ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD June 5, 1986

VILLAGE OF SAUGET,)
Petitioner,)
v.)) PCB 86-57
ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, AND UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY,	
Respondents.)
VILLAGE OF SAUGET,)
Petitioner,)
v. ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, AND UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY,)))))
Respondents.)
MONSANTO COMPANY,)
Petitioner,	
V. ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, AND UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY,))))))
Respondents.)
MONSANTO COMPANY,)
Petitioner,)
v.) PCB 86-63
ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, AND UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY,)))
Respondents.) NOT CONSOLIDATED

CONCURRING OPINION (by J. D. Dumelle):

The last paragraph of these Orders is not germane. It is a recounting of delays in an entirely different case. There may have been different personnel involved then as lawyers on both sides, as technical persons, or as elected officials. We don't know if the same persons and no others are in this proceeding nor do we know who in particular caused the delays in the old case. The Monsanto Company was certainly not a party in PCB 79-87.

-2-

It seems to me a gratuitous "scolding from the bench" to bring up an old case which is not proven germane to the instant proceeding. The paragraph in question is unjudicial in tone. And if a major witness becomes ill or injured in an automobile accident it may not be capable of compliance.

Jacob D. Dumelle,

Chairman

I, Dorothy M. Gunn, Clerk of the Illinois Pollution Control Board, hereby certify that the above Concurring Opinion was filed on the ______ day of ______ 1986.

Dorothy M. Gunn, Clerk Illinois Pollution Control Board