

BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF:

CONCENTRATED ANIMAL FEEDING)	
OPERATIONS (CAFOs): PROPOSED)	DOCKET R12-23
AMENDMENTS TO 35 ILLINOIS)	·
ADMINISTRATIVE CODE)	
PARTS 501, 502 AND 504)	

RECEIVED CLERK'S OFFICE

OCT 2 2 2012

STATE OF ILLINOIS Pollution Control Board

Hearing held, pursuant to notice, on Tuesday, October 16, 2012, at 10:00 a.m., at the St. Clair County Courthouse, 10 Public Square, Belleville, Illinois.

Before duly appointed Hearing Officer: $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ TIM FOX

L.A. REPORTING COMPANY, LLC (312)419-92929

REPORTER: SHARON VALERIUS, CSR #084-003349

```
Page 2
     ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD MEMBERS:
           MR. TOM HOLBROOK, Chairman
           DR. DEANNA GLOSSER
     ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD TECHNICAL UNIT:
          MR. ANAND RAO
. 4
     In behalf of Illinois Environmental Protection
 5
     Agency:
          MS. DEBORAH J. WILLIAMS
 6
          MS. JOANNE OLSON and
          MR. DANIEL L. HEACOCK
 7
           1021 North Grand Avenue East
          P.O. Box 19276
 8
           Springfield, Illinois 62794-9276
 9
     In behalf of the Agricultural Coalition:
10
          MS. STEPHANIE HAMMER and MR. JIM KAITSCHAK
11
     In behalf of Environmental Groups:
12
          MS. KIM KNOWLES
          Staff Attorney
13
          1902 Fox Drive, Suite G
          Champaign, Illinois 61820
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

- 1 HEARING OFFICER: Good morning and
- welcome to this Illinois Pollution Control Board
- Hearing. My name is Tim Fox, and I'm the hearing
- officer for this proceeding entitled In The Matter of
- 5 Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations (CAFOs)
- Proposed Amendments to 35 Illinois Administrative
- Code 501, 502, and 504. The Board Docket number for
- 8 this rule-making is R12-23.
- Also present today from the Board are,
- at my immediate left, Board Chairman Tom Holbrook,
- who is the lead Board member for this proceeding.
- And at his left, Board member Dr. Deanna Glosser. I
- also, of course, want to emphasize that at my right
- 14 is from the Board's technical unit, Anand Rao.
- The Environmental Protection Agency
- initiated this docket by filing a rule-making
- 17 proposal on March 1, 2012. And then in an order
- 18 dated March 15 of 2012, the Board accepted that
- proposal for hearing. The first hearing, of course,
- took place on August 21, 2012, in Springfield. A
- hearing officer order had set October 2, 2012, as the
- deadline to pre-file testimony for this second
- 23 hearing today. I do want to note for the record that
- no participant has pre-filed testimony for this

- hearing today.
- However, we do have some other filings,
- 3 and I want to address those in turn. First of all,
- on September 17, 2012, the Agency filed a motion to
- 5 correct the transcript of the first hearing. And
- that listed 13 specific corrections to the 203-page
- ⁷ transcript. The Board has not received any response
- 8 to this motion. Does any participant wish to be
- heard on the motion to correct the first transcript?
- 10 (There was no response.)
- HEARING OFFICER: Neither seeing nor
- hearing any, and after having reviewed the substance
- of that motion, the Agency's September 17 motion to
- correct the transcript is granted, and I will direct
- the Board's clerk to make that clear in the Board's
- docketing information, so that the corrections are
- 17 clear to the participants in this proceeding.
- Secondly, I want to note that on
- 19 September 25 of 2012, the Agricultural Coalition
- filed a motion proposing amendments to the Agency's
- original March 1 rule-making proposal. That motion
- is directed to the Board in its entirety, and
- requests that the Board make changes in proceedings
- to first notice, which is a step that it will not be

- taking until some later date. And consequently,
- there will be no action on that motion by the
- 3 Agricultural Coalition today.
- 4 Third, I do want to stress that on
- October 9, 2012, the Agency filed post-hearing
- 6 comments in response to questions that had been
- raised at the first hearing. I want to acknowledge
- 8 that the Board did receive those and did place those
- ⁹ into the record of its proceeding, and those are
- available through the clerk's office on-line, and I
- wanted to acknowledge the Agency's prompt response to
- that and their helpfulness in completing the record.
- Fourth, also on October 9, 2012, the
- Environmental Groups -- and Ms. Knowles, you're
- representing them once again, I'm certain -- filed a
- motion requesting that the Hearing Officer extend the
- time to respond to the Agricultural Coalition's
- motion that had proposed amendments. Because the
- deadline to respond to that has not yet run, I did
- want to see if any of the participants wished, on the
- record, to respond to that motion here during the
- hearing today. Ms. Williams, I see you nodding, and
- 23 I'll let you respond to that.
- MS. WILLIAMS: Good morning. My name

- is Deborah Williams on behalf of the Illinois
- Environmental Protection Agency. The Agency would
- like to ask the Agricultural Groups if they intend to
- 4 present a witness to be questioned about aspects of
- 5 their proposal at any of the hearings that are coming
- ⁶ up, or if the proposal will just stand on its own.
- HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Kaitschak, if we
- may turn to you to respond to that.
- MS. HAMMER: My name is Stephanie
- Hammer, and I'm here on behalf of the Agricultural
- 11 Coalition. And once we receive the questions, we'll
- be better able to answer whether we will be
- presenting witnesses to respond to them. At this
- time, we're uncertain as to whether we'll have
- witnesses.
- MS. WILLIAMS: Okay. Well, with
- regards specifically to the Environmental Group's
- motion, they request that further action on the
- motion be suspended until after the record is
- closed. In general, I think the Agency is in support
- of that request. It would seem appropriate that
- comments, post-hearing comments addressing the motion
- be taken.
- In addition, I think the Agency's

- position on how we would respond will depend on
- whether there are witnesses where we can flesh out
- the intent and the meaning behind some of the
- 4 proposals. I will be hard for us, in the absence of
- testimony on the proposal, to provide further
- testimony or answer questions or provide detailed
- responses to a proposal which there's been no
- 8 testimony on. So we're going to, I guess, reserve
- ⁹ for how, depending on what questions the Board has
- and what testimony the Agricultural Groups present,
- reserve for later our position on that.
- HEARING OFFICER: Very good. And the
- response deadline, of course, has not run based on
- the filing date, and we can address that if you wish,
- Ms. Williams, before we adjourn today. Mr.
- 16 Kaitschak, I saw you indicating that you wished to
- respond, as well.
- MR. KAITSCHAK: Jim Kaitschak on behalf
- of the Ag Coalition Groups. I guess specifically to
- some of the issues raised by the Agency specifically
- on this is that part of our issue will be in
- determining how to answer the questions and who will
- testify in response to some of the questions that
- will be asked. So if we can get a flavor for what

- those questions will be, then we can better determine
- who to have available.
- HEARING OFFICER: Very good. Let's
- ⁴ reserve ruling on that -- I'm sorry.
- 5 MS. HAMMER: I'd like to add one thing.
- 6 I'd also just like to point out that some of the
- issues that were raised in our filing are legal
- 8 issues. And to the extent that there's legal
- argument going between the parties, we won't need to
- be presenting any witnesses. We won't be presenting
- any lay witnesses to make legal argument. So to that
- extent, there won't be any witnesses on the legal
- ¹³ arguments.
- MS. WILLIAMS: But if the -- I mean, I
- don't -- I think, Mr. Kaitschak, I appreciate your
- attempt to be helpful, but he's sort of putting the
- proceedings backwards from how we've done things
- here. In general we've put testimony in the record
- and then the questions on the testimony. If the
- Hearing Officer wants to set a deadline for pre-filed
- questions and then the groups decide who to present
- as witnesses to answer them, we can consider that, as
- well. But I don't think it's really fair to say we
- should put our questions on the record and then you

- can decide when you want to bring in witnesses to
- 2 answer them.
- MR. KAITSCHAK: Well, I guess --
- MS. WILLIAMS: But Stephanie's point is
- 5 well taken, as well. To the extent there are legal
- issues, we would expect that to be resolved in
- arguments and post-hearing comments after the
- 8 hearings are closed.
- 9 HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Kaitschak, you
- had indicated you had something to say?
- MR. KAITSCHAK: I can appreciate what
- 12 Counsel is saying, but by the same token, our issues
- have been identified in our draft. So as such, if
- the Agency has questions specific to that draft, if
- they can be identified, it's --
- MS. WILLIAMS: Would you like to try to
- answer them today? I'm prepared to ask them of you
- if you're ready to be the witness today.
- MR. KAITSCHAK: It depends on the
- question, ma'am.
- HEARING OFFICER: Very good. I'd like
- to reserve ruling on the motion to extend the
- deadline which was filed by the Environmental
- Coalition. And as I'd indicated in discussing

- procedure before the hearing, the Board itself, on
- behalf of its members, has questions on the motion to
- amend the proposal, some of which are directed either
- 4 to the Agency or to the Coalition or to both for
- ⁵ their responses to those.
- So perhaps after those are read into
- ⁷ the record, clarified to the extent that the
- participants wish them to be clarified, we can
- ⁹ address some of the issues that you've just discussed
- in responding to the Environmental Coalition's motion
- to extend that deadline. But Ms. Knowles, for the
- time being, that motion's response deadline has not
- run, and again, I will, at least for the moment, not
- 14 be ruling on that request for an extension of the
- deadline. Anything further on that issue before I go
- ¹⁶ on?
- MS. KNOWLES: I'm just not clear. What
- is the response deadline for the motion?
- HEARING OFFICER: A motion requesting
- action on the part of the Board or its hearing
- officer triggers a 14-day response deadline, which
- typically is only abbreviated in the case of
- 23 prejudice or undue delay. And in this case, the
- filing triggered a 14-day period, which will expire,

- ¹ I believe, next Tuesday. So there is still an
- opportunity for participants to respond to the
- request that you've filed.
- Moving on then, we did have a fifth
- entry into the docket that I wanted to refer to.
- Also on October 9, 2012, the Board received pre-filed
- testimony for the hearing scheduled on the 23rd in
- 8 Urbana from Dr. Ted Funk. I want only to note that
- ⁹ that is in the record. It's accessible through the
- Board's clerk's office on-line, and I don't intend to
- address his testimony today, as he is expected to be
- available for cross examination and questioning at
- the hearing that's taking place next Tuesday, the
- ¹⁴ 23rd.
- Although, as I had noted, the Board did
- not receive pre-filed testimony for this hearing, I
- do want to note for the record that there's a sheet
- inside the door, at which any person who had not
- pre-filed testimony could indicate that they wished
- to testify here today. Just before the hearing
- began, there was no name on it. So we will have no
- witnesses here today on the basis of either pre-filed
- testimony or appearing today with that testimony.
