```
BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD
 1
     ANTHONY and KAREN ROTI,
     PAUL ROSENSTROCK and
    LESLIE WEBER,
 3
             Complainants,
 5
         vs
                                  ) No. PCB 99-019
 6
    LTD COMMODITIES,
 7
             Respondent.
 8
 9
10
11
                   The following is the transcript of
12
     a hearing held in the above-entitled matter taken
13
     stenographically by GEANNA M. IAQUINTA, CSR, a
     notary public within and for the County of Cook
14
15
     and State of Illinois, before JOHN KNITTLE,
     Hearing Officer, at 118 West Cook Avenue,
16
     Libertyville, Illinois, on the 24th day of May,
17
18
     2000, A.D., scheduled to commence at 9:30 a.m.,
     commencing at 9:40 a.m.
19
20
21
22
23
```

1	L AP	PEARANCES:
	2	STEVEN P. KAISER & ASSOCIATES, 4711 Golf Road
3	3	Suite 708 Skokie, Illinois 60076
	1	(847) 677-7066 BY: MR. STEVEN P. KAISER
Ę		Appeared on behalf of the Complainants
6	5	
7	7	BAIZER & KOLAR, 513 Central Avenue
8	3	5th Floor Highland Park, Illinois 60035
٥	9	(847) 433-6677
10)	BY: MR. JOSEPH E. KOLAR
11	L	Appeared on behalf of the Respondent.
12	2	
13	3	
14	1	
15	5	
16	5	
17	7	
18	3	
19	9	
20)	
21	L	
22	2	
23	3	
24	1	

1	I N D E X	
2	WITNESSES:	
3	PAGES:	
4	JACK VOIGHT	
5	Direct Examination by Mr. Kolar 5	
6	Cross-Examination by Mr. Kaiser 8 Redirect Examination by Mr. Kolar 13	
7	THOMAS THUNDER Direct Examination by Mr. Kolar 14	
8	Cross-Examination by Mr. Kaiser 61	
9	Redirect Examination by Mr. Kolar 112 Recross-Examination by Mr. Kaiser 122	
10	Further Redirect Examination by Mr. Kolar	
10	Further Recross-Examination	
11	by Mr. Kaiser	
12	REBUTTAL	
13	KAREN ROTI	
14	Direct examination by Mr. Kaiser 138 Cross-Examination by Mr. Kolar 149	
15	Redirect Examination by Mr. Kaiser 156	
16	PUBLIC COMMENT	
17	KENDRA KARASIK	
18	Cross-Examination by Mr. Kaiser 166 Cross Examination by Mr. Kolar 169	
19	Closs Examination by Mr. Rolai 109	
20		
21	NO EXHIBITS MARKED	
22		

- 1 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: My name is John
- 2 Knittle. It is May 24th at approximately 9:40
- 3 a.m. This is the seventh day of hearings in LTD
- 4 Commodities, the second day of this session. It
- 5 is, as I said, about 9:40 a.m.
- I note for the record that there are
- 7 no members of the public here. We are conducting
- 8 this case in accordance with the Board's
- 9 procedural rules and the Illinois Environmental
- 10 Protection Act. We will be proceeding along
- 11 Sections 103.202 and 203, and just for the
- 12 record, one last time, this is PCB 1999-019.
- We are currently in the middle of the
- 14 respondent's case in chief. Mr. Kolar, do you
- 15 want to call your next witness?
- MR. KOLAR: Jack Voight.
- 17 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Can you swear
- 18 Mr. Voight in, please?
- 19 (Witness sworn.)
- 20 WHEREUPON:
- JACK VOIGHT,
- 22 called as a witness herein, having been first

- 1 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 by Mr. Kolar
- 3 Q. State your name, please.
- 4 A. Jack Voight.
- 5 Q. And you work for LTD Commodities?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 Q. And you've been there since 1990?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And, again, what's your position?
- 10 A. Vice-president of distribution.
- 11 Q. On the aerial map, number 89 here, to the
- 12 west of the southwest corner of the LTD building,
- what's this thing right here (indicating)?
- 14 A. That's the tollbooth.
- 15 Q. So right here, what I circled, is the
- 16 tollbooth, right?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And just for anybody looking at this
- 19 aerial, the road here to the south, this is Route
- 20 22?
- 21 A. Route 22, yes.

- 22 Q. And Lakeside Drive goes north from 22 to
- 23 your property, correct?
- 24 A. That's correct.

- 1 Q. And this is Lakeside?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 Q. I'll put, I guess, an LSD there, Lake
- 4 Shore Drive and Lakeside Drive.
- Now, LTD Exhibit 100 or Respondent's
- 6 Exhibit 100, what's this?
- 7 A. This is a carton that we use for shipping.
- 8 Q. And inside here -- you gave this to me
- 9 sometime ago, correct?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. Filled with peanuts?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. And I threw out a bunch of them.
- 14 What are those in the little envelope
- 15 inside?
- 16 A. Those are Styrofoam peanuts used for
- 17 dentage.
- 18 Q. What does that mean?
- 19 A. It's used to protect the product and to
- 20 fill the voids if there are any voids left in the

- 21 box after the product is put in.
- 22 Q. Is this the only size box that LTD uses at
- 23 its building?
- 24 A. No.

- 1 Q. How many -- well, in terms of the various
- 2 sizes, where would you put this one in terms of
- 3 small, medium, large?
- 4 A. It's in the middle, maybe a little bit on
- 5 the smaller side.
- 6 Q. What would be the biggest size box that
- 7 you would use that says LTD Commodities on it?
- 8 A. We have a carton that's 37 by -- 37 inches
- 9 by 33 inches by 17 inches.
- 10 Q. And what do you put in these boxes?
- 11 A. We put product and merchandise that the
- 12 customers have ordered.
- 13 Q. And then the peanuts, if necessary?
- 14 A. Right.
- 15 Q. And then they go on the trucks?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. What percent of the product in the
- 18 building that customers buy go out your doors by
- 19 use of a box that says LTD Commodities on it?

- 20 A. It's a very high percentage, probably 98
- 21 percent. It could be even higher simply because
- 22 most of the products are pretty small and we have
- 23 to put it into these boxes.
- Q. Mr. Kaiser was asking a few questions

- 1 yesterday that for a moment concerned me. I
- 2 wanted to clarify something.
- 3 Does LTD Commodities lease its
- 4 storage space to any third parties at all?
- 5 A. No, sir.
- 6 Q. Is the storage space used solely for LTD's
- 7 business purposes in terms of storing its goods
- 8 until they're packed in these boxes and shipped
- 9 out to customers?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 MR. KOLAR: I don't have any other
- 12 questions.
- 13 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Kaiser, do
- 14 you have a cross-examination?
- MR. KAISER: Yes.
- 16 CROSS EXAMINATION
- 17 by Mr. Kaiser
- 18 Q. Mr. Voight, approximately how many square

- 19 feet at LTD's Bannockburn facility are committed
- 20 to the storage of boxes similar to Respondent's
- 21 Exhibit 100? That is, they may be larger than
- 22 maybe the 37 inch by 33-inch box, but boxes with
- 23 LTD's logo on it.
- 24 A. Ten percent of the building.

- 1 Q. Ten percent has boxes with LTD's logo on
- 2 it?
- 3 A. When you talk about just empty boxes?
- 4 Q. No. Well, I appreciate the clarification,
- 5 and we'll work through this.
- 6 Ten percent of the space is committed
- 7 just to the storage of empty boxes?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And what percentage of the space is
- 10 committed to the storage of boxes that contain
- 11 products that have yet to be shipped to LTD's
- 12 customers?
- 13 A. When we talk about storage, we're talking
- 14 about rack storage and bulk storage. I would say
- 15 it probably represents 65 percent of the space.
- 16 Q. Sixty-five percent of the space of the
- 17 entire LTD space or of the warehouse area?

- 18 A. Of the warehouse area.
- 19 Q. Sixty-five percent of the warehouse area
- 20 is committed just to storage of product before
- 21 it's sent out to customers?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And then ten percent is, as I understood
- 24 it, committed just to storage of empty boxes?

- 1 A. Well, that would be included in that 65
- 2 percent. I apologize for that.
- 3 Q. What goes on in that other 35 percent of
- 4 the space?
- 5 A. That is used for our picking operation,
- 6 for returns, maintenance, housekeeping. We also
- 7 do receiving. The products are brought in via
- 8 the dockyards.
- 9 Q. And the receiving, those are products that
- 10 LTD has ordered, right?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. That LTD intends to then sell to its
- 13 customers, correct?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And, again, LTD isn't leasing space to
- 16 third parties, is it?

- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. And LTD isn't storing goods for third
- 19 parties, is it?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. And LTD isn't contracting with third
- 22 parties to perform crating or packaging for other
- 23 people's goods, is it?
- 24 A. No.

- 1 Q. Is there anyplace in any LTD catalog where
- 2 a customer -- prospective customer can call up an
- 3 order from LTD packing and crating services?
- 4 MR. KOLAR: Objection, beyond the scope.
- 5 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Sustained.
- 6 BY MR. KAISER:
- 7 Q. The inventory that comes in to LTD's
- 8 warehouse in Bannockburn, how long does it
- 9 usually stay on the shelf?
- 10 A. Two to four weeks. That would be an
- 11 average. Obviously, some longer and some less.
- 12 Q. And isn't it a goal in your business, in
- 13 LTD's business, to try to turn that inventory
- 14 quickly? There's no advantage to having it sit
- 15 four or eight weeks if you can turn it in two

- 16 weeks, correct?
- 17 MR. KOLAR: Beyond the scope.
- 18 MR. KAISER: But it has to do with these
- 19 boxes and how long they stay there. You open the
- 20 door when you bring the box in.
- 21 MR. KOLAR: I don't think it has anything
- 22 to do with the box. I didn't get into inventory
- 23 other than these boxes are used to ship product
- 24 out the door, and now we're getting into how long

- 1 inventory is on the shelf, et cetera. It's
- 2 beyond the scope.
- 3 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: I'm just looking
- 4 at my notes. I think this question is okay. I
- 5 don't think it's beyond the scope.
- 6 Mr. Kaiser, I'm inclined to sustain
- 7 most further objections along these lines. It
- 8 was a very limited direct examination.
- 9 BY MR. KAISER:
- 10 Q. Do you recall the question or would you
- 11 like it read back?
- 12 A. Please read it back.
- 13 (Record read.)
- 14 BY THE WITNESS:

- 15 A. That is correct.
- 16 BY MR. KAISER:
- 17 Q. Now, boxes like LTD Exhibit 100 are used
- 18 by LTD to support its predominant activity, which
- 19 is sales, correct?
- 20 A. Boxes are used, yes, to ship the product.
- 21 Q. And the product is sold to LTD's
- 22 customers?
- 23 A. That's correct.
- Q. Without the boxes, LTD couldn't do its

- 1 business, right?
- 2 A. We could use envelopes, yeah, but
- 3 basically boxes do serve that purpose.
- 4 Q. And they support LTD's predominant
- 5 activity, which is sales of product, right?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 MR. KAISER: Thank you. I have no further
- 8 questions.
- 9 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Kolar.
- 10 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 11 by Mr. Kolar
- 12 Q. Without LTD employees picking product off
- 13 the shelf, packing it in these boxes, putting in

- 14 peanuts, putting it on trucks, LTD would not be
- able to do its business, correct?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- MR. KOLAR: I don't have anything else.
- 18 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Kaiser,
- 19 cross on that question?
- 20 MR. KAISER: Nothing further.
- 21 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Discretion and
- 22 all. Thank you, sir. You can step down.
- Mr. Kolar, your next witness.
- MR. KOLAR: We would call Thomas Thunder.

- 1 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Thunder,
- 2 just have a seat there where Mr. Voight was.
- 3 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Could you swear
- 4 him in, please?
- 5 (Witness sworn.)
- 6 WHEREUPON:
- 7 THOMAS THUNDER,
- 8 called as a witness herein, having been first
- 9 duly sworn, deposeth and saith as follows:
- 10 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 11 by Mr. Kolar
- 12 Q. Can you state your name, please?

- 13 A. Thomas D. Thunder.
- 14 Q. Where do you live, Mr. Thunder?
- 15 A. I live at 57 Elizabeth Avenue in Palatine,
- 16 Illinois.
- 17 Q. What do you do for a living?
- 18 A. I'm a hearing and acoustical consultant.
- 19 Q. With what company?
- 20 A. Acoustic Associates Limited.
- 21 Q. What's your position there?
- 22 A. I'm principal of the firm.
- 23 Q. How long have you been with that company?
- 24 A. Since 1987.

