	84:20 85:5,21	reopen 330:2,8
257:24 258:2,6	180:16	relates 86:12	86:10 90:5,19	repaid 212:12
271:18 283:14	refreshed 88:17	164:3,4 260:9	90:19 92:7	repeat 78:4
285:23 289:11	93:2,2	relating 22:13	93:1,7,11,19	117:19 201:16
299:10,12	refreshes 69:3	relation 156:19	94:2 95:23	233:16 278:1
307:11 324:19	refreshing 92:19	relations 199:7	98:3 101:21	325:10 334:17
328:23 331:16	92:21	222:18	103:9,10,15,19	repeatedly
334:16 336:8	refuse 96:9	relationship	104:17 105:1	34:23 201:14
336:10,12	refused 52:13,17	13:22 20:13	106:8,11,15,18	repetitive 242:5
recordings 6:14	52:18 57:9,12	201:19	107:3,8 108:6	242:8
records 31:14	57:13,20 94:3	relatively	108:14 111:10	rephrase 75:2
31:15 40:15	94:5 95:1,3	305:22	122:18,21	76:22 90:1
79:2 121:17	126:17,18	relayed 306:3	123:1 124:5	93:5 207:13
122:14 221:10	199:1	release 196:9	126:2 127:1,3	261:3 266:13
recross 143:16	regard 19:15	197:5	128:7 138:24	267:13 276:16
red 143:13	29:15 80:2	released 198:13	143:2 176:14	report 1:10 15:2
reduce 290:4	81:1 142:23	relevance 6:22	179:10,15	18:14,18 20:18
reduced 15:9	143:1 149:1	6:24 31:13	181:22 186:1,2	27:5,5 111:18
reelected 42:21	152:2 157:4	34:2,9 43:17	187:1,9,10,14	111:24 112:19
reelection 80:23	205:2,15	82:5 86:19,24	187:16,19	113:9,10 194:3
refer 11:9	313:23 317:15	163:24 177:7	189:16 193:6	194:6 195:9
reference 93:12	regarding 6:9	194:11 195:15	196:23 198:10	203:4 216:10
112:10 220:18	7:10 46:18	202:22 284:5	208:12,16,20	228:20 229:1,5
292:12,12	51:10 55:8	334:11 335:1	208:21 209:1	229:9,22
326:4,7	56:7 65:8 79:6	relevancy	217:19 222:23	230:12 285:12
referenced	80:11 81:10	128:17 163:22	223:3,9 227:16	326:4 329:3
55:13	89:10 111:18	164:5,10	$247:2\ 248:20$	reported 54:5
references	111:24 121:13	relevant 33:1	252:8 286:20	98:17 100:4
123:21	122:14 124:17	35:5 36:24	300:2,21 306:4	263:11 271:8
referred 91:8	130:3 137:10	38:9 43:22	306:20 311:7	272:7 319:8,11
113:9,10	138:9 143:12	81:22 164:17	311:12 317:9	319:23 337:8
145:14	158:1 177:15	167:12,14	317:15 318:24	reporter 1:13
	100.11,7,10	10,,12,1	317.13.310.21	
	1			

		-		
29:12,15 30:6	245:8	111:3,11,11	resulted 62:11	80:12,18,20
30:13,18 42:7	requested 46:13	112:10,14,18	retain 200:20	84:12 90:4,11
71:22 99:20,24	113:6 141:22	113:9 114:6,24	retained 19:3	90:16 91:9
196:15 324:16	174:15 201:21	115:7 116:20	22:18	93:7,11 95:2
337:6	228:4 233:6	117:4 118:1,3	retired 154:13	96:24 98:11
reporter's 200:7	271:19 283:15	118:6,10,17	retract 274:8	99:10 101:6,19
reporting 126:4	285:24 289:12	119:3,24	retraction	102:2 103:19
262:11	324:20 328:24	198:22 199:2,4	101:13 223:20	104:7,15
reports 20:17	requesting	199:14 221:22	retrieve 92:5	105:14 107:12
23:14 53:19	148:24	231:15,16,18	return 202:4	107:20 109:12
112:4,10,14	required 53:12	232:16 234:2,6	returned 143:1	107:20 103:12
114:3,3 120:21	60:21 113:1	234:21 235:12	201:21	116:13 127:7
285:11 326:7	150:18 233:1	235:16,18	reversed 23:8	127:17,19
327:24	requirement	236:1,12	24:20 232:4	136:24 137:13
represent	232:7 285:18	237:12,16	review 5:17,18	137:17 141:10
148:23 205:21	requirements	238:5,9 240:24	11:4,10 21:7	141:11 142:16
265:13	260:7	241:6 252:19	23:13 102:6,20	142:17 143:17
representation	requires 26:19	252:19,22,23	133:18 146:14	143:24 145:22
313:16 332:6	requires 20.19 requiring 62:11	252:19,22,25	211:6 224:15	145:23 147:22
332:11 333:9	requiring 02.11	286:15 328:3,5	273:17 286:19	143.23 147.22
333:10	19:20 124:10	•		154:20 155:6
1	124:14,18	328:7,7 resolutions	291:19,20,22 332:2	155:18,21
representative	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	109:4 110:10	reviewed 6:9	,
9:14,16,17 10:4 221:24	137:14,17,20			156:2,6,14
1	138:4,13,16	230:18,21 253:1 328:11	86:4,5 111:17 111:23 175:2	157:1,21 158:17 159:8
representatives 164:15 200:17	140:3,6 211:6		207:20 211:12	162:21 168:8
	248:14,15 286:23 287:19	respect 56:14 57:8	211:23 267:17	171:21 172:2
represented				
119:3 125:1 189:13 205:18	researching	respectfully 10:9 230:14	reviewing 333:21	175:12 177:3 184:17 185:21
205:20	105:4,5,7 138:18	231:2	revise 237:16	186:4 191:5,17
1	resentment		revisions 237:12	196:3 198:3,8
representing 8:6 8:22 11:1	21:14	responded 79:1 145:10 275:21	238:6	190.3 198.3,8
128:4	residences 15:6	296:15,18	revisit 6:16 7:18	201:16 203:18
l	resident 291:4	·	37:6 72:15	201:10 203:18
represents 232:17 321:13	residents 193:23	respondent 1:7 2:18 4:10	102:14	
l i				207:19,21 209:17 210:19
reprinted 96:16	resign 185:10	response 104:5	revote 251:22	212:12 214:17
reproduction 30:1	resigned 160:15 303:10	190:3 200:3,6 244:17	re-offering 224:16	216:9 218:3,5
	resolution 22:11	responses 6:9	RICHARD 2:7	222:14 223:7
republican	22:13 44:11,13	rest 10:11 57:16	Rich 8:5 10:24	224:1 225:10
157:10,10	,		t	
republicans 157:6	52:13 60:19	194:14 213:17 213:20 326:21	right 7:21 9:5,24 39:9 42:6 43:5	226:14,17,17
	62:8,17 64:3,8		1	229:3,15
reputation 100:22	93:20 94:4,17	333:24	45:5 49:23 60:10 64:2	231:21,22 233:10 234:17
	94:19,23,24	restate 178:5 327:16	66:3 68:12	
request 11:3	95:7 96:8 98:3			234:23 235:14
21:1 29:13	98:6,14,17	restaurant	70:9,14 71:14	236:19 237:10 238:16 247:11
40:16 136:2	108:19,21	170:11	73:1,5 77:22	247:12 249:6
190:3,10,10,14	109:1,7,21	result 23:7 165:3 324:2	77:24 78:10,14	
244:20,23	110:1,8,13,23	103.3 324.2	79:13,17 80:4	252:11,17

