ORIGINAL

1	-	_	-		
1	-			1// 15	
		2100		A. P.	ma Upor
U	LE	RK'S	ട വ	=F1/	75

Page 1

STATE OF ILLINOIS)

OCT 1 4 2008

STATE OF ILLINOIS Pollution Control Board

ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD
October 1, 2008

IN THE MATTER OF:

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO THE

BOARD'S SPECIAL WASTE

REGULATIONS CONCERNING USED OIL,

35 ILL. ADM. CODE 808, 809

)

(RulemakingLand)

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS held in the above-entitled cause before Hearing Officer Timothy Fox, called by the Illinois Pollution Control Board, pursuant to notice, taken before Rebecca Graziano, CSR, within and for the County of Cook and State of Illinois, at the James R. Thompson Center, 100 West Randolph Street, Room 9-040, Chicago, Illinois, on the 1st Day of October, A.D., 2008, commencing at 10:30 a.m.

- MR. FOX: Good morning everyone, and
- welcome to this Illinois Pollution Control Board
- hearing. The posted time of 10:30 having come, I
- 4 want to call this hearing to order. As a
- 5 preliminary housekeeping detail, we have sought to
- 6 reach the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency,
- 7 who is, of course, not now present in this room, to
- 8 determine whether and when they may be arriving.
- 9 But certainly our posted time has come, and we will
- 10 proceed with the hearing.
- My name is Tim Fox, and I am the
- hearing officer for this rulemaking, which is
- entitled Proposed Amendments to the Board's Special
- Waste Regulations Concerning Used Oil, 35 Illinois
- administrative code 808 and 809. The board docket
- number for this rulemaking is R06-20. Also present
- today from the Board are to my immediate right
- Andrea S. Moore, who is the lead board member for
- this rulemaking. To her right is Dr. G. Tanner
- Girard, who is the acting chairman of the Pollution
- 21 Control Board. At my far left is board member
- Thomas E. Johnson, and at my immediate left are
- 23 Anand Rao and Alisa Liu of the Board's technical
- 24 staff.

- I want very briefly -- I'll stress
- very briefly -- to summarize the most recent
- activity in this proceeding. As you probably know,
- on May 1st of this year, 2008, the Board issued an
- opinion and order proposing amendment of its
- 6 regulations for first noticed publication. I have a
- 7 small number of copies of that opinion and order
- 8 available here this morning, and those proposed
- 9 amendments were published in the Illinois register
- on May 30th of 2008. On June 4th, 2008, NORA filed
- a motion requesting a hearing following the first
- 12 noticed publication, and the Board granted that
- motion on July 10th of 2008, and this hearing ensues
- 14 from the Board order on that date.
- We did have one prehearing issue,
- a motion by NORA for an extension of time to
- pre-file its testimony for hearing. The motion
- indicated that the Agency did not object to the
- proposed extension, and I have issued a hearing
- officer order granting that motion, which has been
- posted to the Board's website, and there are copies
- of it available on the table just over the wall here
- to my right. This proceeding is, as always,
- governed by the Board's procedural rules. All

- information that is relevant and that is not
- 2 repetitious or privileged will be admitted into the
- 3 record. Please note that any questions by the Board
- 4 members or by the Board's staff are intended solely
- 5 to develop a clear and complete record for the
- 6 Board's decision, and do not reflect any
- 7 prejudgement or bias regarding the proposal.
- The Board has received, on
- 9 September 22nd, from NORA pre-filed testimony by
- 10 Mr. Christopher Harris, Ms. Victoria Custer
- 11 (phonetic) and Mr. Michael Lenz, and a number of
- 12 comments from other members or persons associated
- with NORA that have been docketed into the Board's
- 14 record in this proceeding as public comments with
- individual numbers, I believe, running from 47 to
- 16 69 -- 46 to 69, my mistake. We will begin this
- hearing with NORA's pre-filed testimony, and under
- the Board's procedural rules, that is, entered it
- into the regard as if read, as it is already part of
- the Board's written record in this proceedings.
- However, if the witnesses would like, they may begin
- with a brief summary of that testament if they wish
- to provide one. This will be followed by questions
- that others present may have. And in that regard, I

- note that the agency did pre-file questions for NORA
- witnesses on September 15th of 2008, and by their
- 3 terms were filed with regard to each of the
- 4 witnesses that NORA had testifying in this
- 5 proceeding.
- 6 We will then proceed in the same
- 7 manner if the Agency wishes to offer testimony and
- 8 accept any questions on that basis. After that,
- 9 anyone else may testify as time permits, and if any
- participant other than the Agency or the three NORA
- witnesses that I have mentioned would like to
- testify, there is a sign-in sheet on the same table
- that I referred to earlier. Like other witnesses,
- they will be sworn in and available for questions on
- the basis of the testimony that they offer.
- Then, finally, in our order of
- proceedings, once we have dealt with all of the
- sworn testimony and all of the questions based upon
- it, anyone who wishes to offer a public comment will
- 20 be allowed to do so at the close of the testimony,
- 21 as time allows. I would ask one thing for the
- benefit of our court reporter, although I suspect
- 23 many of you are veterans of proceedings of this
- nature. We have pretty good acoustics, but please

- speak as loudly and clearly as you can, and avoid
- talking at the same time as another person so that
- our record can be as clear as possible. Do we have
- 4 any questions about our procedures at all?
- With that, why don't we turn to
- 6 NORA. Mr. Harris, I had mentioned that you had --
- 7 pardon me one second. And let me interrupt myself,
- 8 Mr. Harris. I'm sorry to go out of order. I should
- 9 have the record reflect -- and Ms. Flowers forgive
- 10 me for speaking right over you -- the Agency is
- 11 present and represented by Ms. Stephanie Flowers and
- 12 Mr. Dragovich as well.
- MS. FLOWERS: Correct.
- MR. FOX: And I can offer you an
- opportunity to ask any questions about the procedure
- that you have.
- MS. FLOWERS: I don't have any
- 18 questions.
- MR. FOX: Very good. Mr. Harris,
- thank you for letting me interrupt myself. You had
- 21 indicated that you wanted, I believe, to begin with
- the pre-filed testimony of your own, and with Ms.
- 23 Custer, and with Mr. Lenz then. Is that accurate?
- MR. HARRIS: I don't think Ms. Custer

- wants to add to what she's already pre-filed.
- 2 Mr. Lenz is here, and we definitely want to be as
- responsive as we can to the Board's questions and
- 4 carry on a dialogue including with the -- with the
- 5 Agency.
- MR. FOX: Very good. Why don't we
- 7 begin. If Ms. Custer would not like to be sworn in
- and testify on the basis of the comments that she
- 9 has filed, why don't we have the court reporter
- swear in both you and Mr. Lenz, and we can proceed,
- as I mentioned, with a brief summary if you would,
- please, if you wish to offer one.
- MR. HARRIS: Yes.
- MR. FOX: Or we could proceed
- immediately to the questions on what the Agency and
- any that the Board has.
- MR. HARRIS: I would like to
- summarize, but I'll be as brief as possible.
- MR. FOX: Very good. If the court
- reporter would swear in Mr. Harris and Mr. Lenz,
- 21 please.
- 22 (Witness sworn.)
- MR. HARRIS: Mr. Fox --
- MS. FLOWERS: Can I ask a question?

- Does that mean that Ms. Custer's not going to be
- 2 available for our pre-filed questions?
- MR. FOX: My expectation is that NORA
- 4 does not wish to offer her as a witness offering
- 5 substantive testimony, and what the Board would
- 6 propose to do is characterize what she had filed as
- 7 a public comment that was not subject to sworn
- 8 testimony and cross examination.
- 9 MS. FLOWERS: Okay.
- MR. HARRIS: Okay. Thank you.
- 11 Mr. Fox, members of the Board, my name is
- 12 Christopher Harris. I have the privilege of
- 13 representing NORA, an association of responsible
- 14 recyclers, formally the National Oil Recyclers
- 15 Association. I am its general counsel and have been
- since 1985. With me at the table are Greg Ray from
- 17 Heritage Crystal Clean, Mike Lenz from Future
- 18 Environmental, and Scott Parker, who's the executive
- 19 director of NORA. And I will not try the Board's
- 20 patience by reading my entire pre-filed testimony.
- I think that would not be advantageous. I certainly
- do want to get into a dialogue with the Board and
- 23 SAF and with IEPA so that we might resolve this
- issue and come to a -- come to a conclusion that

- everyone would be -- would be happy with.
- In your -- in the Board's May 1st
- order and opinion at the -- and it was a very
- 4 well-written and carefully documented opinion. At
- 5 the very end, you invited proposals for working out
- the issues before us, and if I can summarize what
- 7 that issue is. Part 279 of the national oil
- 8 standards, the use of oil management standards, does
- 9 not require a manifest. Illinois and just a couple
- of states, including California, require manifest
- 11 for used oil. NORA's petition basically says a
- manifest for used oil and materials regulated as
- used oil as recognized under Part 279 is
- unnecessary. It's unnecessary, and it's burdensome.
- The Board, in its opinion, said -- proposed that we
- dispense with the manifest for used oil as defined,
- and as defined means, for lack of -- for shorthand,
- 18 it means pure used oil, not commingled with any
- other material.
- And we consider that to be very
- worthwhile and a bit of serious progress, but it
- 22 also adds complications, because if we left the
- regulatory system as the Board has proposed, we
- would have defined used oil and materials regulated

- to used oil, and the materials regulated of used oil
- would still be subject to the manifest. Now our
- original proposal, as you know, essentially says
- 4 used oil and materials regulated to used oil ought
- 5 to be in the same category. And we're talking about
- 6 the manifest. We are not -- our proposal does not
- 7 attempt to rewrite the special waste regulations or
- 9 permit regulations or permit requirements or
- 9 anything of the kind. What we're talking about are
- the paperwork requirements dealing with the
- 11 manifest.
- So again, our original proposal,
- treat the fine-used oil and materials regulated to
- used oil in the same -- in the same category. But
- we recognize that IEPA has a number of concerns, and
- so we wanted to propose a compromise, which is set
- forth in my pre-filed testimony, and if you'll look
- on Page 5, you'll see that we have narrowed the
- 19 categories of materials regulated as used oil that
- we believe are appropriate for an exemption from the
- 21 manifest. Again, we're not proposing that they be
- 22 exempt from permit requirements or other special
- waste requirements, just exempt from the manifest.
- 24 And let me cover those, because I'd like to be very

- 1 clear that that's our proposal, and a number of --
- and I think this proposal addresses most, if not
- all, of IEPA's previous concerns. We'll see whether
- 4 they still have concerns, but that was the intent,
- was to address IEPA's concerns.
- 6 So the four categories that would
- be exempt from the manifest requirements would be
- 8 used oil that's generated by a conditionally exempt
- 9 small quantity generator containing the exempt
- hazardous waste from such generator. So we're
- talking about that generator, provided the mixture
- 12 contains more than 50 percent of used oil by volume
- or weight. So this cannot be one drop of used oil
- mixed with conditionally exempt hazardous waste.
- That is not what we're talking about. We're talking
- about material where there's a mixture of the
- 17 continually exempt materials and used oil.
- And in my pre-filed testimony, I
- pointed out that this is not something that NORA has
- 20 advocated. We don't care for materials that are
- 21 mixed with used oil, particularly hazardous waste.
- This is a regulatory feature from Part 279, which
- exists in Illinois regulations as well, and the
- reason for it is that when EPA was promulgating its

- regulations in 1985 and '92, it basically said we
- need -- we don't have the resources -- EPA and the
- 3 state was saying "We don't have the resources to
- 4 deal with all of these generators out there who
- 5 might throw in some hazardous waste, like cleaning
- 6 solvent, into their used oil." And so this
- 7 exemption was created, and it exists in the --
- 8 actually it exists also in the basic RCRA
- 9 regulations and carried forth in the used oil
- 10 regulations.
- So this is the regulatory
- 12 framework that we're dealing with. If EPA or IEPA
- and the Board were to say "We want to get rid of
- this exemption, " NORA would applaud you. So we are
- not in favor of this exemption, but because it
- exists, we need to recognize it, and that's why --
- that's why it's in our proposed -- to exempt to from
- the manifest requirement. But if you want to take a
- 19 further step and eliminate this exemption for
- 20 conditionally exempt small-quantity generators,
- you'd have NORA members on Board. So it's not
- something we want, but it's something since we have
- to live with it, that's why it's in our proposal.
- And in a similar thing, the second

- 1 category of used oil containing characteristic
- waste, where the BTU per pound content is greater
- than 5,000, where the characteristic, such as
- 4 ignitability, has been extinguished, and both the
- 5 used oil and the characteristic hazardous waste has
- 6 been generated and mixed by the same generator. So
- 7 we're not creating an exemption here where we mix
- 8 it. This would be to be done by the generator. And
- 9 again, this tracks existing EPA regulations, both in
- 10 RCRA and the used oil regulations under part 279.
- The third category, mixtures of
- used oil and fuels. This is typically the diesel or
- normal components of fuels or other fuel products.
- Now this is not a regular practice, because if you
- have nice diesel oil you wouldn't be necessarily
- mixing it with used oil. But occasionally it does
- happen, and there's absolutely no reason why that
- shouldn't be handled as used oil on the manifest.
- And finally, used oil containing
- wastewater -- non-hazardous wastewater provided
- there is a recoverable -- that is a me minimis
- quantity of used oil. And again, we're talking only
- about an exemption from the manifest requirement,
- not any permit requirements. So this -- this

- material will be handled precisely in the same way,
- which means it would go to a facility which handles
- wastewater, separates the used oil out, discharges
- 4 the wastewater in accordance with a permit and Clean
- 5 Water Act requirements, and recovers the used oil.
- 6 So no change in the actual system. We are simply
- 7 asking for a -- some relief from the paperwork
- 8 requirements.
- 9 Now the second component of our
- proposal, it's already been previously proposed by
- 11 Claire Manning, who is the attorney who's worked on
- this previously, is to say that the requirements
- that you -- that IEPA is interested in, the
- information requirements, would still be retained.
- What we are proposing is that the either the --
- either the bill of lighting or tracking document,
- whatever you want to call it, would reflect three
- 18 components.
- One is the department of
- transportation requirements, which are already in
- 21 existence and which we already do. The business
- 22 items that a company is interested in, like how
- the -- how the generator of the customer is going to
- pay, whether it's by check or credit card or those

- 1 kind of things, all of the contact information, and
- then to respond to IEPA, all of the information that
- they say they want out of Part 739 and Part 809 in
- 4 terms of the requirements. That deals with special
- waste, and they want to know, for example, who the
- 6 receiving facility is and the signature of the
- 7 person at the receiving facility, and we say fine,
- 8 no problem. Let's put that into the tracking
- 9 document or the bill of lading, whatever you want to
- 10 call it.
- And I have an example, and this is
- just an example of what one would look like. And
- perhaps you can -- so this is a component -- this is
- 14 a -- simply an example, a generic example of the
- three elements that would go into the tracking
- document and provide IEPA with all of the
- information they say they need. Now let me take a
- 18 step back and mention that the manifest that is
- 19 currently required doesn't go to Illinois EPA. They
- don't want it. They don't want to store it, they
- don't want to look at it, they just want it around
- in the -- either the generator's facility or the
- transporter's facility so that in case something
- comes up they can look at it, which is fine. You

- 1 know, if that's how they chose to enforce the
- 2 program, that's fine with us.
- But don't make us have two sets of
- documents, one a manifest and the other the bill of
- 5 lading and the DOT requirements. That doesn't --
- that just doesn't make sense. Let us put this on
- 7 what amounts to one sheet, front and back, and
- 8 you'll have the advantage of having the company want
- 9 to retain that. Why? Because it's DOT required,
- and it's their business information, and we're happy
- 11 to provide the information that IEPA says they want
- in terms of the special waste requirements. Now on
- this document, you'll see on the second page the
- 14 additional special waste materials. If you agree
- with our proposal, that we're only talking about
- four of these categories -- or maybe you'll
- determine it's three or two, but hopefully it's at
- 18 least four -- then those would be -- those would be
- 19 laid out there.
- Now I do understand IEPA's
- 21 concerns that these bills of lading -- let me call
- them, or tracking documents, or whatever you wish to
- call them -- might vary from company to company.
- Yes, that's true. That's true with respect to the

- business information that they are interested in.
- 2 And so they'll develop their own format, but there
- will be an identical format with respect, of course,
- 4 to the DOT, because that's all we require, the DOT
- 5 requirements, and -- and this is your job -- the
- 6 additional information regarding special waste that
- 7 are exempt from the manifest but would be required
- in this tracking document, you, the Board, would
- 9 specify exactly what would be covered there. And
- that proposal, in its generic form, has already been
- 11 made by NORA. All we're suggesting is whatever IEPA
- wants in that respect and that the Board wants, we
- are agreeing to. So you're not finding any
- 14 resistance from NORA on that central issue, none
- whatsoever. But I think you were about to ask a
- 16 question.
- MR. FOX: I did have a quick question.
- 18 The sample document, for lack of a better term, that
- 19 you have shown to the Board, it's an unusual format
- and size. Did you have a copy of that that you wish
- to move into the record as an exhibit?
- MR. HARRIS: I should have anticipated
- that, and we can certainly make a copy during a
- break, or you can have that. Whatever you wish. I

- will be glad to -- I'm trying to be as helpful as
- possible. I'm not trying to complicate the Board's
- 3 --
- MR. FOX: What we need to do to move
- 5 it into an exhibit is certainly give the Agency a
- 6 chance to review it, and if we take a break at any
- 7 time what we can do is produce a copy of that and
- 8 then go through the process of seeing if there's any
- 9 objection on the part of anyone here --
- MR. HARRIS: That would be helpful.
- MR. FOX: -- in admitting it into the
- 12 record.
- MR. HARRIS: Thank you, Mr. Fox.
- MR. FOX: But thank you for letting me
- 15 interrupt you.
- MR. HARRIS: Thank you, Mr. Fox. So
- that's our -- that's our basic proposal. Let me
- give you some reasons, and our members can give
- 19 additional reasons and some reasons why this makes
- sense. Again, we would eliminate the duplication,
- which is a considerable amount of effort when you
- 22 start multiplying the number of manifests that are
- 23 involved here. I believe the representative from
- 24 Safety Clean will be able to testify and offer

- information about a recent study that they conducted
- for themselves. They were doing it in the context
- of evaluating the cost of paper, paper manifest
- 4 versus electronic tracking, and in the course of
- 5 that concluded that their costs, which are actually
- 6 pretty efficient because they are a large company
- 7 and they have the economy -- but their cost, when
- you add them all up, are about \$18 per manifest, and
- 9 I think that would be a greater amount if you're
- talking about a smaller company.
- So even though the \$3 charge has
- been eliminated, nevertheless you're dealing with
- various costs associated with the manifest. So if
- 14 you allow a regulated community to consolidate into
- one document, the DOT, the business information, and
- the IEPA special waste information, you then allow
- us not to have to duplicate all of the paperwork,
- and it is -- there's a cost associated with it. We
- understand that. We're willing to absorb it. But
- don't multiply it by two. And when we multiply it
- by two, remember that these are required to be
- stored for three years. We will comply with that of
- 23 course. And there's -- as I mentioned before,
- there's an incentive to do that, because having --

- because it also contains the DOT and the business
- 2 information. So it's an important cost savings to
- be able to consolidate this information.
- 4 The -- and the -- if I can go back
- 5 to the original concept here of eliminating the
- 6 manifest requirement for used oil in these
- 7 categories, remember what the choice is to a
- 8 collector. If they go around now, they have to
- 9 either have special compartments for all this
- material, or -- in their truck -- or they have to do
- this collection run for a second or third time. And
- in my pre-filed testimony I gave the analogy of the
- honey bee. The honey bee efficiently goes around
- 14 and collects all the nectar from the flowers, as if
- they were generators of nectar and brings it to a
- central processing facility. Well, if you require
- the honey bee to collect the nectar from the red
- 18 flowers on Tuesday and the yellow flowers on
- 19 Thursday, it adds to these costs, and we're dealing
- in an economic environment now where fuel oil is
- going through the roof. The fuel is very expensive,
- labor costs are very expensive, and then you add the
- 23 current economic uncertainty regarding credit, and
- small businesses, such as NORA members, are hurting.

- 1 Even the larger members, such as Safety Clean, are
- 2 not doing that great in this current environment.
- And I know that economic
- 4 feasibility is an important consideration for the
- 5 Board. We were not asking for any diminution of
- environmental protection. We're asking for some
- 7 relief for paperwork. And again, I want to
- 8 emphasize that we are not trying to do an end run
- g around the special waste rules. That is not our
- 10 purpose. We don't mind engaging in a dialog on that
- as to what would be more efficient, but that is not
- our purpose in today's hearing and this rulemaking.
- 13 So I'd be happy to answer any questions, and I think
- our members would also be able to, and I think it
- might be -- unless you want to ask questions of me
- 16 right now, Mike Lenz is prepared.
- MR. FOX: Very good. Why don't we,
- before introducing the testimony of any other
- witnesses, proceed to any questions specifically for
- you, Mr. Harris, that either the Agency --
- MR. HARRIS: Of course.
- MR. FOX: -- or any other participant
- may have. Miss Flowers, you had, on behalf of the
- 24 Agency, filed on September 15th a set of pre-filed

- 1 questions for NORA's witnesses, which as I think I
- mentioned by their terms were requested to be
- posted, any witness called by NORA. At this point,
- 4 did you have questions for Mr. Harris on the basis
- of his pre-filed testimony or his comments this
- 6 morning?
- 7 MS. FLOWERS: Well, I mean, what we
- 8 had initially thought when we had initially filed
- 9 the pre-filed questions, there was some indication
- that there might be 12 to 15 witnesses up here
- 11 discussing a -- some language that they would like
- 12 for Part 739, and the pre-filed questions were just
- to see why 12 to 15 people, how they were involved
- in the industry, whether they were representatives
- of the industry, and just kind of why -- why the
- 16 first notice before the Board was not sufficient --
- a sufficient exemption for them, and as now we only
- have two witnesses that we're going to be able to
- ask questions of, and obviously Mr. Harris is not in
- the industry, he's an attorney, is what I'm -- is
- what I'm assuming, he does not have a used oil
- industry or company. Is that correct?
- MR. HARRIS: That is correct. I do
- not represent a particular company. I don't have

- any ownership interest in a company. I'm NORA's
- general counsel, but we're not -- we're prepared to
- answer a number of those questions. Mr. Ray here
- 4 and Mr. Lenz are -- been before this Board before,
- 5 and I think they are -- if anyone is qualified to
- 6 consider themselves a representative of the
- 7 industry, they would as well as others. So we're
- 8 happy to answer your questions.
- 9 MS. FLOWERS: And we do have questions
- of the people that are in the used oil industry and
- would have a business. As far as Mr. Harris'
- 12 testimony, we would -- we would have to ask
- questions about his actual proposal, and I didn't
- 14 know how you wanted procedurally to go with that,
- since we had -- we're not going to ask the pre-filed
- questions to Mr. Harris.
- MR. FOX: And I'm certain -- and
- 18 forgive me for taking liberties, Mr. Harris -- that
- he'd be willing to entertain questions now on the
- 20 basis of his pre-filed testimony in the proposal.
- MS. FLOWERS: Okay.
- MR. FOX: But if you wish -- and
- certainly I can leave this to your decision, Ms.
- 24 Flowers. If you wish to hear answers to some of the

- questions relating, in effect, to the operation of
- the existing and current rules, I suspect that
- Mr. Harris would be willing to entertain those
- 4 questions after you've had an opportunity to pose
- 5 some questions of your own.
- 6 MS. FLOWERS: I'm sorry. I didn't
- 7 follow you.
- MR. FOX: I perhaps didn't make myself
- 9 very clear. If you would like to pose questions to
- 10 Mr. Harris now based on his pre-filed testimony and
- 11 his comments this morning, it appears that he's
- willing to entertain those right now.
- MS. FLOWERS: Right.
- MR. FOX: If you wish to pose the
- pre-filed questions to some of the other witnesses
- of NORA's --
- MS. FLOWERS: Right.
- MR. FOX: -- I believe he is also
- willing to wait until you have done that to pose any
- questions.
- MS. FLOWERS: Well, we do have
- questions of Mr. Harris, it's just not based upon
- the pre-filed -- pre-filed questions.
- MR. HARRIS: I'm happy to --

```
MR. FOX: Mr. Harris, if you're
```

- willing, why don't we proceed with those?
- MR. HARRIS: Certainly.
- MS. FLOWERS: Okay. Well, I would
- 5 just like to state that we -- we got this proposal
- less than a week ago before this hearing, and we've
- 7 had a little bit of time to go over it. We have not
- 8 at all studied it in depth, and we mainly focused on
- 9 the actual language that was presented to be -- that
- was asking to be added to Part 739, so it would be
- the very -- I guess the -- I guess it'd be, like,
- Page 13 and after that shows the language as being
- requested to be added to Part 739.
- We have some language here
- 15 regarding -- the very first part under the Board
- note, there is some language there that says that
- used oil and the following post-used mixtures are
- regulated under this part and are exempt from 35
- 19 Illinois administrative code 808 and 809. And so I
- would -- I mean, that is a question we're asking
- you. You said you did not intend to exempt used oil
- mixtures from -- and used oil from 808 and 809, but
- 23 it is stated there that that is your intention.
- MR. HARRIS: Mr. Fox, Ms. Flowers, our

- intent is to exempt this from the manifest
- 2 requirements, which I believe are also under 808 and
- 3 809.
- 4 MS. FLOWERS: That's not the only
- 5 requirements that are listed under 808 and 809.
- 6 MR. HARRIS: Okay.
- MS. FLOWERS: There are reporting
- 8 requirements and such other that we wouldn't --
- 9 there's never been anything proposed to be exempt
- 10 from until now.
- MR. HARRIS: Well, that would be a
- drafting error on my part in that case. I mean, our
- 13 -- let me be quite clear about our intent, which is
- 14 to exempt it from the manifest requirements, not
- from the balance of the special waste requirements.
- MS. FLOWERS: So would I assume that
- you would be revising this?
- MR. HARRIS: I'd be -- I'd be happy to
- 19 advice it to reflect that basic point, yes.
- MR. RAO: May I ask a followup-related
- question? Mr. Harris, in your pre-filed testimony,
- your summary changed -- proposed changes to
- Part 739, and I just wanted to clarify whether these
- changes should be considered in conjunction with

- 1 NORA's earlier proposal to amend parts 808 and 809.
- MR. HARRIS: I'm not sure -- I
- understand your question. I'm not sure I can give
- 4 you a complete answer. The -- what NORA wants to do
- is -- let me be extremely clear about this. We want
- to take -- we want to go along with your proposal to
- 7 take used oil as defined, and exempt that from the
- 8 manifest requirements. So I think we're all on
- 9 agreement on that component. In addition to that,
- these four categories of materials which are
- regulated as used oil that are not defined as used
- oil would similarly be exempt from the manifest
- 13 requirements. That's all we want.
- 14 And then -- and then the third
- component of this is that the tracking document, or
- bill of lading, would reflect the information as we
- 17 had suggested that IEPA says they need. So those
- 18 are the three components, and that's it. If our
- language is not precise enough, I'll be happy to
- 20 submit language which meets IEPA's requirements on
- 21 that point.
- MR. RAO: Okay. My question goes to
- 23 your response that we did not receive any language
- changes to reflect your intent for parts 808 and

- 1 809. I'm assuming that you -- you know, you're
- expecting the Board to make those language changes,
- or would you be willing to provide some language?
- MR. HARRIS: Yes. To the extent that
- 5 we -- I though we did. But if we didn't, I'll be
- 6 happy to provide the precise language changes that
- 7 reflect our proposal.
- MR. RAO: Were those changes for
- 9 Part 739, the changes attached to your pre-filed
- 10 testimony?
- MR. HARRIS: Yes.
- MR. RAO: I am asking about
- corresponding changes to part 808 and 809 because
- the exemptions are going to be set up, if the Board
- decides to do it, in parts 808 and 809.
- MR. HARRIS: And it -- it's my -- it's
- my belief that we had attempted to do that. But if
- there's some drafting mechanics that need to be done
- to reflect our proposal, we'll be happy to do that.
- MS. FLOWERS: Okay. The other
- 21 question we have is shortly thereafter, within that
- first paragraph there, bolded paragraph that shows
- some changes to Part 739 under the Board note, there
- is -- let's see -- exemptions for mixtures

- containing more than 50 percent used oil by either
- volume or weight, and I think we're trying to figure
- out where you came up with 50 percent used oil, and
- 4 also where -- what happens to the other half of this
- 5 mixture? What -- where is the recycling coming in
- on the other 50 percent of this mixture?
- 7 MR. HARRIS: Let me address the first
- part, and then perhaps Mr. Ray or Mr. Lenz could
- 9 address the second part, if that's acceptable. The
- 10 50 percent is simply compromised language, and it's
- meant to address the concern of IEPA that if you had
- one drop of used oil and you mix it with this other
- material, such as conditionally exempt small
- 14 quantity generator waves, you then turn the whole
- thing into used oil.
- Now EPA, when they looked at this
- issue, basically said "Look, we want everything to
- be in the used oil recycling system, so that's not a
- 19 concern of ours." Okay. But it's a concern of
- 20 IEPA. So we are trying to address that concern by
- saying this has to have a significant used oil
- component if it's going to be handled under the used
- oil recycling system. So 50 percent seemed to be a
- very plausible number, percentage, that would

- convince the Board and IEPA that we are serious,
- that we're talking about materials regulated as used
- oil. Now how this is handled, I'd be glad to ask
- 4 Mr. Lenz and Mr. Ray how their companies would
- 5 handle this.
- MR. LENZ: Which --
- 7 MR. FOX: If I may interrupt, Mr. Lenz
- and Mr. Ray, forgive me for forgetting this detail.
- 9 I don't believe either of you have been sworn in.
- 10 Is that correct?
- MR. LENZ: I was.
- MR. RAY: I was not.
- MR. FOX: Mr. Ray, if we could have
- 14 the court reporter so that you could offer
- testimony, please.
- 16 (Witness sworn.)
- MR. FOX: Thank you very much. And
- 18 Mr. Harris, I apologize for the interruption.
- MR. HARRIS: Mr. Ray, could you
- address Ms. Flowers' question about how you handled
- 21 this material?
- MR. RAY: Yes, I will. But first I
- would like to make a further responsive to the other
- point about the 50 percent threshold. Because there

- is some, I guess, difference of opinion internal to
- NORA about whether that's an appropriate and
- necessary compromise. I would argue on behalf of
- 4 our company that we said at the beginning of this
- 5 exercise that we wanted paperwork relief, and that
- 6 we weren't trying to change the rules, and in this
- 7 case we're only making this effort to change the
- 8 rules to satisfy a concern raised by IEPA. I
- 9 generally prefer not to have to talk about a
- percentage threshold that we don't think is
- 11 consistent with what other sates or the federal
- regulations do. But I just wanted to be clear that
- this is put forward in, kind of, a spirit of
- compromise to hopefully meet objections or concerns
- of the IEPA's voice. If it does not accomplish
- that, I think that everyone in our organization
- would prefer not to see those figures included in
- the proposal.
- Having said that, to respond to
- the specific question about how we would manage
- 21 different types of waste, we really need to take it
- 22 element by element in these specific exemptions.
- Perhaps to address the easiest one first, the last
- one, which is a mixture of used oil and ignitable

- only characteristic waste, to understand that you
- 2 have to recognize that virtually all of the used oil
- managed by our company and by most of NORA's member
- 4 companies is recycled ultimately for uses of fuel.
- 5 It's burned for energy recovery, and in that context
- text, a material which has energy value and is
- burnable doesn't do anything to diminish the quality
- 8 of that fuel.
- 9 There are certain tests that are
- 10 common to our industry and specific to our company.
- 11 So for ignitable-only material, we would typically
- 12 -- if it's a hazardous waste, we would either be
- testing or relying on generator knowledge to
- establish that that's indeed what it was, that it
- only had the characteristic ignitability, which was
- subsequently diluted down in the used oil as allowed
- by federal and state regulations, and then we see no
- reason that that wouldn't make an acceptable fuel.
- 19 It does. Our customers know that it's a burnable
- 20 material, and it ends up being sold according to the
- used oil fuel specs.
- The same thing is true of mixtures
- 23 containing BTU characteristic hazardous wastes. We
- don't see much of that type of material, but it is

- possible to put that into used oil. The resulting
- 2 material, the mixture of used oil and other waste
- need to meet the used oil fuel specs for a variety
- 4 of contaminants before it's suitable to sell as a
- fuel, and so we would be testing the material before
- 6 we ship it to a third party fuel burner, who would
- burn it in accordance with their permits.
- The first category in the list,
- 9 mixture of used oil and hazardous waste for CESQGs,
- really the same logic -- as Mr. Harris articulated
- before, we don't look for or seek that type of
- material. What's -- our view about the exemption
- for CESQG hazardous waste is not that customers or
- 14 generators typically are seeking to mix hazardous
- waste into the used oil. We think that it was
- really a regulation, a framework that was designed
- and implemented by federal EPA to make it
- 18 particularly easy for the smallest generators to
- manage their waste without giving them incentive to
- dump things out back behind the shop.
- 21 And in doing that, Federal EPA
- said to generators, "If you have some small quantity
- of hazardous waste and you put that into your used
- oil, it seems to Federal EPA to be suitable to still

- 1 manage that as fuel. Most of these hazardous wastes
- 2 are typically chlorinated hydrocarbons,
- non-chlorinated hydrocarbons, paint wastes in small
- 4 quantities, and it's not practical for a small
- 5 generator to test and manage and ship that material
- off site. I think that's generally recognized,
- 7 which is why this exemption exists.
- Again, we're able to manage that
- by picking it up at the same time as other used oil
- through a system where we're aggregating large
- 11 quantities. Dilution is effectively how that
- material ends up entering the fuel supply, and below
- the thresholds that are considered safe for burnable
- 14 fuel. It's saleable and marketable in that fashion.
- 15 That's what happens to it.
- MS. FLOWERS: Okay. Can I just make a
- 17 clarification? Because our prior testimony we had
- 18 Chris Kosnoski (phonetic), one of our inspectors,
- 19 testify that there was ink found in with the used
- oil, and I -- under which category would ink fall?
- I mean, why would you even be picking up ink with
- used oil? I mean, how is the ink recycled?
- MR. RAY: Well, I can't speak to
- 24 Chris' example. I can tell you that our company

- wouldn't typically think of waste ink as being
- something that we would tell generators could or
- should be mixed into used oil. We can go through
- 4 the --
- 5 MS. FLOWERS: What would happen, then,
- 6 if it was?
- 7 MR. RAY: Okay. Let's dissect that a
- 8 little bit further. If a generator put waste ink
- 9 into their used oil, one scenario is that they would
- do that intentionally seeking to violate the
- 11 regulations around it. First of all, I guess we
- 12 have to ask is the ink exhibiting characteristics of
- a hazardous waste. I'm sorry this is complex, but
- there's a lot of different variables. So if a
- generator had released ink that contained heavy
- metals, which sometimes it does, and was otherwise a
- hazardous waste, then they would presumably be
- trying to fool their used oil transporter by mixing
- it into the used oil. In my company's case, we're
- 20 testing our generators' material before we pick it
- up, and we are also having them sign a certification
- that they haven't mixed hazardous waste into their
- used oil.
- So if they sought to fool us,

- whether it's under the proposed scenario or the
- scenario that's in place in the state today without
- any change to the rules, they could potentially fool
- 4 us, get that on to our truck, and create a problem
- 5 for us. We probably wouldn't recognize that problem
- 6 until we got the consolidated load to a destination
- 7 used oil facility which would test it and discover
- 8 the presence of a contaminant and let us know. Now
- our practice -- and this is not a regulatory
- 10 requirement, it's just how we operate our business
- 11 -- is that we're routinely taking samples from each
- generator at the time of pickup, so that presumably
- if we had a contamination problem downstream, we
- would make an effort to test all the samples of all
- the customers we thought had contributed to that
- load, and go back and find out who had slipped us a
- mickey or given us hazardous waste without properly
- disclosing it. Then we'd make them responsible for
- 19 the cost associated with our re-managing the waste,
- 20 and possibly filing manifested waste reports as
- necessary.
- MR. HARRIS: And if I can add to that,
- 23 it is under current law, well-established that it is
- the generator who has the principal obligation of

- 1 properly characterizing the waste material, and our
- 2 proposal does not in any way try to get ink into
- 3 this exemption. If it's going to be a special waste
- or a hazardous waste, that's not part of or --
- 5 that's not part of our proposal. Our proposal is
- 6 limited to the four categories that we've outlined.
- 7 So if there's a current problem with generators
- 8 slipping ink into the used oil, it's probably
- 9 improper and probably illegal now and would be
- under -- after our proposal is amended.
- MR. DRAGOVICH: I think our question
- is a little bit more general. It could be ink, it
- could be wastewater, it could be any material
- doesn't really have a fuel value itself. If it's in
- the oil after you receive it, how do you manage that
- 16 material?
- MR. RAY: I need to have a specific
- 18 case. Maybe I can try and --
- MR. LENZ: Water. You mentioned
- water, right?
- MR. DRAGOVICH: Yeah. Water was one
- of them.
- MR. RAY: Okay. If a generator --
- 24 let's talk about a particular generating process,

- and some of my prior letters and testimony both to
- 2 the Board and to the Agency, discuss this. You
- know, perhaps a very real world example, as
- 4 complicated and as messy as it is, would be a small
- 5 manufacturing plant, which has multiple sources of
- 6 used oil bearing waste that they, on their own
- 7 property, comingle into one tank. They may have
- 8 used oil that we would think of as a pretty pure,
- 9 dry used oil coming out a machine where they're
- 10 changing lubricant. They may have a cutting oil or
- coolant material that's part of a machining process.
- 12 It could be a synthetic oil, which has a lot of high
- concentration of water by intent, in terms of the
- way they use it.
- They could even have oil spills on
- the floor that they mop up, get a bucket of moppy
- wash water that has oil in it, and a shop will
- 18 probably, in many cases, comingle and combine those
- different related -- all oil-related oil-bearing
- streams into one tank. We see that commonly. We
- don't think that shops, like our customers, have
- been told that each of those are waste streams that
- must be kept segregated and tested separately and
- managed separately. We think that they view those

- as used oil contaminated materials that should be
- 2 comingled.
- Our business practice would be
- 4 that we would show up at that facility, we would
- 5 look at what their generating process was and learn
- a little bit about how they produced the used oil,
- 7 and then we'd take a sample of their used oil
- 8 material, and we'd sent it to a lab for rough
- 9 analytical work. Rough analytical work might
- include a scan for volatile organics, looking to see
- if they had any halogenated solvents present there.
- We'd be checking the flash point to make sure that
- this was not a low flash point material that was
- 14 ignitable, and therefore would bear a hazardous
- 15 characteristic.
- And we've been looking at the
- water concentration to try and understand as much as
- anything commercially how we would best manage this
- material, what the right destination facility would
- be, and what the economics would be. We'd make that
- evaluation, we would revisit the customer. This
- is -- all this analytical work is typically prior to
- our picking up the first load from that account.
- Then we would visit them with the analytical results

- in hand, and we would typically say "Your material
- 2 appears to have 20 percent water in it." We will
- quote you a price for that, we will manage it as
- 4 used oil, we will take it to a used oil recycling
- facility that will recover the used oil and treat
- 6 the wastewater prior to discharge.
- We would ask the generator to sign
- on every pickup the certification that their
- generating process had not changed, and that they
- 10 had mixed hazardous waste or regulated waste into
- their used oil, and we would periodically, then, be
- 12 testing -- we'd regularly be testing the finished
- collected material on a consolidated basis to
- validate that we weren't getting new contaminants
- into the stream of used oil we were picking up.
- 16 That customer's a great example of why we struggle
- with the proposal that we treat used oil differently
- 18 for materials subject to management as used oil.
- 19 If we were to go down this path
- that's been proposed of treated used oil differently
- 21 from materials subject to management, we would be
- 22 asking that customer to do a much more intensive
- 23 effort to decide for each of the individual
- oil/water mixtures, whether they want to choose to

- call that used oil or special waste, and they'd have
- to be kept segregated, and they might have to be
- 3 picked up separately with different paperwork.
- I believe you've noted that the
- 5 Illinois Manufacture's Association has filed
- 6 pre-filed testimony in support of the NORA position,
- 7 and this is one of the arguments that they
- 8 understand well, which is that separating or
- 9 differentiating used oil from the other materials
- that the federal and state system has historically
- allowed to be managed as used oil creates a new
- burden on the companies that generate these mix
- waste streams and they seek to avoid that. Did that
- 14 answer your question?
- MR. DRAGOVICH: Yeah. You said that
- you would treat the water and discharge it?
- MR. RAY: We would not -- our company
- would pick up the material and deliver it to a
- third-party processor, who he is an oil recycler,
- who recovers the used oil for reuse as typically an
- 21 industrial fuel. In certain cases they would be
- treating the used oil as a feedstock for a refinery
- making lubricants, and then they would be treating
- the wastewater and discharging it according to their

- 1 permits and relevant standards.
- MR. DRAGOVICH: Now would their
- 3 preference be to not have that wastewater in there?
- 4 I mean, there's not benefit to their process, right?
- MR. RAY: Well, I guess I would tell
- 6 you it would vary depending on the facility we take
- 7 the material to. Our company operates in a number
- of states, and we have a number of different
- 9 destination or outlet facilities. Some of those
- 10 facilities focus their business on recovering the
- maximum amount of used oil that's marketable. They
- would have effectively, from their point of view,
- accost in treating the wastewater, and they'd prefer
- to see the most dry or dewatered oil that they could
- ¹⁵ arrive at their facility.
- Other facilities have made it a
- business of treating oil-water mixtures, have the
- 18 technology and the permits in place to handle those
- materials, and the reason they would then be
- 20 comfortable receiving it is because they would be
- 21 paying less for that feedstock, or in certain cases
- charging to receive that feedstock, and their
- business model allows them to operate profitably by
- 24 paying less for higher water material and then

- 1 recovering the used oil from that.
- MR. DRAGOVICH: Do you ever -- when
- you do these visits to the facilities, do you ever
- 4 recommend to the customers that if there's -- if
- 5 their waste is already pre-segregated the low -- the
- 6 high concentrated fused oil and the low
- 7 concentrated, or no used oil in it, that perhaps
- 8 they -- it could impact the price if they kept the
- 9 materials separate?
- MR. RAY: Absolutely. That's a
- 11 correct statement. We do -- if customers have a
- 12 particular generating process where they're mixing
- things together that would be more efficient or
- economically handled separately, we'll encourage
- them to do that and point out the economics. You
- can imagine that a customer who had 1,000 gallons
- each of two commodities or products that had
- different water content might see a bigger benefit
- or more reason to do that than our typical really
- 20 small customers in the automotive segment who might
- 21 have a 200-gallon tank of oil and a 5-gallon bucket
- of mop water.
- And for them, the motivation to
- 24 keep that segregated, five gallons, how to test it

- separately, how somebody considered treated it a
- special waste to save 10 or 20 cents a gallon makes
- no sense to them, versus dumping that five gallons
- of water into a used oil tank. And that is the way
- 5 that people are used to doing business in this state
- and in other states, the generators are. Their view
- is that by taking that oily water stream and putting
- 8 it into their used oil tank and maybe getting a
- 9 little bit less value for their used oil, they're
- doing something that is not more protective of the
- environment, and in their view, more compliant with
- the spirit of environmental regulations than to take
- the mop water and dump it down the train and hope
- with an oil sheen and hope that it's not creating a
- problem for a wastewater treatment plant downstream.
- MR. DRAGOVICH: If the generator was
- to keep the two waste streams separate, would --
- 18 potentially through your business, would you elect
- 19 to send those to different treatment facilities, or
- would they always go to the same one?
- MR. RAY: It could be either way.
- Potentially we would send them to different
- 23 facilities. It would depend a lot in particular
- 24 with just the -- serving a specific area. It's very

- 1 location sensitive.
- MR. DRAGOVICH: And these -- these
- 3 small generators that you're talking about, do you
- 4 think they would be ones that generate less than 100
- 5 kilograms of hazardous waste a month and less than
- 6 100 kilograms of special waste a month, which is
- 7 about 30 gallons, roughly. Is that --
- MR. RAY: I'm going to tell you that
- 9 with respect to that, it varies. We're going to
- have some that will be CESQG, or small generators,
- very small generators, and we're going to have some
- that will still generate a significant amount of
- hazardous waste from other processes. It depends --
- there we need to talk quite a bit about whether
- we're talking -- discussing the segment of our
- business, which are automotive accounts, or
- industrial and manufacturing accounts.
- On the automotive side, which
- 19 represents roughly half of our business, those
- 20 customers are more likely to be the CESQGs. They
- don't produce or use as much -- use as much chemical
- 22 and produce as much hazardous waste. On the
- manufacturing side, it's quite normal that a small
- 24 manufacturer may generate small quantities of used

- oil but produce large quantities of other hazardous
- waste that needs to be managed and creates --
- 3 elevates them on a generator status.
- And I guess I'd also mention,
- because it seems relevant to me at this time, when
- 6 you're talking about our encouraging customers and
- 7 generators to segregate wastes, we've talked about
- 8 oil and water, but I'd take it a step further and
- 9 point out that our company is also engaged in the
- 10 hazardous waste business. So not only do we have
- the regulations regarding segregation and testing to
- deal with, but economically if you were my customer
- and you had a container of hazardous waste and you
- were thinking about mixing it into your used oil,
- would you say don't do that? It's going to be a
- regulatory prolem, but also economically I'd prefer
- to take that material out as hazardous waste. I've
- got a service program to do that, and I charge money
- and make money doing that business as well. So I'm
- not in the habit of encouraging customers to mix
- 21 different things into used oil to get --
- MS. FLOWERS: Can I just follow that
- up? Because wouldn't -- now what you're proposing,
- that would be now taken of the table. You're just

- saying "I would encourage them not to put it in
- there." But now if you -- if this goes into effect,
- why would you not? Now it's not going to be an
- 4 economic detriment right, right? And now it's not
- 5 going to be illegal.
- 6 MR. RAY: Are you asking -- well, let
- 7 me see if I can rephrase the question if I
- 8 understand it, Ms. Flowers. Are you saying under
- 9 the proposed rules, the NORA proposal, why wouldn't
- 10 I tell a customer to mix their hazardous waste into
- 11 their used oil?
- MS. FLOWERS: Correct.
- MR. RAY: Okay. A number of reasons.
- 14 First, it has the potential -- you know, we'd have
- to look carefully at the rules to see if under the
- 16 regulations particular scenario was allowed, and
- 17 certainly only some kinds of hazardous waste mixing
- used oil are allowed. A typical --
- MS. FLOWERS: Right. But you are --
- you are saying that that should be allowed. That's
- the language here.
- MR. RAY: Well, the language here is
- intended. And Mr. Harris already commented -- it's
- intended to preserve the way that state rules work

- today. It may not be drafted property, but it's
- 2 intended to preserve the exemptions that are on the
- 3 books today, not to add new mixing capabilities,
- 4 simply to extend a manifest exemption to the type of
- operational logistical behavior that the state rules
- 6 currently allow. So are you shaking your head you
- 7 don't agree with that?
- MS. FLOWERS: Yeah. I'm confused then
- ⁹ why are we here. If there are existing rules, then
- why are you proposing new ones?
- MR. HARRIS: I think what he meant
- when he said state rules, he means the -- all of the
- other states and the federal rules. Our proposal
- simply would track the Federal Part 279 requirements
- and the surrounding states surrounding Illinois.
- Obviously we need a rule change with respect to
- 17 Illinois' requirements.
- MR. RAY: We're not looking to
- 19 create -- and correct me if you think I'm saying
- 20 this wrong -- but we're not looking to create an
- opportunity to begin to mix something into used oil
- post adoption that is not --
- MS. FLOWERS: How does your language,
- though, prevent that? How does your language -- if

- 1 you had an incident -- with you -- with the ink with
- used oil, how does the language that you're
- 3 proposing prevent those source of mixtures? It
- 4 seems to encourage them.
- MR. RAY: Well, I may not be answering
- 6 your question.
- 7 MR. RAO: May I ask -- interject and
- 8 ask a question. Hopefully it might help. Under the
- 9 current rules, as long as you manifest your pickups
- of used oil from different generators, you can do
- whatever that you have proposed here. Am I right?
- MR. RAY: That's my understanding,
- 13 yes. That's the position.
- MR. RAO: Because 739 Section 110 has
- these provisions in the rules. The only thing
- that's different in Illinois is you need to manifest
- 17 your -- you know, your waste collection whether it's
- used oil or if it has anything else in it, but you
- can still manage what you collect in accordance with
- our Part 379 rules.
- MR. RAY: Yes. That's my
- understanding.
- MR. HARRIS: Yes.
- MR. RAY: I think that's --

- MR. RAO: Okay. And you're not
- 2 proposing more than what's already in the rules, but
- you're proposing some limitations on them?
- MR. RAY: That's my view, yes.
- MR. HARRIS: We are proposing that
- 6 these four categories of materials which are
- 7 regulated as used oil under Part 279 be exempt from
- 8 the manifest requirements and only the manifest
- 9 requirements.
- MR. RAO: Thank you.
- MR. DRAGOVICH: Can you -- are you
- done, Stephanie? Can I go back to my previous
- question? I think you answered my question on small
- quantity generated waste for hazardous waste, but
- 15 I'd like a better understanding of whether you
- think -- and I think it was mainly your automotive
- customers you're saying, would they be small
- quantity generators of non-special ways, which means
- do they generate less than 30 gallons a month? Do
- you think that's a big part of your business, or do
- you think most of your customers can rate more than
- that quantity?
- MR. RAY: I don't have great
- 24 statistics on that, sir. I think that in the

- 1 automotive segment, which is about half our
- business, I would guess that by number of customers,
- 3 the number that we think are conditionally exempt
- 4 small quantity generators that might be in the
- 5 neighborhood of 50 percent, and I'm assuming that
- 6 you're trying with your quantity figures to get to
- 7 the exemptions --
- MR. DRAGOVICH: No. Well, underneath
- ⁹ the special waste regulations, there's an exemption
- 10 from manifesting for generators that generate less
- than 100 kilograms of special waste per month.
- MR. RAY: Okay.
- MR. DRAGOVICH: And I'm trying to
- 14 figure out really how big of an impact this has,
- because if a lot of these generators are already
- exempt from manifest, I'm not sure what I'm doing
- here today.
- MR. RAY: Well, I --
- MR. LENZ: Let me interject something.
- The used oil normally throws most generators -- if
- you count the used oil as a special waste.
- MR. DRAGOVICH: Right.
- MR. LENZ: That will throw almost all
- generators over that -- over that exemption

- 1 threshold.
- MR. DRAGOVICH: For your company?
- MR. LENZ: No, over the exemption
- 4 threshold, the 100 -- is it 200 pounds a month?
- 5 MR. DRAGOVICH: It's -- yeah. It's
- 6 220 I think.
- 7 MR. LENZ: Yeah.
- MR. DRAGOVICH: It's about roughly
- 9 30 gallons.
- MR. LENZ: Because if they -- if they
- generate used oil, they're normally generating more
- than that amount.
- MR. DRAGOVICH: Do you -- how often --
- 14 how often do you pick up and how many gallons? Just
- on an average. I'm just trying to get an idea how
- big these generators are.
- MR. RAY: And do you want to give -- I
- can give you averages if you'd like.
- MR. LENZ: 55 gallons to
- 20 6,500 gallons.
- MR. RAY: An average number, I think,
- from what I've seen of the industry would suggest
- that across all types of generators, auto and
- 24 manufacturing, large and small, that the average

- generator might be generating 2,000 gallons a year,
- probably between 1,000 and 2,000 gallons a year of
- used oil. And as Mr. Lenz says, we definitely have
- 4 customers at the small end of that who generate
- 5 50 gallons a year, and we've got customers who
- 6 generate 1,000 gallons a week.
- 7 MR. LENZ: We try to get the real
- 8 small generators to store up more than one drum of
- 9 oil before they call for a pickup, just for economic
- reasons. In fact, those small generators at that
- level are actually normally charged a little bit for
- 12 pickup because your transportation costs are so high
- compared to the value you're getting.
- MR. DRAGOVICH: How big a quantity do
- you recommend that they hold?
- MR. LENZ: Well, I think it's probably
- different for every company, but if they get up
- around three or four drums of oil, which would be
- 19 150, 200 gallons, then it -- the point gets to where
- you don't have to charge and can possibly pay a
- 21 little bit for the material.
- MR. DRAGOVICH: Do you think most of
- these generators you're picking up once a month
- 24 then?