- The Board, as I mentioned to the

- participants, does have questions based upon the
- 2 Agricultural Coalition's motion to amend the Agency's
- proposal. I'd like to turn to those in just a
- moment, so that they can be, as I said, made part of
- 5 the record and be clarified as necessary by the
- 6 persons to whom they are directed.
- And then finally, after those
- questions, any persons -- Mr. Brinkman, you had
- signed in, indicating that you wished to comment.
- You and any others who appear will be able to do so,
- and we'll have you step up to the front and deliver
- that.
- Just generally, of course, I want to
- 14 note that this proceeding is governed by the Board's
- procedural rules. Under Section 104.426 of those
- rules, all information that is relevant and that is
- not repetitious or privileged will be admitted into
- the record. I do want to note that any questions
- that the Board poses today are intended solely to
- develop a clear and complete record and are not
- intended to indicate any prejudgment or decision on
- the proposal today.
- I would ask, for the benefit of our
- court reporter, I think we'll have very little

- difficulty making one another heard here, but if you
- 2 could avoid talking at the same time as another
- person, we'll have the clearest possible transcript,
- and I know that she will appreciate your help.
- Do we have any other issues to take up
- before the Board raises the questions that it has for
- ⁷ the participants here today?
- 8 (There was no response.)
- 9 HEARING OFFICER: Neither seeing nor
- hearing any, let me begin those. I want to begin
- with the first question, of course. The Agricultural
- 12 Coalition had proposed a new definition for the term
- "waters of the United States" to replace the
- definition of the term "navigable waters," which the
- Agency's proposal had sought to repeal.
- First of all -- and this is directed
- chiefly at the Agency, Ms. Williams -- the
- definitions under Part 501 apply to Parts 501 through
- ¹⁹ 504, and the term "navigable waters," it does appear,
- is used in Section 503.101(b). And the Board would
- like the Agency specifically to clarify whether the
- definition of navigable waters should be retained in
- existing Section 501.325, and if not, whether Section
- 503.101(b) should be opened and amended to replace

- the term "navigable waters" with the definition of
- the term "waters of the United States." That was a
- lengthy question, Ms. Williams. Did I make that
- 4 clear?
- MS. WILLIAMS: Yes.
- 6 HEARING OFFICER: Very good. I also
- would like the Agency to comment on whether the
- 8 definition that had been proposed in the Agricultural
- 9 Coalition's motion is acceptable to the Agency, and
- if not, whether it would be possible to propose an
- alternative definition of that term that would
- 12 reflect the Agency's intent in its proposal. The
- second question, Ms. Williams, whenever you're ready.
- MS. WILLIAMS: Oh, I'm ready. And
- 15 she'll get them. The court reporter will get them
- better than I will in my notes anyway, but go ahead.
- HEARING OFFICER: But I didn't want to
- move on if you wished to clarify what I had asked in
- the first question.
- MS. WILLIAMS: No, I think I
- understand. It's very clear.
- HEARING OFFICER: Very good. Secondly,
- in Section 501.252, the Agricultural Coalition had
- requested that the Board use Iowa criteria for land

- application to frozen ground rather than the
- Wisconsin criteria that the Agency had relied upon in
- drafting its proposal. And the Agricultural
- 4 Coalition's motion, I believe, emphasizes that there
- 5 are perhaps more similarities between Iowa and
- Illinois than there are between Illinois and
- Wisconsin. I think that's a fair summary of the
- ⁸ position that they had expressed.
- 9 What the Board would request is that
- the Agency comment on whether the definition of
- 11 frozen ground that was proposed in the Agricultural
- 12 Coalition's motion is acceptable to the Agency. And
- 13 I do have a follow-up to that.
- MS. WILLIAMS: Go ahead.
- HEARING OFFICER: And I want both,
- frankly the Agency and the Ag Coalition to respond to
- this specific question. The Agency's technical
- support document filed with its original proposal at
- page 39 states a primary concern with the land
- application to frozen ground, i.e. the risk of runoff
- of livestock waste into surface waters. I think
- that's a fair statement of the general intent behind
- that.
- What the Board would like the

- 1 participants to explain is whether that risk or
- potential for livestock waste runoff is significantly
- different between ground that is frozen to a depth of
- one-half inch below the surface and ground that is
- frozen to a depth of two inches below the surface,
- and whether there has been any research or other data
- that could explain the different risks of that runoff
- 8 from those two different scenarios.
- MS. WILLIAMS: Okay. Do you want me to
- hold -- I mean, I think this might be a good point.
- 11 Is that the end of that particular series of
- questions?
- HEARING OFFICER: Yes, that's the end
- of question No. 2.
- MS. WILLIAMS: I think this would be a
- good point for me to explain the Agency's issue with
- the request that we respond in Urbana. You know, I
- 18 don't think it's appropriate to ask the Agency to
- provide a response to this definition where no
- testimony has been presented to support it, no
- opportunity to ask these types of questions of the
- proponent of this counterproposal.
- 23 If no testimony is presented, we
- certainly can address in our comments, or at a

- subsequent hearing, we would be happy then to come
- 2 back and respond after we've had a chance to ask
- 3 questions or hear answers to the Board's questions.
- ⁴ But that's my primary objection to agreeing to
- 5 present new witnesses for these questions in Urbana.
- I don't know if that causes a problem for you, but I
- really want my concerns to be highlighted on the
- 8 record.
- 9 HEARING OFFICER: So noted, Ms.
- Williams.
- MS. WILLIAMS: Okay.
- HEARING OFFICER: And I think that your
- position is clear, and I think it's understood. All
- right. Are we prepared to move on to the third of
- ¹⁵ five questions?
- The Agricultural Coalition had sought
- to change the Agency's definition of the term
- "livestock waste" at Section 501.295, specifically
- by deleting the phrase: "including but not limited
- to sludge and contaminated soils from storage
- structures."
- 22 And I'd like both the Agency and the
- 23 Agricultural Coalition to respond effectively to the
- same question from a different perspective. The

- 1 Agency's intent, first of all, in including those
- elements in the definition of the term, and as
- specifically as they can be, the Agricultural
- 4 Coalition's intent in striking those elements from
- 5 the term.
- And also, I would appreciate if the
- Agency would respond to whether the change as
- 8 proposed by the Agricultural Coalition is acceptable
- ⁹ to the Agency. Any clarification or follow-up I can
- offer?
- MS. WILLIAMS: No, thank you.
- HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Kaitschak?
- MR. KAITSCHAK: Yes, Mr. Fox. It's
- simply in this particular instance, our intention was
- to mirror the federal regulation and incorporate --
- HEARING OFFICER: If you want to
- testify about that, Mr. Kaitschak, we'd want to swear
- you in. Would you like to be sworn in to respond to
- that in the hearing today on the record?
- MR. KAITSCHAK: That's fine.
- HEARING OFFICER: Very good.
- 22 (Mr. Jim Kaitschak was sworn in.)
- HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Kaitschak, thank
- you for letting me interrupt you. You were prepared,

- 1 I think, to respond to the Board's question.
- MR. KAITSCHAK: Yes. Just simply, our
- intention here was to mimic the federal regulation as
- 4 it was discussed and testimony at the initial hearing
- that the Agency's intention was primarily to let the
- 6 federal regulation apply here to the state. The
- ⁷ effort here is to simply carry over and lift the
- ⁸ federal definition to the state regulations, as well,
- ⁹ which we're adding in the last sentence with regard
- to stormwater. That's all it's intended to do and
- provide that level of clarity, which I believe, if I
- recall correctly, that Mr. Sofat indicated in his
- testimony was to do precisely what the federal
- regulation was. So that's the intention.
- HEARING OFFICER: Very good. Thanks,
- Mr. Kaitschak.
- MS. WILLIAMS: Can I ask a follow-up
- question?
- HEARING OFFICER: Please go ahead, Ms.
- Williams.
- JIM KAITSCHAK,
- having been first duly sworn under oath, was examined
- and testified as follows:
- EXAMINATION CONDUCTED BY MS. WILLIAMS:

- Q. Mr. Kaitschak, do you know, one way or
- another, whether the basis for this reference may
- have come from the LMFA?
- 4 A. I think the basis for the vast majority
- 5 of our changes came from the LMFA, so yes.
- ⁶ Q. So my question was whether the
- terminology in the Agency's proposal was intended to
- 8 reference requirements from the LMFA?
- A. I can't speak for the Agency.
- Q. Okay. So you believe that your
- proposal would be more consistent with the LMFA?
- A. I believe our proposal is more
- consistent with the federal regulations as trying to
- mimic the federal change and the CAFO rights that we
- 15 have and lift it here to Illinois.
- Q. What about LMFA?
- A. And the Clean Water Act. I can address
- that later.
- MS. WILLIAMS: Okay.
- HEARING OFFICER: Any further
- questions, Ms. Williams? Any follow-up?
- MS. WILLIAMS: No. I'm sorry. That's
- all I have.
- HEARING OFFICER: Great.

- MS. WILLIAMS: Wait. Oh, I'm sorry. I
- 2 have one other question I should ask.
- HEARING OFFICER: Please go ahead.
- MS. WILLIAMS:
- ⁵ Q. The terminology "livestock waste," the
- 6 definition that we were talking about in this
- ⁷ proposal is the definition of the term "livestock
- 8 waste"; is that correct?
- A. (Nodding head.)
- Q. Can you tell the Board --
- HEARING OFFICER: I'm sorry, Mr.
- 12 Kaitschak. If you can answer orally, thanks very
- 13 much.
- A. Yes. Sorry.
- Q. Can you just tell the Board whether the federal rule uses the term "livestock waste"?
- MR. KAITSCHAK: Let me get back to you
- on that. I mean, we're getting into additional
- questions here, Mr. Fox, that I prefer to have
- additional background information before I respond.
- HEARING OFFICER: We do have three
- hearings on the schedule, and we can address that.
- including the issues that you would raise, Ms.
- Williams.

- MS. WILLIAMS: I think that's fair, but
- I think we should maybe get some confirmation about
- whether they will have witnesses at those hearings to
- answer questions. If this is my only chance to ask,
- that's the reason I'm asking. So it's not been made
- 6 clear to me that I will have another chance.
- HEARING OFFICER: I think that makes
- 8 the most sense. And I appreciate your point. I'm
- 9 not unclear, Ms. Williams. Why don't we go through,
- there are two more questions that the Board has, and
- perhaps it will be most helpful to get all of those
- into the record and clarified to the extent that we
- can, and then we can take up some of the procedural
- issues. But did I see a --
- MS. HAMMER: I just wanted to state for
- the record that we will have legal counsel prepared
- 17 to address the legal arguments that are -- we're
- getting into some legal argument here, and we'll have
- counsel prepared, and we'll do legal briefing as to
- legal arguments.