	ı	1	1	1
253:18 257:16	Robyn 3:15	59:13,18 60:8	100:2,6 123:3	240:22 281:20
257:19 258:23	17:14 18:5	60:13 61:12,15	200:4,7,10	285:15 294:17
259:10,23	84:11 136:22	rules 4:23 5:1,2	261:23 319:6	313:6 318:18
263:15,20	244:21,24	49:22,22 81:16	SAITH 336:20	337:6
265:9,18	245:2 257:21	103:23,24	same 28:20 30:2	scant 19:10
266:19 267:7	258:8 270:11	150:23	30:12 38:24	scenario 17:20
268:17 269:8	279:9	ruling 6:14,17	40:2,6,7 41:10	100:8
270:2,14 271:6	Rockford 2:6	6:23 31:18	41:12 49:8,8	schedule 153:20
271:11 273:17	role 132:19	38:19 39:22	89:22 95:10	153:21
274:24 275:7	298:7 330:19	40:10 41:11	99:5 107:21	scheduled 4:21
275:10 277:9	Ron 154:9	46:19 47:1,21	110:11 116:21	school 18:16
277:17 279:23	195:14 196:5	47:21 49:1,8	121:11,20	131:15 162:6
280:8 281:14	255:17,18	49:18 55:6,11	130:2 176:7	167:22 169:10
282:3,6 284:3	301:17	60:16,17 64:5	220:22 221:1	182:12 198:2
294:18 295:1	Ronald 3:9	64:23 229:11	233:13 237:15	316:23
295:13 300:10	153:24 182:6	229:13 230:14	245:13 247:19	scope 58:3
300:12,13	room 140:18	231:2,4 245:16	247:21 258:21	121:24 125:2
302:6 303:19	203:24 250:16	245:20	266:8,16	164:9 204:16
304:2,17 305:7	253:22 254:2,7	rulings 7:2	284:15 289:13	205:11,13
307:1,10	263:12 299:1	38:17 40:13,19	296:8 298:16	206:7 244:23
309:17,19	Rose 3:6 13:23	41:1,12,19	sarcastic 231:9	294:3 330:6
310:22 311:15	17:16 42:1,12	49:13	sat 30:7 268:5	Scott 197:7
311:20 312:2	68:8 74:15	run 28:19,22	satisfied 151:3	screamed 168:8
312:24 314:12	92:6 97:13	70:9 124:2	saw 47:9 73:14	scrubbed 278:8
314:21 315:22	176:6 231:22	156:5,8 175:15	86:8 108:23	291:24 292:10
322:21 323:12	235:10 247:17	181:9 235:3	111:3,7 158:19	seat 154:3
325:15 330:24	248:13,14	247:23 291:2	158:23 264:1	seated 8:2
332:15 333:17	268:21 271:10	293:4 300:1	283:18,21	second 23:2 27:5
333:23 334:2,4	271:24 272:9	running 52:5	291:7 309:16	34:2 67:17
335:3 336:15	272:13,20	80:23 100:1	329:13	99:3 100:14
rights 26:8	273:6,8	137:3 155:22	saying 57:10	113:8 114:8
150:8,16 151:4	Roth 104:15,21	157:6,8,13	62:17 83:17,19	130:1 142:7
151:8	104:22 214:1	187:24 227:1	86:2,10 88:2	149:20 162:16
risks 19:14	215:18 216:14	253:10 256:15	90:20 106:11	166:7,10
rivaled 20:15	216:17,20	282:23 284:14	106:16,18	171:18 195:24
river 255:24	217:11,14,22	290:10 293:8	121:6 129:9	202:24 204:15
road 2:10,20	218:15 221:13	315:13 318:13	131:6 139:11	213:23 214:1
32:3 35:19	238:4 243:12	329:19	140:16,19	214:10 237:8
69:22 70:1,9	roundtrip 193:9	Runtle 168:4	162:16 169:16	240:14 266:5
70:18,21 76:6	Route 74:19,21		209:19,21	299:10 303:13
77:2 78:7,21	76:6 77:2 78:6	<u>S</u>	210:3 217:13	328:13
89:12 90:9,16	78:20 154:11	S 2:1,7 125:8,8	236:2 239:4	Secondly 35:15
91:9 129:6	routine 219:20	161:1,1 246:10	313:23 315:23	232:14
133:16 148:21	219:23	246:10 290:24	317:20	seconds 23:15
175:12,15	rule 5:20 10:1	290:24 297:24	says 6:18 43:21	330:3
183:10 192:13	38:13 41:16	297:24 329:10	64:19 92:22	secret 19:8
roads 159:3	122:1	329:10	132:14 177:14	section 24:7
roadway 75:6	ruled 40:3 41:21	sabotage 19:13	203:11 219:22	26:19 104:21
Robert 255:15	49:11 51:1	safe 17:5,6,13,18	231:17 240:21	146:17 195:21
		54:23 71:24		
L	Contact to the Artifact Contact Contac		Estructivo ca minarte atrosica in en constaining a data contrapión o rindo-minar da la liverancia.	

	1	I		I
216:13	sent 224:13	210:6 232:18	278:13 282:18	simple 119:13
Sections 5:1	240:20	237:12 251:23	306:11 314:8	270:6 271:21
sector 296:14	sentence 26:16	259:17 270:6	318:4	279:7 281:11
see 10:22 35:9	280:24 281:5	275:14 278:3	showed 170:10	281:13 322:12
49:1 52:10	sentiment 44:23	279:7 280:7	170:10 188:19	simply 18:8
59:15 68:12,18	sentiments 17:1	281:11 322:16	193:20,20	22:17 24:6
73:22 74:3,15	33:4 79:20	323:3	shows 22:6,10	245:9
74:17 75:5,10	321:14	shake 164:13	22:16 62:8	simultaneously
75:12 78:14	separate 13:19	shame 158:4	117:4 252:6	117:23
96:1 106:8	34:7 61:1	share 157:24	315:7	since 20:15
107:22 110:23	separated	158:3	shrugged 126:12	40:14 47:3
111:16,20	221:13	sharing 124:24	shut 147:24	50:6 81:18
112:2 114:6,12	September	sheets 330:16,20	162:17	97:11 130:20
124:9 136:18	28:16 29:3,6	shocked 103:11	side 9:17 26:17	130:21 134:18
150:24 170:5	32:11 43:4,9	short 19:23	163:14 169:15	174:19 205:15
180:4,11 184:9	66:9 141:9	209:7 314:18	291:11 317:18	256:14 289:20
191:7 197:7	142:13 175:4,8	330:2	317:18	323:1
199:22 201:1,4	179:3 181:14	shorthand 1:13	sides 320:17	single 150:14
208:6 214:5,13	306:18	30:6 337:8,10	sideshow 152:18	sir 8:23 10:18
225:7 226:15	September/Oc	shortly 53:21	sighting 150:13	28:10 144:4
226:18 237:11	81:3	71:21,22	150:17	145:17 148:7,9
238:3,7 240:21	series 330:2	129:16 293:23	sign 52:13 57:9	153:19 155:10
245:12 250:17	seriously 94:8	301:22 319:1	57:13 94:3	158:12 166:6
254:5 265:24	served 16:16	326:2	95:3,19 96:9	216:2 231:12
266:7,20	54:15	shoulders	97:12,13 98:14	236:17 254:11
269:21,23	service 21:23	126:13	158:13,15	318:6
305:6 315:4,8	107:15 116:8	should've 239:5	199:1,19	sit 9:15 145:2
315:9,14 323:4	118:2 273:20	show 11:6,17,20	250:14,16	147:22 205:22
329:18	services 20:14	13:10,17,21	252:7 272:8	207:19
seeing 95:23	200:20 201:14	14:4,13,22	signed 62:7,17	site 4:14 132:22
111:11 236:2	212:12	15:2,10,16	111:9	141:15 149:24
seek 214:19	session 192:16	16:3,10,13,18	significant 21:8	284:3
seem 212:16	251:19 313:11	18:10 19:6,6	24:11	sited 45:2 215:2
291:21	set 20:1 39:1,4	19:16,23 20:3	signing 12:4	251:21
seems 26:11	47:14 133:4	20:8,16,24	19:5 20:6	siting 1:6 11:19
48:15	149:16 150:18	21:10,13,17,24	signs 158:10,13	13:11,19 14:5
seen 19:5 86:7	153:20,21	22:21 23:1,10	158:20,24	14:16 16:8,16
99:18 108:19	160:1 192:23	23:19 24:1,5	159:1,5,6	16:19 18:21
110:8,13,19	222:1 259:19	24:12 25:1,2,4	190:18,20,21	19:11 20:21
135:23 159:5	sets 150:6	25:12,19,22	190:22,24	24:20 34:4,6
180:7 202:14	seven 4:18 6:8	26:2 27:12	191:1,5 248:2	34:10 43:14,19
291:6	42:24 64:10	38:9 63:4	248:3 253:1,2	46:6 82:3,4,6
segment 149:17	several 12:5	84:22_94:9	262:19,23	108:20 110:5
segregate	15:3,17,19	99:19 110:16	263:9,9,13,16	125:23 126:20
206:11	21:1 22:4	131:12 152:13	263:21,22	130:23 134:1
sending 18:1	33:15 50:21	179:16 194:8	silence 167:11	137:19,23
senior 43:8	51:15 123:2	195:14 201:10	silent 317:3	149:6 150:14
sense 21:13	160:2 193:18	215:22 221:15	similar 237:15	150:19 151:9
138:4 207:9,11	201:6 208:20	231:14 249:15	247:16	152:17 175:20