- MR. LENZ: Oh, that varies all over
- the board. There's once a years, there's once every
- 3 three months.
- 4 MR. RAY: Again, speaking in terms
- from my own self, based on what I think industry
- 6 averages have been, I think if you talk about that
- 7 1,000 to 2,000 gallon mean number that customer is
- 9 probably having their used oil picked up, on
- 9 average, four or five times a year.
- MR. DRAGOVICH: Okay. Go ahead.
- 11 Become to your questions.
- MS. FLOWERS: Well, I mean, who are we
- with now? Are we back to Mr. Harris' testimony?
- 14 Are we --
- MR. FOX: If I can add my observation,
- you had questions for Mr. Harris based on his
- testimony and the proposal by NORA that he had some
- 18 assistance in answering on the technical aspects of
- which if you wanted to return to Mr. Harris, he
- 20 appears to be willing to entertain further
- 21 questions.
- MS. FLOWERS: Okay. So, I mean, I
- think we were left off talking about where you got
- the 50 percent, and then what happens to the

- other -- the other non-used oil portion of that
- where -- how's that recycled? So now, I mean, can
- you explain your 5000 BTU? That seems like another
- 4 arbitrary limit and just how -- and how would that
- 5 be enforceable? How would you see that being an
- 6 enforceable limit?
- 7 MR. HARRIS: The 5000 BTUs per pound
- 8 is not something NORA invented. That's basically an
- 9 EPA standard in which it's recognized to be fuel
- value if you're at that level. Below that level EPA
- has in the past considered it to be non -- non-fuel
- material. Above 5000 BTUs per pound is considered
- to be valuable fuel quality. So that's our -- we
- didn't invent the 5,000. We adopted it simply
- because it's a recognized level that EPA has used
- 16 repeatedly in the past.
- How would it be enforceable? It's
- 18 enforceable by every -- every other aspect of used
- oil or hazardous waste regulations when there's a
- dividing line. It's obligatory on the part of the
- generators and the transporters and the collectors
- 22 and the recyclers to observe it that. There are BTU
- tasks that can be used. There's a fair amount of
- the regulations, which depend on generator

- certification, but that's -- again, that's nothing
- that NORA invented. That's something that EPA, when
- 3 it first came up with RCRA regulations, says. The
- 4 generator -- thou shalt properly characterize
- 5 your -- your waste materials. And so if the
- 6 generator in this case is saying "Okay. Well I'm
- 7 dealing with a 5,000 BTU per pound component of this
- 8 material, " then I think collections are entitled to
- 9 rely on that provided they don't have contrary
- information, and in many cases our members have
- 11 profiles. They visit facilities, they check out the
- types of generation that is occurring, because it
- doesn't do our members any good to somehow get in
- trouble or to have this cozy relationship with a
- generator where there's a wink, wink, nudge, nudge
- and they're flying under the radar and trying to
- evade EPA or IEPA rules. That's not our -- that's
- not our purpose.
- So is there a certain component of
- self-enforcement and generator reliance on the
- generator characterization? That's true, provided
- there isn't contrary information that suggests that
- 23 the generator is trying to -- as Mr. Ray said --
- 24 slip us a mickey.

- MS. FLOWERS: Well, where is your --
- where is your requirement for generator
- 3 specification?
- 4 MR. HARRIS: Well, it's on the -- it's
- on virtually every bill of lading that exists, but
- it's also a requirement in RCRA and the used oil
- 7 rules that the generator must properly characterize
- 8 his waste. That's a standard, basic, embedded
- 9 component of RCRA that the generator must properly
- 10 characterize its waste materials.
- MS. FLOWERS: Let me --
- MR. LENZ: It's also outlined in
- language.
- MS. FLOWERS: Yeah. Where is it
- outlined? Because I don't see in your language that
- there's any generator certification to this -- in
- this at all.
- MR. LENZ: 739.124, it says the
- 19 generator must provide the client with the
- classification and description of the non-used oil
- 21 streams. It's basically --
- MS. FLOWERS: Where is that?
- MR. LENZ: 739.124, in our proposed
- language.

- MR. HARRIS: It's on Page 15.
- MS. FLOWERS: Oh.
- MR. LENZ: There isn't that
- 4 requirement right now.
- MS. FLOWERS: So you're talking about
- the language that you -- not in the 739.124 that's
- 7 already established. You're talking about your
- 8 language?
- 9 MR. LENZ: Mm-hmm, our proposal.
- MS. FLOWERS: Can you show me where it
- 11 says that?
- MR. LENZ: 739.124. It says -- do you
- want me to read the whole paragraph?
- MS. FLOWERS: Yeah, please.
- MR. LENZ: Okay.
- MS. FLOWERS: Where it says
- "certification" or anything that --
- MR. LENZ: It starts out "if the
- generator." Do you see where that starts?
- MS. FLOWERS: Mm-hmm.
- MR. LENZ: "If the generator mixes
- 22 another special hazardous waste stream in the used
- oil after use or presents another special hazardous
- waste to the used oil transporter along with the

- used oil in the same shipment without the use of
- 2 part 809 special waste manifest, as outlined in a
- line under Part 739.110, applicability, the Board
- 4 notes the generator must provide the quantity,
- 5 classification, and description of the non-used oil
- 6 streams, and provide such information to the
- 7 transporter at the time of acceptance by the
- 8 transporter."
- 9 MR. HARRIS: And if you would prefer
- the verb certify as opposed to provide, we'll be
- 11 happy to change that.
- MS. FLOWERS: Where are the penalties
- 13 for noncompliance?
- MR. LENZ: It would be similar to,
- let's say, they put ignitability -- or ignitable
- only oil in the -- or ignitable only waste in the
- oil and didn't tell us about it.
- MS. FLOWERS: But what -- but there
- are penalties to not filling out a manifest.
- There -- if you're just talking about this
- 21 particular must provide, there is no penalties.
- Where is the penalties associated with this
- 23 requirement?
- MR. LENZ: I don't -- I don't really

- 1 have an answer for that.
- MR. HARRIS: Well, I think that's an
- 3 excellent suggestion. So we can provide some
- 4 penalties that would track identically the
- 5 existing --
- 6 MR. LENZ: The penalties aren't
- 7 normally in the regulatory language, are they?
- 8 They're not normally spelled out in the regulatory
- 9 language.
- MR. HARRIS: But if the Board or the
- 11 Agency wants a cross reference to -- or make it
- 12 clear that a false certification has penalties, we'd
- 13 be happy to do that. That's not a -- that's not a
- problem. I mean, I would think that anything under
- the RCRA system, and this would be, there are false
- 16 reporting requirements under the existing RCRA
- language, and those would come into play. But if
- you want even more explicit standards, I'm happy to
- 19 draft those for you.
- MR. LENZ: What -- isn't there
- 21 standards if you don't -- I mean, there's penalties
- if you don't follow part 739, right?
- MR. HARRIS: Right.
- MR. LENZ: Well, it would be the same

- penalty. Wouldn't the same penalties apply if
- you're breaking part of existing Part 739
- regulation? Right now there's penalties, right?
- 4 Those same penalties would apply because this is
- 5 Part 739, wouldn't they?
- 6 MS. FLOWERS: I'm not sure. And is --
- 7 would the generator require to do some sort of
- 8 testing? I mean, I know we've talked a lot about
- 9 testing this material and this is how we'd figure
- out the 5,000 BTU and the 50 percent and the
- limitations you put on there, but is there a
- requirement to do so?
- MR. RAY: The --
- MR. HARRIS: As you are well aware,
- the Board is well aware, the generator, whether it's
- in a hazardous waste context or used oil is allowed
- to test, or based on generator knowledge be able to
- say here's what this material is.
- For example, if you are at Jiffy
- Lube, and all you did all day long, all week long,
- 21 all year long is generate used oil, then you know,
- 22 based on generator knowledge, that's the material
- that you are generating, and that's -- that's a well
- established component of EPA regulations as well as

- 1 IEPA regulations. If testing is required, for
- 2 example, if you had a generator who's waste varied
- 3 considerably, testing would be called for because
- 4 the generator knowledge wouldn't be reliable in that
- 5 context.
- But is generator knowledge
- 7 allowed? Yes, and it's well established. We didn't
- invent that rule, it's well established. It seems
- 9 to me it still can be applicable here, and so you
- would know -- a generator may well know that the
- material that they put in is so far above 5,000 BTUs
- 12 per pound that they don't need to do the testing,
- because they know precisely what that material is.
- MS. FLOWERS: Okay. Well --
- MR. LENZ: Can I interject something
- real quick that may clear it up a little more?
- Oftentimes they get information like that. I'm not
- sure about BTU value, but maybe so. They can get a
- 19 lot of information off their -- the MSDS sheets for
- the products that become the waste that we're
- getting. That's where the generator gets a lot of
- 22 its knowledge from.
- MS. FLOWERS: Okay. Because I guess
- where I'm confused is -- I mean, you're talking

- about processes and you go out to these places and
- they have a well-established process, but how about
- 3 somebody that doesn't have a well-established
- 4 process? I mean, where -- where are your
- 5 requirements for that person and what they're mixing
- 6 with used oil?
- 7 MR. HARRIS: Can I --
- MS. FLOWERS: In other words, there
- 9 needs to be some sort of testing --
- MR. HARRIS: That's a decent question,
- but remember that we're only suggesting a modest
- paperwork change. So if you want a system in which
- there is perfect information and elaborate testing,
- if that's what you want then you need to propose
- that, because that isn't in the existing system even
- with a manifest. It just doesn't exist. We're
- simply saying let's transfer that information on to
- a bill of lading in tracking that document. So it's
- 19 interesting and perhaps useful to discuss what a
- 20 perfect system would be, but EPA didn't invent that
- 21 system back when they originally created the RCRA
- regulations in 1978 and 1980, and they didn't do it
- in 1985 and '92 with the EPA -- with the used oil
- 24 regulations.

- 1 They didn't do it because there
- 2 are a number of compromises involved in that,
- because a perfect system is too burdensome and too
- 4 expensive as EPA has recognized, and what
- we're suggesting -- and so if there isn't a testing
- 6 requirement right now, we're not suggesting that one
- be created, we're suggesting that the generator who
- 8 wants to certify that his material contains more
- 9 than 5,000 BTUs per pound can do so, and can do so
- based on generator knowledge.
- When I give lectures on this in
- different formats, I say you can -- the -- my guys
- can rely on it unless they find out differently,
- unless they -- there's information that suggests
- differently. We're not going to have a situation
- where the transporters say "Okay. Well, we know
- that it's below 5,000, but we'll go along with it
- anyway because the generators certified it." That's
- an unacceptable practice. We are entitled to rely
- on the generator certification, but my advice to my
- members is if you have contrary information, don't
- 22 accept that material.
- MS. FLOWERS: So can you -- can you
- 24 please describe what you mean by classification and

- description that the generator must provide?
- MR. HARRIS: Well, can you -- sure.
- MS. FLOWERS: Yeah. It's in that same
- 4 paragraph you were looking at.
- 5 MR. HARRIS: Yes.
- 6 MS. FLOWERS: It says the generator
- 7 must provide the quantity, classification and
- 8 description.
- 9 MR. HARRIS: Well, let's say that
- we're -- let's say that we're talking about the
- mixture of fuel -- I'm sorry. We're dealing with
- this provision. This would be the small
- conditionally exempt small quantity generator, so
- there would be a basic description of the waste
- material, the hazardous waste material components.
- 16 So if it was parts cleaning solvent, that would be
- described. If it was specifically mineral spirits,
- that would be described.
- MR. RAO: And just -- this
- 20 classification is described on your tracking form?
- MR. HARRIS: Yes, correct.
- MR. DRAGOVICH: It seems like you
- 23 addressed description but not classification.
- What's -- what do you mean by classification then?

- 1 Are you talking about hazardous waste classification
- 2 then?
- MR. HARRIS: Well, there might -- yes.
- 4 There might be --
- MR. DRAGOVICH: I just want to
- 6 understand what the term means.
- 7 MR. HARRIS: Yes. There might be an
- 8 F002 classification or F005.
- 9 MR. DRAGOVICH: So should that have
- been -- should that wording be in hazardous
- classification then as opposed to just -- I'm just
- trying to understand why that wording -- why you
- selected that wording.
- MR. HARRIS: Well, if you want to get
- into the improvements on the wordsmithing, I'd be
- happy to do it. So if you'd prefer hazardous waste
- 17 classification, I'd be happy to add --
- MR. LENZ: Well, that applies -- I
- don't want to interrupt, but that applies to
- nonhazardous too, right? So that's -- that's
- 21 applying to all 4 of our categories, not just the
- 22 hazardous waste category.
- MR. DRAGOVICH: So, I mean, what --
- could you give me an example of what the

- 1 classification would be then?
- MR. LENZ: Well, let's say for
- 3 wastewater it would be wastewater nonhazardous.
- 4 MR. DRAGOVICH: Okay.
- 5 MR. LENZ: Wastewater is a
- 6 description, nonhazardous is a classification.
- 7 Basically the same as manifesting.
- MR. DRAGOVICH: Is that a DOT
- 9 classification, then, that you're talking about?
- MR. LENZ: It's basically when we --
- MR. DRAGOVICH: Just a generic --
- MR. LENZ: -- put this together we
- were going off of similar -- I believe on the
- manifest it asks for description and classification.
- MR. DRAGOVICH: Okay. So you're
- 16 parallel in --
- MR. LENZ: Yeah, parallel in the
- 18 manifest.
- MR. DRAGOVICH: I think that -- yeah.
- MS. FLOWERS: Why are we getting rid
- of the manifest then if you're willing to provide --
- MR. HARRIS: Let me -- as I tried to
- explain before, we would like to have one document.
- MS. FLOWERS: Why isn't there one

- 1 document?
- MR. HARRIS: Because you're requiring
- 3 a manifest.
- 4 MS. FLOWERS: But the manifest would
- 5 cover all the used oil regulations. Why would you
- 6 have two?
- 7 MR. HARRIS: Well, we're suggesting --
- MS. FLOWERS: There only needs to be
- one, and it only needs to be the manifest.
- MR. HARRIS: We're suggesting that
- there be one document. It'd be essentially this for
- the four categories of materials we're talking about
- that would be a combination of the DOT requirements,
- the business requirements of the company, and the
- requirements that you're asking for in terms of the
- manifest information, all combined in one document,
- a front and a back sheet.
- MS. FLOWERS: So what part doesn't the
- manifest do? It doesn't supply the DOT records, it
- doesn't supply your business records. Which part
- 21 doesn't the manifest --
- MR. HARRIS: It certainly doesn't
- 23 provide the business records nor all of the tracking
- 24 information.

- MR. LENZ: Used oil tracking
- ² information.
- MR. JOHNSON: Which is replicated on
- 4 this form that you have proposed that you used to
- satisfy all three of those requirements, right?
- 6 MR. LENZ: 739 requirements.
- 7 MR. HARRIS: That's correct.
- MR. FOX: And let me interject, if I
- 9 may. Ms. Liu was kind enough to produce copies of
- 10 this document --
- MR. HARRIS: Yes.
- MR. FOX: -- Mr. Harris that you had
- provided, and have you had a chance to look it over
- and verify it is the document you, yourself,
- prepared in a different format?
- MR. HARRIS: Yes, Mr. Fox, and I
- 17 appreciate your indulgence and assistance
- 18 on --
- MR. FOX: Would you wish to move that
- 20 into the record at this hearing as Exhibit
- 21 Number 19?
- MR. HARRIS: Yes. I move that this be
- entered into evidence as Exhibit 19.
- MR. FOX: Very well. I know that Ms.

- 1 Liu had distributed copies to the people in our
- 2 audience and the Agency as well. Is there any
- objection on any grounds to admitting this as
- 4 Exhibit Number 19?
- 5 MS. FLOWERS: No.
- 6 MR. FOX: It will be marked and
- admitted as Exhibit Number 19, and thank you,
- 8 Mr. Harris, for letting me interrupt you.
- 9 MR. HARRIS: Thank you, Mr. Fox.
- MS. FLOWERS: Okay. But there is no
- 11 requirement that will look anything like this,
- 12 right? I mean, it could just be a blank sheet of
- paper, all I got to do is quantity, classification,
- 14 description?
- MR. HARRIS: Ms. --
- MS. FLOWERS: I mean, really. I mean,
- there's people who have wrote it on napkins. So I
- mean, what are we talking about here?
- MR. HARRIS: Ms. Flowers, in your
- comments, which I've paid very close attention to
- that are recorded in the -- in the Board's opinion
- 22 and order of May 1st, I think it's on Page 31, you
- 23 said the information that would be on the --
- wouldn't be complete, you know, if we didn't -- if

- we adopted NORA's proposal, the information that the
- 2 Agency could eventually look at if it wanted to
- wouldn't be complete. You wanted all of the
- 4 information on Part 830 -- Part 839 -- or Part 7 --
- 5 sorry.
- 6 MR. LENZ: 739.
- 7 MR. HARRIS: -- 739 and part 809, and
- 8 so we said okay, fine. Let's provide that. So
- 9 that's the purpose of having the -- on the second
- half the additional special waste application if
- 11 applicable. You wanted the dates generated, you
- 12 wanted the additional description --
- MS. FLOWERS: I understand all that,
- but there's no requirement that this -- there's no
- requirement that this form be the thing that's
- 16 filled out.
- MR. HARRIS: Yes. We -- when Claire
- 18 Manning put her proposal together for the changed --
- for the tracking document, we would -- we would say
- 20 all of the applicable information that you're asking
- 21 for be put in there. So that's already proposed
- before the Board.
- 23 MR. RAY: And if it's not included in
- the form, again, I think that our intent here was to

- concentrate on what we thought were the substantive
- issues and differences, and if in terms of form you
- think that it would be more appropriate for us to
- 4 describe what's included here and include that as
- 5 part of the regulatory change, which I think Mr.
- 6 Harris --
- MS. FLOWERS: If you don't, no one has
- 8 to provide it.
- 9 MS. MOORE: I'm sorry. I couldn't
- 10 hear you.
- MS. FLOWERS: If you do not
- specifically state in the regulations, then no one
- is obliged to provide it.
- MR. RAY: You know, what I was saying,
- 15 I believe I understood Mr. Harris to have said
- earlier this morning that we would be prepared to
- amend our filing and to include specific language in
- the proposal that would identify the relevant
- 19 fields, and that we wanted do that in a way that
- would be cooperative with the Agency. We're happy
- 21 to do that. Did I misstate any of that?
- MR. HARRIS: No you haven't misstated,
- and we already have a basic generic proposal
- suggesting that all the information that you now

- have, all of the relevant information that you now
- 2 require my a manifest, would be placed in the
- 3 tracking document. That's already a proposal that
- 4 NORA has made. If you want to get even more
- 5 specific, and --
- 6 MS. FLOWERS: Can you -- can you
- 5 specifically say where that's included? Because
- 8 I --
- 9 MR. JOHNSON: I can't hear you,
- 10 Stephanie. What?
- MS. FLOWERS: Can he specifically show
- where that is stated in the language that's already
- been provided?
- MR. HARRIS: I'd have to look it up,
- but it definitely is in the record, because Claire
- Manning made that proposal on NORA's behalf. It's a
- short proposal, and it basically says that the
- manifest information will be included in the
- 19 tracking document.
- MR. RAY: But to get past the history
- 21 for a minute, we've said we will include that in
- proposed regulations. I think that the substantive
- issue we wanted to try and resolve, you know, and
- the thing that we thought was the point of

- difference in dispute that we needed to get beyond
- is this issue about including in the exemption for
- manifesting not only used oil as defined, but the
- 4 materials that are regulated as used oil. I think
- if we get past that issue and can agree to that,
- 6 then I think that the next step, the other things
- 7 that you're looking for in the actual language, the
- 8 cleanup that needs to occur can all be done readily
- 9 and cooperatively with the Agency providing input.
- The stumbling block here has been
- just making sure that we agree with what we're
- defining the exemption to apply to. And beyond
- that, we'd welcome your help on the various issues
- we've talking about with that, defining the form
- about if you wish to find a generator certification
- that would need to exist. These are things we've
- tried to put, and I just apologize we're not experts
- in the matter of writing regulations, but we thought
- that we needed to get clear this issue about the
- other materials defined and managed as used oil and
- 21 getting past that.
- MR. RAO: Mr. Harris, you had proposed
- some language changes to Section 739.146, which
- deals with tracking, and if you -- can you please

- take a look at that and tell us if that's your
- 2 attempt to enter this information in the document?
- MR. HARRIS: If you're referring to
- 4 the proposal made by -- on NORA's behalf by Claire
- 5 Manning where it talking about --
- 6 MR. RAO: No I'm talking about --
- 7 MR. HARRIS: -- what uses are we going
- 8 to --
- 9 MR. RAO: It's on Page 16,
- 10 Section 739.146, Subsection 86.
- MR. HARRIS: Yes, I see it. Well.
- this was meant to go hand in hand with the previous
- proposal, which is that because we are -- this is a
- little bit frustrating, because we're responding to
- 15 IEPA's concerns that are reflected in the Board's
- opinion, I think, on Page 31 that it wanted more
- information, it wanted the manifest information. So
- what we're saying is fine, you want to information,
- we have no problem with that. Let's put that in the
- tracking document, and now we're being subjected to
- questions of well, it isn't sufficiently spelled
- 22 out.
- Okay. We've been trying to sit
- down with the Agency to work out a compromise that

- we would present jointly. They haven't been
- 2 interested in that, so the dialogue is occurring
- 3 here and now instead of previously. If the dialogue
- 4 had occurred before, we could probably present
- 5 language that we both have worked out and it would
- 6 meet your concerns. NORA's only effort here is to
- 7 try to meet -- on this point try to meet IEPA's
- 8 concerns about the information it needs. And again,
- ⁹ this is not information that it wants to receive,
- because they don't want a copy of this. They don't
- want a copy of the manifest, but they want our
- members to have it stored and readily available for
- its inspection, which is fine. So let's -- let's
- agree on the language that we'll make sure that the
- information that would otherwise be in the manifest
- is in the tracking document, and of course would be
- stored for three years and available for IEPA
- inspection.
- MS. FLOWERS: So we're going to have a
- manifest exemption, but we're going to require the
- same exact words, the same exact form.
- MR. HARRIS: That's exactly what you
- asked for. By virtue of your concern about our
- 24 proposal, you said we're missing this information.

- MS. FLOWERS: What does that get you
- if you -- if you just take the manifest exemption,
- 3 if you give your manifest exemption out of 808 and
- 4 809 and then require the exact same form in 739,
- 5 what are you gaining?
- 6 MR. HARRIS: Well, it's the same
- 7 information, but it's not the same form.
- MR. JOHNSON: What did you say --
- 9 MR. GIRARD: Can I ask a --
- MR. JOHNSON: -- that for each of
- these manifests you estimated cost at least \$18 a
- piece to produce? So that's what they're saving.
- 13 They're saving doing multiple tracking and multiple
- 14 manifests.
- MR. GIRARD: Can I ask a dumb
- question, though? Instead of calling this a
- 17 tracking document, what if we just call it a
- manifest and put the information on there? Why does
- it have to be called a tracking document?
- MS. MOORE: Because it's part of the
- 21 IDOT thing.
- MR. LENZ: We got that language from
- 739. That's what it's called. You have to have a
- tracking document under there. So that's where that

- 1 came from.
- MR. GIRARD: But what I'm trying to
- understand is if all the information that used to be
- 4 in the manifest is now in the tracking document, we
- 5 call it the tracking document/manifest, what's wrong
- 6 with that? You're still putting the information in
- 7 there.
- MR. HARRIS: Well, speaking, then, I
- guess, only for myself, because I haven't consulted
- with my colleagues here, but if the -- if the
- 11 tracking document shipping paper, if you wanted to
- label it as a manifest, that would be fine. Our
- interest is pretty simple, which is on one piece of
- 14 paper, a front and back, there would be the three
- components, the information that IEPA says that they
- need from the manifest. Okay, you got it. The
- business information, which is very important
- because that allows the businesses to operate with
- one piece of paper, and remember we're dealing with
- drivers here. We're not dealing -- we're not
- dealing with Harvard educated accountants. We're
- dealing with drivers who have got to fill this out.
- 23 And the third component is the required department
- of transportation materials. So on one document --

- MR. LENZ: Well there's actually a
- fourth requirement.
- MR. HARRIS: A fourth requirement.
- 4 MR. LENZ: A 739 requirement.
- MR. HARRIS: And 739 requirement. So
- in one document, we'd have these four components of
- information much more manageable, and then we don't
- 8 have to have another \$18 per piece of paper.
- 9 MR. FOX: In other words, the 739.146
- tracking document, or whatever name might ultimately
- 11 be given to it, is the vehicle for collecting the
- information that satisfies all of the four
- 13 requirements you've described without the cost and
- other issues involved in duplicating the four
- 15 documents?
- MR. HARRIS: Correct.
- MS. FLOWERS: So actually this form
- would be actually even more information than a
- 19 manifest?
- MR. HARRIS: That is correct. That is
- 21 correct. And we're responding, again, to your
- concerns.
- MR. RAY: Traditionally -- and this
- 24 may be our -- I want to respond about the -- whether

- the semantics of the form matter. It may be our
- 2 narrow vision, but I think traditionally, because of
- the industry we operate in, we think of the manifest
- 4 as being highly prescribed multipart, inflexible
- form. And as we want to have the ability for
- 6 companies to add their own business information, my
- 7 company might want to collect information that his
- 8 company doesn't require in terms of business
- 9 statistics. If we think about that, it doesn't
- naturally flow that we would say that we have
- designed a manifest and we get concurrence to use a
- universal manifest that would meet the flexibility,
- and of course the state has in the past had their
- own forms or manifest that are preprinted and we
- 15 have rendered the cure.
- That's changed. We potentially
- 17 could follow your suggestion, you know, where we
- 18 could define a manifest to be flexible. I think we
- were approaching it from the other angle and saying
- really the manifest usually means this rigid state
- 21 prescribed form, and we might want the flexibility
- to do something different and call it something
- different. There also is, while probably no
- confusion on the part of our company, likely to be

- some small confusion on the part of our customer
- generators. They know what a manifest looks like,
- 3 they know what it means to have a manifest, and we
- 4 would be bringing in something that presumably would
- 5 look a little different, and then saying this is
- 6 also a manifest, and I think that they might be a
- 7 little bit confused or bothered by the distinction
- 8 there between what they've traditionally seen as
- 9 federal hazardous manifest forms, uniform hazardous
- waste manifest forms, state special waste forms, and
- now a new document that was customizable that we
- 12 also called a manifest.
- MR. RAO: Along the same lines, you
- 14 know, in terms of what information needs to be on
- this tracking document --
- MR. RAY: Yes.
- MR. RAO: -- do you believe that
- 18 minimum information that the IEPA wants or what
- 19 you'd like to see should be specified clearly in the
- 20 rules?
- MR. HARRIS: Let me respond to that.
- The answer is yes, and it much depends on whether
- you are going along with our proposal for these four
- 24 categories. If you did, then I think the rules

- should say these four categories need to be
- described, that is the tracking document only works
- for these four categories of special waste. And so
- 4 those would be on the form, so you'd have -- on this
- 5 portion, this would be a little bit lodger and would
- 6 specify those four categories, assuming you went
- 7 along with the four categories.
- MR. RAO: At least those pertaining to
- 9 waste -- you know, related information pertaining to
- the Part 739 and special waste?
- MR. HARRIS: We're --
- MR. RAO: Because I don't know whether
- we specify what business information that you want
- 14 to have in the --
- MR. HARRIS: That's correct. I'm
- sorry if I -- I think I'm in complete agreement with
- you, which is since we're asking for an exemption
- 18 from the manifest requirement for these four
- 19 categories of special waste, they would be reflected
- here, and we would say -- we would list those, and
- 21 it only would apply to those categories.
- MR. RAY: Can I interrupt? I think
- what he's asking is really do we also agree, and I
- do, that the regulations as promulgated would have

- 1 language which would say each tracking document will
- 2 have a field identifying the type of waste or
- 3 certification the customer signs that they haven't
- 4 mixed hazardous waste and such, and we'd really
- 5 write merit of description of what the mandatory
- 6 elements of an acceptable tracking form will
- 7 include.
- MR. HARRIS: That's right. Now I'm in
- 9 complete agreement with what Mr. Ray has just said.
- 10 What our -- Claire Manning proposed before was a
- little bit more generic, saying the information that
- normally would be on the manifest. But if we get
- more detail and direction from the Board and
- consultation with IEPA on this, then we can be very
- precise about exactly what you want on this tracking
- document.
- MR. JOHNSON: And each company -- I
- mean, this is titled ABC recycling -- would have
- their own form. The only thing in common would be
- each of them would have each of the specific
- 21 requirements that we list in the rule that they need
- 22 to do that are currently on the manifest, but now
- that they're exempt from the manifest we'll be on
- 24 this document?

1 MR. HARRIS: Yes, sir. 2 MR. JOHNSON: Okay. 3 MR. HARRIS: I know there's a little moment of silence here. There has been some discussion about the cost of the manifest, and I 5 6 just want to remind you that the gentleman from 7 Safety Clean is prepared to discuss that, because it 8 was a study not related to this rulemaking, but related to the possibly of electronic manifest, in 10 which this information -- and so instead of it being 11 hearsay from me, I just would hope that the --12 sometime during the hearing that you'll allow the 13 gentleman from Safety Clean to discuss that. 14 MR. FOX: Very good. We're not quite 15 to that point, but I appreciate your mentioning that 16 and making that available, Mr. Harris. 17 MR. DRAGOVICH: I quess our next 18 question has to do with the wastewater and the nonhazardous wastewaters, and you indicated that as 20 long as it contains de minimis or recoverable 21 quantities of used oil, what -- can you be more 22 specific as to what that means? How would you 23 determine what's recoverable amounts of oil?

MR. HARRIS: That's an excellent

24

- question, and it can be answered. It tends to be
- technical in nature, but the -- let me just give a
- little bit of background, which is that EPA is quite
- 4 interested in making sure that the materials of --
- such as from a mixture of water and oil, used oil,
- 6 be handled probably, which they think it will be
- 7 under the used oil management process. And so when
- 8 there's a combination, it's basically handled so
- 9 that a company that has the facility to separate the
- water and clean it up and discharge it according to
- the Clean Water Act requirements, and then whatever
- is recovered, whether it's a relatively small
- quantity or a larger quantity, it goes into the --
- into a fuel or re-refined product. So the
- technology exists for that recovery, and I think
- 16 Mr. Ray and Mr. Lenz, who deal with this every day,
- can address the practical aspects of it.
- MR. RAY: I agree with what Mr. Harris
- said. We certainly work with a variety of used oil
- only water processing recycling facilities around
- the country. We talk to them and understand what
- their ability is. It's different for different
- plants in terms of handling different oil water
- mixtures, and we really establish with them what

- their threshold is for being able to recover used
- oil, but it's a very, I guess, good ability most of
- these plants have to recover small amounts of oil
- 4 from water.
- What I would also add to make sure
- 6 you understand, again I'm not speaking from a
- 7 regulatory perspective exactly, but from our
- business point of view, you know, we're not trying
- 9 to pick up hazardous waste streams or give customers
- an opportunity to take something that's otherwise
- regulated under RCRA as hazardous waste and put a
- little bit of oil in it and say it's used oil and we
- can mange it under the less onerous used oil
- 14 regulations. What really is happening, I think
- Mr. Harris alluded to, is more commonly we're
- dealing with something that's like a mop water or a
- 17 low-quality water, which would otherwise be going
- down the sewer and it's being upgraded in terms of
- 19 it's management practice in order to handle it under
- the used oil regime.
- A customer's who's got illicited
- hazardous waste, they've got characteristic -- it's
- got characteristics, toxicity, or ignitability or
- something else, isn't going to fit into our system

- with a small amount of used oil. There already, as
- we told you, in our business, and we could
- 3 standardize this certifying what they're giving us
- 4 is not a RCRA hazardous waste, and we're taking
- samples and to analysis on the material to look for
- 6 chemical contaminants of concern. So we're really
- 7 typically talking about something, which is a
- 8 mixture of water and oil, and sending it to a
- 9 facility that's certified to us based on their
- operating practice that they can recover the small
- amounts of used oil for beneficial reuse.
- MS. FLOWERS: How would a -- you said
- that it'd be different between processing
- 14 facilities. I mean, how would a generator know,
- because they're the ones that have certified that
- this is recoverable oil. How would they know?
- MR. RAY: They're not -- well, they're
- certifying it's recoverable, they're certifying it's
- used oil. But I guess that's a fine point, and
- you're probably right. If they didn't know, they
- wouldn't be able to certify. We're -- we really
- have fundamentally two programs that work in
- parallel for picking up customers' waste that's high
- water content or low oil content, and if our people

- are making the determination based on the analytical
- work and observation that's got recoverable used
- oil, they're managing it as used oil.
- 4 If it doesn't have recoverable
- used oil, then we're typically looking at it and
- saying we're going to have to do the full screening
- 7 appropriate to manage this as hazardous or
- 8 nonhazardous. And typically, it would be managing
- 9 it as nonhazardous material, but we're going to do
- some additional testing to validate that, and then
- pick it up as a nonhazardous waste on Illinois --
- and excuse me, I operate in many states. But in
- 13 Illinois we'd be picking that material up as a
- special waste if it didn't have recoverable used
- ¹⁵ oil.
- MR. DRAGOVICH: It seems like the
- generator is going to have to make the decision
- early on whether to use a manifest or to apply this
- exemption, and potentially before they mix the
- 20 materials together, because we're talking about
- 21 mixtures here. We're not talking about used oil as
- 22 it's generated. So they need to know before they
- dump that in there whether they're going to have to
- fill out a manifest, or whether it contains

- 1 recoverable amounts of used oil and could go into
- the exemption. So I guess what we're asking is how
- would you convey that to the generator so they could
- 4 make that decision?
- MR. RAY: Well, it may sound -- I
- don't mean to sound disrespectful, but I guess what
- 7 I want to point out is we would do it the same way
- 8 we do it today. The answer is that this is not a
- 9 new problem created by the proposed paperwork
- changes. This is a real world issue. I talked
- earlier about the example customer that has mixtures
- of different oily water materials, and some of them
- have more water and some of them have less water,
- and we have that same problem with customers today.
- We're not proposing to change anything under the
- 16 regulatory scheme.
- What we typically would tell our
- 18 customer today and in the future is if you've got a
- material that's got recoverable used oil in it, you
- can put it in the used oil. For our company and for
- our individual business, that would likely be
- different than for Mr. Lenz's company. In my
- company, I would probably tell them that, you know,
- 24 anything up to -- you know, in excess of 1 or

- 2 percent used oil is probably something that we can
- 2 recover in most markets based on the facilities that
- 3 we're using.
- MR. DRAGOVICH: How would you envision
- 5 the Agency being able to go back and track back to
- the generator and determine whether they're
- 7 complying with the manifest requirements or they're
- applying the exemption correctly, the manifest
- 9 exemption correctly?
- MR. RAY: I think that's a problem
- that we have today. I don't think it changes
- because of the proposal. I gave you the example of
- customers that I've serviced in Illinois that have
- mixtures of oil and water. I've told you what
- they're doing. I've never heard of a customer who
- said "I've got a compliance issue here where
- 17 Illinois EPA feels that, you know, we're mismanaging
- these oily water streams because we haven't done
- quantitative analysis on how much oil and how much
- 20 water is in each component."
- MR. LENZ: I think in reality the
- 22 collectors make those decisions for the generator
- usually, don't you? Based on the information --
- MR. RAY: Well, we're not --

- MR. LENZ: Because the generator
- 2 basically doesn't know. It calls us, says I'm
- generating this, this, and this, can you take it,
- 4 and then we have to sort it out from there.
- 5 MR. DRAGOVICH: But aren't you looking
- 6 at it after it's mixed already?
- 7 MR. LENZ: No.
- MR. RAY: Well, it works both ways.
- 9 That's what I was going to argue a little bit with
- you, Mike, is that, you know, if a generator calls
- us and says "I've a mixture and here's what I put
- together. Can you take it, " we will talk with him
- about what he put in the mixture --
- MR. LENZ: Right, break it down.
- MR. RAY: We would say we would handle
- that as used oil. If he said "I've got a really
- 17 clean water stream over here and there's no used oil
- in it and I'm throwing that in there too, "we'd say
- 19 you should stop that. That doesn't meet the
- definition of used oil, and you need to manage that
- separately and you need to do the analytical work.
- You may be able to make a determination that it is
- nonhazardous, you may then be allowed to mix it into
- your used oil, but by knowing what it is, you're

- 1 putting it in with that knowledge, and you're
- 2 creating a mixture that's allowed, and that's
- 3 circumstantial and depends on the case.
- There are other times, you're
- right, when we do have the ability to advise
- 6 somebody who hasn't mixed materials together yet and
- 7 give them advice about whether things that are
- appropriate to mix or segregate. But we probably --
- 9 because we're both the same on many small customers,
- we probably most of the time find people that have
- already done the comingling they're going to do, and
- our role is after the fact educating them.
- MR. LENZ: Both ways, yeah. And there
- if it's afterwards that basically it's up to us to
- say -- find out what went in that mixture, and then
- either say yes, we can accept that as used oil, or
- 17 no we can't.
- MR. RAY: But clearly it's a problem
- 19 that doesn't change --
- MR. LENZ: No.
- MR. RAY: -- because the proposal's on
- 22 the table.
- MR. HARRIS: And it's also kind of a
- 24 nonissue, because if a generator was able to --

- instead of putting it on the manifest in this
- wastewater, which of course it would go to a
- 3 centralized wastewater treatment facility, and at
- 4 the end of the process they found out that there
- wasn't -- I mean, there was a tiny -- there was just
- a couple of molecules and that wasn't recoverable,
- 7 it's not like there's been a big insult to the
- 8 environment, because the water would've been --
- 9 still would've been treated property.
- MR. LENZ: Yeah. A lot of collectors.
- such as ourselves, we don't actually treat the
- water. We'll take oil water mixtures and recover
- what oil we can out of those mixtures, but that
- material will then still go down the line as used
- oil to a facility that can take the remaining amount
- of oil out of the water and discharge the water.
- MR. DRAGOVICH: In your proposal, in
- 18 your proposed language when you talk about the
- 19 nonhazardous wastewater contaminated by or mixed
- with used oil, both generated by the same generator
- 21 and which contain more than a de minimis recoverable
- quantity of used oil, are you talking about the
- 23 resulting mixture has recoverable used oil, or are
- you saying the wastewater itself has recoverable

- used oil before it's placed in there? It's just a
- 2 little bit confusing.
- MR. LENZ: Which part is that again?
- 4 MR. HARRIS: I think we're --
- MR. DRAGOVICH: It's under 739.110 F.
- 6 MR. HARRIS: I think we're saying --
- 7 I'm pretty sure we're saying that the mixture of the
- 8 water and the used oil contains a recoverable
- 9 quantity of used oil. In other words, that the
- technology is such that you can extract that oil and
- it goes off into management under the used oil
- management standards. It's turned into a fuel or a
- re-refined product.
- MR. DRAGOVICH: So under the proposed
- language, the water that you add into it afterwards
- that you mix into the used oil wouldn't have to have
- any recoverable oil in it?
- MR. LENZ: Prior to mixture you mean?
- MR. DRAGOVICH: Prior to mixture, yes.
- 20 I'm just trying to clarify what we're trying to --
- MR. LENZ: Yeah. I think we're saying
- that, don't you, in certain instances? I mean, he
- 23 brought up an instance where if they have a clear
- water stream that can be handled in a better way,

- we're going to tell them not to not put it in the
- 2 used oil if they talked to us about it. But if
- 3 there's a water stream -- let's say it would be a
- 4 water stream that would be classified as a special
- waste, but not a hazardous waste and not a
- 6 non-special waste, in those instances, we're looking
- 7 at a way for the generator to dispose of that
- 8 nonhazardous special wastewater along with this used
- 9 oil.
- MR. DRAGOVICH: And that nonhazardous
- special wastewater has oil in it, or it doesn't have
- oil in it before he mixes it?
- MR. LENZ: It may not have oil in it.
- 14 It all depends on how you would define whether it
- has oil in it or not. It may not have recoverable
- quantities of oil in it, going back to his mop water
- 17 scenario.
- MR. GIRARD: Can I ask a question?
- Why can't you just end the language by saying used
- oil containing nonhazardous wastewater, period?
- MR. LENZ: Well, the used oil rigs
- state that if it's -- if there's less than de
- minimus amounts of used oil in the water, it's not
- used oil.

- MR. GIRARD: Well, you aren't going
- out there with -- you know, with various kinds of
- 3 testing equipment and testing the water to see if
- 4 you have a certain level of oil. You're doing a
- 5 visual test. Is that correct?
- MR. RAY: Generally, yes.
- 7 MR. GIRARD: If you have sheen on the
- 8 water, you consider it to be wastewater mixed with
- 9 oil. Is that correct?
- MR. RAY: Yes, we can do that.
- MR. GIRARD: Well, I mean, we could
- 12 probably put language in that there to that effect,
- but I don't know if you want us saying you have to
- 14 do a visual test.
- MR. LENZ: We just use the same
- language that's in Part 739 right now.
- MR. GIRARD: The hang up seems to be
- 18 how we define recoverable.
- MR. LENZ: Yeah. Well, it's not very
- clear in 739 either. It's been a cloudy issue for a
- 21 long time.
- MR. HARRIS: But let's go back to the
- 23 basics here. You want this wastewater containing
- oil to be channeled in to the used oil system.

- 1 That's exactly what you want. Why? Because when it
- is, it's collected by our members, it is handled by
- 3 the wastewater treatment facility, and their job is
- to comply with all the Clean Water Act requirements,
- 5 clean up the water phase, and hold on to the oil
- 6 phase. And when they hold on to the oil phase, then
- 7 that's channeled into making a fuel or a re-refined
- 9 product. So you want precisely what we're doing
- 9 now, and all we're asking for is some relief from
- the paperwork requirement.
- MS. FLOWERS: Okay. But I have a
- 12 question. I mean, I thought we were talking about
- wastewater that had no oil in it. Why do we want
- 14 those two to mix?
- MR. HARRIS: We're only talking about
- water that has a recoverable amount of used oil.
- MR. LENZ: After mixture.
- MR. HARRIS: After mixture.
- MS. FLOWERS: But if the water did not
- 20 have any oil before you mixed it, why --
- MR. RAY: Who's mixing it is the key
- thing. I mean, we're not mixing it, and we don't
- want to mix those two things together. That's
- 24 probably the answer to your question.