- HEARING OFFICER: Very good, very
- good. Thank you. Why don't we turn to the fourth
- question, if you're prepared for those.
- MS. WILLIAMS: Oh, absolutely.

- HEARING OFFICER: Great. Did you need
- ² a moment, Ms. Williams?
- MS. WILLIAMS: No. I'm fine.
- 4 HEARING OFFICER: Okay. I note that
- the Agricultural Coalition has proposed the addition
- of a new Section 502.107 to address non-discharging
- ⁷ CAFOs. And that proposed new section in the motion
- 8 sets forth that an NPDES permit is not required for a
- ⁹ facility which is not discharging or has not received
- 10 livestock.
- First of all, and this is directed
- chiefly to the Agency, if the Agency would respond
- whether that proposed new section that appears in the
- Agricultural Coalition's motion reflects the Agency's
- intent to require NPDES permits for CAFOs that
- discharge into the waters of the United States.
- MS. WILLIAMS: Can you repeat that?
- HEARING OFFICER: Yes, absolutely so.
- 19 If the Agency would respond whether the proposed new
- Section 502.107 in the Agricultural Coalition's
- motion reflects the Agency's intent to require NPDES
- permits for CAFOs that discharge pollutants into
- waters of the United States.
- MS. WILLIAMS: So the Board is asking

- the agency to interpret the Agricultural Group's
- ² proposal and whether it meets our intent in our
- 3 proposal?
- 4 HEARING OFFICER: Correct.
- MS. WILLIAMS: Okay.
- MR. RAO: Basically whether it's
- ⁷ acceptable to you.
- MS. WILLIAMS: Okay. That I
- ⁹ understand.
- HEARING OFFICER: The practical voice
- has spoken more clearly than mine. And then
- secondly, to clarify whether an NPDES permit is
- required only if a discharge is ongoing, and if so,
- how intermittent discharges would be addressed under
- a section such as that proposed by the Agricultural
- 16 Coalition.
- MS. OLSON: Can you repeat that?
- HEARING OFFICER: Yes. Clarify whether
- an NPDES permit is required only if the discharge is
- ongoing, and if so, explain how intermittent
- discharges would be addressed under the proposed
- Section 502.107 that is offered in the Agricultural
- 23 Coalition's motion.
- MS. HAMMER: I'm not sure if it's

- clear. I just wanted to set forth that the proposed
- language is -- I think that we're on the same page
- 3 here on the part that we're dealing with, but the
- 4 proposed languages pertain to CAFOs that are not yet
- 5 constructed and don't have animals. So to that
- 6 extent, we're not dealing with animal involvement.
- 7 So there's no CAFOs essentially is the point that
- we're setting forth with that part of the definition,
- 9 so I just wanted to be clear about that.
- MS. WILLIAMS: You mean for the whole
- section or just for the last phrase?
- MS. OLSON: Would it be helpful to read
- what they propose into the record?
- HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Olson, I think
- that sounds like a good idea, and I have a copy of
- the motion here. And Mr. Kaitschak, I'm sure you'll
- correct me if I'm mistaken, but page No. 6 of the
- Agricultural Coalition's motion requested the
- addition of a new Section 502.107, providing in its
- entirety that "No NPDES CAFO permit shall be required
- for any facility which is not discharging or has not
- yet received livestock."
- MR. KAITSCHAK: (Nodding head.)
- MS. WILLIAMS: Okay. So Ms. Hammer,

- 1 would you agree that part of that definition does
- also, or part of that proposal also refers to
- facilities with animals; correct?
- 4 HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Kaitschak has
- been sworn. Are you the appropriate witness to
- ferespond to that question?
- MS. HAMMER: I think this is getting
- back into the questions that we'd like to take back
- ⁹ and consult or at least see in writing or deal with
- after we come together and discuss, in order to put
- 11 forth a clear answer.
- MS. WILLIAMS: And I will just say
- again on the record, the Agency is not prepared to
- present additional testimony or respond to the
- Board's questions on these issues until we have a
- chance to flesh out the meaning from the Agricultural
- Groups of what it is they would like the Board to
- consider. Then we would be more than happy to
- respond at that point.
- HEARING OFFICER: So noted, Ms.
- Williams. And then the Board has one fifth and final
- question. And I'll use Mr. Rao's terminology, I
- think, to clarify. Please comment on whether the
- 24 Agricultural Coalition's proposed changes to the

- nutrient management plan requirements for unpermitted
- large CAFOs is acceptable to the Agency.
- And in this regard, if the Agency would
- 4 comment on whether the nutrient management plan
- ⁵ requirements that are applicable to unpermitted large
- 6 CAFOs are identical, the extent to which they are
- identical to those under the Livestock Management
- ⁸ Facilities Act Regulations at Part 8, Illinois
- ⁹ Administrative Code 900.
- MS. WILLIAMS: Thank you. I got that.
- One thing I can say about this on the record at this
- time, also, is that I have reviewed guickly Mr.
- Funk's testimony for Urbana, and I think he does get
- into this topic a little bit, and I think he will
- actually be a very helpful technical witness for us
- to ask him some questions that will help the Agency
- come to a position which we don't at this time
- necessarily have on the proposal. So hopefully after
- Urbana, we can go back and consider the Board's
- question at that time, if that's acceptable to you.
- HEARING OFFICER: That actually comes
- to the end of the Board's questions. So our intent
- was to get those into the record, get the scope of
- them established for you. And having exhausted

- those, I hope that we have done so.
- I had posted sheets on which
- individuals could indicate that they wished either to
- testify here today or offer a comment. I do notice
- 5 that we've had some people arrive after the hearing
- 6 began this morning. I mention those only so that if
- you did arrive after the hearing began, you knew that
- 8 those were there and could indicate that you wished
- either to testify or offer a comment.
- MS. WILLIAMS: Mr. Hearing Officer,
- these folks are some of our field staff, if you'd
- 12 like them to introduce themselves to the Board.
- HEARING OFFICER: I think that would be
- 14 fine, Ms. Williams. Let's have them do that.
- MR. BRIAN RODELY: I'm Brian Rodely.
- 16 I'm with Illinois EPA, Marion Regional Office.
- MS. WILLIAMS: Can you spell your name,
- Brian, for the court reporter.
- MR. BRIAN RODELY: Brian, B-R-I-A-N,
- last name R-O-D-E-L-Y.
- MR. JOE STITELY: I'm Joe Stitely, and
- I'm with Illinois EPA out of our Marion Regional
- Office. Last name is spelled S-T-I-T-E-L-Y.
- MR. BRUCE RODELY: I'm Bruce Rodely out

- of Marion Regional Office, and we are brothers. Last
- name is spelled R-O-D-E-L-Y.
- HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Ms. Williams,
- 4 thanks for introducing them. Gentlemen, it looks as
- if you are not interested in testifying or offering a
- 6 comment today, but we're monitoring our course here.
- Seeing that that's the case, we can move on in just a
- 8 moment.
- 9 Mr. Rao has reminded me that I had
- overlooked one question, and I apologize for
- reopening that for a sixth, Ms. Williams. I don't
- get better at remembering things, I'm afraid. One of
- the issues that the motion to amend the Agency's
- proposal had raised was the possibility of the appeal
- of an Agency determination that a facility is a
- 16 CAFO.
- And I wanted to ask the Agency to
- respond to that, particularly with regard to this
- hypothetical. If the designation is not appealable
- and triggers, in effect, an obligation to -- or I
- shouldn't say obligation; please strike that --
- triggers a desire to apply for a permit, a CAFO NPDES
- permit to avoid enforcement for failure to have one
- that the Agency believes is necessary. And if that

- permit is issued exactly as it is applied for, does
- that mean, under Section 40 of the Act, which allows
- for appeal of a denial or a grant with conditions,
- 4 that that issuance of a permit is essentially
- ⁵ unappealable?
- MS. OLSON: Do you mean unappealable?
- HEARING OFFICER: I beg your pardon?
- MS. OLSON: I'm sorry. I didn't hear
- ⁹ you. Did you say unappealable?
- HEARING OFFICER: Unappealable, yes,
- whether the appeal rights under Section 40 would not
- apply to a permit that was granted precisely as it
- was applied for.
- MS. WILLIAMS: Can you just walk
- through the fact pieces of your hypothetical?
- HEARING OFFICER: Yes. I realize that
- 17 I was not perfectly clear. The Agency issues a
- determination that a facility is a CAFO, and that in
- order to avoid an enforcement case based upon failure
- to have a permit that the Agency believes is
- required, a facility applies for a permit and
- receives it just as it is requested or applied for.
- And if that occurs, acknowledging that
- that's a hypothetical, are they left without appeal

- rights under Section 40 that addresses the denial or
- the grant of a permit with conditions? And forgive
- 3 me for adding No. 6. I apologize that I moved on a
- ⁴ little too quickly.
- MS. WILLIAMS: That's okay. Thank
- ⁶ you.
- HEARING OFFICER: That exhausts the
- Board's questions. Do the participants want a moment
- or two? I notice that they're communicating amongst
- themselves a bit.
- MS. WILLIAMS: I'm sorry. Do we want
- more time?
- HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Williams, I
- notice that the Ag Coalition and the Agency were
- speaking with themselves. If you'd like to take a
- break or just hold for a moment, we can let you do
- that before we move on.
- MS. WILLIAMS: Can we go off the record
- just for a couple of minutes?
- HEARING OFFICER: Yes, if we can go off
- the record. Thanks very much.
- (A break was taken.)
- HEARING OFFICER: The Agricultural
- 24 Coalition has indicated that in response to the

- Board's sixth question relating to designation of
- ² CAFOs, that you had some follow-up. Am I correct on
- 3 that?
- MS. HAMMER: Yes. I just have one area
- of clarification, that we thought it might be going
- 6 down a road that we were not sending everyone down
- with this proposal. We're not addressing a question
- 8 of whether something is a CAFO or is not a CAFO. The
- 9 question regarding the appeal rights would be once a
- 10 CAFO must apply for a permit, not the designation in
- the first place whether it's a CAFO.
- MS. WILLIAMS: So you're not asking for
- appeal rights for the designation process, or you
- 14 are?
- HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Kaitschak, did
- you want to --
- MR. KAITSCHAK: Just because, based on
- the rules as they've been laid out to this point,
- just because something is a CAFO does not necessarily
- mean it has to have a permit.
- MS. WILLIAMS: Okay.
- MR. KAITSCHAK: So our issue is
- specifically related to clarifying that those farms
- that would need to have a permit and an appeal

- process that would be corresponding to those, once
- they're designated to have a permit requested.
- That's specifically what the issue's trying to
- 4 address.
- MS. WILLIAMS: So are you -- can I ask
- ⁶ a clarifying question?
- HEARING OFFICER: Please go ahead, Ms.