1				······································
176:10 177:22	28:13 30:2	someone 5:7	296:16 297:10	79:3,5 82:10
178:12,15	33:2 35:22	57:14 65:21	327:16 328:21	94:9 95:18,19
179:6 211:4	36:11 54:18	128:6 156:5,8	332:20	98:24 99:14
231:16 247:5	57:1,13 59:20	167:9	sort 57:13	103:4 106:1
247:14 248:16	65:16 68:10	something 50:2	130:24	109:7,23
249:10 261:1	80:11,13,22	53:1 72:13	sorts 13:22	110:18 113:17
267:22 308:5	84:16 89:21	81:19 97:22,24	sound 72:12	115:8 117:18
sitting 16:17	90:10 94:6	128:8 152:20	100:21 219:2	120:19 125:10
87:22 177:21	106:21,24	156:5 166:15	300:12	129:4 131:20
329:18	119:5 123:14	169:15 171:7	sounded 173:13	135:4 138:12
situation 25:18	125:11 130:23	177:9 190:11	sounds 48:18	138:24 140:10
situations 77:21	130:24 136:24	197:12,18	197:12,18	142:4 143:18
six 4:18 6:8,11	139:14,14	232:18 244:11	241:2,7,10	176:7,15 180:9
19:12 25:9	146:7 150:2	250:24 251:20	300:13	198:1 223:16
37:8 38:1	152:9 155:22	252:16 261:14	South 337:16	225:3 231:22
72:19 138:22	158:17 160:15	282:24 284:5	so-called 12:5	235:10 247:17
239:16 244:18	164:16 180:6,8	317:7 324:23	span 79:15	248:13,14
Sixteen 202:11	188:10 190:20	sometime	spanned 19:12	268:21 271:10
202:12 334:13	190:24 192:3,8	127:18 156:23	speak 80:14	271:24 272:9
Sixty-two 322:7	192:9 196:7	198:14 335:23	129:21 130:5	272:13,20
size 225:5,14	198:9 207:2	sometimes 249:8	151:8 153:8,22	273:6 277:5
sized 138:22	231:24 234:3	250:2	156:13 163:16	278:5 292:17
sketch 129:6	243:16,24	somewhat 50:12	167:19 168:1	spec 117:24
skipping 71:12	244:2,4 247:1	61:13 149:2	182:23 183:3,7	122:22
slate 15:15	248:10,19	188:6	183:8,13	special 66:21
16:22 55:1	250:22 251:13	somewhere 9:20	249:21,24	116:16 191:23
84:7 293:4,12	251:14 252:8	126:15 162:10	259:1 264:1	205:16
sleep 21:5	259:24 260:4,5	250:15 300:23	274:16,23	specific 24:6
336:13	262:8,15	sorry 8:4 29:4	275:2 278:21	45:16 52:12
Sleepy 35:19	263:10 264:15	34:15 48:10	speaker 35:14	65:22 77:10
69:21 70:1,8	269:15,15	54:8 63:11	speaking 167:11	84:20 109:4
70:18,21 89:11	270:1 273:18	76:21 85:2	182:10 317:9	111:11 115:13
90:9 91:9	278:5 291:24	88:6 100:9	327:2,3	115:17 143:8
175:12,14	292:10 294:6,8	102:16 127:14	speaks 120:24	179:9 208:22
183:10 192:13	302:5,20	132:9 134:7	233:8 241:22	223:21 262:16
slides 139:16	303:14,16	136:11 139:21	241:24 242:13	317:11
slim 18:12	308:3 309:24	140:4 148:19	spearheaded	specifically 6:8
slower 278:1	311:21 313:17	181:7 185:14	13:1	44:22 103:10
small 50:18	316:22 325:16	186:5,23 202:9	Spears 3:6 8:17	184:24 187:14
225:10,17	325:17 331:4	205:6 207:7	13:24 14:16	197:8 217:6
smooth 50:17	331:24 334:6	209:4 211:17	16:4 17:16	228:17 229:18
snapping 98:8	somebody 132:7	215:12 220:12	21:18 42:2,6	273:21 302:16
snippets 6:12	162:18 169:13	228:1 233:4	42:12,13 52:12	304:16
software 29:24	222:18,19	237:20 248:10	53:5,14 54:3,6	specification
solicited 52:3	248:8 251:4	= 253:21 259:2	54:9 57:8,9,12	235:11
209:10	255:7	263:2,5 265:22	57:18,20,21	specifying 62:21
solid 56:15	somebody's	271:9,16 273:2	62:14 66:6,18	speculating 45:3
some 7:20 17:3	169:18	276:17 283:11	68:2 71:20	speculation 7:10
19:9 25:17	somehow 164:7	284:21 291:16	75:24 76:4	7:17 211:15,22
			ı	

212:1 272:2	107:14 114:7	162:4 165:18	statute 69:23	290:11
speech 151:4	124:13 180:4	166:16,20	72:24 90:8	stopping 286:22
152:6	216:23 225:8	167:9 173:7,15	113:1 151:18	storage 114:15
Spell 144:16	226:19 241:18	173:17 180:14	153:3	235:12
spend 219:3	starts 203:16	199:14,15,15	statutes 91:3	story 317:18
spilling 92:16	315:9	199:19 225:7	198:7 206:2	319:2 320:18
spoke 54:6,9	state 1:14 17:6	252:5,7,11	251:8	straight 159:4
142:8 168:3,4	17:13 42:11	254:4,18,20	stay 148:17,18	strange 18:23
182:21 188:16	69:23 70:23	255:6 261:13	227:12 335:13	strategies 214:3
250:22 273:8	71:5,23,24	264:21,24	steel 108:9	214:11
281:23 282:5,8	90:8 91:2,22	274:18 278:4	122:23	strategy 197:5
302:15 303:14	100:2 113:8	278:18 282:22	step 143:18	198:17 199:6
303:16 304:7	120:19,22	282:24 295:11	148:14 149:14	Street 281:23
317:13	121:15 133:4,6	295:16,21	253:19 255:12	337:16
sponsored	133:7 144:2,14	296:2,5,6,9,17	298:22 331:1	strength 243:7
155:16 182:12	148:12 154:7	296:24 297:2,6	steps 262:18	stricken 167:4
spot 195:20	172:7 195:9	304:20 313:18	stick 70:22	strictly 164:7
spring 14:2	197:9 200:4,7	314:2,7 316:5	241:5 251:9	strident 13:13
198:14	200:9,10 206:2	318:23 319:12	sticklers 251:5	strike 158:9
SS 337:1	241:19 255:5	322:15 323:6	still 35:18,20,21	258:20 260:17
stack 33:16	258:6 273:3	336:13	46:7 71:8	260:22 264:14
staff 12:9,11,12	299:16 303:22	statements 3:2	78:19 118:22	267:15 273:11
18:15 20:18	318:15 319:6	5:4,4 7:7,21	139:22 145:15	325:12
111:18 201:5	337:1	33:8,18,21	176:3 209:2	strong 33:4
205:20 228:21	stated 5:3 18:7	35:2,6 36:12	231:2 232:21	structure 59:7
326:5	49:15 53:7	36:13 55:18,20	234:16,17	61:6
stage 20:2	58:11 69:9	56:8 137:16	237:6 238:8,18	structured
stand 91:1 255:5	97:22,24	138:8 150:7	243:14 253:19	145:8
265:5	139:16 143:11	151:24 152:11	253:22 254:12	stuff 71:17
standard 108:10	206:19 268:9	247:21 261:9	290:16 292:19	177:23 223:6
192:23 234:12	270:4 284:24	264:15 266:6	299:1 303:18	subject 31:23,24
standards	287:7 331:15	272:10 290:8	315:17 321:18	32:22 37:24
114:16 133:4	333:11	294:7 296:14	321:20 325:5	144:7,9 150:23
standing 166:21	statement 5:8	296:20 304:24	325:22,24	151:4 202:1
263:12	26:13,18 35:13	308:3 309:5	327:8 335:6	205:17 245:12
start 19:12 46:9	57:9 60:19	317:1 325:7,13	stipulated 28:6	248:9 249:12
142:15 145:22	65:8 73:15	325:15,16,18	29:14	255:1 335:6
169:16 233:22	74:20 87:11,17	325:19	stipulation	subjected
236:10,11	88:11 92:16,23	statement-by	146:10,12,22	151:17
246:12 292:3	93:2 94:17,21	36:14	stood 166:15	subjects 130:22
335:16 336:4	95:20 96:8,13	states 7:11	stop 32:15 96:11	submissions
started 20:22	96:15,19,22	149:21 152:5	231:1 270:6	146:21
162:14 232:2	97:5,14,15	193:10	279:7 280:7	submit 39:22
258:16,19,21	99:11,12,14	state's 209:12,15	281:10 287:11	145:10 153:23
258:22 259:4	104:23 106:9	stating 45:7 92:3	287:13,21	submitted 29:23
starting 48:16	123:8 131:1	93:12 105:1	302:18	52:17 53:20,24
74:8 78:17	143:23 144:8	123:1 242:14	stopped 120:8	211:5 228:20
88:12 90:19	146:9 148:8	243:13	278:8 287:4	229:1,5 285:18
92:16 106:9,11	150:4 152:1	status 6:6	288:1,11	286:11 329:3
<u> </u>			,	
	i	l	I	1