- MS. FLOWERS: Okay. So you would tell
- them, the generator, don't mix those two --
- MR. HARRIS: Well, the water might be
- storm water runoff. It might be rain, okay? Pure
- 5 rain, and it collects at the facility, and it's
- 6 mixed with used oil, and now you have a water and
- 7 used oil mixture.
- MR. RAO: So what you're saying is
- 9 this mixture is in Section 739.110 Subsection F that
- deals with wastewater, it talks about wastewater
- being contaminated with oil. So whatever mixing
- that happens is incidental mixing, or is it
- intentional mixing?
- MR. RAY: It can being accidental or
- intentional. Let me give you an example of
- something that is a significant fraction of the
- business we currently serve that relates to this.
- 18 It may be a helpful example. Many shops in
- 19 automotive and industry have a low-grade wastewater
- pretreatment system, often a pit or vault.
- 21 Sometimes they're called on oil water separator, and
- the purpose of that is that the shop drains in a
- shop lead down to that pit before the water flows
- out to the sewer district. That pit will often have

- a series of chambers or leers or baffles, which
- 2 capture at the bottom sediment, and at the top used
- oil, and relatively clean water, depending on the
- 4 residence, it flows to the middle down to the sewer
- 5 district.
- The purpose is give the sewer
- 7 district clean water and accumulate the things they
- 8 don't want. Every six months or a year that device
- 9 needs to be pumped out. When it's pumped out, it
- could have 1 percent oil, it could have 90 percent
- oil, depending on whether they have a lot of spills
- in the shop and on the floor, and how good their
- housekeeping was. You could say they could, in
- theory, be, you know, intentionally putting oil in
- there, but it's not really intentional. It's a
- system designed to capture oil.
- And when we pump it out, we're
- inevitably going to pump out the water and the
- 19 sediment with the oil. We have trucks to do that.
- Our large competitor, Safety Clean, in the audience
- 21 has hundreds of trucks that do that kind of work and
- 22 manage it throughout many states under the used oil
- regulations as used oil, taking the water mixtures
- to facilities that recover the used oil treat, and

- discharge the water and even the sediment. So
- that's a segment of business that has been operating
- effectively, and we don't want to see that that
- 4 can't be done under the used oil regs and requires
- new permits for our sales or our customers.
- MS. FLOWERS: But that would seem to
- 7 suggest that the oil has to be in the wastewater
- 8 before it's mixed, because otherwise what prevents
- 9 somebody from just having a totally different
- 10 process waste from maybe two different parts of the
- facility and just dumping it in with the used oil to
- be able to have the used oil carry this away?
- MR. LENZ: Well, I think in certain
- instances, the federal regs were looking at that
- very idea, and basically wanting the water to be put
- in the used oil in that situation if it's a
- regulated water. If it's not a regulated water, no.
- But if it's a regulated water -- the reason being is
- it's much, much less likely if it can go out with
- used oil to be handled that way than it is if it
- 21 can't go out with used oil going down the drain to
- 22 the POTW.
- MR. RAY: I think we have to ask what
- 24 the characteristics are of the water that we're

- 1 talking about here.
- MR. LENZ: I mean --
- MR. RAY: And that will steer us
- 4 towards understanding whether it's even allowed
- 5 under the regulations. If it's hazardous waste --
- MS. FLOWERS: Well, I mean let's just
- 7 say that nonhazardous is special waste. I mean,
- 8 what you're saying is that -- well, I mean, to me
- 9 what you're saying is well, we might as well just
- 10 have any special waste just to make sure that it
- 11 actually goes somewhere.
- MR. LENZ: Well, a lot of --
- MS. FLOWERS: I mean, that -- I mean,
- 14 you know --
- MR. LENZ: A lot of nonhazardous
- special wastewater goes to POTWs, and POTWs don't
- necessarily like that. They would rather not have
- 18 that material.
- MR. DRAGOVICH: In the example from
- 20 Safety Clean, he was talking about pumping out
- 21 basically an oil water separator, and you're not
- collecting the oil separate from the wastewater,
- you're collecting it all together?
- MR. RAY: Well, I haven't worked with

- 1 Safety Clean for a number of years. So in that
- 2 respect, I'll just speak for our company doing the
- 3 same type of business.
- 4 MR. DRAGOVICH: Okay.
- MR. RAY: And when we do that and we
- 6 have those types of fleet vehicles, we would pump
- 7 everything out of that separator device on to one
- 8 truck in one compartment. There's not a way that
- 9 we're aware of that is practical and efficient to
- separate that into different components and phases
- as part of the surface. Things just get mixed up
- while you're pumping it out of an oil water device.
- MR. LENZ: Yeah. A relatively small
- pump pumps them out really fast, and you're -- it's
- all coming out together.
- MR. DRAGOVICH: Do these have a
- discharge to the sewer?
- MR. RAY: The ones that I was
- describing typically do. There are rare examples
- where they don't, but they almost always discharge
- to a sewer district.
- MR. DRAGOVICH: And do you feel that
- this isn't within the definition -- you know, within
- the definition of used oil, I think it -- I think

- it's been clarified that oil collected from an oil
- water separator is used oil. Do you think that the
- material you're collecting goes beyond the material
- 4 that's defined as used oil?
- MR. RAY: I'm not familiar with that
- definition, that oil water separator material as a
- defined regulatory concept is. By definition, we've
- 8 always approached -- we've always taken that to
- 9 understand that that is falling at the federal level
- under the definition of wastewater contaminated with
- more than de minimus quantities of used oil, and
- based on that it's subject to regulation as used
- oil, not based on specific language that talks about
- a separator or a pretreatment device. Am I clear on
- 15 that point?
- MR. DRAGOVICH: Yeah, you are. I
- guess the question is whether this goes beyond what
- they envisioned in the definition of used oil of
- what's collected in an oil water separator or not.
- MR. RAO: May I follow up on that?
- Mr. Lenz, you mentioned that the federal intent was
- maybe to go beyond what Mr. Ray mentioned in terms
- of mixing used oil with wastewater so that the
- 24 wastewater can go to some treatment facility. Do

- 1 you have any, you know, information about any
- federal guidance that states that if you do it will
- be helpful for the Board to see that any federal
- 4 documentation or anything that --
- MR. LENZ: I'm not sure. We can look
- into that. I don't remember if that's -- I believe
- 7 I remember something like that mentioned in the
- 9 preambles to the used oil regs at one point or
- 9 another, but it would take some work to find it,
- which we can try to do.
- MR. RAY: We're not seeking to have
- our generators get new permissions that they don't
- already have to mix materials together, and I don't
- think we've tried to do anything. Maybe -- again,
- we apologize of our draft to be imperfect, but we're
- not trying to get exemptions for generators to be
- mixing. We're saying that the materials that we
- 18 pick up, if they are the types of things that exist
- in state law as used oil, which in our judgment
- includes mixtures of oil and water with recoverable
- used oil, we should be able to simplify the
- paperwork associated with that.
- MR. JOHNSON: And that's exactly what
- you're doing now. The rule as proposed will have no

- effect whatsoever on that, whether it's oil and
- water or oil and vinegar, it doesn't matter. It's
- 3 going to happen exactly like it happens now. The
- 4 only -- the only change is going to be assuming that
- there's some form of the rule as proposed is there
- 6 will be a change in the recordkeeping requirements
- 7 for your customers, correct?
- MR. RAY: That's our expectation,
- 9 that's our requirement.
- MR. JOHNSON: No change in treatment,
- no change in processing, no change in your
- 12 collection technique, nothing. Just the fact that
- 13 you now -- these four specific categories you want
- exempted from the manifesting requirements, but
- you're still intending to provide the exact same
- information and more, if we want, just on a
- 17 different document -- or on the same document,
- 18 rather than a different document?
- MR. RAY: You understand perfectly our
- 20 request.
- MS. FLOWERS: Well, I mean, how about
- do you want these to be considered used oil? I
- mean, our position has been that some of these
- 24 categories are still special waste and they would

- need to go to a special waste facility, and they may
- 2 need -- they may be subject to the special waste
- 3 regulation still.
- MR. FOX: Ms. Flowers --
- MS. FLOWERS: I mean, are we just
- talking about used oil, or are we still talking
- 7 about what is used oil?
- MR. FOX: And I don't mean to suggest
- ⁹ that we won't entertain a response to that question,
- but we have been at it for two hours, and the time
- for lunch has come and arguably gone. Why don't we
- take a break now and resume here at 1:30, and we can
- obviously make note of where we have left off and
- start there, Ms. Flowers, for the Agency.
- 15 (Whereupon, a break was taken,
- after which the following
- proceedings were had.)
- MR FOX: Thank you for returning
- 19 promptly from the lunch break. Ms. Flowers, you had
- 20 indicated that you had a question to resume your
- 21 questioning with -- for Mr. Harris specifically, I
- believe, but please go ahead.
- MS. FLOWERS: I think my question was
- we had talked about this just being a manifest

- exemption, but, I mean, just from reading the
- 2 testimony that was given, it does seem like you
- 3 would like for these mixtures to be able to be
- 4 processed at a used oil -- used oil facility that
- does not have 807 permitting. Is that correct.
- 6 MR. HARRIS: It was --
- 7 MS. FLOWERS: Which is different than
- 8 how it would be now. Those -- now some of those
- 9 would be considered special waste, and we need to go
- to a special waste facility permitted under 807.
- MR. HARRIS: I think Mr. Lenz has a
- 12 particular concern about that. From my point of
- view, we are simply trying to create an exemption
- 14 from the manifesting requirements.
- MS. FLOWERS: Okay.
- MR. HARRIS: I think there's a special
- 17 little problem that Mike Lenz is aware of that he
- 18 can address.
- MS. FLOWERS: Well, our -- but are you
- 20 requesting that or not?
- MR. HARRIS: If the language is not
- clear, I want to make it clear, and we'll work with
- the Agency and with the Board and anyone else that
- our request is for the exemption from the manifest

- requirement. So it's really paperwork relief that
- we're asking for through the consolidation of the
- information that you need on to the -- I think the
- official term is shipping papers.
- 5 MS. FLOWERS: Okay.
- MR. HARRIS: You can call it tracking
- documents, shipping papers, or bill of lading, but I
- 8 think the official term would be shipping papers to
- 9 conform to the DOT requirements.
- MS. FLOWERS: But these mixtures would
- still be going to a special waste facility, and that
- wouldn't cause a problem?
- MR. HARRIS: Let me see if Mike has a
- 14 concern about that, but from my point of view,
- nothing would change except the paperwork.
- MS. FLOWERS: Okay. Is there any
- 17 concern about that?
- MR. LENZ: Yeah. Yes, there is,
- because we're not -- none of the recyclers that I'm
- aware of today are operating under 807 permitting.
- Is that -- I'm -- I believe I'm correct on that.
- 22 And to pick up, I think, you know, I tried to make
- that issue -- what I tried to do in my comments is
- first we tried to clarify what your concerns were,

- and then we tried to address the operational issues
- that manifesting and Part 807 permitting would
- involve for us if we were under that scenario.
- 4 MS. FLOWERS: Right.
- 5 MR. LENZ: So I think I made myself
- 6 pretty clear in those -- in that testimony about the
- 7 issues and the problems that it would cause. I
- 8 don't know whether we want to go over that again.
- 9 MS. FLOWERS: But you are -- I mean, I
- understand that you already said that it would be a
- 11 hardship, but are you requesting that these
- 12 things -- that these mixtures then be also exempt
- 13 from special waste designation and be able to be --
- MR. LENZ: I guess that was my
- 15 presumption.
- MS. FLOWERS: Okay.
- MR. LENZ: Because --
- MS. FLOWERS: Presumption that if
- they're out of the manifesting, then they're also
- 20 allowed to be going to a used oil facility for
- 21 processing?
- MR. LENZ: Mm-hmm.
- MS. FLOWERS: Okay. So then -- okay.
- 24 So then -- because I still have this ink issue. I

- still don't see how the ink would be able to go,
- then, to a special -- to a used oil processing
- facility, unless you're saying that this BTU value
- 4 and this de minimis determination are before they're
- 5 mixed. Because obviously at any time you mix it
- 6 with the used oil, it's going to have a BTU value.
- MR. LENZ: No. The BTU value, I
- 8 think, we were all in consensus that that was before
- 9 mixture requirement.
- MS. FLOWERS: Okay. So then that
- falls on the generator to make that test of which
- there's no standard test you are requiring, right?
- 13 I mean --
- MR. LENZ: It's very similar to, like,
- the labs that do ignitability testing, like the
- generator would be possibly aware of ignitability
- issues.
- MS. FLOWERS: Okay.
- MR. LENZ: Any lab that does
- 20 ignitability testing can do BTU testing. It's a
- relatively simple test, such as ignitability.
- MS. FLOWERS: Should we put it in the
- 23 regs then and say --
- MR. LENZ: Which procedure to use?

- MS. FLOWERS: Yeah. I mean, is there
- a standard procedure that we could follow that we
- 3 could actually name?
- 4 MR. LENZ: There's a -- I'm sure
- 5 there's standard procedures that are set up by the
- 6 laboratory associations, or ASTM, that they follow.
- 7 MR. HARRIS: But going back to my
- 8 earlier testimony on this, it's typically the --
- 9 first of all, the generator has to properly
- characterize it, and we will agree on certification,
- we will put in certification as a requirement
- instead of a provide, so we'll have a certification.
- But I don't think that the Board or IEPA is trying
- to undermine the basic principal of the generator
- knowledge is acceptable. And that's not -- that's
- beyond the scope of our rulemaking here, and I don't
- think you want to insert a -- insert another
- 18 requirement that everything else generator knowledge
- is okay, but on BTU's it's not and you have to have
- 20 a specific test. When you -- when a generator looks
- 21 at his solid waste and says this is not hazardous or
- 22 it is hazardous, we're relying on that
- 23 certification. That's well-established to occur
- rulemaking. What you're suggesting, Ms. Flowers, is

- that for BTUs we have to have a special test.
- MS. FLOWERS: But, I mean, there's a
- whole body of RCRA regulations that help them make
- 4 that determination, am I not correct, between
- 5 hazardous and nonhazardous where there isn't between
- the special waste designation and whether it's now
- going to be able to be in a used oil facility?
- MR. HARRIS: I -- well, I disagree. I
- 9 think if you look at the basic RCRA rules, it will
- say that the generator has the obligation to
- 11 properly and accurately characterize that waste.
- 12 And so if it's a hazardous waste, either because
- it's listed or because it meets one of the four
- characteristics, it has to be properly
- characterized. That can be done through generator
- 16 knowledge.
- MS. FLOWERS: Yeah. I mean, I
- would -- the characteristics are based on specific
- 19 tests.
- MR. HARRIS: If you happen to know
- that your waste does not have any possibility of
- being -- of being toxic or ignitable or reactive,
- then you don't need to go through a special test.
- 24 If, on the other hand, through your MSDS sheet you

- 1 happen to know that it is toxic, then you list it as
- such. You do not have to go through a special test,
- and there's nothing in the RCRA regulations that
- 4 requires you to do that.
- 5 MR. LENZ: The MSDS sheets normally
- 6 have disposal information on them, and what you need
- 7 to do to determine -- basically they'll tell you
- 8 whether it is or isn't a hazardous waste upon
- 9 disposal, or that it could be and you need to do
- testing. That's normally on the MSDS sheet.
- MS. FLOWERS: Okay. So what -- I
- mean, all I'm getting -- I mean, as far as the
- language goes is that you do not want to have any
- sort of standard test or requirements for the
- generator to have any testing done?
- MR. HARRIS: I'm suggesting that
- 17 the --
- MS. FLOWERS: They're just going to
- 19 certify --
- MR. HARRIS: -- that the rules do not
- need to change here.
- MS. FLOWERS: Okay.
- MR. HARRIS: The generator knowledge,
- which is okay for the RCRA system, both for EPA and

- for Illinois, can still apply with respect to BTU
- ² contact.
- MS. FLOWERS: Okay. And
- percentage-wise, too, there's no test, it's just --
- you just want the generator to be able to just
- 6 certify?
- 7 MR. HARRIS: Yes. I think that that's
- 9 perfectly acceptable. And if it's not acceptable,
- 9 why is it not acceptable for water/oil ratio, but it
- is for toxicity or corrosivity or ignitability?
- MR. DRAGOVICH: I think -- if I can
- add to that question, under the RCRA rules, if
- you're trying to determine whether you have a
- hazardous waste or not and you don't have enough
- knowledge, or, for instance, maybe you think it's
- borderline, then you're obligated to do a test.
- Well, in this instance, what happens if you think
- it's borderline or you couldn't have enough
- 19 knowledge? What -- I think, I guess, the question
- we're asking is should there be some standardized
- 21 test that they should have to do to prove that they
- 22 met the requirements?
- MR. HARRIS: Well, I think what the --
- that's a fair question, and I think what the RCRA

- 1 rules in general say is you can do it through
- testing or through generator knowledge. So
- obviously if you cannot do it through generator
- 4 knowledge, then you need to do it through testing.
- 5 The same rule would apply here. If you didn't know
- 6 -- I mean, if you knew that your content was more
- 7 than 5,000 BTUs per pound, if you knew it because of
- 8 the MSDS sheet or whatever your source of
- 9 information was, if you knew it, then that's fine.
- 10 It doesn't require testing. If you don't know it
- and you want to say that it's greater than 5,000
- parts -- 5,000 BTUs per pound, of course you have to
- do some testing. Now do we want to say -- and look
- up at the ASTM method for BTU testing? Sure, we can
- do that. But it's not -- what I'm suggesting is
- let's stick with the basic RCRA rules here.
- MR. DRAGOVICH: Okay. I guess that
- leads us to -- well, first of all, I don't think any
- of you here are generators, right? I mean,
- 20 basically you're the -- you're the transporters,
- 21 processors, marketers are represented?
- MR. LENZ: Well, we generate waste.
- 23 But we're not --
- MR. DRAGOVICH: There's nobody here

- that represents the types of customers that you're
- 2 serving?
- MR. LENZ: I don't know.
- MR. RAY: I know a representative form
- 5 the Illinois Manufacturing Association was
- attempting to be here. I don't know that they made
- ⁷ it to the meeting though.
- MR. DRAGOVICH: I guess a concern is
- 9 that this possibly could put extra obligations on
- the generator. If they want to use this exemption,
- then they are going to have to figure out whether
- they have 5,000 BTUs in their waste, where it wasn't
- an issue before, and they're going to have to figure
- out whether they got 49 percent water or 51 percent
- water. I mean, because it's -- it proposes a bright
- 16 light here. So what -- how do you feel if the
- generator decides well, rather than take all that
- on, I'm just going to use a manifest because it's
- simpler to me to fill out a manifest than to deal
- with this exemption. Are your companies going to be
- willing to just accept it under a manifest, or will
- 22 they --
- MR. RAY: I can speak to that on
- behalf of my company, which is certainly we would.

- We're currently managing all these streams under
- special waste manifests. We're seeking to
- 3 substantially reduce the amount of waste streams
- 4 that have to have that expensive handling. But I've
- 5 talked already with our people about how there will
- 6 be exceptional materials that we will continue to
- 7 handle as special waste and special waste manifest.
- 8 So yes, a customer said -- and I think it's unlikely
- 9 that a customer would choose to do that for the
- reasons you articulated. I don't see very many
- 11 customers saying we can't make a determination on
- 12 BTU values, so we're just going to be entering it as
- 13 special waste.
- But there may be other reasons
- where they do feel it's appropriate, and if their
- 16 company would manage it as special waste and a
- special waste manifest. We would -- we would
- probably be doing that as a -- as a dedicated
- transportation activity as well. To understand our
- business, much of the work we do using water is done
- 21 by -- with Mr. Harrison's bee analogy of going out
- 22 and visiting multiple customers in the course of the
- day. But for that customer who wanted us to manage
- special waste it would be on a dedicated basis. It

- would be unlikely we would have different customers
- that all wanted to handle it that way where we'd
- want to come in and deliver material on a single
- 4 truck.
- 5 MR. HARRIS: If I can add to that,
- 6 the -- if there's an opportunity for paperwork
- 7 reduction, what is likely to happen in the real
- world is that you will have the transporter and the
- ⁹ generator get together, and they'll talk about the
- waste material in question, and if the generator's
- 11 producing a waste stream that is consistent in
- nature, doesn't vary over time, will there be a BTU
- 13 test? Sure, because either the transporter will
- 14 arrange to have that happen or the generator will,
- and then they'll have a BTU test on that constant
- waste stream in order to verify that yes, it's above
- 5,000. If a waste -- test is necessary. If they
- 18 know in advance, however, that the material is never
- less than 15,000 BTUs per pound, you don't need to
- do a test because the MSDS or other information will
- 21 demonstrate that.
- MR. DRAGOVICH: Do you currently pick
- up loads and comingle manifest and un-manifested
- loads together on the same shipment? Is that common

- practice?
- MR. RAY: When you speak to me and you
- 3 talk about un-manifested loads --
- 4 MR. DRAGOVICH: Manifest exempt loads.
- 5 MR. RAY: Well, for the types of
- 6 materials that we're talking about, used oil and
- 7 materials regulated as used oil, to the best of my
- 8 knowledge the car companies are managing all of
- 9 those on special waste manifests at this time.
- MR. DRAGOVICH: Okay. I guess my
- 11 question is: Would you make multiple stops and put
- the waste in the same tank from different manifested
- locations and potentially from un-manifested --
- 14 manifest exempt locations?
- MR. RAY: Under proposed future
- 16 regulations?
- MR. DRAGOVICH: No under today's
- 18 current practices.
- MR. RAY: Well, as I just said,
- 20 everything we're picking up is essentially
- 21 manifested. So I don't know what the --
- MR. DRAGOVICH: Small quantity
- generator rays?
- MR. RAY: Okay. I think of that as

- being manifested. I'm trying to think of where -- I
- 2 need to refer to -- so we're manifesting everything
- 3 basically on special waste manifests.
- 4 MR. DRAGOVICH: Okay.
- 5 MR. RAO: Can I ask a followup
- 6 question? Under the proposed rule, if used oil is
- 7 defined and material regulated and used oil is
- exempt from manifested requirements, will that, you
- 9 know, used oil and, material regulated as used oil
- 10 no longer be considered a special waste?
- MR. HARRIS: That is not how I
- 12 conceive the -- our proposal. Now remember we're
- talking about two proposals, our original one, which
- dealt with all materials regulated as used oil, and
- then our proposal that we made on September 22nd,
- which basically singled out these four categories.
- But all we were trying to do -- all I was trying to
- do in this proposal was to say that they no longer
- 19 had to use the manifest for the shipping papers, but
- that same information would be put on a single
- document, which you can call either a shipping
- document or a tracking document, shipping papers,
- tracking document, or bill of lading.
- MR. RAO: But if that is special waste

- being mixed, that designation will still remain?
- MR. HARRIS: That's why on the back of
- our -- see the additional special waste information?
- 4 MR. LENZ: Well, it's used oil -- used
- oil itself without any mixture is a special waste,
- isn't it? So yeah, the mixtures would still be
- 7 special waste also.
- MR. RAO: Okay.
- 9 MR. LENZ: Because you'd be mixing
- used oil in with nothing else, even if it was
- unregulated water.
- MR. RAO: So if there's any other
- 13 requirements that apply to special waste handling
- 14 disposal of -- everything still applies?
- MR. HARRIS: That's my understanding,
- 16 yes.
- MR. RAO: Okay.
- MR. DRAGOVICH: Do you know of any
- regulatory prohibition or requirement that wouldn't
- allow you to mix two manifested shipments together,
- both of them together?
- MR. LENZ: I think if the -- if the
- materials are the same thing, there's no
- 24 prohibition. So if you get used oil over here, used

- oil over here is defined by -- I'd say the way you
- define it on a manifest today, I'm almost sure that
- there's no prohibition against mixing those two. I
- 4 don't know about the others.
- 5 MR. RAY: I think I stated in our
- testimony we are operating on that basis as a
- 7 company today. We are out actively picking up used
- 8 oil as special waste on a special waste manifest
- 9 from company A, and then from company B, and mixing
- that used oil together in a single compartment of a
- truck and shipping it commingled.
- MR. DRAGOVICH: I know the hazardous
- waste regulations, I think, they clarify that in
- some of their letters saying that it's okay to mix
- different shipments of hazardous waste as long as
- the intention is not to change the characteristics
- of it. So I just -- it seemed like earlier
- testimony was saying that you thought you would have
- 19 to separate these materials if they were used oil
- and used oil mixtures, and manage them separately.
- MR. LENZ: Well, if -- I can maybe
- 22 address that. You asked him a question whether they
- would take manifested used oil. They -- he said he
- will. I'm not sure our company would. I don't

- think our business model is set up to where we could
- do that. We've -- we transport -- we use transfer
- 3 facilities that are leased that would -- the
- 4 facilities would, I'm sure if confronted with having
- to be subject to 807 part permitting, probably just
- 6 declined to lease us tank storage anymore. So
- 7 that's one of the issues that are in my comments
- 8 that we'd face under that -- that scenario, if that
- 9 helps at all.
- MR. DRAGOVICH: Okay. But I guess
- this goes back to your analogy with the bees.
- MR. HARRIS: Yes.
- MR. DRAGOVICH: And were you
- envisioning -- when you made that analogy, were you
- envisioning that because some waste would be
- manifested and some wouldn't be manifested that you
- wouldn't be able to pick it up on the same -- or
- 18 commingle it on the same load? Is that --
- MR. HARRIS: Yes, that was my
- 20 assumption, is that the manifest needs to track the
- 21 shipment.
- MR. DRAGOVICH: But I believe the
- 23 regulations now would allow manifest and manifest
- exempt waste to be transported on the same load, you

- would just have to have records that showed where
- the un-manifested portion came from.
- MR. HARRIS: Okay. That may well be
- 4 the case, but it just doesn't go to this issue
- whatsoever, because maybe it's a problem that
- 6 doesn't exist. I'll be glad to conceive that. But
- 7 what we're trying to do is get rid of the manifest
- 8 for these four categories of special waste in any
- 9 case.
- MR. DRAGOVICH: Okay.
- MS. FLOWERS: Okay. I have just a few
- more questions, and this might have been -- you said
- sometimes you have an oversight on what language you
- used, but as far as the tracking goes, we had some
- tracking for the generator, tracking for the
- transporter, but we didn't have anything where a
- 17 receiving facility was keeping records. And I
- 18 didn't -- is that intentional, or was that an
- oversight again? I mean, I know you said you didn't
- mind the manifest, as long as it was a document that
- you could also add to. So, I mean, you don't have
- 22 any reason to not have the receiving facility
- 23 keeping record, right?
- MR. HARRIS: Well, in your -- in your

- 1 previous concerns about our rule, you basically said
- under Section 809 you wouldn't have -- under our
- original proposals you wouldn't have sufficient
- 4 information. So on this document, one of which
- would go to the receiving facilities, you have the
- fereceiving facility, and you'd have the name and
- 7 signature of the person accepting delivery at the
- 8 receiving facility. And so those documents would be
- 9 kept by the generator, the transporter, and the
- 10 receiving facility.
- MS. FLOWERS: Okay. So it's just -- I
- mean, I didn't see any language in it that said that
- the receiving facility does need to keep a copy and
- 14 all that like it did for the transporter and
- generator. And I'm just --
- MR. HARRIS: I'm happy to --
- MS. FLOWERS: You'd have to change
- 18 that --
- MR. HARRIS: If you'd been willing to
- work with us before, we could've, you know, crossed
- 21 all these Ts and dotted all the Is, and if you're
- willing to work with us now, we can make sure that
- 23 all of those concerns that you have will be taken
- 24 care of. Our interest is very simple. Let's

- consolidate the paperwork so that it's simple and a
- little less expensive, and if you want to be sure
- 3 that the receiving facility gets a copy, we will
- 4 make sure that that occurs.
- MS. FLOWERS: Okay. I mean, I'm just
- asking. I need to ask, because I don't know if it
- 7 was intentional or unintentional that you included
- 8 that or didn't include it.
- 9 MR. HARRIS: We're not trying to
- create any loopholes.
- MS. FLOWERS: Okay. And I mean, I
- don't know what you mean by if you would've worked
- with us, and we did work with you for a long period
- of time. I think this round of language is
- completely different than anything I've ever seen.
- 16 So, I mean, I think it just keeps evolving what
- we're asking for here, and I think we're just -- if
- we were trying to communicate, I think it's been a
- very big miscommunication, because I've never --
- I've never been presented with this language.
- MR. HARRIS: Well, I don't -- I don't
- want to take up the Board's time on this, but when
- 23 Claire Manning was representing us, there were many,
- 24 many, many, many attempts to sit down and talk with

- 1 the Agency --
- MS. FLOWERS: And we did.
- MR. HARRIS: -- over every possible
- 4 compromise that might find acceptability with the
- 5 Agency, and our proposal is not -- it's always been
- the same goal here.
- MS. FLOWERS: Right, but this is
- 8 different.
- 9 MR. LENZ: Well, the Board actually --
- we're actually answering -- the Board, in their
- 11 ruling, asked for Part 739 language.
- MS. FLOWERS: Right. And the other
- thing is -- and this would go back to -- this is not
- the language that was proposed, but it is going back
- to those four categories. I think it's on Page 5 of
- 16 Mr. Harris's testimony. And then --
- MR. LENZ: Page 5?
- MS. FLOWERS: If you go down, there's
- 19 four there, and if you go down to the third one, I
- just noticed that it says "mixtures of used oils and
- fuels, normal components of fuels or other fuel
- 22 products, " and that's an expansion on the language
- that was in 739 and that RCRA uses. In other words,
- this normal components of fuels was inserted into

- that language, and, I mean, that does expand what --
- you know, the meaning, and I'm wondering if that's
- intentional, or if that's just a misstatement of the
- 4 language and whether you intend to include that
- 5 language.
- MR. HARRIS: Well, I drafted this, and
- 7 the concept I wanted to advance here was that if
- 8 it's a fuel or a normal component of a fuel, such
- 9 as, say, xylene. I mean, if xylene got into the
- used oil, that we weren't going to get into a
- "gotcha" situation.
- MS. FLOWERS: Okay.
- MR. HARRIS: There's no attempt here
- 14 to create a loophole. There's no attempt to create
- a loophole. We're simply saying if this is normally
- on a manifest, this information is then provided in
- the shipping papers. But if xylene -- for example,
- we don't want to have any argument that xylene,
- which is a normal component of a fuel, if it appears
- in used oil, that that's a "gotcha" situation where
- "Well, it isn't -- it wasn't --
- MS. FLOWERS: A fuel product.
- MR. HARRIS: You know, it wasn't a
- fuel product. Well, but it's normally used as a

- fuel. You know, we didn't want to get into that
- back and forth, which is a very, you know, absurd
- 3 argument, frankly. So that's why if the language is
- 4 somewhat expanded, it's so there's total clarity on
- 5 it.
- MR. LENZ: If I can add something
- 7 there. For some stupid reason, I remember that in
- 8 the preamble to used oil regs what they were dealing
- 9 with is when used oil companies would come and suck
- out waste, but surplus product at pipeline
- facilities, oftentimes they'll ship things that are
- components of fuels to a facility that's making
- fuel, and so they may have some xylene or something
- like that in a pipeline that the waste oil company
- would come in there and pipe that out, and in the
- preamble to the used oil regs -- I don't know if it
- was '85 or '92 -- they used pretty much that exact
- 18 wording. They parted down in the actual regulation,
- but in the preamble they made it clear that that's
- what they were after was fuel and other fuel
- 21 products and components of fuel if that type of
- 22 instance.
- MR. HARRIS: I can give you a good
- example of that. Natural gas condensate, which is

- the material that occurs at various points in a gas
- pipeline, it's sort of like a slightly lower octane
- gasoline. It burns perfectly well. You could
- 4 actually put it in your pickup truck and use it as
- 5 gasoline, but it's called natural gas condensate.
- 6 So for some reason, the pipeline company happened to
- 7 mix that for whatever reason into used oil, then
- 8 that would be okay under this provision. Would it
- 9 be described and characterized, yes. So the
- information that the Agency wants will be there, but
- it could be handled on the shipping document as
- opposed to the manifest.
- MR. DRAGOVICH: I think our concern is
- the original term was fuel products under 739, and
- we're concerned whether this is an expansion that
- would make -- seem to make these rules less
- stringent in the current RCRA rules or used oil
- rules. Would you be planning on relying on the RCRA
- rules to determine where it fell under the
- definition of hazardous waste or nonhazardous waste?
- MR. HARRIS: Mr. Dragovich, what I'm
- 22 -- what we're trying to do is trying to make clear
- is that we're not trying to change the substantive
- 24 rules of RCRA or the used oil regulations. What

- we're trying to do is allow for this same
- information to be placed on a shipping paper or bill
- of lading, as opposed to on the manifest.
- 4 MR. DRAGOVICH: Okay. Would you have
- 5 any concerns about going back to the original
- 6 language of fuel products, then?
- 7 MR. HARRIS: Well, I happen -- if the
- 8 Board desires we go there, then that's fine. I
- 9 think that the language that we have -- the current
- language, as Mr. Lenz pointed out, are mixtures of
- used oil and fuels or other fuel products.
- MR. DRAGOVICH: Right.
- MR. HARRIS: And if that were the
- language that the Board prefers, so be it. But I
- added the normal components of fuels mostly because
- of my experience with natural gas condensate and
- 17 xylene being a better clarification. Perhaps you
- could say there's no difference whatsoever. If
- there's no difference, then let's not worry about
- 20 it. If there is a difference, I think it's worthy
- of a discussion, because I think natural gas
- condensate, which would be a normal component of a
- fuel, ought to be included.
- MR. DRAGOVICH: Well, I think the

- 1 language that you proposed could include spent
- 2 xylene solvents, which, I mean, may or may not
- belong in the used oil. It depends on whether the
- 4 used oil still exhibits a characteristic, right? I
- mean, you have to look at it from that standpoint as
- opposed to whether it's a normal component of fuel
- 7 in that case.
- MR. LENZ: We wouldn't consider spent
- 9 xylene if it was for solvent purposes or something
- like that to be -- to fall under that or used oil.
- MR. DRAGOVICH: I'm just stating that
- 12 I think the language is loose enough to allow that.
- MR. HARRIS: Okay. I see your
- 14 concern, and I agree with it, and I agree with
- Mr. Lenz. I mean, we've -- this is not an attempt
- to create a loophole where a spent solvent gets into
- the used oil as a result of this provision. But if
- it were non-spent xylene or natural gas condensate,
- 19 I think it ought to be included. So I'm happy to
- work with you on this language.
- MS. FLOWERS: Gee. That was my next
- question. I mean, what do you envision that we
- would do, sit down hammer out this language again?
- I mean, is that -- is that your intention?

- MR. HARRIS: Well, I think we can
- submit a revised version. It's not going to be
- drastically revised, because I think we've got it
- 4 pretty much right, but always happy to have the
- 5 Agency support when we've been asking for a joint
- 6 proposal for quite some time. So if you're now
- 7 willing to sit down and work out precise language
- 8 that we would jointly submit to the Board, I'd be
- ⁹ glad to do it.
- MS. FLOWERS: Okay.
- MS. MOORE: Do you think that is
- 12 possible?
- MS. FLOWERS: I don't know.
- MS. MOORE: I mean, they've stated
- what their intent is.
- MS. FLOWERS: Right.
- MS. MOORE: And you have many
- questions. Do you think it might be possible to sit
- 19 down with them and --
- MS. FLOWERS: You know, I'm not --
- 21 I --
- MS. MOORE: Otherwise doing it on the
- record is -- I mean, we can continue doing that
- 24 also.

- MS. FLOWERS: Right. I mean, I'm just
- 2 not sure that we are on board with everything they
- say. So I just -- I don't know whether we actually
- 4 can agree on language or not.
- MS. MOORE: Okay.
- 6 MS. FLOWERS: And I would just have to
- 7 review where we are based upon what was said. I
- mean, if we're all in agreement, I don't see why
- 9 not, but I just still seem to think that there is
- some point that we're not in agreement on and that
- prevents us from actually coming up with language
- that we both agree on. This has been the problem
- 13 all along, I think.
- MS. MOORE: It does seem to me,
- though, as though we're getting closer here.
- MS. FLOWERS: Yeah.
- MS. MOORE: Does it seem that way to
- you all?
- MS. FLOWERS: I hope. I think we've
- 20 always hoped that, but it always seemed -- it always
- 21 seemed that since we were not talking about the same
- thing or we actually had differencing of opinion on
- just something that prevented us from both being
- comfortable with a certain language. But certainly,

- that would be what we were going to address in our
- 2 post-hearing comments, is whether or not -- how --
- are we happy with this language, or how could it
- 4 change, or whether it would work for us, that sort
- 5 of stuff.
- 6 MR. HARRIS: From our point of view,
- 7 in our original proposal, we wanted the exemption
- from the manifest requirements for used oil, or
- 9 define used oil and the materials to regulate it as
- used oil. Based on your May 1st order, we took you
- up on your suggestion that we come up with
- 12 additional language. That's exactly what we did.
- 13 If IEPA wants to work with us on that, that's fine.
- But previously, their position is no way. So we're
- happy to do it either working with them or proposing
- new language that is based on your concerns and
- 17 comments and refinements that always can be made to
- 18 regulatory language.
- MS. FLOWERS: I don't know. I don't
- 20 know whether we are in agreement of things that are
- going to be exempt from special waste designations.
- MS. MOORE: Then you best ask your
- questions, and we can go ahead and get those on the
- record so that we can then go from there. The

- 1 purpose of the meeting -- or the purpose of all
- these hearings is to get a good record to be able to
- 3 make a decision.
- MS. FLOWERS: Well, I mean, I think
- we're done with the questions for Mr. Harris.
- 6 MR. FOX: You think you're done, or is
- 7 that the end of the questions that you have for him?
- 8 We can certainly let you look that over for a second
- ⁹ or two if you need to.
- MS. FLOWERS: I think I'm done. Are
- 11 you done?
- MR. DRAGOVICH: Let me make sure we've
- 13 got everything covered. Yes.
- MS. FLOWERS: Okay. We're done with
- ¹⁵ Mr. Harris, yeah.
- MR. FOX: Very good. Thanks very
- much. Mr. Harris, I have one question for you, kind
- of a drafting issue, if you would. Most typically,
- and I think in virtually every case, the Board uses
- 20 board notes in the regulations for non-substantive
- reasons, to show the federal regulation that a state
- regulation was derived from, to provide a cross
- reference to a companion regulation that may or may
- not be applicable, but to send a signal that it

- could be, and I noted that you had phrased your
- exemptions from the manifest requirement -- actually
- phrased as an exemption from 809 or 809 as you
- 4 clarified -- solely in the format of a board note,
- 5 and those would be some substantive exemptions and
- 6 substantive language, and I wonder if you could
- 7 comment on your conclusion to place those
- 8 exemptions, those proposed exemptions, in a board
- 9 note, rather than in the substantive body of the
- 10 regulations.
- MR. HARRIS: We can conform to
- whatever the Board's preference is. I was trying to
- attract the existing language as much as possible,
- but if the Board reviews and the Board prefers that
- we handle this as non-board note language, happy to
- 16 do it. There's no --
- 17 MR. LENZ: I think we were under the
- impression, if I'm not mistaken, that if you look in
- 19 Part 739, everything that's in there under
- regulation number is identical to the federal rates.
- The Board notes are the changes that Illinois has
- 22 made. That's why we went in that direction.
- MR. FOX: And that may touch upon
- Mr. Rao's question regarding any companion changes

- in 808 and 809 that may be necessary to track any of
- the changes that you've proposed in 739. But it is
- in matter of style, and frankly, in part
- 4 enforceability of the board notes are the
- 5 non-substantive language, such as I described.
- 6 MR. HARRIS: Yes. If you or the Board
- 7 would care to give us direction on our redraft,
- 8 we'll follow it explicitly. Our purpose is to do
- 9 the right thing in terms of the drafting. We
- thought that following the existing format was the
- best approach, but happy to do it either way.
- MR. FOX: And I think the Board's
- comfort would be with an amendment of the
- substantive regulations themselves as opposed to
- 15 the --
- MR. HARRIS: Fair enough. That's
- 17 fine.
- MR. FOX: Thanks very much. Was there
- any other question on the part of Board member Moore
- or the Board staff, or the Agency at another chance
- at a question for Mr. Harris? Mr. Harris, you had
- 22 also pre-filed testimony on behalf of Mr. Lenz, and
- 23 Mr. Ray had been testifying as well. Would it be
- your preference at this point to turn to Mr. Lenz in

- 1 the proceeding?
- MR. HARRIS: Yes. And then if Mr. Ray
- wants to add anything, and then we have several
- other people who have also filed comments, but we're
- 5 not trying to drag -- I mean, I think various points
- 6 have been made, but I think a number of our members
- 7 would like to say a few words about the proposal.
- MR. FOX: And we can reach decisions
- 9 with you as to whether those would be sworn
- testimony with followup questions, or whether they
- would wish to make simply a public comment that
- would not be sworn.
- MR. HARRIS: Okay.
- MR. FOX: And if some of them have
- pre-filed, have already filed written comments and
- would like to rest on those, that's certainly an
- option that they could --
- MR. HARRIS: Very good. Let me turn
- 19 to Mr. Lenz, and then if Mr. Ray wants to add
- anymore.
- MR. FOX: Mr. Lenz, I know I recall
- that you've been sworn in and that you've been
- responding to questions as well. As I said at the
- beginning, if you'd like to offer any brief summary

- of your testimony, you may do that. If you prefer
- just to take questions from the Agency and the rest
- of the participants, that's certainly fine as well.
- 4 MR. LENZ: Well, basically I -- a real
- 5 brief summary, I already outlined my -- in our
- 6 comments we tried to address two things. One is we
- 7 tried to get a handle on what their concerns were
- and put it on paper, and secondly we tried to
- 9 outline real world operational issues that this
- 10 proposal brings up and would cause us to have to
- deal with. So other than that, I think it's pretty
- 12 clear. If there's questions, they can ask, and
- we'll just go from there.
- MR. FOX: Very good. Ms. Flowers,
- Mr. Lenz has indicated his willingness to respond to
- questions, and certainly it's time for to you do
- 17 that.
- MS. FLOWERS: Okay. And we did
- 19 pre-file some questions that we wanted to ask the
- witnesses, and again this is to just, kind of, get a
- feel for what's going on in the industry and whether
- 22 these -- you know, the witnesses are representative
- of the oil industry, and we might have covered some
- of these in our testimony, but if I could just go

- through and you could provide an answer.
- MR. LENZ: I'll answer what I can on
- those, but I'm not prepared to answer them all.
- 4 MS. FLOWERS: Okay.
- 5 MR. LENZ: I didn't know about them
- 6 until Monday afternoon.
- 7 MS. FLOWERS: Okay. And if you could
- 8 -- could you just at least answer them maybe in
- 9 post-hearing comments or something like that?
- MR. HARRIS: Well, why don't you --
- why don't you ask the questions that you want, and
- he'll do the best he can right now.
- MS. FLOWERS: Okay.
- MR. FOX: And we can deal with
- post-hearing comments on a question-by-question
- 16 basis.
- MS. FLOWERS: Right. Okay. What --
- so what company do you work for, and how are they
- involved in the management of used oil?
- MR. LENZ: Future Environmental
- Incorporated, and they are a used oil collector,
- transporter, and marketer. They have facilities
- both in Illinois and out of Illinois.
- MR. DRAGOVICH: They're not a

- processor, though?
- MR. LENZ: No.
- MS. FLOWERS: Okay. And how much used
- 4 oil do you collect per year?
- MR. LENZ: That I don't know.
- MS. FLOWERS: How many used oil
- 7 generators do you collect from?
- MR. LENZ: Illinois, or all the areas
- 9 we cover? Really, I guess it --
- MS. FLOWERS: In total, I guess.
- MR. LENZ: I guess it doesn't matter,
- because I don't know that question either. I mean,
- it's in the thousands, but other than that I don't
- 14 know.
- MS. FLOWERS: Okay. And regarding
- used oil generators, can you list other information
- that your facility keeps as a record, and we had
- 18 examples the name, the address, ID number.
- MR. LENZ: Well, name and address
- definitely. The ID numbers, both U.S. and Illinois,
- I'm a little iffy on. I believe -- I don't remember
- off the top of my head what's required for used oil
- generation. I don't think the U.S. number is -- if
- they have an Illinois ID generator number, we do

- 1 have that on file. Other than that, similar to what
- Ray had mentioned, we do also keep track of
- industrial generators as far as what other waste
- 4 that they are generating along with the used oil.
- In many cases, even if they aren't
- 6 putting it in the used oil, we ask information on
- our profile sheet about what they're generating, so
- 8 that if we would ever have an issue with a batch of
- 9 used oil that we had a problem with due to
- ignitability or something of that matter that we
- would have some records to go back through and see
- where we could review those generators' profile
- sheets and try to figure out maybe where that came
- 14 from. And of course you've got -- as we've
- mentioned, you've got DOT information on those
- 16 pickup receipts. You've got 739 tracking
- 17 requirement information on another -- usually
- another separate document, and there's four parts.
- 19 What am I missing? Yeah. And the business part,
- 20 and --
- MS. FLOWERS: What is the business
- 22 part? What does that mean?
- MR. LENZ: Well, that's basically what
- I mentioned, is name, address, whether they're --

- 1 how much generation they're generating of used oil,
- 2 how that used oil is generated, are they generating
- other wastes, and what those wastes are, and things
- 4 of that nature.
- 5 MS. FLOWERS: Okay. And --
- 6 MR. LENZ: That's pretty much about
- 7 it.
- MS. FLOWERS: And do you know how much
- 9 waste that you send off site from your facility per
- 10 year?
- MR. LENZ: Well, there's some waste we
- 12 pick up and transport that never even goes to our
- facility, such as antifreeze. Antifreeze is picked
- up and transported to an antifreeze recycler. Water
- that separates out of oil would be -- we don't do
- any water treatment, per se, and release the water.
- 17 So what separation we get of water in the form -- in
- the process of transportation would be taken to an
- 19 industrial wastewater treatment facility. They
- would get the remaining used oil out of the water
- 21 and then dispose of the water. So we generate --
- that isn't -- you're asking how much waste do you
- 23 send off site.
- Yeah, so basically those two

- things, and then whenever you do tank cleaning, and
- even in the -- even in the -- in the process of
- normal operations, you're doing some screening when
- 4 you load and unload the oil for protection and
- 5 things of that nature, so you're generating -- we
- 6 also generate a certain amount of sludge, oily
- 7 sludge, and that's sent off site to -- I'm not sure
- 8 exactly how Futra (phonetic) is handling that
- 9 material, but typically it's either solidified and
- land filled, or it's used as a BTU valuable slurry
- 11 fuel component at, let's say, a cement kiln that's
- permitted to handle and burn that type of stuff.
- MR. DRAGOVICH: Do you -- I mean, you
- weren't able to come up with any numbers, but do you
- got any feel for what percentage of what comes in,
- goes out as waste? Is it a few percent, or ten
- percent, or 50 percent?
- MR. LENZ: Would you. That's -- I
- mean, I could get those numbers. I think they're
- available in the database, but they're not something
- we normally really look at. But if I had to make a
- guess -- and this is purely a guess -- I would say
- we're probably looking at maybe 80 to 90 percent of
- 24 it being used oil, and the other 10 to 20 percent

- being water and sludge with the vast majority of
- 2 that being water. That's off site from our
- operations. Like I said, we collect other things.
- We act strictly as a 100 percent transporter, but
- 5 those aren't really going from our facilities.
- 6 They're just going from the generator to the
- 7 treatment facility.
- MS. FLOWERS: Okay. The next you
- 9 might have already answered. It says "Where
- 10 specifically is the waste from your facility sent
- and what quantity is sent to each facility?"
- MR. LENZ: Yeah. That really varies
- by market price, but obviously we send it to
- 14 facilities that are permitted to properly handle
- what we're generating. But yeah, it would either be
- the three -- the two avenues I've outlined for
- 17 sludge, and then the water always -- the water's
- going to, you know, a facility that's permitted to
- handle oily water or used oil, and get the used oil
- out of it, and then dispose of the water into a
- sewer system.
- MS. FLOWERS: Maybe you've answered
- the next one. I don't know. It says "What used oil
- 24 activities does your company perform such as

- transporter, processor, or marketer, and what are
- the basic steps used by your company to handle the
- 3 oil?"
- 4 MR. LENZ: Yeah. I think I've pretty
- 5 much answered that, unless you have more questions
- 6 on it.
- 7 MR. DRAGOVICH: Did -- the steps that
- you handled, it sounds like you're doing oil water
- 9 separation and some basic filtering. Is that --
- MR. LENZ: Yeah. I mean, that's
- 11 required from the get-go. You have to do filtration
- to protect your pumping equipment, otherwise you'll
- ruin your pumping equipment, and that's when it
- comes from the -- every step, it goes through a
- filter before it goes into the pump. The pumps
- aren't made to handle material that isn't -- that --
- you have to get it down to a certain size for it to
- be able to go through the pump. And if you want to
- 19 take that to an extreme, you have to get it down to
- a certain size to get it to go through the hose
- 21 before that.
- 22 And also there's water separation
- that goes on at every level. You get water
- separation at the generator level, you'll get water

- separation during transport, you'll get water
- separation at 35-day transfer facilities. And
- obviously when that happens, we -- we'll comingle
- 4 that water and handle it as oily water or used oil
- and water, and that'll go to a different facility
- than where it may be the oil that's settled out
- of -- or the oil that's settled -- the water's
- settled out of will go to a different facility than,
- 9 say, out of a transfer facility.
- MR. DRAGOVICH: Do you use anything
- beyond gravity separation? Do you heat the oil or
- 12 anything?
- MR. LENZ: No. That would involve
- 14 processing.
- MR. DRAGOVICH: Okay.
- MS. FLOWERS: Okay. And then number
- eight is "Where is the used oil from your facility
- or company sent?"
- MR. LENZ: That -- I mean, whoever
- 20 pays the -- wants to pay the most money for it.
- 21 Basically fuel -- fuel blenders, fuel burners,
- refineries. Really I guess it would have to be one
- of those three in that broad sense.
- MR. DRAGOVICH: Does -- is -- are

- 1 you -- are you marketing all your oil as on spec
- then, or are you sending some of it on to off spec
- 3 to a processor?
- 4 MR. LENZ: We only knowingly handle on
- 5 spec. We'll do testing at transporter and transfer
- 6 facilities, and if we find a batch of oil that is
- off spec, let's say, due to a high lead level or
- 8 something like that, it still -- that'll go to
- 9 somebody that's permitted, notified to take off-spec
- material. But we try to stay away from off-spec
- 11 material as much as we can. There's not a real lot
- of off-spec material out there. Oftentimes when we
- find a generator that's generating off-spec
- material, we can work with that generator and make a
- 15 few changes and get that material on spec.
- MS. FLOWERS: So maybe that answers
- the next one, which is: Do you know if you
- 18 knowingly accept used oil mixed with or contaminated
- with other waste, and how is it processed?
- MR. LENZ: Yeah, I think that answers
- that. I mean, obviously we know when water's in it,
- and we know what's in it based on what the generator
- has told us on either verbally or on the profile
- sheet as far as what's in it. But pretty much if

- it's in the oil and it's not water, it's going to be
- 2 something that's not hazardous and has got BTU
- 3 value.
- 4 MR. DRAGOVICH: So the -- any mixtures
- that go in there, they're either going to -- you're
- 6 saying they're either going to fall out with the
- 7 water and be treated as wastewater, or they're going
- 8 to be contained in the oil that's burned?
- 9 MR. LENZ: Yeah, pretty much.
- MR. DRAGOVICH: What about solids that
- settle out and they would be in that sludge portion
- that you talked about earlier?
- MR. LENZ: Yeah. We don't handle a
- lot of sludge at our own facilities, per se. If
- we're going to deal with something that there's a
- lot of sludge involved, we normally act as just a
- transporter, and it'll go to a facility that's
- designed to handle that type of material. You can
- only handle -- basically used oil collection is done
- normally with lightweight tank trucks with gear
- 21 pumps, and you can only handle a certain amount
- of -- a low -- very low -- I don't know, 1 percent
- or so solids with that type of equipment, and that's
- the type of equipment we collect used oil with. You

- 1 get into streams that have higher contaminant or
- solid levels than that, you have to use vac trucks,
- and vac trucks are very heavy. You can't hall as
- 4 near as many gallons on a vac truck legally. So
- 5 those are, kind of, kept over in that specialty
- 6 area.
- 7 MR. DRAGOVICH: That -- you said the
- 8 water portion is going on to a wastewater treatment
- 9 facility from your facility. So I -- I'm assuming
- that it impacts the value as far as the customer --
- if the customer wants to give you something that's
- mostly water they wouldn't have as much value to you
- as the used oil.
- MR. LENZ: We normally would do a test
- and charge for the water.
- MR. DRAGOVICH: Okay.
- MR. LENZ: I believe that's how they
- do it. I can't -- I think that's how they do it.
- But yeah, it definitely impacts the value of the
- oil. Or if you want to look at it differently, we
- 21 charge for the water, pay for the oil. But if it's
- mixed, then they're not going to give you as much as
- 23 if it were all oil.
- MS. FLOWERS: I think --

- MR. DRAGOVICH: What -- I'm sorry.
- MS. FLOWERS: I just think we're
- getting to the other question, but go ahead.
- 4 MR. DRAGOVICH: Oh, okay. Well, I was
- just -- I guess I'm going ahead of myself.
- 6 MS. FLOWERS: Yeah. I think we're
- 7 just -- go ahead.
- MR. DRAGOVICH: I just -- if something
- 9 was mainly water, I guess how do you decide it's
- going to have recoverable amounts of oil for you?
- MR. LENZ: Well, even if it wasn't for
- us, we would transport it for the customer to a
- treatment facility that could recover the oil out of
- 14 it. So we can take the material either way. If
- there's oil in it that we can recover, we'll do
- that. If not, it will go straight to a facility
- 17 that can do that.
- MR. DRAGOVICH: And for you to recover
- 19 it, it would have to be able to separate out into
- your facility?
- MR. LENZ: Mm-hmm.
- MR. DRAGOVICH: Okay.
- MS. FLOWERS: Okay. Number 10 was
- What procedures are used by your company to

- determine if contaminants or other wastes are mixed
- with the used oil, and if those contaminants impact
- the safety, recyclability or handling of the used
- 4 oil?"
- 5 MR. LENZ: Wait. Which number is that
- 6 again?
- 7 MS. FLOWERS: Number 10.
- MR. LENZ: Well, I think I pretty much
- 9 answered that already. A lot of that's done with a
- new customer when you're profiling that customer.
- 11 That's an issue with industrial customers. It's not
- so much an issue with automotive customers, and we
- try to determine what we're getting, even what we're
- 14 not getting, that they generate. And obviously, as
- far as the contaminants that are -- that may be in
- the oil, we want the oil to pass EPA spec tests, and
- we want the oil to pass ASTM spec tests, or at least
- 18 as many of those components of the ASTM tests as we
- 19 can. The more -- the more -- the more components of
- the ASTM tests you pass, the more money you can get
- 21 for it. And it's obviously got to pass all the EPA
- specs to be worth much.
- MR. DRAGOVICH: Do you -- do you have
- either a list of things that you tell them not to

- put in oil or things that you feel are acceptable to
- put in oil? I mean, is there some -- some guidance
- 3 that you have?
- 4 MR. LENZ: I mean, we do -- we do that
- when we're signing up new customers. We'll go
- through what they generate, and they'll normally be
- asking us "Can I put that in the used oil," and so
- we take that information as far as what they
- generate, and we tell them, you know, whether that's
- 10 considered used oil, or when that's special waste
- that isn't used oil, or whether that's hazardous
- waste.
- MS. FLOWERS: Yeah. I think this is
- 14 -- 11 is "How does the presence of contaminants or
- other constituents in the used oil affect the price
- you pay or charge the generator for the used oil?"
- MR. LENZ: I think you're clear on
- that now, are you pretty much?
- MS. FLOWERS: Yes. Okay. Do you
- ship, transport, or receive used oil under manifest,
- 21 and if so, do you require a manifest from each
- generator, and could you readily provide the
- 23 Illinois EPA copies of the manifest for the last
- year for used oil generated, transported, or

- 1 received by your facility if requested?
- MR. LENZ: You know, that question I
- don't really have an answer that I feel 100 percent
- 4 sure about. It's -- that's a little bit out of my
- 5 area of expertise in what I do for the company. I
- 6 know all the used oil is manifested, I just don't
- 7 know if it's individually or if there's still any
- 8 manifesting going on. I don't know.
- 9 MS. FLOWERS: Oh, and then 13 is:
- 10 Please identify any hazardous or solid waste permits
- by site number and permit number for each of your
- 12 facilities that generate, transfer, or store
- processed used oil.
- MR. LENZ: Well, I don't have that
- information with me here.
- MR. HARRIS: Can I --
- MS. FLOWERS: But do you have it as a
- 18 solid waste permit?
- MR. LENZ: No. I think basically all
- we have are -- most of our facilities are used USEPA
- 21 ID numbers, Illinois EPA ID numbers, and most of
- them would have, like, a storm water permit number
- 23 probably. I think that's all -- that's for
- transportation facilities and transfer facilities.

