

**From:** Mike McCambridge  
**To:** Westefer.Gary@epamail.epa.gov  
**Date:** 7/31/2008 5:58:19 PM  
**Subject:** Re: R07-5, R07-14 and R09-3

R07-5,14=PC9  
R09-3=PC2

**RECEIVED**  
CLERK'S OFFICE

AUG 01 2008

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
Pollution Control Board

Thank you for the corrections. I have marked each for future correction when Parts 721 and 725 open with amendments. I will place a copy of you e-mail in the upcoming R09-3 docket, just to make sure they are done.

The citation to "40 CFR 738" should have appeared as "35 Ill. Adm. Code 738," which is the underground injection segment of the LDRs.

The effective date for all the amendments was July 14, 2008. The publication of the amendments appeared in the August 25, 2008 and September 1, 2008 issues of the Illinois Register. The Secretary of State's office has imposed a 1000-page limit on each issue of the Register, and these amendments exceeded that as published. I can provide the citations for publication if you need them.

Now on to the next task, so I can get around to the next round of HWM amendments.

Michael J. McCambridge  
Attorney  
Illinois Pollution Control Board  
312-814-6924

>>> <Westefer.Gary@epamail.epa.gov> 7/30/2008 10:02 AM >>>

Mike:

Pretty good reg set. I have a few comments, but since none really affect the stringency by creating open holes, nothing that keeps this from going final.

721.133 those loveable lists. I noticed we have errors in the CFR because we gave some of the chemicals in the alphabetic listing a different name in our numeric listing. You caught these and kept the names consistent. Otherwise there are three that I couldn't explain away.

1) Mexacarbate you use P129, we use P128 in both tables. Is there a CFR error here?

2) U055 on page 159 you have Cumeme (l) we have Cumene (l)

3) U227 (page 177) you have U277 79-00-5 Ethane, 1,1,2-trichloro- you have this listed twice

725.176 (page 445) you wrote this same section twice

728.102 (page 643) you cite 40 CFR 738 We don't have a 40 CFR Part 738.

All in all this was a good package.

**From:** <Westefer.Gary@epamail.epa.gov>  
**To:** MCCAMBM@ipcb.state.il.us  
**Date:** 7/30/2008 10:03:06 AM  
**Subject:** R07-5, R07-14

Mike:

Pretty good reg set. I have a few comments, but since none really affect the stringency by creating open holes, nothing that keeps this from going final.

721.133 those loveable lists. I noticed we have errors in the CFR because we gave some of the chemicals in the alphabetic listing a different name in our numeric listing. You caught these and kept the names consistent. Otherwise there are three that I couldn't explain away.

1) Mexacarbate you use P129, we use P128 in both tables. Is there a CFR error here?

2) U055 on page 159 you have Cumeme (I) we have Cumene (I)

3) U227 (page 177) you have U277 79-00-5 Ethane, 1,1,2-trichloro- you have this listed twice

725.176 (page 445) you wrote this same section twice

728.102 (page 643) you cite 40 CFR 738 We don't have a 40 CFR Part 738.

All in all this was a good package.