BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

VOLUME I

IN THE MATTER OF:

CLEAN FUEL FLEET PROGRAM:

) R98-008

35 ILL. ADM. CODE 241

) (Rulemaking - Air)

The following is a transcript of a rulemaking hearing held in the above-entitled matter, taken stenographically by LORI ANN ASAUSKAS, CSR, RPR, a notary public within and for the County of Cook and State of Illinois, before Amy Muran Felton, Hearing Officer, at 100 West Randolph Street, Room 11-500, Chicago, Illinois, on the 27th day of August, 1997, A.D., commencing at the hour of 10:00 o'clock a.m.

1	APPEARANCES:
2	HEARING TAKEN BEFORE:
3	ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD, 100 West Randolph Street
4	Suite 11-500 Chicago, Illinois 60601
5	(312) 814-4925 BY: MS. AMY MURAN FELTON,
6	HEARING OFFICER.
7	ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT: Mr. Joseph Yi
8	THE TWO CONTROL TO STREET AND A
9	ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY MEMBERS PRESENT:
10	Ms. Rachael L. Doctors Mr. Darwin J. Burkhart
11	OTHER AUDIENCE MEMBERS PRESENT: Mr. Scott Benson, USGSA
12	Mr. Philip S. Bush, Amoco Petroleum Products Mr. Daniel S. LeFevers, Peoples Gas
13	Mr. Tony Lindsay, P.E, Nicor Mr. Jeffrey L. Williams, Ivy Tech State College
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1	I N D E X
2	PAGES
3	GREETING BY HEARING OFFICER 4 - 9
4	OPENING STATEMENT BY MS. DOCTORS 9 - 12
5	TESTIMONY OF MR. BURKHART13 - 16
6	STATEMENT OF BOARD MEMBER YI
7	CLOSING COMMENTS BY HEARING OFFICER20 - 24
8	* * * * * *
9	
10	NO EXHIBITS MARKED
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

- 1 THE HEARING OFFICER: Good morning. My name is
- 2 Amy Muran Felton. I am the hearing officer in this
- 3 proceeding.
- 4 I would like to welcome you to this hearing
- 5 entitled: In The Matter Of: Clean Fuel Fleet
- 6 Program, amendment to 35 Illinois Administrative Code
- 7 241, docketed by the Illinois Pollution Control Board
- 8 as R98-008.
- 9 Present today on behalf of the Illinois
- 10 Pollution Control Board and seated to my right
- 11 is Joseph Yi, the board member coordinating this
- 12 rulemaking.
- 13 As you have noticed already, on the table,
- 14 I have placed notice lists and service list signup
- 15 sheets. Please note that if your name is on the
- 16 notice list, you will receive copies of the board's
- 17 opinions and orders and all hearing officer orders.
- 18 If your name is on the service list, you will
- 19 not only receive copies of the board's opinions
- 20 and orders, but you will receive copies of all the
- 21 documents filed by all parties to this proceeding.
- 22 Keep in mind, however, that if your name is
- 23 on the service list, you are also required to serve
- 24 all parties to the service list with all documents

- 1 that you may file with the board. You are not
- 2 precluded from presenting testimony or questions at
- 3 hearing if your name is not on either of the lists.
- 4 Also, as you will note, we have copies of the
- 5 board's opinions from July 10, 1997, as well as the
- 6 testimony of Darwin Burkhart, a U.S. EPA letter, as
- 7 well as the text of the rule proposed today.
- 8 On July 7, 1997, the Illinois Environmental
- 9 Protection Agency filed this proposal for a
- 10 rulemaking to amend 35 Illinois Administrative Code
- 11 241, also known as the Clean Fuel Fleet Program.
- 12 The board adopted the Clean Fuel Fleet Program on
- 13 September 7, 1995.
- 14 This proposal was published in the Illinois
- 15 Register on July 24, 1997, at 21 Illinois Register
- 16 9639.
- Section 182(c)(4)(A) and Section 246 of the
- 18 Clean Air Act require that all serious, severe, and
- 19 extreme ozone nonattainment areas adopt a Clean Fuel
- 20 Fleet Program.
- 21 The proposal filed by the agency and proposed
- 22 by the board on July 10, 1997 amend certain sections
- 23 of the Clean Fuel Fleet Program to reflect that
- 24 owners and operators will have an additional year to