- I think that's all that's required. Again, I'm
- 2 going off of memory here.
- MS. FLOWERS: We're done with that
- 4 questioning.
- 5 MR. HARRIS: If I can intervene here
- for just a second. We're trying to be helpful and
- answer these questions, but, for example, if we were
- 8 dealing with Safety Clean on the issue of the
- 9 question about permits, I mean, they have -- they
- have a huge number of permits. It doesn't really go
- to this issue about whether they -- how many permits
- they have for storm water runoff or PO2W discharges
- and so forth. I mean, we have a very simple
- straightforward proposal. We'll be glad to work
- with the Agency on the language and the drafting and
- so forth, but it sounds like you want to do a bunch
- of discovery and interrogatories on our members,
- and, you know, I don't think we're really here for
- 19 that. So we're trying to be helpful, but I don't
- want to -- I think the Board's time is not usefully
- spent listing all of the permits that our members
- happen to have. It's all available to the Agency
- 23 and to the Board anyway.
- MR. FOX: And I've checked with Board

- member Moore and the Board staff, and we don't have
- any further questions for Mr. Lenz. I think, Ms.
- 3 Flowers, the Agency has concluded its questions for
- 4 Mr. Lenz as well?
- 5 MS. FLOWERS: Right.
- 6 MR. FOX: And Mr. Harris, you had at
- 7 least one additional witness that you wanted him
- 8 sworn and testified. I don't recall if that was
- 9 Mr. Ray to continue, or whether you had another
- person in mind.
- MR. HARRIS: I definitely would like
- Mr. Ray to provide any additional testimony that he
- would like to provide, but then the gentlemen from
- 14 Safety Clean, I wanted him to be able to address the
- issue of the cost of the manifest.
- MR. FOX: And Ms. Custer had pre-filed
- testimony, but as I think you indicated, she did not
- wish to testify today.
- MR. HARRIS: Yes.
- MR. FOX: And we would recharacterize
- 21 her pre-filed testimony as a public comment.
- MR. HARRIS: That's fine, yes.
- MR. FOX: Mr. Ray, my best
- recollection is that you've already been sworn in.

- 1 Am I correct?
- MR. RAY: That's correct.
- MR. FOX: I was sure that was the
- 4 case. As with the case with Mr. Lenz, if you want
- to offer any brief summary at all, you can certainly
- 6 be responding to a number of questions with some
- 7 technical information, so if you wish to proceed
- 9 just to accepting questions, that would be fine.
- 9 MR. RAY: I'd just like to have a few
- sentences, if I might, on the record that -- a
- summary of past comments that I've made.
- MR. FOX: Please go ahead.
- MR. RAY: I've been involved in the
- used oil industry now for about 25 years, and in
- that period of time, the industry has evolved
- towards having a fairly well-developed and
- 17 consistent set of practices across most of the
- United States. This set of practices is largely
- based on managing used oil and oil-like materials
- 20 that the EPA years ago determined could be managed
- 21 as used oil on a consistent basis. Our industry and
- our company want to continue to operate that way,
- 23 and simply achieve a reduction in unnecessary
- 24 paperwork.

- We've been asking Illinois EPA for
- support with that concept that we would eliminate
- 3 this paperwork for both used oil and materials
- 4 deemed under federal and Illinois state regulations
- 5 as appropriate to manage as used oil for more than
- 6 four years, and I think if we can come to a
- 7 conclusion that that's a mutual satisfactory goal,
- 8 we'll be able to move forward quickly and resolve
- 9 our differences.
- In terms of the questions that the
- 11 IEPA pre-filed, just -- I'm happy to answer any of
- 12 those that IEPA wants to direct to me by way of
- background. I'll give you the substance of my
- 14 credentials. For the past nine years, I've been
- working for Heritage Crystal Clean, an environmental
- service company operating in about 30 states.
- 17 Heritage Crystal Clean collects used oil via three
- distinct programs, one of them using small trucks
- 19 that pick up normal used oil from automotive and
- 20 manufacturing accounts. We also maintain a separate
- 21 fleet of trucks, referred to as vacuum trucks, that
- 22 are more targeted to services and customers that
- generate mixtures of oil, water, and sludge, and we
- 24 also have a fully expansive hazardous waste and

- nonhazardous waste program picking up containers,
- drums, and other containers of waste, and including
- in that program we sometimes pick up drums of used
- 4 oil for management.
- My credentials prior to joining
- 6 Heritage Crystal Clean, I worked for Safety Clean
- 7 Corporation where I managed their used oil program.
- 8 During the five years that I was there, we picked up
- 9 more than one billion gallons of used oil, and were
- by far the largest single used oil collector in
- 11 North America. Prior to that I spent ten years with
- 12 Evergreen Oil in California, which was the first
- modern used oil re-refiner, producing lubricants
- 14 from used oil in the United States. And if there
- are questions you'd like me to answer from your
- 16 list, please feel free.
- MR. FOX: Ms. Flowers, please go
- ahead, if you'd like.
- MS. FLOWERS: Yeah. If we could just
- go down the list, I think that'd be the quickest.
- 21 The first --
- MR. RAY: I have the list in front of
- me if you want me to just read from that and answer
- 24 your questions.

- MS. FLOWERS: Okay.
- MR. RAY: Okay. I work for Heritage
- 3 Crystal Clean, as I mentioned. We pick up used oil
- 4 with three distinct programs, the oil trucks, the
- 5 vacuum trucks, and picking up used oil in drums or
- other containers. My approximate estimate is that
- 7 combined from those three different programs, we
- 9 probably, in the U.S., pick up about ten million
- 9 gallons a year of used oil.
- I don't have a good count of the
- number of used oil generators that we serve. I do
- 12 know that for all of our programs, which include
- used oil as well as other hazardous waste that we
- pick up, we serve approximately 36,000 individual
- customer locations. We are not prepared to disclose
- at this time a list of all of our customers, for
- both practical reasons and because if we were we
- 18 providing that information we would first want to
- establish that it was protected as confidential
- 20 business information.
- There are a number of questions in
- the list that refer to the term "facility," and when
- I first read this, I interpreted facility as you use
- this to mean the way that that term generally is

- used in our industry, which refers to someone
- operating a RCRA or permanent treatment facility.
- 3 I'm not sure if that was your intent, or if you
- 4 meant it as more generally as any site that we
- operate in, but if you'll bear with me, I think I
- 6 can still answer most of your questions. I just
- 7 wanted to point out that I probably am not, you
- 8 know, using facility the same way you are, or I
- 9 might not be.
- The question number four talks
- 11 about the information that our facility keeps. And
- again, if we're talking more generally about any of
- the sites or locations that we operate out of, we do
- 14 keep our used oil shipping papers that contain name,
- address, and relevant EPA ID numbers, and that sort
- of information is readily available to the Illinois
- 17 EPA upon request.
- How much waste we send off site
- 19 from our facility, well, we operate out of 54
- 20 individual branch locations, four centralized hubs
- where material's accumulated, and it's reshipped
- from those locations to third-party processors who
- buy used oil from us or charge us for taking it,
- 24 depending on the quality. So it might be over

- simplifying, but I think the best answer to your
- question is all of the used oil that we pick up is
- ultimately sent off site to third-party processors.
- 4 Where specifically is the
- 5 wastewater facility sent and what quantity is sent
- to each facility? We have -- I think Mr. Lenz
- 7 commented before, we have a network of facilities.
- 8 We go through a process of auditing and approving
- 9 those, but the amount that we sent to any facility
- in any given year is highly variable depending on
- the price that they offer. We have send used oil
- really all across the country. We ship a lot of it
- by rail car, and our current network of outlets,
- 14 it's probably four or five different buyers who,
- kind of, bid for our used oil an a regular basis.
- What used oil activities -- we're
- a transporter. We're not really a processor. The
- extent of the, sort of, processing we might do is
- 19 attempting to separate on rail cars high water oil
- from low water oil. Earlier there was a discussion
- about gravity separation, and that's really the
- extent of the treatment and technology that we
- employ at this point. If we're able to separate the
- oil that rises to the top of a tank, which is dryer

- oil, we may be able to market that for a higher
- 2 price or to a different customer set with material
- 3 that has more water in it.
- 4 The basic steps used by our
- 5 company to ham the oil, from the point which we put
- it on our truck at a generator location, we're
- 7 collecting it and transporting that oil to a branch
- 8 location. We may be shipping it by truck or rail to
- 9 a couple locations. We may be storing it for a
- short period of time in rail cars or in fixed tanks.
- We're testing the used oil, and then we're making a
- determination on the best outlet or destination for
- the used oil and shipping it to those outlets for
- 14 sale. On rare occasions, we may find, based on our
- testing or based on the end customer testing, that
- something doesn't meet our specs, that we have a
- 17 contamination problem, or something that's not
- 18 readily marketable. I mentioned earlier that our
- 19 program includes taking what we refer to as retained
- samples. These are small samples that we take from
- our truck or our customer at different points in the
- 22 process that allow us to go back upstream and seek
- 23 to identify a generator who gave us inappropriate or
- 24 nonconforming materials.

- 1 That is not a perfect system.
- 2 There are times when we have a contamination
- incident and are unable to track it back to the
- 4 generator because of a failure in our collection of
- 5 retained samples, the samples were not
- 6 representative, because the customer had a very
- 7 small process -- problem in the process that we
- didn't pick up with our retains. When that happens,
- 9 we manage the waste appropriately, sometimes as
- hazardous waste, and if we can't find the generator
- then we're going to absorb the cost. But typically
- we do find the responsible generator, we seek to
- have them pay for the proper management of the
- 14 material, and we work with them to correct their
- generating process so that we don't have a
- 16 recurrence of the problem.
- Where is the used oil from our
- 18 facility sent? Our customer set includes direct
- 19 fuel burners who use the oil in their heating
- 20 activities, re-refiners who use the oils of
- 21 feedstock in producing lubricants, and other
- 22 processors who had capability to further purify the
- oil of contaminants before they resell is to one of
- 24 those two markets.

1 Do we accept oil mixed with or 2 contaminated with other ways? Well, in some sense 3 the answer to this question focuses on what we mean -- what you mean in the first occurrence of the 5 phrase "used oil." If we use that narrowly as materials defined as used oil, then I would say the answer is yes, we do accept from customers used oil which is mixed with oily water, used oil which is mixed CESQG waste, used oil which is mixed with 10 fuel. If you take the broader definition of used 11 oil and materials subject to management as used oil, then it's not our practice to accept mixtures with 13 other things in it besides things that are allowed 14 to be managed as used oil. 15 Contaminant testing, typically 16 when we sign up a new customer, this is a -- I'm 17 going to give you a model for an industrial account. It would be different for an automotive account, but 18 19 with an industrial account we're going to take a 20 sample of their used oil, send it to a laboratory, 21 work with a customer to fill out a profile that is 22 -- the profile is the customer's best description of

the generating process that contributed to the

creation of the used oil. The laboratory test

23

- validates whether there's any contaminants there.
- We get a generator certification not only as to the
- quality of their used oil and if it meets the used
- oil standards, but we also, at the same time, get a
- 5 generator certification regarding their generator
- 6 status, that is their size in the hierarchy, so that
- 7 we understand were there any special management
- 9 practices apply to their used oil.
- When we pick up their used oil for
- the first time, we're also taking the retained
- sample I mentioned, we're putting their oil on a
- truck, we're servicing other customers on the same
- day typically, so we're putting other customers oil
- together and commingling it. At the end of the day,
- we'll take a sample of the composite of the whole
- truck, and set that aside and retain that for the
- 90 days. The truck can then be shipped on via --
- moved onto a rail car or directly shipped to one of
- our hub operations where it will be consolidated and
- a further sample is taken and testing is done, and
- then the material is shipped off typically to a fuel
- 22 fire secondary processor.
- Presence of contaminants, well,
- the contaminant that most readily affects the price,

- as we would talk about this, would be water, because
- that's the contaminant we most often find in large
- 3 concentration in some of the used oil that we pick
- 4 up, and the more water there is, as I think you
- 5 already understand, the less valuable that stream is
- for fuel value because we're going to be extracting
- only the oil out of that for it's energy value.
- MS. FLOWERS: Can I ask a question?
- 9 Is that -- when you say water is that, like, water
- that's in there from storage or is this, like,
- 11 wastewater mixed in?
- MR. RAY: It could be a variety of
- 13 sources. I mentioned our vacuum truck program,
- which primarily services those oil/water separators
- 15 I spoke about this morning. So there it's a
- wastewater pretreatment device that we're servicing.
- 17 If I transfer -- to another example, if we call on a
- 18 car dealer and we're pumping out their used oil, if
- 19 it has water in it, it could well be mop water from
- their floors that they've decided to put into the
- used oil tank as opposed to finding another way to
- manage and discharge the water. Does that answer
- your question?
- MS. FLOWERS: Yes.

```
1
                   MR. RAY:
                              Okay. So that was to
 2
     address the pricing issue. There are other
 3
     contaminants that get into used oil that, in theory,
 4
     affect the price, but they generally are, sort of,
 5
     game-changing contaminants. And what I mean by that
 6
     is if we end up picking up somebody's used oil and
 7
     is the most extreme case, they say "Our used oil has
     PCBs in it, " well, we're not managing that in the
     same way anymore, and that's much more expensive to
10
     deal with.
                 So there are other things that get this
     if there, but in the normal course of the used oil
11
12
     business, the only thing that our pricing typically
13
     depends on is water, and to some extent, sludge or
14
     solids contamination from those separator devices.
15
                       Regarding our use of manifests,
16
     I've already testified that we are shipping used oil
17
     and material subject to management as used oil in
18
                Under Illinois Special Waste Manifests,
     we require separate manifests from each generator.
20
     We could provide Illinois EPA with copies of those
     manifests, not only for the past year, but I believe
21
22
     the past three years that would be overjoyed to send
23
     those to Illinois EPA, because we think that that
24
     would help you increase your appreciation of the
```

- 1 problem we face with excessive paperwork.
- 2 Regarding the permits that we
- hold, of course I already mentioned we've got -- we
- 4 have a fairly extensive list of facilities, I think,
- 5 that we're already on file with Illinois EPA for our
- 6 multiple branch locations. We have transportation
- 7 permits, and rather than my trying to list those, if
- you do need that information, if you let us know we
- 9 can provide additional information about our
- 10 permits.
- MR. DRAGOVICH: That question, really
- went to solid waste and RCRA permits.
- MR. RAY: I believe -- I don't know if
- 14 it's considered a RCRA permit. We have
- transportation permits for RCRA. I don't know about
- the rest of our permit status. I'm sorry. I can't
- tell you. Our operation is just too complex for me
- 18 to keep track of all that, and I wasn't prepared
- coming in today to address that.
- MS. FLOWERS: That's the last
- 21 question.
- MR. FOX: Any further questions, Ms.
- Flowers, on the part of the Agency?
- MR. DRAGOVICH: I just wanted to

- 1 clarify. So you're a transporter and a marketer as
- far as used oil, right?
- MR. RAY: That's right.
- 4 MR. DRAGOVICH: Those are the only --
- 5 okay.
- 6 MR. RAY: Well, you know, it depends
- 7 how you -- what categories -- we're probably --
- MR. LENZ: The way you're --
- 9 MR. RAY: -- a short-term storer as
- well. At certain of our facilities, we accumulate
- and hold used oil for up to 35 days.
- MR. DRAGOVICH: Okay.
- MS. FLOWERS: That's all the questions
- we have.
- MR. FOX: Thanks, Ms. Flowers. I
- verify that neither Board member Moore nor the Board
- staff have any further questions for you, Mr. Ray,
- and I appreciate your time. In the event that no
- one else has any questions specifically posed to
- Mr. Harris, it appears that there is no one who
- wishes to pose any of those questions. We could
- 22 proceed -- you had mentioned a witness from Safety
- 23 Clean --
- MR. HARRIS: Yes.

- MR. FOX: -- that you did wish to have
- sworn in to provide testimony --
- MR. HARRIS: Yes.
- MR. FOX: -- and answer questions?
- MR. HARRIS: Yes, and his name is Dan
- 6 Appelt, and he's the director of environmental
- 7 health and safety data management, so I think it
- 8 would be very useful on the question -- you can ask
- 9 him any questions you want, but I think his purpose
- is to talk about the cost of the manifest.
- MR. FOX: Mr. Appelt, maybe it would
- make sense if you wouldn't mind pulling a chair up
- either behind or between some of the other NORA
- 14 representatives. I think the court reporter would
- find it a lot easier to hear you. And if the court
- reporter would swear you in, we could proceed.
- (Witness sworn.)
- MR. FOX: Mr. Appelt, you hadn't any
- 19 pre-filed testimony. If you want to give a brief
- summary before accepting questions from the Agency
- before the Board, please feel free to do that. If
- you're ready to answer questions right away, feel
- 23 free to do that as well.
- MR. APPELT: Well, I believe Tom

- 1 Rebaski (phonetic) pre-filed a document on behalf of
- 2 Safety Clean.
- MR. FOX: Give me one second to run
- 4 through some documents here. Thomas Rebaski, a
- letter dated September 24th, 2008, from Safety
- 6 Clean.
- 7 MR. APPELT: Correct.
- MR. FOX: That has been entered into
- 9 the Board's record as public comment number 69.
- MR. APPELT: Okay. The only thing I
- would add, and I don't want to reiterate everything
- that Mr. Ray has already said, because Safety Clean
- and Crystal Clean have very similar business
- 14 practices. We do read things, we have similar lines
- of business as far as the oil is concerned. So I
- don't want to go into all that again. But I just
- wanted to make sure that for the record, Safety
- 18 Clean's position is that we'd like to see the
- manifest go away, and we don't want to replace it
- with a new form that's prescribed by the Board, but
- we want to be able to develop our own form, with
- just the data elements that the Board is looking
- 23 for. So I just want to make sure that was clear,
- 24 and I've been listening to this, you know, all day,

- and I haven't heard it very clearly stated, at least
- 2 for my own mind.
- MS. FLOWERS: And can I ask a question
- 4 about that? You mean you don't want to have a form
- 5 attached, like please fill out form on appendix A,
- f right? I mean, you just want it to be listed in
- 7 the --
- MR. APPELT: Well, we'd like to see
- 9 what the regulation state, and I believe NORA
- members feel the same way, is that we'd like the
- regulations to identify what data elements are you
- 12 looking for, and then each company would develop its
- own form to include that.
- MS. FLOWERS: Okay.
- MR. APPELT: All right. The only
- other thing that, I guess, I was going to comment
- on, we were talking earlier about the number of
- gallons per manifest, and for Safety Clean, in the
- 19 last 12 months, we averaged approximately
- 20 600 gallons per manifest load of used oil, and also
- in the last 12 months Safety Clean used -- well, you
- 22 know, all the different oil lines of business, which
- include automotive, which is the majority of our
- business, but automotive, industrial, and vac, we

- used around 33 thousand manifests last year in the
- 2 last 12 months. And as Mr. Harris mentioned that
- 3 Safety Clean did an analysis -- cost analysis on the
- 4 manifest and cost Safety Clean approximately \$18 per
- 5 manifest. That includes the acquisition of the
- 6 manifests, distribution, separation, storage, all
- 7 that.
- MR. RAO: Does that also include any
- 9 labor cost involved?
- MR. APPELT: It includes the labor,
- 11 yes.
- MR. RAO: Okay.
- MR. HARRIS: If I can point out, I
- think that when Dan and I spoke before the hearing,
- the -- that calculation was done internally, and so
- it wasn't done for the Board's benefit. It was done
- internally, and so I think it's going to be
- incredibly objective, because their mission here in
- 19 terms of that data collection and their study was to
- see what the advantages would be of an electronic
- 21 manifest versus a paper manifest. So I think the
- Board can have pretty good confidence that the \$18
- is a good solid number because it's being used by
- 24 Safety Clean to make some business decisions.

- MR. APPELT: Correct.
- MR. FOX: Ms. Flowers, did you have a
- question you wish to ask to Mr. -- or I just -- I
- jumped ahead, Mr. Appelt. Did you have any other
- 5 preliminary summary?
- MR. APPELT: No.
- 7 MR. FOX: Terrific.
- MS. FLOWERS: Okay. Can we go through
- 9 our pre-filed questions for --
- MR. FOX: Yes. This would be a good
- 11 time for that.
- MR. APPELT: I can answer some of
- 13 them.
- MS. FLOWERS: Okay. Well, the first
- one is what company do you work for, and how are
- they involved in the management of used oil?
- MR. APPELT: Safety Clean Corporation,
- and we are a used oil transporter, storer, processor
- marketer. Is there anything else?
- MR. RAY: Re-refiner.
- MR. APPELT: Re-refiner.
- MS. FLOWERS: And the second question
- is: How much used oil do you collect per year?
- MR. APPELT: Well --

- MS. FLOWERS: Did you --
- MR. APPELT: In Illinois, automotive
- 3 used oil is about 18 million gallons.
- 4 MS. FLOWERS: And how many used oil
- 5 generators do you collect from, and from each of
- these used oil generators can you list the quantity
- 7 accepted and date accepted?
- MR. APPELT: You want me to list that
- 9 now?
- MR. HARRIS: You know, if I can
- intervene for just a second again. I mean, you're
- 12 really --
- MS. FLOWERS: I guess can you do that?
- 14 Are you able to?
- MR. APPELT: If we have to I could
- provide that. I don't really know why that would be
- necessary, but we could.
- MR. HARRIS: Whenever you get into
- 19 questions about the identity of the customers and
- how much they generate and so forth, that's
- 21 confidential business information, and could you
- subpoena it or require it, yes, probably. But
- again, I don't think that's terribly necessary for
- the Board's deliberations.

- MS. FLOWERS: I mean, I'm sure you
- 2 know what you're talking about, but when we hear
- that it's several thousands or however many, I mean,
- 4 that does make a difference about who you are, how
- big you are, you know what representative you are of
- 6 this industry. So I mean, do you have a ballpark
- figure about how many generators you're collecting
- 8 from?
- 9 MR. APPELT: Not in Illinois alone,
- 10 no.
- MS. FLOWERS: Okay. Question number 4
- is: Regarding used oil generators, do you have
- other information that your facility keeps as a
- 14 record?
- MR. APPELT: Well, all of our
- 16 facilities -- the branches at least -- are also
- 17 RCRA -- either permitted or ten-day, and I'm not
- 18 sure if we have any ten-day sites in Illinois. So
- we keep a complete record of, you know, manifests
- bills of lading, you know, all the other
- 21 customer-related records in their files
- 22 indefinitely, really.
- MS. FLOWERS: Okay. Number five is:
- How much waste do you send off site from your

- 1 facility per year?
- MR. APPELT: No idea.
- MS. FLOWERS: Number six is: Where
- 4 specifically is the waste from your facility sent
- 5 and what quantity is sent to each facility?
- 6 MR. APPELT: By waste you mean oil?
- 7 MR. DRAGOVICH: We were looking at --
- MS. FLOWERS: Not necessarily, but go
- 9 ahead.
- MR. DRAGOVICH: Okay. What we were
- trying to accomplish with these questions was to
- determine if -- I mean -- and this was targeted at
- used oil facilities, and I understand that Safety
- 14 Clean's market is a lot bigger than that, but we
- were trying to figure out about what percent of the
- material that comes in as used oil is going to have
- to go out as waste to another facility.
- MR. APPELT: Well, for the Illinois
- 19 facilities, the branches, I would say all of it gets
- shipped to East Chicago where it gets processed as
- used oil and converted into lube oil for the most
- 22 part. So it all gets sent to East Chicago from
- 23 Illinois.
- MR. DRAGOVICH: You don't have any

- idea what percentage of re-refined oil they get out
- of what they bring in, do you? Is it 90 percent?
- MR. APPELT: No, I don't. It's very
- 4 high, because the company's making a concerted
- 5 effort to send more dry oil to Chicago than we did
- 6 historically, so there's less water going to East
- 7 Chicago because the branches are picking up less
- water with the oil. So the branches are doing more
- 9 selective oil collection up front at the customer
- side and not sucking out all the water at the same
- time. They'll send a vac truck later if the
- 12 customer wants that thing completely cleaned out,
- but we try to skim off just the oil.
- MR. DRAGOVICH: I would assume the
- initial step would be water separation at East
- 16 Chicago?
- MR. APPELT: Probably.
- MR. DRAGOVICH: Okay.
- MS. FLOWERS: Question number seven
- is: What used oil activities does your company
- 21 perform, such as transporter, processor, or
- 22 marketer -- which I think you already answered --
- and what are the basic steps used by your company to
- 24 handle the oil?

- MR. APPELT: The basic steps. Well,
- depending on the type of customer -- I guess it
- 3 follows very similarly to what Crystal Clean does,
- 4 but we first determine is the customer a primarily
- 5 automotive customer or are they industrial. If
- 6 they're automotive, we don't require a profile, but
- 7 we do take a retain of all of our customers, a
- 8 retain sample. And if the customer is an industrial
- 9 customer, then we make sure that it has to be
- profiled before we can service them. So then the
- oil comes back to the branch or it goes directly to
- 12 a rail car where it's off-loaded. There's no
- oil/water separation that we -- we don't try and
- separate the oil and water, I guess, at those
- branches typically that I'm aware of, and then it
- gets shipped off to East Chicago either by truck or
- rail depending on the distance.
- MS. FLOWERS: So then eight is
- answered. Where is the used oil from your facility
- or company sent to your re-refinery in East Chicago?
- MR. APPELT: I mean, there's a --
- there's probably some times when the oil does not go
- 23 to East Chicago. Like if East Chicago -- you know,
- I mean, they're running at capacity, and let's say

- they don't have room for more, which I don't know
- that that's been the case recently, but we might
- 3 sometimes have to send it somewhere else. But
- 4 typically it goes to East Chicago.
- MS. FLOWERS: Okay. So nine is: Do
- 6 you knowingly accept used oil mixed or contaminated
- with other waste, and if so how is it processed?
- MR. APPELT: I would say our -- again,
- 9 our business model is very similar to Crystal
- 10 Clean's. We wouldn't knowingly accept
- 11 contamination, other than, you know, water, to some
- degree. PCBs, again, we typically don't try and
- even pick those up as containerized or draw them to
- waste. So we try to avoid those kind of
- contaminants. But again, we -- you know, sometimes
- it happens where it does come through, and then the
- 17 East Chicago -- the lab will identify that the oil
- is contaminated, and then we go back to try to
- determine which customer it came from, and then we
- go back to the customer.
- MS. FLOWERS: Okay. Number ten, "What
- 22 procedures are used by your company to determine if
- the contaminants or other waste are mixed with the
- used oil and if those contaminants impact safety,

- 1 recyclability or handling of used oil?
- MR. APPELT: I'm not familiar enough
- with the procedures that the sales reps have to do
- 4 up front, but I would say we try and screen the
- 5 customer based on the type of customer that it is.
- 6 So if it's automotive, you're typically not going to
- 7 get anything in the used oil that's contaminated
- 8 that we wouldn't normally expect in used oil.
- 9 Industrial customers, as I mentioned, we would
- 10 profile.
- MS. FLOWERS: Okay. So how does the
- 12 presence of contaminants or other constituents in
- the used oil affect the price you pay or charge the
- 14 generator for used oil?
- MR. APPELT: Well, just like everybody
- else, the more water, the less the customer gets
- paid for their used oil, and then depending on where
- we're sending the oil -- again, if it's going to
- 19 East Chicago, you know, they want cleaner oil at
- that facility, or dryer, I should say. Otherwise if
- you have to ship the oil to some other third party,
- you get less for more -- you know, with more water
- in the oil, and if it's truly contaminated with
- something hazardous, then you have to pay to dispose

- 1 of it.
- MS. FLOWERS: Okay. So we're at
- number 12, "Do you ship, transport, or receive used
- 4 oil under manifest, and if so, do you require a
- 5 manifest from each generator, and could you provide
- the Illinois EPA copies of the manifest for last
- 7 year for used oil generated, transported, or
- received by your facility if requested?"
- 9 MR. APPELT: Yeah, we manifest every
- shipment. And again, providing the state copy of
- the manifest would be possible. If you want 30,000
- copies, we can have the branches dig them out.
- MR. DRAGOVICH: You indicated each
- shipment, but the question was each generator. Do
- you know if it's by generator?
- MR. APPELT: By generator, we don't do
- 17 truck data anymore.
- MS. FLOWERS: Okay. And then please
- 19 identify hazardous or solid waste permits that you
- have.
- MR. APPELT: We've got lots.
- MR. DRAGOVICH: They've got five
- 23 facilities in Illinois that I know of.
- MS. FLOWERS: Okay. Just in Illinois?

- 1 I mean --
- MR. APPELT: I don't have them. It
- 3 could be provided.
- 4 MS. FLOWERS: I mean, how many
- 5 facilities -- permanent facilities do you have?
- 6 MR. APPELT: Hold on. I guess -- is
- 7 it five? I don't know for sure.
- 8 MR. DRAGOVICH: I think so.
- 9 Caseyville, Urbana, Chicago, Dolton.
- MR. APPELT: We don't have Chicago
- 11 anymore. Elgin.
- MR. DRAGOVICH: Elgin. That's it.
- MR. APPELT: Didn't we close Pekin?
- MR. DRAGOVICH: Yeah. Yes, you closed
- 15 Pekin.
- MR. FOX: Ms. Flowers, any additional
- 17 questions for Mr. Appelt?
- MS. FLOWERS: Yes. Go ahead.
- MR. DRAGOVICH: Considering the
- exemption that was in the first notice in the
- current exemptions that are out there now, really
- materials that wouldn't be exempt from manifesting
- would be large quantity mixtures of used oil. Do
- you have any idea what percentage of your market is

- that? How much is that going to impact you?
- MR. APPELT: Well, like I said, in the
- last 12 months, of all the manifest for all the
- 4 customers we picked up waste from, we did 30,000
- 5 automotive manifests, industrial were only 2,700.
- 6 So I would think that 30,000 of our customers would
- 7 no longer require manifests.
- MR. DRAGOVICH: So it's the 2,700
- 9 industrial customers that it's basically up in the
- 10 air?
- MR. APPELT: I would guess they might
- 12 still be manifested. I'm not sure -- some of that
- might be hazardous used oil, and some just might be
- 14 nonhazardous.
- MR. DRAGOVICH: Okay. That's all I've
- 16 got.
- MS. FLOWERS: That's all we have.
- MR. FOX: All set. I know I've spoken
- with Board Member Moore and Mr. Rao, and the Board
- of staff doesn't have any questions for you, Mr.
- 21 Appelt. I have one question for you, however.
- We accept generally hearing Ms. Flowers and Mr.
- Harris talk about post hearing comments. If,
- Mr. Harris, you're planning, as I expect you are, to

- file some post-hearing comments, you would refer to
- a study or an analysis that led you to determine the
- 3 cost of the manifesting program, and can I ask you
- 4 to include any summary or report or any other data
- 5 that might be available to include so that we have
- 6 some specific data on the cost now that was
- 7 obtained?
- MR. APPELT: Okay.
- 9 MR. FOX: Thank you very much. That
- would be helpful.
- MR. APPELT: Sure.
- MR. HARRIS: Just one comment on
- Mr. Appelt's testimony. If you -- just for last
- 14 year, just for Safety Clean, the savings would be
- 30,000 times \$18, just right there for one year. So
- we're not talking about small change. It's -- this
- is real. And while Safety Clean is a larger
- 18 corporation, our smaller companies, although they
- would have fewer manifests to deal with, nonetheless
- are smaller companies, and so it's a considerable
- cost, as you can appreciate.
- MS. FLOWERS: And I just have a
- question, because I thought we just said -- I
- thought there was just testimony to the fact that as

- the Board's first notice proposal stands now those
- 2 30,000 would already -- would already no longer be
- included, they would be included in what is already
- 4 exempt as manifested.
- MR. APPELT: That's not how I meant
- 6 it.
- 7 MS. FLOWERS: Oh.
- MR. APPELT: I don't know how many of
- 9 those would be exempted under the current proposal.
- 10 I'm not sure.
- MR. FOX: And by current proposal, you
- mean the Board's first noticed proposal issued back
- in May?
- MR. APPELT: Yes.
- MR. FOX: Okay.
- MR. APPELT: I'm not -- I've been out
- of this loop for about two years, so I'm not even
- 18 familiar with what that proposal is.
- MR. FOX: But to attempt to clarify,
- if the NORA proposal that we've been discussing
- today was adopted, then your stipulation is that
- those 30,000 automotive manifests would virtually
- all disappear, in fact?
- MR. APPELT: Correct.

- MR. HARRIS: So we're -- NORA is
- underscoring, you know, your proposal in the first
- notice, but for simplicity and for ease of the
- 4 management, data management, of course we're
- 5 proposing what we proposed in response to your May
- 6 1st opinion and order. So I just want to emphasize
- 7 that we're talking about quite a number of
- 8 manifests, the used oil being the bulk of them, but
- 9 for those companies that handle used oil and water
- mixtures, it's a very important component of their
- operations.
- MR. FOX: Ms. Flowers, did the Agency
- have any further questions based on our most recent
- exchanges?
- MS. FLOWERS: No.
- MR. FOX: I'm sure that the Board
- doesn't either. Mr. Appelt, thank you for your time
- and your testimony. Mr. Harris, my recollection is
- 19 that you -- this reaches the end of the witnesses
- you wish to have sworn --
- MR. HARRIS: That is correct.
- MR. FOX: -- and offering testimony in
- 23 answering the Agency's questions. Is that correct?
- MR. HARRIS: That is correct. And so

- anyone else -- if I understand the Board's
- procedures, anyone else would offer public comments
- and not necessarily be subject to these
- 4 interrogatory questions from the Agency, but we're
- 5 still trying to be helpful. So if there is a
- question that the Board has or the Agency has that
- 7 can readily be answered, we'd be glad to do that.
- MR. FOX: So noted. Let me turn away
- 9 from that just for a moment. Ms. Flowers, the
- Agency did not pro-file testimony, and I'm assuming
- 11 I'm correct that the Agency did not have a witness
- or any testimony to offer today?
- MS. FLOWERS: No, we do not.
- MR. FOX: Very good. Thanks very much
- for clarifying that. Mr. Harris, as I mentioned, I
- think, at the top of the hearing, you and the most
- 17 recent filing on behalf of Safety Clean represent
- about 25 public comments that have already been
- 19 filed, and if there are individuals who are present
- here today that had hoped to offer a comment, it
- 21 appears that we will have time to do that, but I
- wanted to assure you, as I suspect you know, that
- those written comments in their entirety are on file
- in the Board's record in this case with distinct

- 1 comment numbers --
- MR. HARRIS: Yes.
- MR. FOX: -- so that they can be
- 4 referred to very easily. If that helps avoid anyone
- 5 repeating comments that are already in the Board's
- 6 record --
- 7 MR. HARRIS: You bet.
- 8 MR. FOX: -- I wanted to highlight
- 9 that for you.
- MR. HARRIS: You bet. And we're not
- trying to repeat the comments, but we are trying to
- answer the Board's questions. So I'll let
- individual NORA members or anyone else who wants to
- offer their public comment. And the purpose is,
- again, not to repeat what has already been
- submitted, but simply to answer your questions.
- MR. FOX: So noted. And we've
- established that NORA is complete with their
- 19 testimony, that the Agency doesn't have any witness.
- 20 And just in terms of planning and timing,
- Mr. Harris, do you have a number or an estimate of a
- number of folks who would like to offer a comment?
- MR. HARRIS: We could probably get a
- raise of hands, which would be more accurate.

- MR. FOX: And I'll simply throw that
- question open. If any of you would like to offer a
- 3 comment, and this is, again, strictly so that we
- 4 could manage the timing, would you please raise your
- 5 hand so that we can know precisely how much time we
- 6 would need for all of you who wish to do so to
- 7 provide a comment? It looks like we have one
- 9 person, and I recall that your name is Ms. McCord.
- 9 Is that correct?
- MS. MCCORD: It is. Thank you.
- MR. FOX: Why don't we have you do,
- just as Mr. Appelt did, come up to the table where
- the court reporter, I think, will have an easier
- time seeing and hearing you. And if you have any
- comments that you would like to make on this
- proceeding on the proposal that NORA has offered,
- 17 please feel free to do that.
- MS. MCCORD: And I pre-submitted
- 19 comments on behalf of the company prior to today's
- hearing.
- MR. FOX: Very good. And for the
- 22 court reporter's benefit, would you please give her
- your full name and spellings of any last names to
- simplify that?

- MS. MCCORD: Katherine Anne McCord,
- M-c-C-o-r-d. Mr. Fox?
- MR. FOX: Yes, ma'am.
- MS. MCCORD: The comments I have today
- is really just summarized the written comments I've
- 6 already submitted on behalf of Crystal Clean. But I
- 7 thought it might be helpful if -- I put together
- 8 bullet points in a Power Point handout. Would that
- 9 be helpful if I give that to the members of the
- 10 Board, or should I speak it verbally?
- MR. FOX: I would suggest in the
- 12 format of comments that you simply speak to it very
- 13 candidly.
- MS. MCCORD: Okay. Okay then. I
- guess the main theme I'd like to, sort of, talk to
- you today was that this has been a multiple-year
- 17 process for us. You know, and many companies, you
- 18 know, we're competitors here, but we came together
- because this is an important issue for us. The -- I
- don't believe that what we're asking the Board to do
- 21 is a risky decision. It is something that has been
- tested in most of the states in our country. In
- 23 many of us that deal with other states and have
- businesses elsewhere, and have operations elsewhere

- have experience with how this works. We have been
- sidetracked over this multiple-year process in side
- 3 issues with definitions of oil and things.
- But because in Illinois the
- regulations have been adopted by reference, you
- 6 know, to us that's very clear what that means, and
- 7 we, every day, are making judgements about what is
- 9 proper and what's not proper. It's not worth it to
- 9 us not to make the best efforts in making those
- decisions. They protect our people. You know, they
- protect our customers in that sense, but it's the
- 12 right thing to do too. But that is the commitment
- in my company and the other companies that have been
- 14 participating in this.
- There's been no intention to try
- to create loopholes, but rather we've gotten
- 17 sidetracked with details that were not part of our
- original proposal, and they've been -- in fact, I
- hope we'd step back and get back to the core of what
- that is. It was to substitute a shipping paper, a
- 21 manifest in this case, with another shipping paper,
- 22 and there are materials moved all over the United
- 23 States every day under DOT shipping papers. It is
- not -- it is something that -- there's conventions

- and regulations that have been laid out by the
- Department of Transportation on how materials are
- described. In fact, DOT trumps all with respect to
- 4 how the shipping descriptions are laid out. They
- 5 are the ones that prescribe what those mean.
- And the reason for that is because
- 7 they want hazardous materials responders,
- 8 firefighters, police officers everywhere to
- 9 understand the standard system, and that -- so we
- don't -- we, as companies who collect waste, live
- with many layers of regulations. That's our
- obligation, and DOT is one piece that we know as
- well as the EPA regulations. So what has befuddled
- me is all along we've never been -- the department
- has failed to identify for us the gaps that our
- 16 proposal of substituting one shipping paper for
- 17 another would provide. And in previous hearings,
- 18 I've provided a chart that compared the elements of
- a hazardous waste manifest -- in fact, we'd we
- willing to resubmit that if you'd like that -- with
- what we see today on DOT shipping papers.
- It -- I know that the expertise
- that we generally have found in the state's
- environmental agencies are really focusing on the

- environmental regulations, and it's not the DOT
- piece. As a former state employee and a former --
- not in Illinois but in another state -- and a former
- 4 EPA regulator, I know that very little effort was
- 5 put into educating the inspectors on what the DOT
- for requirements were. I learned them when I came to a
- 7 waste company, to be honest with you. And so -- but
- 8 we -- I want to assure you that there's no gaps
- 9 here.
- But if we -- the issues that have
- been brought up by the department are ones that are
- not addressed by the manifest system today. The
- manifest does not provide information on these oily
- water mixtures. You put the proper shipping
- description down, it's either oil or oily water, but
- 16 the components and the percentages are not
- 17 prescribed by DOT. So the manifest does not provide
- the information today that the department would
- 19 like.
- There had been previous proposals
- 21 that some of the members were willing to even report
- 22 additional information about, you know, these
- shipments in lieu of having to use a hazardous waste
- 24 manifest. The manifest in the form itself is very

- inefficient. I mean, the hazardous waste manifest
- was developed back in 80 -- 1982. You know, and
- 3 there was a major regulation change two years ago
- 4 when the feds took back the authority to regulate
- 5 that document. They created one manifest not the
- 6 nation. But, you know, that document does not
- 7 provide the information that you're looking for.
- And the -- I'm hoping we've come
- ⁹ to a conclusion we understand that, that we're not
- 10 -- by substituting a different shipping paper, one
- that is in compliance with all the DOT requirements,
- it satisfies the needs, as far as materials,
- movement, emergency response, but it doesn't get at
- the nuances of the composition of used oil, and
- 15 you're really -- at some point, you know, we're
- running businesses that have intensified, in some
- ways, to manage materials in a way that makes sense,
- because we are either selling or paying someone to
- 19 process the material we collect in this case. We
- don't have that in-house treatment capabilities.
- But I think we need to, sort of,
- you know, step back, take the sunglasses off, and
- just really focus on what that one issue is, is we
- 24 would like to -- in Illinois -- have the same system

- that we have in -- top of my head -- I think -- I
- believe in 48 other states, that we are using the
- 3 national transportation system for shipping papers
- 4 to move used oil. In fact, sometimes hazardous
- 5 waste manifest is confusing to people because they
- don't understand why it's being used for used oil.
- I have -- I have personally been
- on the phone with responders in situations where --
- 9 in vehicle accidents where they don't understand why
- 10 that's not a hazardous waste manifest, and that's
- because it's not used elsewhere. It's not the most
- 12 effective tool in communicating to responders. And
- really, that's what the shipping paper's all about.
- 14 That's what that's about. It's to make the
- transportation of these materials safe. It's not
- about finding out what the oily water mixture was
- when someone is, you know, shamming something behind
- the scene and hiding some hazardous waste.
- The other enforcement programs
- that have been -- you know, that have a long history
- in Illinois, an honorable history -- you know, in
- fact, the reason we're, sort of, in this situation
- 23 is because Illinois was ahead of other states in the
- record game. They had -- some of these regulations

- were out there ahead of the feds providing that, you
- 2 know, drive back in 1980. So I think this is a
- disconnect still with pieces of the special waste
- 4 rules, and what has happened in the authorization of
- the state in implementing RCRA here. It's a
- 6 disconnect, and I want to assure you that you're not
- 7 taking a risk from an environmental protection
- 8 perspective in evaluating, fairly, our proposal. It
- 9 is something we will deal with everywhere else.
- 10 If anything, we have trouble
- because we often come into Illinois from out of
- state and then leave, and who's allowed to sign off
- on that manifest? You know, and what really happens
- to that manifest when it leaves the state is that it
- acts as a DOT bill of lading, and you don't have to
- be a permitted facility to sign off on it. But
- that's very confusing when people are trying to do
- their annual reports to their home states, to their
- 19 -- to an office, a DOT officer in Indiana that
- didn't know why a load from Illinois is manifested.
- We spend energy in explaining to people why there is
- this disconnect with our services in Illinois. So
- that's -- I'm -- thank you for the opportunity to
- 24 talk to you.

- MR. FOX: Thank you, Ms. McCord. I
- 2 have, I believe, all of the comments, the public
- 3 comments that have been filed with the Board in
- 4 approximately the last two to three weeks. I do not
- 5 have one in my paper file that was filed by you, and
- if you placed that in the mail very recently, it may
- be arriving today in our clerk's office, but I only
- 8 alert that to you -- alert you to that so that after
- ⁹ the conclusion of the hearing I can follow through
- with any procedural steps that would put that --
- MS. MCCORD: I'll have to talk with
- Mr. Harris, but I also have a copy with me today. I
- believe that was submitted on our behalf, but I'll
- 14 have to check.
- MR. FOX: And I'll readily admit that
- 16 I could be mistaken.
- MS. MCCORD: Okay.
- MR. FOX: But I didn't have it with
- me, but rest assured the comment you've offered
- today, of course, has been transcribed by the court
- 21 reporter.
- MS. MCCORD: Okay. Thank you very
- 23 much.
- MR. FOX: Thank you for your comment,

- 1 Ms. McCord.
- MR. HARRIS: And can we submit this --
- whatever the comment was, if it's missing, and it
- 4 may not be missing, but it was inadvertent that it's
- 5 missing if it is missing.
- 6 MR. FOX: Just submitted as a hearing
- 7 exhibit?
- MR. HARRIS: Yes.
- 9 MR. FOX: Certainly. That would
- duplicate what's in the transcript, but if you have
- 11 multiple copies, Ms. McCord --
- MS. MCCORD: I have one copy of that
- letter, of our letter with me. I can get copies
- 14 made if you need it.
- MR. FOX: Actually, perhaps if you've
- got two copies, you could show one to the Agency,
- simply so that they could have the opportunity to
- review it before it's admitted as an exhibit.
- MS. MCCORD: I have one copy, or I can
- email it to you right now, or give you the one copy.
- 21 MR. FOX: We --
- MR. RAY: Can we get a copy made right
- 23 now?
- MS. MCCORD: Is there a place nearby

- here that I could run down the hall and get a copy
- 2 made?
- MR. FOX: The alternative, Ms. McCord,
- 4 is there -- our clerk's office is upstairs and you
- 5 can simply file it in person there.
- MS. MOORE: And give it to the clerk
- 7 and then it'll go right online.
- MS. MCCORD: I'll do that.
- 9 MR. FOX: Mr. Harris, if you have -- I
- think you had indicated that Ms. McCord was the only
- 11 person that wished to offer --
- MR. HARRIS: Unless there's anyone
- else in the -- I think Mr. Kennedy.
- MS. FLOWERS: Can I ask a question?
- MS. MCCORD: Of?
- MR. FOX: Ms. McCord merely offered a
- 17 public comment.
- MS. FLOWERS: Okay.
- MR. FOX: And it was not sworn in and
- would not be subject to cross examination on that
- 21 basis, and the Board will regard those
- 22 appropriately.
- MS. FLOWERS: Can I ask a question of
- the panel then? Because, I mean, I just want to

- 1 make clear what we're talking about here. I mean,
- we want to work together, but I think we're still
- not talking about the same things, because they're
- 4 still talking about a manifest exemption for used
- oil, which the Board's first unless already allows,
- and yet we're back here for a hearing. And to me,
- 7 there's -- I thought we were back here to talk about
- what is used oil, and now we're saying no, we're not
- 9 talking about what is used oil. And so I'm -- I get
- 10 confused. I think I know what we're talking about,
- and then I have a misunderstanding from them that
- no, they don't want to change our definition of used
- oil, which is only used oil as defined in 739.
- MR. FOX: And my recommendation
- candidly, Ms. Flowers, is that we have a specific
- 16 rulemaking proposal before us that was filed by NORA
- with very concrete language, and they've offered,
- through four sworn witnesses now, I believe, very
- 19 specific testimony, almost exclusively in response
- to your questions, and if a comment is something
- you'd like to respond to, the post-hearing comment
- on the part of the participants would be the most
- 23 appropriate way to do that.
- MS. FLOWERS: Right. Okay. I mean, I

- just -- I just want to make sure that if we're going
- 2 to call it what it is, if we're going to do it,
- instead of calling it a manifest exemption, it is
- 4 more than that, is what my understanding is, and I
- just don't want to be mistaken about that.
- MR. FOX: So noted. And Mr. Harris,
- you had one other -- one gentlemen --
- MR. HARRIS: Mr. Kennedy, Bill Kennedy
- 9 from RS Oil.
- MR. KENNEDY: Hi. Good afternoon. My
- name's Bill Kennedy. I'm the safety and compliance
- director for RS Oil, and we're based in Monee,
- 13 Illinois. We've been dealing with the manifest
- issue now for many, many, many years, and we, too,
- collect a lot of used oil. We have to generate a
- manifest at each collection that we do, whether it's
- 17 50 gallons or 500 gallons, and that -- the
- burdensome of the manifest and the information that
- we have to provide on the manifest is almost
- identical in form and matter to what we put on our
- standard bill of ladings, which we have to have for
- our business operations also. It just -- it's the
- 23 same information that we have to provide to other
- states to meet the requirements of the used oil

- 1 regulations under 279.
- I just want to say that it is
- burdensome to us. We, too, have just massive
- 4 amounts of manifest sitting in storage, and we, too,
- 5 would be very happy to provide them to the state and
- let them see what we have to do to keep them, and
- 7 the storage units that we have to have and
- 8 everything else. I didn't want to spend a lot of
- 9 time. I just wanted to say that, you know, we would
- 10 like to cooperate, have the same information, but
- just a separate form, or use our form with the same
- data fields completed.
- MS. FLOWERS: Again, I'm just going to
- 14 ask if I could please ask a question. I mean,
- there's already before -- the Board has already
- 16 proposed a first noticed proposal that requires it
- to be completely exempt from having a manifest and
- 18 just using -- and all you have to do is use the used
- oil tracking requirements if you're carrying used
- 20 oil.
- MR. FOX: And Ms. Flowers, I'll just
- interject again. There are now 69 written public
- comments in this docket, and two additional oral
- spoken comments, and in post-hearing comments of

- 1 your own -- and certainly we'll discuss a schedule
- for those -- you may be free to address any of the
- 3 pre-filed testimony, spoken testimony, in the
- 4 transcript and any comment that you wish to address.
- 5 But this was not sworn, although we appreciate your
- time, Mr. Kennedy, and we certainly will not leave
- you without any opportunity to respond to that.
- MS. FLOWERS: Right. Mr. Harris had
- ⁹ just said that he would -- they were willing to
- 10 answer questions.
- MR. HARRIS: We're still willing to --
- if there are any questions. I don't want to go
- through the lengthy interrogatories, but anything
- that relates to our proposal, of course we're
- interesting in answering questions.
- MR. FOX: Mr. Harris, in that spirit
- if Mr. Kennedy's willing to answer a question, Ms.
- 18 Flowers, please go ahead.
- MS. MOORE: No, not to Mr. Kennedy. I
- don't think Mr. Kennedy should have to answer a
- 21 question.
- MR. FOX: You're right. I'm sorry. I
- 23 misspoke.
- MS. MOORE: Mr. Harris said he would.

