## ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD February 14, 1973

COMMONWEALTH EDISON COMPANY
)

v.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
)

ORDER OF THE BOARD (BY SAMUEL T. LAWTON, JR.):

We entered an Opinion and Order which, among other things, granted a variance with respect to Units 1, 2, 3 and 4 of Commonwealth Edison's Powerton Station in Pekin, Illinois. Paragraph 9 of the Order provided as follows (Order of October 14, 1971):

"The Company shall file quarterly reports, commencing December 31, 1971, with the Agency, detailing its progress toward completion of its program. Such reports shall also detail Edison's projected annual peak load, its daily projected peak load, and the Powerton Station generation for each day in each month compared to the power generation for the same day in the same month in the previous two years, prepared much in the manner as Commonwealth Edison Exhibit No. 53."

On February 1, 1973, the Environmental Protection Agency filed a "Motion for Clarification of Quarterly Reports" asserting that it could not tell from the quarterly reports whether Unit 5 of Powerton is included in the reports, and requested more accurate reporting with respect to kw hours generated by each unit, the scheduled outages on the system on a unit by unit basis and the unscheduled outages on a unit by unit basis.

The Company's response indicated that the reports do not include Powerton Unit 5, which response should dispose of this problem. The remainder of the Company's response indicated the difficulty and impracticality of furnishing the information sought by the Agency. We believe that the information sought by the Agency is not unreasonable providing that it relates to a reporting of events that have already occurred and not those which have been projected into the future, and that reporting of kw hours generated by each unit be on a gross generation basis. We see no reason why the Company cannot furnish the information sought on a unit by unit basis and direct that all future quarterly reports reflect such information as is sought by the Agency in its Motion for Clarification consistent with this Order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

I, Christan Moffett, Clerk of the Pollution Control Board, certify that the above Order was adopted on the May of February, 1973, by a vote of to \_\_\_\_.

Christan J. m. Stett