- 1 meet the requirements of the program and to correct
- 2 certain amounts of credit given for overcompliance.
- This proposal was filed pursuant to Section
- 4 28.5 of the Environmental Protection Act entitled
- 5 Clean Air Act Rules Fast Track Procedures.
- 6 Pursuant to the provisions of that section,
- 7 the board is required to proceed with the set time
- 8 frames for the adoption of this regulation.
- 9 As stated in the board's July 10, 1997 order,
- 10 the board has no discretion to adjust these time
- 11 frames under any circumstances.
- 12 Pursuant to this section, this hearing will
- 13 be continued on the record day-to-day if necessary.
- 14 Also, pursuant to this section, the board
- 15 scheduled three hearings as announced in the hearing
- 16 officer order dated July 16, 1997.
- 17 Today's hearing is confined to testimony by
- 18 and of the agency witnesses concerning the scope,
- 19 applicability, and basis of the rule.
- 20 Within seven days after this hearing, any
- 21 person may request that the second hearing be held.
- 22 If after those seven days, the agency and affected
- 23 entities are in agreement upon a portion of the
- 24 rule, the U.S. EPA has not informed the board of any

- 1 unresolved adductions and no other interested parties
- 2 contests the rule or asks for an opportunity to
- 3 present additional evidence, the board may cancel
- 4 the additional two hearings.
- 5 All parties to the notice list will be advised
- 6 of the cancellation of the following two hearings by
- 7 way of the hearing officer order.
- 8 The second hearing is currently scheduled for
- 9 Wednesday, September 24, 1997, at 10:00 a.m. at this
- 10 same location and will be devoted to presentation of
- 11 testimony and documents and comments by affected
- 12 entities and all other interested parties if
- 13 requested by those parties.
- 14 The third hearing, currently scheduled for
- 15 Friday, October 10, 1997, at 10:00 a.m. at this
- 16 same location will be devoted solely to any agency
- 17 response to the materials submitted at that second
- 18 hearing if it is requested by the agency.
- 19 The board will proceed to the second notice
- 20 regarding this proposal on or before November 14,
- 21 1997, if the third hearing is cancelled and on or
- 22 before December 4, 1997, if the third hearing was
- 23 held.
- 24 The board will proceed to final adoption of

- 1 this rule 21 days after the receipt of the joint
- 2 committee on administrative rules certification of
- 3 no objection.
- 4 This hearing will be governed by the board's
- 5 procedural rules for regulatory proceedings. All
- 6 information which is relevant and not repetitious or
- 7 privileged will be admitted. All witnesses will be
- 8 sworn and subject to cross-questioning.
- 9 As previously stated, the purpose of today's
- 10 hearing is to allow the agency to present testimony
- 11 in support of this proposal and to allow any
- 12 questioning of the agency.
- 13 The agency will present any testimony it may
- 14 have regarding its proposal. Subsequently, we will
- 15 allow for questioning of the agency regarding its
- 16 testimony.
- 17 If you have any questions, I would prefer that
- 18 you raise your hand and wait for me to acknowledge
- 19 you and state your name and organization that you
- 20 represent, if any, before asking any question of the
- 21 agency witnesses.
- 22 Are there any other questions regarding the
- 23 procedure we will be following this morning?
- Okay. See none, at this time I would ask if

- 1 Board Member Yi has any additional comments he would
- 2 like to add?
- 3 BOARD MEMBER YI: Perhaps at the conclusion of
- 4 the hearing, I may have a word or two.
- 5 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Great. Thank you
- 6 very much, Board Member Yi.
- 7 BOARD MEMBER YI: Thank you.
- 8 THE HEARING OFFICER: At this time, I would
- 9 like to ask the agency if it would like to make an
- 10 opening statement. We will then turn to the agency's
- 11 presentation of its testimony.
- MS. DOCTORS: Yes. I have a brief statement.
- 13 OPENING STATEMENT
- by Ms. Rachael Doctors
- 15 Good morning. My name is Rachael Doctors and
- 16 I am representing the Illinois EPA in this matter.
- 17 I'm going to give a brief overview and background
- 18 of the proposed amendments to the Clean Fuel Fleet
- 19 Program that are lodged at 35 Illinois Administrative
- 20 Code Part 241.
- 21 The Clean Air Act as amended in 1990 required
- 22 that areas designated as serious and above for
- 23 nonattainment with the ozone national ambient air
- 24 quality standards adopt a program implementing the