- 1 Q. Is that your company?
- 2 A. Yes, it is.
- 3 Q. And where is its office located?
- 4 A. It's at 305 East Northwest Highway in
- 5 Palatine, Illinois.
- 6 Q. You were hired by LTD regarding this noise
- 7 proceeding?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. Were you hired by LTD before or after
- 10 there was a Pollution Control Board complaint?
- 11 A. I believe it was before.

- 12 Q. Are you charging LTD for your services?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. What's your hourly rate?
- 15 A. It's 195.
- 16 Q. Were you working for LTD Commodities
- 17 regarding noise issues at its headquarters in
- 18 Bannockburn before you ever met me?
- 19 A. Yes, I was.
- 20 Q. And in terms of your charges to LTD, did
- 21 you expect to testify last November?
- 22 A. Yes, I did.
- 23 Q. And you prepared as if you were going to
- 24 testify last November?

- 1 A. That's correct.
- 2 Q. Then you had to prepare again here in May?
- 3 A. That's correct.
- 4 Q. What's your education after high school?
- 5 A. I have a bachelor's degree in
- 6 communication disorders from Northern Illinois
- 7 University, a master's degree in audiology from
- 8 Northern Illinois University, and postgraduate
- 9 work in acoustics from the Illinois Institute of
- 10 Technology.

- 11 Q. In one of your documents, it says, Thomas
- 12 D. Thunder, MA, FAAA, and INCE, correct?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 Q. The MA stands for?
- 15 A. Master's of audiology, master's of arts.
- 16 Q. And the FAAA?
- 17 A. That's Fellow of the American Academy of
- 18 Audiology.
- 19 Q. And the INCE designation?
- 20 A. That represents a board certification by
- 21 the Institute of Noise Control Engineers.
- 22 Q. Now, how do you become a fellow of the
- 23 Triple A group?
- 24 A. Essentially, by passing a national

- 1 examination and having a one-year clinical
- 2 fellowship year postgraduate.
- 3 Q. Audiology is the study of what?
- 4 A. Audiology is the study of hearing. It's
- 5 hearing science.
- 6 Q. What is acoustics?
- 7 A. Acoustics is the study of sound and the
- 8 propagation of sound and the effects of sound.
- 9 Q. And you have training and experience in

- 10 both those fields?
- 11 A. Yes, I do.
- 12 Q. You mentioned you were board certified by
- 13 the Institute of Noise Control Engineering?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 Q. How did you obtain that board
- 16 certification?
- 17 A. That board certification is obtained after
- 18 passing a fundamentals exam and a much longer
- 19 professional exam after completing eight years of
- 20 supervised work in the area of noise and five
- 21 references of people that have worked with you
- 22 and then final board approval.
- 23 Q. Are you certified or licensed by the state
- 24 of Illinois in any respect?

- 1 A. I am licensed by the state of Illinois in
- 2 the area of audiology and licensed by the state
- 3 of Illinois for hearing aid dispensing. There's
- 4 no such licensure for acoustical engineering.
- 5 Q. Have you taught in the areas of audiology
- 6 and/or acoustics?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Where?

- 9 A. I'm currently on staff at Rush University
- 10 downtown. I'm an assistant professor there.
- 11 I've been there for 12 years. I'm an adjunct
- 12 professor at Northern Illinois University, and
- 13 I'm currently constructing on-line courses for
- 14 the Pennsylvania College of Optometry and the
- 15 Arizona School of Health Sciences.
- 16 Q. Rush University, is that a medical school?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. What do you teach there?
- 19 A. I teach in the audiology department, and
- 20 my specialty is industrial audiology and noise.
- 21 Q. And at Northern Illinois University, what
- do you teach there?
- 23 A. Two courses. One is hearing science.
- 24 It's an upper level undergraduate course with 65

- 1 students and a graduate level course called Noise
- 2 and its Effect on Man.
- 3 Q. How long have you been in the business of,
- 4 I guess, acoustics and audiology?
- 5 A. Almost 25 years.
- 6 Q. Have you testified in courts or
- 7 administrative proceedings as a noise control

- 8 expert audiologist?
- 9 A. Yes, I have.
- 10 Q. What courts have you testified in, at
- 11 least the counties where you appeared?
- 12 A. Well, most recently, in Aurora and then
- 13 for Cook County. Aurora would be, what, DuPage
- 14 County?
- 15 Q. Kane, maybe.
- 16 A. Kane.
- 17 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: I'm not sure.
- 18 THE WITNESS: Whatever.
- 19 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: We'll let the
- 20 board figure that out.
- 21 BY THE WITNESS:
- 22 A. Those are the hearings I've been in that's
- 23 related to noise.

L.A. REPORTING (312) 419-9292

- 1 BY MR. KOLAR:
- 2 Q. What about administrative tribunals sort
- 3 of like -- I think the Pollution Control Board is
- 4 an administrative tribunal.
- 5 So things like that, have you
- 6 testified before agencies?

- 7 A. Well, the last two that I cited were
- 8 Illinois Pollution Control Board hearings.
- 9 Q. Have you testified other than Pollution
- 10 Control Board hearings?
- 11 A. Yes. I've testified before a number of
- 12 villages. Last night, before the village of
- 13 Glenview. The night before that, it was the
- 14 village of Bloomingdale on noise issues.
- 15 Q. Do you recall when you were first retained
- 16 by LTD?
- 17 A. Not specifically, but I believe it was
- 18 early '97.
- 19 Q. Let me show you a document. It sounds
- 20 right to me.
- 21 A. If you can refresh my memory with some
- 22 documentation.
- Q. Here's Respondent's Exhibit 91.
- 24 A. That's pretty early. That's January of

- 1 '97.
- 2 Q. So January '97 you were retained by LTD.
- 3 What were the circumstances of LTD
- 4 contacting you at that time?
- 5 A. I believe they had received complaints,

- 6 either verbally or in writing, from one or two of
- 7 the neighbors that abutted their property, and
- 8 they were interested in assessing the noise and
- 9 see what kind of options were available to
- 10 possibly reduce it.
- 11 Q. You've been to the LTD property?
- 12 A. Yes, I have.
- 13 Q. About how many times?
- 14 A. Maybe a half dozen times.
- 15 Q. Have you been inside the facility?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. So when you first were retained by LTD,
- 18 there was not a Pollution Control Board
- 19 complaint?
- 20 A. I wasn't aware of one, no.
- 21 Q. And at some point, you became aware that
- there was a Pollution Control Board complaint?
- 23 A. That's correct.
- Q. And during the time you've been working

- 1 with LTD on noise issues at its Bannockburn
- 2 facility, has LTD and its employees and officers
- 3 cooperated with you?
- 4 A. Absolutely.

- 5 Q. Who have you worked with at LTD in terms
- of touring the property or answering questions?
- 7 A. Primarily, Jack Voight.
- 8 Q. Do you know Paul Schomer?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Did you have any contact with him
- 11 regarding this project?
- 12 A. Yes, we did. Before we did the sound
- 13 level surveys, Jack Voight, myself, and him had a
- 14 teleconference to discuss protocol.
- 15 Q. What does Mr. Schomer do for a living?
- 16 A. He's a noise consultant down mid-state.
- 17 Q. And who was he working for?
- 18 A. I believe he was working for the village
- 19 of Bannockburn.
- 20 Q. At some point, did your company have
- 21 measurements -- Strike that.
- 22 At some point, did your company make
- 23 measurements at the LTD site?
- 24 A. Yes, we did.

- 1 Q. And before that, did you have contact with
- 2 Mr. Schomer as to how the measurements would be
- 3 performed, what time, et cetera?

- 4 A. We did.
- 5 Q. And did you consider some of his
- 6 suggestions and implement them in your
- 7 procedures?
- 8 A. Yes, we did, through our teleconference
- 9 meeting, which was then later summarized in
- 10 writing.
- 11 Q. In your work as a noise control
- 12 consultant, have you had the opportunity to
- 13 review the Pollution Control Board noise
- 14 regulations?
- 15 A. Yes, I have.
- 16 Q. Okay. So prior to working with LTD, were
- 17 you familiar with, for example, Section 901.102
- 18 regarding sound emitted to class A land during
- 19 the day and then at night as well?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And you were familiar before this project
- 22 with 901.104, the impulsive sound provisions of
- 23 the regulations?
- 24 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Okay. So before this project, did you
- 2 have a basic understanding that regulations

- 3 classified land uses?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. So for this particular project, did you
- 6 form an opinion as to the proper letter
- 7 classification for LTD Commodities under the
- 8 regulations?
- 9 MR. KAISER: Objection, foundation.
- 10 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Can you
- 11 expatiate on that, Mr. Kaiser?
- 12 MR. KAISER: I mean, I don't have a
- 13 problem with Mr. Thunder's qualifications to
- 14 measure noise and to interpret those
- 15 measurements, but to render an opinion with
- 16 respect to LTD's classification under the
- 17 Standard Land Use Coding Manual, I haven't heard
- 18 anything that would allow the Board to give his
- 19 opinion any weight.
- 20 THE WITNESS: Mr. Kolar, do you want to
- 21 respond?
- MR. KOLAR: Well, I'm not going to ask him
- 23 for a number, for the record, a specific number,
- 24 but yet, I think that I'll show through testimony

- 2 issues. Let me ask him a few more questions.
- 3 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Yeah. I'd be
- 4 prepared to rule, but if you want to ask
- 5 questions.
- 6 MR. KOLAR: No. I'll take your ruling.
- 7 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: I'm going to
- 8 overrule the objection and allow him to answer
- 9 this question. I think he's been qualified.
- 10 BY MR. KOLAR:
- 11 Q. I guess the question was, did you form an
- 12 opinion regarding the proper classification of
- 13 LTD?
- 14 A. Yes, I did.
- 15 Q. And during your work, did you have
- 16 communications, conversations with Mr. Schomer
- 17 regarding the proper classification?
- 18 A. Yes, we did.
- 19 Q. Let me show you Respondent's Exhibit 40,
- 20 an April 20, 1997, letter from Mr. Schomer to
- 21 David Lothspeich.
- 22 While working for LTD, did you get a
- 23 copy of that letter?
- 24 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. And did you read that letter when you got
- 2 a copy?
- 3 A. I looked it over, yes.
- 4 Q. Did you see in that letter that Mr.
- 5 Schomer was of the opinion that LTD was a class C
- 6 use?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 Q. At some point after that, did you get a
- 9 copy --
- 10 MR. KAISER: Excuse me. Just by way of
- 11 clarification, that as of the time Schomer wrote
- 12 the letter on 4-20-97, it was his opinion that
- 13 LTD was a class C land use.
- 14 MR. KOLAR: I would stipulate that that
- was his opinion as of April 20th, 1997.
- 16 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Okay. Let's
- move on.
- 18 MR. KOLAR: The letter speaks for itself
- 19 in that regard.
- 20 BY MR. KOLAR:
- 21 Q. Let me show you Respondent's Exhibit 41, a
- July 10th, 1997, letter from Mr. Schomer to Jack
- 23 Voight.
- 24 Did you see a copy of that letter in

- or about July 1997?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 Q. And did you see the second page that as of
- 4 July 1997, Mr. Schomer was still of the opinion
- 5 that LTD was a class C land use?
- 6 A. I noted that.
- 7 Q. Okay. So during your -- you told us that
- 8 you had formed an opinion yourself regarding the
- 9 classification of LTD, correct?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And what's your opinion as to the letter
- 12 classification?
- 13 A. When I first met Jack Voight and took a
- 14 look at the operations and saw the trucks moving
- 15 in and out with material, I presumed at that
- 16 point it was a class C operation.
- 17 Q. And prior to September 1997 when noise
- 18 measurements were made, did you and Mr. Schomer
- 19 have any disagreement as to whether LTD was a
- 20 class C land use?
- 21 A. No, not at all.
- 22 Q. You were in concurrence during that time
- 23 that it was a class C land use?
- 24 A. Absolutely.