- 8 Williams.
- 9 MS. WILLIAMS: I'm not sure I -- I'm
- just really not sure I'm understanding, so let me
- just -- this is purely for my benefit and hopefully
- the Board's. Are you saying that the concern is that
- once a CAFO is designated, that the Agency not then
- 14 require them to get a permit? That it's okay to be
- designated without appeal rights, but if we say
- you're designated and then you must apply for a
- permit, that you're looking for appeal rights at that
- point?
- MR. KAITSCHAK: The question that we
- raise is specifically related to whether or not a
- farm needs to have a permit. And if you are saying
- that they need to have a permit, what's their appeal
- rights according to that requirement that you're
- addressing to them?

- MS. WILLIAMS: So it's not the
- designation itself that you're concerned about; it's
- 3 appealing?
- MR. KAITSCHAK: It's whether or not
- 5 they need to have a permit.
- MS. WILLIAMS: Thank you.
- HEARING OFFICER: Ms. Williams, any
- 8 further clarification?
- 9 MS. WILLIAMS: One thing I will just
- say again related to the issue of the Agency's
- ability to respond to the Board's questions. This,
- of all the issues raised in the motion, I think is
- one that we're going to need to consult with USEPA
- about their feelings on the language. So it probably
- will take us longer to get back on this issue than
- some of the others. But we certainly will get back
- with a position as soon as we're able to.
- HEARING OFFICER: So noted, Ms.
- Williams. Thank you. Anything further on the part
- of the Agricultural Coalition? Very well. As we had
- spoken about procedures in going off the record, Mr.
- Brinkman, we've come to a point at which we can take
- your comment into the record. We've got a podium
- here and a microphone, which will help broadcast your

- voice. And if the court reporter's ready, we can
- have you begin, sir.
- MR. GERALD BRINKMAN: Okay. Thank you
- 4 very much. I appreciate the Pollution Control Board
- ⁵ giving me the opportunity to speak here. Obviously,
- everything that's been told before is way outside my
- ⁷ league. My name is Gerald Brinkman. I'm a farmer
- from Carlyle, Illinois. I farm about 1,800 acres of
- ⁹ corn, soybeans, and wheat with my brother. In the
- past, my farming operations had a feed lot that
- 11 finished out hogs, a farrow to finish operation. At
- one time, we had sheep, and my dad even had chickens
- when I was real little.
- Right now the extent of my livestock
- operation is a small cow/calf herd that I started a
- couple of years ago with my daughter for a 4-H and
- FFA project, which means that once again I'm
- interested in issues like this.
- I serve on the Illinois Farm Bureau
- Board of Directors. I have for the last eight
- years. Farm Bureau is the largest farm organization
- in the state, with about 80,000 members. I also
- represent their interest on the Prairie Farms Board
- of Directors. Farmers have a commitment to

- environmental responsibility. We've done this
- ² forever.
- Years ago, we started doing a lot of
- 4 things on our own to earn checkoff activities and
- ⁵ research at college, as we're learning new and better
- ways to do this. Best management practices, soil and
- water conservation, and a new word that's come out in
- 8 recent years called sustainability. I realize that
- ⁹ means different things to different people, but to
- me, it means being able to continue to farm and leave
- my land in a better condition than I did in the past,
- and also to produce more from that land with less
- inputs. And I think that's very important.
- 14 As a livestock farmer, I know I'm a
- member of the community, and I've got to be a good
- neighbor to everybody else. If they don't like the
- odor or if my livestock gets out, that's going to
- cause problems, so I certainly try to take care of
- that. But I think I've got a pretty strong track
- record on doing that. When I haul manure, I try to
- do responsible things, spread away from anybody's
- house and do it, incorporate it as soon as possible.
- I realize that we're held to a zero discharge
- situation, and I certainly understand the reason for

- ¹ that.
- Grain farming and livestock farming go
- together. We raise feed for ourselves. We also sell
- 4 corn and hay and stuff to other farmers, too. And we
- ⁵ need regulations that make sense and that are
- economically reasonable. My daughter and I, we've
- got eight cow breeding females. That's not very
- 8 much. We can't spend a whole lot of money trying to
- 9 put in some big expensive system, because we just
- don't have the numbers. And everybody seems to like
- to see small farmers. Well, those small farmers have
- to be able to do something that makes sense and that
- we can understand.
- I also represent Prairie Farms Dairy,
- and there's a lot of dairy farmers in this state that
- are what I would consider small farmers. They milk
- 17 50 to 100 cows twice a day every day. And if
- something, if new regulations come in that are too
- expensive or don't make common sense, you know, a lot
- 20 of times the first thing you think about is maybe I
- just need to get out of this. And I don't think
- that's what we as society want. We need those
- people, and we need what they produce.
- Livestock is a significant economic

- driver in Illinois, about 5 percent of our economy
- and about \$27 billion. It provides income for grain
- farmers and business for grain farmers, ethanol and
- biodiesel plants, feed mills, meat processors, dairy
- 5 processors, and related businesses.
- I would like to hope that the Board
- could have a rule regarding NPDES permits for CAFOs
- 8 that would mirror the federal policy and respect the
- 9 Livestock Management Facility Act standards. That
- was something that I can remember being part of that
- was implemented, and I think it's been good for
- agriculture, and it's helped us be more responsible
- and sustainable in the way we produce.
- So in closing, I would hope that the
- rule could be reasonable, economically feasible, and
- parallel the federal policy, something that's
- cost-effective and something that makes common
- sense. Thank you very much.
- HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Brinkman, thank
- you for your comment, which is appreciated, and of
- course, is now in our record today. I do again see
- the sign-in sheets for both witnesses and commenters,
- and I see no additional names, nor has anyone else
- appeared. So for the record, I think we have wrapped

- up all of the comments with Mr. Brinkman's, and
- ² again, we thank him for that.
- I think we have come to the point where
- we would most profitably go off the record to talk
- 5 about procedural issues pertaining to the next couple
- of hearings. Was there anything that the
- participants wanted to take care of on the record
- 8 before we do that?
- 9 (There was no response.)
- HEARING OFFICER: Not seeing any
- indication that they do, why don't we go off the
- record then for a moment or two.
- 13 (Discussion held off the record.)
- HEARING OFFICER: The participants did
- go off the record to discuss procedural issues
- briefly, specifically with regard to the upcoming
- schedule of hearings and the order of proceedings at
- them. The Board is appreciative that Mr. Kaitschak,
- on behalf of the Agricultural Coalition, will make
- available at the scheduled hearing next week, the
- 23rd in Urbana, a witness or witnesses, as they see
- fit, to respond to questions raised by their motion
- to amend the Agency's proposal.
- And I know the Board appreciates your

```
help in developing a clear and complete record for
```

- decision on that motion language, and that decision
- is, of course, requested before the Board goes to
- first notice after the conclusion of the hearings.
- Ms. Williams, on behalf of the Agency,
- 6 had indicated that to the extent they have a response
- to the testimony in support of that motion, that the
- 8 Agency's witnesses would be available at the now
- 9 scheduled hearing in November in Jo Daviess County to
- offer their testimony in response to it.
- And Ms. Knowles, as well, had indicated
- that the Environmental Coalition is today filing for
- the hearing in DeKalb on October 30 testimony in
- support of its witnesses and its own proposal to
- amend the Agency's original rule-making proposal.
- And I again appreciate the information
- that you shared and your flexibility in doing so. As
- I stressed, I think that will help all of the
- participants and the Board make the best use of the
- time available at the five hearings that we have
- scheduled. Do we have any questions about that
- before we move on to a quick housekeeping issue?
- (There was no response.)
- HEARING OFFICER: Great. I do want to

- note that under Section 27(b) of the Environmental
- 2 Protection Act, the Board must request that the
- Department of Commerce and Economic Opportunity, or
- DCEO, conduct an economic study of proposed rules
- before the Board adopts rules. The Board then must
- either make that economic impact study or the
- department's explanation for not conducting one
- available to the public at least 20 days before the
- 9 hearing.
- In a letter of March 2012, the Board's
- chairman, Tom Holbrook, requested the DCEO conduct an
- economic study of this Agency rule-making proposal.
- The Board specifically requested a response, but to
- date, has not received one. Is there anyone who
- would like to testify regarding the Board's request
- for a study and DCEO's lack of response to date?
- 17 (There was no response.)
- HEARING OFFICER: Neither seeing nor
- hearing any, I do want to note that no additional
- persons have appeared and that we have exhausted the
- comments that participants sought to offer.
- The third hearing in this docket will
- take place exactly as scheduled, on Tuesday, October
- 23, in Urbana. And the clerk's office on-line

```
includes the hearing officer order that set that. It
```

- includes the building name, address, and I believe
- even parking information. The deadline to pre-file
- 4 testimony for that hearing passed on October 9, and
- 5 as I noted for the record earlier in this hearing,
- the Board has received timely pre-filed testimony by
- Dr. Ted Funk of the University Extension Service.
- The fourth hearing will take place
- ⁹ again as scheduled, on Tuesday, October 30, in
- DeKalb, and the deadline to pre-file testimony for
- that is today, October 16. Those filings with the
- Board, whether paper or electronic, must also be
- served on the hearing officer and participants on the
- service list. And you can please check with the
- 15 Board's clerk to ensure that you have the most
- current version of that list. Are there any
- questions about procedural aspects of the
- 18 rule-making?
- 19 (There was no response.)
- HEARING OFFICER: Neither seeing nor
- hearing any, is there any reason not to adjourn at
- this point?
- (There was no response.)
- HEARING OFFICER: I'm clearly not

Page 43

```
seeing nor hearing any reason not to adjourn.
                                                       Let me
     thank all of those of you who have participated and
 3
     helped the Board develop a clear record for this and
     for your comments, as well. We are adjourned, and I
     suspect that we will see many, if not all of you, one
 6
     week from today in Urbana. Thanks very much.
 7
                     (The proceedings concluded at
                     11:05 a.m.)
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
```

```
Page 44
 1
     STATE OF ILLINOIS )
 3
     COUNTY OF JACKSON )
 5
 6
                I, Sharon Valerius, a Freelance Court
     Reporter for the State of Illinois, do hereby certify
     that I reported in machine shorthand the hearing
     before the Illinois Pollution Control Board held on
10
     October 16, 2012, at 10:00 a.m., at the St. Clair
     County Courthouse, 10 Public Square, Belleville,
11
     Illinois; that I thereafter caused the foregoing to
     be transcribed into computer-aided transcription,
13
14
     which I hereby certify to be a true and accurate
15
     transcript of the same.
16
17
               Dated this 22nd day of October, 2012.
