ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD January 8, 1976

INTERPACE	CORPORATION,)		
	Petitioner,)		
	v.))	PCB	75-495
ENVIRONMEN AGENCY,	NTAL PROTECTION)))		
	Respondent.)		

INTERIM ORDER OF THE BOARD (by Mr. Goodman):

This matter comes before the Pollution Control Board (Board) upon the December 22, 1975, petition of Interpace Corporation (Interpace) for variance from the cover requirements of the Solid Waste Regulations. The petition is inadequate in that it fails to comply with Rule 401 of the Board's Procedural Rules. The Petitioner has failed to supply the Board with the following information, which prevents the Board from making a reasonable assessment in this matter:

- (i) specific indentification of the particular provisions of the Environmental Protection Act or regulations from which the variance is sought;
- (ii) a description of the business or activity in question;
- (iii) the quantity and type of raw materials processed, and a description of the particular process or activity in which the raw materials are used;
- (iv) an estimate of the quantity and type of contaminants discharged;
- (v) data showing the nature and extent of the present failure to meet the particular provisions from which the variance is sought;
- (vi) a description of existing and proposed equipment for the control of discharges;

- (vii) a time schedule for bringing the activity into compliance;
- (viii) a detailed description of the program to be undertaken to achieve compliance, including a time schedule of all phases involved from initiation to completion and the estimated costs involved;
- (ix) an explanation of why petitioner believes the program proposed will achieve compliance.
- (x) details as to past efforts to achieve compliance and results achieved.
- (b) a concise statement of why the petitioner believes that compliance with the provision from which variance is sought would impose an arbitrary or unreasonable hardship, including a description of the costs that compliance would impose on the petitioner and others, information as to the feasibility of alternatives available to abate the violations and their costs.
- (c) The injury that the grant of the variance would impose on the public including the effect that continued discharge of contaminants will have upon the air, water or land.
- (d) If the petitioner seeks a delay in complying, a statement of the reasons for such delay.
- (e) A clear statement of the precise extent of the relief sought.

It is the Order of the Board that Interpace supply the Board with the aforementioned information within 45 days of this Order. The ninety day decision period shall commence upon the receipt by the Board of Interpace's Amended Petition. Failure to timely file said petition shall cause this matter to be dismissed.

I, Christan L. Moffett, Clerk of the Illinois Pollution Control Board, herebycertify the above Interim Order was adopted on the day of _______, 1976 by a vote of ______.

Christan L. Moffett

Illinois Pollution Control Board