

RECEIVED  
CLERK'S OFFICE

NOV 23 2015

STATE OF ILLINOIS  
Pollution Control Board

ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD  
November 23, 2015

AMEREN ENERGY GENERATING )  
COMPANY, EDWARDS POWER STATION,) )  
 )  
Petitioner, )  
 )  
v. )  
 )  
ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL )  
PROTECTON AGENCY, )  
 )  
Respondent. )

PCB 06-67  
(CAAPP Permit Appeal – Air)

 ORIGINAL


**HEARING OFFICER ORDER**

On November 19, 2015, all parties participated in a telephonic status conference with the hearing officer. The parties stated that they have negotiated revisions regarding this or other related permit appeals before the Board and continue to make excellent progress on the remaining related appeals. The petitioner stated that it will file an additional waiver of the statutory decision deadline to an including June 16, 2016.

In an effort to assist the Board regarding the status of the above-captioned appeal, the parties are directed to address the attached questions in a filed written response, either jointly or otherwise, on or before December 16, 2015.

The parties or their legal representatives are directed to participate in a telephonic status conference with the hearing officer on December 21, 2015, at 2:00 p.m. Please note the change of date and time. The telephonic status conference must be initiated by the petitioner, but each party is nonetheless responsible for its own appearance. At the status conference, the parties must be prepared to discuss the status of the above-captioned matter and their readiness for hearing.

IT IS SO ORDERED.




Bradley P. Halloran  
Hearing Officer  
Illinois Pollution Control Board  
James R. Thompson Center, Suite 11-500  
100 W. Randolph Street  
Chicago, Illinois 60601  
312.814.8917  
[Brad.Halloran@illinois.gov](mailto:Brad.Halloran@illinois.gov)

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

It is hereby certified that true copies of the foregoing order were e-mailed, on November 23, 2015, to each of the persons on the attached service list.

It is hereby certified that a true copy of the foregoing order was hand delivered to the following on November 23, 2015:

John T. Therriault  
Illinois Pollution Control Board  
James R. Thompson Center  
100 W. Randolph St., Ste. 11-500  
Chicago, Illinois 60601

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Bradley P. Halloran". The signature is written in a cursive style and is positioned above a solid horizontal line.

Bradley P. Halloran  
Hearing Officer  
Illinois Pollution Control Board  
100 W. Randolph Street, Suite 11-500  
Chicago, Illinois 60601  
(312) 814-8917

“@” denotes service list was e-mailed.

PCB 2006-067 @  
Ryan G. Rudich  
Office of the Attorney General  
69 W. Washington Street  
Suite 1800  
Chicago, IL 60602

PCB 2006-067 @  
Andrew N. Sawula  
Schiff Hardin, LLP  
6600 Willis Tower  
233 S. Wacker Drive  
Chicago, IL 60606-6473

PCB 2006-067 @  
Kathleen C. Bassi  
Schiff Hardin, LLP  
6600 Willis Tower  
233 South Wacker Drive  
Chicago, IL 60606-6473

PCB 2006-067 @  
Stephen J. Bonebrake  
Schiff Hardin, LLP  
6600 Willis Tower  
233 South Wacker Drive  
Chicago, IL 60606-6473

PCB 2006-067 @  
Bina Joshi  
Schiff Hardin, LLP  
6600 Willis Tower  
233 South Wacker Drive  
Chicago, IL 60606-6473

## Attachment to Hearing Officer Order

### BOARD QUESTIONS

The Board directs both parties in this case to respond to the following questions:

1. Which permit conditions that were originally challenged have been resolved in settlement negotiations and which ones have not?
2. How are the unresolved permit conditions different from those facilities where the appeal has been resolved, for example, Newton (PCB 06-68)?
3. Has the facility been subject to new operational requirements since this appeal began, for example, state mercury requirements?
4. Do any of those new requirements concern the permit conditions challenged in this appeal? If so, are the new requirements more stringent than the challenged permit conditions?
5. When did the parties to this appeal last meet to discuss settlement?
6. Which party made the last settlement proposal and who is reviewing that proposal? Does the proposal resolve the entire appeal or only one part of the appeal?