- 1 Q. And were you still in concurrence that it
- 2 was a class C land use until you came up with
- 3 your findings regarding the noise measured in
- 4 September?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And at some point, you reported your
- 7 numerical findings to LTD and Mr. Schomer?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. We'll go into those in a little more
- 10 detail later, but basically in terms of C to A
- 11 classification, were you able to demonstrate a
- 12 numerical violation?
- 13 A. No, I wasn't.
- 14 Q. And at some point after that, did you have
- 15 communications or contact with Mr. Schomer
- 16 regarding classification?
- 17 A. I didn't have contact with him at that
- 18 point, but I had read a letter of his review of
- 19 the report where he had indicated it was class B.
- Q. He then changed his position?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Let me show you Respondent's Exhibit 92.
- 23 You have the original in the pile there.
- 24 The May 8th, 1997, letter, did you

- 1 prepare that letter?
- 2 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And in the first bullet point, you
- 4 indicate what regarding classification?
- 5 A. That the applicable limits are, indeed,
- 6 those given by IPCB for nighttime sound
- 7 transmitted from class C to class A land.
- 8 Q. The first sentence indicates that you had
- 9 received a letter from Paul Schomer, correct?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. As you sit here today, do you recall why
- 12 you used the word indeed when you said the
- 13 applicable limits are, indeed, those given by the
- 14 IPCB for nighttime sound transmitted from class C
- 15 to class A land?
- 16 A. I believe it was probably a bulleted item
- 17 in his letter that I just wanted to show that we
- 18 were both in agreement that it was class c.
- 19 Q. Then once Mr. Schomer was taking the
- 20 position that now it's a class B, did you have
- 21 any further involvement in terms of that issue?
- 22 A. Well, it struck me by surprise because Mr.
- 23 Schomer did not give any rationale for the change
- 24 of his opinion. He just indicated it was class

- 1 B.
- MR. KAISER: Objection. Misstates Dr.
- 3 Schomer's testimony before the Board, which is
- 4 before the Board in the form of Schomer's
- 5 deposition transcript of October 29th, 1999. A
- 6 full explanation was given for Dr. Schomer's
- 7 change of opinion.
- 8 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Kolar.
- 9 MR. KOLAR: This is his testimony
- 10 regarding what transpired. Mr. Schomer has his
- 11 own testimony.
- 12 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Overruled.
- 13 BY MR. KOLAR:
- 14 Q. Can you finish?
- 15 A. I received no rationale from anyone. All
- 16 I saw is that class B appeared in his response
- 17 letter. At that point then, I advised LTD that
- 18 they should consult with their land planners and
- 19 their attorneys to resolve the issue.
- 20 Q. You were basically out of it then?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- Q. As you sit here today, though, do you
- 23 still believe that it's your opinion that LTD is
- 24 a class C?

- 1 A. Yes, I do.
- 2 Q. At some point, did you complete a report,
- 3 final report, of the work measurements your
- 4 company took in the analyzing of that data?
- 5 A. Yes, we did.
- 6 Q. I show you Respondent's Exhibit 97. The
- 7 original is in my pile that I'm going to
- 8 introduce. Here's a copy. January 8th, 1998,
- 9 letter from you to Jack Voight.
- 10 What is this document?
- 11 A. This is our final report on our noise
- 12 measurements out at the site that were taken
- 13 September 23rd, that evening.
- Q. Roger Harmon, he came and testified, but,
- just generally, what was his role on this
- 16 project?
- 17 A. Roger works as our field engineer. So his
- 18 responsibility is to go out and conduct the
- 19 actual fieldwork and obtain the samples of data.
- 20 Q. And you rely upon Roger to collect the
- 21 data for you to analyze?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- 23 Q. So this Exhibit 97 is your report to LTD
- 24 of your analysis of the data that Roger

- 1 collected?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 Q. And in terms of noise measurement, this
- 4 report relates to a particular day?
- 5 A. That's right.
- 6 Q. And that would be what day?
- 7 A. The evening of September 23rd, 1997.
- 8 Q. Any measurements taken by your company, to
- 9 your knowledge, of noise during the day at LTD?
- 10 A. I'm trying to recollect. It's been a
- 11 couple of years. I believe we had Roger go out
- 12 in the daytime to make measurements, preliminary
- 13 measurements, on the residential properties so
- 14 that we could define our protocol more clearly.
- 15 Q. In terms of your report, though, it
- 16 relates to nighttime measurements on September
- 17 23rd, 1997?
- 18 A. That's right.
- 19 Q. And in terms of testifying regarding
- 20 numerical findings, your testimony would relate
- 21 to that one particular evening in 1997?
- 22 A. That's right.
- 23 Q. Are there other people in the collar

- 1 measuring noise and then analyzing it?
- 2 A. In what area?
- 3 Q. Chicago and the collar counties.
- 4 A. Yes, there are.
- 5 Q. Ambient noise, does that mean something to
- 6 you?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Can you define that for us?
- 9 A. American National Standards Institute
- 10 defines that as the all-encompassing noise from
- 11 sources near and distant that are always in the
- 12 background.
- 13 Q. So in terms of the use of the phrase
- 14 ambient noise relative to LTD, what noise are we
- 15 talking about?
- 16 A. Well, LTD is what we would call the target
- 17 noise. The ambient noise is that noise that
- 18 would exist if LTD operations were ceased.
- 19 Q. And what ambient noises exist in the LTD
- 20 complainant area?
- 21 A. Primarily, it's transportation noise, some
- 22 distant noise from airplanes, of course, because

- 23 this is common to this area, but most
- 24 predominately because of the noise along the

- 1 expressway and Route 22.
- 2 Q. So by the expressway, you would be
- 3 referring to Tollway 294 to the west of LTD and
- 4 the complainants?
- 5 A. That's correct.
- 6 Q. And Route 22, the state route to the south
- 7 of LTD?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. By definition, if there was noise created
- 10 by a garbage truck on the Corporate 100 parking
- 11 lot, would that be ambient noise by definition?
- 12 A. That would be ambient noise, yes.
- 13 Q. And if Lakeside Drive is a public road not
- 14 owned by LTD, noise created on Lakeside Drive,
- 15 would that be ambient noise?
- 16 A. That would fall under ambient noise, yes.
- 17 Q. So in terms of analyzing noise and making
- 18 noise measurements of LTD operations, what do you
- 19 have to do regarding ambient noise?
- 20 A. Well --
- 21 MR. KAISER: Objection. What do you have

- 22 to do, the question is vague.
- 23 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Kolar, can
- 24 you clarify the question, please?

- 1 BY MR. KOLAR:
- Q. As a noise professional in analyzing LTD
- 3 operations, do you attempt to subtract ambient
- 4 noise?
- 5 A. That is an ultimate goal, yes, to find out
- 6 how much the ambient noise contributes to the
- 7 measurement that you're making. Unfortunately,
- 8 sound level equipment, unlike the brain, is
- 9 unable to differentiate sounds.
- 10 So in order to subtract that out, the
- 11 target noise must cease for some time. That
- 12 gives you an opportunity to measure the ambient
- 13 noise and see how it contributes to your
- 14 measurement.
- 15 Q. Ambient noise is real noise that people
- 16 would hear if they're in the area, correct?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. And how did you and your company attempt
- 19 to measure ambient noise relative to the report
- 20 of January 8th, 1998, concerning September 23rd,

- 21 1997?
- 22 A. Well, our attempt was knowing that the
- 23 operations were done at 2:00 o'clock in the
- 24 morning, we simply make a measurement at 2:00

- 1 o'clock in the morning when the operations were
- 2 down, but we were surprised with the results.
- 3 Q. And what did you find regarding ambient
- 4 noise on September 23rd, 1997?
- 5 A. What we thought was ambient noise went up
- 6 considerably, much higher than the noise that we
- 7 measured during the one-hour sampling when LTD
- 8 was in operation.
- 9 Q. Meaning that the ambient noise was louder
- 10 on that particular evening than the LTD
- 11 operations?
- 12 A. That's correct, at the particular point in
- 13 time. So we backtracked and consulted with Paul
- 14 Schomer and decided to estimate the background
- 15 noise based on a statistical analysis of the time
- 16 that we measured the noise during the one-hour
- 17 period.
- 18 Q. Is this explained in your report --
- 19 A. Yes.

- 20 Q. -- Exhibit 97?
- 21 A. I believe so.
- 22 Q. In terms of -- Strike that.
- The ambient noise that you said you
- 24 found was louder than the operations, where was

- 1 that ambient noise coming from?
- 2 A. Well, with the operation shut down and our
- 3 field engineer out at the site, he felt that the
- 4 predominant sound was from the expressway, 294.
- 5 Q. Page two of your report, Exhibit 97, it
- 6 says the major background noise observed was
- 7 vehicle traffic along I-94, a tollway to the
- 8 west?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. So you agreed with Roger's conclusion
- 11 regarding ambient noise?
- 12 A. That's correct.
- 13 Q. So how did you attempt to estimate noise
- 14 so that you could then accurately measure LTD
- 15 noise?
- 16 A. LTD doesn't have a continuously operating
- 17 noise source such as a fan on a roof or something
- 18 like that. It's what we would call intermittent

- 19 noise, a truck coming and going, things that are
- 20 happening on site, and so we used a statistical
- 21 analysis where we looked at all the one-second
- 22 data.
- In other words, we had data for every
- 24 second during that whole period, and we looked

- 1 for that point where the sound level was exceeded
- 2 90 percent of the time. Essentially what that
- 3 means is it would be a minimal average or average
- 4 minimum sound level.
- 5 Q. That's an L90 spectrum?
- 6 A. That's what's called an L90 spectrum.
- 7 It's an exceedance level. In other words, 90
- 8 percent of the time the sound level exceeded that
- 9 numeric value.
- 10 Q. In terms of the ambient noise actually
- 11 measured on September 23rd, 1997, did you analyze
- 12 that in terms whether the ambient noise itself
- 13 exceeded state octave band limits?
- 14 A. I didn't specifically look at how it
- 15 compared, and I don't think I made any references
- 16 to that.
- 17 Q. Maybe I misunderstand your report. Page

- 18 three of your report, if you can take a look.
- 19 Since the IPCB limits our state in
- 20 octave bands -- do you see that paragraph?
- 21 A. Okay.
- Q. And what's the conclusion you reach there
- 23 regarding background noise or ambient noise?
- 24 A. That the background noise as measured

- 1 around 3:00 o'clock in the morning after LTD
- 2 operations had ceased were well above the
- 3 Illinois Pollution Control Board limits.
- 4 Q. And that would be looking at C to A
- 5 tables?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 Q. You said in every octave band. What does
- 8 that mean?
- 9 The background noise was well above
- 10 state limits in octave band.
- 11 Is there something that shows that to
- 12 us, a chart? You're looking at figure three?
- MR. KOLAR: Here's the original, if you're
- 14 interested.
- 15 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: I am interested.
- MR. KOLAR: Okay.

- 17 BY MR. KOLAR:
- 18 Q. What figure are you looking at?
- 19 A. Well, we're looking at figure three.
- 20 Q. And explain that figure for us.
- 21 A. Figure three is a figure that shows what
- 22 we call octave band spectrum. In other words,
- 23 the sound is split up into nine different
- 24 frequency bands. Thirty-two, 63 hertz, which are

- 1 on the left, represent the low pitches. Five
- 2 hundred hertz would be a middle pitch, like a
- 3 teakettle whistle, and 4,000 hertz would be like
- 4 a bird sound.
- 5 So we split the sound up into
- 6 different frequencies because Illinois is unique
- 7 in the sense that they limit not the overall
- 8 sound level, but rather the sound of any of nine
- 9 specific octave bands. So our work is done in
- 10 this comparison by taking the measured data and
- 11 analyzing it into octave band data.
- 12 Q. And so for reference, the horizontal part
- of this graph where we have 32, 63, 125, those
- 14 numbers correspond to the 901.102(a) --
- 15 A. That's correct.

- 16 Q. -- octave band center frequency hertz
- 17 column?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. And the vertical column, A-weighted sound
- 20 levels and dB -- actually, I guess that would be
- 21 the bar graph part, correct?
- 22 A. That's the decibel level, correct.
- 23 Q. That would correspond to another part of
- 24 the regulation?

- A. Yes. So each column here shows the limit
- 2 for class C to class A radiations. So at --
- 3 we'll pick one at 500 hertz, for example. In
- 4 that bar, the nighttime class C to class A limit
- 5 is not marked on there graphically with what the
- 6 number is, but it's about 46.
- 7 Q. Where would it be on 500 -- oh,
- 8 nighttime. Correct.
- 9 A. Forty-seven is the actual number shown in
- 10 the regulation.
- 11 Q. Okay. So each bar graph we have how many
- 12 other? Do you know that by heart?
- 13 A. There's nine total.
- 14 Q. So those nine bar graphs correspond to the

- 901.102(b) numbers under class C land?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 Q. I'm sorry. If you can continue on.
- 18 A. Well, that shows the limits, and the
- 19 broken black trace that's at the very top is the
- ambient noise that we measured from 3:07 to 3:21
- 21 a.m., which would actually have been on the 24th
- 22 by then because it was after midnight, and as you
- 23 can see, most of those bands exceed the state
- 24 limits right away.

- 1 Q. So that would be it exceeds a 500, 1,000,
- 2 2,000, 4,000, and 8,000 hertz?
- 3 A. That's correct.
- 4 Q. That's the background noise?
- 5 A. That's right.
- 6 Q. Let me -- let's talk further about the
- 7 tollway noise.
- 8 Does wind have any effect on the
- 9 ability of residents east of the tollway to hear
- 10 tollway noise?
- 11 A. It would.
- 12 Q. In what circumstances would the wind
- 13 affect their ability to hear the tollway?