- 1 Clean Fuel Fleet Program.
- 2 The Chicago area as designated as a severe
- 3 ozone nonattainment area and, hence, required to
- 4 have a Clean Fuel Fleet Program.
- 5 The Clean Fuel Fleet Program requires that
- 6 owners and operators of certain fleets with ten or
- 7 more vehicles acquire a percentage of new
- 8 achromitions clean fuel vehicles beginning in model
- 9 year 1998, that is, September 1, 1997.
- 10 Illinois proposed and the Illinois Pollution
- 11 Control Board adopted the rules implementing the
- 12 Clean Fuel Fleet Program in 1995.
- On March 19, 1996, U.S. EPA approved the rules
- 14 as part of Illinois' state implementation plan for
- 15 the Chicago ozone nonattainment area.
- 16 At the end of 1996, however, there were only
- 17 three types of vehicles certified by the U.S. EPA
- 18 as clean fuel vehicles and available in Illinois. In
- 19 contrast, there are 4,000 potentially affected fleets
- 20 in the Chicago ozone nonattainment area.
- 21 In the last year, we have also -- the
- 22 Illinois EPA, has received many calls from these
- 23 affected fleets indicating that compliance with
- 24 the acquisition requirements would be nearly

- 1 impossible for model year 1998. We had some
- 2 discussions with U.S. EPA concerning these issues.
- 3 On May 22, 1997, Margo Oga of the U.S. EPA
- 4 published a memorandum stating that too few vehicles
- 5 and types and numbers were available for successful
- 6 implementation of the Clean Fuel Fleet Program and
- 7 that they were proposing to allow states to delay the
- 8 implementation of the acquisition requirements by
- 9 one year until model year 1999. That is September 1,
- 10 1998. I want to get my dates correct. There is a
- 11 copy of that memorandum at the end of the table for
- 12 anybody who would like it.
- 13 The agency is proposing that the sections
- 14 pertaining to the control requirements, credits, and
- 15 record keeping and reporting requirements be amended
- 16 to include this delay specifically at 35 Illinois
- 17 Administrative Code Section 241.113(a)(1), which
- 18 contains the phase in requirements for light-duty
- 19 vehicles and light-duty trucks.
- 20 Those dates in this section have all been
- 21 delayed one year where it said model year 1998, 1999,
- 22 2000, it now says 1999, 2000 and 2001.
- In Subsection (a)(2), the requirements for
- 24 heavy-duty vehicles, which did not have a phase in,

1 but are straight 50 percent, but began in model year

- 2 1998, that has been changed. The proposal is to
- 3 change it to model year 1999.
- 4 Section 241.130(b)(4) in the corresponding
- 5 credit tables allow fleet owners to earn credits by
- 6 acquiring vehicles prior to the start of the program,
- 7 which had been model year 1998, has been delayed to
- 8 model year 1999.
- 9 So anybody who has acquired clean fuel
- 10 vehicles early in anticipation of the program
- 11 starting can get credits -- can earn some credits
- 12 for later compliance.
- 13 Similarly, in Section 241.141, the annual --
- 14 there is a requirement that affected fleets filing an
- 15 annual report to delay -- an annual report to state
- 16 how they have complied. It was originally due
- 17 November 1, 1998, and it's been delayed to November
- 18 1, 1999 to submit that first report.
- 19 That concludes the agency's statement.
- THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Thank you,
- 21 Ms. Doctors.
- Is there anyone else on behalf of the agency
- 23 that will be testifying this morning?
- MS. DOCTORS: Yes, Darwin Burkhart.