18
19
20
21
22
23
                               FREELANCE COURT REPORTER
24
```

		1	1	1
<u>A</u>	Administrative 1:5	Although 11:15	argument 8:9,11	Belleville 1:12
abbreviated 10:22	3:6 27:9	amend 10:3 12:2	22:18	44:11
ability 34:11	admitted 12:17	29:13 39:23 40:15	arguments 8:13 9:7	below 16:4,5
able 6:12 12:10	adopts 41:5	amended 13:24	22:17,20	benefit 12:23 33:11
34:17 36:10 37:12	afraid 29:12	amendments 1:5	arrive 28:5,7	best 36:6 40:19
about 6:4 18:17	after 4:12 6:19 9:7	3:6 4:20 5:18	asked 7:24 14:18	better 6:12 8:1
20:16 21:6 22:2	10:6 12:7 17:2	amongst 31:9	asking 22:5 23:24	14:16 29:12 36:5
25:9 27:11 34:2	26:10 27:18 28:5	Anand 2:3 3:14	32:12	36:11
34:14,21 35:8,22	28:7 40:4	animal 1:4 3:5 25:6	aspects 6:4 42:17	between 8:9 15:5,6
37:20 38:1,2 39:5	Ag 7:19 15:16	animals 25:5 26:3	attempt 8:16	16:3
40:21 42:17	31:14	another 13:1,2 20:2	Attorney 2:12	big 37:9
absence 7:4	again 5:15 10:13	22:6	August 3:20	billion 38:2
absolutely 22:24	26:13 34:10 35:17	answer 6:12 7:6,22	available 5:10 8:2	biodiesel 38:4
23:18	38:21 39:2 40:16	8:22 9:2,17 21:12	11:12 39:20 40:8	bit 27:14 31:10
acceptable 14:9	42:9	22:4 26:11	40:20 41:8	Board 1:2 2:1,3 3:2
15:12 18:8 24:7	agency 2:5 3:15 4:4	answers 17:3	Avenue 2:7	3:7,9,10,11,12,18
27:2,20	5:5 6:2,2,20 7:20	anybody's 36:21	avoid 13:2 29:23	4:7,22,23 5:8 7:9
accepted 3:18	9:14 10:4 13:17	anyone 38:23 41:14	30:19	10:1,20 11:6,15
accessible 11:9	13:21 14:7,9 15:2	anything 10:15	away 36:21	11:24 12:19 13:6
according 33:23	15:10,12,16 16:18	34:19 39:6	a.m 1:11 43:8 44:10	13:20 14:24 15:9
accurate 44:14	17:22 18:7,9 20:9	anyway 14:16		15:24 21:10,15
acknowledge 5:7	23:12,12,19 24:1	apologize 29:10	<u>B</u>	22:10 23:24 26:17
5:11	26:13 27:2,3,16	31:3	back 17:2 21:17	26:21 28:12 35:4
acknowledging	29:15,17,24 30:17	appeal 29:14 30:3	26:8,8 27:19	35:20,23 38:6
30:23	30:20 31:14 33:13	30:11,24 32:9,13	34:15,16	39:18,24 40:3,19
acres 35:8	40:5 41:12	32:24 33:15,17,22	background 21:20	41:2,5,5,13 42:6
Act 20:17 27:8 30:2	Agency's 4:13,20	appealable 29:19	backwards 8:17	42:12 43:3 44:9
38:9 41:2	5:11 6:24 12:2	appealing 34:3	based 7:13 12:1	Board's 3:14 4:15
action 5:2 6:18	13:15 14:12 15:17	appear 12:10 13:19	30:19 32:17	4:15 11:10 12:14
10:20	16:16 17:17 18:1	appeared 38:24	Basically 24:6	17:3 19:1 26:15
activities 36:4	19:5 20:7 23:14	41:20	basis 11:22 20:2,4	27:19,22 31:8
actually 27:15,21	23:21 29:13 34:10	appearing 11:23	before 1:1,13 7:15	32:1 33:12 34:11
add 8:5	39:23 40:8,15	appears 23:13	10:1,15 11:20	41:10,15 42:15
adding 19:9 31:3	ago 35:16 36:3	applicable 27:5	13:6 21:20 31:17	both 10:4 15:15
addition 6:24 23:5	agree 26:1	application 15:1,20	35:6 39:8 40:3,22	17:22 38:22
25:19	agreeing 17:4	applied 30:1,13,22	41:5,8 44:9	Box 2:7
additional 21:18,20	Agricultural 2:9	applies 30:21	beg 30:7	break 31:16,22
26:14 38:23 41:19	4:19 5:3,17 6:3,10	apply 13:18 19:6	began 11:21 28:6,7	breeding 37:7
address 4:3 7:14	7:10 12:2 13:11	29:22 30:12 32:10	begin 13:10,10 35:2	Brian 28:15,15,18
10:9 11:11 16:24	14:8,23 15:3,11	33:16	behalf 2:4,9,11 6:1	28:19,19
20:17 21:22 22:17	17:16,23 18:3,8	appointed 1:13	6:10 7:18 10:2	briefing 22:19
23:6 33:4 42:2	23:5,14,20 24:1	appreciate 8:15	39:19 40:5	briefly 39:16
addressed 24:14,21	24:15,22 25:18	9:11 13:4 18:6	behind 7:3 15:22	bring 9:1
addresses 31:1	26:16,24 31:23	22:8 35:4 40:16	being 10:12 36:10 38:10	Brinkman 12:8
addressing 6:22	34:20 39:19	appreciated 38:20	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	34:22 35:3,7
32:7 33:24	agriculture 38:12	appreciates 39:24	believe 11:1 15:4	38:19
adjourn 7:15 42:21	ahead 14:16 15:14	appreciative 39:18	19:11 20:10,12 42:2	Brinkman's 39:1
43:1	19:19 21:3 33:7	appropriate 6:21	42:2 believes 29:24	broadcast 34:24
adjourned 43:4	allows 30:2	16:18 26:5	30:20	brother 35:9
	alternative 14:11	area 32:4	30.20	brothers 29:1

	r		1	
Bruce 28:24,24	33:6	complete 12:20	39:5	42:10
building 42:2	clarity 19:11	40:1	course 3:13,19 7:13	delay 10:23
Bureau 35:19,21	Clean 20:17	completing 5:12	12:13 13:11 29:6	deleting 17:19
business 38:3	clear 4:15,17 10:17	computer-aided	38:21 40:3	deliver 12:11
businesses 38:5	12:20 14:4,21	44:13	court 12:24 14:15	denial 30:3 31:1
B-R-I-A-N 28:19	17:13 22:6 25:1,9	Concentrated 1:4	28:18 35:1 44:6	Department 41:3
~	26:11 30:17 40:1	3:5	44:23	department's 41:7
<u>C</u>	43:3	concern 15:19	Courthouse 1:12	depend 7:1
CAFO 20:14 25:20	clearest 13:3	33:12	44:11	depending 7:9
29:16,22 30:18	clearly 24:11 42:24	concerned 34:2	cow 37:7	depends 9:19
32:8,8,10,11,19	clerk 4:15 42:15	concerns 17:7	cows 37:17	depth 16:3,5
33:13	clerk's 5:10 11:10	concluded 43:7	cow/calf 35:15	designated 33:2,13
CAFOs 1:4 3:5	41:24	conclusion 40:4	criteria 14:24 15:2	33:15,16
23:7,15,22 25:4,7	closed 6:20 9:8	condition 36:11	cross 11:12	designation 29:19
27:2,6 32:2 38:7	closing 38:14	conditions 30:3	CSR 1:24	32:1,10,13 34:2
called 36:8	Coalition 2:9 4:19	31:2	current 42:16	desire 29:22
came 20:5	5:3 6:11 7:19	conduct 41:4,11	Alle Laboratoria de la constanti de la constan	detailed 7:6
care 36:18 39:7	9:24 10:4 13:12	CONDUCTED	D	determination
Carlyle 35:8	14:23 15:16 17:16	19:24	dad 35:12	29:15 30:18
carry 19:7	17:23 18:8 23:5	conducting 41:7	dairy 37:14,15 38:4	determine 8:1
case 10:22,23 29:7	24:16 31:14,24	confirmation 22:2	DANIEL 2:6	determining 7:22
30:19	34:20 39:19 40:12	consequently 5:1	data 16:6	develop 12:20 43:3
cause 36:18	Coalition's 5:17	conservation 36:7	date 5:1 7:14 41:14	developing 40:1
caused 44:12	10:10 12:2 14:9	consider 8:22 26:18	41:16	different 16:3,7,8
causes 17:6	15:4,12 18:4	27:19 37:16	dated 3:18 44:17	17:24 36:9,9
certain 5:15	23:14,20 24:23	consistent 20:11,13	daughter 35:16	difficulty 13:1
certainly 16:24	25:18 26:24	constructed 25:5	37:6	direct 4:14
34:16 36:18,24	Code 1:5 3:7 27:9	consult 26:9 34:13	Daviess 40:9	directed 4:22 10:3
certify 44:7,14	college 36:5	contaminated	day 37:17,17 44:17	12:6 13:16 23:11
chairman 2:1 3:10	come 17:1 20:3	17:20	days 41:8	Directors 35:20,24
41:11	26:10 27:17 34:22	continue 36:10	DCEO 41:4,11	discharge 23:16,22
Champaign 2:13	36:7 37:18 39:3	Control 1:2 2:1,3	DCEO's 41:16	24:13,19 36:23
chance 17:2 22:4,6	comes 27:21	3:2 35:4 44:9	deadline 3:22 5:19	discharges 24:14
26:16	coming 6:5	copy 25:15	7:13 8:20 9:23	24:21
change 17:17 18:7	comment 12:9 14:7	corn 35:9 37:4	10:11,12,15,18,21	discharging 23:9
20:14	15:10 26:23 27:4	correct 4:5,9,14	42:3,10	25:21
changes 4:23 20:5	28:4,9 29:6 34:23	21:8 24:4 25:17	deal 26:9	discuss 26:10 39:15
26:24	38:20	26:3 32:2	dealing 25:3,6	discussed 10:9 19:4
check 42:14	commenters 38:22	corrections 4:6,16	Deanna 2:2 3:12	discussing 9:24
checkoff 36:4	comments 5:6 6:22	correctly 19:12	Deborah 2:5 6:1	Discussion 39:13
chickens 35:12	6:22 9:7 16:24	corresponding	decide 8:21 9:1	docket 1:4 3:7,16
chiefly 13:17 23:12	39:1 41:21 43:4	33:1	decision 12:21 40:2	11:5 41:22
Clair 1:11 44:10	Commerce 41:3	cost-effective 38:17	40:2	docketing 4:16