- 14 A. Well, if you're downwind from the noise
- 15 source, you would expect some increase in sound,
- 16 but that obviously is going to vary with the
- 17 wind. So it's going to fluctuate.
- 18 As the wind kicks up, you can hear
- 19 the sound a bit better. As the wind calms down,
- 20 then it decays away.
- Q. You understand the complainants to be east
- 22 of the tollway?
- 23 A. That's correct.
- Q. So what if the wind is blowing west to

- 1 east, how does that affect it?
- 2 A. It would enhance the sound from the
- 3 tollway.
- 4 Q. Which direction was the wind blowing on
- 5 the date when measurements were being taken?
- 6 A. I'm not sure.
- 7 Q. Does your report indicate that?
- 8 A. It may, but our protocol is generally to
- 9 make those --
- 10 MR. KAISER: Objection to what generally
- 11 is done.
- 12 BY MR. KOLAR:

- 13 Q. Let me ask you do you recall which
- 14 direction the wind was blowing?
- 15 A. No, I don't.
- 16 Q. Take a look at page two of your report and
- 17 see if that refreshes your recollection?
- 18 A. Okay.
- 19 Q. Specifically, the second paragraph. Take
- 20 a look and then let me know when you've looked at
- 21 it.
- 22 A. Okay.
- 23 Q. Have you looked at that paragraph?
- 24 A. Okay. Yes.

- 1 Q. Do you now recall which direction the wind
- 2 was blowing?
- 3 A. Five miles per hour from the east.
- 4 MR. KAISER: Objection. Excuse me. This
- 5 witness can't recall it. He wasn't there when
- 6 these measurements were taken. He didn't refresh
- 7 his recollection.
- 8 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Kolar.
- 9 MR. KOLAR: I guess I'll just -- you don't
- 10 want his report in? I guess we'll just let Mr.
- 11 Thunder go and not introduce his report. His

- 12 report speaks for --
- MR. KAISER: I don't have a problem with
- 14 his report going in. I just have a problem with
- 15 him saying he recalls things that he couldn't
- 16 even perceive.
- 17 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: I'd sustain
- 18 that.
- 19 MR. KOLAR: Okay.
- 20 BY MR. KOLAR:
- 21 Q. The note -- you've got a note in your
- 22 report the wind was blowing from east to west.
- 23 A. That would have been a report from Roger,
- 24 our field engineer, which would have found its

- 1 way into our report. I'm just recalling not from
- 2 being there, but recalling what was written in
- 3 the report.
- 4 Q. Maybe I should have asked you do you
- 5 recall what you put in your report regarding
- 6 wind?
- 7 A. I do now. Five miles per hour from the
- 8 east, but that's a low wind. I mean, that's
- 9 generally not a good idea to make noise
- 10 measurements in a lot of wind because it has

- 11 artifacts that it introduces in your measurement.
- 12 Q. What mile per hour wind would that --
- 13 Strike that.
- 14 If the wind gets stronger, does that
- 15 carry noise more easily?
- 16 A. Yes, it would.
- 17 Q. Would wet pavement on the tollway at all
- 18 affect the level of the ambient noise from the
- 19 tollway?
- 20 A. It does somewhat, yes.
- 21 Q. In what regard?
- 22 A. Well, the water that's kicked up by the
- 23 tires creates a bit more high frequency sound,
- 24 high frequency meaning above 2,000 hertz.

- 1 Q. What's a temperature inversion?
- 2 A. That's where the air above the ground is
- 3 warmer. It's a meteorological condition that can
- 4 affect the propagation of sound.
- 5 Q. And how does that affect the propagation
- 6 of sound?
- 7 A. Since sound travels faster in warmer air,
- 8 it has the propensity to bend or refract sound
- 9 waves back down as opposed to allowing those

- 10 sound waves to carry up into the atmosphere. So
- 11 the bottom line is that it enhances sound sources
- 12 that are at a distance from the measuring point.
- 13 Q. In terms of a temperature inversion, would
- 14 that have more of an impact on noise coming from
- 15 the tollway to the complainants' property or from
- 16 the LTD operations to the property?
- 17 A. It would enhance both. Actually, assuming
- 18 that the temperature inversion is uniform in that
- 19 entire area, then it would enhance the
- 20 propagation of all sources, but it's most notable
- 21 to sources that are at a distance.
- In other words, when you're close to
- 23 a source, within a couple hundred feet or so,
- 24 you're not going to see much of that effect at

- 1 all. On the other hand, when that source may be
- 2 several hundred feet to even a mile, you can see
- 3 that change quite readily.
- 4 Q. Are you familiar with the regulation in
- 5 exception 901.107(f) regarding vehicles
- 6 registered for highway use?
- 7 A. Somewhat.
- 8 Q. If the Pollution Control Board determined

- 9 in this case that that regulation was applicable
- 10 and that there was an exception for vehicles
- 11 ingressing and egressing the LTD truck staging
- 12 area, would noise created by those vehicles then
- 13 be basically ambient in nature under your
- 14 definition?
- 15 MR. KAISER: Objection, calls for a legal
- 16 conclusion, assumes facts not in evidence.
- 17 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Kolar.
- 18 MR. KOLAR: I disagree. I guess it calls
- 19 for a noise control opinion. I'm asking if
- 20 that's ambient.
- 21 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Yeah.
- 22 Overruled.
- 23 BY THE WITNESS:
- 24 A. Trucks that are moving on county and state

- 1 highways are not considered property line noise
- 2 sources. It's considered a property line noise
- 3 source for movement and operations on that
- 4 specific property.
- 5 BY MR. KOLAR:
- 6 Q. I guess looking at more specifically, if
- 7 it was determined that that exception applied and

- 8 you were then hired to measure LTD noise, you
- 9 would have to account for ambient tollway noise,
- 10 correct?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. You'd have to account for any Corporate
- 13 100 noise, correct?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 Q. And then you would have to account for any
- 16 noise of trucks ingressing and egressing from
- 17 Lakeside Drive?
- 18 A. If you wanted to be technical about it,
- 19 yes.
- 20 Q. In your report, were you able to, when you
- 21 completed your work, form an opinion as to
- 22 whether LTD was in violation of the numeric
- 23 regulations for sound from a class C, as in
- 24 Charles, to a class A land use?

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. And what was your conclusion?
- 3 A. I could not demonstrate that the noise
- 4 during our one-hour sample exceeded the limits
- 5 that apply to class C to class A nighttime
- 6 radiation.

- 7 Q. Now, what's an impulsive noise?
- 8 A. Any type of sound that occurs very
- 9 rapidly. By rapidly, we mean over the course of,
- 10 say, a second. A clap of your hand, for example,
- 11 would be an impulsive sound. If I took these LTD
- 12 books and just dropped them onto the carpet, it
- 13 would make a thud sound. That would be an
- 14 impulsive sound.
- 15 Q. Is there a figure in your report that
- 16 addresses impulsive sounds?
- 17 A. There are actually two figures on figure
- 18 one that shows the actual A-weighted overall time
- 19 trace of the noise during the one-hour sampling
- 20 period. Our field engineer, who was out at the
- 21 site the whole time, had noted the exact time in
- 22 hours, minutes, and seconds that certain
- 23 prominent events occurred, and so you'll see
- 24 things like air brake and impacts that are noted

- 1 on there.
- Q. In case someone other than a Pollution
- 3 Control Board person who knows about noise reads
- 4 this record, what does A-weighted mean?
- 5 A. A-weighted is a summation of all the

- 6 frequencies, all the sound, and then filtered
- 7 using an A-weighting filter on a sound level
- 8 meter that mimics the way humans respond to
- 9 sound. In essence, it attenuates or diminishes
- 10 low frequency sound because our hearing is not as
- 11 sensitive in a low frequency area.
- 12 Q. Figure four, it says north residence
- 13 property impulse levels, right?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 Q. Now, is this -- what does one-hour LEQ
- 16 mean?
- 17 A. Well, a one-hour LEQ stands for a one-hour
- 18 equivalent level. Equivalent level is
- 19 essentially an average level, but it's not an
- 20 arithmetic average. It's a logarithmic average,
- 21 and, in essence, it tends to weigh the higher
- 22 noise levels that occur. Figure four is not an
- 23 LEQ.
- 24 Q. Okay.

- 1 A. Figure four is just a ten-second elapsed
- 2 time that shows four individual prominent
- 3 impulses that were observed over the one-hour
- 4 sampling period.

- 5 Q. What's the pink solid line on here?
- 6 A. Well, the pink line is what I have
- 7 referenced as the Illinois impulse limit.
- 8 Actually, that's a daytime limit of 56, I
- 9 believe.
- 10 Q. Why don't you take a look at 901.104?
- 11 A. Yes, 56 decibels. The nighttime limit is
- 12 46, but as you can see from this graph, without
- 13 the impulses, the noise was around 46. So I just
- 14 drew a line to the next higher number, but the
- 15 most important thing to see is the actual rise
- 16 and fall of these individual impulses.
- 17 Q. And when you prepared your report and
- 18 these figures, what was your understanding
- 19 regarding how you were to examine impulse noise
- 20 in terms of the Pollution Control Board
- 21 regulations?
- 22 A. Well, there was some confusion about that
- 23 time because back in 1987 when the change had
- 24 been made by amending the regulations, it

- 1 stipulated the use of LEQ one-hour reference in
- 2 all measurements, but somehow on the Internet
- 3 site, it didn't make that reference, and it

- 4 looked like it had changed back. So we had
- 5 prepared this based on the Internet site and as I
- 6 understood it at that time.
- 7 Q. So when you prepared your report, January
- 8 8th, 1998, it was your opinion that there were
- 9 impulse noise violations?
- 10 A. It would appear that way, yes.
- 11 Q. But that was based on your reliance on the
- 12 regulation on the Pollution Control Board
- 13 Internet site?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 Q. And then at some point, what did you find
- 16 out regarding what the Internet showed for
- 17 impulsive noise regulations?
- 18 A. When I was in Springfield for some
- 19 meetings with some state legislators, I dropped
- 20 by Greg Zak's office, and I presented this to him
- 21 and asked him what -- if there had been any
- 22 changes that had occurred that I was unaware of,
- 23 and he said no, and I said are you aware that the
- 24 Internet site is wrong, and he said he would look

- 1 into that, but he did indicate that it's not to
- 2 be viewed as a -- what was formerly written using

- 3 a sound level meter with fast dynamic
- 4 characteristics as what's represented on figure
- 5 four.
- 6 Q. So then following that in your
- 7 investigation, you concluded or learned that you
- 8 were to measure impulse sound in what manner?
- 9 A. That it's simply the LEQ over a one-hour
- 10 reference period that does not exceed 46
- 11 decibels.
- 12 Q. So then is there any particular figure
- 13 then in Exhibit 97 that we would look at which
- 14 would include impulse as well?
- 15 A. Well, then you just simply go back to
- 16 figure three which shows the LEQ over that
- 17 one-hour sample period, and off to the right,
- 18 you'll see what's noted as in the bottom right
- 19 A-weighted and linear.
- 20 Q. Right.
- 21 A. A-weighted is what the state is referring
- 22 to, and that A-weighting is 46 decibels.
- Q. Okay. So what's the significance of the
- 24 red box with the 46?