	1	<u> </u>		1
SUBSCRIBED	summarize	231:8,9 235:4	154:3 172:3	326:16 327:1
337:19	11:10 65:16	240:17 246:5	swiftly 18:11	330:3
subscription	261:5	265:1,17	sworn 20:9 42:8	taken 1:12 11:15
101:1,5	summarizing	288:18 295:2	144:7,8,10	16:8,9 30:8
subsection 62:23	259:9	299:4 305:23	154:4 172:4,16	55:8 67:22
62:23	summary 7:5	311:12 322:13	200:15 208:4	73:19 78:12
subsequent 32:6	146:22 266:8	330:5 331:5	213:18 254:24	146:2 187:2
156:24 221:10	summer 141:6	335:24 336:2,2	255:10,11	246:6 306:18
221:12 246:21	181:11,12	surprise 47:12	258:3 299:13	316:4 319:12
subsequently	305:9	50:17 56:13	337:6,19	320:1,3 326:11
32:17 33:3	summon 14:20	61:16 123:20	system 107:23	337:11
199:8 210:5	Sunday 16:23	135:2 190:7	systems 108:3	takes 41:11
substance 57:7	130:9 199:23	surprised		taking 105:5
substantial	319:2	250:17	T	106:17 140:18
285:6	superior 87:6	surrounding	T 42:9,9 125:8	337:9
substantiate	supplemental	17:17 53:9	141:2,2 154:5	talk 5:7,11 91:18
209:22,23	244:20 245:8	100:5,21 126:6	154:5 161:1	125:23 126:19
substantiated	support 25:6,11	126:11	170:23,23	137:13 162:15
180:6	48:2 152:16	suspect 57:22	172:5,5 246:10	163:20 166:17
substitute	177:2 263:18	sustain 46:14	258:4,4 290:24	197:22 246:24
258:10	263:24 283:23	233:8,16 243:2	293:20,20	248:12,19
subtitle 171:2,5	supported 257:9	324:10	297:24 299:14	250:5 251:12
Subtracting	257:10,11	sustained 47:20	299:14 329:10	252:4 266:6
21:4	supporters	53:3 69:16	330:14,14	276:20 278:7
succinct 61:14	199:9 253:7	83:22 87:20	table 27:22	335:21 336:1,4
sue 89:15 90:15	supporting	91:16 117:1,14	205:23	talked 26:14
90:22,23,24	180:8	121:7 123:24	tactics 14:10	125:10 144:23
sued 90:7	supportive	176:13 212:2	160:16,17,20	163:10,13
sufficient 72:19	211:5	220:16 240:9	290:5	185:10,12
73:4 149:24	supports 333:16	242:19 280:4	taint 25:21	210:5 250:23
150:14,17	supposed	284:16 288:5	tainted 27:18	252:5 310:7
suggest 225:20	148:14 157:9	295:18 296:1	take 6:24 7:1	312:19
246:4 326:20	199:11 211:9	296:10 306:13	10:17 38:16	talking 64:21
suggested	254:7 267:6,21	310:19 321:22	39:9,11 40:9	68:13 69:2
293:12 326:14	268:14 274:10	324:13 329:5	40:12,16,24	82:23 97:7
suggesting	277:1,7,12	Sutcliff 3:15	41:18,20 43:21	126:14 131:16
152:14 326:24	Supreme 57:24	16:5 17:14	50:11 90:23	160:12 169:3
suggestion	149:20	18:6 52:7 54:5	91:4 95:12	171:10 191:12
225:19	sure 7:17 40:20	55:12 84:11	109:14,21	199:11 215:13
suing 212:4	58:12 68:5	136:23 137:3,4	110:12 120:11	226:17 233:14
213:11 247:8	70:11 74:1	137:8,9 158:21	120:16 125:4	245:19 262:23
Suite 2:15,21	82:1 89:18	244:21,24	126:7,15 144:1	296:3 321:24
337:16	104:12 109:16	257:22 258:8	169:9 181:6	322:1
suits 133:14	115:7,10 130:6	270:11 272:24	185:23 230:14	talks 312:24
sum 27:12	131:14 136:21	276:15 279:9	231:1 237:7	315:12
summaries	145:21,21	282:23 284:6	245:20 246:3	tanks 108:8,9
261:8	162:10 171:6	298:22 333:1	259:18 262:15	114:15 117:24
summarily	190:22 194:15	Sutcliff's 245:2	266:2,4 270:5	122:23 235:12
19:11 22:22	198:4 229:18	swear 42:7	285:3,4 303:7	taxes 249:17
	-		312:17 325:8	
	l	<u> </u>	l	1

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		I	<u> </u>
Taylor 281:23	126:5 140:15	329:23 330:11	65:20 90:20	164:19 165:8
teacher 258:10	145:9 167:4	330:13,23,24	113:8 129:9	170:2 176:13
technical 12:9	206:19,21,24	331:1,2	168:10,14,17	184:6,7 190:4
12:11,12	231:22 247:2	thanks 36:20	169:20 185:12	190:5,10,16
telephone 6:6	250:23 254:15	73:16 102:13	200:10 217:4	191:17 194:7
tell 51:6,21 81:9	272:16 280:2	124:10 143:17	231:11 244:3	203:8 204:7
83:2,6 101:11	288:4 295:15	147:8 224:17	251:10,11	206:23,24
129:3 131:3	310:5,9 322:3	314:14 335:11	255:13 319:10	207:3 210:12
169:4 183:11	322:15 333:9	336:19	things 34:7,23	210:13 211:9
190:6 206:10	text 269:3,15	their 4:4 9:16	35:9 50:8	212:14,22,24
210:7 225:9	thank 4:3 8:18	15:6 16:7,23	57:16,18 58:23	213:1,15,19
244:18 274:15	8:23 10:13,14	16:24 19:19,19	59:20 107:5	217:9 218:18
275:19 276:9	10:18,21 24:22	21:12 23:8,16	151:21 160:2	220:24 227:13
276:20 277:24	24:24 27:20	25:11 26:7,8,9	204:19 223:17	227:22 228:5
295:3 297:18	28:10 29:7	26:9 31:17	235:18 236:11	229:10 231:3
telling 164:14	33:11 41:22,23	40:22 52:5,9	236:21 244:8,9	232:19 233:9
166:14 169:12	42:4 44:1 56:9	59:5,7 60:19	249:18 256:8	233:13 238:12
322:9	65:7 66:1,2,16	61:6,10 63:6	270:7 279:7	239:2 240:2
tells 162:18	68:6 69:17	64:8 75:19	280:7,10,18	241:24 242:21
ten 19:10 33:16	71:11 75:3	81:11 87:5	281:11,13	243:16 244:19
64:7 125:6	76:1 85:12	124:24 138:8,9	288:21 304:10	246:23 249:13
182:10 239:16	104:1 110:20	144:2 145:9	304:14,18,19	249:13 251:10
246:5 256:5	124:22 132:17	148:6 151:4,4	305:23 319:22	253:5,19 254:1
305:10	136:8,9 139:2	151:8 156:10	329:12 333:20	261:14,15
tend 223:6,17	140:9,22	161:16 188:6	334:6	265:4 266:6
term 13:12	143:14,15,20	192:14 193:22	think 5:12 6:5	271:2 276:4
137:16 139:15	144:13,19	198:20 200:1	9:19 17:9,12	294:6 299:1
205:23 206:2	145:17,24	204:16 207:20	17:12 28:20	300:4 306:23
207:6 210:8	147:3,9,11,14	210:8 215:8	32:24 36:6,16	307:22 308:5
248:18	147:19 148:11	221:13 230:13	36:24 37:8	309:10 311:11
terms 36:2 87:6	148:18 153:14	233:17 235:2	40:16 42:18	313:24 315:24
151:1,2 177:24	153:16,19	243:7 249:17	50:20 54:23	317:5 319:4,9
203:1 209:16	160:23 170:19	249:21 250:2	55:7 59:21,22	321:1 323:24
251:1 309:11	171:13,16	255:22 262:20	60:5,8,12,22	324:8,21
309:12,13	172:1 194:23	265:5,17 272:9	65:9,21 66:6	325:17 331:7
324:24	205:8 207:14	313:9 314:1	72:10 75:20,23	333:7,24 334:7
territory 214:12	216:2 222:21	316:1,9,19	76:19 81:15,20	thinking 106:2
testified 79:8,12	231:12 233:18	327:24	81:21,22 89:3	191:21 215:3
134:11 182:7	235:22 245:24	themselves	90:12 91:1	thinks 222:15
194:11,12	246:1,5 253:15	26:10 82:23	94:15 95:8	third 32:19 97:8
253:23 254:8	253:18 256:11	105:14,18	97:18 114:19	114:5 130:9
293:11 329:13	257:5,16,18	151:17 257:13	120:15 121:23	216:10 229:5
testify 51:24	274:7 286:8	theory 176:20	124:19,24	259:14 333:2
186:16	290:20,22	they'd 123:18	125:4 127:13	thirteenth 42:18
testifying 138:24	l '	243:18	136:20 143:7,9	thorough 26:20
268:4	297:22 298:21	thing 17:5,5,9	145:23 148:2,3	though 38:13
testimony 7:7	298:23 299:7,8	17:13 18:7	149:8,12	57:6 104:20
52:3,16 88:16	312:15 314:22	48:5 54:23	152:12 157:22	134:14 142:12
123:5,7,10	318:6 329:7,8	55:11 58:15	158:5 161:11	186:4 212:10
	ĺ			
	l	l	l	l