- MR. FOX: That's correct, member
- Moore. I did have that misstated. If you wish to
- 3 ask a question to Mr. Harris, he's indicated that
- 4 he'd be willing to address it.
- MS. FLOWERS: Okay. You've had --
- 6 there's been two comments now that the manifest is a
- burden, but we've already resolved that in that the
- 8 Board's first notice proposal gives the manifest
- 9 exemption to used oil, and so why are we still here?
- MR. HARRIS: Because our original
- 11 proposal asked for that manifest exemption to extend
- to materials regulated as used oil.
- MS. FLOWERS: Okay.
- MR. HARRIS: And in attempting to
- address the Agency's concerns, we narrowed it down
- to the four categories, which are set forth in my
- 17 pre-filed testimony, and that includes the
- regulatory language that we'd submitted, which will
- be improved upon and resubmitted.
- MS. FLOWERS: Okay.
- MR. HARRIS: But if it's a burden for
- used oil as defined, it is also a burden for these
- categories, and so we are asking for -- if it's a
- good thing to get rid of the manifest for used oil,

- it's just as good to get rid of it for, we think,
- originally all materials regulated as used oil. But
- in our spirit of compromise, we'll narrow it down to
- 4 the most important categories, which are the four
- identified in my testimony.
- 6 MS. FLOWERS: Thank you for that
- 7 clarification.
- MR. RAY: Can I add something?
- 9 MR. FOX: Mr. Ray, please go ahead.
- MR. RAY: I'll be very brief. The
- 11 current proposal that only used oil as defined and
- not used oil as managed as allowed to be managed
- would be exempt from manifesting may seem like it
- 14 gives us half of what we're asking for. It doesn't,
- from my point of view, speaking for our company.
- 16 It's worse than not changing the regulations at all,
- because it would pose new ambiguity and confusion in
- 18 our customers' minds, and it would make it harder
- 19 for them to operate than the current manifesting
- 20 system does. So if I was solely voting on this or
- 21 had the ability to voice an opinion, I would not
- 22 choose to exempt form manifesting only used oil as
- defined, and I think that's why when other people
- 24 are speaking about this, they're saying that maybe

- implicitly that that's not a good solution unless we
- 2 also include used oil managed -- or materials
- allowed to be managed as used oil. Thank you
- 4 MR. HARRIS: And I should add that
- 5 Mr. Ray's been quite vocal in our discussions about
- this, because he has to deal with it, and he's
- obviously right. If we -- and in my testimony, I
- 8 said if we just create this bifurcated system where
- 9 used oil as defined gets exempt but the other
- materials that we handle on a day-to-day basis, week
- after week, generated often by the same customers,
- it's a huge headache, and that's what Mr. Ray has
- been talking about, I think, very articulately, and
- our other members as well.
- So to answer your question, we're
- not even taking half a step with just used oil as
- defined. We want to go to these other four
- categories, and if in the Board's wisdom is says no,
- 19 you're right, you know, your original proposal was
- 20 right, let's deal with all of the materials managed
- 21 and regulated as used oil, we'd be delighted. But
- in the spirit of compromise, we came down to these
- four areas, which we think are the most important.
- There is one more public comment I should not have

- neglected, my boss, the executive director of NORA,
- who would like to add a few words with the Board's
- 3 permission.
- MR. FOX: Very good.
- MR. PARKER: I know time is tight, so
- 6 I'm going to make this very short. My name is Scott
- 7 Parker. I'm the executive director for NORA, the
- 8 National Oil Recyclers Association, and all the
- 9 companies here in this room are members of ours, and
- 10 I can validate that they are companies in good
- 11 standing in the industry. I also wanted to take a
- moment to also promote Chris Harris. He's been with
- our association for over 20 years. He's considered
- one of the leading national experts on used oil and
- regulatory compliance and issues surrounding that,
- so I think that that's important for you to know. I
- did want to thank the Board for hearing from our
- members and having this hearing today. I also
- wanted to thank IEPA for participating in this.
- I can tell you that this issue is
- important. I can tell you how important it is.
- 22 It's not very often that we can get eight or nine
- members from the same state to compete with each
- other to work together on something, so we've

- accomplished something here in that. But this is a
- big issue. I've been with NORA for over six years
- now, and it's been going on for most of those years,
- 4 and one of the things that's, sort of, interesting
- 5 to me is this issue is actually -- we have a lot of
- 6 members in every single state, and very few times
- 7 does an issue pop up in a state that becomes of
- 8 issue to our entire organization, and this one -- we
- 9 have a committee now within our national
- organization looking specifically at this, and it is
- of concern to many of our members across the
- 12 country.
- I can also let you know many other
- 14 trade associations are also interested in this. We
- have had conversations with the Independent
- Manufacturers Association, the Manufacturers
- 17 Association here also, and also the National
- 18 Association of Manufacturers back in DC. So this is
- 19 something that is generating interest because of
- this. I would like to respectfully call on the
- Pollution Control Board to help us reduce this
- unnecessary expense. I think you can see just in
- one instance, you know, \$600,000 per year on
- 24 managing those. You multiply that out by the

- companies here, you're talking millions of dollars,
- and in my opinion not helping the environment at
- all. I think that's really the key here. I don't
- 4 think that correlation has been made at all.
- 5 Again, I think the other point
- that was brought up that I want to mention briefly
- 7 was the other thing, too, is as NORA we have members
- nationwide that do business all the time. They're
- g constantly working together, have customers, they
- have burners, they have people outside of the
- 11 states. I think it would be in the best interest of
- 12 Illinois and the companies of Illinois and the
- environment in Illinois for these companies to be
- easily able to trade among the states.
- Based on that, I just want to say
- thank you again, and I think you have some experts
- 17 here, but I'm also willing to assist with questions
- if necessary.
- MR. FOX: Mr. Harris, did you have any
- other persons that wish to offer any comments at
- 21 this time?
- MR. HARRIS: I don't think so.
- MR. FOX: Very well. And I think
- we've come to the end of the testimony, of course,

- earlier and the comments now. Why don't we go off
- the record just for a moment or two and we can
- discuss the procedural issues surrounding the final
- 4 post-hearing comments.
- 5 (Whereupon, a discussion was had
- off the record.)
- 7 MR. FOX: I want to make clear that in
- going off the record, the participants discussed
- 9 procedural issues related to the filing of
- 10 post-hearing comments. Before taking any action on
- this proposed regulatory amendment, the Board will
- 12 hold to open a post-hearing comment period. The
- deadline for filing those comments will fall 60 days
- 14 after the Board receives the transcript of this
- hearing, and to set the specific deadlines as
- clearly as possible, I'll issue a hearing officer
- order when that transcript is filed with the Board.
- Any response to those post-hearing comments will be
- due 30 days from that -- from the end of that 60-day
- period, an issue, again, that I will clarify in that
- hearing officer order, and again, copies of the
- transcripts are expected to be available
- 23 approximately Wednesday, the 8th of October of 2008,
- and I stress that those, as soon as they are

- available, will be posted to the Board's website, so
- that you may download those and print them free of
- 3 charge at any time once they are available.
- 4 If anyone has questions about the
- 5 procedural aspects of the rulemaking, my telephone
- 6 number and my email address are listed on the
- 7 Board's website. There are, of course, no other
- 8 hearings now scheduled in this rulemaking. Are
- 9 there any other matters that need to be addressed at
- 10 this time?
- MS. MOORE: As we are getting to the
- end, I just wanted to thank everyone for their great
- efforts. I know it's very difficult to go through a
- 14 process change like this. Obviously there's great
- incentive to do it, but it is up to the Agency to do
- their job, and they've been very diligent as well.
- 17 The Board appreciates the input from all of you for
- a very good and complete record.
- MR. FOX: And having seen no other
- 20 procedural issues or questions, I'll merely echo
- Board Member Moore's thanks for your time, your
- effort, your filings, and we are for today
- 23 adjourned. Thank you all very much.

24

```
Page 216
 1
      STATE OF ILLINOIS )
                             SS
      COUNTY OF COOK
 3
 5
                         REBECCA A. GRAZIANO, being first
     duly sworn on oath says that she is a court reporter
     doing business in the City of Chicago; that she
     reported in shorthand the proceedings given at the
     taking of said hearing and that the foregoing is a
     true and correct transcript of her shorthand notes
10
11
     so taken as aforesaid and contains all the
12
     proceedings given at said hearing.
13
14
                     Rebbeck Dungun
15
                   REBECCA A. GRAZIANO, CSR
16
                    29 South LaSalle Street, Suite 850
                    Chicago, Illinois
                                        60603
17
                    License No.:
                                   084-004659
18
19
     SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO
     before me this 1st day
20
     of October, A.D., 2008.
21
           Notary Public
22
                                      OFFICIAL SEAL
                                      DIANA LEVERSO
23
                                  Notary Public - State of Winole
24
```

	1	1	1	1
A	106:21 107:6,7	acceptance 60:7	actual 15:6	ADM 1:7
ABC 84:18	107:24 108:12	accepted 178:7	24:13 26:9	administrative
ability 81:5	109:14,17	178:7	75:7 130:18	3:15 26:19
86:22 87:2	110:6 118:5	accepting 126:7	actually 13:8	admit 201:15
93:5 209:21	119:9 120:3,6	159:8 173:20	20:5 54:11	admitted 5:2
able 19:24 21:3	121:13 123:4	accidental 99:14	80:1,17,18	71:7 202:18
22:14 23:18	126:1 132:5,19	accidents 199:9	94:11 102:11	admitting 19:11
35:8 62:17	135:21 140:7	accomplish	112:3 128:9,10	71:3
87:1 88:21	142:5 144:7	32:15 180:11	131:4 135:3,11	adopted 56:14
91:5 92:22	145:6 151:10	accomplished	135:22 138:2	72:1 189:21
93:24 101:12	151:12 156:4	212:1	202:15 212:5	195:5
105:21 108:3	157:9,11	accordance 15:4	add 8:1 20:8	adoption 49:22
110:13 111:1	159:14 160:16	34:7 50:19	21:22 37:22	advance 119:18
113:7 115:5	162:8 163:11	according 33:20	49:3 55:15	129:7
124:17 137:2	163:12 164:21	42:24 86:10	67:17 81:6	advantage 17:8
146:14 148:18	169:1,15 171:9	accost 43:13	87:5 95:15	advantageous
153:19 158:14	171:15 173:10	account 40:23	115:12 119:5	9:21
160:8 164:23	175:4,17 178:3	167:17,18,19	125:21 130:6	advantages
165:1 174:21	178:19 179:2,4	accountants	140:3,19	176:20
178:14 213:14	179:7 180:15	79:21	174:11 209:8	advice 27:19
about 7:4,15	187:23 188:16	accounts 46:16	210:4 211:2	65:20 93:7
11:5,9 12:11	189:17 190:7	46:17 160:20	added 26:10,13	advise 93:5
12:15,16 14:23	191:18 195:7	accumulate	132:15	advocated 12:20
17:15 18:15	197:22 199:13	100:7 172:10	addition 28:9	affect 155:15
20:1,8,10	199:14,16	accumulated	additional 17:14	170:4 184:13
24:13 27:13	204:1,3,4,7,9	163:21	18:6 19:19	affects 168:24
28:5 29:12	204:10 205:5	accurate 7:23	72:10,12 89:10	aforesaid 216:11
31:2,20,24	209:24 210:5	192:24	122:3 136:12	after 6:8 25:4
32:2,9,20	210:13 215:4	accurately	158:7,12 171:9	26:12 38:10,15
34:12 38:24	above 56:12	113:11	186:16 197:22	59:23 92:6
40:6 46:3,7,14	63:11 119:16	achieve 159:23	206:23	93:12 98:17,18
47:6,7,14 52:1	above-entitled	acoustics 6:24	address 12:5	107:16 130:20
53:8 55:6,23	1:10	acquisition	30:7,9,11,20	201:8 210:11
59:5,7 60:17	absolutely 14:17	176:5	31:20:32:23	214:14
60:20 62:8	44:10	across 53:23	86:17 108:18	afternoon 142:6
63:18 64:1,2	absorb 20:19	159:17 164:12	110:1 123:22	205:10
66:10 67:1	166:11	212:11	136:1 141:6	afterwards
68:9 69:12	absurd 130:2	act 15:5 86:11	143:18,19	93:14 95:15
71:18 75:2,14	accept 6:8 65:22 93:16 117:21	98:4 147:4	144:24 158:14	again 11:12,21
75:15,19 76:5		151:16	163:15 170:2	14:9,22 19:20
76:6 77:8,23	150:18 167:1,7	acting 2:5 3:20	171:19 207:2,4	22:7 35:8 55:4
80:24 81:9	167:12 183:6	action 214:10	208:4,15 215:6	57:1 72:24
84:15 85:5	183:10 187:22	actively 123:7	addressed 66:23	77:8:80:21
88:7 89:20,21	acceptability 128:4	activities 147:24	197:12 215:9	87:6 95:3
90:11 92:13		164:16 166:20	addresses 12:2	105:14 110:8
93:7 94:18,22	acceptable 30:9 33:18 84:6	181:20	adds 10:22	125:19 133:23
96:2 98:12,15	112:15 115:8,8	activity 4:3 118:19	21:19	141:20 154:6
99:10 102:1,20	112:13:113:8,8	acts 200:15	adjourned 215:23	157:1 163:12
104:13 105:1	113.7 133.1	acts 200.13	213.23	174:16 178:11
		l	<u>:</u>	
CARL SCHOOL SERVICE STATE OF THE SERVICE STATE STAT	THE RESERVE AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE		CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF TH	

r				
178:23 183:8	186:18 199:23	204:5 206:15	another 7:2 56:3	71:11 90:15,24
183:12,15	200:1 207:18	206:15 208:7	59:22,23 80:8	105:4,14
184:18 185:10	209:9	alternative	105:9 112:17	125:16 127:15
192:15 193:3	air 187:10	203:3	139:20 144:17	140:3 149:10
206:13,22	alert 201:8,8	although 6:22	144:18 158:9	149:12 177:19
213:5,16	Alisa 2:4 3:23	188:18 207:5	169:17,21	184:7 200:10
214:20,21	allow 20:14,16	always 4:23	180:17 195:21	207:13
against 123:3	49:6 85:12	45:20 103:20	196:17 197:3	anyway 65:18
agencies 196:24	122:20 124:23	104:8,8 128:5	answer 22:13	157:23
agency 2:7 3:6	132:1 133:12	134:4 135:20	24:3,8 28:4	apologize 31:18
4:18 6:1,7,10	165:22	135:20,20	42:14 61:1	75:17 105:15
7:10 8:5,15	allowed 6:20	136:17 147:17	82:22 90:8	Appeared 2:21
19:5 22:20,24	33:16 42:11	ambiguity	98:24 142:1,2	appears 25:11
39:2 61:11	48:16,18,20	209:17	142:3,8 156:3	41:2 55:20
71:2 72:2	62:16 63:7	amend 28:1	157:7 160:11	129:19 172:20
73:20 75:9	92:23 93:2	73:17	161:15,23	191:21
76:24 91:5	102:4 110:20	amended 38:10	163:6 164:1	Appelt 2:14
107:14 108:23	167:13 200:12	amendment 4:5	167:3,7 169:22	173:6,11,18,24
128:1,5 131:10	209:12 210:3	139:13 214:11	173:4,22	174:7,10 175:8
134:5 139:20	allows 6:21	amendments 1:6	177:12 192:12	175:15 176:10
141:2 157:15	43:23 79:18	3:13 4:9	192:16 207:10	177:1,4,6,12
157:22 158:3	204:5	America 161:11	207:17,20	177:17,21,24
171:23 173:20	alluded 87:15	among 213:14	210:15	178:2,8,15
190:12 191:4,6	almost 52:23	amount 19:21	answered 51:13	179:9,15 180:2
191:10,11	103:20 123:2	20:9 43:11	86:1 147:9,22	180:6,18 181:3
192:19 202:16	204:19 205:19	46:12 53:12	148:5 154:9	181:17 182:1
215:15	alone 179:9	56:23 88:1	181:22 182:19	182:21 183:8
Agency's 190:23	along 28:6 59:24	94:15 98:16	191:7	184:2,15 185:9
208:15	65:17 82:13,23	118:3 146:6	answering 50:5	185:16,21
aggregating	83:7 96:8	151:21 164:9	55:18 128:10	186:2,6,10,13
35:10	135:13 144:4	amounts 17:7	190:23 207:15	186:17 187:2
ago 26:6 159:20	196:14	85:23 87:3	answers 24:24	187:11,21
198:3	already 5:19 8:1	88:11 90:1	150:16,20	188:8,11 189:5
agree 17:14 49:7	15:10,20,21	96:23 153:10	anticipated	189:8,14,16,24
75:5,11 77:14	18:10 44:5	206:4	18:22	190:17 193:12
83:23 86:18	48:23 51:2	analogy 21:12	antifreeze	Appelt's 188:13
112:10 133:14	52:15 59:7	118:21 124:11	145:13,13,14	appendix 175:5
133:14 135:4	72:21 73:23	124:14	anymore 124:6	applaud 13:14
135:12	74:3,12 88:1	analysis 88:5	140:20 170:9	applicability
agreeing 18:13	92:6 93:11	91:19 176:3,3	185:17 186:11	60:3
agreement 28:9	105:13 110:10	188:2	anyone 6:9,19	applicable 63:9
83:16 84:9	118:5 140:15	analytical 40:9,9	19:9 24:5	72:11,20
135:8,10	141:5 147:9	40:22,24 89:1	108:23 191:1,2	137:24
136:20	154:9 158:24	92:21	192:4,13	application
ahead 55:10	169:5 170:16	Anand 2:4 3:23	203:12 215:4	72:10
107:22 136:23	171:3,5 174:12	Andrea 2:5 3:18	anything 11:9	applies 67:18,19
153:3,5,7	181:22 189:2,2	angle 81:19	27:9 33:7	122:14
159:12 161:18	189:3 191:18	Anne 194:1	40:18 50:18	apply 62:1,4
177:4 180:9	192:5,15 194:6	annual 200:18	59:17 61:14	75:12 83:21
		- ·		

				
89:18 115:1	arriving 3:8	116:14 154:17	109:20 111:16	165:4 181:23
116:5 122:13	201:7	154:18,20	182:15	182:1
168:8	articulated	attached 29:9	away 101:12	basically 10:11
applying 67:21	34:10 118:10	175:5	150:10 173:22	13:1 30:17
91:8	articulately	attempt 11:7	174:19 191:8	56:8 58:21
appreciate	210:13	76:2 129:13,14	A.D 1:16 216:20	68:7,10 74:17
70:17 85:15	aside 168:16	133:15 189:19	a.m 1:17	86:8 92:2
172:18 188:21	asked 77:23	attempted 29:17		93:14 101:15
207:5	123:22 128:11	attempting	B	102:21 114:7
appreciates	208:11	117:6 164:19	B 123:9	116:20 121:3
215:17	asking 15:7 22:5	208:14	Babcok 2:18	121:16 126:1
appreciation	22:6 26:10,20	attempts 127:24	back 16:18 17:7	141:4 144:23
170:24	29:12 41:22	Attending 2:5	21:4 34:20	145:24 149:21
approach	48:6 69:15	attention 71:20	37:16 51:12	151:19 156:19
139:11	72:20 83:17,23	attorney 15:11	55:13 64:21	187:9
approached	90:2 98:9	23:20	69:17.79:14	basics 97:23
104:8	109:2 115:20	attract 138:13	91:5,5 96:16	basis 6:8,15 8:8
approaching	127:6,17 134:5	audience 71:2	97:22 112:7	23:4 24:20
81:19	145:22 155:7	100:20	122:2 124:11	41:13 118:24
appropriate	160:1 194:20	auditing 164:8	128:13,14	123:6 142:16
11:20 32:2	208:23 209:14	authority 198:4	130:2 132:5	159:21 164:15
73:3 89:7 93:8	asks 68:14	authorization	144:11 165:22	203:21 210:10
118:15 160:5	aspect 56:18	200:4	166:3 182:11	batch 144:8
204:23	aspects 55:18	auto 53:23	183:18,20	150:6
appropriately	86:17 215:5	automotive	189:12 195:19	bear 40:14
166:9 203:22	assist 213:17	44:20 46:16,18	195:19 198:2,4	163:5
approving 164:8	assistance 55:18	51:16 52:1	198:22 200:2	bearing 39:6
approximate	70:17	99:19 154:12	204:6,7 212:18	become 55:11
162:6	associated 5:12	160:19 167:18	background	63:20
approximately	20:13,18 37:19	175:23,24	86:3 160:13	becomes 212:7
162:14 175:19	60:22 105:22	178:2 182:5,6	baffles 100:1	bee 21:13,13,17
176:4 201:4	association 9:13	184:6 187:5	balance 27:15	118:21
214:23	9:15 42:5	189:22	ballpark 179:6	bees 124:11
arbitrary 56:4	117:5 211:8,13	available 4:8,22	based 6:18	before 1:10,12
area 45:24 152:6	212:16,17,18	6:14 9:2 77:12	25:10,22 55:5	10:6 20:23
156:5	associations	77:17 85:16	55:16 62:17,22	22:18 23:16
areas 143:8	112:6 212:14	146:20 157:22	65:10 88:9	24:4,4 26:6
210:23	assume 27:16	163:16 188:5	89:1 91:2,23	34:4,5,11
arguably 107:11	181:14	214:22 215:1,3	104:12,13	36:20 54:9
argue 32:3 92:9	assuming 23:21	avenues 147:16	113:18 135:7	68:23 72:22
argument	29:1 52:5 83:6	average 53:15	136:10,16	77:4 84:10
129:18 130:3	106:4 152:9	53:21,24 55:9	150:22 159:19	89:19,22 95:1
arguments 42:7	191:10	averaged 175:19	165:14,15	96:12 98:20
around 16:21	assumption	averages 53:18	184:5 190:13	99:23 101:8
21:8,13 22:9	124:20	55:6	205:12 213:15	111:4,8 117:13
36:11 54:18	assure 191:22	avoid 7:1 42:13	basic 13:8 19:17	126:20 148:15
86:20 176:1	197:8 200:6	183:14 192:4	27:19 58:8	148:21 164:7
arrange 119:14	assured 201:19	aware 62:14,15	66:14 73:23	166:23 173:20
arrive 43:15	ASTM 112:6	103:9 108:17	112:14 113:9	173:21 176:14
	1	na .	116:16 148:2,9	
Combinement delinated in 1975 condition	to a succession of the success	Called Coults of County County County		

	•			
182:10 202:18	beneficial 88:11	blenders 149:21	211:2 215:1,7	119:15 146:10
204:16 206:15	benefit 6:22	block 75:10	body 113:3	151:2
214:10 216:19	43:4 44:18	board 1:3,11 2:2	138:9	BTUs 56:7,12
befuddled	176:16 193:22	2:5 3:2,15,17	bolded 29:22	63:11 65:9
196:13	besides 167:13	3:18,21,21 4:4	books 49:3	113:1 116:7,12
begin 5:16,21	best 40:18 120:7	4:12,14 5:3,8	borderline	117:12 119:19
7:21 8:7 49:21	136:22 139:11	8:16 9:5,11,22	115:16,18	BTU's 112:19
beginning 32:4	142:12 158:23	10:15,23 13:13	boss 211:1	bucket 39:16
140:24	164:1 165:12	13:21 18:8,12	both 8:10 14:4,9	44:21
behalf 2:21	167:22 195:9	18:19 22:5	39:1 77:5 92:8	bulk 190:8
22:23 32:3	213:11	23:16 24:4	93:9,13 94:20	bullet 194:8
74:16 76:4	bet 192:7,10	26:15 29:2,14	114:24 122:21	bunch 157:16
117:24 139:22	better 18:18	29:23 31:1	135:12,23	burden 42:12
174:1 191:17	51:15 95:24	39:2 55:2 60:3	142:23 143:20	208:7,21,22
193:19 194:6	132:17	61:10 62:15	160:3 162:17	burdensome
201:13	between 54:2	72:22 84:13	bothered 82:7	10:14 65:3
behavior 49:5	82:8 88:13	105:3 108:23	bottom 100:2	205:18 206:3
behind 34:20	113:4,5 173:13	112:13 128:9	Bozeman 2:19	burn 34:7
173:13 199:17	beyond 75:1,12	128:10 132:8	branch 163:20	146:12
being 26:12	104:3,17,22	132:14 134:8	165:7 171:6	burnable 33:7
33:20 36:1	112:16 149:11	135:2 137:19	182:11	33:19 35:13
56:5 76:20	bias 5:7	137:20 138:4,8	branches 179:16	burned 33:5
81:4 85:10	bid 164:15	138:14,14,21	180:19 181:7,8	151:8
87:1,18 91:5	bifurcated 210:8	139:4,6,19,20	182:15 185:12	burner 34:6
99:11,14	big 51:20 52:14	157:23,24	break 18:24	burners 149:21
101:18 107:24	53:16 54:14	158:1 172:16	19:6 92:14	166:19 213:10
113:22,22	94:7 127:19	172:16 173:21	107:12,15,19	burns 131:3
121:1 122:1	179:5 212:2	174:20,22	breaking 62:2	business 15:21
132:17 135:23	bigger 44:18	176:22 187:19	brief 5:22 8:11	17:10 18:1
146:24 147:1,2	180:14	187:19 190:16	8:18 140:24	20:15 21:1
176:23 190:8	bill 15:16 16:9	191:6 194:10	141:5 159:5	24:11 37:10
199:6 216:5	17:4 28:16	194:20 201:3	173:19 209:10	40:3 43:10,17
belief 29:17	58:5 64:18	203:21 206:15	briefly 4:1,2	43:23 45:5,18
believe 5:15	109:7 121:23	211:17 212:21	213:6	46:16,19 47:10
7:21 11:20	132:2 200:15	214:11,14,17	bright 117:15	47:19 51:20
19:23 25:18	205:8,11,21	215:17,21	bring 181:2	52:2 69:14,20
27:2 31:9 42:4	billion 161:9	Board's 1:6 3:13	bringing 82:4	69:23 79:17
68:13 73:15	bills 17:21	3:23 4:21,24	brings 21:15	81:6,8-83:13
82:17 105:6	179:20	5:4,6,13,18,20	141:10	87:8 88:2
107:22 109:21	bit 10:21 26:7	8:3 9:19 10:2	broad 149:23	90:21 99:17
124:22 143:21	36:8 38:12	19:2 71:21	broader 167:10	101:2 103:3
152:17 170:21	40:6 45:9	76:15 127:22	brought 95:23	118:20 124:1
171:13 173:24	46:14 54:11,21	138:12 139:12	197:11 213:6	144:19,21
175:9 194:20	76:14 82:7	157:20 174:9	BTU 14:2 33:23	162:20 170:12
199:2 201:2,13	83:5 84:11	176:16 178:24	56:3,22 57:7	174:13,15
204:18	86:3 87:12	189:1,12 191:1	62:10 63:18	175:22,24
belong 133:3	92:9 95:2	191:24 192:5	111:3,6,7,20	176:24 178:21
below 35:12	156:4	192:12 204:5	115:1 116:14	183:9 205:22
= 56:10 65:17	blank 71:12	208:8 210:18	118:12 119:12	213:8 216:7
		4		
	The R. P. Course of the second	22		

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<u> </u>		
businesses 21:24	carrying 206:19	9:21 18:23	27:24 28:24	chlorinated 35:2
79:18 194:24	cars 164:19	19:5 24:23	29:2,6,8,9,13	choice 21:7
198:16	165:10	26:3 48:17	29:23 75:23	choose 41:24
buy 163:23	case 16:23 27:12	69:22 86:19	90:10 91:11	118:9 209:22
buyers 164:14	32:7 36:19	117:24 135:24	138:21,24	chose 17:1
	38:18 57:6	137:8 140:16	139:2 150:15	Chris 35:18,24
C	93:3 125:4,9	141:3,16 159:5	changing 39:10	211:12
C 1:2 2:1	133:7 137:19	202:9 207:1,6	209:16	Christopher
calculation	159:4,4 170:7	certification	channeled 97:24	2:18,20 5:10
176:15	183:2 191:24	36:21 41:8	98:7	9:12
California 10:10	195:21 198:19	57:1 58:16	characteristic	circumstantial
161:12	cases 39:18	59:17 61:12	14:1,3,5 33:1	93:3
call 3:4 15:17	42:21 43:21	65:20 75:15	33:15,23 40:15	City 216:7
16:10 17:21,23	57:10 144:5	84:3 112:10,11	87:22 133:4	Claire 15:11
42:1 54:9	Caseyville 186:9	112:12,23	characteristics	72:17 74:15
78:17 79:5	categories 11:19	168:2,5	36:12 87:23	76:4 84:10
81:22 109:6	12:6 17:16	certified 65:18	101:24 113:14	127:23
121:21 169:17	21:7 28:10	88:9,15	113:18 123:16	clarification
205:2 212:20	38:6 51:6	certify 60:10	characterizati	35:17 132:17
called 1:11 23:3	67:21 69:12	65:8 88:21	57:21	209:7
63:3 78:19,23	82:24 83:1,3,6	114:19 115:6	characterize 9:6	clarified 104:1
82:12 99:21	83:7,19,21	certifying 88:3	57:4 58:7,10	138:4
131:5	106:13,24	88:18,18	112:10 113:11	clarify 27:23
calling 78:16	121:16 125:8	CESQG 34:13	characterized	95:20 109:24
205:3	128:15 172:7	46:10 167:9	113:15 131:9	123:13 172:1
calls 92:2,10	208:16,23	CESQGs 34:9	characterizing	189:19 214:20
came 30:3 57:3	209:4 210:18	46:20	38:1	clarifying
79:1 125:2	category 11:5,14	chair 173:12	charge 20:11	191:15
144:13 183:19	14:1,11 34:8	chairman 2:5	47:18 54:20	clarity 130:4
194:18 197:6	35:20 67:22	3:20	152:15,21	classification
210:22	cause 1:10	chambers 100:1	155:16 163:23	58:20 60:5
candidly 194:13	109:12 110:7	chance 19:6	184:13 215:3	65:24 66:7,20
204:15	141:10	70:13 139:20	charged 54:11	66:23,24 67:1
capabilities 49:3	cement 146:11	change 15:6	charging 43:22	67:8,11,17
198:20	Center 1:14	32:6,7 37:3	chart 196:18	68:1,6,9,14
capability	central 18:14	49:16 60:11	check 15:24	71:13
166:22	21:16	64:12 73:5	57:11 201:14	classified 96:4
capacity 182:24	centralized 94:3	90:15 93:19	checked 157:24	clean 2:10,13
capture 100:2	163:20	106:4,6,10,11	checking 40:12	9:17 15:4
100:16	cents 45:2	106:11 109:15	chemical 46:21	19:24 22:1
car 120:8 164:13	certain 24:17	114:21 123:16	88:6	85:7,13 86:10
168:18 169:18	33:9 42:21	126:17 131:23	Chicago 1:15	86:11 92:17
182:12	43:21 57:19	136:4 188:16	180:20,22	98:4,5 100:3,7
card 15:24	95:22 97:4	198:3 204:12	181:5,7,16	100:20 102:20
care 12:20	101:13 135:24	215:14	182:16,20,23	103:1 157:8
126:24 139:7	146:6 148:17	changed 27:22	182:23 183:4	158:14 160:15
carefully 10:4	148:20 151:21	41:9 72:18	183:17 184:19	160:17 161:6,6
48:15	172:10	81:16	186:9,10 216:7	162:3 172:23
carried 13:9	certainly 3:9	changes 27:22	216:16	174:2,6,12,13
carry 8:4 101:12	14		6	, and a second
U.S.I. Are and Area a	CONTRACTOR		VILLETT ACTION OF STREET	

r			11	
175:18,21	104:3 165:7	192:1,14,22	33:4 42:12	28:4 71:24
176:3,4,24	179:7	193:3,7 201:19	81:6 117:20	72:3 83:16
177:17 182:3	collection 21:11	201:24 202:3	120:8 130:9	84:9 179:19
188:14,17	50:17 106:12	203:17 204:20	188:18,20	192:18 215:18
191:17 194:6	151:19 166:4	204:21 207:4	190:9 194:17	completed
cleaned 181:12	176:19 181:9	210:24 214:12	195:13 196:10	206:12
cleaner 184:19	205:16	commented	211:9,10 213:1	completely
cleaning 13:5	collections 57:8	48:23 164:7	213:12,13	127:15 181:12
66:16 146:1	collector 21:8	comments 5:12	companion	206:17
cleanup 75:8	142:21 161:10	5:14 8:8 23:5	137:23 138:24	complex 36:13
Clean's 174:18	collectors 56:21	25:11 71:20	company 15:22	171:17
180:14 183:10	91:22 94:10	109:23 124:7	17:8,23,23	compliance
clear 5:5 7:3	collects 21:14	136:2,17 140:4	20:6,10 23:22	91:16 198:11
12:1 25:9	99:5 160:17	140:15 141:6	23:24 24:1	205:11 211:15
27:13 28:5	combination	142:9,15	32:4 33:3,10	compliant 45:11
32:12 61:12	69:13 86:8	159:11 187:23	35:24 42:17	complicate 19:2
63:16 75:19	combine 39:18	188:1 191:2,18	43:7 47:9 53:2	complicated
95:23 97:20	combined 69:16	191:23 192:5	54:17 69:14	39:4
104:14 108:22	162:7	192:11 193:15	81:7,8,24	complications
108:22 110:6	come 3:3,9 9:24	193:19 194:4,5	84:17 86:9	10:22
130:19 131:22	9:24 61:17	194:12 201:2,3	90:20,22,23	comply 20:22
141:12 155:17	107:11 119:3	206:23,24,24	103:2 117:24	98:4
174:23 195:6	130:9,15	208:6 213:20	118:16 123:7,9	complying 91:7
204:1 214:7	136:11 146:14	214:1,4,10,13	123:9,24	component 15:9
clearly 7:1 82:19	160:6 183:16	214:18	130:14 131:6	16:13 28:9,15
93:18 175:1	193:12 198:8	commercially	142:18 147:24	30:22 57:7,19
214:16	200:11 213:24	40:18	148:2 149:18	58:9 62:24
clerk 203:6	comes 16:24	commingle	153:24 156:5	79:23 91:20
clerk's 201:7	146:15 148:14	124:18	159:22 160:16	129:8,19
203:4	180:16 182:11	commingled	165:5 175:12	132:22 133:6
client 58:19	comfort 139:13	10:18 123:11	177:15 181:20	146:11 190:10
close 6:20 71:20	comfortable	commingling	181:23 182:20	components
186:13	43:20 135:24	168:14	183:22 193:19	14:13 15:18
closed 186:14	coming 30:5	commitment	195:13 197:7	28:18 66:15
closer 135:15	39:9 103:15	195:12	209:15	79:15 80:6
cloudy 97:20	135:11 171:19	committee 212:9	company's	103:10 128:21
code 1:7 3:15	comingle 39:7	commodities	36:19 181:4	128:24 130:12
26:19	39:18 119:23	44:17	compared 54:13	130:21 132:15
colleagues 79:10	149:3	common 33:10	196:18	154:18,19
collect 21:17	comingled 40:2	84:19 119:24	compartment	197:16
50:19 81:7	comingling	commonly 39:20	103:8 123:10	composite
143:4,7 147:3	93:11	87:15	compartments	168:15
151:24 177:23	commencing	communicate	21:9	composition
178:5 196:10	1:16	127:18	compete 211:23	198:14
198:19 205:15	comment 6:19	communicating	competitor	compromise
collected 41:13	9:7 138:7	199:12	100:20	11:16 32:3,14
98:2 104:1,19	140:11 158:21	community	competitors	76:24 128:4
collecting 80:11	174:9 175:16	20:14	194:18	209:3 210:22
102:22,23	188:12 191:20	companies 31:4	complete 5:5	compromised
				4000 0 /
	Cr. length and the level representation of the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

	1		,	
30:10	30:13 52:3	constant 119:15	44:18 88:24,24	correct 7:13
compromises	66:13	constantly 213:9	116:6	23:22,23 31:10
65:2	conducted 20:1	constituents	context 20:2	44:11 48:12
conceive 121:12	confidence	155:15 184:12	33:5 62:16	49:19 66:21
125:6	176:22	consultation	63:5	70:7 80:16,20
concentrate	confidential	84:14	continually	80:21 83:15
73:1	162:19 178:21	consulted 79:9	12:17	97:5,9 106:7
concentrated	conform 109:9	contact 16:1	continue 118:6	108:5 109:21
44:6,7	138:11	115:2	134:23 158:9	113:4 159:1,2
concentration	confronted	contain 94:21	159:22	166:14 174:7
39:13 40:17	124:4	163:14	contrary 57:9	177:1 189:24
169:3	confused 49:8	contained 36:15	57:22 65:21	190:21,23,24
concept 21:5	63:24 82:7	151:8	contributed	191:11 193:9
104:7 129:7	204:10	container 47:13	37:15 167:23	208:1 216:10
160:2	confusing 95:2	containerized	Control 1:3,11	correctly 91:8,9
concern 30:11	199:5 200:17	183:13	2:2 3:2,21	correlation
30:19,19,20	confusion 81:24	containers 161:1	212:21	213:4
32:8 77:23	82:1 209:17	161:2 162:6	conventions	corresponding
88:6 108:12	conjunction	containing 12:9	195:24	29:13
109:14,17	27:24	14:1,19 30:1	conversations	corrosivity
117:8 131:13	consensus 111:8	33:23 96:20	212:15	115:10
133:14 212:11	consider 10:20	97:23	converted	cost 20:3,7,18
concerned	24:6 97:8	contains 12:12	180:21	21:2 37:19
131:15 174:15	133:8	21:1 65:8	convey 90:3	78:11-80:13
Concerning 1:7	considerable	85:20 89:24	convince 31:1	85:5 158:15
3:14	19:21 188:20	95:8 216:11	Cook 1:13 216:2	166:11 173:10
concerns 11:15	considerably	contaminant	coolant 39:11	176:3,4,9
12:3,4,5 17:21	63:3	37:8 152:1	cooperate	188:3,6,21
32:14 76:15	consideration	167:15 168:24	206:10	costs 20:5,13
77:6,8 80:22	22:4	169:2	cooperative	21:19,22 54:12
109:24 126:1	considered	contaminants	73:20	could've 126:20
126:23 132:5	27:24 35:13	34:4 41:14	cooperatively	counsel 9:15
136:16 141:7	45:1 56:11,12	88:6 154:1,2	75:9	24:2
208:15	106:22 108:9	154:15 155:14	copies 4:7,21	count 52:21
concerted 181:4	121:10 155:10	166:23 168:1	70:9 71:1	162:10
concluded 20:5	171:14 211:13	168:23 170:3,5	155:23 170:20	country 86:21
158:3	Considering	183:15,23,24	185:6,12	164:12 194:22
conclusion 9:24	186:19	184:12	202:11,13,16	212:12
138:7 160:7	consistent 32:11	contaminated	214:21	County 1:2,13
198:9 201:9	119:11 159:17	40:1 94:19	copy 18:20,23	216:2
concrete 204:17	159:21	99:11 104:10	19:7 77:10,11	couple 10:9 94:6
concurrence	consolidate	150:18 167:2	126:13 127:3	165:9
81:11	20:14 21:3	183:6,18 184:7	185:10 201:12	course 3:7 18:3
condensate	127:1	184:23	202:12,19,20	20:4,23 22:21
130:24 131:5	consolidated	contamination	202:22 203:1	77:16 81:13
132:16,22	37:6 41:13	37:13 165:17	core 195:19	94:2 116:12
133:18	168:19	166:2 170:14	corporation	118:22 144:14
conditionally	consolidation	183:11	161:7 177:17	170:11 171:3
12:8,14 13:20	109:2	content 14:2	188:18	190:4.201:20
п		F2		
NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY OF THE PA	East of State and State an			

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	1	1
215:7	49:6 84:22	179:21	dealt 6:17	delivery 126:7
court 6:22 8:9	99:17 118:1	customizable	121:14	demonstrate
8:19 31:14	119:22	82:11	decent 64:10	119:21
173:14,15	Custer 5:10 7:23	cutting 39:10	decide 41:23	department
193:13,22	7:24 8:7		153:9	15:19 79:23
201:20 216:6	158:16	D	decided 169:20	196:2,14
cover 11:24 69:5	Custer's 9:1	Dan 2:14 173:5	decides 29:15	197:11,18
143:9	customer 15:23	176:14	117:17	depend 45:23
covered 18:9	40:21 41:22	data 173:7	decision 5:6	56:24
137:13 141:23	44:16 47:12	174:22 175:11	24:23 89:17	depending 43:6
cozy 57:14	48:10 55:7	176:19 185:17	90:4 137:3	100:3,11
create 37:4	82:1 84:3	188:4,6 190:4	194:21	163:24 164:10
49:19,20	90:11,18 91:15	206:12	decisions 91:22	182:2,17
108:13 127:10	118:8,9,23	database 146:20	140:8 176:24	184:17
129:14,14	152:10,11	date 4:14 178:7	195:10	depends 46:13
133:16 195:16	153:12 154:10	dated 174:5	declined 124:6	82:22 93:3
210:8	154:10 162:15	dates 72:11	dedicated	96:14 133:3
created 13:7	165:2,15,21	day 1:16 62:20	118:18,24	170:13 172:6
64:21 65:7	166:6,18	86:16 118:23	deemed 160:4	depth 26:8
90:9 198:5	167:16,21	168:13,14	define 81:18	derived 137:22
creates 42:11	181:9,12 182:2	174:24 195:7	96:14 97:18	describe 65:24
47:2	182:4,5,8,9	195:23 216:19	123:2 136:9	73:4
creating 14:7	183:19,20	days 168:17	defined 10:16,17	described 66:17
45:14 93:2	184:5,5,16	172:11 214:13	10:24 28:7,11	66:18,20 80:13
creation 167:24	customers 33:19	214:19	75:3,20 104:4	83:2 131:9
credentials	34:13 37:15	day-to-day	104:7 121:7	139:5 196:3
160:14 161:5	39:21 44:4,11	210:10	123:1 167:6	describing
credit 15:24	44:20 46:20	DC 212:18	204:13 208:22	103:19
21:23	47:6,20 51:17	de 85:20 94:21	209:11,23	description
cross 9:8 61:11	51:21 52:2	96:22 104:11	210:9,17	58:20 60:5
137:22 203:20	54:4,5 87:9	a. 111:4	defining 75:12	66:1,8,14,23
crossed 126:20	88:23 90:14	deadline 214:13	75:14	68:6,14 71:14
Crystal 2:10	91:13 93:9	deadlines	definitely 8:2	72:12 84:5
9:17 160:15,17	101:5 106:7	214:15	54:3 74:15	167:22 197:15
161:6 162:3	117:1 118:11	deal 13:4 47:12	143:20 152:19	descriptions
174:13 182:3	118:22 119:1	86:16 117:19	158:11	196:4
183:9 194:6	154:11,12	141:11 142:14	definition 92:20	designation
CSR 1:13	155:5 160:22	151:15 170:10	103:23,24	110:13 113:6
216:15	162:16 167:7	188:19 194:23	104:6,7,10,18	122:1
cure 81:15	168:12,13	200:9 210:6,20	131:20 167:10	designations
current 21:23	178:19 182:7	dealer 169:18	204:12	136:21
22:2 25:2	184:9 187:4,6	dealing 11:10	definitions	designed 34:16
37:23 38:7	187:9 195:11	13:12 20:12	195:3	81:11 100:16
50:9 120:18	209:18 210:11	21:19 57:7	degree 183:12	151:18
131:17 132:9	213:9	66:11 79:19,20	deliberations	desires 132:8
164:13 186:21	customer's	79:21,22 87:16	178:24	destination 37:6
189:9,11	41:16 87:21	130:8 157:8	delighted 210:21	40:19 43:9
209:11,19	167:22	205:13	deliver 42:18	165:12
currently 16:19	customer-rela	deals 16:4 75:24	119:3	detail 3:5 31:8
÷ •	."	99:10		
				= .