1531

1 A. That would signify that the impulse

- 2 character of the noise does not exceed the state
- 3 limits.
- 4 Q. And then in terms of the red line going
- 5 from the point on the graph of 32 hertz to 8,000
- 6 hertz, what does that signify?
- 7 A. That just pertains to the separation of
- 8 all the frequencies in the octaves, and that
- 9 applies to a different section in the rules and
- 10 regulations.
- 11 Q. That red line is below the bar graphs at
- 12 every frequency but 2000?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 Q. Did you further investigate the noise at
- 15 2000 hertz?
- 16 A. Yes, we did. When that came up, what we
- 17 had attributed that to possibly was cricket
- 18 sounds because you're in September and you've got
- 19 insects, and I was previously aware that insects
- 20 generate sounds at high frequencies.
- I just previously testified, for
- 22 example, that birds generate sounds up around
- 23 4,000 hertz. Paul Schomer did not attribute it
- 24 to cricket noise indicating that crickets

- 1 generate higher frequency sounds. So we went to
- 2 one of our sound effects CDs, are you aware of
- 3 those, where they have 100 different sounds like
- 4 horses, pigs.
- 5 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Sure.
- 6 I'm aware.
- 7 BY MR. KOLAR:
- 8 Q. So in investigating the 2,000 octave brand
- 9 frequency, you got some CDs that you have at your
- 10 office?
- 11 A. Yes, and I asked Roger, our field
- 12 engineer, to run those sounds through our
- 13 analyzer, and it's called an FFT analyzer, which
- 14 analyzes the specific frequencies, not octave
- 15 bands, but in a finer resolution, and the one
- 16 chart that he developed, which was marked
- 17 crickets, very clearly shows a peak up close to
- 18 2,000 hertz and another one a little bit beyond
- 19 4,000 hertz. That's what's called a harmonic
- 20 peak. It's related -- it's twice what the
- 21 fundamental peak is.
- 22 In contrast, I had him analyze bird
- 23 sounds, and bird sounds peak around 4,000 hertz.
- 24 So that was fairly definitive to me that crickets

- 1 had certainly confounded our ability or measure
- 2 the sound around 2,000 hertz, which was the only
- 3 band that exceeded the limits.
- 4 Q. So in terms of 2,000 hertz band, the
- 5 number 39, that's an A-weighted number?
- 6 A. No. That's -- I apologize for that. That
- 7 should just simply read sound pressure level.
- 8 Sound level in decibels, let's just leave it like
- 9 that. Thirty-nine decibels is what we measured
- 10 during that one-hour period in 2,000 hertz band,
- 11 but that's also where cricket sounds are.
- 12 Q. As you sit here today, it's your opinion
- 13 that that 39 level at 2,000 hertz --
- MR. KAISER: Objection, leading.
- 15 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Sustained.
- 16 BY MR. KOLAR:
- 17 Q. Did you form an opinion as to if that 39
- 18 level was caused by a noise from LTD?
- 19 A. My opinion is it's inconclusive. The red
- 20 line does not show a corrected level. In theory,
- 21 I'm supposed to take that shaded -- not shaded,
- 22 I'm sorry, broken line down below, which was our
- 23 estimate of the background noise, and subtract
- 24 that from the total one-hour sample to find out

1 what the noise is that LTD generates. That red

- 2 line shows the total noise.
- 3 So I would have every right to take
- 4 that ambient noise and subtract that at 2,000
- 5 hertz. The problem is is it's within three
- 6 decibels. The ambient noise is within three
- 7 decibels of the one-hour sample, and that's
- 8 generally not considered good acoustical practice
- 9 to subtract that.
- 10 If I were to subtract that, it would
- 11 bring that total level down from 39 down to three
- 12 decibels or so, more than that.
- 13 Q. Now, you did an analysis of LTD sound with
- 14 a B, as in boy, to A analysis, right?
- 15 A. That's right.
- 16 Q. And that was because Mr. Schomer said, no,
- 17 it's a B?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. Do you have an opinion whether it's
- 20 technically practical to eliminate the noise that
- 21 is the subject of the complainants' Pollution
- 22 Control Board complaint?
- 23 A. Well, I think that centers around what the
- 24 primary source problems are, and from our

- 1 analysis, it would appear that it would be
- 2 impact-type of noise that seems to be the biggest
- 3 problem.
- 4 Unfortunately, things have been tried
- 5 like rubber mounts on docks, and things of that
- 6 sort require a high degree of maintenance.
- 7 Unless they're taken care of very diligently,
- 8 they fail. One thing that may work would be
- 9 administrative changes. Most impulsive type of
- 10 sound occurs because somebody is not being overly
- 11 careful in what they're doing, and in the LTD
- 12 site, you have people that are coming onto the
- 13 site all the time that are not their employees.
- 14 So they don't always have direct control over
- 15 that.
- 16 Even if you were to issue memos to
- 17 those trucking companies, it would probably be
- 18 difficult to get them all to comply with that.
- 19 So from an administrative standpoint, one thing
- 20 that would be of some help is to have an employee
- 21 that's directly in charge of working with these
- 22 off-site truckers that come in at slowing things
- down, you know, and not performing activities so
- 24 quickly that they're dropping things, and that

- they're very carefully how they lock up onto the
- 2 trailers and so forth, somebody at LTD that has
- 3 that specific responsibility during those
- 4 nighttime hours.
- 5 Q. So if LTD had an employee stationed at
- 6 this Y part of the entrance to its staging area
- 7 and upper parking lot, that would be an
- 8 administrative control that you would recommend?
- 9 A. Yes. Not all that unlike a harbor pilot
- 10 who meets a captain out, you know, on the ocean
- 11 and takes over control of the ship. Why; because
- 12 the harbor pilot is completely aware of all the
- 13 dangers in the harbor and knows how to bring that
- 14 big ship in without damage.
- So, in essence, we're talking about
- 16 an employee who's like a dock pilot who would
- 17 take over control and would be responsible for
- 18 ensuring that things are done slowly enough and
- 19 diligently enough to reduce impact sound.
- 20 Q. Now, if LTD were ordered to build a noise
- 21 wall along the south line of the employee parking
- 22 lot and basically just immediately north of the
- 23 truck staging area, would that eliminate tollway
- 24 noise from reaching the complainants' properties?

- 1 A. No, not at all.
- 2 Q. And if a noise wall were built in that
- 3 location, would that block noise from a garbage
- 4 truck picking up garage at the Corporate 100
- 5 garbage facility?
- 6 A. No, it would not.
- 7 Q. And would that block noise from trucks
- 8 exiting LTD to go onto Lakeside Drive or coming
- 9 down Lakeside Drive to the LTD property?
- 10 A. No, it would not.
- MR. KOLAR: I don't have any further
- 12 questions.
- 13 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Let's take a
- 14 five-minutes recess.
- 15 (Break taken.)
- 16 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: We're finished
- 17 with the direct examination of Mr. --
- 18 THE WITNESS: Thunder.
- 19 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: -- Thunder. I
- 20 should remember that. I wanted to say Harmon for
- 21 some reason, who is also employed with the
- 22 company.
- Mr. Thunder, let me remind you you're

- 1 with the cross-examination of Mr. Kaiser.
- 2 CROSS EXAMINATION
- 3 by Mr. Kaiser
- 4 Q. Mr. Thunder, I'd like to show you what's
- 5 been previously marked for purposes of
- 6 identification as Complaintants' Exhibit 59.
- 7 It's a list of invoices that Acoustic Associates
- 8 Limited had prepared and sent to Jack Voight,
- 9 who's still here in attendance at the hearing
- 10 this morning care of LTD Commodities, Inc.
- I'd ask you to take a look at that
- 12 and tell me if you recognize that?
- 13 A. Yes, I recognize it.
- 14 Q. And are those, in fact, true and accurate
- 15 copies of invoices that you've sent to LTD in
- 16 connection with this project?
- 17 A. They appear to be.
- 18 Q. Do you have any doubt about that?
- 19 A. Let me look at all of them.
- 20 Q. Please, take a look.
- 21 A. They are.
- 22 Q. And since that time, now these were

- 23 documents that invoiced LTD through 1-29-98,
- 24 you've spent considerable more time on this

- 1 project, haven't you?
- 2 A. Since then?
- 3 Q. Yes.
- 4 A. Significant time.
- 5 Q. Yes. I mean, I have invoices from May of
- 6 '97 through January of '98, but you did
- 7 additional work throughout 1998, did you not?
- 8 A. That would be correct.
- 9 Q. And in 1999 at a minimum, you sat for a
- 10 deposition in Mr. Kolar's office, correct?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. And you had to prepare for that
- 13 deposition, didn't you?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 Q. And you sat through the deposition?
- 16 A. Well, I sat and answered questions.
- 17 Q. Yes. Exactly.
- 18 And as I recall, you told the Board
- 19 this morning that your rate is now \$195 an hour;
- 20 is that right?
- 21 A. That's correct.

- 22 Q. And as I recall, though, while you sat for
- 23 the deposition, you were getting paid \$350 an
- 24 hour; is that right?

- 1 A. Only for court testimony.
- Q. And deposition testimony?
- 3 A. And deposition. When I'm in a trial
- 4 situation under testimony, that's correct.
- 5 Q. Would you consider this a trial situation?
- 6 A. Yes, I would.
- 7 Q. So since you began testifying at 9:30 this
- 8 morning, it's now five after 11:00, you've been
- 9 charging \$350 an hour, correct?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. Do you have any idea how much Acoustic
- 12 Associates Limited has billed LTD Commodities in
- 13 connection with this project?
- 14 A. No, I don't.
- 15 Q. Have you had any trouble getting your
- 16 invoices paid by LTD?
- 17 A. Not that I'm aware of.
- 18 Q. Now, you testified towards the end of your
- 19 direct examination that if LTD built a 13-foot
- 20 high noise barrier along the northern edge of

- 21 LTD's dock and truck staging area and then if
- 22 that wall were extended towards Lakeside Drive
- 23 and to the west to the end of LTD's dock area,
- 24 that that wall wouldn't reduce noise transmission

- 1 from the tollway to the Roti property.
- 2 That was your testimony, wasn't it?
- 3 A. That's correct.
- 4 Q. And that wall wouldn't reduce noise --
- 5 tollway noise transmission to Paul Rosenstrock's
- 6 property, would it?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 Q. And that noise wall wouldn't reduce noise
- 9 transmission from the tollway to Leslie and Henry
- 10 Weber's home, would it?
- 11 A. No, it wouldn't.
- 12 Q. But the tollway is not a respondent in
- 13 this action, is it?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. And you read Karen Roti's deposition
- 16 transcript, did you not?
- 17 A. Sometime ago.
- 18 Q. Do you recall anywhere in Karen Roti's
- 19 deposition transcript her complaining about

- 20 tollway noise waking her up in the middle of the
- 21 night?
- 22 A. I don't recall.
- 23 Q. Do you recall -- did you read Paul
- 24 Rosenstrock's deposition transcript?

- 1 A. I looked through that sometime ago too.
- 2 Q. Do you recall anywhere in Paul
- 3 Rosenstrock's deposition transcript Paul
- 4 complaining that noise from the tollway was
- 5 shaking items in his home?
- 6 A. I don't recall.
- 7 Q. Did you review Leslie or Henry Weber's
- 8 deposition transcript?
- 9 A. I believe so.
- 10 Q. Do you recall anywhere in either of their
- 11 deposition transcripts Leslie or Henry Weber
- 12 complaining that noise from the tollway
- 13 interfered with their ability to sit quietly in
- 14 their living room and carry on conversation or
- 15 read a book?
- 16 A. No, I don't recall.
- 17 Q. That's not -- tollway noise is not really
- 18 the issue here, is it?

- 19 MR. KOLAR: Objection, argumentative.
- 20 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Sustained.
- 21 BY MR. KAISER:
- 22 Q. And that noise wall, that 13-foot noise
- 23 wall that would span the north end of LTD's dock
- 24 staging area, that wouldn't do a thing to reduce

- 1 noise from the garbage truck that picks up
- 2 garbage from Corporate 100, would it?
- 3 A. That's correct.
- 4 Q. But a well-constructed, well-designed
- 5 noise wall, a 13-foot tall noise wall,
- 6 constructed along the northern edge of LTD's dock
- 7 staging area, that would reduce noise from LTD's
- 8 dock area to Karen Roti's home, wouldn't it?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. And that well-constructed, well-designed
- 11 noise wall would reduce noise transmission from
- 12 LTD's dock area to Paul Rosenstrock house,
- 13 wouldn't it?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 Q. And that well-designed and
- 16 well-constructed noise wall would reduce noise
- 17 from LTD's dock area to Henry and Leslie Weber's

- 18 home, would it not?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And, in fact, in other cases where you've
- 21 been hired by complainants, you've recommended
- 22 that truck dock areas install noise walls to
- 23 reduce noise transmission to adjacent properties,
- 24 haven't you?

- 1 A. That's correct.
- 2 Q. That was an opinion you gave to this same
- 3 Pollution Control Board in the Overland Truck
- 4 case, wasn't it?
- 5 A. That's right.
- 6 Q. You're familiar with the Huff Company,
- 7 aren't you?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. You know their reputation within the
- 10 community of sound and acoustical experts like
- 11 yourself, don't you?
- 12 A. Yes. They have a fine reputation.
- 13 Q. And their reputation is so good that you
- 14 put them in touch with Jack Voight, didn't you?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- 16 Q. And you had the Huff Company or

- 17 facilitated the Huff Company in providing Jack
- 18 Voight and LTD with a proposal for the
- 19 construction of a noise wall along the northern
- 20 edge of LTD's dock staging area, didn't you?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- 22 Q. And you went so far as to calculate the
- 23 effectiveness of a well-designed
- 24 and well-constructed noise wall in reducing

- 1 transmission of noise from LTD's dock area to the
- 2 Roti, Weber, and Rosenstrock homes, did you not?
- 3 A. Yes, I did.
- 4 Q. And you concluded that a well-designed and
- 5 well-constructed noise wall could substantially
- 6 reduce noise transmission from LTD's dock area to
- 7 the Roti, Weber, and Rosenstrock homes, did you
- 8 not?
- 9 A. Yes, I did.
- 10 Q. Now, you've looked at some cost estimates
- 11 for constructing a noise wall along LTD's dock
- 12 staging area, have you not?
- 13 A. Yes, I have.
- 14 Q. And you've seen estimates that a
- 15 well-constructed and well-designed wall could be

- built for as little as \$120,000, have you not?
- 17 A. Not that would work.
- 18 Q. Not that would work?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. A wall that would work that would
- 21 effectively reduce -- and by effective, we're
- 22 talking about a reduction in noise from LTD's
- 23 dock area as the source to the Roti property, a
- 24 reduction of between five and ten decibels, are

- 1 we not?
- 2 A. That would be the ballpark figure, yes.
- 3 Q. It's a substantial reduction, is it not?
- 4 A. Well, five dB is what we would consider
- 5 significant.
- 6 MR. KOLAR: Substantial calls for a
- 7 conclusion.
- 8 MR. KAISER: Well, he's just given us what
- 9 five dB is.
- 10 BY MR. KAISER:
- 11 Q. Is five dB --
- MR. KOLAR: I object to substantial and
- 13 ask that it be stricken. It calls for a
- 14 conclusion.