213:11 223:8,9	147:23 164:15	206:13 207:22	together 15:15	149:18 158:10
224:4 232:9	175:15 195:11	208:21 209:9	145:3 219:13	158:19 170:6
254:21 256:8	201:2 219:8	213:18 215:20	316:7 327:22	255:20 256:18
266:13 308:23	235:3 243:10	216:18 218:18	327:24	256:20
311:5 319:16	259:20 260:3	227:4 238:10	told 62:6 126:11	track 105:4
thought 7:14	269:7 285:20	253:11 256:5	127:10 136:3	tracks 32:16
22:19 25:11	313:8 322:8	258:21 259:5	137:9 156:11	traffic 17:19
62:7,13,14,16	326:16	264:7 268:1,5	156:12 162:16	53:10 72:18,24
87:13 88:7	throughout	271:2 274:15	166:11 169:5	74:19,21 75:6
97:22,23	16:15 46:8	275:17,18	178:22 179:4	100:7 256:6
117:11 174:21	98:9 185:16	276:23 282:5	192:23 198:7	transcribe 30:18
217:13 231:10	thrown 310:13	286:24 287:23	225:3 227:12	transcribed
239:16 243:9	thumb 53:18	291:19,21	228:17 256:19	29:12 30:6
244:22 294:24	Thursday	292:7,16 295:6	256:22,22	31:3
295:3 297:18	245:22	297:17 298:5	274:22 275:1	transcript 5:17
305:21 332:3	tickets 159:9	298:10 303:18	277:4 294:13	22:5,9 24:12
thoughts 90:17	193:10	305:19 307:11	294:18	28:16 29:16,17
thousand 159:7	tie 202:24 213:3	307:18 308:11	Tom 301:17	30:10,13,19,22
threatened	tied 217:5	308:17 311:5	tomorrow	30:24 31:2,5,7
90:24 167:18	219:12	311:14,21	245:21 336:1	31:9,11 34:22
167:19 170:16	tight-knit 21:20	315:16 317:12	336:14,18	35:16 68:2,9
186:14 187:11	time 10:17 21:2	317:14 319:14	tone 302:7	84:24 85:17,21
187:15,23	21:4 23:13,22	319:23 320:11	tonight 226:23	88:10 92:5
188:3 309:24	35:15 36:2,2	327:24	tonight's 240:24	93:17 102:3
310:5	45:22 46:22	timeframe	Tony 197:6	103:4,17
threatening 15:5	47:4,5,7,19	187:14	top 68:11 214:10	105:12 116:11
82:22 83:6	54:6,9,19 56:3	times 132:3	226:13,14,15	119:4 121:10
90:11,13	56:24 58:17	137:15,15	241:18 262:18	121:22 124:7
272:10	65:1 70:12,20	208:20 218:17	300:22 307:1	136:7 179:16
threats 14:23	78:18 81:3	220:14 223:5	321:8 322:24	180:1 181:4
81:10	82:3,7 84:1	248:19 310:6,8	topic 333:8	224:2,12 225:4
three 4:18 6:20	86:13 88:14	time-sensitive	total 22:10	225:15 237:1
30:4 45:16	101:5,17	39:7 49:21	151:13 202:20	240:12 306:23
47:15 52:7	110:11,24	timing 50:4	totaling 216:22	320:24 323:21
84:21 142:24	116:21 130:7	304:13 306:5	totally 50:9	337:10
161:5 162:2	130:18 135:17	306:21 325:19	66:22 219:10	transcripts 6:13
199:5 207:23	137:2,2 143:11	tired 64:24	221:15,18	23:24 32:10
220:14 230:22	145:8 148:5,7	title 110:4	230:7 257:10	33:17 34:5,19
239:16 256:23	153:7,23	today 4:20 8:16	257:12	35:3 37:9
275:16 278:20	160:13 166:24	55:8 73:14	touched 150:7	48:24 50:7
283:5,7 330:3	169:21 172:20	144:24 153:22	touching 46:15	85:6,8 102:24
332:3	176:8 178:8	203:24 250:16	toward 38:8,8	103:6 106:8
threshold 194:5	180:20 181:13	275:15 336:13	38:11 199:1	116:4
through 19:5	183:11 184:9	336:18	towards 38:11	transition
28:19,22 53:18	186:10,17	Todd 155:1	165:4	200:24
57:4 61:10,12	187:16,17	181:21 182:3	tower 160:4	travel 159:2,3
63:21 64:6,7	188:20 191:22	183:2,7,13	257:2	travels 21:20
70:9 81:4	199:12,17	184:12 196:17	towers 256:2	treated 256:17
133:9,17	200:24 204:20	196:20 310:21	town 82:17	treating 256:16
,				
l		I	ı	ı

		1	1	<u> </u>
treatment 314:2	78:10 85:20	126:22 130:16	243:7	21:22
316:2 317:3	88:9,11 107:12	two-thirds	understand	unless 7:21
trend 274:5	216:9 306:19	111:16	41:11,15 47:20	47:22 85:6
tried 169:14	turned 135:13	type 30:13	56:13 66:7	93:2 245:12
194:1 215:7	170:12 190:9	255:21 312:7	67:4 68:12	unmet 60:5
248:9	190:16	typed 236:1	69:6 70:17	unqualified
true 35:3 76:5	turning 107:20	types 249:5	73:10,11	16:22
76:24 80:7,9	Twenty-eight	typically 111:8	133:13 156:18	unquote 316:1
87:9 122:13	332:13,16	111:10	195:22 210:4	unresponsive
155:11 158:19	Twenty-five		212:7 214:15	67:8
158:23 160:14	332:24	U	214:18 221:20	unresponsive
171:1,4 175:6	Twenty-four	uh-huh 114:10	228:8 232:15	288:20
175:10 192:11	284:21 289:24	163:9 296:15	233:16 235:7	unruly 106:3
215:4 216:5	Twenty-seven	ultimate 5:14	296:23 298:7,9	unsubstantiated
233:24 244:14	332:10	27:19 178:2	298:11 325:11	324:1,23
260:13,18,22	Twenty-six	ultimately 13:4	understanding	unsupportable
262:16 263:7	333:8	15:23 112:14	9:18 65:13	24:10
263:11 264:2	Twenty-three	133:2 141:15	78:18 125:19	until 52:15
266:15 271:22	284:19	160:15 260:21	127:8 195:19	75:19 76:6
272:7 273:23	twist 257:8	269:14 286:15	222:13 323:2	77:2 78:7,20
275:18 278:14	twisting 261:14	unabashed	331:10	86:7 185:16
279:5,15 281:9	two 4:18 6:20	16:21	understood	200:15 236:1
282:10,19	13:24 20:17	unacceptable	45:22 69:13	245:21 269:4
285:10 286:14	21:19,22 23:21	74:19,22 75:7	70:8,11 72:23	unusual 55:23
293:14,14,22	31:10 33:14	unanimous	156:21 175:14	210:12 249:20
294:13 296:12	36:4 42:22	62:11	210:14 271:23	un-permitted
317:4 318:23	45:16 49:7	unaware 268:5	277:11 298:3	26:24
337:10	50:8,24 53:5	unbelievable	302:6 325:6	upcoming
trust 169:9,12	56:18 62:16	56:8	undertook 19:20	265:13
169:13,14	82:2 90:4,5	unchecked 14:24	unexpected	update 292:6
truth 34:20 35:4	91:3 99:21		25:18	updated 292:8
35:13 112:20	107:6,22	uncomfortable	unfair 4:17	upheld 24:14
165:15 169:4	110:10 117:23	152:9 uncontrolled	12:20 24:17	upset 82:16
322:9	128:3,9,12	13:20 14:10	59:6	157:16 208:11
truthful 169:3	143:10 161:15	under 47:1	unfairness	251:24 291:9
try 33:19 37:9	161:16 162:4,7	56:24 62:20	133:11	urged 53:17
58:11 65:15	162:12,23	63:1 65:12	unfortunately	use 6:12 14:1
72:2 129:14	164:22 167:6	71:8 113:1	56:2 126:2	76:6 77:2,12
207:12 231:24	178:23 193:9	140:13,17	298:24	78:6,20 80:15
252:1 269:3	196:7 199:4	151:10 152:6,6	uninformed	105:7 109:15
trying 48:22	207:24 238:17	192:14 216:10	287:22	124:18 132:4
49:13 61:3	248:23 249:24	222:13 226:24	United 1:5,14	134:12 137:16
63:15 87:18	266:7 283:7	238:18 327:8	4:9 8:13,16	193:11 331:8
89:20 202:7	286:4,19	underhanded	11:4 37:13	331:14
218:10 232:15	294:21 301:11	284:1	39:17,23 110:6	used 13:13
239:21 269:7	301:14,14,15	underlying	132:15,23	34:10 77:13
312:7	309:12 315:12	149:5	134:9 152:5	199:7 248:18
turn 22:1 62:1	330:2	undermine	193:10	249:19 253:7
68:15 73:21	two-on-two	176:23 242:15	unjustifiably	276:4 331:15
		1,0.23 272.13		1