			· <u></u>	
84:13	120:12 123:15	discussed 214:8	198:5,6	128:18,19
details 195:17	127:15 128:8	discussing 23:11	documentation	130:18 133:23
determination	149:5,8 162:7	46:15 189:20	105:4	134:7,19
89:1 92:22	164:14 165:2	discussion 85:5	documented	148:17,19
111:4 113:4	165:21 167:18	132:21 164:20	10:4	161:20 197:15
118:11 165:12	175:22 198:10	214:5	documents 17:4	203:1 208:15
determine 3:8	differentiating	discussions	17:22 80:15	209:3 210:22
17:17 85:23	42:9	210:5	109:7 126:8	download 215:2
91:6 114:7	differently	dispense 10:16	174:4	downstream
115:13 131:19	41:17,20 65:13	disposal 114:6,9	document/ma	37:13 45:15
154:1,13	65:15 152:20	122:14	79:5	Dr 3:19
180:12 182:4	difficult 215:13	dispose 96:7	doing 20:2 22:2	draft 61:19
183:19,22	dig 185:12	145:21 147:20	34:21 45:5,10	105:15
188:2	diligent 215:16	184:24	47:19 52:16	drafted 49:1
determined	diluted 33:16	dispute 75:1	78:13 91:15	129:6
159:20	Dilution 35:11	disrespectful	97:4 98:8	drafting 27:12
detriment 48:4	diminish 33:7	90:6	103:2 105:24	29:18 137:18
develop 5:5 18:2	diminution 22:5	dissect 36:7	118:18 134:22	139:9 157:15
174:21 175:12	direct 160:12	distance 182:17	134:23 146:3	drag 140:5
developed 198:2	166:18	distinct 160:18	148:8 181:8	Dragovich 2:9
device 100:8	direction 84:13	162:4 191:24	216:7	7:12 38:11,21
103:7,12	138:22 139:7	distinction 82:7	dollars 213:1	42:15 43:2
104:14 169:16	directly 168:18	distributed 71:1	Dolton 186:9	44:2 45:16
devices 170:14	182:11	distribution	done 14:8 25:19	46:2 51:11
dewatered 43:14	director 9:19	176:6	29:18 51:12	52:8,13,22
dialog 22:10	173:6 205:12	district 99:24	75:8 91:18	53:2,5,8,13
dialogue 8:4	211:1,7	100:5,7 103:21	93:11 101:4	54:14,22 55:10
9:22 77:2,3	disagree 113:8	dividing 56:20	113:15 114:15	66:22 67:5,9
diesel 14:12,15	disappear	docket 3:15	118:20 137:5,6	67:23 68:4,8
difference 32:1	189:23	206:23	137:10,11,14	68:11,15,19
75:1 132:18,19	discharge 41:6	docketed 5:13	151:19 154:9	85:17 89:16
132:20 179:4	42:16 86:10	document 15:16	157:3 168:20	91:4 92:5
differences 73:2	94:16 101:1	16:9,16 17:13	176:15,16,16	94:17 95:5,14
160:9	103:17,20	18:8,18 20:15	DOT 17:5,9 18:4	95:19 96:10
differencing	169:22	28:15 64:18	18:4 20:15	102:19 103:4
135:22	discharges 15:3	68:23 69:1,11	21:1 68:8	103:16,22
different 32:21	157:12	69:16 70:10,14	69:13,19 109:9	104:16 115:11
36:14 39:19	discharging	72:19 74:3,19	144:15 195:23	116:17,24
42:3 43:8	42:24	76:2,20 77:16	196:3,12,21	117:8 119:22
44:18 45:19,22	disclose 162:15	78:17,19,24	197:1,5,17	120:4,10,17,22
47:21 50:10,16	disclosing 37:18	79:4,11,24	198:11 200:15	121:4 122:18
54:17 65:12	disconnect	80:6,10 82:11	200:19	123:12 124:10
70:15 81:22,23	200:3,6,22	82:15 83:2	dotted 126:21	124:13,22
82:5 86:22,22 86:23 88:13	discover 37:7	84:1,16,24	down 33:16	125:10 131:13
90:12,22 101:9	discovery 157:17	106:17,17,18	41:19 45:13	131:21:132:4
101:10 103:10	discuss 39:2	121:21,22,22 121:23 125:20	76:24 87:18	132:12,24
106:17,18	64:19 85:7,13	121:23 123:20 1	92:14 94:14	133:11 137:12
108:7 119:1	207:1 214:3	144:18 174:1	99:23 100:4 101:21 127:24	142:24 146:13
100.7 117.1	201.1 217.3	177.10 1/4.1	101.21 127.24	148:7 149:10
			10	

	-			
149:15,24	44:17 78:10	effort 19:21 32:7	employ 164:23	2:4,4,7,15 3:6
151:4,10 152:7	84:1,17,20,20	37:14 41:23	employee 197:2	9:18 22:6
152:16 153:1,4	91:20 147:11	77:6 181:5	encourage 44:14	45:12 142:20
153:8,18,22	155:21 156:11	197:4 215:22	48:1 50:4	160:15 173:6
154:23 171:11	164:6 170:19	efforts 195:9	encouraging	196:24 197:1
171:24 172:4	175:12 178:5	215:13	47:6,20	200:7
172:12 180:7	180:5 185:5,13	eight 149:17	end 10:5 22:8	envision 91:4
180:10,24	185:14 205:16	182:18 211:22	54:4 94:4	133:22
181:14,18	211:23	either 15:15,16	96:19 137:7	envisioned
185:13,22	earlier 6:13 28:1	16:22 21:9	165:15 168:14	104:18
186:8,12,14,19	73:16 90:11	22:20 30:1	170:6 190:19	envisioning
187:8,15	112:8 123:17	31:9 33:12	213:24 214:19	124:14,15
drain 101:21	151:12 164:20	45:21 93:16	215:12	EPA 12:24 13:2
drains 99:22	165:18 175:17	97:20 113:12	ends 33:20	13:12 14:9
drastically	214:1	119:13 121:21	35:12	16:19 30:16
134:3	early 89:18	136:15 139:11	energy 33:5,6	34:17,21,24
draw 183:13	ease 190:3	143:12 146:9	169:7 200:21	56:9,10,15
drive 200:2	easier 173:15	147:15 150:23	enforce 17:1	57:2,17 62:24
drivers 79:20,22	193:13	151:5,6 153:14	enforceability	64:20,23 65:4
drop 12:13	easiest 32:23	154:24 173:13	139:4	86:3 91:17
30:12	easily 192:4	179:17 182:16	enforceable 56:5	114:24 154:16
drum 54:8	213:14	190:17 197:15	56:6,17,18	154:21 155:23
drums 54:18	East 180:20,22	198:18	enforcement	156:21 159:20
161:2,3 162:5	181:6,15	elaborate 64:13	199:19	160:1 163:15
dry 39:9 43:14	182:16,20,23	elect 45:18	engaged 47:9	163:17 170:20
181:5	182:23 183:4	electronic 20:4	engaging 22:10	170:23 171:5
dryer 164:24	183:17 184:19	85:9 176:20	enough 28:19	185:6 196:13
184:20	easy 34:18	element 32:22	70:9 115:14,18	197:4
due 144:9 150:7	echo 215:20	32:22	133:12 139:16	equipment 97:3
214:19	economic 21:20	elements 16:15	184:2	148:12,13
duly 216:6	21:23 22:3	84:6 174:22	ensues 4:13	151:23,24
dumb 78:15	48:4 54:9	175:11 196:18	enter 76:2	error 27:12
dump 34:20	economically	elevates 47:3	entered 5:18	essentially 11:3
45:13 89:23	44:14 47:12,16	Elgin 186:11,12	70:23 174:8	69:11 120:20
dumping 45:3	economics 40:20	eliminate 13:19	entering 35:12	establish 33:14
101:11	44:15	19:20 160:2	118:12	86:24 162:19
duplicate 20:17	economy 20:7	eliminated	entertain 24:19	established 59:7
202:10	educated 79:21	20:12	25:3,12 55:20	62:24 63:7,8
duplicating	educating 93:12	eliminating 21:5	107:9	192:18
80:14	197:5	elsewhere	entire 9:20	estimate 162:6
duplication	effect 25:1 48:2	194:24,24	212:8	192:21
19:20	97:12 106:1	199:11	entirety 191:23	estimated 78:11
during 18:23	effective 199:12	email 202:20	entitled 3:13	evade 57:17
85:12 149:1	effectively 35:11	215:6	57:8 65:19	evaluating 20:3
161:8	43:12 101:3	embedded 58:8	environment	200:8
E	efficient 20:6	emergency	21:20 22:2	evaluation 40:21
	22:11 44:13	198:13	45:11 94:8	even 20:11 22:1
E 2:1,1 3:22	103:9	emphasize 22:8	213:2,13	35:21 39:15
each 6:3 37:11	efficiently 21:13	190:6	environmental	61:18 64:15
39:22 41:23		*1	·	
Managaran and and an and an an an			a distribution of the state of	

74:4 80:18	35:24 39:3	91:8,9 108:1	expertise 156:5	88:9 94:3,15
101:1 102:4	41:16 62:19	108:13,24	196:22	98:3 99:5
122:10 144:5	63:2 67:24	117:10,20	experts 75:17	101:11 104:24
145:12 146:2,2	90:11 91:12	136:7 138:3	211:14 213:16	107:1 108:4,10
153:11 154:13	99:15,18	186:20 204:4	explain 56:3	109:11 110:20
183:13 189:17	102:19 129:17	205:3 208:9,11	68:23	111:3 113:7
197:21 210:16	130:24 157:7	exemptions	explaining	125:17,22
event 172:18	169:17	29:14,24 32:22	200:21	126:6,8,10,13
eventually 72:2	examples 103:19	49:2 52:7	explicit 61:18	127:3 130:12
ever 44:2,3	143:18	105:16 138:2,5	explicitly 139:8	143:17 145:9
127:15 144:8	excellent 61:3	138:8,8 186:21	extend 49:4	145:13,19
Evergreen	85:24	exercise 32:5	208:11	147:7,10,11,18
161:12	except 109:15	exhibit 18:21	extension 4:16	149:5,8,9,17
every 41:8 54:17	exceptional	19:5 70:20,23	4:19	151:17 152:9,9
55:2 56:18,18	118:6	71:4,7 202:7	extensive 171:4	153:13,16,20
58:5 86:16	excess 90:24	202:18	extent 29:4	156:1 162:22
100:8 128:3	excessive 171:1	exhibiting 36:12	164:18,22	162:23 163:2,8
137:19 148:14	exchanges	exhibits 133:4	170:13	163:11,19
148:23 185:9	190:14	exist 64:16	extinguished	164:5,6,9
195:7,23 212:6	exclusively	75:16 105:18	14:4	166:18 179:13
everybody	204:19	125:6	extra 117:9	180:1,4,5,17
184:15	excuse 89:12	existence 15:21	extract 95:10	182:19 184:20
everyone 3:1	executive 9:18	existing 14:9	extracting 169:6	185:8 200:16
10:1 32:16	211:1,7	25:2 49:9 61:5	extreme 148:19	fact 54:10 93:12
215:12	exempt 11:22,23	61:16 62:2	170:7	106:12 188:24
everything	12:7,8,9,14,17	64:15 138:13	extremely 28:5	189:23 195:18
30:17 103:7	13:17,20 18:7	139:10	<u> </u>	196:3,19 199:4
112:18 120:20	26:18,21 27:1	exists 12:23 13:7	<u> </u>	199:22
121:2 122:14	27:9,14 28:7	13:8,16 35:7	F 95:5 99:9	failed 196:15
135:2 137:13	28:12 30:13	58:5 86:15	face 124:8 171:1	failure 166:4
138:19 174:11	51:7 52:3,16	expand 129:1	facilities 43:9,10	fair 56:23
206:8	66:13 84:23	expanded 130:4	43:16 44:3	115:24 139:16
everywhere	110:12 120:4	expansion	45:19,23 57:11	fairly 159:16
196:8-200:9	120:14 121:8	128:22 131:15	86:20 88:14	171:4 200:8
evidence 70:23	124:24 136:21	expansive	91:2 100:24	fall 35:20 133:10
evolved 159:15	186:22 189:4	160:24	124:3,4 126:5	151:6 214:13
evolving 127:16	206:17 209:13	expect 184:8	130:11 142:22	falling 104:9
exact 77:21,21	209:22 210:9	187:24	147:5,14 149:2	falls 111:11
78:4 106:15	exempted	expectation 9:3	150:6 151:14	false 61:12,15
130:17	106:14 189:9	106:8	156:12,20,24	familiar 104:5
exactly 18:9	exemption 11:20	expected 214:22	156:24 164:7	184:2 189:18
77:22 84:15	13:7,14,15,19	expecting 29:2	171:4 172:10	far 3:21 24:11
87:7 98:1	14:7,23 23:17	expense 212:22	179:16 180:13	63:11 114:12
105:23 106:3	34:12 35:7	expensive 21:21	180:19 185:23	125:14 144:3
136:12 146:8	38:3 49:4 52:9	21:22 65:4	186:5,5	150:24 152:10
examination 9:8	52:24 53:3	118:4 127:2	facility 15:2	154:15 155:8
203:20	75:2,12 77:20	170:9	16:6,7,22,23	161:10 172:2
example 16:5,11	78:2,3 83:17	experience	21:16 37:7	174:15 198:12
16:12,14,14	89:19 90:2	132:16 195:1	40:4,19 41:5	fashion 35:14
			43:6,15.86:9	
	CARRY STANDARD SHAREST CO. CA. 148.			

				
fast 103:14	files 179:21	177:14 182:4	102:6,13	203:14,18,23
favor 13:15	filing 37:20	186:20 189:1	106:21 107:4,5	204:15,24
feasibility 22:4	73:17 191:17	189:12 190:2	107:14,19,23	206:13,21
feature 12:22	214:9,13	204:5 206:16	108:7,15,19	207:8,18 208:5
federal 32:11	filings 215:22	208:8 216:5	109:5,10,16	208:13,20
33:17 34:17,21	fill 79:22 89:24	fit 87:24	110:4,9,16,18	209:6
34:24 42:10	117:19 167:21	five 44:24 45:3	110:23 111:10	flows 99:23
49:13,14 82:9	175:5	55:9 161:8	111:18,22	100:4
101:14 104:9	filled 72:16	164:14 179:23	112:1,24 113:2	flying 57:16
104:21 105:2,3	146:10	185:22 186:7	113:17 114:11	focus 43:10
137:21 138:20	filling 60:19	fixed 165:10	114:18,22	198:23
160:4	filter 148:15	flash 40:12,13	115:3 125:11	focused 26:8
feds 198:4 200:1	filtering 148:9	fleet 103:6	126:11,17	focuses 167:3
feedstock 42:22	filtration 148:11	160:21	127:5,11 128:2	focusing 196:24
43:21,22	final 214:3	flexibility 81:12	128:7,12,18	folks 192:22
166:21	finally 6:16	81:21	129:12,22	follow 25:7
feel 103:22	14:19	flexible 81:18	133:21 134:10	47:22 61:22
117:16 118:15	find 37:16 65:13	floor 39:16	134:13,16,20	81:17 104:20
141:21 146:15	75:15 93:10,15	100:12	135:1,6,16,19	112:2,6 139:8
155:1 156:3	105:9 128:4	floors 169:20	136:19 137:4	201:9
161:16 173:21	150:6,13	flow 81:10	137:10,14	followed 5:23
173:22 175:10	165:14 166:10	flowers 2:8 7:9	141:14,18	following 4:11
193:17	166:12 169:2	7:11,13,17	142:4,7,13,17	26:17 107:16
feels 91:17	173:15	8:24 9:9 21:14	143:3,6,10,15	139:10
fell 131:19	finding 18:13	21:18,18 22:23	144:21 145:5,8	follows 182:3
few 125:11	169:21 199:16	23:7 24:9,21	147:8,22	followup 121:5
140:7 146:16	fine 16:7,24 17:2	24:24 25:6,13	149:16 150:16	140:10
150:15 159:9	72:8 76:18	25:17,21 26:4	152:24 153:2,6	followup-relat
211:2 212:6	77:13 79:12	26:24 27:4,7	153:23 154:7	27:20
fewer 188:19	88:19 116:9	27:16 29:20	155:13,19	fool 36:18,24
field 84:2	132:8 136:13	31:20 35:16	156:9,17 157:3	37:3
fields 73:19	139:17 141:3	36:5 47:22	158:3,5 161:17	
206:12	158:22 159:8	48:8,12,19	161:19 162:1	forgetting 31:8
figure 30:2	fine-used 11:13	49:8,23 55:12	169:8,24	forgive 7:9
52:14 62:9	finished 41:12	55:22 58:1,11	171:20,23	24:18/31:8
117:11,13	fire 168:22	58:14,22 59:2	172:13,15	form 18:10
144:13 179:7	firefighters	59:5,10,14,16	175:3,14 177:2	66:20 70:4
180:15	196:8	59:20 60:12,18	177:8,14,22	72:15,24 73:2
figures 32:17	first 4:6,11	62:6 63:14,23	178:1,4,13	75:14 77:21
52:6	23:16 26:15	64:8 65:23	179:1,11,23	78:4,7 80:17
file 144:1 171:5	29:22 30:7	66:3,6 68:20	180:3,8 181:19	81:1,5,21 83:4
188:1 191:23	31:22 32:23	68:24 69:4,8	182:18 183:5	84:6,19 106:5
201:5 203:5	34:8 36:11	69:18 71:5,10	183:21 184:11	117:4 145:17
filed 4:10 6:3	40:23 48:14	71:16,19 72:13	185:2,18,24	174:20,21
8:9 9:6 22:24	57:3 109:24	73:7,11 74:6	186:4,16,18	175:4,5,13
23:8 42:5	112:9 116:18	74:11 77:19	187:17,22	197:24 205:20
140:4,15	161:12,21	78:1 80:17	188:22 189:7	206:11,11
191:19 201:3,5 204:16 214:17	162:18,23	88:12 98:11,19	190:12,15	209:22
204.10 214:1/	167:4 168:10	99:1 101:6	191:9,13	formally 9:14

format 18:2,3,19	140:14,21	44:1 46:13	front 17:7 69:17	51:19 53:9,14
70:15 138:4	141:14 142:14	50:10 51:7	79:14 161:22	53:19,20 54:1
139:10 194:12	157:24 158:6	52:10,16 53:22	181:9 184:4	54:2,5,6,19
formats 65:12	158:16,20,23	55:5 63:22	frustrating	152:4 161:9
former 197:2,2	159:3,12	78:22 79:1,16	76:14	162:9 175:18
197:3	161:17 171:22	81:19 83:18	fuel 14:13 21:20	175:20 178:3
forms 81:14	172:15 173:1,4	84:13,23 85:6	21:21 33:4,8	205:17,17
82:9,10,10	173:11,18	85:11,13 86:5	33:18,21 34:3	game 199:24
forth 11:17 13:9	174:3,8 177:2	87:4,6,7 92:4	34:5,6 35:1,12	game-changing
130:2 157:13	177:7,10	98:9 101:9,10	35:14 38:14	170:5
157:16 178:20	186:16 187:18	102:19,22	42:21 56:9,13	gaps 196:15
208:16	188:9 189:11	104:1 106:14	66:11 86:14	197:8
forward 32:13	189:15,19	107:19 108:1	95:12 98:7	gas 130:24 131:1
160:8	190:12,16,22	108:12,14,24	128:21 129:8,8	131:5 132:16
found 35:19	191:8,14 192:3	109:14 110:13	129:19,22,24	132:21 133:18
94:4 196:23	192:8,17 193:1	120:12,13	130:1,13,20,20	gasoline 131:3,5
four 12:6 17:16	193:11,21	121:8 123:9,9	130:21 131:14	gave 21:12
17:18 28:10	194:2,3,11	125:2 133:5	132:6,11,23	91:12 165:23
38:6 51:6	201:1,15,18,24	135:11,23	133:6 146:11	gear 151:20
54:18 55:9	202:6,9,15,21	136:6,8,21,24	149:21,21,21	Gee 133:21
69:12 80:6,12	203:3,9,16,19	137:22 138:2,3	166:19 167:10	general 9:15
80:14 82:23	204:14 205:6	141:2,13 143:7	168:21 169:6	24:2 38:12
83:1,3,6,7,18	206:21 207:16	144:14 145:9	fuels 14:12,13	116:1
106:13 113:13	207:22 208:1	147:2,5,6,10	128:21,21,24	generally 32:9
121:16 125:8	209:9 211:4	148:11,14	130:12 132:11	35:6 97:6
128:15,19	213:19,23	149:17 150:10	132:15	162:24 163:4
144:18 160:6	214:7 215:19	152:9 155:21	full 89:6 193:23	163:12 170:4
163:10,20	fraction 99:16	158:13 160:19	fully 160:24	187:22 196:23
164:14 204:18	framework	161:14,15,23	fundamentally	generate 42:12
208:16 209:4	13:12 34:16	162:7 163:19	88:22	46:4,12,24
210:17,23	frankly 130:3	163:22,23	further 13:19	51:19 52:10
fourth 80:2,3	139:3	164:20 165:5	31:23 36:8	53:11 54:4,6
Fox 1:11 2:3 3:1	free 161:16	165:20 166:17	47:8 55:20	62:21 116:22
3:11 7:14,19	173:21,23	167:7 169:10	158:2 166:22	145:21 146:6
8:6,14,19,23	193:17 207:2	169:19 170:14	168:20 171:22	154:14 155:6,9
9:3,11 18:17	215:2	170:19 172:22	172:17 190:13	156:12 160:23
19:4,11,13,14	from 3:17 4:14	173:20 174:5	fused 44:6	178:20 205:15
19:16 22:17,22	5:9,12,15 9:16	178:5,5 179:8	Futra 146:8	generated 12:8
24:17,22 25:8	9:17 11:20,22	179:24 180:4	future 2:15 9:17	14:6 51:14
25:14,18 26:1	11:23 12:7,10	180:22 182:19	90:18 120:15	72:11 89:22
26:24 31:7,13	12:22 13:17	183:19 185:5	142:20	94:20 145:2
31:17 55:15	14:23 15:7	186:22 187:4	F002 67:8	155:24 185:7
70:8,12,16,19	17:23 18:7,14	191:4,9 200:7	F005 67:8	210:11
70:24 71:6,9	19:23 21:14,17	200:11,20		generating
80:9 85:14	26:18,22,22	204:11 205:9	<u> </u>	38:24 40:5
107:4,8,18	27:1,10,14,15	206:17 209:13	G 3:19	41:9 44:12
137:6,16	28:7,12 37:11	209:15 211:17	gaining 78:5	53:11 54:1
138:23 139:12	40:23 41:21	211:23 214:19	gallon 45:2 55:7	62:23 92:3
139:18 140:8	42:9 43:12	214:19 215:17	gallons 44:16,24	144:4,7 145:1
* a	74	Ľ	45:3 46:7	·
	The company temporal grows the first the first with the condition of the c	ATT AND SOURCE AND A SECOND		l .

				
145:2 146:5	36:2,20 38:7	174:3 193:22	goal 128:6 160:7	85:14 87:2
147:15 150:13	45:6 46:3,10	194:9 202:20	goes 21:13 28:22	100:12 130:23
166:15 167:23	46:11 47:7	203:6	48:2 86:13	137:2,16
212:19	50:10 51:18	given 37:17	95:11 102:11	140:18 141:14
generation	52:4,10,15,20	80:11 108:2	102:16 104:3	162:10 176:22
57:12 143:23	52:24 53:16,23	164:10 216:8	104:17 114:13	176:23 177:10
145:1	54:8,10,23	216:12	124:11 125:14	191:14 193:21
generator 12:9	56:21 65:18	gives 208:8	145:12 146:16	205:10 208:24
12:10,11 14:6	82:2 105:12,16	209:14	148:14,15,23	209:1 210:1
14:8 15:23	116:19 143:7	giving 34:19	182:11 183:4	211:4,10
30:14 33:13	143:16 144:3	88:3	going 9:1 15:23	215:18
35:5 36:8,15	144:12 162:11	glad 19:1 31:3	21:21 23:18	gotcha 129:11
37:12,24 38:23	178:5,6 179:7	125:6 134:9	24:15 29:14	129:20
41:7 45:16	179:12	157:14 191:7	30:22 38:3	gotten 195:16
47:3 54:1	generator's	go 7:8 15:2	46:8,9,11	governed 4:24
56:24 57:4,6	16:22 119:10	16:15,19 19:8	47:15 48:3,5	granted 4:12
57:15,20,21,23	generic 16:14	21:4,8 24:14	65:15 68:13	granting 4:20
58:2,7,9,16,19	18:10 68:11	26:7 28:6 36:3	76:7 77:19,20	gravity 149:11
59:19,21 60:4	73:23 84:11	37:16 41:19	82:23 87:17,24	164:21
62:7,15,17,22	gentleman 85:6	45:20 51:12	89:6,9,17,23	Graziano 1:12
63:2,4,6,10,21	85:13	55:10 64:1	92:9 93:11	216:5,15
65:7,10,20	gentlemen	65:17 76:12	96:1,16 97:1	great 22:2 41:16
66:1,6,13	158:13 205:7	90:1 91:5 94:2	100:18 101:21	51:23 215:12
75:15 88:14	gets 54:19 63:21	94:14 97:22	106:3,4 109:11	215:14
89:17 90:3	127:3 133:16	101:19,21	110:20 111:6	greater 14:2
91:6,22 92:1	180:19,20,22	104:22,24	112:7 113:7	20:9 116:11
92:10 93:24	182:16 184:16	107:1,22 108:9	114:18 117:11	Greg 2:11 9:16
94:20 96:7	210:9	110:8 111:1	117:13,18,20	grounds 71:3
99:2 111:11,16	getting 41:14	113:23 114:2	118:12,21	guess 26:11,11
112:9,14,18,20	45:8 54:13	125:4 126:5	128:14 129:10	32:1 36:11
113:10,15	63:21 68:20	128:13,18,19	132:5 134:2	43:5 47:4 52:2
114:15,23	75:21 114:12	132:8 136:23	136:1,21	63:23 79:9
115:5 116:2,3	135:15 153:3	136:24 141:13	141:21 147:5,6	85:17 87:2
117:10,17	154:13,14	141:24 144:11	147:18 151:1,5	88:19 90:2,6
119:9,14	215:11	148:18,20	151:6,7,15	104:17 110:14
120:23 125:15	get-go 148:11	149:5,8 150:8	152:8,22 153:5	115:19 116:17
126:9,15	Girard 2:5 3:20	151:5,17 153:3	153:10 156:8	117:8 120:10
143:24 147:6	78:9,15 79:2	153:7,16 155:5	157:2 166:11	124:10 143:9
148:24 150:13	96:18 97:1,7	157:10 159:12	167:17,19	143:10,11
150:14,22	97:11,17	161:17,20	169:6 175:16	146:22,22
155:16,22	give 19:5,18,18	164:8 165:22	176:17 180:16	149:22 153:5,9
165:6,23 166:4	28:3 53:17,18	174:16,19	181:6 184:6,18	175:16 178:13
166:10,12	65:11 67:24	177:8 180:8,17	187:1 205:1,2	182:2,14 186:6
168:2,5,5	78:3 86:2 87:9	182:22 183:18	206:13 211:6	187:11 194:15
170:19 184:14	93:7 99:15	183:20 186:18	212:3 214:8	guidance 105:2
185:5,14,15,16	100:6 130:23	203:7 207:12	gone 107:11	155:2
generators 13:4	139:7 152:11	207:18 209:9	good 3:1 6:24	guys 65:12
13:20 21:15	152:22 160:13	210:17 214:1	7:19 8:6,19	
34:14,18,22	167:17 173:19	215:13	22:17 57:13	<u>H</u>
	F			habit 47:20
	N			

			** 5	
half 30:4 46:19	166:8 183:16	82:21 83:11,15	20:24 32:19	5:17 22:12
52:1 72:10	200:13	84:8 85:1,3,16	36:21 55:8	26:6 70:20
209:14 210:16	happy 10:1	85:24 86:18	72:9 101:9	85:12 176:14
hall 152:3 203:1	17:10 22:13	87:15 93:23	124:4 159:16	187:22,23
halogenated	24:8 25:24	95:4,6 97:22	197:23 206:17	191:16 193:14
40:11	27:18 28:19	98:15,18 99:3	211:18 215:19	193:20 201:9
ham 165:5	29:6,19 60:11	107:21 108:6	hazardous 12:10	202:6 204:6
hammer 133:23	61:13,18 67:16	108:11,16,21	12:14,21 13:5	211:17,18
hand 41:1 76:12	67:17 73:20	109:6,13 112:7	14:5 33:12,23	214:15,16,21
76:12 113:24	126:16 133:19	113:8,20	34:9,13,14,23	216:9,12
193:5	134:4 136:3,15	114:16,20,23	35:1 36:13,17	hearings 137:2
handle 31:5	138:15 139:11	115:7,23 119:5	36:22 37:17	196:17 215:8
43:18 87:19	160:11 206:5	121:11 122:2	38:4 40:14	hearsay 85:11
92:15 118:7	harder 209:18	122:15 124:12	41:10 46:5,13	heat 149:11
119:2 138:15	hardship 110:11	124:19 125:3	46:22 47:1,10	heating 166:19
141:7 146:12	Harris 2:18,20	125:24 126:16	47:13,17 48:10	heavy 36:15
147:14,19	5:10 7:6,8,19	126:19 127:9	48:17 51:14	152:3
148:2,16 149:4	7:24 8:13,17	127:21 128:3	56:19 59:22,23	held 1:9
150:4 151:13	8:20,23 9:10	129:6,13,23	62:16 66:15	help 50:8 75:13
151:18,19,21	9:12 18:22	130:23 131:21	67:1,10,16,22	113:3 170:24
181:24 190:9	19:10,13,16	132:7,13	82:9,9 84:4	212:21
210:10	22:20,21 23:4	133:13 134:1	87:9,11,22	helpful 19:1,10
handled 14:18	23:19,23 24:11	136:6 137:5,15	88:4 89:7 96:5	99:18 105:3
15:1 30:22	24:16,18 25:3	137:17 138:11	102:5 112:21	157:6,19
31:3,20 44:14	25:10,22,24	139:6,16,21,21	112:22 113:5	188:10 191:5
86:6,8 95:24	26:1,3,24 27:6	140:2,13,18	113:12 114:8	194:7,9
98:2 101:20	27:11,18,21	142:10 156:16	115:14 123:12	helping 213:2
131:11 148:8	28:2 29:4,11	157:5 158:6,11	123:15 131:20	helps 124:9
handles 15:2	29:16 30:7	158:19,22	151:2 155:11	192:4
handling 86:23	31:18,19 34:10	172:20,24	156:10 160:24	her 3:19 9:4
118:4 122:13	37:22 48:23	173:3,5 176:2	162:13 166:10	72:18 158:21
146:8 154:3	49:11 50:23	176:13 178:10	184:24 185:19	193:22 216:10
184:1	51:5 55:13,16	178:18 187:23	187:13 196:7	Heritage 9:17
handout 194:8	55:19 56:7	187:24 188:12	196:19 197:23	160:15,17
hands 192:24	58:4 59:1 60:9	190:1,18,21,24	198:1 199:4,10	161:6 162:2
hang 97:17	61:2,10,23	191:15 192:2,7	199:18	he'll 142:12
happen 14:17	62:14 64:7,10	192:10,21,23	head 49:6	Hi 205:10
36:5 106:3	66:2,5,9,21	201:12 202:2,8	143:22 199:1	hiding 199:18
113:20 114:1	67:3,7,14	203:9,12 205:6	headache	hierarchy 168:6
119:7,14 132:7	68:22 69:2,7	205:8 207:8,11	210:12	high 39:12 44:6
157:22	69:10,22 70:7	207:16,24	health 173:7	54:12 88:23
happened 131:6	70:11,12,16,22	208:3,10,14,21	hear 24:24	150:7 164:19
200:4	71:8,9,15,19	210:4 211:12	73:10 74:9	181:4
happening	72:7,17 73:6	213:19,22	173:15 179:2	higher 43:24
87:14	73:15,22 74:14	Harrison's	heard 91:15	152:1 165:1
happens 30:4	75:22 76:3,7	118:21	175:1	highlight 192:8
35:15 55:24	76:11 77:22	Harris's 128:16	hearing 1:10 2:3	highly 81:4
99:12 106:3	78:6 79:8 80:3	Harvard 79:21	3:3,4,10,12	164:10
115:17 149:3	80:5,16,20	having 3:3 17:8	4:11,13,17,19	him 92:12
				1 5 1
Delication of the property of the party of		MET TABLE SENSEN AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF THE PART	National Company of the Company of t	

r				
123:22 137:7	identify 73:18	160:1,4 163:16	incident 50:1	industrial 42:21
158:7,14 173:9	156:10 165:23	170:18,18,20	166:3	46:17 144:3
historically	175:11 183:17	170:23 171:5	incidental 99:12	145:19 154:11
42:10 181:6	185:19 196:15	178:2 179:9,18	include 40:10	167:17,19
history 74:20	identifying 84:2	180:18,23	73:4,17 74:21	175:24 182:5,8
199:20,21	identity 178:19	185:6,23,24	84:7 127:8	184:9 187:5,9
hold 54:15 98:5	IDOT 78:21	195:4 197:3	129:4 133:1	industry 23:14
98:6 171:3	IEPA 9:23 11:15	198:24 199:21	162:12 175:13	23:15,20,22
172:11 186:6	13:12 15:13	199:23 200:11	175:23 176:8	24:7,10 33:10
214:12	16:2,16 17:11	200:20,22	188:4,5 210:2	53:22 55:5
home 200:18	18:11 20:16	205:13 213:12	included 32:17	81:3 99:19
honest 197:7	28:17 30:11,20	213:12,13	72:23 73:4	141:21,23
honey 21:13,13	31:1 32:8	216:1,16	74:7,18 127:7	159:14,15,21
21:17	57:17 63:1	imagine 44:16	132:23 133:19	163:1 179:6
honorable	77:17 79:15	immediate 3:17	189:3,3	211:11
199:21	82:18 84:14	3:22	includes 105:20	inefficient 198:1
hope 45:13,14	112:13 136:13	immediately	165:19 166:18	inevitably
85:11 135:19	160:11,12	8:15	176:5,10	100:18
195:19	211:19	impact 44:8	208:17	inflexible 81:4
hoped 135:20	IEPA's 12:3,5	52:14 154:2	including 8:4	information 5:1
191:20	17:20 28:20	183:24 187:1	10:10 75:2	15:14 16:1,2
hopefully 17:17	32:15 76:15	impacts 152:10	161:2	16:17 17:10,11
32:14 50:8	77:7	152:19	Incorporated	18:1,6 20:1,15
hoping 198:8	iffy 143:21	imperfect	142:21	20:16 21:2,3
hose 148:20	ignitability 14:4	105:15	increase 170:24	28:16 57:10,22
hours 107:10	33:15 60:15	implemented	incredibly	60:6 63:17,19
housekeeping	87:23 111:15	34:17	176:18	64:13,17 65:14
3:5 100:13	111:16,20,21	implementing	indeed 33:14	65:21 69:16,24
how's 56:2	115:10 144:10	200:5	indefinitely	70:2 71:23
hub 168:19	ignitable 32:24	implicitly 210:1	179:22	72:1,4,20
hubs 163:20	40:14 60:15,16	important 21:2	Independent	73:24 74:1,18
huge 157:10	113:22	22:4 79:17	212:15	76:2,17,17,18
210:12	ignitable-only	190:10 194:19	Indiana 200:19	77:8,9,15,24
hundreds	33:11	209:4 210:23	indicated 4:18	78:7,18 79:3,6
100:21	ILL 1:7	211:16,21,21	7:21 85:19	79:15,17 80:7
hurting 21:24	illegal 38:9 48:5	impression	107:20 141:15	80:12,18 81:6
hydrocarbons	illicited 87:21	138:18	158:17 185:13	81:7 82:14,18
35:2,3	Illinois 1:1,3,11	improper 38:9	203:10 208:3	83:9,13 84:11
	1:14,15 2:2,7	improved	indication 23:9	85:10 91:23
TD 140 10 00 04	3:2,6,14 4:9	208:19	individual 5:15	105:1 106:16
ID 143:18,20,24	10:9 12:23	improvements	41:23 90:21	109:3 114:6
156:21,21	16:19 26:19	67:15	162:14 163:20	116:9 119:20
163:15	42:5 49:15,17	inadvertent	192:13	121:20 122:3
idea 53:15	50:16 89:11,13	202:4	individually	126:4 129:16
101:15 180:2	91:13,17 115:1	inappropriate	156:7	131:10 132:2
181:1 186:24	117:5 138:21	165:23	individuals	143:16 144:6
identical 18:3	142:23,23	INC 2:15	191:19	144:15,17
138:20 205:20	143:8,20,24	incentive 20:24	indulgence	155:8 156:15
identically 61:4	155:23 156:21	34:19 215:15	70:17	159:7 162:18
identified 209:5				
L			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

	1	.,		
162:20 163:11	99:13,15	in-house 198:20	July 4:13	188:13,14,15
163:16 171:8,9	100:15 125:18	issue 4:15 9:24	jumped 177:4	188:22,23,24
178:21 179:13	127:7 129:3	10:7 18:14	June 4:10	190:6 191:9
197:13,18,22	intentionally	30:17 74:23	just 4:22 10:9	192:20 193:12
198:7 205:18	36:10 100:14	75:2,5,19	11:23 16:12,21	194:5 198:23
205:23 206:10	interest 24:1	90:10 91:16	17:6 23:12,15	202:6 203:24
initial 181:15	79:13 126:24	97:20 109:23	25:22 26:5	205:1,1,5,22
initially 23:8,8	212:19 213:11	110:24 117:13	27:23 32:12	206:2,3,9,11
ink 35:19,20,21	interested 15:13	125:4 137:18	35:16 37:10	206:13,18,21
35:22 36:1,8	15:22 18:1	144:8 154:11	45:24 47:22,24	207:9 209:1
36:12,15 38:2	77:2 86:4	154:12 157:8	53:14,15 54:9	210:8,16
38:8,12 50:1	212:14	157:11 158:15	56:4 60:20	212:22 213:15
110:24 111:1	interesting	170:2 194:19	64:16 66:19	214:2 215:12
input 75:9	64:19 207:15	198:23 205:14	67:5,11,11,21	
215:17	212:4	211:20 212:2,5	68:11 71:12	K
insert 112:17,17	interject 50:7	212:7,8 214:16	75:11,17 78:2	K 1:2
inserted 128:24	52:19 63:15	214:20	78:17 84:9	Katherine 194:1
inspection 77:13	70:8 206:22	issued 4:4,19	85:6,11 86:2	keep 44:24
77:18	internal 32:1	189:12	94:5 95:1,20	45:17 126:13
inspectors 35:18	internally	issues 10:6 73:2	96:19 97:15	144:2 163:14
197:5	176:15,17	75:13 80:14	101:9,11 102:6	171:18 179:19
instance 95:23	interpreted	110:1,7 111:17	102:9,10 103:2	206:6
115:15,17	162:23	124:7 141:9	103:11 106:12	keeping 125:17
130:22 212:23	interrogatories	195:3 197:10	106:16 107:5	125:23
instances 95:22	157:17 207:13	211:15 214:3,9	107:24 108:1	keeps 127:16
96:6 101:14	interrogatory	215:20	114:18 115:4,5	143:17 163:11
instead 77:3	191:4	items 15:22	115:5 117:18	179:13
78:16 85:10	interrupt 7:7,20	it'd 26:11 69:11	117:21 118:12	Kennedy 203:13
94:1 112:12	19:15 31:7	88:13	120:19 123:17	205:8,8,10,11
205:3	67:19 71:8	it'll 151:17	124:5 125:1,4	207:6,19,20
insult 94:7	83:22	203:7	125:11 126:11	Kennedy's
intend 26:21	interruption		126:15 127:5	207:17
129:4	31:18	<u> </u>	127:16,17	kept 39:23 42:2
intended 5:4	intervene 157:5	James 1:14	128:20 129:3	44:8 126:9
48:23,24 49:2	178:11	Jiffy 62:19	133:11 135:1,3	152:5
intending	introducing	job 18:5 98:3	135:6,9,23	key 98:21 213:3
106:15	22:18	215:16	141:2,13,20,24	kiln 146:11
intensified	invent 56:14	Johnson 2:6 3:22 70:3 74:9	142:8 147:6	kilograms 46:5
198:16	63:8 64:20	78:8,10 84:17	151:16 153:2,5	46:6 52:11
intensive 41:22	invented 56:8	85:2 105:23	153:7,8 156:6	kind 11:9 16:1
intent 12:4 27:1	57:2	106:10	157:6 159:8,9	23:15 32:13
27:13 28:24	invited 10:5	joining 161:5	160:11 161:19	70:9 93:23 100:21 137:17
39:13 72:24	involve 110:3	joint 134:5	161:23 163:6	
104:21 134:15 163:3	149:13	jointly 77:1	171:17,24	141:20 152:5 164:15 183:14
intention 26:23	involved 19:23	134:8	174:16,22,23	kinds 48:17 97:2
123:16 133:24	23:13 65:2 80:14 142:19	judgements	175:6 177:3	knew 116:6,7,9
195:15	151:16 159:13	195:7	178:11 181:13	know 4:3 11:3
intentional	176:9 177:16	judgment	184:15 185:24	16:5 17:1 22:3
Intentional	170.9 177.10	105:19	187:13 188:12	24:14 29:1
				222.1

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			·
33:19 37:8	195:6,10	49:24 50:2	lease 124:6	116:22 117:3
39:3 48:14	196:12,22	58:13,15,24	leased 124:3	122:4,9,22
50:17 62:8,21	197:4,22 198:2	59:6,8 61:7,9	least 17:18	123:21 128:9
63:10,10,13	198:6,15,22	61:17 73:17	78:11 83:8	128:17 130:6
65:16 70:24	199:17,20,21	74:12 75:7,23	142:8 154:17	132:10 133:8
71:24 73:14	200:2,13,20	77:5,14 78:22	158:7 175:1	133:15 138:17
74:23 81:17	204:10 206:9	84:1 94:18	179:16	139:22,24
82:2,3,14 83:9	210:19 211:5	95:15 96:19	leave 24:23	140:19,21
83:12 85:3	211:16 212:13	97:12,16	200:12 207:6	141:4,15 142:2
87:8 88:14,16	212:23 215:13	104:13 108:21	leaves 200:14	142:5,20 143:2
88:20 89:22	knowing 92:24	114:13 125:13	lectures 65:11	143:5,8,11,19
90:23,24 91:17	knowingly	126:12 127:14	led 188:2	144:23 145:6
92:2,10 97:2	150:4,18 183:6	127:20 128:11	leers 100:1	145:11 146:18
97:13 100:14	183:10	128:14,22	left 3:21,22	147:12 148:4
102:14 103:23	knowledge	129:1,4,5	10:22 55:23	148:10 149:13
105:1 109:22	33:13 62:17,22	130:3 132:6,9	107:13	149:19 150:4
110:8 113:20	63:4,6,22	132:10,14	legally 152:4	150:20 151:9
114:1 116:5,10	65:10 93:1	133:1,12,20,23	lengthy 207:13	151:13 152:14
117:3,4,6	112:15,18	134:7 135:4,11	Lenz 2:16 5:11	152:17 153:11
119:18 120:21	113:16 114:23	135:24 136:3	7:23 8:2,10,20	153:21 154:5,8
121:9 122:18	115:15,19	136:12,16,18	9:17 22:16	155:4,17 156:2
123:4,12	116:2,4 120:8	138:6,13,15	24:4 30:8 31:4	156:14,19
125:19 126:20	Kosnoski 35:18	139:5 157:15	31:6,7,11	158:2,4 159:4
127:6,12 129:2		204:17 208:18	38:19 52:19,23	164:6 172:8
129:23 130:1,2	L	large 20:6 35:10	53:3,7,10,19	Lenz's 90:22
130:16 134:13	lab 40:8 111:19	47:1 53:24	54:3,7,16 55:1	less 26:6 43:21
134:20 135:3	183:17	100:20 169:2	58:12,18,23	43:24 45:9
136:19,20	label 79:12	186:23	59:3,9,12,15	46:4,5 51:19
140:21 141:22	labor 21:22	largely 159:18	59:18,21 60:14	52:10 87:13
142:5 143:5,12	176:9,10	larger 22:1	60:24 61:6,20	90:13 96:22
143:14 145:8	laboratory	86:13 188:17	61:24 63:15	101:19 119:19
147:18,23	112:6 167:20	largest 161:10	67:18 68:2,5	127:2 131:16
150:17,21,22	167:24	LaSalle 216:16	68:10,12,17	169:5 181:6,7
151:22 155:9	labs 111:15	last 32:23	70:1,6 72:6	184:16,22
156:2,6,7,8	lack 10:17 18:18	155:23 171:20	78:22 80:1,4	let 7:7 11:24
157:18 162:12	lading 16:9 17:5	175:19,21	86:16 91:21	16:17 17:6,21
163:8 171:8,13	17:21 28:16	176:1,2 185:6	92:1,7,14	19:17 27:13
171:15 172:6	58:5 64:18	187:3 188:13	93:13,20 94:10	28:5 30:7 37:8
174:24 175:22	109:7 121:23	193:23 201:4	95:3,18,21	48:6 52:19
178:10,16	132:3 179:20	later 181:11	96:13,21 97:15	58:11 68:22
179:2,5,19,20	200:15	law 37:23	97:19 98:17	70:8 82:21
182:23 183:1	ladings 205:21	105:19	101:13 102:2	86:2 99:15
183:11,15	laid 17:19 196:1	layers 196:11	102:12,15	109:13 137:8
184:19,22	196:4	lead 3:18 99:23	103:13 104:21	137:12 140:18
185:15,23	land 1:7 146:10	150:7	105:5 108:11	171:8 191:8
186:7 187:18	language 23:11	leading 211:14	108:17 109:18	192:12 206:6
189:8 190:2	26:9,12,14,16	leads 116:18	110:5,14,17,22	212:13
191:22 193:5	28:19,20,23	learn 40:5	111:7,14,19,24	letter 174:5
194:17,18	29:2,3,6 30:10	learned 197:6	112:4 114:5	202:13,13
	48:21,22 49:23			
l		1		

letters 39:1	158:11,13	live 13:23	loopholes	175:23
123:14	159:9 161:15	196:10	127:10 195:16	make 17:3,6
letting 7:20	161:18 169:9	load 37:6,16	loose 133:12	18:23 25:8
19:14 71:8	169:10 174:18	40:23 124:18	lot 36:14 39:12	29:2 31:23
let's 16:8 29:24	175:5,8,10	124:24 146:4	45:23 52:15	33:18 34:17
36:7 38:24	182:23 184:15	175:20 200:20	62:8 63:19,21	35:16 37:14,18
60:15 64:17	187:2 192:22	loads 119:23,24	94:10 100:11	40:12,20 47:19
66:9,10 68:2	193:2,7,15	120:3,4	102:12,15	61:11 77:14
72:8 76:19	194:15 196:20	location 46:1	150:11 151:14	87:5 89:17
77:13,13 96:3	197:19 198:24	165:6,8	151:16 154:9	90:4 91:22
97:22 102:6	204:21 206:10	locations 120:13	164:12 173:15	92:22 102:10
116:16 126:24	209:13 211:2	120:14 162:15	180:14 205:15	107:13 108:22
132:19 146:11	212:20 215:14	163:13,20,22	206:8 212:5	109:22 111:11
150:7 182:24	likely 46:20	165:9 171:6	lots 185:21	113:3 118:11
210:20	81:24 90:21	lodger 83:5	loudly 7:1	120:11 126:22
level 54:11	101:19 119:7	logic 34:10	low 40:13 44:5,6	127:4 131:16
56:10,10,15	limit 56:4,6	logistical 49:5	88:24 151:22	131:16,22
97:4 104:9	limitations 51:3	long 50:9 62:20	151:22 164:20	137:3,12
148:23,24	62:11	62:20,21 85:20	lower 131:2	140:11 146:21
150:7	limited 38:6	97:21 123:15	low-grade 99:19	150:14 173:12
levels 152:2	line 56:20 60:3	125:20 127:13	low-quality	174:17,23
liberties 24:18	94:14	199:20	87:17	176:24 179:4
License 216:17	lines 82:13	longer 121:10	lube 62:20	182:9 193:15
lieu 197:23	174:14 175:22	121:18 187:7	180:21	195:9 199:14
light 117:16	list 34:8 83:20	189:2	lubricant 39:10	204:1 205:1
lighting 15:16	84:21 114:1	look 11:17 16:12	lubricants 42:23	209:18 211:6
lightweight	143:16 154:24	16:21,24 30:17	161:13 166:21	214:7
151:20	161:16,20,22	34:11 40:5	lunch 107:11,19	makes 19:19
like 5:21 6:11,13	162:16,22	48:15 70:13		45:2 198:17
8:7,17 11:24	171:4,7 178:6	71:11 72:2	<u> </u>	making 32:7
13:5 15:22	178:8	74:14 76:1	machine 39:9	42:23 75:11
16:12 23:11	listed 27:5	82:5 88:5	machining	85:16 86:4
25:9 26:5,11	113:13 175:6	105:5 113:9	39:11	89:1 98:7
31:23 39:21	215:6	116:13 133:5	made 18:11	130:12 165:11
51:15 53:18	listening 174:24	137:8 138:18	43:16 74:4,16	181:4 195:7,9
56:3 63:17	listing 157:21	146:21 152:20	76:4 110:5	manage 32:20
66:22 68:23	little 26:7 36:8	looked 30:16	117:6 121:15	34:19 35:1,5,8
71:11 82:2,19	38:12 40:6	looking 40:10,16	124:14 130:19	38:15 40:18
87:16 89:16	45:9 54:11,21	49:18,20 66:4	136:17 138:22	41:3 50:19
94:7 102:17	63:16 76:14	75:7 89:5 92:5	140:6 148:16	89:7 92:20
105:7 106:3	82:5,7 83:5	96:6 101:14	159:11 202:14	100:22 118:16
108:2,3 111:14	84:11 85:3	146:23 174:22	202:22 203:2	118:23 123:20
111:15 123:17	86:3 87:12	175:12 180:7	213:4	160:5 166:9
126:14 130:14	92:9 95:2	198:7 212:10	mail 201:6	169:22 193:4
131:2 133:10	108:17 127:2	looks 82:2	main 194:15	198:17
140:7,16,24	143:21 156:4	112:20 193:7	mainly 26:8	manageable
142:9 147:3	197:4	loop 189:17	51:16 153:9	80:7
148:8 150:8	Liu 2:4 3:23	loophole 129:14	maintain 160:20	managed 33:3
156:22 157:16	70:9 71:1	129:15 133:16	major 198:3	39:24 42:11
			majority 147:1	
			-	

			8	ē
47:2 75:20	120:14 121:19	76:5 84:10	34:5,12 35:5	163:21
159:20 161:7	123:2,8 124:20	127:23	35:12 36:20	matter 1:5 75:18
167:14 209:12	124:23,23	manufacturer	38:1,13,16	81:1 106:2
209:12 210:2,3	125:7,20	46:24	39:11 40:8,13	139:3 143:11
210:20	129:16 131:12	Manufacturers	40:19 41:1,13	144:10 205:20
management	132:3 136:8	212:16,16,18	42:18 43:7,24	matters 215:9
10:8 41:18,21	138:2 155:20	Manufacture's	47:17 54:21	maximum 43:11
86:7 87:19	155:21,23	42:5	56:12 57:8	may 3:8 4:4,10
95:11,12	158:15 173:10	manufacturing	62:9,18,22	5:21,24 6:9
142:19 161:4	174:19 175:18	39:5 46:17,23	63:11,13 65:8	10:2 22:23
166:13 167:11	175:20 176:4,5	53:24 117:5	65:22 66:15,15	27:20 31:7
168:7 170:17	176:21,21	160:20	88:5 89:9,13	39:7,10 46:24
173:7 177:16	185:4,5,6,9,11	many 6:23 39:18	90:19 94:14	49:1.50:5,7
190:4,4	187:3 195:21	53:14 57:10	102:18 104:3,3	63:10,16 70:9
managing 89:3	196:19 197:12	89:12 93:9	104:6 119:3,10	71:22 80:24
89:8 118:1	197:13,17,24	99:18 100:22	119:18 121:7,9	81:1 90:5
120:8 159:19	197:24 198:1,5	118:10 127:23	131:1 146:9	92:22,23 96:13
170:8 212:24	199:5,10	127:24,24,24	148:16 150:10	96:15 99:18
mandatory 84:5	200:13,14	134:17 143:6	150:11,12,14	104:20 107:1,2
mange 87:13	204:4 205:3,13	144:5 152:4	150:15 151:18	118:14 125:3
manifest 10:9,10	205:16,18,19	154:18 157:11	153:14 165:2	130:13 133:2,2
10:12,16 11:2	206:4,17 208:6	178:4 179:3,7	166:14 168:21	136:10 137:23
11:6,11,21,23	208:8,11,24	186:4 189:8	170:17 180:16	137:23 138:23
12:7 13:18	manifested	194:17,23	198:19	139:1 141:1
14:18,23 16:18	37:20 120:12	196:11 205:14	materials 10:12	149:6 154:15
17:4 18:7 20:3	120:21 121:1,8	205:14,14	10:24 11:1,4	165:1,8,9,14
20:8,13 21:6	122:20 123:23	212:11,13	11:13,19 12:17	189:13 190:5
27:1,14 28:8	124:16,16	marked 71:6	12:20 17:14	201:6 202:4
28:12 49:4	156:6 187:12	market 147:13	28:10 31:2	207:2 209:13
50:9,16 51:8,8	189:4 200:20	165:1 180:14	40:1 41:18,21	215:2
52:16 60:2,19	manifesting	186:24	42:9 43:19	maybe 17:16
64:16 68:14,18	52:10 68:7	marketable	44:9 51:6 57:5	38:18 45:8
68:21 69:3,4,9	75:3 106:14	35:14 43:11	58:10 69:12	63:18 101:10
69:16,19,21	108:14 110:2	165:18	75:4,20 79:24	104:22 105:14
74:2,18 76:17	110:19 121:2	marketer	86:4 89:20	115:15 123:21
77:11,15,20	156:8 186:22	142:22 148:1	90:12 93:6	125:5 142:8
78:2,3,18 79:4	188:3 209:13	172:1 177:19	105:13,17	144:13 146:23
79:12,16 80:19	209:19,22	181:22	118:6 120:6,7	147:22 150:16
81:3,11,12,14	manifests 19:22	marketers	121:14 122:23	173:11 209:24
81:18,20 82:2	78:11,14 118:2	116:21	123:19 136:9	ma'am 194:3
82:3,6,9,10,12	120:9 121:3	marketing 150:1	159:19 160:3	McCord 193:8
83:18 84:12,22	170:15,18,19	markets 91:2	165:24 167:6	193:10,18
84:23 85:5,9	170:21 176:1,6	166:24	167:11 186:22	194:1,1,4,14
89:18,24 91:7	179:19 187:5,7	massive 206:3	195:22 196:2,7	201:1,11,17,22
91:8 94:1	188:19 189:22	material 10:19	198:12,17	202:1,11,12,19
107:24 108:24	190:8	12:16 15:1	199:15 208:12	202:24 203:3,8
117:18,19,21	manner 6:7	21:10 30:13	209:2 210:2,10	203:10,15,16
118:7,17	Manning 15:11	31:21 33:6,11	210:20	mean 9:1 23:7
119:23 120:4	72:18 74:16	33:20,24 34:2	material's	26:20 27:12
	T 77 h			