- 15 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Kaiser.
- MR. KAISER: I withdraw the question.
- 17 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: We'll strike
- 18 that then.
- 19 BY MR. KAISER:
- 20 Q. If a noise wall were able to reduce by ten
- 21 decibels noise from LTD's dock activities as
- 22 measured at the Roti -- in the backyard of the
- 23 Roti home -- which is where you took your
- 24 measurements in September of 1998, correct?

- 1 A. That's correct.
- 2 Q. If you could reduce LTD's dock noise by
- 3 ten decibels, would you consider that a
- 4 significant reduction in noise?
- 5 MR. KOLAR: Objection, calls for a
- 6 conclusion.
- 7 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Kaiser.
- 8 MR. KAISER: He's perfectly capable of --
- 9 in light of his background, particularly his
- 10 professorship on issues of where he teaches on
- 11 the subject of noise and its impact on people,
- 12 human beings.
- 13 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Kolar.

- 14 MR. KOLAR: You're not allowed to ask
- 15 questions that call for a conclusion like was the
- 16 area well lit. He asked him what decibel level
- 17 you would achieve, and that should be the end of
- 18 the inquiry. You don't get to then ask the
- 19 argumentative question that you might -- the
- 20 argumentative statement that you would make in a
- 21 closing statement typically.
- 22 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: I'm going to
- 23 overrule. I think the question is designed to
- 24 illicit some sort of fact.

- 1 BY MR. KAISER:
- Q. Do you recall the question, Mr. Thunder?
- 3 A. Better read that one back.
- 4 MR. KAISER: If you could, Madam Court
- 5 Reporter.
- 6 (Record read.)
- 7 BY THE WITNESS:
- 8 A. If I could, with that barrier, get a ten
- 9 decibel reduction, which is suspect because of
- 10 the field conditions there, that would a
- 11 noticeable change, yes.
- 12 BY MR. KAISER:

- 13 Q. Noticeable in that as a rule of thumb if
- 14 there's a difference in a noise of ten decibels,
- 15 the human ear perceives that, or if it's ten
- 16 decibels greater, as twice as loud; isn't that
- 17 right?
- 18 A. That's noticeable, yes. That's correct.
- 19 Q. Now, I want to show you what's previously
- 20 been marked as Complainants' Exhibit 36.
- Do you recognize that one-page
- 22 document?
- 23 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. You prepared that, didn't you?

- 1 A. That's correct.
- 2 Q. Those are your barrier calculations, are
- 3 they not?
- 4 A. That's correct.
- 5 Q. You did that in connection with this case,
- 6 didn't you?
- 7 A. That's right.
- 8 Q. This is a true and accurate copy of your
- 9 barrier calculations, is it not?
- 10 A. These are calculations for theoretical
- 11 barrier performance under ideal conditions.

- 12 Q. And you did that at your office, did you
- 13 not?
- 14 A. Yes, I did.
- 15 Q. Using your computer programs that you have
- 16 at your office, correct?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. And you made certain assumptions about the
- 19 length of a wall, right?
- 20 A. Height of the wall.
- 21 Q. Certainly, the height of the wall, you
- 22 calculated you looked at a five foot high wall, a
- 23 nine foot high wall, and a 13-foot high wall, did
- 24 you not?

- 1 A. I'm sorry. I'm having trouble focusing on
- 2 this.
- 3 That calculation there was solely for
- 4 cost estimates. For example, to achieve the
- 5 necessary height at one point to the east, the
- 6 wall would only have to be five foot high to
- 7 achieve that 13 foot distance, but by the time
- 8 you get to the west end, you have to go to the
- 9 full 13-foot barrier. Do you see what I mean?
- 10 Q. Yes, because the eastern part of LTD's

- 11 truck dock is higher than the western part? The
- 12 land slopes down --
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. -- from the east to the west?
- 15 A. It's recessed in that area.
- 16 Q. That's right.
- 17 A. There's already somewhat of a barrier
- 18 produced by the retaining wall that's already
- 19 there.
- 20 Q. And that's the wall where we see the
- 21 semi-tractor trailers back in, that's the wall
- 22 you're talking about, right?
- 23 A. That's correct.
- Q. And I'm referring to -- we're looking at

- 1 Respondent's Exhibit 89, the aerial photograph,
- 2 right?
- 3 A. Right.
- 4 Q. And you estimated certain reductions in
- 5 the specific octave band frequencies referenced
- 6 in the Illinois Pollution Control Board's
- 7 regulations, did you not?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. And those calculations or estimates of the

- 10 noise reduction are the bottom line?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. I want to show you what's previously been
- 13 marked for purposes of identification as
- 14 Complainants' Exhibits 31, 32, and 35, which are
- 15 letters from you to Jack Voight dated May 19th,
- 16 1998; June 5th, 1998, and September 30th, 1998.
- 17 I'd ask you to take a look at those,
- 18 Mr. Thunder, and tell me if those are true
- 19 and accurate copies of the letters you sent to
- 20 Mr. Voight on those dates?
- 21 A. They're true and accurate, although on the
- 22 September 30th, 1998, letter there's lines that
- 23 are crossed out, which would not have originated
- 24 from my office.

- 1 Q. And I'd represent to you that that was
- 2 information that Mr. Kolar redacted before
- 3 tendering those to me.
- 4 A. Okay.
- 5 Q. Thank you.
- 6 But with the exception of that change
- 7 on Complainants' Exhibit 35, are those true and
- 8 accurate copies of the letters you sent Mr.

- 9 Voight?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. I'm showing you what's previously been
- 12 marked for purposes of identification as
- 13 Complainants' Exhibit 26. It's a letter from
- 14 David Mitchell to Jack Voight dated March 10th,
- 15 1998;.
- 16 Did you see a copy of this letter on
- 17 or about March 10th, 1998?
- 18 MR. KOLAR: Is it 36?
- 19 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Thirty-six is
- 20 the barrier code.
- 21 BY MR. KAISER:
- 22 Q. What is this, 26, I think?
- 23 A. This is C26.
- 24 Q. C26. Thank you.

- 1 A. Yes, I've seen this.
- 2 Q. And did you see it on or about probably in
- 3 the spring of '98, is that fair, shortly after
- 4 March of '98?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Do you know whether LTD had in place a
- 7 dock pilot or harbor master at the intersection

- 8 of Lakeside Drive and LTD's north entrance to its
- 9 dock area during the fall of 1999?
- 10 A. I wasn't told that there was any such
- 11 person.
- 12 Q. Were you ever out there in the fall of
- 13 1999 to see whether LTD had implemented that
- 14 recommendation, created the position of dock
- 15 master or harbor master?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. Have you reviewed before today any portion
- 18 of the transcripts of the hearing back in
- 19 November of 1999?
- 20 A. Not the hearing, no.
- 21 Q. I understood you to testify on direct
- 22 examination that impact noise is the biggest
- 23 problem in terms of noise issues which originate
- 24 from LTD's dock area; is that right?

- 1 A. That's how I would assess it, yes, based
- 2 on the noise study.
- 3 Q. And in terms of impact noise, one of the
- 4 impacts is a tractor trailer when it engages with
- 5 the semi-tractor itself, correct?
- 6 A. That's correct.

- 7 Q. There's the slamming of the tractor and
- 8 the trailer in the engaging of the fifth wheel;
- 9 is that right?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. And that's a loud, impulsive sound, is it
- 12 not?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And another impact noise or impulsive
- 15 noise is the release of air from the air brakes
- of the semi-trailer and tractor; is that right?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. Banging of doors on the back of an empty
- 19 trailer, is that an impulsive noise?
- 20 A. That would be an impulsive noise.
- 21 Q. The impact of a semi-trailer being backed
- 22 into the dock staging area and hitting the piers
- 23 at the north end of the dock staging area, that
- 24 would be an impact?

- 1 A. Yes, it would.
- 2 $\,$ Q. And it would be an impact which would
- 3 generate noise?
- 4 A. That's correct.
- 5 Q. Acceleration of trucks up the incline,

- 6 which leads out of LTD's dock area and onto
- 7 Lakeside Drive, there would be noise generated as
- 8 the tractor dragged the trailer up that incline,
- 9 would it not?
- 10 A. Yes. That would be an acceleration noise.
- 11 Q. And the noise which originates at LTD's
- 12 dock area is not continuous in nature, is it?
- 13 A. No, it's not.
- 14 Q. It's periodic, is it not?
- 15 A. Intermittent.
- 16 Q. Intermittent.
- There are moments that there isn't a
- 18 trailer being jockeyed around or a tractor going
- 19 up Lakeside Drive or an air brake being released
- 20 or a tractor and trailer being engaged. If those
- 21 things aren't going on, it's pretty quiet back
- 22 there, isn't it?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Now, people can grow accustomed to a

- 1 steady level of background noise, can they not?
- 2 A. In some cases, depending on the character
- 3 of the noise.
- 4 Q. Well, for instance, in this hearing room

- 5 right now, there are air vents in this room,
- 6 aren't there?
- 7 A. Right.
- 8 Q. And there's a fan on drawing air through
- 9 this room even as this hearing is underway, is
- 10 there not?
- 11 A. That's correct, but what I mean by
- 12 character is if that same fan was defective and
- 13 produced a pure tone, humming sound, that's
- 14 probably not likely that somebody would get
- 15 accustomed to.
- 16 Q. Right. If there were a pure tone or a
- 17 discrete tone that stood out, that would be very
- 18 irritating, wouldn't it?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- 20 Q. But this fan doesn't have such a discrete
- 21 tone, does it?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. And, typically, noise from the tollway
- 24 doesn't have that type of discrete tone, does it?

- 1 A. No. It's characterized as broadband.
- 2 Q. Broadband.
- 3 And that's the type of sound lacking

- 4 in discrete tones that the human ear and mind and
- 5 soul can accustom itself to, correct?
- 6 A. For the most part.
- 7 Q. I mean, if it's at 110 dBs, even if it's
- 8 constant, it's going to be loud, right?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. But if it's a constant noise at even 55
- 11 dBs, you could get used to that, couldn't you?
- 12 A. Well, you could, yeah. I mean, it depends
- 13 on the individual. I couldn't state that I could
- 14 get used to it or you could, but if you look a
- 15 population's statistics, you could say, yeah,
- 16 there would be a certain percentage of people
- 17 that would get used to it, but there would be a
- 18 certain percentage that wouldn't.
- 19 Q. The sound of air being drawn through the
- 20 vents in this hearing room this morning, has that
- 21 interfered with your ability to listen to and
- 22 comprehend Mr. Kolar's questions?
- 23 A. No.
- MR. KOLAR: I object to the analogy

- 1 because I can't hear the fan from over here. So
- 2 I think it's an unfair line of questioning. He's

- 3 standing underneath the fan over there and we're
- 4 away from the fan.
- 5 BY MR. KAISER:
- 6 Q. Can you hear it from where you're seated,
- 7 Mr. Thunder?
- 8 A. What did you say? I'm sorry.
- 9 I can hear it, but that's because I
- 10 have calibrated ears. I mean, I go into spaces --
- 11 Q. I hadn't noticed it until I began
- 12 questioning you.
- 13 A. Yeah.
- 14 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Kolar, do
- 15 you still have an objection to this line of
- 16 testimony?
- 17 MR. KOLAR: I guess I do because I don't
- 18 hear it. So, I mean, he's representing or
- 19 testifying that there's some sort of noise there
- 20 that is audible.
- 21 MR. KAISER: Forget it. I'll refrain from
- 22 a smart alec remark.
- 23 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: We appreciate
- 24 that, Mr. Kaiser.