1 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Great. Would

- 2 you please swear in the witness?
- 3 (Witness sworn.)
- 4 WHEREUPON:
- 5 DARWIN J. BURKHART,
- 6 called as a witness herein, having been first duly

sworn,

- 7 deposeth and saith as follows:
- 8 Good Morning. My name is Darwin Burkhart.
- 9 I am an Environmental Protection Specialist in the
- 10 Air Quality Planning Section of the Illinois EPA.
- I have a Bachelors degree from Purdue
- 12 University in Physical Science and a Masters degree
- 13 in Environmental Planning from the University of
- 14 Illinois at Springfield.
- I have been with the Illinois EPA for six
- 16 years and am the lead technical person for programs
- 17 involving clean, alternate fuels, and motor vehicles
- 18 including the Clean Fuel Fleet Program.
- 19 The Clean Fuel Fleet Program requires fleet
- 20 operators of ten or more vehicles in the Chicago
- 21 ozone nonattainment area to phase in clean fuel
- 22 vehicles based on a percentage of newly acquired
- 23 vehicles for the fleet in a given model year.
- 24 The phase in percentages for light-duty

- 1 vehicles up to 8,500 pounds of gross vehicle weight
- 2 is currently 30 percent in model year 1988, 50
- 3 percent in model year 1999, and 70 percent in model
- 4 year 2000 and after.
- 5 For heavy-duty vehicles up to 26,000 pounds
- 6 gross vehicle weight, the phase in is currently 50
- 7 percent for all model years beginning in model year
- 8 1998.
- 9 The Illinois EPA is proposing today to delay
- 10 the implementation of the Clean Fuel Fleet Program by
- 11 one year due to the insufficient supply of vehicles
- 12 certified to any one of the four federal low emission
- 13 standards, which consists of the low emission
- 14 vehicle, inherently low emission vehicle, ultra low
- 15 emission vehicle, and zero emission vehicle
- 16 standards.
- 17 With less than six types of certified
- 18 light-duty vehicles and only a few types of certified
- 19 heavy-duty engines available for the program at the
- 20 current time, U.S.EPA has informed the states that it
- 21 will allow for a one year delay in program
- 22 implementation.
- Therefore, the phase in requirements will not
- 24 begin until model year 1999, which effectively begins

- 1 September 1, 1998. The phase in percentages will be
- 2 deferred by one year, but the phase in schedule will
- 3 not change
- 4 As of this past April, the U.S.EPA reported
- 5 that the only types of light-duty vehicles meeting
- 6 the program requirements include the Ford Crown
- 7 Victoria, F-Series pickups and Econoline vans, all of
- 8 which are dedicated natural gas vehicles certified to
- 9 the ultra low emission vehicle standards.
- 10 In addition, General Motors has a dedicated
- 11 natural gas-powered Sierra pickup that is certified
- 12 to the low emission vehicle standard and an electric
- 13 Chevy S-10 certified to the zero emission vehicle
- 14 standard.
- 15 Honda and General Motors also have electric
- 16 sedans, the Honda EV-1 and the GM EV-1 respectively,
- 17 that are zero emission-certified, but these vehicles
- 18 are only available in California at the present time.
- 19 As for the heavy-duty engines, U.S.EPA
- 20 reported that Cummins and Detroit Diesel combined
- 21 have five natural gas engines certified to the low
- 22 emission vehicle and ultra low emission vehicle
- 23 standards.
- 24 A one-year delay in the Clean Fuel Fleet

- 1 Program will only have a minimal environmental
- 2 impact. The Illinois EPA estimated that the first
- 3 year of the program would result in a volatile
- 4 organic compound reduction of 0.3 tons per day with
- 5 a maximum reduction of about 2.8 tons per day when
- 6 the program becomes fully effective in model year
- 7 2003.
- 8 With a one year delay, the peak annual
- 9 emission reduction will occur in model year 2004,
- 10 still in advance of the 2007 ozone attainment date
- 11 for the Chicago nonattainment area.
- 12 In addition to the one year delay, the U.S.EPA
- 13 published a notice in the January 3, 1996, Federal
- 14 Register correcting two credit values for the Clean
- 15 Fuel Fleet Program credit program. These two values
- 16 have been corrected in the proposed amendments.
- 17 This concludes my testimony.
- 18 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Would the
- 19 agency like to offer any additional testimony on
- 20 behalf of this proposal?
- MS. DOCTORS: We rest.
- 22 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Great. We will
- 23 now proceed with questions for the agency witness.
- 24 As I previously mentioned, if you have a