using 14:10	34:18 35:23	65:10,11,22	wait 145:22	55:14 128:3
304:9	36:1 47:21	68:19,23 72:19	waiting 18:14,18	132:7 143:22
usually 223:6	94:7 129:5	75:18 76:18	73:10 297:8	145:12 146:10
utilities 149:18	145:4,7 147:14	78:8 82:4,8	waiver 49:24	156:5,8 169:15
192:20	151:16 163:24	91:5,8 93:22	walk 210:10	170:4,5 180:19
	164:17 166:9	103:23,24	walked 98:12	205:11,14
V	168:7 176:21	104:2 109:1	166:11 169:24	231:9 238:15
vacate 89:11	183:8 201:7,17	131:9 152:1	walking 13:15	255:14 257:5
192:13	246:12 251:2,8	158:8 174:23	168:8	287:11,13
vacated 70:18	251:24 286:16	175:24 176:17	wall 16:1 50:1	305:23
vacating 32:3	302:21 305:15	232:10 236:14	Wally 3:18	wanting 180:22
35:19 69:21	315:22 317:3	237:22 239:8	155:1 156:2	265:1
70:1 90:8,16	328:14	239:24 251:22	181:20 318:16	wants 5:8 65:8
91:8	video 6:13 29:13	265:14 270:10	Walter 17:7	253:24 255:7
vacation 175:12	29:18,21 30:1	270:12,18	84:11 299:18	306:12 336:16
vague 24:9	30:4,12,17,18	279:8,10,17,19	want 4:3 10:10	ward 128:3,4
87:15 276:13	30:21,22 31:2	280:3,10,18	39:9 40:9 45:7	259:14 333:2
vaguely 269:2	31:7	281:14 287:12	45:8 50:10	Warrenville
311:11	videotape 29:18	287:21 298:12	59:7 63:3,21	2:20
Valerie 3:12	31:6	voted 44:13	77:14 88:11	wasn't 62:13
13:24 15:21	videotapes 37:7	45:19 53:14	93:18 94:7	67:12 70:18,20
16:1 17:4 20:9	View 211:4	54:5 59:24	95:2,8 98:10	70:23 72:20
83:24 158:10	viewed 265:1	62:5 63:3,4,6	98:20 99:12	73:7 94:8
172:8 256:12	views 16:7,13,23	64:9,10,15	103:23 115:17	97:12,13
256:13 257:6,9	158:4	66:20,23 67:18	119:1 122:6	103:14 104:10
283:24 317:23	vinyl 123:2	69:10,20 73:23	125:4 144:2,8	115:7 117:5
Valley 146:23	violate 26:23	74:2 76:11,17	144:10,22	120:5,20
variety 58:23	violates 49:4	77:6,8 97:11	162:3 166:8	135:13 158:4
various 31:16	violation 38:5	101:24 103:11	169:5 188:1	160:17,19
52:11 138:20	251:20 324:3	104:3,7 109:3	194:10 201:4,8	174:3 180:23
238:23 252:18	Virginia 305:13	158:7 173:4	201:16 210:10	185:12,24
316:12 320:11	vocal 15:19	175:3,7,11	211:2 213:16	186:10 188:18
vast 268:14	313:9	176:2 178:9,10	213:23 218:24	199:4,4 208:12
vehement	voice 186:22	189:4 218:4	221:7 225:16	215:15 236:1
302:21	250:2 252:1	232:23,24	226:2 230:1,11	250:20 252:14
vehemently	301:5	235:6 236:4,9	231:7 240:6	257:1 267:15
15:22	voiced 52:5	238:11 286:16	251:7 252:3	281:4 286:18
verbal 234:3	265:17 305:20	307:19 320:20	253:9,12 254:3	301:2,24
verbatim 55:3	316:16	voting 12:23	254:6,10,24	302:22 305:5
328:7	voicing 23:11	44:10,16 60:3	255:9 257:8	308:23 309:2,8
verified 334:3	277:17	66:7 67:1	262:12 264:20	310:16 316:13
verifies 96:13	voir 46:22	73:12 76:14	266:4 269:23	328:3
verify 96:15,18	volunteer 161:7	79:2 91:11	270:6 278:2	waste 51:9 86:15
version 94:18	vote 18:3,3,9	103:9,20	279:6 281:10	101:17 180:24
133:15	21:3 23:11	270:11 279:9	284:18 300:3	192:20 308:19
versus 4:9 37:14	24:6 27:9 53:6	298:4	300:22 308:4	watched 139:7
39:17,24	54:22 55:15,19	vs 1:4	316:21 317:19	water 160:4
149:18	60:20 62:4,5		335:4 336:12	256:2 257:2
very 16:10 18:7	63:10 64:6		wanted 51:10	way 38:22 39:22
21:3 26:5 33:8		Wacker 2:15		
	•	•	•	•

