1	BEFORE THE ILLINOIS	
2	POLLUTION CONTR	OL BOARD
3		
4	IN THE MATTER OF:	)
5	ANTONIO D.H. NAM,	)
6	Petitioner,	)No. PCB 97-234
7	vs.	)
8	KIKON SUH,	)
9	Respondent.	)
10	VOLUME II, Pages	28 - 37
11		
12	The following is a trans	cript of proceedings
13	from the hearing held in the above-entitled matter,	
14	taken stenographically by ROSEMARIE LAMANTIA, CSR, a	
15	notary public within and for the	County of Cook and
16	State of Illinois, before JOHN K	NITTLE, Hearing Officer,
17	at 1000 Civic Center Drive, Nile	s, Illinois, on the 26th
18	day of February 2001, A.D., sche	duled to commence at the
19	hour of 9:30 a.m.	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	HEARING TAKEN BEFORE:
4	
5	ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD,
6	100 West Randolph Drive
7	Room 11-500
8	Chicago, Illinois 60601
9	BY: JOHN KNITTLE, HEARING OFFICER
10	
11	JOSEPH ANNUNZIO
12	1000 Civil Center Drive
13	Niles, IL 60714
14	(847)588-8027
15	Appeared on behalf of the Petitioner;
16	
17	
18	KOLPAK & LERNER
19	6767 North Milwaukee Avenue
20	Niles, IL 60714
21	(847)647-0336
22	BY: MR. JACK REISS

L.A. REPORTING (312) 419-9292

23

24

Appeared on behalf of the Respondent.

1 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Good morning. My name

- 2 is John Knittle. I'm the chief hearing officer for the
- 3 Illinois Pollution Control Board, also the assigned
- 4 hearing officer for PCB 1997-234, Antonio D.H. Nam
- 5 versus Kikon Suh.
- 6 It's approximately 9:50 a.m. on February 26th,
- 7 2001. This hearing was scheduled to start at 9:30. I
- 8 just wanted to note for the record that we have been
- 9 here since 9:30 but the parties are involved in
- 10 negotiations in a different part of the room and I
- 11 wanted to get on the record and state that.
- 12 We'll go through the preliminaries when the
- 13 parties arrive but for now we're going to stay off the
- 14 record for as long as it takes for them to reach some
- sort of negotiation or some sort of settlement. Thanks.
- 16 (Off the record.)
- 17 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: On the record.
- 18 Good morning, again. My name is, as it was
- 19 before, John Knittle. It's approximately 10:10 a.m.
- 20 now. I have attorneys for both parties here. This, as
- 21 I stated before, is PCB 97-234, Antonio D.H. Nam versus
- 22 Kikon Suh. Today's date is February 26th. We have with
- us here today Mr. Annunzio, representing Mr. Nam, and we
- 24 also have Mr. Reiss, representing for the moment Kikon

- 1 Suh.
- We have some special circumstances that wanted
- 3 to outline before we get into today's activities.
- 4 This hearing was commenced on January 3, 2001.
- 5 On January 2, 2001, the Respondent faxed all a motion to
- 6 continue the hearing. Plaintiff did not object as long
- 7 as certain circumstances were filed and it was granted.
- 8 The circumstances were outlined in the January 19th,
- 9 2001, Hearing Officer order, essentially the hearing,
- 10 the complainant's case in chief hearing was held on that
- 11 -- on January 3rd. At that point in time, the hearing
- 12 was continued until today for the Respondent to present
- 13 his case in chief and any cross-examination of the
- 14 complainant as well as complainant's rebuttal case.
- 15 As I said, that is outlined in January 19th,
- 16 2001, order.
- I also stated that unless the board stayed this
- 18 matter, we're going to proceed with the hearing here
- 19 today. The board has not stayed this matter up until
- this point in time, however, we have come across a new
- 21 set of circumstances that may alter that and I would
- like to address those in some preliminary matters, which
- we'll have right now. We do have some preliminary
- 24 matters. Should I let Mr. Reiss take this, Mr.

1 Annunzio? 2 MR. ANNUNZIO: Yes. 3 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Annunzio indicates 4 yes. 5 Mr. Reiss, you have something you wanted to bring up? 6 MR. REISS: Yes. For the record, my name is Jacob Reiss, 8 9 R-E-I-S-S, and I'm acting as the attorney for Mr. Kikon 10 Suh, S-U-H, who is the Respondent to this matter. 11 In preparing for the hearing this morning, it 12 had been my intent to cross-examine Mr. Nam, the complainant, with respect to his ownership of the 13 property and with respect to various tenants that had 14 15 been on the property during the time that he owned it. In preparing for the cross-examination and in preparing 16 17 for Mr. Suh's case in chief with respect to the terms of the Respondent's ownership of the property, I came 18 19 across a property address reference that I have not come 20 across before and it had escaped my recollection for 21 quite sometime. That property address referenced was to 22 a property at 3146 West Carmen, in which I had 23 represented the Complainant, Mr. Nam, with respect to

