## ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD December 16, 1982

IN THE MATTER OF:  PARALLEL SHORE PROTECTION IN LAKE MICHIGAN (Subtitle C; Part 311)	) ) )	R82-11
CONCURRING OPINION (By J.D. Dumel)	le):	
The intent of this proposed unnecessary paperwork and to speed issuance.		
The majority has interpreted "concurrence" to mean individual concurrence by the Board on each and every permit application. It seems to me that this proposed rule provided strict environmental safeguards and would have given concurrence to a narrow class of permits which are now routinely issued.		
However, the alternative proposition would have given individual veto power over the proposed Rule Board Member now has a veto power dent would be created which might of this type. For this reason, I preferable to adoption of the First	l Board M's functi over any lead to concur i	embers in effect a oning. Since no single Board Rule, a precefurther provisions n dismissal as being
It is unfortunate that here plify permit procedures and to recept permit issuance periods. I would IDOT proposed rule.	duce pape	rwork and to shorten
JJ	Reof Cob D. D	D. Stemelle  umelle, Chairman

Christan L. Moffett, Clerk Illinois Pollution Control Board

I, Christan L. Moffett, ererk of the Illinois Pollution Control Board, hereby certify that the above Concurring Opinion was submitted on the day of day of 1982.