clarification 18:9	commitment 35:24	counsel 9:12 22:16	definition 13:12,14	document 15:18
32:5 34:8	common 37:19	22:19	13:22 14:1,8,11	doing 36:3,20
clarified 10:7,8	38:17	counterproposal	15:10 16:19 17:17	40:17
12:5 22:12	communicating	16:22	18:2 19:8 21:6,7	done 8:17 28:1 36:1
clarify 13:21 14:18	31:9	County 1:11 40:9	25:8 26:1	door 11:18
24:12,18 26:23	community 36:15	44:3,11	definitions 13:18	down 32:6,6
clarifying 32:23	COMPANY 1:22	couple 31:19 35:16	DeKalb 40:13	Dr 2:2 3:12 11:8

42:7 examination 11:12 **Feeding** 1:4 3:5 16:3,5 24:24 25:24 26:7 draft 9:13,14 19:24 feelings 34:14 Funk 11:8 42:7 32:4 drafting 15:3 examined 19:22 females 37:7 Funk's 27:13 happy 17:1 26:18 **Drive** 2:13 exhausted 27:24 FFA 35:17 further 6:18 7:5 **hard** 7:4 driver 38:1 41:20 field 28:11 10:15 20:20 34:8 haul 36:20 duly 1:13 19:22 exhausts 31:7 fifth 11:4 26:21 34:19 having 4:12 19:22 during 5:21 existing 13:23 **filed** 4:4.20 5:5.15 27:24 G expect 9:6 9:23 11:3 15:18 hay 37:4 \mathbf{E} G 2:13 expected 11:11 filing 3:16 7:14 8:7 **HEACOCK 2:6** earlier 42:5 general 6:20 8:18 **expensive** 37:9,19 10:24 40:12 head 21:9 25:23 earn 36:4 15:22 **expire** 10:24 filings 4:2 42:11 hear 17:3 30:8 East 2:7 generally 12:13 explain 16:1,7,16 **final** 26:21 heard 4:9 13:1 economic 37:24 Gentlemen 29:4 24:20 finally 12:7 hearing 1:11,13 3:1 41:3,4,6,12 **Gerald** 35:3.7 explanation 41:7 fine 18:20 23:3 3:3,3,19,19,21,23 economically 37:6 gets 36:17 expressed 15:8 28:14 4:1,5,11,12 5:7,16 38:15 getting 21:18 22:18 extend 5:16 9:22 finish 35:11 5:22 6:7 7:12 8:3 economy 38:1 26:7 10:11 finished 35:11 8:20 9:9,21 10:1 **effect** 29:20 giving 35:5 extension 10:14 first 3:19 4:3,5,9,24 10:19,20 11:7,13 effectively 17:23 **Glosser** 2:2 3:12 42:7 5:7 13:11.16 11:16,20 13:9,10 effort 19:7 **go** 10:15 14:16 extent 8:8,12 9:5 14:19 18:1 19:22 14:6,17,22 15:15 eight 35:20 37:7 15:14 19:19 21:3 10:7 22:12 25:6 23:11 32:11 37:20 16:13 17:1.9.12 either 10:3 11:22 22:9 27:19 31:18 27:6 35:14 40:6 40:4 18:12,16,19,21,23 28:3,9 41:6 31:20 33:7 37:2 fit 39:22 19:4,15,19 20:20 electronic 42:12 \mathbf{F} 39:4,11,15 five 17:15 40:20 20:24 21:3,11,21 elements 18:2,4 **facilities** 26:3 27:8 **goes** 40:3 flavor 7:24 22:7,21 23:1,4,18 emphasize 3:13 facility 23:9 25:21 going 7:8 8:9 32:5 flesh 7:2 26:16 24:4,10,18 25:14 emphasizes 15:4 29:15 30:18,21 34:13,21 36:17 flexibility 40:17 26:4,20 27:21 end 16:11,13 27:22 38:9 good 3:1 5:24 7:12 folks 28:11 28:5,7,10,13 29:3 enforcement 29:23 fact 30:15 **follows** 19:23 8:3 9:21 14:6,22 30:7,10,16 31:7 30:19 failure 29:23 30:19 16:10,16 18:21 **follow-up** 15:13 31:13,20,23 32:15 **ensure** 42:15 fair 8:23 15:7,22 19:15 22:21,22 18:9 19:17 20:21 33:7 34:7,18 entirety 4:22 25:20 22:1 25:15 36:15 38:11 32:2 38:19 39:10,14,20 entitled 3:4 farm 33:21 35:8,19 governed 12:14 foregoing 44:12 40:9,13,24 41:9 **entry** 11:5 35:21,21 36:10 grain 37:2 38:2,3 forever 36:2 41:18,19,22 42:1 environmental 2:4 farmer 35:7 36:14 Grand 2:7 forgive 31:2 42:4,5,8,13,20,21 2:11 3:15 5:14 farmers 35:24 37:4 grant 30:3 31:2 forth 23:8 25:1,8 42:24 43:1 44:8 6:2.17 9:23 10:10 37:11,11,15,16 granted 4:14 30:12 26:11 hearings 6:5 9:8 36:1 40:12 41:1 38:3,3 Great 20:24 23:1 fourth 5:13 22:22 21:22 22:3 39:6 **farming** 35:10 37:2 **EPA** 28:16,22 40:24 42:8 39:17 40:4,20 essentially 25:7 37:2 ground 15:1,11,20 Fox 1:13 2:13 3:3 held 1:11 36:23 30:4 farms 32:23 35:23 16:3.4 18:13 21:19 39:13 44:9 established 27:24 37:14 groups 2:11 5:14 frankly 15:16 help 13:4 27:16 ethanol 38:3 **farrow** 35:11 6:3 7:10,19 8:21 **Freelance** 44:6,23 34:24 40:1,18 even 35:12 42:3 feasible 38:15 26:17 from 3:9,14 8:17 helped 38:12 43:3 every 37:17 federal 18:15 19:3 Group's 6:17 24:1 11:8 16:8 17:20 helpful 8:16 22:11 everybody 36:16 19:6,8,13 20:13 guess 7:8,19 9:3 17:24 18:4 20:3,5 25:12 27:15 37:10 20:14 21:16 38:8 20:8 26:16 35:8 helpfulness 5:12 H everyone 32:6 38:16 36:12,21 43:6 herd 35:15 **Hammer** 2:10 6:9 everything 35:6 feed 35:10 37:3 front 12:11 highlighted 17:7 6:10 8:5 22:15 exactly 30:1 41:23 38:4 frozen 15:1,11,20 him 27:16 39:2

hogs 35:11 **inside** 11:18 34:9 37:9,21 **letter** 41:10 29:1 Holbrook 2:1 3:10 instance 18:14 **letting** 18:24 Matter 1:3 3:4 K 41:11 intend 6:3 11:10 Let's 8:3 28:14 may 6:8 20:2 **Kaitschak** 2:10 6:7 hold 16:10 31:16 intended 12:19.21 level 19:11 maybe 22:2 37:20 hope 28:1 38:6,14 7:16,18,18 8:15 19:10 20:7 lift 19:7 20:15 ma'am 9:20 9:3,9,11,19 18:12 hopefully 27:18 intent 7:3 14:12 like 6:3 8:5,6 9:16 mean 8:14 16:10 18:13,17,20,22,23 33:11 15:22 18:1,4 9:21 12:3 13:21 21:18 25:10 30:2 19:2.16.21 20:1 house 36:22 23:15,21 24:2 14:7 15:24 17:22 30:6 32:20 21:12,17 25:16,23 housekeeping 27:22 18:18 25:15 26:8 meaning 7:3 26:16 26:4 32:15,17,22 40:22 intention 18:14 26:17 28:12 31:15 means 35:17 36:9 33:19 34:4 39:18 hypothetical 29:19 19:3,5,14 35:18 36:16 37:10 36:10 **KIM** 2:12 30:15.24 interest 35:23 38:6 41:15 meat 38:4 knew 28:7 interested 29:5 **limited** 17:19 meets 24:2 know 13:4 16:17 35:18 list 42:14,16 member 3:11,12 idea 25:15 17:6 20:1 36:14 intermittent 24:14 listed 4:6 36:15 identical 27:6.7 37:19 39:24 24:20 little 12:24 27:14 members 2:1 10:2 identified 9:13.15 **Knowles** 2:12 5:14 interpret 24:1 31:4 35:13 35:22 **Illinois** 1:2,5,12 2:1 10:11,17 40:11 interrupt 18:24 livestock 15:21 mention 28:6 2:3,4,8,13 3:2,6 introduce 28:12 16:2 17:18 21:5.7 mentioned 11:24 \mathbf{L} 6:1 15:6,6 20:15 introducing 29:4 21:16 23:10 25:22 microphone 34:24 L 2:6 27:8 28:16.22 involvement 25:6 27:7 35:14 36:14 might 16:10 32:5 lack 41:16 35:8,19 38:1 44:1 Iowa 14:24 15:5 36:17 37:2,24 milk 37:16 laid 32:18 44:7,9,12 issuance 30:4 38:9 mills 38:4 land 14:24 15:19 immediate 3:10 issue 7:21 10:15 LLC 1:22 mimic 19:3 20:14 36:11,12 **impact** 41:6 16:16 32:22 34:10 LMFA 20:3,5,8,11 mine 24:11 language 25:2 implemented 38:11 34:15 40:22 20:16 **minutes** 31:19 34:14 40:2 important 36:13 **issued** 30:1 longer 34:15 mirror 18:15 38:8 languages 25:4 inch 16:4 issues 7:20 8:7,8 **looking** 33:17 mistaken 25:17 large 27:2,5 **inches** 16:5 9:6,12 10:9 13:5 looks 29:4 moment 10:13 12:4 largest 35:21 **includes** 42:1,2 21:23 22:14 26:15 lot 35:10 36:3 37:8 23:2 29:8 31:8,16 last 19:9 25:11 including 17:19 29:13 30:17 34:12 37:15,19 39:12 28:20,23 29:1 18:1 21:23 35:18 39:5.15 L.A 1:22 **money 37:8** 35:20 income 38:2 issue's 33:3 monitoring 29:6 later 5:1 7:11 20:18 \mathbf{M} incorporate 18:15 i.e 15:20 more 15:5 20:11,12 lay 8:11 36:22 machine 44:8 22:10 24:11 26:18 lead 3:11 J indicate 11:19 made 12:4 22:5 31:12 36:12 38:12 league 35:7 12:21 28:3.8 J 2:5 majority 20:4 morning 3:1 5:24 learning 36:5 **indicated** 9:10,24 **JACKSON** 44:3 make 4:15,23 8:11 28:6 least 10:13 26:9 19:12 31:24 40:6 Jim 2:10 7:18 14:3 37:5.19 most 22:8,11 39:4 41:8 40:11 18:22 19:21 39:19 40:19 41:6 42:15 leave 36:10 indicating 7:16 Jo 40:9 makes 22:7 37:12 motion 4:4,8,9,13 left 3:10,12 30:24 12:9 **JOANNE 2:6** 38:17 4:13,20,21 5:2,16 indication 39:11 legal 8:7,8,11,12 Joe 28:21,21 making 13:1 5:18,21 6:18,19 9:5 22:16,17,18 individuals 28:3 just 6:6 8:6 10:9,17 management 27:1 6:22 9:22 10:2,10 22:19,20 information 4:16 11:20 12:3,13 27:4,7 36:6 38:9 10:18,19 12:2 lengthy 14:3 12:16 21:20 40:16 19:2 21:15 22:15 manure 36:20 14:9 15:4,12 23:7 less 36:12 42:3 25:1,9,11 26:12 many 43:5 23:14,21 24:23 let 5:23 13:10 19:5 initial 19:4 29:7 30:14,22 March 3:17,18 25:16,18 29:13 21:17 31:16 33:10 initiated 3:16 31:16,19 32:4,17 4:21 41:10 34:12 39:22 40:2 43:1 **inputs** 36:13 32:19 33:10,11 Marion 28:16,22 40:7