- 1 question, please wait for me to acknowledge you and
- 2 state your name and any organization you represent.
- 3 Are there currently any questions for the
- 4 agency?
- 5 BOARD MEMBER YI: I have a question.
- 6 THE HEARING OFFICER: Please proceed, Mr. Yi.
- 7 BOARD MEMBER YI: In the Clean Fuel Fleet
- 8 Program guidelines issued May 20, 1997, the U.S. EPA
- 9 states that it is proposing a postponement of the
- 10 mandatory implementation date.
- 11 I'm just wondering if there is any further
- 12 action on there, whether as of today, that proposal
- 13 has been formalized or is it still in the proposal
- 14 stage or what is it?
- MR. BURKHART: They are proposing -- I guess
- 16 you're probably correct with the word proposing.
- 17 They are going through the Federal Register process
- 18 as far as writing an authorization into the Federal
- 19 Register at the current time. When that will be
- 20 published in the Federal Register is not known at
- 21 this time.
- MS. DOCTORS: Maybe in the next month.
- MR. BURKHART: Maybe within the next month or
- 24 two.

- 1 MS. DOCTORS: Yes.
- 2 BOARD MEMBER YI: Okay.
- 3 MR. BURKHART: But I'm not sure exactly which
- 4 date.
- 5 BOARD MEMBER YI: The only reason I asked that
- 6 is because we're going through this process and if
- 7 they decide not to formulate this postponement, we
- 8 are doing all of these activities for nothing. So I
- 9 was wondering if there was any formal statement.
- 10 MR. BURKHART: No.
- BOARD MEMBER YI: I understand what you're
- 12 saying and that's fine.
- MR. BURKHART: Yes. The letter pointed out
- 14 their intention for a one-year delay. In meetings
- 15 with the U.S. EPA, they have stated on several
- 16 occasions that they are going to propose a one-year
- 17 delay or authorize it.
- MS. DOCTORS: And the one-year delay as
- 19 founded in Section 246 of the Clean Air Act, which
- 20 required that before the Clean Fuel Fleet Program
- 21 could apply to other areas, there had to be enough
- 22 vehicles available in California.
- 23 As part of that memo in May, they had made
- 24 a determination that there really weren't enough

- 1 vehicles available in California. Therefore, the
- 2 Clean Fuel Fleet Program couldn't go forward.
- 3 So besides them doing a Federal Register
- 4 delaying it one year, there is also some basis for
- 5 the state going forward on its own by pointing out
- 6 that there aren't enough vehicles.
- 7 BOARD MEMBER YI: Thank you very much.
- 8 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay.
- 9 BOARD MEMBER YI: I did have one other final
- 10 comment.
- 11 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay.
- 12 BOARD MEMBER YI: I want to commend the U.S.
- 13 EPA and the Illinois EPA for formalizing this delay
- 14 of one year.
- The reason I say that is because I have been
- 16 part of other federal programs where although it was
- 17 impossible to meet the requirements of those federal
- 18 programs, they didn't take this kind of action where
- 19 you formally delay.
- 20 So what was happening was the people in the
- 21 regulating community in this other program, they have
- 22 a program which was impossible to implement and yet
- 23 the federal government and the states have not
- 24 formalized their delay so that they are hanging on

1 this hook and I want to compliment both the U.S. EPA

- 2 and the IEPA for formalizing this process to make it
- 3 possible for the people to not have nightmares all
- 4 the time.
- 5 Thank you very much.
- 6 THE HEARING OFFICER: I have one follow-up
- 7 logistical question regarding the location of the
- 8 amendments in the proposal.
- 9 The amendment to change the acquiring of
- 10 clean fuel vehicles before September 1st of 1997, the
- 11 amendment to change it to September 1st of 1998, with
- 12 regard to the credit program, that is located at 35
- 13 Illinois Administrative Code 241.130(b)(4), is that
- 14 correct?
- MS. DOCTORS: Yes, it is.
- 16 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. And then just one
- 17 other thing, with regard to the record keeping and
- 18 reporting requirements, the amendment there to change
- 19 the date from November 1, 1998, to November 1, 1999,
- 20 is located at 35 Illinois Administrative Code
- 21 241.140, is that correct?
- MS. DOCTORS: That is correct.
- 23 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. I just wanted to
- 24 clarify that for the record.

```
1
           Are there any other questions of the agency
   witness today?
 3
           We'll go off the record for just one minute.
 4
                             (Whereupon, after a short
 5
                              break was had, the
                              following proceedings
 6
 7
                              were held accordingly.)
 8
           THE HEARING OFFICER: Are there any other
    questions for the agency's witness?
10
                 I have one follow-up question. Since
    the proposal provides that the start of the program
11
12
    date is delayed one year, does that affect the close
    of the program date when all of the vehicles need to
14
   be in compliance or do they all need to be done by
15
    the September 1st or November 1st, 1999 deadlines?
           MR. BURKHART: Well, the vehicle acquisition
16
17
    requirements affect the beginning of the model year,
    which should be September, and then for all the
18
    purchases from that time until the end of August of
19
    the following calendar year.
20
21
           THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay.
22
           MR. BURKHART: So that defines the model year.
    There is no closing -- I mean, each model year is
23
```