	t	•	•	
50:13 59:7	182:2 208:4	151:23 153:6	went 25:7	119:10 120:7
63:3 64:3,7,8	213:16,19	153:22 156:7	106:14 142:16	122:14 123:15
71:18 73:13	313:12,22	157:8 158:9	154:19 155:4	123:21 124:15
81:3 100:14	weekday 129:17	160:14 162:2	161:11 166:10	126:4,5,10,20
105:13,18	weekend 130:2	162:22 165:7	166:14 191:11	127:10,16,18
111:16 123:13	130:2	165:12 166:7	234:2 270:10	128:9 129:13
133:14 152:1	weeks 161:5,6	167:8,14,23	Werderich 3:18	130:23 131:5,6
157:11 163:3	196:8 275:16	177:18 179:2	16:1 17:7 52:8	131:7 136:20
165:21 168:16	278:21	183:6 187:7	54:17 84:11	137:11 138:19
169:1 179:1	weigh 21:7	189:6 191:10	155:2 156:2,4	138:20 139:4
191:18 192:6,6	144:9	192:7 193:4	156:13 181:20	139:11 140:16
194:19 195:22	weighed 295:10	194:8 196:20	185:2,6 299:18	140:19 142:6
197:11 198:12	weighing 324:24	197:9 198:4	299:19 301:8	142:24 145:7,9
205:18 212:4	weight 4:19	202:23 203:16	312:11 313:7	146:4 151:21
217:18 219:1	24:19	205:1 207:10	314:1,24 316:1	151:22,22
221:24 236:11	welcome 5:4	209:15 210:15	321:3 322:1	152:20 154:16
239:14 243:15	10:19 132:16	211:24 212:8	323:24 329:7	155:1,5,22
250:8,11	welded 108:9	214:19 215:14	330:16	156:9 157:6,8
251:14,16	well 7:18 8:5	217:9 220:3	Werderich's	157:14,14
256:16 260:1	9:12,21 11:14	234:12 235:8	158:21	160:6,12,16
261:20,21	12:16 15:4,18	236:20,24	were 4:18 11:16	161:3,19 162:7
262:10 274:19	16:4 20:18	239:3,15 240:4	11:21 12:10	162:8,10,16
297:19 302:8	38:2 39:5	240:20 241:8	13:8 14:9,11	166:23 169:3
302:18 303:21	40:22 41:9	241:23 242:2,7	16:5,6 18:1,1	171:10 172:12
316:6,12	45:5 47:17	242:13 245:15	21:11,15 22:2	172:16,18,22
317:23 320:4	48:17 50:21	251:12 252:23	22:3 23:9	174:4 178:11
327:22	53:19 57:24	255:2,24	24:16 25:15,19	178:14,19,22
ways 19:21	58:5 59:3	260:17,22	27:14 30:8	181:14,24
50:22 322:5	61:21 63:5,23	261:4,16,19	32:7,12 33:3	182:1,3,6
wear 329:15	65:1 69:9 72:4	263:11 264:13	34:7 35:9,18	184:12 187:24
wearing 329:14	73:6,8 74:3	265:4 267:15	35:20,21,23	188:5,8,9
329:19	75:10 77:12	268:4,24	39:10 42:20,23	190:23 191:1
web 16:11 18:6	79:1,23 85:7,8	269:10 273:11	42:24 43:3	193:22,23,23
259:8,12,22	86:9 87:11,19	273:18 276:2,7	45:4 48:7 49:6	197:9,10 198:7
261:2 262:16	90:18 94:15	281:2,6 283:2	51:8,20 52:5	199:11 201:9
264:2,10,13,22	97:4,18,23	284:23 288:15	54:18 60:3	204:12,20,22
269:14 270:1	99:9,10 103:12	290:3 291:4	66:9 68:18	207:22 208:4
286:21	104:12 105:16	295:20 297:2	72:10 73:22	209:10,11
website 133:19	106:13 107:2,6	298:14 301:1	74:3,8,17	210:15,17,19
134:3 260:5	108:2 109:1	306:4 307:1,21	76:24 78:5,22	213:17 217:13
261:24 264:4	110:12,14,14	310:18 311:14	80:23 82:3,6,7	218:16 219:12
273:16,19,20	111:6 115:23	314:7 319:16	82:23 85:9	221:10,12,16
273:21 278:9	117:2,15 119:8	321:18 323:10	86:1,2 90:11	221:18,18
291:24 292:3	122:17 123:10	323:16 325:11	90:12,24 94:6	223:4 227:17
292:10,19	127:13,23	331:12,24	98:14 106:12	230:17,20
320:5,7	133:23 135:23	332:2,4 335:18	106:17,23	231:21 232:14
websites 320:15	136:21 137:24	Wells 305:13	108:3 110:11	234:4,15 235:8
week 126:23	139:12 140:19	306:6,21	115:1,23,24	235:19 237:19
127:20 129:18	143:7 145:2,13	307:12	116:2 118:5	238:23,24,24
L				

	1	1	1	1
239:4,5,6	320:21	278:15 279:15	7:15 28:11	28:9 35:7
241:12 243:23	West 2:15	281:24 284:13	41:24 42:8	87:22 88:4
244:3,4,10,22	148:21	whistle 283:24	44:4 45:15	102:15 124:24
245:3 246:8	we'll 7:17,21	whole 16:21	62:1 66:19	146:20,24
247:6,15	28:22 37:7,9	23:23 64:10	67:10,12 68:3	147:16,21
249:10,13	54:12 144:1	71:16 75:20	69:17,20 82:11	won 200:14
251:23 252:7	145:24 171:24	88:21 145:3	85:4 88:20	wonder 223:5
253:10 254:7	204:14 242:21	164:12 168:14	89:7,23 96:18	241:19
254:22 255:20	245:21 335:13	169:11 231:11	97:7 109:21	wonderful 35:8
256:2 257:13	335:22,23	252:13,15	110:19 113:19	129:9 225:19
258:13 260:10	336:18	280:20,21	115:10 117:19	word 77:13
261:5 262:17	we're 4:1 8:22	281:1,4 325:19	121:15 122:7,9	105:7 124:18
262:23 263:8,9	31:17 35:12	328:22	134:24 135:3,8	139:15 245:1
263:16,17,19	39:9 42:1	widened 76:7	136:3 138:13	276:4
263:21,21,22	46:20 48:11,16	77:3 78:7,21	139:21 140:11	worded 64:3
265:1,8,8,20	49:23,23 59:3	wife 82:16	142:6 143:7,20	278:3
266:22 268:4	61:2,17 62:2	161:10,11	147:22 154:4	wording 219:1
268:15,16	63:7,15 65:6	256:20 312:1	171:23 172:4	words 179:9
273:10 274:14	69:1 73:9	wife's 159:3	173:23 174:18	294:19
274:21,22	87:15 88:2	Wildman 2:14	178:5 184:5	work 21:5 37:9
276:23,24	102:14 109:6	8:12,15 19:18	186:23 195:18	129:19 203:3
277:6,7,15	119:19 138:1	200:18,21	203:11 205:4	203:15 204:2
279:16 284:14	139:22,24	201:13 202:17	207:1 211:16	204:16 205:11
286:10,11,21	146:5,16 164:1	204:5 206:10	212:19 213:6	205:13 206:7
290:12 293:7	171:21 213:22	206:15,19	213:11,15	206:15,17,20
293:12 294:23	224:5 236:2	207:16 208:17	214:6 218:12	207:17 210:12
298:3,11	249:19 256:21	212:10 215:10	222:9 226:1,7	210:16 214:3
299:22 301:8	257:21 290:14	215:17 216:17	226:10,11	214:10 215:11
301:12 303:7	306:17 321:1	217:22,23	228:10 229:17	215:14,21
303:18 304:12	327:8 333:18	218:15 220:8	232:19 237:20	219:2,24
307:9 309:11	335:9,16	220:20 221:11	258:3 259:2	220:20,21
309:13,13	336:11,17	229:6 237:7	262:6 263:3	247:5
312:20 315:16	we've 33:17	246:14 251:3	272:5 273:2	worked 217:15
315:17 316:16	41:13 47:7	willing 243:20	276:1,17	works 335:22
316:19,20	52:13 61:18	Wilson 155:1	277:22 278:2	world 245:14
317:12,23	131:21 135:23	wind 249:23	280:16 281:20	worth 212:11
319:22,23	144:23 147:1	window 50:19	283:9,16 286:4	244:18
320:19 321:5	233:14 300:10	winter 42:24	286:7 287:16	wouldn't 104:13
321:24 323:13	310:4	wish 148:5	288:6,20,23	168:6 174:20
325:6,14,19	whatsoever	wishes 146:8	289:3,15	212:1 237:1
328:11 330:16	17:22 72:18	316:11	290:18 297:8	253:9 322:8
331:5,6,8,14	137:10 164:5	withdraw 65:14	297:10,13	would've 72:13
weren't 90:15	Wheaton 148:22	85:11 140:7	298:23 299:3,6	190:9,16
180:22 200:15	while 13:7 52:5	269:4 271:21	299:8,13 301:6	197:15 219:10
210:16 229:14	56:3 73:9	298:19 333:13	314:8 324:21	235:1,1,2,7
235:10 262:11	145:6 260:10	333:14	331:2,9,14	236:5,7,9
277:11 301:9	274:21 275:19	Withdrawn	332:5	239:3 240:1
304:13 309:20	276:11,21	91:15 205:7	witnesses 9:2,10	252:23 301:16
316:8 317:3,24	277:6,15	witness 3:5 7:9	10:12 25:9	wrap 167:15
L			- NASCO DA BASCO DE 100 NOVA DE PARENTE A 1,000 NO AGRAPA DE 100 NOVA DE 100 NOVA DE 100 NOVA DE 100 NOVA DE 1	WHILE A SECTION OF THE RESERVED AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT

