## ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD April 15, 1982

KENNETH	STEEL,	et al.,	)		
		Complainants,	)		
	v.		)	PCB	82-16
GEORGE	BEUCHER	, et al.,	)		
		Respondents.	)		

DISSENTING OPINION (by I. Goodman):

The majority of the Board today found the Complaint in this matter, which alleges a threat of water pollution in Illinois, not to be duplicitous or frivolous. Formerly the Board has held that only a definite danger of pollution, i.e., the existence of an emission source, constitutes a "threat" of pollution pursuant to Section 12(a) of the Act. Springfield Sanitary District v. Environmental Protection Agency, PCB 70-32, 1 Ill. PCB Op. 181, (1971) and <u>City of DesPlaines</u> v. <u>Metropolitan Sanitary District</u>, 60 Ill. App.3d 995, (1978), 377 N.E.2d 114 (PCB 76-157). The Board now has before it only the Respondents' preliminary developmental plans. As such, these plans cannot provide the Board with sufficient information for it to determine whether the environment may be harmed. In accepting this case, the Board must now anticipate what the Respondent will develop, let alone whether it may be harmful. Speculation in this case, and other cases like it, renders it impossible for the Board to arrive at an intelligent decision. Should a decision uphold the Respondents' plans, does that infer that if those plans are adhered to, the Respondent is immune to prosecution even if their actual implementation harms the environment? Furthermore, it is questionable whether any relief fashioned by the Board at this time could halt what the Complainants see as an environmental threat. Therefore, I would dismiss this action as frivolous.

Irvin G. Goodman, Board Member

I, Christan L. Moffett, Clerk of the Illinois Pollution Control Board, do hereby certify that the above Dissenting Opinion was filed on the  $2d^{-1/2}$  day of <u>April</u>, 1982.

Moffett Clerk Christan L.

Illinois Pollution Control Board