	11	1		
35:21,22 43:4	163:4 189:5	Michael 5:11	208:2	mixtures 14:11
55:7,12,22	mechanics 29:18	mickey 37:17	misstatement	26:17,22 29:24
56:2 61:14,21	meet 32:14 34:3	57:24	129:3	33:22 41:24
62:8 63:24	77:6,7,7 81:12	middle 100:4	mistake 5:16	43:17 50:3
64:4 65:24	92:19 165:16	might 9:23 13:5	mistaken 138:18	86:24 89:21
66:24 67:23	205:24	17:23 22:15	201:16 205:5	90:11 91:14
71:12,16,16,18	meeting 117:7	23:10 40:9	misunderstan	94:12,13
84:18 88:14	137:1	42:2 44:18,20	204:11	100:23 105:20
90:6 94:5	meets 28:20	50:8 52:4 54:1	mix 14:7 30:12	108:3 109:10
95:18,22 97:11	113:13 168:3	67:3,4,7 80:10	34:14 42:12	110:12 122:6
98:12,22 102:2	member 2:5	81:7,21 82:6	47:20 48:10	123:20 128:20
102:6,7,8,13	3:18,21 33:3	99:3,4 102:9	49:21 89:19	132:10 151:4
102:13 106:21	139:19 158:1	125:12 128:4	92:23 93:8	160:23 167:12
106:23 107:5,8	172:16 187:19	134:18 141:23	95:16 98:14,23	186:23 190:10
108:1 110:9	208:1 215:21	147:9 159:10	99:2 105:13	197:14
111:13 112:1	members 5:4,12	163:9,24	111:5 122:20	Mm-hmm 59:9
113:2,17	9:11 (13:21	164:18 183:2	123:14 131:7	59:20 110:22
114:12,12	19:18 21:24	187:11,13,13	mixed 12:14,21	153:21
116:6,19	22:1,14 57:10	188:5 194:7	14:6 36:3,22	model 43:23
117:15 125:19	57:13 65:21	Mike 2:16 9:17	41:10 84:4	124:1 167:17
125:21 126:12	77:12 98:2	22:16 92:10	92:6 93:6	183:9
127:5,11,12,16	140:6 157:17	108:17 109:13	94:19 97:8	modern 161:13
129:1,9 133:2	157:21 175:10	million 162:8	98:20 99:6	modest 64:11
133:5,15,22,24	192:13 194:9	178:3	101:8 103:11	molecules 94:6
134:14,23	197:21 210:14	millions 213:1	111:5 122:1	moment 85:4
135:1,8 137:4	211:9,18,23	mind 22:10	150:18 152:22	191:9 211:12
140:5 143:12	212:6,11 213:7	125:20 158:10	154:1 167:1,8	214:2
144:22 146:13	memory 157:2	173:12 175:2	167:9,9 169:11	Monday 142:6
146:19 148:10	mention 16:18	minds 209:18	183:6,23	Monee 205:12
149:19 150:21	47:4 213:6	mineral 66:17	mixes 59:21	money 47:18,19
155:2,4 157:9	mentioned 6:11	minimis 14:21	96:12	149:20 154:20
157:13 162:24	7:6 8:11 20:23	85:20 94:21	mixing 14:16	Montana 2:19
167:4,4 170:5	23:2 38:19	111:4	36:18 44:12	month 46:5,6
175:4,6 178:11	104:21,22	minimum 82:18	47:14 48:17	51:19 52:11
179:1,3,6	105:7 144:2,15	minimus 96:23	49:3 64:5	53:4 54:23
180:6,12	144:24 162:3	104:11	98:21,22 99:11	months 55:3
182:21,24	165:18 168:11	minute 74:21	99:12,13	100:8 175:19
186:1,4 189:12	169:13 171:3	miscommunic	104:23 105:17	175:21 176:2
196:5 198:1	172:22 176:2	127:19	122:9 123:3,9	187:3
203:24 204:1	184:9 191:15	mismanaging	mixture 12:11	Moore 2:5 3:18
204:24 206:14	mentioning	91:17	12:16 30:5,6	73:9 78:20
meaning 129:2	85:15	Miss 22:23	32:24 34:2,9	134:11,14,17
means 10:17,18	merely 203:16	missing 77:24	66:11 86:5	134:22 135:5
15:2 49:12	215:20	144:19 202:3,4	88:8 92:11,13	135:14,17
51:18 67:6	merit 84:5	202:5,5	93:2,15 94:23	136:22 139:19
81:20 82:3	messy 39:4	mission 176:18	95:7,18,19	158:1 172:16
85:22 195:6	met 115:22	misspoke 207:23	98:17,18 99:7	187:19 203:6
meant 30:11	metals 36:16	misstate 73:21	99:9 111:9	207:19,24
49:11 76:12	method 116:14	misstated 73:22	. 122:5 199:16	208:2 215:11
	1		~	
The application from the law of the state of	All of the Annual Control of the Annual Cont	AND		

		<u>8</u>	1	
Moore's 215:21	motion 4:11,13	60:21 66:1,7	16:17 19:4	nobody 116:24
mop 39:16 44:22	4:16,17,20	mutual 160:7	28:17 29:18	non 56:11
45:13 87:16	motivation	myself 7:7,20	32:21 34:3	noncompliance
96:16 169:19	44:23	25:8 79:9	38:17 46:14	60:13
торру 39:16	move 18:21 19:4	110:5 153:5	49:16 50:16	nonconforming
more 12:12	70:19,22 160:8	M-c-C-o-r-d	63:12 64:14	165:24
22:11 30:1	199:4	194:2	75:16 79:16	none 18:14
38:12 41:22	moved 168:18		83:1 84:21	109:19
44:13,19 45:10	195:22	N	89:22 92:20,21	nonetheless
45:11 46:20	movement	N 2:1	107:1,2 108:9	188:19
51:2,21 53:11	198:13	name 3:11 9:11	109:3 113:23	nonhazardous
54:8 61:18	MSDS 63:19	80:10 112:3	114:6,9,21	67:20 68:3,6
63:16 65:8	113:24 114:5	126:6 143:18	116:4 119:19	85:19 89:8,9
73:3 74:4	114:10 116:8	143:19 144:24	121:2 126:13	89:11 92:23
76:16 80:7,18	119:20	163:14 173:5	127:6 137:9	94:19 96:8,10
84:11,13 85:21	much 31:17	193:8,23 211:6	171:8 193:6	96:20 102:7,15
87:15 90:13	33:24 40:17	names 193:23	198:21 202:14	113:5 131:20
94:21 104:11	41:22 46:21,21	name's 205:11	215:9	161:1 187:14
106:16 116:6	46:22 80:7	napkins 71:17	needed 75:1,19	nonissue 93:24
125:12 148:5	82:22 91:19,19	narrow 81:2	needs 47:2 64:9	non-board
154:19,19,19	101:19,19	209:3	69:8,9 75:8	138:15
154:20 160:5	118:20 130:17	narrowed 11:18	77:8 82:14	non-chlorinated
160:22 161:9	134:4 137:17	208:15	100:9 124:20	35:3
163:4,12 165:3	138:13 139:18	narrowly 167:5	198:12	non-fuel 56:11
169:4 170:9	143:3 145:1,6	nation 198:6	neglected 211:1	non-hazardous
181:5,8 183:1	145:8,22 148:5	national 9:14	neighborhood	14:20
184:16,22,22	150:11,24	10:7 199:3	52:5	non-special
192:24 205:4	151:9 152:12	211:8,14 212:9	neither 172:16	51:18 96:6
210:24	152:22 154:8	212:17	network 164:7	non-spent
morning 3:1 4:8	154:12,22	nationwide	164:13	133:18
23:6 25:11	155:18 163:18	213:8	never 27:9 91:15	non-substantive
73:16 169:15	170:9 177:23	natural 130:24	119:18 127:19	137:20 139:5
most 4:2 12:2	178:20 179:24	131:5 132:16	127:20 145:12	non-used 56:1
33:3 35:1	187:1 188:9	132:21 133:18	196:14	58:20 60:5
43:14 51:21	191:14 193:5	naturally 81:10	nevertheless	NORA 2:21
52:20 54:22	201:23 215:23	nature 6:24 86:2	20:12	4:10,16 5:9,13
87:2 91:2	multipart 81:4	119:12 145:4	new 41:14 42:11	6:1,4,10 7:6
93:10 137:18	multiple 39:5	146:5	49:3,10 82:11	9:3,13,19
149:20 156:20	78:13,13	near 152:4	90:9 101:5	12:19 13:14,21
156:21 159:17	118:22 120:11	nearby 202:24	105:12 136:16	18:11,14 21:24
163:6 168:24	171:6 202:11	necessarily	154:10 155:5	23:3 28:4 32:2
169:2 170:7	multiple-year	14:15:102:17	167:16 174:20	42:6 48:9
180:21 190:13	194:16 195:2	180:8 191:3	209:17	55:17 56:8
191:16 194:22	multiply 20:20	necessary 32:3	next 75:6 85:17	57:2 74:4
199:11 204:22	20:20 212:24	37:21 119:17	133:21 147:8	173:13 175:9
209:4 210:23	multiplying	139:1 178:17	147:23 150:17	189:20 190:1
212:3	19:22	178:23 213:18	nice 14:15	192:13,18
mostly 132:15	must 39:23 58:7	nectar 21:14,15	nine 160:14	193:16 204:16
152:12	58:9,19 60:4	21:17	183:5 211:22	211:1,7 212:2
		need 13:2,16		
				4

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	***		
213:7	12:1 19:22	150:21 154:14	200:19 214:16	48:11,18 49:21
NORA's 5:17	24:3 30:24	154:21 210:7	214:21	50:2,10,18
10:11 23:1	43:7,8 48:13	215:14	officers 196:8	51:7 52:20,21
24:1 25:16	52:2,3 53:21	occasionally	official 109:4,8	53:11 54:3,9
28:1 33:3 72:1	55:7 65:2	14:16	off-loaded	54:18 55:8
74:16 76:4	70:21 71:4,7	occasions	182:12	56:1,19 58:6
77:6	103:1 138:20	165:14	off-spec 150:9	58:20 59:23,24
normal 14:13	140:6 143:18	occur 75:8	150:10,12,13	60:1,5,16,17
46:23 128:21	143:23,24	112:23	often 53:13,14	62:16,21 64:6
128:24 129:8	149:16 153:23	occurred 77:4	99:20,24 169:2	64:23 69:5
129:19 132:15	154:5,7 156:11	occurrence	200:11 210:11	70:1 75:3,4,20
132:22 133:6	156:11,22	167:4	211:22	85:21,23 86:5
146:3 160:19	157:10 159:6	occurring 57:12	oftentimes 63:17	86:5,7,19,23
170:11	162:11,21	77:2	130:11 150:12	87:2,3,12,12
normally 52:20	163:10 174:9	occurs 127:4	Oh 55:1 59:2	87:13,20 88:1
53:11 54:11	175:17 176:23	131:1	153:4 156:9	88:8,11,16,19
61:7,8 84:12	179:11,23	octane 131:2	189:7	88:24 89:3,3,5
114:5,10	180:3 181:19	October 1:4,16	oil 1:7 3:14 9:14	89:15,21 90:1
129:15,24	183:21 185:3	214:23 216:20	10:7,8,11,12	90:19,20 91:1
146:21 151:16	190:7 192:21	off 35:6 55:23	10:13,16,18,24	91:14,19 92:16
151:20 152:14	192:22 215:6	63:19 68:13	11:1,1,4,4,13	92:17,20,24
155:6 184:8	numbers 5:15	95:11 107:13	11:14,19 12:8	93:16 94:12,13
North 161:11	143:20 146:14	143:22 145:9	12:12,13,17,21	94:15,16,20,22
Notary 216:21	146:19 156:21	145:23 146:7	13:6,9 14:1,5	94:23 95:1,8,9
note 5:3 6:1	156:21 163:15	147:2 150:2,7	14:10,12,15,16	95:10,11,16,17
26:16 29:23	192:1	157:2 163:18	14:18,19,22	96:2,9,11,12
107:13 138:4,9	0	164:3 168:21	15:3,5 21:6,20	96:13,15,16,20
138:15		179:24 181:13	23:21 24:10	96:21,23,24
noted 42:4 138:1	O 1:2,2	182:16 198:22	26:17,21,22	97:4,9,24,24
191:8 192:17	oath 216:6 object 4:18	200:12,16	28:7,11,12	98:5,6,13,16
205:6	objection 19:9	214:1,6,8	30:1,3,12,15	98:20 99:6,7
notes 60:4	71:3	offer 6:7,15,19	30:18,21,23	99:11,21 100:3
137:20 138:21	objections 32:14	7:14 8:12 9:4	31:3 32:24	100:10,11,14
139:4 216:10	objective 176:18	19:24 31:14	33:2,16,21	100:16,19,22
nothing 57:1 106:12 109:15	obligated 115:16	140:24 159:5	34:1,2,3,9,15	100:23,24
114:3 122:10	obligation 37:24	164:11 191:2	34:24 35:9,20	101:4,7,11,12
notice 1:12	113:10 196:12	191:12,20	35:22 36:3,9	101:16,20,21
23:16 186:20	obligations	192:14,22 193:2 203:11	36:18,19,23	102:21,22
189:1 190:3	117:9	213:20	37:7 38:8,15	103:12,24
208:8	obligatory 56:20	offered 193:16	39:6,8,9,10,12	104:1,1,2,4,6
noticed 4:6,12	obliged 73:13	201:19 203:16	39:15,17 40:1	104:11,13,18
128:20 189:12	observation	201:19 203:10	40:6,7 41:4,4,5	104:19,23
206:16	55:15 89:2	offering 9:4	41:11,15,17,18 41:20 42:1,9	105:8,19,20,21
notified 150:9	observe 56:22	190:22	42:11,19,20,22	106:1,2,22
nuances 198:14	obtained 188:7	office 200:19	43:11,14 44:1	107:6,7 108:4 108:4 110:20
nudge 57:15,15	obviously 23:19	201:7 203:4	44:6,7,21 45:4	111:2,6 113:7
number 3:16 4:7	49:16 107:13	officer 1:10 2:3	45:8,9,14 47:1	120:6,7 121:6
5:11 11:15	111:5 116:3	3:12 4:20	47:8,14,21	121:7,9,9,14
,	147:13 149:3		.,,,	1-11,92,92,17
		j		COLUMN

				
122:4,5,10,24	174:15 175:20	59:15 63:14,23	20:15 30:12	175:15 187:5
123:1,8,10,19	175:22 177:16	65:16 68:4,15	32:23,24 35:18	201:7 203:10
123:20,23	177:18,23	71:10 72:8	36:9 38:21	204:13 209:11
129:10,20	178:3,4,6	76:23 79:16	39:7,20 42:7	209:22
130:8,9,14,16	179:12 180:6	85:2 98:11	45:20 54:8	onto 168:18
131:7,17,24	180:13,16,21	99:1,4 103:4	65:6 68:23,24	open 193:2
132:11 133:3,4	180:21 181:1,5	108:15 109:5	69:9,11,16	214:12
133:10,17	181:8,9,13,20	109:16 110:16	73:7,12 79:13	operate 37:10
136:8,9,10	181:24 182:11	110:23,23	79:19,24 80:6	43:23 79:18
141:23 142:19	182:14,19,22	111:10,18	103:7,8 105:8	81:3 89:12
142:21 143:4,6	183:6,17,24	112:19 114:11	113:13 121:13	159:22 163:5
143:16,22	184:1,7,8,13	114:22,24	124:7 126:4	163:13,19
144:4,6,9	184:14,17,18	115:3 116:17	128:19 137:17	209:19
145:1,2,15,20	184:19,21,23	120:10,24	141:6 147:23	operates 43:7
146:4,24	185:4,7 186:23	121:4 122:8,17	149:22 150:17	operating 88:10
147:19,19,23	187:13 190:8,9	123:14 124:10	158:7 160:18	101:2 109:20
148:3,8 149:4	195:3 197:15	125:3,10,11	161:9 166:23	123:6 160:16
149:6,7,11,17	198:14 199:4,6	126:11 127:5	168:18 172:19	163:2
150:1,6,18	204:5,8,9,13	127:11 129:12	172:20 174:3	operation 25:1
151:1,8,19,24	204:13 205:9	131:8 132:4	177:15 187:21	171:17
152:13,20,21	205:12,15,24	133:13 134:10	188:12,15	operational 49:5
152:23 153:10	206:19,20	135:5 137:14	193:7 196:12	110:1 141:9
153:13,15	208:9,12,22,24	140:13 141:18	196:16 198:5	operations
154:2,4,16,16	209:2,11,12,22	142:4,7,13,17	198:10,23	146:3 147:3
154:17 155:1,2	210:2,3,9,16	143:3,15-145:5	201:5 202:12	168:19 190:11
155:7,10,11,15	210:21 211:8	147:8 149:15	202:16,19,20	194:24 205:22
155:16,20,24	211:14	149:16 152:16	205:7,7 210:24	opinion 4:5,7
156:6,13	oils 128:20	153:4,22,23	211:14 212:4,8	10:3,4,15 32:1
159:14,19,21	166:20	155:19 162:1,2	212:23	71:21 76:16
160:3,5,17,19	oily 45:7 90:12	170:1 172:5,12	onerous 87:13	135:22 190:6
160:23 161:4,7	91:18 146:6	174:10 175:14	ones 46:4 49:10	209:21 213:2
161:9,10,12,13	147:19 149:4	176:12 177:8	88:15 103:18	opportunity
161:14 162:3,4	167:8 197:13	177:14 179:11	196:5 197:11	7:15 25:4
162:5,9,11,13	197:15 199:16	179:23 180:10	online 203:7	49:21 87:10
163:14,23	oil-bearing	181:18 183:5	only 14:22 17:15	.119:6 200:23
164:2,11,15,16	39:19	183:21 184:11	23:17 27:4	202:17 207:7
164:19,20,24	oil-like 159:19	185:2,18,24	32:7 33:1,15	opposed 60:10
165:1,5,7,11	oil-related 39:19	187:15 188:8	47:10 48:17	67:11 131:12
165:13 166:17	oil-water 43:17	189:15 194:14	50:15 51:8	132:3 133:6
166:19,23	oil/water 41:24	194:14 201:17	60:16,16 64:11	139:14 169:21
167:1,5,6,7,8,9	169:14 182:13	201:22 203:18	69:8,9 75:3	option 140:17
167:11,11,14	okay 9:9,10	204:24 208:5	77:6 79:9 83:2	oral 206:23
167:20,24	24:21 26:4	208:13,20	83:21 84:19	order 3:4 4:5,7
168:3,4,8,9,11	27:6 28:22	once 6:17 54:23	86:20 98:15	4:14,20 6:16
168:13 169:3,7	29:20 30:19	55:2,2 215:3	106:4,4 150:4	7:8 10:3 71:22
169:18,21	35:16 36:7	one 4:15 5:23	151:19,21	87:19 119:16
170:3,6,7,11	38:23 48:13	6:21 7:7 8:12	168:2 169:7	136:10 190:6
170:16,17	51:1 52:12	12:13 15:19	170:12,21	214:17,21
172:2,11	55:10,22 57:6	16:12 17:4,7	172:4 174:10	organics 40:10
[37] Leaving Town and Company of the	والمرافق والمرافق والمنافق والمرافق والمرافق والمرافق المرافق والمرافق والم			

				·
organization	205:7,23	163:7,13,19	panel 203:24	124:5 128:11
32:16 212:8,10	209:23 210:9	167:21 169:7	paper 20:3,3	138:19 139:3
original 11:3,12	210:14,17	169:18 175:5	71:13 79:11,14	139:19 144:19
21:5 121:13	211:24 212:13	176:13 180:15	79:19 80:8	144:22 171:23
126:3 131:14	213:5,7,20	180:17 181:1	132:2 141:8	180:22 195:17
132:5 136:7	215:7,9,19	181:10,12	176:21 195:20	204:22
195:18 208:10	others 5:24 24:7	185:12 186:21	195:21 196:16	parted 130:18
210:19	123:4	189:16 196:1,4	198:10 201:5	participant 6:10
originally 64:21	otherwise 36:16	199:16 200:1	papers 109:4,7,8	22:22
209:2	77:15 87:10,17	200:11 212:24	121:19,22	participants
other 5:12 6:10	101:8 134:22	outlet 43:9	129:17 163:14	141:3 204:22
6:13 10:19	148:12 184:20	165:12	195:23 196:21	214:8
11:22 14:13	ought 11:4	outlets 164:13	199:3	participating
17:4 22:18,22	132:23 133:19	165:13	paperwork	195:14 211:19
25:15 27:8	ourselves 94:11	outline 141:9	11:10-15:7	particular 23:24
29:20 30:4,6	out 7:8 10:5	outlined 38:6	20:17 22:7	38:24 44:12
30:12 31:23	12:19 13:4	58:12,15 60:2	32:5 42:3	45:23 48:16
32:11 34:2	15:3 16:3	141:5 147:16	64:12 90:9	60:21 108:12
35:9 42:9	17:19 30:3	outside 213:10	98:10 105:22	particularly
43:16 45:6	34:20 37:16	over 4:22 7:10	109:1,15 119:6	12:21 34:18
46:13 47:1	39:9 44:15	26:7 52:24,24	127:1 159:24	parts 28:1,24
49:13 56:1,1	47:9,17 52:14	53:3 55:1	160:3 171:1	29:15 66:16
56:18 64:8	57:11 59:18	70:13 92:17	paper's 199:13	101:10 116:12
75:6,20 80:9	60:19 61:8	110:8 119:12	paragraph	144:18
80:14 81:19	62:10 64:1	122:24 123:1	29:22,22 59:13	party 34:6
93:4 95:9	65:13 72:16	128:3 137:8	66:4	184:21
113:24 118:14	76:22,24 77:5	152:5 163:24	parallel 68:16	pass 154:16,17
119:20 122:12	78:3 79:22	195:2,22	68:17 88:23	154:20,21
128:12,21,23	89:24 90:7	211:13 212:2	pardon 7:7	past 56:11,16
130:20 132:11	92:4 93:15	overjoyed	Parker 9:18	74:20 75:5,21
139:19 140:4	94:4,13,16	170:22	211:5,7	81:13 159:11
141:11 143:13	97:2 99:24	oversight 125:13	part 5:19 10:7	160:14 170:21
143:16 144:1,3	100:9,9,17,18	125:19	10:13 12:22	170:22
145:3 146:24	101:19,21	own 7:22 18:2	14:10 16:3,3	path 41:19
147:3 150:19	102:20 103:7	25:5 39:6 55:5	19:9 23:12	patience 9:20
153:3 154:1	103:12,14,15	81:6,14 84:19	26:10,13,15,18	pay 15:24 54:20
155:15 161:2	110:19 117:11	151:14 174:21	27:12,23 29:9	149:20 152:21
162:6,13	117:14,19	175:2,13 207:1	29:13,23 30:8	155:16 166:13
166:21 167:2	118:21 121:16	ownership 24:1	30:9 38:4,5	184:13,24
167:13 168:12	123:7 130:10	<u> </u>	39:11 49:14	paying 43:21,24
168:13 170:2	130:15 132:10	<u> </u>	50:20 51:7,20	198:18
170:10 173:13	133:23 134:7	P 2:1,1	56:20 60:2,3	pays 149:20
175:16 177:4	142:23 144:13	page 11:18	61:22 62:2,2,5	PCBs 170:8
179:13,20	145:15,20	17:13 26:12	69:18,20 72:4	183:12
183:7,11,23	146:16 147:20	59:1 71:22	72:4,4,7 73:5	Pekin 186:13,15
184:12,21	149:6,8,9	76:9,16 128:15	78:20 81:24	penalties 60:12
188:4 194:23	150:12 151:6	128:17	82:1 83:10	60:19,21,22
195:13 199:2	151:11 153:13	paid 71:20	95:3 97:16	61:4,6,12,21
199:19,23	153:19 156:4	184:17	103:11 110:2	62:1,3,4
		paint 35:3		

	1	<u> </u>	1	1
penalty 62:1	44:7 64:19	174:1	59:14 65:24	134:18 138:13
people 23:13	132:17 202:15	phrase 167:5	75:24 107:22	185:11 214:16
24:10 45:5	period 96:20	phrased 138:1,3	156:10 159:12	possibly 37:20
71:1,17 88:24	127:13 159:15	pick 36:20 42:18	161:16,17	54:20 85:9
93:10 118:5	165:10 214:12	53:14 87:9	173:21 175:5	111:16 117:9
140:4 195:10	214:20	89:11 105:18	185:18 193:4	post 49:22
199:5 200:17	periodically	109:22 119:22	193:17,22	187:23
200:21 209:23	41:11	124:17 145:12	206:14 207:18	posted 3:3,9
213:10	permanent	160:19 161:3	209:9	4:21 23:3
per 14:2 20:8	163:2 186:5	162:3,8,14	point 23:3 27:19	215:1
52:11 56:7,12	permission	164:2 166:8	28:21 31:24	post-hearing
57:7 63:12	211:3	168:9 169:3	40:12,13 43:12	136:2 142:9,15
65:9 80:8	permissions	183:13	44:15 47:9	188:1 204:21
116:7,12	105:12	picked 42:3 55:8	54:19 74:24	206:24 214:4
119:19 143:4	permit 11:8,8,22	145:13 161:8	77:7 85:15	214:10,12,18
145:9,16	14:24 15:4	187:4	87:8 88:19	post-used 26:17
151:14 175:18	156:11,18,22	picking 35:9,21	90:7 104:15	potential 48:14
175:20 176:4	171:14,16	40:23 41:15	105:8 108:12	potentially 37:3
177:23 180:1	permits 6:9 34:7	54:23 88:23	109:14 135:10	45:18,22 81:16
212:23	43:1,18 101:5	89:13 120:20	136:6 139:24	89:19 120:13
percent 12:12	156:10 157:9	123:7 161:1	163:7 164:23	POTW 101:22
30:1,3,6,10,23	157:10,11,21	162:5 170:6	165:5 176:13	POTWs 102:16
31:24 41:2	171:2,7,10,12	181:7	194:8 198:15	102:16
52:5 55:24	171:15 185:19	pickup 37:12	209:15 213:5	pound 14:2 56:7
62:10 91:1	permitted	41:8 54:9,12	pointed 12:19	56:12 57:7
100:10,10	108:10 146:12	131:4 144:16	132:10	63:12 65:9
117:14,14	147:14,18	pickups 50:9	points 131:1	116:7,12
146:16,17,17	150:9 179:17	piece 78:12	140:5 165:21	119:19
146:23,24	200:16	79:13,19 80:8	194:8	pounds 53:4
147:4 151:22	permitting	196:12 197:2	police 196:8	Power 194:8
156:3 180:15	108:5 109:20	pieces 200:3	Pollution 1:3,11	PO2W 157:12
181:2	110:2 124:5	pipe 130:15	2:2 3:2,20	practical 35:4
percentage	person 7:2 16:7	pipeline 130:10	212:21	86:17 103:9
30:24 32:10	64:5 126:7	130:14 131:2,6	pop 212:7	162:17
146:15 181:1	158:10 193:8	pit 99:20,23,24	portion 56:1	practice 14:14
186:24	203:5,11	place 37:2 43:18	83:5 125:2	37:9 40:3
percentages	personally 199:7	138:7 202:24	151:11 152:8	65:19 87:19
197:16	persons 5:12	placed 74:2 95:1	pose 25:4,9,14	88:10 120:1
percentage-wise	213:20	132:2 201:6	25:19 172:21	167:12
115:4	perspective 87:7	places 64:1	209:17	practices 120:18
perfect 64:13,20	200:8	planning 131:18	posed 172:19	159:17,18
65:3 166:1	pertaining 83:8	187:24 192:20	position 42:6	168:8 174:14
perfectly 106:19	83:9	plant 39:5 45:15	50:13 106:23	preamble 130:8
115:8 131:3	petition 10:11	plants 86:23	136:14 174:18	130:16,19
perform 147:24	phase 98:5,6,6	87:3	possibility	preambles 105:8
181:21	phases 103:10	plausible 30:24	113:21	precise 28:19
perhaps 16:13	phone 199:8	play 61:17	possible 7:3 8:18	29:6 84:15
25:8 30:8	phonetic 5:11	please 5:3 6:24	19:2 34:1	134:7
32:23 39:3	35:18 146:8	8:12,21 31:15	128:3 134:12	precisely 15:1

			38	
63:13 98:8	130:17 134:4	prior 35:17 39:1	proceedings 1:9	profile 144:7,12
193:5	141:11 145:6	40:22 41:6	5:20 6:17,23	150:23 167:21
prefer 32:9,17	148:4 150:24	95:18,19 161:5	107:17 216:8	167:22 182:6
43:13 47:16	151:9 154:8	161:11 193:19	216:12	184:10
60:9 67:16	155:18 176:22	privilege 9:12	process 19:8	profiled 182:10
141:1	prevent 49:24	privileged 5:2	38:24 39:11	profiles 57:11
preference 43:3	50:3	probably 4:3	40:5 41:9 43:4	profiling 154:10
138:12 139:24	prevented	37:5 38:8,9	44:12 64:2,4	profitably 43:23
prefers 132:14	135:23	39:18 54:2,16	86:7 94:4	program 17:2
138:14	prevents 101:8	55:8 77:4	101:10.145:18	47:18 161:1,3
prehearing 4:15	135:11	81:23 86:6	146:2 164:8	161:7 165:19
prejudgement	previous 12:3	88:20 90:23	165:22 166:7,7	169:13 188:3
5:7	51:12 76:12	91:1 93:8,10	166:15 167:23	programs 88:22
preliminary 3:5	126:1 196:17	97:12 98:24	194:17 195:2	160:18 162:4,7
177:5	197:20	118:18 124:5	198:19 215:14	162:12 199:19
prepared 22:16	previously 15:10	146:23 156:23	processed 108:4	progress 10:21
24:2 70:15	15:12 77:3	162:8 163:7	150:19 156:13	profibition
73:16 85:7	136:14	164:14 172:7	180:20 183:7	122:19,24
142:3 162:15	pre-file 4:17 6:1	178:22 181:17	processes 46:13	123:3
171:18	141:19	182:22 192:23	64:1	prolem 47:16
preprinted	pre-filed 5:9,17	problem 16:8	processing	promote 211:12
81:14	7:22 8:1 9:2,20	37:4,5,13 38:7	21:16 86:20	promote 211.12
prescribe 196:5	11:17 12:18	45:15 61:14	88:13 106:11	107:19
prescribed 81:4	21:12 22:24	76:19 90:9,14	110:21 111:2	promulgated
81:21 174:20	23:5,9,12	91:10 93:18	149:14 164:18	83:24
197:17	24:15,20 25:10	108:17 109:12	processor 42:19	promulgating
presence 37:8	25:15,23,23	125:5 135:12	143:1 148:1	12:24
155:14 168:23	27:21 29:9	144:9 165:17	150:3 164:17	proper 166:13
184:12	42:6 139:22	166:7,16 171:1	168:22 177:18	195:8,8 197:14
present 3:7,16	140:15 158:16	problems 110:7	181:21	properly 37:17
5:24 7:11	158:21 160:11	procedural 4:24	processors	38:1 57:4 58:7
40:11 77:1,4	173:19 174:1	5:18 201:10	116:21 163:22	58:9 112:9
191:19	177:9 207:3	214:3,9 215:5	164:3 166:22	113:11,14
presented 26:9	208:17	215:20	produce 19:7	147:14
127:20	pre-segregated	procedurally	46:21,22 47:1	property 39:7
presents 59:23	44:5	24:14	70:9 78:12	49:1 94:9
preserve 48:24	pre-submitted	procedure 7:15	produced 40:6	proposal 5:7
49:2	193:18	111:24 112:2	producing	11:3,6,12 12:1
presumably	price 41:3 44:8	procedures 7:4	119:11 161:13	12:2 13:23
36:17 37:12	147:13 155:15	112:5 153:24	166:21	15:10 17:15
82:4	164:11 165:2	183:22 184:3	product 86:14	18:10 17:13
presumption	168:24 170:4	191:2	95:13 98:8	24:13,20 26:5
110:15,18	184:13	proceed 3:10 6:6	129:22,24	28:1,6 29:7,19
pretreatment	pricing 170:2,12	8:10,14 22:19	130:10	32:18 38:2,5,5
99:20 104:14	primarily	26:2 159:7	products 14:13	38:10 41:17
169:16	169:14 182:4	172:22 173:16	44:17 63:20	48:9 49:13
pretty 6:24 20:6	principal 37:24	proceeding 4:3	128:22 130:21	55:17 59:9
39:8 79:13	112:14	4:23 5:14 6:5	131:14 132:6	72:1,18 73:18
95:7 110:6	print 215:2	140:1 193:16	132:11	73:23 74:3,16
1 10				73.23 77.3,10

		1	1	
74:17 76:4,13	3:6 22:6 146:4	103:12 148:12	96:16 104:11	7:15,18 8:3,15
77:24 82:23	200:7	148:13 169:18	quantity 12:9	9:2 22:13,15
91:12 94:17	protective 45:10	pumps 103:14	14:22 30:14	22:19 23:1,4,9
121:12,15,18	prove 115:21	148:15 151:21	34:22 51:14,18	23:12,19 24:3
128:5 134:6	provide 5:23	pure 10:18 39:8	51:22 52:4,6	24:8,9,13,16
136:7 140:7	16:16 17:11	99:4	54:14 60:4	24:19 25:1,4,5
141:10 157:14	29:3,6 58:19	purely 146:22	66:7,13 71:13	25:9,15,20,22
189:1,9,11,12	60:4,6,10,21	purify 166:22	86:13,13 94:22	25:23 55:11,16
189:18,20	61:3 66:1,7	purpose 22:10	95:9 120:22	55:21 76:21
190:2 193:16	68:21 69:23	22:12 57:18	147:11 164:5	125:12 134:18
195:18 196:16	72:8 73:8,13	72:9 99:22	178:6 180:5	136:23 137:5,7
200:8 204:16	106:15 112:12	100:6 137:1,1	186:23	140:10,23
206:16 207:14	137:22 142:1	139:8 173:9	question 8:24	141:2,12,16,19
208:8,11	155:22 158:12	192:14	18:16,17 26:20	142:11 148:5
209:11 210:19	158:13 170:20	purposes 133:9	27:21 28:3,22	157:7 158:2,3
proposals 10:5	171:9 173:2	pursuant 1:12	29:21 31:20	159:6,8 160:10
121:13 126:3	178:16 185:5	put 16:8 17:6	32:20 38:11	161:15,24
197:20	193:7 196:17	32:13 34:1,23	42:14 48:7	162:21 163:6
proposal's 93:21	197:13,17	36:8 48:1	50:6,8 51:13	171:22 172:13
propose 9:6	198:7 205:19	60:15 62:11	51:13 64:10	172:17,19,21
11:16 64:14	205:23 206:5	63:11 68:12	78:16 85:18	173:4,9,20,22
proposed 1:6	provided 12:11	72:18,21 75:17	86:1 96:18	177:9 178:19
3:13 4:8,19	14:20 57:9,21	76:19 78:18	98:12,24	180:11 186:17
10:15,23 13:17	70:13 74:13	87:11 90:20	104:17 107:9	187:20 190:13
15:10 27:9,22	129:16 186:3	92:11,13 96:1	107:20,23	190:23 191:4
37:1 41:20	196:18	97:12 101:15	115:12,19,24	192:12,16
48:9 50:11	providing 75:9	111:22 112:11	119:10 120:11	204:20 207:10
58:23 70:4	162:18 185:10	117:9 120:11	121:6 123:22	207:12,15
72:21 74:22	200:1	121:20 131:4	133:22 137:17	213:17 215:4
75:22 84:10	provision 66:12	141:8 155:1,2	138:24 139:19	215:20
90:9 94:18	131:8 133:17	155:7 165:5	139:21 143:12	question-by-q
95:14 105:24	provisions 50:15	169:20 194:7	153:3 156:2	142:15
106:5 120:15	pro-file 191:10	197:5,14	157:9 163:10	quick 18:17
121:6 128:14	public 5:14 6:19	201:10 205:20	164:2 167:3	63:16
133:1 138:8	9:7 140:11	putting 45:7	169:8,23	quickest 161:20
139:2 190:5	158:21 174:9	79:6 93:1 94:1	171:11,21	quickly 160:8
206:16 214:11	191:2,18	100:14 144:6	173:8 175:3	quite 27:13
proposes 117:15	192:14 201:2	168:11,13	177:3,22	46:14,23 85:14
proposing 4:5	203:17 206:22	P.E 2:4	179:11 181:19	86:3 134:6
11:21 15:15	210:24 216:21	-	185:14 187:21	190:7 210:5
47:23 49:10	publication 4:6	Q	188:23 191:6	quote 41:3
50:3 51:2,3,5	4:12	qualified 24:5	193:2 203:14	D 50
90:15 136:15	published 4:9	quality 33:7	203:23 206:14	R
190:5	pulling 173:12	56:13 163:24	207:17,21	R 1:14 2:1
protect 148:12	pump 100:17,18	168:3	208:3 210:15	radar 57:16
195:10,11	103:6,14	quantitative	questioning	rail 164:13,19
protected	148:15,18	91:19	107:21 157:4	165:8,10
162:19	pumped 100:9,9	quantities 35:4	questions 5:3,23	168:18 182:12
protection 2:7	pumping 102:20	35:11 46:24	6:1,8,14,18 7:4	182:17
		47:1 85:21	ı.	rain 99:4,5
PROCESSION STOCKS AND LINE COLUMN	CONTRACTOR AND CALCULATE TO SE	Markana ang managana at an ing manganagana an mangana		

raise 192:24	102:24 103:5	150:11 188:17	126:8,10,13	94:23,24 95:8
193:4	103:18 104:5	reality 91:21	127:3	95:17 96:15
raised 32:8	104:22 105:11	really 32:21	recent 4:2 20:1	97:18 98:16
Randolph 1:15	106:8,19 117:4	34:10,16 38:14	190:13 191:17	105:20 153:10
Rao 2:4 3:23	117:23 120:2,5	44:19 52:14	recently 183:2	recovered 86:12
27:20 28:22	120:15,19,24	60:24 71:16	201:6	recovering
29:8,12 50:7	123:5 139:23	81:20 83:23	recharacterize	43:10 44:1
50:14 51:1,10	140:2,19 144:2	84:4 86:24	158:20	recovers 15:5
66:19 75:22	158:9,12,23	87:14 88:6,21	recognize 11:15	42:20
76:6,9 82:13	159:2,9,13	92:16 100:15	13:16 33:2	recovery 33:5
82:17 83:8,12	161:22 162:2	103:14 109:1	37:5	86:15
99:8 104:20	169:12 170:1	143:9 146:21	recognized	recurrence
121:5,24 122:8	171:13 172:3,6	147:5,12	10:13 35:6	166:16
122:12,17	172:9,17	149:22 156:3	56:9,15 65:4	recyclability
176:8,12	174:12 177:20	157:10,18	recollection	154:3 184:1
187:19	202:22 209:8,9	164:12,17,21	158:24 190:18	recycled 33:4
Rao's 138:24	209:10 210:12	171:11 178:12	recommend	35:22 56:2
rare 103:19	rays 120:23	178:16 179:22	44:4 54:15	recycler 42:19
165:14	Ray's 210:5	186:21 194:5	recommendati	145:14
rate 51:21	RCRA 13:8	196:24 198:15	204:14	recyclers 9:14
rates 138:20	14:10 57:3	198:23 199:13	record 5:3,5,14	9:14 56:22
rather 102:17	58:6,9 61:15	200:13 213:3	5:20 7:3,9	109:19 211:8
106:18 117:17	61:16 64:21	reason 12:24	18:21 19:12	recycling 30:5
138:9 171:7	87:11 88:4	14:17 33:18	70:20 74:15	30:18,23 41:4
195:16	113:3,9 114:3	43:19 44:19	125:23 134:23	84:18 86:20
ratio 115:9	114:24 115:12	101:18 125:22	136:24 137:2	red 21:17
Ray 2:11 9:16	115:24 116:16	130:7 131:6,7	143:17 159:10	redraft 139:7
24:3 30:8 31:4	128:23 131:17	196:6 199:22	174:9,17	reduce 118:3
31:8,12,13,19	131:18,24	reasons 19:18	179:14,19	212:21
31:22 35:23	163:2 171:12	19:19,19 48:13	191:24 192:6	reduction 119:7
36:7 38:17,23	171:14,15	54:10 118:10	199:24 214:2,6	159:23
42:17 43:5	179:17 200:5	118:14 137:21	214:8 215:18	refer 121:2
44:10 45:21	reach 3:6 140:8	162:17	recorded 71:21	162:22 165:19
46:8 48:6,13	reaches 190:19	Rebaski 174:1,4	recordkeeping	188:1
48:22 49:18	reactive 113:22	Rebecca 1:12	106:6	reference 61:11
50:5,12,21,24	read 5:19 59:13	216:5,15	records 69:19	137:23 195:5
51:4,23 52:12	161:23 162:23	recall 140:21	69:20,23 125:1	referred 6:13
52:18 53:17,21	174:14	158:8 193:8	125:17 144:11	160:21 192:4
55:4 57:23	readily 75:8	receipts 144:16	179:21	referring 76:3
62:13 72:23	77:12 155:22	receive 28:23	recover 41:5	refers 163:1
73:14 74:20	163:16 165:18	38:15 43:22	87:1,3 88:10	refinements
80:23 82:16	168:24 191:7	77:9 155:20	91:2 94:12	136:17
83:22 84:9	201:15	185:3	100:24 153:13	refineries
86:16,18 88:17 90:5 91:10,24	reading 9:20 108:1	received 5:8	153:15,18	149:22
90:3 91:10,24		156:1 185:8	recoverable	refinery 42:22
93:21 97:6,10	ready 173:22 real 39:3 54:7	receives 214:14	14:21 85:20,23	reflect 5:6 7:9
98:21 99:14	63:16 90:10	receiving 16:6,7 43:20 125:17	88:16,18 89:2	15:17 27:19
101:23 102:3	119:7 141:4,9	125:22 126:5,6	89:4,14 90:1	28:16,24 29:7
101.23 102.3	117.7 171.7,7	123.22 120.3,0	90:19 94:6,21	29:19
D. Albert D. Albert M. C. S.	URANA TELEVA			

		1		
reflected 76:15	83:24 87:14	remaining 94:15	requested 23:2	136:8 197:6
83:19	100:23 102:5	145:20	26:13 156:1	198:11 205:24
regard 5:19,24	113:3 114:3	remember 20:21	185:8	206:19
6:3 203:21	120:16 123:13	21:7 64:11	requesting 4:11	requires 101:4
regarding 5:7	124:23 131:24	79:19 105:6,7	108:20 110:11	114:4 206:16
18:6 21:23	137:20 138:10	121:12 130:7	require 10:9,10	requiring 69:2
26:15 47:11	139:14 160:4	143:21	18:4 21:16	111:12
138:24 143:15	175:11 195:5	remind 85:6	62:7 74:2	resell 166:23
168:5 170:15	196:1,11,13	rendered 81:15	77:20 78:4	reshipped
171:2 179:12	197:1 199:24	repeat 192:11	81:8 116:10	163:21
regime 87:20	206:1 209:16	192:15	155:21 170:19	residence 100:4
register 4:9	regulator 197:4	repeatedly	178:22 182:6	resistance 18:14
regs 101:4,14	regulatory	56:16	185:4 187:7	resolve 9:23
105:8 111:23	10:23 12:22	repeating 192:5	required 16:19	74:23 160:8
130:8,16	13:11 37:9	repetitious 5:2	17:9 18:7	resolved 208:7
regular 14:14	47:16 61:7,8	rephrase 48:7	20:21 63:1	resources 13:2,3
164:15	73:5 87:7	replace 174:19	79:23 143:22	respect 17:24
regularly 41:12	90:16 104:7	replicated 70:3	148:11 157:1	18:3,12 46:9
regulate 136:9	122:19 136:18	report 188:4	requirement	49:16 103:2
198:4	208:18 211:15	197:21	13:18 14:23	115:1 196:3
regulated 10:12	214:11	reported 216:8	21:6 37:10	respectfully
10:24 11:1,4	reiterate 174:11	reporter 6:22	58:2,6 59:4	212:20
11:13,19 20:14	related 39:19	8:9,20 31:14	60:23 62:12	respond 16:2
26:18 28:11	83:9 85:8,9	173:14,16	65:6 71:11	32:19 80:24
31:2 41:10	214:9	193:13 201:21	72:14,15 80:2	82:21 141:15
51:7 75:4	relates 99:17	216:6	80:3,4,5 83:18	204:21 207:7
87:11 101:17	207:14	reporter's	98:10 106:9	responders
101:17,18	relating 25:1	193:22	109:1 111:9	196:7 199:8,12
120:7 121:7,9	relationship	reporting 27:7	112:11,18	responding
121:14 208:12	57:14	61:16	122:19 138:2	76:14 80:21
209:2 210:21 regulation 34:16	relatively 86:12 100:3 103:13	reports 37:20 200:18	144:17	140:23 159:6
62:3 104:12	111:21		requirements 11:8,10,22,23	response 28:23
107:3 130:18	release 145:16	represent 23:24 191:17	12:7 14:24	107:9 190:5 198:13 204:19
137:21,22,23	released 36:15	representative	15:5,8,12,14	214:18
138:20 175:9	relevant 5:1	19:23 24:6	15:20 16:4	responsible 9:13
198:3	43:1 47:5	117:4 141:22	17:5,12 18:5	37:18 166:12
regulations 1:7	73:18 74:1	166:6 179:5	27:2,5,8,14,15	responsive 8:3
3:14 4:6 11:7,8	163:15	representatives	28:8,13,20	31:23
12:23 13:1,9	reliable 63:4	23:14 173:14	49:14,17 51:8	rest 140:16
13:10 14:9,10	reliance 57:20	represented	51:9 61:16	141:2 171:16
32:12 33:17	relief 15:7 22:7	7:11 116:21	64:5 69:13,14	201:19
36:11 45:12	32:5 98:9	representing	69:15 70:5,6	resubmit 196:20
47:11 48:16	109:1	9:13 127:23	80:13 84:21	resubmitted
52:9 56:19,24	rely 57:9 65:13	represents 46:19	86:11 91:7	208:19
57:3 62:24	65:19	117:1	98:4 106:6,14	result 133:17
63:1 64:22,24	relying 33:13	reps 184:3	108:14 109:9	resulting 34:1
69:5 73:12	112:22 131:18	request 106:20	114:14 115:22	94:23
74:22 75:18	remain 122:1	108:24 163:17	121:8 122:13	results 40:24
31 1111	E .			
				-11