creation and negotiation of leases. As it turns out,

- the property at 3146 West Carmen Avenue is the identical
- 2 property as the property which is identified as the site
- and this complaint that is now pending before the board.
- 4 That site being the property at 5101 East 17 North
- 5 Kedzie in Chicago. It is on a corner of Kedzie and
- 6 Carmen, and, unfortunately, those are one in the same
- 7 properties.
- 8 I represented Mr. Nam with respect to building
- 9 leases for both a Mr. Park and a Mr. Hyosik Park and
- also with respect to a lease with a Mr. -- apparently,
- 11 it's the same name of the tenant on both a business that
- was going to be involved in some type of indoor golf
- 13 range and also an auto repair business, both at that
- 14 same site.
- 15 Consequently, since I represented Mr. Nam, I
- 16 don't feel that I can ethically continue representing
- 17 Mr. Suh in this action that was filed by Mr. Nam, and,
- 18 unfortunately, I'm being placed in a position where I
- 19 must withdraw my representation of Mr. Suh and I have
- 20 not yet had a chance to speak with Mr. Suh about my
- 21 withdrawal, of course I will speak with him as soon as I
- 22 can contact him today.
- 23 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Annunzio, do you
- have any comments?

- 1 MR. ANNUNZIO: No.
- 2 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Reiss, you don't
- 3 feel you can proceed with the hearing today?
- 4 MR. REISS: I do not feel that I can proceed
- 5 given the fact that I am cognizant of information with
- 6 respect to my representation, my prior representation of
- 7 Mr. Nam that could be a conflict with my representation
- 8 of Mr. Suh in this proceeding.
- 9 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Let's go off record for
- 10 a second.
- 11 (Off the record.)
- 12 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: We're back on the
- 13 record.
- 14 In light of the circumstances and in light of
- 15 the fact that Mr. Reiss is withdrawing, and, Mr. Reiss,
- you'll, of course, file the appropriate documentation
- 17 with the board, I assume, correct?
- 18 MR. REISS: That's correct.
- 19 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: In light of that and
- the inequity that I think would result if Mr. Suh,
- 21 working on the assumption that he would be represented
- 22 by an attorney here today at this hearing, and not
- 23 knowing -- he doesn't know, correct, Mr. Reiss --
- MR. REISS: That's correct.

1	HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: that there is a
2	potential conflict and that you're not representing him,
3	right?
4	MR. REISS: That's correct.
5	HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: In light of that, I
6	don't think we can go forward with the hearing here
7	today and on my own motion I'm going to continue this
8	hearing so today's hearing will be canceled and we've
9	talked off the record about when we can hold the hearing
10	and the hearing will be held on March 29th, 2001, at
11	10:00 a.m. at this same facility and I'll put out the
12	appropriate notice pursuant to 101.602 of the board's
13	procedural regs and we'll send that notice to Mr. Suh.
14	Mr. Suh may or may not obtain an additional attorney to
15	represent him. It's going to be my recommendation to
16	the board that the hearing go forward on March 29th,
17	regardless of any motions to continue the hearing that
18	come in in light of the fact that this case has been
19	proceeding for awhile, however, I can make no guarantees
20	that if a motion to continue comes in that the board
21	won't continue it on their own.
22	So that being said, let's close this hearing for

now and let's go off the record.

## L.A. REPORTING (312) 419-9292

36 1 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: We're back on the 2 record. I want to note for the record there have been no members of the public present to this point. We'll go on the record again at 1:00 o'clock to 5 6 make sure no one wants to provide public matter in this matter, for the interim, we'll recess this matter until 7 1:00 o'clock. 8 9 (Off the record.) 10 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Back on the record. Good afternoon. It is now approximately 1:10 11 p.m. No members of the public have shown up to provide 12 public comment in this matter. We're going to close the 13 14 hearing. I'm not going to make a credibility statement, 15 no witnesses have testified today. Once again, I will not be issuing a hearing report after this hearing 16 17 because the hearing is still ongoing, but I will be noticing up the hearing again to take place on March 29, 18 19 2001, at 10:00 a.m. at the same location as today. I'll notice that pursuant to 101.602 as I did previously and 20 21 that is all I have. (Whereupon, were all the proceedings had in the

above-entitled cause.)

## L.A. REPORTING (312) 419-9292

	37	
1	STATE OF ILLINOIS )	
2	)SS: COUNTY OF DU PAGE )	
3	I, ROSEMARIE LA MANTIA, being first duly sworn,	
4	on oath says that she is a court reporter doing business	
5	in the City of Chicago; that she reported in shorthand	
6	the proceedings given at the taking of said hearing, and	
7	that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of	
8	her shorthand notes so taken as aforesaid, and contains	
9	all the proceedings given at said hearing.	
10		
11		
12	ROSEMARIE LA MANTIA, CSR License No. 84 - 2661	
13	license No. 04 - 2001	
14	Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of , 2001.	
15	this day of , 2001.	
16	Notary Public	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		

L.A. REPORTING (312) 419-9292