motion's 10:12	24:12,19 25:20	23:4 24:5,8 25:24	parking 42:3	26:23 29:21 33:7
move 14:18 17:14	29:22 38:7	29:3 31:5 32:21	part 7:21 10:20	42:14
29:7 31:17 40:22	number 3:7	33:14 35:3	12:4 13:18 25:3,8	podium 34:23
moved 31:3	numbers 37:10	Olson 2:6 24:17	26:1,2 27:8 34:19	point 8:6 9:4 16:10
Moving 11:4	nutrient 27:1,4	25:12,14 30:6,8	38:10	16:16 22:8 25:7
much 21:13 31:21	0	once 5:15 6:11 32:9	participant 3:24	26:19 32:18 33:18
35:4 37:8 38:18	oath 19:22	33:1,13 35:17	4:8	34:22 39:3 42:22
43:6		one 8:5 13:1 20:1	participants 4:17	policy 38:8,16
must 32:10 33:16	objection 17:4 obligation 29:20,21	21:2 26:21 27:11	5:20 10:8 11:2	pollutants 23:22
41:2,5 42:12	Obviously 35:5	29:10,12,23 32:4	12:1 13:7 16:1	Pollution 1:2 2:1,3
N	occurs 30:23	34:9,13 35:12	31:8 39:7,14	3:2 35:4 44:9
name 3:3 5:24 6:9	October 1:11 3:21	41:7,14 43:5	40:19 41:21 42:13	poses 12:19
11:21 28:17,20,23	5:5,13 11:6 40:13	one-half 16:4	participated 43:2	position 7:1,11
29:2 35:7 42:2	41:23 42:4,9,11	ongoing 24:13,20	particular 16:11	15:8 17:13 27:17
names 38:23	44:10,17	only 10:22 11:8	18:14	34:17
navigable 13:14,19	odor 36:17	22:4 24:13,19	particularly 29:18	possibility 29:14
13:22 14:1	off 31:18,20 34:21	28:6	parties 8:9	possible 13:3 14:10
necessarily 27:18	39:4,11,13,15	on-line 5:10 11:10	Parts 1:6 13:18	36:22
32:19	offer 18:10 28:4,9	41:24	passed 42:4	posted 28:2
necessary 12:5	40:10 41:21	opened 13:24	past 35:10 36:11	post-hearing 5:5
29:24	offered 24:22	operation 35:11,15	people 28:5 36:9	6:22 9:7
need 8:9 23:1 32:24	offering 29:5	operations 1:4 3:5	37:23	potential 16:2
33:22 34:5,13	office 5:10 11:10	35:10	percent 38:1	practical 24:10
37:5,21,22,23	28:16,23 29:1	opportunity 11:2	perfectly 30:17	practices 36:6
needs 33:21	41:24	16:21 35:5 41:3	perhaps 10:6 15:5	Prairie 35:23 37:14
neighbor 36:16	officer 1:13 3:1,4	orally 21:12	22:11	precisely 19:13
Neither 4:11 13:9	3:21 4:11 5:16	order 3:17,21	period 10:24	30:12
41:18 42:20	6:7 7:12 8:3,20	26:10 30:19 39:17 42:1	permit 23:8 24:12	prefer 21:19
new 13:12 17:5	9:9,21 10:19,21	· · · · · ·	24:19 25:20 29:22	prejudgment 12:21
23:6,7,13,19	13:9 14:6,17,22	organization 35:21 original 4:21 15:18	29:23 30:1,4,12	prejudice 10:23
25:19 36:5,7	15:15 16:13 17:9	40:15	30:20,21 31:2	prepared 9:17 17:14 18:24 22:16
37:18	17:12 18:12,16,21	other 4:2 13:5 16:6	32:10,20,24 33:2	
next 11:1,13 39:5	18:23 19:15,19	21:2 37:4	33:14,17,21,22 34:5	22:19,23 26:13
39:20	20:20,24 21:3,11	others 12:10 34:16	permits 23:15,22	present 3:9 6:4 7:10 8:21 17:5
nodding 5:22 21:9	21:21 22:7,21	ourselves 37:3	38:7	26:14
25:23	23:1,4,18 24:4,10	out 7:2 8:6 26:16	person 11:18 13:3	presented 16:20,23
non-discharging	24:18 25:14 26:4	28:22,24 32:18	persons 12:6,8	presenting 6:13
23:6	26:20 27:21 28:10	35:11 36:7,17	41:20	8:10,10
North 2:7	28:13 29:3 30:7	37:21	perspective 17:24	pretty 36:19
note 3:23 4:18 11:8	30:10,16 31:7,13	outside 35:6	pertain 25:4	pre-file 3:22 42:3
11:17 12:14,18	31:20,23 32:15	over 19:7	pertain 25.4 pertaining 39:5	42:10
23:4 41:1,19	33:7 34:7,18	overlooked 29:10	phrase 17:19 25:11	pre-filed 3:24 8:20
noted 11:15 17:9	38:19 39:10,14	own 6:6 36:4 40:14	pieces 30:15	11:6,16,19,22
26:20 34:18 42:5	40:24 41:18 42:1		place 3:20 5:8	42:6
notes 14:16	42:13,20,24	P	11:13 32:11 41:23	primarily 19:5
notice 1:11 4:24	Oh 14:14 21:1	page 15:19 25:2,17	42:8	primary 15:19 17:4
28:4 31:9,14 40:4	22:24	paper 42:12	plan 27:1,4	privileged 12:17
November 40:9	okay 6:16 16:9	parallel 38:16	plants 38:4	probably 34:14
NPDES 23:8,15,21	17:11 20:10,19	pardon 30:7	please 19:19 21:3	problem 17:6
			F-2000 17:17 21:0	Propression 17.0

problems 36:18 42:6 **REPORTING** 1:22 31:1 32:9,13 Q procedural 12:15 receives 30:22 represent 35:23 33:15,17,23 question 9:20 13:11 22:13 39:5.15 recent 36:8 37:14 risk 15:20 16:1 14:3,13,19 15:17 42:17 record 3:23 5:9,12 representing 5:15 risks 16:7 16:14 17:24 19:1 procedure 10:1 5:21 6:19 8:18,24 request 6:18,21 road 32:6 19:18 20:6 21:2 procedures 34:21 10:7 11:9,17 12:5 10:14 11:3 15:9 Rodely 28:15,15,19 22:23 26:6.22 proceeding 3:4.11 12:18,20 17:8 16:17 41:2,15 28:24.24 27:20 29:10 32:1 4:17 5:9 12:14 18:19 22:12,16 requested 14:24 rule 21:16 38:7,15 32:7,9 33:6,19 proceedings 4:23 25:13 26:13 27:11 25:18 30:22 33:2 rules 12:15,16 questioned 6:4 8:17 39:17 43:7 27:23 31:18,21 40:3 41:11,13 32:18 41:4,5 questioning 11:12 process 32:13 33:1 34:21,23 36:20 requesting 5:16 rule-making 3:8,16 questions 5:6 6:11 processors 38:4,5 38:21,24 39:4,7 10:19 4:21 40:15 41:12 7:6,9,22,23 8:1,19 **produce** 36:12 39:12,13,15 40:1 requests 4:23 42:18 8:21,24 9:14 10:2 37:23 38:13 42:5 43:3 require 23:15,21 ruling 8:4 9:22 12:1,8,18 13:6 profitably 39:4 refer 11:5 33:14 10:14 16:12,21 17:3,3,5 project 35:17 reference 20:2,8 required 23:8 run 5:19 7:13 10:13 17:15 20:21 21:19 prompt 5:11 refers 26:2 24:13,19 25:20 runoff 15:20 16:2.7 22:4,10 26:8,15 proponent 16:22 reflect 14:12 30:21 R-O-D-E-L-Y 27:16,22 31:8 proposal 3:17.19 reflects 23:14.21 requirement 33:23 28:20 29:2 34:11 39:22 40:21 4:21 6:5,6 7:5,7 regard 19:9 27:3 requirements 20:8 R12-23 1:4 3:8 42:17 10:3 12:3,22 29:18 39:16 27:1.5 quick 40:22 S 13:15 14:12 15:3 regarding 32:9 research 16:6 36:5 quickly 27:12 31:4 same 9:12 13:2 15:18 20:7,11,12 38:7 41:15 reserve 7:8,11 8:4 17:24 25:2 44:15 21:7 24:2.3 26:2 R regards 6:17 9:22 saw 7:16 27:18 29:14 32:7 raise 21:23 33:20 **Regional** 28:16,22 resolved 9:6 saying 9:12 33:12 39:23 40:14,15 29:1 37:3 respect 38:8 33:21 41:12 raised 5:7 7:20 8:7 regulation 18:15 respond 5:17,19,21 scenarios 16:8 proposals 7:4 19:3,6,14 29:14 34:12 39:22 5:23 6:8,13 7:1,17 schedule 21:22 **propose** 14:10 regulations 19:8 raises 13:6 11:2 15:16 16:17 39:17 25:13 Rao 2:3 3:14 24:6 20:13 27:8 37:5 17:2,23 18:7,18 scheduled 11:7 **proposed** 1:4 3:6 29:9 37:18 19:1 21:20 23:12 39:20 40:9,21 5:18 13:12 14:8 related 32:23 33:20 Rao's 26:22 23:19 26:6,14,19 41:23 42:9 15:11 18:8 23:5,7 rather 15:1 34:10 38:5 29:18 34:11 39:22 **scope** 27:23 23:13,19 24:15,21 responding 10:10 relating 32:1 read 10:6 25:12 25:1,4 26:24 41:4 relevant 12:16 second 3:22 14:13 ready 9:18 14:13 response 4:7,10 5:6 secondly 4:18 proposing 4:20 14:14 35:1 relied 15:2 