234:20	202:6 203:5	134:21 136:12	337:17	14th 30:24
write 169:21	205:14 213:9,9	143:15 147:6		149 3:7
270:8 287:17	213:13 226:5	148:24 157:15	1	15 68:18 120:22
writing 62:21	228:5 233:7	157:16 170:4,6	1 28:15 36:22	121:19 194:18
273:22	234:13 240:2,9	172:10 179:5	57:3 112:17	194:20 293:23
written 52:17	241:10 242:4	200:9 223:2	131:21 134:21	334:8
55:18 60:19,19	243:1 246:23	233:16 242:23	136:12,13	15th 17:3 99:3
113:2,11	272:23 277:23	251:21 255:19	147:13 195:11	101:16 199:23
139:17 145:10	284:7 285:2	255:20 258:12	335:6	202:18 246:13
146:21 198:13	288:7 290:15	258:14 299:20	1st 25:23 109:18	246:22 296:7
210:2 224:14	295:17 297:9	300:18 301:9	1,000 79:13,17	297:1,5,7
232:8 233:1	307:5 314:10	301:24 306:1	80:7,19 143:3	16 201:11,13
312:16 315:19	329:4 330:7	307:15 311:9	1,400 21:9 53:20	202:15
wrong 278:18	335:18 336:6	313:3 315:13	286:11	164 3:10
300:3 313:15	year 42:19 245:4	316:21 319:2,8	10 37:11,18	17 215:23,24
313:18,19	years 18:17,20	319:10 333:1	39:11 225:8	225:21 279:9
wrote 170:3	20:21 42:22	335:6	226:19	334:20 335:3
271:5 272:11	45:16 50:8	Yorkville's 4:16	10th 29:11	17th 84:5 172:14
288:6,11 289:3	56:18 84:21	6:11 7:4 36:23	10:00 335:24	185:17 200:14
298:6	107:6 109:3	149:7	100 2:5 168:9	270:12
www.yorkvill	120:22 121:19	YOR60 271:10	335:16	17-day 291:18
133:19	162:11 172:21	YOR61 269:19	1001 2:20	171 3:11
Wyath 127:21	189:21 198:9	young 163:5	101 5:1	18 224:6,19,21
198:13 205:19	248:23 249:2	167:1	103 149:20	225:21 226:3,9
205:22	256:5 286:4,19	younger 314:15	107 5:1 240:15	18th 187:2
Wyath's 205:23	291:5 294:21	\$	241:14,18	181 3:10
206:2	yelling 192:17	\$1 126:10	108 241:14,19 11 3:3 39:21	182 3:13
X	192:18	\$10,000 212:11	40:8 107:20	184 88:21
$\frac{\mathbf{X}}{\mathbf{X}3:142:9125:8}$	yeses 237:19	\$10,000 212.11 \$100 155:12	11:40 143:22	185 90:18
141:2 154:5	yesterday 6:6	\$100 133:12 \$100,000 20:10	11:40 143:22 111 124:6	186 88:12
161:1 170:23	Yorkville 1:5,15	\$100,000 20:10 \$20 193:18	240:11	19 88:12 90:19
172:5 217:16	1:15 4:3,10,13	\$20 193.18 \$300 194:7	114 92:15,17	225:23,24
217:17 219:3	4:15 6:7 8:13	\$96,119.73	114 92.13,17 11571 154:11	226:5,10
246:10 258:4	8:16 11:5,7,12	203:12	113 /1134.11 12 41:18 72:5	19th 223:1
290:24 293:20	11:15,17,20,24	203.12	85:13 109:3	306:18
290:24 299:14	12:1,7,15,17	0	225:14	2
329:10 330:14	12:19,19,22	0 40:14 114:12	12:45 145:24	2 29:2 36:22
329.10 330.14	14:3 15:14	0072 333:1	12:50 146:6	2nd 265:22
Y	17:10 26:7	07 37:13 39:16	120 180:1	266:18 271:23
yard 248:2	27:8,19 28:15 29:3 37:14	292:5,8	13 72:7,8 99:2	300:12 311:9
yeah 38:2 50:9	39:14,17,24	07-146 1:5	102:9 103:16	2:00 82:14,16
63:6 72:8	42:14 44:18	07-36 232:16	291:5	20 106:6 271:1
77:17 85:10	45:24 50:10	234:21 236:3	13th 30:20	296:13
97:21,22	59:14 61:3,9	238:9	133 3:8	20-minute 235:9
100:10 115:18	72:1 100:4	07-95 40:13	135 88:9	200 278:15
116:24 118:20	110:7 129:10	08 40:1	1389 2:6	2006 25:24
120:5,15 121:2	131:21 132:16	08-95 40:14	14 83:9 94:10	28:17 29:3,6
128:7 138:10	132:24 134:10	08-96 40:14	103:22 194:9	29:11 30:11,16
164:19 192:10	152.27 157.10	084-004659	194:16	30:20,24 31:6

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	I	1		
34:15 42:24	226:14 270:22	173:3,7,17	312 2:16 3:19	6th 67:22
43:4,9 66:9	271:1,4,8,9	176:18 189:4	32 275:17,24	60 187:6
84:15 85:18	21st 1:17 4:20	224:13 225:5	34 121:9	60532 2:21
109:19 127:9	195:10	225:15 226:11	343 3:20	60603 337:16
141:6 175:4,8	2150 148:21	230:17 232:2	344 3:19	60606-1229 2:16
181:11,12	22 83:13 274:3	234:5,23 235:9	39 146:17	609 2:10
198:14 258:16	274:12	236:16 237:1	39.2 24:7 26:19	61105 2:6
299:23 300:5,7	22nd 336:18	238:11,23	39.2B 24:18	61350 2:11
300:23 301:2	225 2:15 149:19	241:9 320:20	39.2E 62:20 63:2	62 322:6
303:12 317:10	23 25:7 74:9	328:2,11		63 74:9,13
320:20	108:7 241:18	25 86:3 284:20	4	630 2:22
2007 11:5,15	259:20 260:3	284:22,24	4 30:10 36:22	64 85:20
14:20 20:23	274:3,12	289:23 332:21	74:17 78:17	650 108:10
23:3 31:10	23rd 20:23	335:4	85:14,17	114:16
35:16 43:1	22:20 24:1	25th 28:16 32:11	104:24 106:9	66 78:10 162:11
81:4 92:6	26:15 31:10	141:9 237:12	107:14	68 40:1
95:22 99:4	35:16 60:1	237:24	4th 67:22 73:19	
101:22 103:2	81:4 92:6 93:8	258 3:14	78:12	7
133:22 136:24	101:22 102:24	26 107:12 331:5	4.5 210:22	7 6:18 30:23
155:23 172:13	103:5,8,17	332:4,4,5	4:00 82:14,16	36:22 275:17
172:17 173:3	120:19 121:10	333:6,13	4:30 133:17	275:24 306:20
179:11 195:10	121:16 122:3,5	26th 29:3,5,6	40 146:18	307:4
195:11 196:7	123:2 124:6	43:4,9 66:9	43 37:13 39:17	7th 214:1,2,5
199:24 202:18	173:3,17	141:9 142:14	296:13	313:21 315:20
203:22 208:4	179:10,21	175:4,8 179:3	431-1500 2:11	7-146 4:12
208:24 216:6	223:22 224:2	27 3:4 315:1	434-0400 2:22	70 214:1
223:1 246:13	224:13 225:4,7	331:5 332:12	45 123:4	71 154:11 159:3
247:12 258:15	225:12 226:4	27th 203:22	46 73:21	159:3,5 160:5
265:22,22	226:10 227:9	270 3:16	47 3:7 74:19,21	255:24 256:7
266:19 270:12	227:15 228:14	28 318:5 331:4	76:6 77:2 78:6	74 306:19
271:23 279:9	239:11 240:12	332:7	78:20	0
291:14,17	240:18 285:7	29 337:16	490-4900 2:7	8
293:23 297:1,7	320:20,24	29th 196:7 197:7		8 31:4 36:22
300:4,23 305:9	24 23:2 25:7,8	210:22 238:3	5	75:2 106:12
307:13	86:1 112:23		530:1536:22	8th 172:17
2007-36 108:20	284:18,19	3	5th 95:21	200:15,18,22
109:16 110:1	285:1,3 289:23	3 29:10 30:12	5/29 203:19	201:8 204:12
231:15 328:6	24th 11:5,15	36:22 73:22	5:00 335:4	204:16 205:10
2008 26:16	22:20 23:3	3rd 93:20	50 216:23	205:24 206:16
34:14 67:22	24:1 26:15	198:23 265:21	217:12,16,24	208:18 210:3
73:19 78:12	30:11 60:1	30 18:20,21 20:4	218:5 219:2,21	214:7,8 215:11
101:22 187:3	84:15 85:17	20:11,20 26:16	219:24 220:9	215:15,15
224:13 306:18	101:22 102:24	330:3	220:21 262:18	216:6 217:11
311:9	103:6 105:12	30th 30:16 31:6	262:22 263:7	334:21
2009 1:1,17	106:7,22	195:11 208:4	500 2:21	8:00 133:17
337:20	107:13 111:1	208:24 317:10	53 68:16 74:12	800 133:16
201-2537 2:16	111:12 115:1	3000 2:15	6	815 2:7,11
21 1:1 180:5	116:7 117:23	304 3:17	66:17 30:19	850 337:16
225:7 226:13	121:22 142:13	306 3:16	1	86 321:4 322:14
		311 3:17	36:22 83:3	86th 321:7
	1	l	l	

		rage 350
87 83:3 321:4 323:1 87th 321:7 88 325:21 89 187:6		
9 9 31:8 36:22 92:10 124:13 9th 207:18,23		
209:9 9:00 1:16 4:21 335:20,22 336:4,19 90 187:7		