- 2 Q. We'll talk about it in another context
- 3 when we look at the impulse noise measurements.
- 4 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Right, and I'm
- 5 going to overrule the objection if, in fact, the
- 6 witness can understand and be able to refer to
- 7 what you're talking to him about, and I guess he
- 8 can hear the fan.
- 9 BY MR. KAISER:
- 10 Q. But you can hear it, Mr. Thunder. You can
- 11 tune in. It's not the dominant noise in the room
- 12 unless you listen for it, but then it's there,
- 13 right?
- 14 A. Well, particularly when you focus
- 15 somebody's mental tunnel toward that, then the
- 16 brain will focus on that and hear it. When I
- 17 first came in the room, I was unaware of it, but
- 18 now that you've mentioned it, my ears now are
- 19 attuned to listening for that kind of noise. So
- 20 yes, I do hear it now.
- 21 Q. But it's the sort of noise that if we then
- 22 began discussing other matters and get engaged in
- 23 looking at the aerial photograph, it's the type
- 24 of noise that will recede into the background.

- 1 Our minds can filter out that noise;
- 2 isn't that correct?
- 3 A. Well, to a certain extent, but, obviously,
- 4 like you said before, if it's loud enough, then
- 5 no, which is obviously why the Illinois
- 6 Department of Transportation is building barriers
- 7 along a lot of new roadways.
- 8 Q. But my point is that the constant sound of
- 9 this fan running and drawing air through this
- 10 room is very different than, for instance, the
- 11 sound of me slamming my hand on the podium?
- 12 A. That's correct.
- 13 Q. And if you were to try to respond to an
- 14 examination by Mr. Kolar, and I were over here at
- 15 the podium periodically slamming it without you
- 16 knowing when the next pound would occur, that
- 17 might become difficult for you to concentrate,
- 18 right?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- 20 Q. And if you were trying to take a nap with
- 21 me pounding on the podium, it might make falling
- 22 asleep a little more difficult, wouldn't it, Mr.
- 23 Thunder?
- 24 A. That's how you can wake people up.

- 1 Q. Impulsive noise can wake people up, can't
- 2 it?
- 3 A. If it's loud enough, yes.
- 4 Q. If it's loud enough.
- 5 I'd like to take a moment and look at
- 6 the impulsive noise measurements you obtained on
- 7 September 24th, 1997. That is Respondent's
- 8 Exhibit 97.
- 9 Do you still have that in front of
- 10 you?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And, again, on January 8th, 1998, you
- 13 issued a final --
- 14 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Kaiser. Do
- 15 you have a copy of this still, Mr. Kolar?
- MR. KOLAR: Yes.
- 17 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Okay. Sorry. I
- 18 was going to give you mine if you didn't. You
- 19 can go ahead, Mr. Kaiser.
- 20 BY MR. KAISER:
- 21 Q. On January 8th, 1998, you issued in final
- 22 form a report which you had shown Mr. Voight in
- 23 draft form on several previous occasions; isn't
- 24 that true?

1 A. I don't know about several, but I prepared

- 2 a draft and asked for LTD's comments.
- 3 Q. And the final report then is issued on
- 4 January 8th, 1998?
- 5 A. That's correct.
- 6 Q. And that summarizes the investigations you
- 7 performed, the noise measurements you performed
- 8 or your associate, Roger Harmon, performed, on
- 9 September 24th, 1997; is that right?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. And best you understand, Mr. Harmon set up
- 12 the receiving microphone in Karen Roti's
- 13 backyard, right?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 Q. As I understood it, you took some
- 16 consideration so that it wasn't too close to the
- 17 fence line and yet it wasn't too far back from
- 18 the property line so that you would get a true
- 19 reading?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- 21 Q. But I understand that the microphone was
- 22 set at a distance of approximately five feet
- 23 above the ground.
- 24 Is that what you recall from

1 conversations with Mr. Harmon or looking at field

- 2 notes?
- 3 A. Five and a half feet.
- 4 Q. It wasn't on a boom up at the second floor
- 5 level of the Roti residence, was it?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. And where the microphone receiver is
- 8 placed affects what sound is registered, correct?
- 9 A. Yes, it can.
- 10 Q. And there would be a possibility that
- 11 sound would be measured differently if instead of
- 12 the microphone being placed at five and a half
- 13 feet, it were placed at ten or 12 feet above the
- 14 ground, correct?
- 15 A. Possibly a small difference, yes.
- 16 Q. Now, the impulsive noise measurements are
- 17 set forth in figure four of Respondent's Exhibit
- 18 97, correct?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- 20 Q. And you told us that the nighttime
- 21 standards for impulsive noise in the state of
- 22 Illinois is an A-weighted average of 46 decibels?
- 23 A. That's correct.
- Q. And the daytime level in the state of

- 1 Illinois for impulsive noise is an A-weighted
- 2 level of 56 decibels; is that right?
- 3 A. That's correct, with the understanding
- 4 that it's a one-hour LEQ reference.
- 5 Q. I understand.
- 6 Do you know why Pollution Control
- 7 Board regulations distinguish between and have
- 8 two sets of standards, one for daytime and one
- 9 for nighttime?
- 10 A. Well, yes.
- 11 Q. Why is that?
- 12 A. Nighttime periods are more sensitive
- 13 periods.
- 14 Q. And why do people experience nighttime as
- 15 a more sensitive period?
- 16 A. Because it's a time generally considered
- 17 for relaxation, comfort, and sleeping.
- 18 Q. And you've studied this issue, have you
- 19 not?
- 20 A. Have I studied the effects of noise on
- 21 sleep?
- 22 Q. Yes.
- 23 A. No.
- Q. Not on sleep, but the need -- the

- 1 physiological need for people at the end of the
- 2 day to be able to slow their system down for
- 3 purposes of rest and regeneration?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 Q. That's a physiological need in all human
- 6 beings, is it not?
- 7 A. Yes, it is.
- 8 Q. And the Pollution Control Board has
- 9 recognized that by making more stringent
- 10 nighttime noise standards; is that true?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. Now, impulse noise is by definition noise
- 13 which rises quickly up out of the background and
- 14 then recedes into the ambient sound?
- 15 A. Yes, sir.
- 16 MR. KOLAR: Objection, vague. He's
- 17 indicating on the aerial map as if the noise was
- 18 going to go up towards -- up to the north, I
- 19 think, as opposed to some sort of a measurement
- 20 increase.
- 21 BY MR. KAISER:
- 22 Q. Well, what I'm trying to do -- I mean,
- 23 it's illustrated very vividly on figure four. I
- 24 mean, you have the background ambient noise, and

- 1 then you have a peak rising up out of it and
- 2 quickly falling back down to the ambient level.
- 3 Isn't that what we see in figure
- 4 four?
- 5 A. That's correct.
- 6 Q. And with this first line, this dotted line
- 7 which I believe records, and you'll confirm for
- 8 me, records measurements taken at 1:58:30 a.m. on
- 9 9-24-97, that appears to be ambient background
- 10 about 48 dBs, and then it spikes up to
- 11 approximately 61 dBs before falling back to an
- 12 ambient level of 47, 48; is that right?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 Q. So that's a spike of 14 dBs?
- 15 A. That's right.
- 16 Q. And that's perceived by the human ear as
- 17 more than a doubling of the sound level in that
- 18 moment?
- 19 A. At that point in time, yes.
- 20 Q. At that point in time?
- 21 A. Right.
- 22 Q. And that impulsive noise, that sudden
- 23 burst, and it's really of energy, isn't it?

- 1 Q. Where the sound waves become more intense,
- 2 they're amplified, right?
- 3 A. That's correct.
- 4 Q. And we measure that as a change of
- 5 pressure in the eardrum, correct?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 Q. And in that moment when it spikes up 14
- 8 decibels, that's something human beings perceive,
- 9 isn't it?
- 10 A. Yes, they would.
- 11 Q. And that spiking of the sound might even
- 12 startle a person, wouldn't it?
- 13 A. Depending on what mental state they are
- in, it's possible, yes.
- 15 Q. Well, if one were sitting in their
- 16 favorite chair in their living room reading a
- 17 novel and then there were a sudden explosion of
- 18 sound energy, that could cause the person to look
- 19 up from their book, couldn't it?
- 20 A. Yes. They might not be startled until the
- 21 level gets maybe to 20 or 30 dBs above the
- 22 background, but they would be aware of it.

- 23 Q. They would certainly perceive --
- 24 A. And they would perceive.

- 1 Q. -- a 14-decibel increase, wouldn't they?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 Q. If a small -- if one of Karen Roti's
- 4 children was on the verge of sleep in the
- 5 second-story bedroom and there were a burst of
- 6 energy as an air brake released air in LTD's
- 7 north dock area, that could be perceived in the
- 8 Roti home, couldn't it?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 MR. KOLAR: Objection, foundation, whether
- 11 he's been in that bedroom.
- 12 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Sustained.
- 13 BY MR. KAISER:
- 14 Q. You've read Karen Roti's deposition
- 15 transcript, have you not?
- 16 A. I looked it over sometime ago.
- MR. KOLAR: I'd ask that his answer be
- 18 stricken to that question that you sustained my
- 19 objection on.
- 20 MR. KAISER: I have no objection.
- 21 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Pardon me, Mr. Kaiser?

- MR. KAISER: I have no objection to it
- 23 being stricken.
- 24 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: That's granted.

- 1 BY MR. KAISER:
- 2 Q. You've read Karen Roti's deposition
- 3 transcript?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. You've read Paul Rosenstrock's deposition
- 6 transcript?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. You've read Leslie and Henry Weber's
- 9 deposition transcripts?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. You've --
- MR. KOLAR: Objection, asked and
- 13 answered.
- 14 BY MR. KAISER:
- 15 Q. You've looked at letters Karen Roti has
- 16 sent to LTD --
- 17 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Overruled.
- 18 BY MR. KAISER:
- 19 Q. You've looked at letters Karen Roti sent
- 20 to LTD and to the village of Bannockburn

- 21 complaining about noise from LTD's dock area,
- 22 have you not?
- 23 A. I'm note sure if it was Karen Roti, but I
- 24 think I know the letter you're referring to.

- 1 Q. And you understand that these three
- 2 families are alleging in this complaint that
- 3 noise from LTD's dock area substantially and
- 4 unreasonably interferes with their use and
- 5 enjoyment of their properties.
- 6 Do you understand that what's at
- 7 issue in this case?
- 8 A. That's what I would understand, yes.
- 9 Q. That's what Jack Voight told you, isn't
- 10 it?
- 11 A. Well, that was the reason I was brought in
- 12 to assess the situation was because of the
- 13 complaint letters.
- 14 Q. Now, you recall giving testimony under
- oath in the Charter Hall/Overland Truck case, do
- 16 you not?
- 17 A. Yes, I do.
- 18 Q. And you recall attorney Bill Forcade
- 19 directing your examination in that case, correct?

- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 Q. You recall there were a series of
- 22 questions where you were asked whether impulsive
- 23 noise could interfere with a person's use of
- their patio for purposes of entertainment.

- 1 Do you recall that question?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 Q. And it was your testimony in the Overland
- 4 case that impulsive noise with spikes of 14
- 5 decibels could interfere with people entertaining
- 6 on their deck?
- 7 MR. KOLAR: Objection, foundation, because
- 8 we don't know the specific facts in Overland Park
- 9 compared to this particular case.
- MR. KAISER: Well, we know the 14 decibel
- 11 impulsive noise spike.
- 12 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: I'll --
- MR. KOLAR: Can I -- I'm sorry.
- 14 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Go ahead.
- MR. KOLAR: And, actually, relevance as
- 16 well. What he testified to there is not relevant
- 17 except for --
- 18 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Yeah. I'm going

- 19 to sustain the objection on the foundation
- 20 basis. Mainly, Mr. Kaiser, we don't -- at least
- 21 I don't, off the top of my head, even though I
- 22 think I was hearing officer for that case, recall
- 23 the, you know, situation involved in the case
- 24 you're referring to, and I don't know if it

- 1 applies here.
- 2 BY MR. KAISER:
- 3 Q. You, as part of your preparation for your
- 4 assignment in this case, gathered information so
- 5 that you understood what was the nature of the
- 6 Roti's, Weber's, and Rosenstrock's complaint,
- 7 right?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. And you, on September 24th, 1997, obtained
- 10 sound measurements, didn't you?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 Q. I'm going to come back to that point,
- 13 Mr. Thunder.
- 14 A. All right.
- MR. KOLAR: Is there a question pending?
- 16 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Not at the
- moment.