5:11 7:13,23 14:22 24:12 **Protection 2:4 3:15** remember 38:10 10:12,18,21 13:8 real 35:13 6:2 41:2 section 12:15 13:20 realize 30:16 36:8 remembering 16:19 31:24 39:9 **provide** 7:5,6 16:19 29:12 13:23,23 14:23 36:23 40:6,10,23 41:13 17:18 23:6,7,13 19:11 really 8:23 17:7 reminded 29:9 41:16,17 42:19,23 provides 38:2 reopening 29:11 23:20 24:15,22 33:10 **responses** 7:7 10:5 25:11.19 30:2.11 providing 25:19 reason 22:5 36:24 **repeal** 13:15 responsibility 36:1 31:1 41:1 **public** 1:12 41:8 42:21 43:1 repeat 23:17 24:17 responsible 36:21 see 5:20,22 22:14 44:11 repetitious 12:17 38:12 reasonable 37:6 26:9 37:11 38:21 **purely** 33:11 38:15 replace 13:13,24 retained 13:22 38:23 39:21 43:5 pursuant 1:11 reported 44:8 recall 19:12 reviewed 4:12 put 8:18,24 26:10 seeing 4:11 13:9 receive 5:8 6:11 reporter 1:24 12:24 27:12 29:7 39:10 41:18 37:9 14:15 28:18 44:7 right 3:13 17:14 11:16 42:20 43:1 putting 8:16 44:23 received 4:7 11:6 35:14 seem 6:21 **P.O** 2:7 23:9 25:22 41:14 reporter's 35:1 rights 20:14 30:11

	I	Ī	I	I
seems 37:10	21:1,11,14 30:8	subsequent 17:1	27:13 40:7,10,13	told 35:6
sell 37:3	31:11	substance 4:12	42:4,6,10	Tom 2:1 3:10 41:11
sending 32:6	sort 8:16	Suite 2:13	thank 18:11,23	topic 27:14
sense 22:8 37:5,12	sought 13:15 17:16	summary 15:7	22:22 27:10 31:5	track 36:19
37:19 38:18	41:21	support 6:20 15:18	34:6,19 35:3	transcribed 44:13
sentence 19:9	sounds 25:15	16:20 40:7,14	38:18,19 39:2	transcript 4:5,7,9
September 4:4,13	soybeans 35:9	sure 24:24 25:16	43:2	4:14 13:3 44:15
4:19	speak 20:9 35:5	33:9,10	thanks 19:15 21:12	transcription 44:13
series 16:11	speaking 31:15	surface 15:21 16:4	29:4 31:21 43:6	triggered 10:24
serve 35:19	specific 4:6 9:14	16:5	their 5:12 6:5 10:5	triggers 10:21
served 42:13	15:17	suspect 43:5	33:22 34:14 35:23	29:20,22
service 42:7,14	specifically 6:17	suspended 6:19	39:22 40:10	true 44:14
set 3:21 8:20 25:1	7:19,20 13:21	sustainability 36:8	themselves 28:12	try 9:16 36:18,20
42:1	17:18 18:3 32:23	sustainable 38:13	31:10,15	trying 20:13 33:3
sets 23:8	33:3,20 39:16	swear 18:17	thing 8:5 27:11	37:8
setting 25:8	41:13	sworn 18:18,22	34:9 37:20	Tuesday 1:11 11:1
shared 40:17	spell 28:17	19:22 26:5	things 8:17 29:12	11:13 41:23 42:9
Sharon 1:24 44:6	spelled 28:23 29:2	system 37:9	36:4,9,21	turn 4:3 6:8 12:3
sheep 35:12	spend 37:8	S-T-I-T-E-L-Y	think 6:20,24 8:15	22:22
sheet 11:17	spoken 24:11 34:21	28:23	8:23 12:24 14:20	twice 37:17
sheets 28:2 38:22	spread 36:21		15:7,21 16:10,15	two 16:5,8 22:10
she'll 14:15	Springfield 2:8	T	16:18 17:12,13	31:9 39:12
shorthand 44:8	3:20	take 13:5 22:13	19:1 20:4 22:1,2,7	types 16:21
signed 12:9	Square 1:12 44:11	26:8 31:15 34:15	25:2,14 26:7,23	typically 10:22
significant 37:24	St 1:11 44:10	34:22 36:18 39:7	27:13,14 28:13	
significantly 16:2	staff 2:12 28:11	41:23 42:8	34:12 36:13,19	U
sign-in 38:22	stand 6:6	taken 6:23 9:5	37:20,21 38:11,24	unappealable 30:5
similarities 15:5	standards 38:9	31:22	39:3 40:18	30:6,9,10
simply 18:14 19:2,7	started 35:15 36:3	taking 5:1 11:13	third 5:4 17:14	uncertain 6:14
sir 35:2	state 19:6,8 22:15	talk 39:4	41:22	unclear 22:9
situation 36:24	35:22 37:15 44:1	talking 13:2 21:6	thought 32:5	under 12:15 13:18
sixth 29:11 32:1	44:7	technical 2:3 3:14	three 21:21	19:22 24:14,21
sludge 17:20	statement 15:22	15:17 27:15	through 5:10 11:9	27:7 30:2,11 31:1
small 35:15 37:11	states 13:13 14:2	Ted 11:8 42:7	13:18 22:9 30:15	41:1
37:11,16	15:19 23:16,23	tell 21:10,15	Tim 1:13 3:3	understand 14:21
society 37:22	step 4:24 12:11	term 13:12,14,19	time 5:17 6:14	24:9 36:24 37:13
Sofat 19:12	Stephanie 2:10 6:9	14:1,2,11 17:17	10:12 13:2 27:12	understanding
soil 36:6	Stephanie's 9:4	18:2,5 21:7,16	27:17,20 31:12	33:10
soils 17:20	still 11:1	terminology 20:7	35:12 40:20	understood 17:13
solely 12:19	Stitely 28:21,21	21:5 26:22	timely 42:6	undue 10:23
some 4:2 5:1 7:3,20	storage 17:20	testified 19:23	times 37:20	unit 2:3 3:14
7:23 8:6 10:3,9	stormwater 19:10	testify 7:23 11:20	today 3:9,23 4:1	United 13:13 14:2
22:2,13,18 27:16	stress 5:4	18:17 28:4,9	5:3,22 7:15 9:17	23:16,23
28:5,11 32:2	stressed 40:18	41:15	9:18 11:11,20,22	University 42:7
34:16 37:9	strike 29:21	testifying 29:5	11:23 12:19,22	unpermitted 27:1,5
something 9:10	striking 18:4	testimony 3:22,24	13:7 18:19 28:4	until 5:1 6:19 26:15
32:8,19 37:12,18	strong 36:19	7:5,6,8,10 8:18,19	29:6 38:21 40:12	upcoming 39:16
38:10,16,17	structures 17:21	11:7,11,16,19,23	42:11 43:6	Urbana 11:8 16:17
soon 34:17 36:22	study 41:4,6,12,16	11:23 16:20,23	together 26:10 37:3	17:5 27:13,19
sorry 8:4 20:22	stuff 37:4	19:4,13 26:14	token 9:12	39:21 41:24 43:6

				3
use 14:24 26:22	we'll 6:11,14 12:11	zero 36:23	5	
40:19	12:24 13:3 22:18	www.	5 38:1	
used 13:20	22:19	\$	50 37:17	
USEPA 34:13	we're 6:14 7:8 19:9	\$27 38:2	501 1:6 3:7 13:18	
uses 21:16	21:18 22:17 25:2		13:18	
	25:3,6,8 29:6 32:7	#	501.252 14:23	
V	34:13,17 36:5,23	#084-003349 1:24	501.295 17:18	
Valerius 1:24 44:6	we've 8:17,18 17:2	1	501.325 17:18	
vast 20:4	28:5 34:22,23		502 1:6 3:7	
version 42:16	36:1 37:6	13:17 4:21	502.107 23:6,20	
very 7:12 8:3 9:21	wheat 35:9	1,800 35:8	24:22 25:19	
12:24 14:6,21,22	whole 25:10 37:8	10 1:12 44:11	503.101(b) 13:20	
18:21 19:15 21:12	Williams 2:5 5:22	10:00 1:11 44:10	13:24	
22:21,21 27:15	5:24 6:1,16 7:15	100 37:17	504 1:6 3:7 13:19	
31:21 34:20 35:4	8:14 9:4,16 13:17	1021 2:7	304 1.0 3.7 13.17	
36:13 37:7 38:18	14:3,5,13,14,20	104.426 12:15	6	
43:6	15:14 16:9,15	11:05 43:8	6 25:17 31:3	
voice 24:10 35:1	17:10,11 18:11	13 4:6 14-day 10:21,24	61820 2:13	
	19:17,20,24 20:19	14-day 10:21,24 15 3:18	62794-9276 2:8	
	20:21,22 21:1,4	16 1:11 42:11 44:10		
Wait 21:1	21:24 22:1,9,24	17 4:4,13	8	
walk 30:14	23:2,3,17,24 24:5	1902 2:13	8 27:8	
want 3:13,23 4:3,18	24:8 25:10,24	1902 2.13 19276 2:7	80,000 35:22	
5:4,7,20 9:1 11:8	26:12,21 27:10	192102.7	41	
11:17 12:13,18	28:10,14,17 29:3	2	9	
13:10 14:17 15:15	29:11 30:14 31:5	2 3:21 16:14	9 5:5,13 11:6 42:4	
16:9 17:7 18:16 18:17 31:8,11	31:11,13,18 32:12	20 41:8	900 27:9	
32:16 37:22 40:24	32:21 33:5,8,9	2012 1:11 3:17,18		
41:19	34:1,6,7,9,19 40:5	3:20,21 4:4,19 5:5		
wanted 5:11 11:5	Wisconsin 15:2,7	5:13 11:6 41:10		
22:15 25:1,9	wish 4:8 7:14 10:8	44:10,17		
29:17 39:7	wished 5:20 7:16	203-page 4:6		
wants 8:20	11:19 12:9 14:18	21 3:20		
waste 15:21 16:2	28:3,8	22nd 44:17		
17:18 21:5,8,16	witness 6:4 9:18	23 41:24		
water 20:17 36:7	26:5 27:15 39:21	23rd 11:7,14 39:21		
waters 13:13,14,19	witnesses 6:13,15	25 4:19		
13:22 14:1,2	7:2 8:10,11,12,22	27(b) 41:1		
15:21 23:16,23	9:1 11:22 17:5			
way 20:1 35:6	22:3 38:22 39:21	3		
38:13	40:8,14	30 40:13 42:9		
ways 36:6	word 36:7	312)419-92929		
week 39:20 43:6	wrapped 38:24	1:23		
welcome 3:2	writing 26:9	35 1:5 3:6		
well 6:16 7:17 8:23	Y	39 15:19		
9:3,5,5 19:8 34:20	years 35:16,21 36:3	4		
37:11 40:11 43:4	36:8	4-H 35:16		
were 8:7 18:24 21:6		40 30:2,11 31:1		
28:8 31:14 32:6	Z			