24 held separately and the purchase percentages apply to

1 the vehicles acquired during each model year, but the

- 2 program is indefinite.
- 3 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. So it is ongoing
- 4 until all of the models are in compliance?
- 5 MR. BURKHART: Well, it only affects -- the
- 6 program only affects the new acquisitions.
- 7 THE HEARING OFFICER: Right.
- 8 MR. BURKHART: And eventually, it will reach a
- 9 point where 70 percent is the highest percentage for
- 10 light-duty and 50 percent is the highest percentage
- 11 for heavy-duty.
- 12 You know, I'm not sure if that's going to
- 13 result in an entire fleet of vehicles being LEV
- 14 certified. I guess if you have a situation where
- 15 someone is going for credits, that's possible.
- 16 It's indefinite.
- 17 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. That makes sense.
- I would like to remind you again that the
- 19 second hearing for this rulemaking is scheduled for
- 20 September 24, 1997, in Chicago, at 10:00 a.m. at this
- 21 same location. The third hearing is scheduled for
- 22 October 10, 1997, at this same location and at the
- 23 same time.
- I remind you, however, that if after seven

- 1 days of this hearing there is no request for
- 2 additional hearings, they board may cancel both the
- 3 second and third hearing.
- In that event, all parties to the notices will
- 5 receive a hearing officer order indicating that the
- 6 cancellation of the hearings will be done.
- 7 If the board cancels the next two hearings,
- 8 the record in this matter will close 14 days after
- 9 the availability of the transcript.
- 10 Consequently, we anticipate that the public
- 11 comment period after this hearing will close on
- 12 Monday, September 15, 1997.
- 13 Therefore, all public comments must be
- 14 received at the board's Chicago office or Springfield
- 15 office on or before September 18, 1997, at 4:30 p.m.
- 16 The mailbox rule as set forth in 35 Illinois
- 17 Administrative Code 101.102(d) will not apply to
- 18 these filings.
- 19 Are there any other matters which need to be
- 20 addressed at this time?
- 21 Board Member Yi, do you have any follow-up
- 22 comments?
- BOARD MEMBER YI: No. That's it. Thank you.
- 24 THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Seeing that there

```
1 are no further matters to be addressed, this hearing
 2 is hereby adjourned.
           Thank you for your attendance and
 4 participation at this hearing.
 5
                             (Whereupon, the proceedings
 6
                             in the above-entitled
                            matter were adjourned.)
 7
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
```

```
STATE OF ILLINOIS
                          SS.
   COUNTY OF C O O K
 3
                 I, LORI ANN ASAUSKAS, CSR, RPR, notary
   public within and for the County of Cook and State
   of Illinois, do hereby certify that the testimony
 5
    then given by all participants of the rulemaking
   hearing was by me reduced to writing by means of
   machine shorthand and afterwards transcribed upon
   a computer, and the foregoing is a true and correct
10
    transcript.
11
                 I further certify that I am not counsel
12
    for nor in any way related to any of the parties to
    this procedure, nor am I in any way interested in the
   outcome thereof.
14
15
                 In testimony whereof I have hereunto set
16
   my hand and affixed my notarial seal this 31st day of
17
   August, A.D., 1997.
18
                       Lori Ann Asauskas, CSR, RPR
19
                       Notary Public, Cook County, IL
                       Illinois License No. 084-002890
20
21
    SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN
    before me this
   day of August, 1997.
22
23
       Notary Public
24
```