			<u></u>	
resume 107:12	110:4 111:12	116:16 131:16	124:17,18,24	79:15 92:2,11
107:20	116:19 125:23	131:17,18,19	128:6 132:1	112:21 128:20
retain 17:9	128:7,12	131:24 200:4	135:21 163:8	147:9,23
168:16 182:7,8	132:12 133:4	ruling 128:11	168:4,12 170:9	210:18 216:6
retained 15:14	134:4,16 135:1	run 21:11 22:8	175:10 181:10	scan 40:10
165:19 166:5	139:9 142:12	174:3 203:1	198:24 204:3	scenario 36:9
168:10	142:17 158:5	running 5:15	205:23 206:10	37:1,2 48:16
retains 166:8	172:2,3 173:22	182:24 198:16	206:11 210:11	96:17 110:3
return 55:19	175:6,15	runoff 99:4	211:23	124:8
returning	188:15 195:12	157:12	sample 18:18	scene 199:18
107:18	202:20,22	R06-20 1:6 3:16	40:7 167:20	schedule 207:1
reuse 42:20	203:7 204:24		168:11,15,20	scheduled 215:8
88:11	207:8,22 210:7	<u> </u>	182:8	scheme 90:16
review 19:6	210:19,20	S 2:1 3:18	samples 37:11	Scientist 2:4,4
135:7 144:12	rigid 81:20	SAF 9:23	37:14 88:5	scope 112:16
202:18	rigs 96:21	safe 35:13	165:20,20	Scott 9:18 211:6
reviews 138:14	rises 164:24	199:15	166:5,5	screen 184:4
revised 134:2,3	risk 200:7	safety 2:13	sates 32:11	screening 89:6
revising 27:17	risky 194:21	19:24 22:1	satisfactory	146:3
revisit 40:21	role 93:12	85:7,13 100:20	160:7	se 145:16 151:14
rewrite 11:7	roof 21:21	102:20 103:1	satisfies 80:12	second 7:7 13:24
re-managing	room 1:15 3:7	154:3 157:8	198:12	15:9 17:13
37:19	183:1 211:9	158:14 161:6	satisfy 32:8 70:5	21:11 30:9
re-refined 86:14	rough 40:8,9	172:22 173:7	save 45:2	72:9 137:8
95:13 98:7	roughly 46:7,19	174:2,5,12,17	saving 78:12,13	157:6 174:3
181:1	53:8	175:18,21	savings 21:2	177:22 178:11
re-refiner	round 127:14	176:3,4,24	188:14	secondary
161:13 177:20	routinely 37:11	177:17 180:13	saying 13:3	168:22
177:21	RS 205:9,12	183:24 188:14	30:21 48:1,8	secondly 141:8
re-refiners	ruin 148:13	188:17 191:17	48:20 49:19	Section 50:14
166:20	rule 49:16 63:8	205:11	51:17 57:6	75:23 76:10
re-refinery	84:21 105:24	sale 165:14	64:17 73:14	99:9 126:2
182:20	106:5 116:5	saleable 35:14	76:18 81:19	sediment 100:2
rid 13:13 68:20	121:6 126:1	sales 101:5	82:5 84:11	100:19 101:1
125:7 208:24	rulemaking 1:6	184:3	89:6 94:24	see 11:18 12:3
209:1	3:12,16,19	same 6:6,12 7:2	95:6,7,21	17:13 23:13
right 3:17,19	22:12 85:8	11:5,14,14	96:19 97:13	29:24 32:17
4:23 7:10	112:16,24	14:6 15:1	99:8 102:8,9	33:17,24 39:20
22:16 25:12,13	204:16 215:5,8	33:22 34:10	105:17 111:3	40:10 43:14
25:17 38:20	rules 4:24 5:18	35:9 45:20	118:11 123:14	44:18 48:7,15
40:19 43:4	22:9 25:2 32:6	60:1 61:24	123:18 129:15	56:5 58:15
48:4,4,19	32:8 37:3 48:9	62:1,4 66:3	151:6 204:8	59:19 76:11
50:11 52:22	48:15,24 49:5	68:7 77:21,21	209:24	82:19 97:3
59:4 61:22,23	49:9,12,13	78:4,6,7 82:13	says 10:11 11:3	101:3 105:3
62:3,3 65:6	50:9,15,20	90:7,14 93:9	17:11 26:16	109:13 111:1
67:20 70:5	51:2 57:17	94:20 97:15	28:17 54:3	118:10 122:3
71:12 84:8	58:7 82:20,24	103:3 106:15	57:3 58:18	126:12 133:13
88:20 92:14	113:9 114:20	106:17 116:5	59:11,12,16	135:8 144:11
93:5 97:16	115:12 116:1	119:24 120:12	66:6 74:17	174:18 175:8
		121:20 122:23	-	
, ,			<u> </u>	•

*		1	1	
176:20 196:21	Senior 2:4	service 47:18	168:18,21	similar 13:24
206:6 212:22	sense 17:6 19:20	160:16 182:10	180:20 182:16	60:14 68:13
seeing 19:8	45:3 149:23	serviced 91:13	shipping 79:11	111:14 144:1
193:14	167:2 173:12	services 160:22	109:4,7,8	174:13,14
seek 34:11 42:13	195:11 198:17	169:14 200:22	121:19,21,22	183:9
165:22 166:12	sensitive 46:1	servicing 168:12	123:11 129:17	similarly 28:12
seeking 34:14	sent 40:8 146:7	169:16	131:11 132:2	182:3
36:10 105:11	147:10,11	serving 45:24	163:14 165:8	simple 79:13
118:2	149:18 164:3,5	117:2	165:13 170:16	111:21 126:24
seem 101:6	164:5,9 166:18	set 11:16 22:24	195:20,21,23	127:1 157:13
108:2 131:16	180:4,5,22	29:14 112:5	196:4,16,21	simpler 117:19
135:9,14,17	182:20	124:1 159:17	197:14 198:10	simplicity 190:3
209:13	sentences	159:18 165:2	199:3,13	simplify 105:21
seemed 30:23	159:10	166:18 168:16	shop 34:20	193:24
123:17 135:20	separate 44:9	187:18 208:16	39:17 99:22,23	simplifying
135:21	45:17 86:9	214:15	100:12	164:1
seems 34:24	102:22 103:10	sets 17:3	shops 39:21	simply 15:6
47:5 50:4 56:3	123:19 144:18	settle 151:11	99:18	16:14 30:10
63:8 66:22	153:19 160:20	settled 149:6,7,8	short 74:17	49:4,14 56:14
89:16 97:17	164:19,23	seven 181:19	165:10 211:6	64:17 108:13
seen 53:22 82:8	170:19 182:14	several 140:3	shorthand 10:17	129:15 140:11
127:15 215:19	206:11	179:3	216:8,10	159:23 192:16
segment 44:20	separately 39:23	sewer 87:18	shortly 29:21	193:1 194:12
46:15 52:1	39:24 42:3	99:24 100:4,6	short-term	202:17 203:5
101:2	44:14 45:1	103:17,21	172:9	since 9:16 13:22
segregate 47:7	92:21 123:20	147:21	show 40:4 59:10	24:15 83:17
93:8	separates 15:3	shaking 49:6	74:11 137:21	135:21
segregated	145:15	shalt 57:4	202:16	single 119:3
39:23 42:2	separating 42:8	shamming	showed 125:1	121:20 123:10
44:24	separation	199:17	shown 18:19	161:10 212:6
segregation	145:17 148:9	sheen 45:14 97:7	shows 26:12	singled 121:16
47:11	148:22,24	sheet 6:12 17:7	29:22	sir 51:24 85:1
selected 67:13	149:1,2,11	69:17 71:12	side 46:18,23	sit 76:23 127:24
selective 181:9 self 55:5	164:21 176:6	113:24 114:10	181:10 195:2	133:23 134:7
self-enforcem	181:15 182:13	116:8 144:7	sidetracked	134:18
57:20	separator 99:21 102:21 103:7	150:24	195:2,17	site 35:6 145:9
sell 34:4	102.21 103.7	sheets 63:19 114:5 144:13	sign 36:21 41:7	145:23 146:7
selling 198:18	170:14		167:16 200:12	147:2 156:11
seming 198:18	separators	ship 34:6 35:5 130:11 155:20	200:16 signal 137:24	163:4,18 164:3 179:24
send 45:19,22	169:14	164:12 184:21	signature 16:6	sites 163:13
137:24 145:9	September 5:9	185:3	126:7	179:18
145:23 147:13	6:2 22:24	shipment 60:1	significant	sitting 206:4
163:18 164:11	121:15 174:5	119:24 124:21	30:21 46:12	situation 65:15
167:20 170:22	series 100:1	185:10,14	99:16	101:16 129:11
179:24 181:5	serious 10:21	shipments	signing 155:5	129:20 199:22
181:11 183:3	31:1	122:20 123:15	signs 84:3	situations 199:8
sending 88:8	serve 99:17	197:23	sign-in 6:12	six 100:8 180:3
150:2 184:18	162:11,14	shipped 168:17	silence 85:4	212:2
		11140		<u></u>
			<u> </u>	

size 18:20	23:9,11 24:24	87:24 88:7	55:4 79:8 87:6	58:3
148:17,20	25:5,15 26:14	91:1 99:16	209:15,24	specified 82:19
168:6	26:16 29:3,18	105:7 130:6,13	spec 150:1,2,5,7	specify 18:9
skim 181:13	29:23 32:1	133:9 135:23	150:15 154:16	83:6,13
slightly 131:2	34:22 39:1	142:9 144:10	154:17	specs 33:21 34:3
slip 57:24	43:9 46:10,11	146:20 150:8	special 1:6 3:13	154:22 165:16
slipped 37:16	48:17 51:3	151:2,15	11:7,22 16:4	spelled 61:8
slipping 38:8	55:17 61:3	152:11 153:8	17:12,14 18:6	76:21
sludge 146:6,7	62:7 64:9	165:16,17	20:16 21:9	spellings 193:23
147:1,17	75:23 82:1	184:24 194:21	22:9 27:15	spend 200:21
151:11,14,16	85:4 89:10	195:24 199:17	38:3 42:1 45:2	206:8
160:23 170:13	90:12,13 98:9	200:9 204:20	46:6 52:9,11	spent 133:1,8,16
slurry 146:10	104:24 105:9	209:8 211:24	52:21 59:22,23	157:21 161:11
small 4:7 12:9	106:5,23 108:8	212:1,19	60:2.72:10	spills 39:15
21:24 30:13	115:20 116:13	sometime 85:12	82:10 83:3,10	100:11
34:22 35:3,4	123:14 124:15	sometimes 36:16	83:19 89:14	spirit 32:13
39:4 44:20	124:16 125:14	99:21 125:13	96:4,8,11	45:12 207:16
46:3,10,11,23	130:7,13 131:6	161:3 166:9	102:7,10,16	209:3 210:22
46:24 51:13,17	134:6 135:10	183:3,15 199:4	106:24 107:1,2	spirits 66:17
52:4 53:24	138:5 140:14	somewhat 130:4	108:9,10,16	spoke 169:15
54:4,8,10	141:19,23	somewhere	109:11 110:13	176:14
66:12,13 82:1	144:11 145:11	102:11 183:3	111:2 113:1,6	spoken 187:18
86:12 87:3	146:3 148:9	soon 214:24	113:23 114:2	206:24 207:3
88:1,10 93:9	150:2 155:2,2	sorry 7:8 25:6	118:2,7,7,13	SS 1:1 216:1
103:13 120:22	159:6 167:2	36:13 66:11	118:16,17,24	staff 3:24 5:4
160:18 165:20	169:3 170:13	72:5 73:9	120:9 121:3,10	139:20 158:1
166:7 188:16	173:13 174:4	83:16 153:1	121:24 122:3,5	172:17 187:20
smaller 20:10	176:24 177:12	171:16 207:22	122:7,13 123:8	standard 56:9
188:18,20	182:22 183:11	sort 62:7 64:9	123:8 125:8	58:8 111:12
smallest 34:18	184:21 187:12	92:4 114:14	136:21 155:10	112:2,5 114:14
small-quantity	187:13 188:1,6	131:2 136:4	168:7 170:18	196:9 205:21
13:20	197:21 198:15	163:15 164:18	200:3	standardize
sold 33:20	198:16 199:18	170:4 194:15	specialty 152:5	88:3
solely 5:4 138:4	199:24 213:16	198:21 199:22	specific 32:20,22	standardized
209:20	somebody 45:1	212:4	33:10 38:17	115:20
solid 112:21	64:3 93:6	sought 3:5 36:24	45:24 73:17	standards 10:8
152:2 156:10	101:9 150:9	sound 90:5,6	74:5 84:20	10:8 43:1
156:18 171:12	somebody's	sounds 148:8	85:22 104:13	61:18,21 95:12
176:23 185:19	170:6	157:16	106:13 112:20	168:4
solidified 146:9	somehow 57:13	source 50:3	113:18 188:6	standing 211:11
solids 151:10,23	someone 163:1	116:8	204:15,19	standpoint
170:14	198:18 199:17	sources 39:5	214:15	133:5
solution 210:1	something 12:19	169:13	specifically	stands 189:1
solvent 13:6	13:22,22 16:23	South 216:16	22:19 66:17	start 19:22
66:16 133:9,16	36:2 45:10	speak 7:1 35:23	73:12 74:7,11	107:14
solvents 40:11	49:21 52:19	103:2 117:23	107:21 147:10	starts 59:18,19
133:2	56:8 57:2	120:2 194:10	164:4 172:19	state 1:1,13 13:3
some 13:5 15:7	63:15 81:22,22	194:12	180:4 212:10	26:5.33:17
19:18,19 22:6	82:4 87:10,16	speaking 7:10	specification	37:2 42:10
= ""			Tr.	

		1	1	
45:5 48:24	106:24 107:3,6	176:19 188:2	suggesting 18:11	49:15,15
49:5,12 73:12	109:11 110:24	stuff 136:5	64:11 65:5,6,7	211:15 214:3
81:13,20 82:10	111:1 115:1	146:12	69:7,10 73:24	suspect 6:22
96:22 105:19	122:1,6,14	stumbling 75:10	112:24 114:16	25:2 191:22
137:21 160:4	133:4 135:9	stupid 130:7	116:15	swear 8:10,20
175:9 185:10	150:8 156:7	style 139:3	suggestion 61:3	173:16
197:2,3 200:5	163:6 187:12	subject 9:7 11:2	81:17 136:11	sworn 6:14,18
200:12,14	191:5 200:3	41:18,21	suggests 57:22	8:7,22 9:7 31:9
206:5 211:23	204:2,4 207:11	104:12 107:2	65:14	31:16 140:9,12
212:6,7 216:1	208:9	124:5 167:11	suitable 34:4,24	140:22 158:8
stated 26:23	stipulation	170:17 191:3	Suite 216:16	158:24 173:2
74:12 123:5	189:21	203:20	summarize 4:2	173:17 190:20
134:14 175:1	stop 92:19	subjected 76:20	8:18 10:6	203:19 204:18
statement 44:11	stops 120:11	submit 28:20	summarized	207:5 216:6,19
states 10:10 43:8	storage 124:6	134:2,8 202:2	194:5	synthetic 39:12
45:6 49:13,15	169:10 176:6	submitted	summary 5:22	system 10:23
89:12 100:22	206:4,7	192:16 194:6	8:11 27:22	15:6 30:18,23
105:2 159:18	store 16:20 54:8	201:13 202:6	140:24 141:5	35:10 42:10
160:16 161:14	156:12	208:18	159:5,11	61:15 64:12,15
194:22,23	stored 20:22	subpoena	173:20 177:5	64:20,21 65:3
195:23 199:2	77:12,17	178:22	188:4	87:24 97:24
199:23 200:18	storer 172:9	SUBSCRIBED	sunglasses	99:20 100:16
205:24 213:11	177:18	216:19	198:22	114:24 147:21
213:14	storing 165:9	Subsection	supply 35:12	166:1 196:9
state's 196:23	storm 99:4	76:10 99:9	69:19,20	197:12 198:24
stating 133:11	156:22 157:12	subsequently	support 42:6	199:3 209:20
statistics 51:24	straight 153:16	33:16	134:5 160:2	210:8
81:9	straightforward	substance	sure 28:2,3	m
status 47:3	157:14	160:13	40:12 52:16	<u>T</u>
168:6 171:16	stream 41:15	substantially	62:6 63:18	table 4:22 6:12
stay 150:10	45:7 59:22	118:3	66:2 75:11	9:16 47:24
steer 102:3	92:17 95:24	substantive 9:5	77:14 86:4	93:22 193:12
step 13:19 16:18	96:3,4 119:11	73:1 74:22	87:5 95:7	take 13:18 16:17
47:8 75:6	119:16 169:5	131:23 138:5,6	102:10 105:5	19:6 28:6,7
148:14 181:15	streams 39:20	138:9 139:14	112:4 116:14	32:21 40:7
195:19 198:22	39:22 42:13	substitute	119:13 123:2	41:4 43:6
210:16	45:17 58:21	195:20	123:24 124:4	45:12 47:8,17 76:1 78:2
Stephanie 2:8	60:6 87:9	substituting	126:22 127:2,4	87:10 92:3,12
7:11 51:12	91:18 118:1,3	196:16 198:10	135:2 137:12	94:12,15 105:9
74:10	152:1	suck 130:9	146:7 156:4	107:12 117:17
steps 148:2,7	Street 1:15	sucking 181:10	159:3 163:3	123:23 127:22
165:4 181:23	216:16	sufficient 23:16	174:17,23	141:2 148:19
182:1 201:10	stress 4:1 214:24	23:17 126:3	179:1,18 182:9	150:9 153:14
stick 116:16	strictly 147:4 193:3	sufficiently	186:7 187:12	155:8 165:20
still 11:2 12:4 15:14 34:24		76:21	188:11 189:10	167:10,19
46:12 50:19	stringent 131:17 struggle 41:16	suggest 53:22 101:7 107:8	190:16 205:1 surface 103:11	168:15 182:7
63:9 79:6 94:9	studied 26:8	194:11		198:22 211:11
94:14 106:15	studieu 20.8 study 20:1 85:8	suggested 28:17	surplus 130:10 surrounding	taken 1:12 47:24
100.13	study 20.1 03.0	suggested 20.1/	sur i vunumg	104:8 107:15
107				

126:23 145:18	164:24 169:21	114:14 115:4	116:2,4,10,13	191:23 192:14
168:20 216:11	tanks 165:10	115;16,21	116:14 150:5	192:18 200:18
taking 24:18	Tanner 2:5 3:19	119:13,15,17	165:11,15,15	200:18,18
37:11 45:7	targeted 160:22	119:20 152:14	167:15 168:20	215:12,16
88:4 100:23	180:12	167:24	tests 33:9 113:19	theme 194:15
163:23 165:19	tasks 56:23	testament 5:22	154:16,17,18	themselves 20:2
168:10 200:7	technical 3:23	tested 39:23	154:20	24:6 139:14
210:16 214:10	55:18 86:2	194:22	text 33:6	Theodore 2:9
216:9	159:7	testified 158:8	thank 7:20 9:10	theory 100:14
talk 32:9 38:24	technique	170:16	19:13,14,16	170:3
46:14 55:6	106:12	testify 6:9,12 8:8	31:17 51:10	they'd 42:1
86:21 92:12	technology	19:24 35:19	71:7,9 107:18	43:13
94:18 119:9	43:18 86:15	158:18	188:9 190:17	thing 6:21 13:24
120:3 127:24	95:10 164:22	testifying 6:4	193:10 200:23	30:15 33:22
169:1 173:10	telephone 215:5	139:23	201:1,22,24	50:15 72:15
187:23 194:15	tell 35:24 36:2	testimony 4:17	209:6 210:3	74:24 78:21
200:24 201:11	43:5 46:8	5:9,17 6:7,15	211:17,19	84:19 98:22
204:7	48:10 60:17	6:18,20 7:22	213:16 215:12	122:23 128:13
talked 47:7 62:8	76:1 90:17,23	9:5,8,20 11:17	215:23	135:22 139:9
90:10 96:2	96:1 99:1	12:18 21:12	thanks 137:16	170:12 174:10
107:24 118:5	114:7 154:24	22:18 23:5	139:18 172:15	175:16 181:12
151:12	155:9 171:17	24:12,20 25:10	191:14 215:21	195:12 208:24
talking 7:2 11:5	211:20,21	27:21 29:10	that'd 161:20	213:7
11:9 12:11,15	ten 146:16	31:15 35:17	their 6:2 13:6	things 16:1
12:15 14:22	161:11 162:8	39:1 42:6	17:10 18:2	34:20 44:13
17:15 20:10	183:21	55:13,17 108:2	20:5,7 21:10	47:21 75:6,16
31:2 46:3,15	tends 86:1	110:6 112:8	23:2 31:4 34:7	93:7 98:23
47:6 55:23	ten-day 179:17	123:6,18	34:19 36:9,18	100:7 103:11
59:5,7 60:20	179:18	128:16 139:22	36:22 39:6	105:18 110:12
63:24 66:10	term 18:18 67:6	140:10 141:1	40:5,7 41:8,11	130:11 136:20
67:1 68:9	109:4,8 131:14	141:24 158:12	42:24 43:2,4	141:6 145:3
69:12 71:18	162:22,24	158:17,21	43:10,12,15,22	146:1,5 147:3
75:14 76:5,6	terms 6:3 16:4	173:2,19	44:5 45:6,8,9	154:24 155:1
88:7 89:20,21	17:12 23:2	188:13,24	45:11 48:10,11	167:13,13
94:22 98:12,15	39:13 55:4	190:18,22	55:8 63:19	170:10 174:14
102:1,20 107:6	69:15 73:2	191:10,12	81:6,13 84:19	195:3 204:3
107:6 120:6	81:8 82:14	192:19 204:19	86:22 87:1	212:4
121:13 135:21	86:23 87:18	207:3,3 208:17	88:9 98:3	think 7:24 9:21
163:12 175:17	104:22 139:9	209:5 210:7	100:12 117:12	12:2 18:15
179:2 188:16	160:10 176:19	213:24	118:15 123:14	20:9 22:13,14
190:7 204:1,3	192:20	testing 33:13	128:10 134:15	23:1 24:5 28:8
204:4,9,10	terribly 178:23	34:5 36:20	136:14 141:7	30:2 32:10,16
210:13 213:1	Terrific 177:7	41:12,12 47:11	161:7 166:14	34:15 35:6
talks 99:10	test 35:5 37:7,14	62:8,9 63:1,3	166:19 167:20	36:1 38:11
104:13 163:10	44:24 62:17	63:12 64:9,13	168:3,5,6,8,9	39:8,21,24
tank 39:7,20	97:5,14 111:11	65:5 89:10	168:11 169:18	46:4 49:11,19
44:21 45:4,8	111:12,21	97:3,3 111:15	169:20 176:18	50:24 51:13,16
120:12 124:6	112:20 113:1	111:20,20	176:19 179:21	51:16,20,21,24
146:1 151:20	113:23 114:2	114:10,15	184:17 190:10	52:3 53:6,21
		-		,— -
	N .			

				
54:16,22 55:5	178:23 181:22	32:10 53:1,4	timing 192:20	91:5 124:20
55:6,23 57:8	186:8 187:6	87:1	193:4	139:1 144:2
61:2,14 68:19	191:16 193:13	thresholds 35:13	Timothy 1:10	166:3 171:18
71:22 72:24	198:21 199:1	through 19:8	2:3	tracking 15:16
73:3,5 74:22	200:2 203:10	21:21 35:10	tiny 94:5	16:8,15 17:22
75:4,6 76:16	203:13 204:2	36:3 45:18	titled 84:18	18:8 20:4
81:2,3,9,18	204:10 207:20	109:2 113:15	today 3:17 37:2	28:15 64:18
82:6,24 83:16	209:1,23	113:23,24	49:1,3 52:17	66:20 69:23
83:22 86:6,15	210:13,23	114:2 116:1,2	90:8,14,18	70:1 72:19
87:14 91:10,11	211:16 212:22	116:3,4 142:1	91:11 109:20	74:3,19 75:24
91:21 95:4,6	213:3,4,5,11	144:11 148:14	123:2,7 158:18	76:20 77:16
95:21 101:13	213:16,22,23	148:18,20	171:19 189:21	78:13,17,19,24
101:23 103:24	thinking 47:14	155:6 164:8	191:12,20	79:4,5,11
103:24 104:2	third 14:11	174:4 177:8	194:4,16	80:10 82:15
105:14 107:23	21:11 28:14	183:16 201:9	196:21 197:12	83:2 84:1,6,15
108:11,16	34:6 79:23	204:18 207:13	197:18 201:7	109:6 121:22
109:3,8,22	128:19 184:21	215:13	201:12,20	121:23 125:14
110:5 111:8	third-party	throughout	211:18 215:22	125:15,15
112:13,17	42:19 163:22	100:22	today's 22:12	144:16 206:19
113:9 115:7,11	164:3	throw 13:5	120:17 193:19	tracks 14:9
115:15,17,19	Thomas 2:6	52:23 193:1	together 44:13	trade 212:14
115:23,24	3:22 174:4	throwing 92:18	68:12 72:18	213:14
116:18 118:8	Thompson 1:14	throws 52:20	89:20 92:12	traditionally
120:24 121:1	thou 57:4	Thursday 21:19	93:6 98:23	80:23 81:2
122:22 123:5	though 20:11	tight 211:5	102:23 103:15	82:8
123:13 124:1	29:5 49:24	Tim 3:11	105:13 119:9	train 45:13
127:14,16,17	78:16 117:7	time 3:3,9 4:16	119:24 122:20	transcribed
127:18 128:15	135:15,15	6:9,21 7:2 19:7	122:21 123:10	201:20
131:13 132:9	143:1	21:11 26:7	168:14 194:7	transcript 1:9
132:20,21,24	thought 23:8	35:9 37:12	194:18 204:2	202:10 207:4
133:12,19	37:15 73:1	47:5 60:7	211:24 213:9	214:14,17
134:1,3,11,18	74:24 75:18	93:10 97:21	told 39:22 88:2	216:10
135:9,13,19	98:12 123:18	107:10 111:5	91:14 150:23	transcripts
137:4,6,10,19	139:10 188:23	119:12 120:9	Tom 173:24	214:22
138:17 139:12	188:24 194:7	127:14,22	tool 199:12	transfer 64:17
140:5,6 141:11	204:7	134:6 141:16	top 100:2 143:22	124:2 149:2,9
143:23 146:19	thousand 176:1	157:20 159:15	164:24 191:16	150:5 156:12
148:4 150:20	thousands	162:16 165:10	199:1	156:24 169:17
152:18,24	143:13 179:3	168:4,10	total 130:4	transport 124:2
153:2,6 154:8	three 6:10 15:17	172:18 177:11	143:10	145:12 149:1
155:13,17	16:15 17:17	181:11 190:17	totally 101:9	153:12 155:20
156:19,23	20:22 28:18	191:21 193:5	touch 138:23	185:3
157:1,18,20	54:18 55:3	193:14 206:9	towards 102:4	transportation
158:2,17 160:6	70:5 77:17	207:6 211:5	159:16	15:20 54:12
161:20 163:5	79:14 147:16	213:8,21 215:3	toxic 113:22	79:24 118:19
164:1,6 169:4	149:23 160:17	215:10,21	114:1	145:18 156:24
170:23 171:4	162:4,7 170:22	times 55:9 93:4	toxicity 87:23	171:6,15 196:2
173:7,9,14	201:4	166:2 182:22	115:10	199:3,15
176:14,17,21	threshold 31:24	188:15 212:6	track 49:14 61:4	transported
			W III	
			AND RESIDENCE OF THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PARTY.	

			· ···	
124:24 145:14	182:16 185:17	121:13 122:20	78:24 86:7	65:13,14 111:3
155:24 185:7	trucks 100:19	123:3 137:9	87:11,13,19	148:5 203:12
transporter	100:21 151:20	141:6 145:24	90:15 95:5,11	204:5 210:1
36:18 59:24	152:2,3 160:18	147:16 166:24	95:14 100:22	unlikely 118:8
60:7,8 119:8	160:21,21	189:17 198:3	101:4 102:5	119:1
119:13 125:16	162:4,5	201:4 202:16	104:10 108:10	unload 146:4
126:9,14	true 17:24,24	206:23 208:6	109:20 110:3	unnecessary
142:22 147:4	33:22 57:21	214:2	115:12 117:21	10:14,14
148:1 150:5	216:10	type 33:24 34:11	118:1 120:15	159:23 212:22
151:17 164:17	truly 184:23	49:4 84:2	120:17 121:6	unregulated
172:1 177:18	trumps 196:3	103:3 130:21	124:8 126:2,2	122:11
181:21	try 9:19 38:2,18	146:12 151:18	131:8,14,19	until 25:19
transporters	40:17 54:7	151:23,24	133:10 138:17	27:10 37:6
56:21 65:16	74:23 77:7,7	182:2 184:5	138:19 155:20	142:6
116:20	105:10 144:13	types 32:21	160:4 170:18	unusual 18:19
transporter's	150:10 154:13	53:23 57:12	185:4 189:9	un-manifested
16:23	181:13 182:13	103:6 105:18	195:23 206:1	119:23 120:3
transporting	183:12,14,18	117:1 120:5	undermine	120:13 125:2
165:7	184:4 195:15	typical 44:19	112:14	upgraded 87:18
treat 11:13 41:5	trying 19:1,2	48:18	underneath 52:8	upstairs 203:4
41:17 42:16	22:8 30:2,20	typically 14:12	underscoring	upstream
94:11 100:24	32:6 36:18	33:11 34:14	190:2	165:22
treated 41:20	52:6,13 53:15	35:2 36:1	understand	Urbana 186:9
45:1 94:9	57:16,23 67:12	40:22 41:1	17:20 20:19	use 10:8 39:14
151:7	76:23 79:2	42:20 88:7	28:3 33:1	46:21,21 59:23
treating 42:22	87:8 95:20,20	89:5,8 90:17	40:17 42:8	60:1 81:11
42:23 43:13,17	105:16 108:13	103:19 112:8	48:8 67:6,12	89:18 97:15
treatment 45:15	112:13 115:13	137:18 146:9	72:13 79:3	111:24 117:10
45:19 94:3	121:1,17,17	166:11 167:15	86:21 87:6	117:18 121:19
98:3 104:24	125:7 127:9,18	168:13,21	104:9 106:19	124:2 131:4
106:10 145:16	131:22,22,23	170:12 182:15	110:10 118:19	149:10 152:2
145:19 147:7	132:1 138:12	183:4,12 184:6	168:7 169:5	162:23 166:19
152:8 153:13	140:5 157:6,19	15 1 at 1 a 2	180:13 191:1	166:20 167:5
163:2 164:22	171:7 180:11	u Tage U	196:9 198:9	170:15 197:23
198:20	180:15 191:5	ultimately 33:4	199:6,9	206:11,18
tried 68:22	192:11,11	80:10 164:3	understanding	used 1:7 3:14
75:17 105:14	200:17	unable 166:3	50:12,22 51:15	10:11,12,13,16
109:22,23,24	Ts 126:21	unacceptable	102:4 122:15	10:18,24 11:1
110:1 141:6,7	Tuesday 21:18	65:19	205:4	11:1,4,4,14,19
141:8	turn 7:5 30:14	uncertainty	understood	12:8,12,13,17
trouble 57:14	139:24 140:18	21:23	73:15	12:21 13:6,9
200:10	191:8	under 5:17	uniform 82:9	14:1,5,10,12
truck 21:10 37:4	turned 95:12	10:13 14:10	unintentional	14:16,18,19,22
103:8 119:4	two 17:3,17	26:15,18 27:2	127:7	15:3,5 21:6
123:11 131:4	20:20,21 23:18	27:5 29:23	United 159:18	23:21 24:10
152:4 165:6,8	44:17 45:17	30:22 35:20	161:14 195:22	26:17,21,22
165:21 168:12	69:6 88:22	37:1,23 38:10	units 206:7	28:7,11,11
168:16,17	98:14,23 99:2	48:8,15 50:8	universal 81:12	30:1,3,12,15
169:13 181:11	101:10 107:10	51:7 57:16	unless 22:15	30:18,21,22
		60:3 61:14,16		, ,
Fro year Address of Walker Wildows and Company		<u> </u>		

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
33:2,16,21	121:7,9,9,14	181:20,23	variables 36:14	195:6 197:4,24
34:1,2,3,9,15	122:4,4,10,24	182:19 183:6	varied 63:2	200:17 201:6
34:23 35:9,19	122:24 123:7	183:22,24	varies 46:9 55:1	201:22 204:17
35:22 36:3,9	123:10,19,20	184:1,7,8,13	147:12	204:18 206:5
36:18,19,23	123:23 125:14	184:14,17	variety 34:3	209:10 210:13
37:7 38:8 39:6	128:20 129:10	185:3,7 186:23	86:19 169:12	211:4,6,22
39:8,9 40:1,6,7	129:20,24	187:13 190:8,9	various 20:13	212:6 213:23
41:4,4,5,11,15	130:8,9,16,17	198:14 199:4,6	75:13 97:2	215:13,16,18
41:17,18,20	131:7,17,24	199:6,11 204:4	131:1 140:5	215:23
42:1,9,11,20	132:11 133:3,4	204:8,9,12,13	vary 17:23 43:6	veterans 6:23
42:22 43:11	133:10,17	205:15,24	119:12	via 160:17
44:1,7 45:4,5,8	136:8,9,10	206:18,19	vast 147:1	168:17
45:9 46:24	142:19,21	208:9,12,22,24	vault 99:20	Victoria 5:10
47:14,21 48:11	143:3,6,16,22	209:2,11,12,22	vehicle 80:11	view 34:12
48:18 49:21	144:4,6,9	210:2,3,9,16	199:9	39:24 43:12
50:2,10,18	145:1,2,20	210:21 211:14	vehicles 103:6	45:6,11 51:4
51:7 52:20,21	146:10,24	useful 64:19	verb 60:10	87:8 108:13
53:11 54:3	147:19,19,23	173:8	verbally 150:23	109:14 136:6
55:8-56:15,18	148:2 149:4,17	usefully 157:20	194:10	209:15
56:23 58:6	150:18 151:19	USEPA 156:20	verify 70:14	vinegar 106:2
59:22,24 60:1	151:24 152:13	uses 33:4 76:7	119:16 172:16	violate 36:10
62:16,21 64:6	153:24 154:2,3	128:23 137:19	version 134:2	virtually 33:2
64:23 69:5	155:7,10,11,15	using 91:3	versus 20:4 45:3	58:5 137:19
70:1,4 75:3,4	155:16,20,24	118:20 160:18	176:21	189:22
75:20 79:3	156:6,13,20	163:8 199:2	very 4:1,2 7:19	virtue 77:23
85:21 86:5,7	159:14,19,21	206:18	8:6,19 10:3,5	vision 81:2
86:19 87:1,12	160:3,5,17,19	usually 81:20	10:20 11:24	visit 40:24 57:11
87:13,20 88:1	161:3,7,9,10	91:23 144:17	21:21,22 22:17	visiting 118:22
88:11,19 89:2	161:13,14	U.S 143:20,23	25:9 26:11,15	visits 44:3
89:3,5,14,21	162:3,5,9,11	162:8	30:24 31:17	visual 97:5,14
90:1,19,20	162:13 163:1	7	39:3 45:24	vocal 210:5
91:1 92:16,17	163:14,23	<u> </u>	46:11 70:24	voice 32:15
92:20,24 93:16	164:2,11,15,16	vac 152:2,3,4	71:20 79:17	209:21
94:14,20,22,23	165:4,11,13	175:24 181:11	84:14 85:14	volatile 40:10
95:1,8,9,11,16	166:17 167:5,6	vacuum 160:21	87:2 97:19	volume 12:12
96:2,8,19,21	167:7,8,9,10	162:5 169:13	101:15 111:14	30:2
96:23,24 97:24	167:11,14,20	validate 41:14	118:10 126:24	voting 209:20
98:16 99:6,7	167:24 168:3,3	89:10 211:10	127:19 130:2	1 <u>.1.1 = 1.</u>
100:2,22,23,24	168:8,9 169:3	validates 168:1	137:16,16	<u> </u>
101:4,11,12,16	169:18,21	valuable 56:13	139:18 140:18	wait 25:19 154:5
101:20,21	170:3,6,7,11	146:10 169:5	141:14 151:22	wall 4:22
103:24 104:2,4	170:16,17	value 33:6 38:14	152:3 157:13	want 3:4 4:1 8:2
104:11,12,18	172:2,11	45:9 54:13	166:6 173:8	9:22 13:13,18
104:23 105:8	175:20,21	56:10 63:18	174:13 175:1	13:22 15:17
105:19,21	176:1,23	111:3,6,7	181:3 182:3	16:3,5,9,20,20
106:22 107:6,7	177:16,18,23	151:3 152:10	183:9 188:9	16:21,21 17:8
108:4,4 110:20	178:3,4,6	152:12,19	190:10 191:14	17:11 22:7,15
111:2,6 113:7	179:12 180:13	169:6,7	191:14 192:4	28:5,6,13
120:6,7 121:6	180:16,21	values 118:12	193:21 194:12	30:17 41:24
.		variable 164:10		53:17 59:13
186 lenk valer Leiker Dahok heel in version of C. Schriffshild (Fr. 1. Schriffshild (Fr. 1.				

	1			
61:18 64:12,14	wanting 101:15	108:9,10	102:16,22	182:14 183:11
67:5,14,19	wants 8:1 18:12	109:11 110:13	104:10,23,24	184:16,22
74:4 76:18	18:12 28:4	112:21 113:6	145:19 151:7	190:9 197:14
77:10,11,11	61:11 65:8	113:11,12,21	152:8 164:5	197:15 199:16
80:24 81:5,7	77:9 82:18	114:8 115:14	169:11,16	water's 147:17
81:21 83:13	131:10 136:13	116:22 117:12	wastewaters	149:7 150:21
84:15 85:6	140:3,19	118:2,3,7,7,13	85:19	water/oil 115:9
90:7 97:13,23	149:20 152:11	118:16,17,24	water 15:5	waves 30:14
98:1,8,13,23	160:12 181:12	119:10,11,16	38:19,20,21	way 15:1 38:2
100:8 101:3	192:13	119:17 120:9	39:13,17 40:17	39:14 45:4,21
106:13,16,22	wash 39:17	120:12 121:3	41:2 42:16	48:24 73:19
108:22 110:8	wasn't 94:5,6	121:10,24	43:24 44:18,22	90:7 95:24
112:17 114:13	117:12 129:21	122:3,5,7,13	45:4,7,13 47:8	96:7 101:20
115:5 116:11	129:23 153:11	123:8,8,13,15	86:5,10,11,20	103:8 119:2
116:13 117:10	171:18 176:16	124:15,24	86:23 87:4,16	123:1 135:17
119:3 127:2,22	waste 1:6 3:14	125:8 130:10	87:17 88:8,24	136:14 139:11
129:18 130:1	11:7,23 12:10	130:14 131:20	90:12,13,13	153:14 159:22
142:11 148:18	12:14,21 13:5	131:20 136:21	91:14,18,20	160:12 162:24
152:20 154:16	14:2,5 16:5	144:3 145:9,11	92:17 94:8,12	163:8 169:21
154:17 157:16	17:12,14 18:6	145:22 146:16	94:12,16,16	170:9 172:8
157:20 159:4	20:16 22:9	147:10 150:19	95:8,15,24	175:10 198:17
159:22 161:23	27:15 32:21	155:10,12	96:3,4,16,23	204:23
162:18 173:9	33:1,12 34:2,9	156:10,18	97:3,8 98:4,5	ways 51:18 92:8
173:19 174:11	34:13,15,19,23	160:24 161:1,2	98:16,19 99:3	93:13 167:2
174:16,19,21	36:1,8,13,17	162:13 163:18	99:4,6,21,23	198:17
174:23 175:4,6	36:22 37:17,19	166:9,10 167:9	100:3,7,18,23	website 4:21
178:8 184:19	37:20 38:1,3,4	170:18 171:12	101:1,15,17,17	215:1,7
185:11 190:6	39:6,22 41:10	179:24 180:4,6	101:18,24	Wednesday
196:7 197:8	41:10 42:1,13	180:17 183:7	102:21 103:12	214:23
200:6 203:24	44:5 45:2,17	183:14,23	104:2,6,19	week 26:6 54:6
204:2,12 205:1	46:5,6,13,22	185:19 187:4	105:20 106:2	62:20 210:10
205:5 206:2,8	47:2,10,13,17	196:10,19	117:14,15	210:11
207:12 210:17	48:10,17 50:17	197:7,23 198:1	118:20 122:11	weeks 201:4
211:17 213:6	51:14,14 52:9	199:5,10,18	145:14,16,16	weight 12:13
213:15 214:7	52:11,21 56:19	200:3	145:17,20,21	30:2
wanted 7:21	57:5 58:8,10	wastes 33:23	147:1,2,17,19	welcome 3:2
11:16 24:14	59:22,24 60:2	35:1,3 47:7	147:20 148:8	75:13
27:23.32:5,12	60:16 62:16	- 145:3,3 154:1	148:22,23,24	well 7:12 12:23
55:19 72:2,3	63:2,20 66:14	wastewater	149:1,4,4,5	21:16 23:7
72:11,12 73:19	66:15 67:1,16	14:20,20 15:3	151:1,7 152:8	24:7 25:21
74:23 76:16,17	67:22 72:10	15:4 38:13	152:12,15,21	26:4 27:11
79:11 118:23	82:10,10 83:3	41:6 42:24	153:9 156:22	35:23 42:8
119:2 129:7	83:9,10,19	43:3,13 45:15	157:12 160:23	43:5 47:19
136:7 141:19	84:2,4 87:9,11	68:3,3,5 85:18	164:19,20	48:6,22 50:5
158:7,14 163:7	87:22 88:4,23	94:2,3,19,24	165:3 167:8	52:8,18 54:16
171:24 174:17	89:11,14 96:5	96:8,11,20	169:1,4,9,9,19	55:12 57:6
191:22 192:8	96:5,6 101:10	97:8,23 98:3	169:19,22	58:1,4 61:2,24
206:9 211:11	102:5,7,10	98:13 99:10,10	170:13 181:6,8	62:14,15,23,24
211:19 215:12	106:24 107:1,2	99:19 101:7	181:10,15	63:7,8,10,14
. 11				
		ALAST TAXIBASETERIST, WARREN TATAL		

				<u> </u>
65:16 66:2,9	138:22 171:12	24:2,2,7,15	169:16,18	wisdom 210:18
67:3,14,18	were 4:9 6:3	26:20 28:8	170:8 171:5	wish 5:22 8:12
68:2 69:7	13:13 18:15	30:2 31:2 32:7	172:7 184:18	9:4 17:22
70:24 71:2	20:2 21:15	35:8,10 36:19	185:2 188:16	18:20,24 24:22
76:11,21 78:6	22:5 23:2,12	37:11 46:9,11	190:1,4,7	24:24 25:14
79:8 80:1	23:13,14 29:8	46:15 49:18,20	191:4 192:10	70:19 75:15
88:17 90:5	41:15,19 47:12	63:20 64:11,16	194:18,20	140:11 158:18
91:24 92:8	47:14 55:23	65:5,6,7,15	198:9,15	159:7 173:1
96:21 97:1,11	66:4 68:13	66:10,10,11	199:22 204:1,2	177:3 190:20
97:19 99:3	73:1 81:19	69:7,10,12	204:6,8,8,10	193:6 207:4
101:13 102:6,8	98:12 101:14	73:20 75:11,17	205:1,2,12	208:2 213:20
102:9,9,12,24	107:17 109:24	76:14,18,20	207:11,14	wished 203:11
106:21 108:19	110:3 111:8	77:19,20,24	209:14 210:15	wishes 6:7,19
113:8 115:17	121:17 123:19	79:19,20,20,21	we've 26:6 38:6	172:21
115:23 116:18	124:13,14	80:21 83:11,17	40:16 47:7	witness 8:22 9:4
116:22 117:17	127:18,23	85:14 87:8,15	54:5 62:8	23:3 31:16
118:19 120:5	130:8,20	88:4,6,21 89:5	74:21 75:14,16	158:7 172:22
120:19 122:4	132:13 133:18	89:6,9,20,21	76:23 104:7,8	173:17 191:11
123:21 125:3	135:21 136:1	90:2,15 91:3	105:14 124:2	192:19
125:24 127:21	138:17 141:7	91:17,24 93:9	133:15 134:3,5	witnesses 5:21
128:9 129:6,21	152:23 157:7	95:4,6,7,20,21	135:19 137:12	6:2,4,11,13
129:24 131:3	161:9 162:17	96:1,6 98:8,9	144:14 160:1	22:19 23:1,10
132:7,24 134:1	166:5 168:7	98:15,22	171:3 185:21	23:18 25:15
137:4 139:23	175:17 180:7	100:17 101:24	189:20 192:17	141:20,22
140:23 141:3,4	180:10,15	103:9 105:11	195:16 196:14	190:19 204:18
142:10 143:19	187:5 195:17	105:15,17	198:8 205:13	wonder 138:6
144:23 145:11	197:6,21 200:1	109:2,19	208:7 211:24	wondering
153:4,11 154:8	204:7 207:9	112:22 115:20	213:24	129:2
156:14 158:4	weren't 32:6	116:23 118:1,2	whatsoever	wording 67:10
162:13 163:19	41:14 129:10	118:12 120:6	18:15 106:1	67:12,13
167:2 168:23	146:14	120:20 121:2	125:5 132:18	130:18
169:19 170:8	West 1:14 2:18	121:12 125:7	while 81:23	words 64:8
172:6,10	we'll 12:3 29:19	127:9,17,17	103:12 188:17	77:21 80:9
173:23,24	44:14 60:10	128:10 129:15	whole 30:14	95:9 128:23
175:8,21 177:14,24	65:17 77:14 84:23 94:12	131:15,22,23	59:13 113:3	140:7 211:2
177:14,24	108:22 112:12	132:1 135:8,10 135:15 136:14	168:15	wordsmithing
182:1 184:15	139:8 141:13	137:5,14 140:4	willing 20:19 24:19 25:3,12	67:15
187:2 196:13	149:3 150:5	146:23 147:15	25:19 26:2	work 40:9,9,22 48:24 76:24
210:14 213:23	153:15 155:5	151:15 153:2,6	29:3 55:20	86:19 88:22
215:16	157:14 160:8	151.15 155.2,0	68:21 117:21	89:2 92:21
well-developed	168:15 207:1	155:5 157:3,6	126:19,22	100:21 105:9
159:16	209:3	157:18,19	134:7 196:20	108:22 118:20
well-established	we're 11:5,9,21	163:12 164:16	197:21 207:9	126:20,22
37:23 64:2,3	12:10,15,15	164:17,23	207:11,17	120:20,22
112:23	13:12 14:7,22	165:6,11,11	208:4 213:17	134:7 136:4,13
well-written	17:10,15 18:11	166:11 167:19	willingness	142:18 150:14
10:4	20:19 21:19	168:10,11,12	141:15	157:14 162:2
went 83:6 93:15	22:6 23:18	168:13 169:6	wink 57:15,15	166:14 167:21
				100.1110/.21
		·		

				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
177:15 204:2	Y	1 1:4 90:24	216:20	62:10 146:17
211:24	yeah 38:21	100:10 151:22	22nd 5:9 121:15	205:17
worked 15:11	42:15 49:8	1st 1:16 4:4 10:2	220 53:6	500 205:17
77:5 102:24	53:5,7 58:14	71:22 136:10	24th 174:5	5000 56:3,7,12
127:12 161:6	59:14 66:3	190:6 216:19	25 159:14	51 117:14
working 10:5	68:17,19 93:13	1,000 44:16 54:2	191:18	54 163:19
136:15 160:15	94:10 95:21	54:6 55:7	279 10:7,13	55 53:19
213:9	97:19 103:13	1:30 107:12	12:22 14:10	586-9902 2:19
works 83:2 92:8	104:16 109:18	10 45:2 146:24	49:14 51:7	59715 2:19
195:1	112:1 113:17	153:23 154:7	206:1	
world 39:3	122:6 135:16	10th 4:13	29 216:16	6
90:10 119:8	137:15 144:19	10:30 1:17 3:3		6,500 53:20
141:9	145:24 147:12	100 1:14 46:4,6	3	60 214:13
worry 132:19	147:15 148:4	52:11 53:4	30 46:7 51:19	60-day 214:19
worse 209:16	148:10 150:20	147:4 156:3	53:9 160:16	600 175:20
worth 154:22	151:9,13	11 155:14	214:19	60603 216:16
195:8	152:19 153:6	110 50:14	30th 4:10	69 5:16,16 174:9
worthwhile	155:13 161:19	12 23:10,13	30,000 185:11	206:22
10:21	185:9 186:14	175:19,21	187:4,6 188:15	7
worthy 132:20	year 4:4 54:1,2,5	176:2 185:3	189:2,22	772:4
wouldn't 14:15	55:9 62:21	187:3	31 71:22 76:16	739 16:3 23:12
27:8 33:18	100:8 143:4	13 26:12 156:9	33 176:1	26:10,13 27:23
36:1 37:5	145:10 155:24	15 23:10,13 59:1	35 1:7 3:14	29:9,23 50:14
47:23 48:9	162:9 164:10	15th 6:2 22:24	26:18 172:11	61:22 62:2,5
62:1,5 63:4	170:21 176:1	15,000 119:19	35-day 149:2	70:6 72:6,7
71:24 72:3	177:23 180:1	150 54:19	36,000 162:14	78:4,23 80:4,5
88:21 95:16	185:7 188:14	1511 2:18	379 50:20	83:10 97:16,20
109:12 122:19	188:15 212:23	16 76:9	4	128:11,23
124:16,17	years 20:22 55:2	18 178:3	4 67:21 179:11	131:14 138:19
126:2,3 133:8	77:17 103:1	19 70:21,23 71:4	4th 4:10	139:2 144:16
152:12 173:12	159:14,20	71:7	406 2:19	204:13
183:10 184:8	160:6,14 161:8	1978 64:22	46 5:16	739.110 60:3
186:22	161:11 170:22	1980 64:22	47 5:15	95:5 99:9
would've 94:8,9	189:17 198:3	200:2	48 199:2	739.124 58:18
127:12	205:14 211:13	1982 198:2	49 117:14	58:23 59:6,12
write 84:5	212:2,3	1985 9:16 13:1		739.146 75:23
writing 75:18 written 5:20	yellow 21:18	64:23	5	76:10 80:9
		2	5 11:18 128:15	
140:15 191:23 194:5 206:22	\$ 519 20.0 70.11	2 91:1	128:17	8
	\$18 20:8 78:11	2,000 54:1,2	5,000 14:3 56:14	8th 214:23
wrong 49:20 79:5	80:8 176:4,22	55:7	57:7 62:10	80 146:23 198:2
79:5 wrote 71:17	188:15	2,700 187:5,8	63:11 65:9,17	807 108:5,10
WIULE / I.I /	\$3 20:11	20 41:2 45:2	116:7,11,12	109:20 110:2
X	\$600,000 212:23	146:24 211:13	117:12 119:17	124:5
xylene 129:9,9	0	200 53:4 54:19	5-gallon 44:21	808 1:7 3:15
129:17,18	084-004659	200-gallon 44:21	50 12:12 30:1,3	26:19,22 27:2
130:13 132:17	216:17	2008 1:4,16 4:4	30:6,10,23	27:5 28:1,24
133:2,9,18		4:10,10,13 6:2	31:24 52:5	29:13,15 78:3
· , , +	1	174:5 214:23	54:5 55:24	139:1
			· .	

				rage .	20
809 1:7 3:15 16:3 26:19,22 27:3,5 28:1					
29:1,13,15 60:2 72:7 78:4 126:2 138:3,3					
139:1 830 72:4 839 72:4				*	
85 130:17 850 216:16		en s	8		8
86 76:10 9			X	£	
9-040 1:15 90 100:10 146:23 168:17					
181:2 92 13:1 64:23 130:17			8 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
	n			Section 4	
	n n *	5		1 2	
	= =		á	▼ = h &	
	A 1	E 4			
s set t _e set t _e	10.04 11.04			a sing i	
rik ed ^a j rid 22 e ridager	1 S				
Ag iş	B= 1			ed allow 6 of gazelogia edge 6 g	
				e enings i	
	- TAIL -			= -9	
		= % + 2	is I is	erio de la companya d	
			*	2	The second second