ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD February 17, 1982

OLIN CORPORATION (EAST ALTON),

Petitioner,

v.

PCB 80-126

ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY,

Respondent.

CONCURRING OPINION (by I. Goodman):

Although I am in general agreement with the Opinion and Order of the Board issued today in this matter, I am fearful that the Board's Opinion may be misconstrued to require the Agency to prepare a statement of the basis for each and every permit condition no matter how obvious and mundane. Conditions which will have, as stated in Rule 905(b)(3) of Chapter 3, "a significant impact upon the discharge" should obviously be The Board today has liberalized the meaning of that addressed. rule to a point where it might well say a significant impact upon the discharger. I do not believe, however, that it is the Board's intention to expand the requirement to any and all conditions proposed by the Agency in the permit. Common sense, on the part of both parties, should result in sufficient communication to alleviate the problem as addressed in this case.

in G lman

I, Christan L. Moffett, Clerk of the Illinois Pollution Control Board, hereby certify that the above Concurring Opinion was submitted on the <u>17</u> day of <u>Louis</u>, 1982.

Clerk Christan L.

Christan L. Mo**rte**tt, Clerk Illinois Pollution Control Board