- 18 BY MR. KAISER:
- 19 Q. I want to show you what's previously been
- 20 marked as Complainants' Exhibit 18. It's a fax
- 21 transmission from you to Mr. Voight dated
- 22 December 23rd, 1997.
- Does that appear to be the second
- 24 draft of what was eventually issued as your

- 1 report on January 8th, 1998?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. I want to show you what's been marked for
- 4 purposes of identification as Complainants'
- 5 Exhibit 14, a document from you to Jack Voight
- 6 dated November 14th, 1997.
- 7 Is this a true and accurate copy of a
- 8 memorandum you provided to Jack Voight on or
- 9 about November 14th, 1997?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And I note that the first paragraph reads
- 12 as follows: Since your attorney indicated your
- 13 land was commercially zoned, I reanalyzed the
- 14 data to evaluate the impact of using the state's
- 15 regulation for noise radiated from class B land.
- 16 As seen in figure 3(A), LTD would appear to

- 17 violate the limits in the three bands from 1,000
- 18 hertz to 4,000 hertz.
- 19 This type of noise tends to be
- 20 related to air brakes and impulses. Since the
- 21 noise around 2,000 hertz is related to nighttime
- 22 insect noise, our findings in this band are
- 23 inconclusive.
- 24 Was that, in fact, your opinion as of

- 1 November 14th, 1997?
- 2 A. Well, I wrote it.
- 3 Q. And you stand by it?
- 4 A. I would stand by it.
- 5 MR. KOLAR: Relative to B to A?
- 6 MR. KAISER: Yes.
- 7 BY MR. KAISER:
- 8 Q. Now, that 1,000 hertz to 4,000 hertz band,
- 9 which is noise that is tended to be related to
- 10 air brakes and impulses, that's where you would
- 11 expect to measure within the 1,000 to 4,000
- 12 hertz? That's where you would measure noise from
- 13 air bakes or that's where air brake noise would
- 14 register in a noise measurement?
- 15 A. Yes. Those kinds of sources generally

- 16 produce higher frequency sounds.
- 17 Q. And those higher frequency sounds are
- 18 exactly the type or the frequencies in which --
- 19 to which the human ear is most attuned; isn't
- 20 that true?
- 21 A. Yes, which is why the state has more
- 22 severe limitations in the high frequencies.
- 23 Q. Conversation occurs within the thousand to
- 24 4,000 hertz bands typically, does it not?

- 1 A. It's 500 to 4,000 hertz.
- 2 Q. And I note in the second full paragraph,
- 3 it says I'm working on another trucking facility
- 4 project. In this project, the attorney is
- 5 classifying the land as class B, although he's
- 6 working for the residents. As in our previous
- 7 conversation, it's important to have your
- 8 attorney review the land classification system I
- 9 sent you to see if class C zoning would apply.
- 10 Does that indicate that at least as
- of November 14th, 1997, you viewed it as an issue
- 12 open to dispute whether LTD is properly
- 13 classified as a class B or class C land use under
- 14 the Standard Land Use Coding Manual?

- 15 A. It signifies that at that point in time I
- 16 was instructed to compare it with a class B
- 17 because the attorney at that time that reviewed
- 18 it viewed it as a class B property. So I
- 19 readjusted my analysis.
- 20 Q. And if it were viewed as a class B
- 21 property, there might be exceedances in the 1,000
- 22 to 4,000 hertz range?
- 23 A. That's correct.
- Q. I'm showing you Complainants' Exhibit 13,

- 1 a memorandum from you to Jack Voight dated
- 2 November 2nd, 1997.
- 3 Is that a true and accurate copy of
- 4 the memorandum draft noise study you sent Mr.
- 5 Voight in early November 1997?
- 6 A. That's true.
- 7 MR. KOLAR: I'm sorry. I wasn't paying
- 8 attention. What exhibit is that?
- 9 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Thirteen.
- 10 MR. KOLAR: I was paying attention, but I
- 11 was writing something.
- 12 BY MR. KAISER:
- 13 Q. I want to show you what's been marked for

- 14 purposes of identification as Complainants'
- 15 Exhibit 6. It's a facsimile transmission from
- 16 you to John Scheimel at LTD Commodities dated
- 17 January 31st, 1997.
- Is this, in fact, a true and accurate
- 19 copy of the documents you sent to LTD at that
- 20 time?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And I note that within that group of
- 23 documents, which comprise Complainants' Exhibit
- 24 6, you have a one-page document entitled

- 1 environmental noise.
- Is that something that you prepared?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And that was prepared on the basis of your
- 5 experience in the field and educational
- 6 background and study?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 Q. And that was your statement and expression
- 9 of your opinions with respect to environmental
- 10 noise as of January 31st, 1997?
- 11 A. Yes. It's a promotional piece that we
- 12 send to prospective clients so they have a better

- 13 understanding of issues of environmental noise
- 14 and how the assessment is approached.
- 15 Q. And, for instance, in paragraph three, you
- 16 state there are physiological effects as well.
- 17 Noise is viewed as a stressing agent. As in any
- 18 stress-provoking situation, when repeated on a
- 19 regular basis, changes in blood chemistry and
- 20 cardiovascular function can occur. Many experts
- 21 believe that this leads to a susceptibility to
- 22 illness.
- 23 That was a paragraph you included --
- 24 A. That's correct.

- 1 Q. -- in the environmental noise document?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 Q. And you believed at the time you sent that
- 4 to Mr. Voight that these were true statements,
- 5 did you not?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 Q. I want to show you what's previously been
- 8 marked for purposes of identification as
- 9 Complainants' Exhibit 20. It's a letter from
- 10 Paul Schomer to David Lothspeich dated January
- 11 26th, 1998.

- I ask you if you've seen that letter
- 13 before?
- 14 A. I've seen this, yes.
- Q. And that's essentially Paul Schomer's
- 16 response to or analysis of your report dated
- 17 January 8th, 1998, is it not?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. And this is where Dr. Schomer changed his
- 20 opinion and concluded that LTD was most
- 21 appropriately classified as a class B land use,
- 22 isn't it?
- 23 A. That's the first time I was aware of that
- 24 change, yes.

- 1 Q. And Dr. Schomer wrote in the second
- 2 paragraph, the activity of LTD Commodities can be
- 3 described as, quote, retail trade, general
- 4 merchandise, mail-order houses, retail, close
- 5 quote, which is a standard system for identifying
- 6 and coding land use activity SLUCM code or
- 7 similar, which is classified as a class B land
- 8 use by IPCB.
- 9 You understood that as of January
- 10 26th, 1998, Dr. Schomer thought that LTD was best

- 11 described as retail trade general merchandise,
- 12 did you not?
- 13 A. I understood that was his opinion, not
- 14 mine.
- 15 Q. You still felt LTD was a trucking
- 16 facility?
- 17 A. It's not a retail operation. People don't
- 18 go to buy things there. They don't go to shop
- 19 there. That's the commercial use.
- 20 MR. KOLAR: Just for the record, C20 has
- 21 handwritten notes on it, and I think we had
- 22 clarified it once before. I don't think Mr.
- 23 Schomer sent it to David Lothspeich with
- 24 handwritten notes all over it.

- 1 MR. KAISER: No. Those may very well be
- 2 Mr. Lothspeich's notes. I think my C20 has fewer
- 3 notes than yours.
- 4 MR. KOLAR: On the last page?
- 5 MR. KAISER: Yeah. I don't have that
- 6 notation.
- 7 MR. KOLAR: As long as the original has no
- 8 notes, I won't have a problem.
- 9 BY MR. KAISER:

- 10 Q. Now, when was it you -- you described a
- 11 first visit to the facility where Mr. Voight
- 12 walked you around LTD's Bannockburn facility.
- Do you recall that testimony?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And you recalled seeing trucks coming in
- 16 and out and merchandise being handled, and as I
- 17 understood during that first meeting, site
- 18 investigation with Mr. Voight, you concluded that
- 19 under the Standard Land Use Coding Manual system,
- 20 LTD's Bannockburn facility was best described as
- 21 a class C land use; is that right?
- 22 A. Absolutely.
- Q. Absolutely by that first visit that's what
- 24 you felt, right?

- 1 A. That was my first impression, yes.
- 2 Q. Now, did you -- at the time you formed
- 3 that opinion, had you seen LTD's catalogues?
- 4 A. I was aware of them. We get them at our
- 5 office. I know that it's a mail-order operation.
- 6 Q. Do you know what percentage -- did you
- 7 know -- now, this first visit with Mr. Voight, I
- 8 take it this was in January or February of '97.

- 9 Would that be fair?
- 10 A. That would be correct.
- 11 Q. In January or February of '97, did you
- 12 know what percentage of LTD's gross revenues were
- 13 obtained as a result of contracts for crating and
- 14 packaging of goods?
- 15 A. I had no idea.
- 16 Q. In January or February of 1997, did you
- 17 know what percentage of LTD's gross revenues were
- 18 obtained as a result of contracts for
- 19 crate-forwarding services?
- 20 A. Not at all.
- 21 Q. In January or February of 1997, did you
- 22 know whether LTD owned the trucks that delivered
- 23 merchandise to its Bannockburn facility and took
- 24 product away from its Bannockburn facility?

- 1 A. In January of '97?
- 2 Q. January or February of '97.
- 3 A. I later became aware that they were not
- 4 LTD-owned trucks, but at that point in time, I
- 5 was not aware.
- 6 Q. Because part of your testimony today was,
- 7 well, it's difficult for LTD to control noise at

- 8 its dock areas through administrative protocols
- 9 because they've got all these private truckers
- 10 coming in and out.
- 11 Wasn't that, in essence, your
- 12 testimony?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 Q. But back in January and February of '97,
- 15 you didn't know whether LTD owned the trucks that
- 16 were coming in and out?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. Did you go inside LTD's Bannockburn
- 19 facility when Mr. Voight gave you the
- 20 opportunity?
- 21 A. I didn't get a full tour. I went inside
- 22 it, but only mostly around the dock area.
- 23 Q. Did you see thousands of brown cardboard
- 24 boxes stacked on shelves throughout LTD's

- 1 Bannockburn facility when you were in there with
- 2 Mr. Voight in the winter of 1997?
- 3 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Let's let the
- 4 record reflect that Mr. Kaiser is holding up LTD
- 5 Exhibit 100.
- 6 BY THE WITNESS:

- 7 A. Are you asking if I'd seen that size box?
- 8 BY MR. KAISER:
- 9 Q. Yes.
- 10 A. You know, I don't recall. That was over a
- 11 couple years ago. I just recall that there were
- 12 operations where people were assembling, packing,
- 13 crating, and stacking, and shipping off on the
- 14 trucks.
- 15 Q. Were they doing that packing, crating,
- 16 shipping, stacking on behalf of parties other
- 17 than LTD?
- 18 A. I don't know.
- 19 Q. And you didn't know when you observed that
- 20 activity, did you?
- 21 A. No.
- MR. KOLAR: Are you done?
- MR. KAISER: No.
- 24 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Go off for a

- 1 second.
- 2 (Discussion had
- 3 off the record.)
- 4 BY MR. KAISER:
- 5 Q. Now, I'd like to put back in front of you

- 6 or have you look at your own figure four on
- 7 Respondent's Exhibit 97, and you're looking at
- 8 the impulsive sound measurements that you have
- 9 there, right?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. And assuming those sound measurements,
- 12 being the ones that your associate, Mr. Harmon,
- obtained on 9-24-97, assuming those sound
- 14 measurements to be true and the sound
- 15 differentials to be accurate, and excluding from
- 16 that any opinions or conclusions of others
- 17 expressed at hearing today, do you, Mr. Thunder,
- 18 have an opinion based on a reasonable degree of
- 19 scientific certainty, whether a noise intensity
- 20 or a noise intensity increase of that level,
- 21 meaning those levels shown in figure four, would
- 22 unreasonably interfere with any of the following
- 23 activities; falling asleep, being awakened from
- 24 sleep, normal conversation in a quiet room,

- 1 entertaining guests on a patio, or watching
- 2 television?
- 3 MR. KOLAR: Objection, foundation. During
- 4 the question, he said something about opinions of

- 5 others.
- 6 MR. KAISER: Yes. Excluding any opinions
- 7 or conclusions of others expressed at hearing and
- 8 relying solely on your own knowledge of noise,
- 9 noise measurements, and human response to noise.
- 10 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Kolar, do
- 11 you still have an objection?
- MR. KOLAR: No.
- 13 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: You can answer
- 14 the question, Mr. Thunder.
- 15 BY THE WITNESS:
- 16 A. Impulse levels that rise 12 dB above the
- 17 ambient have the potential to cause
- 18 interference.
- 19 BY MR. KAISER:
- 20 Q. With falling asleep?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 Q. Could such impulse noise, as shown on
- 23 figure four, cause a person to be awakened from
- 24 sleep?

- 1 A. It could.
- 2 Q. Could such impulse levels, as shown on
- 3 figure four, unreasonably interfere with normal

- 4 conversation in a quiet room?
- 5 A. To a much lesser degree because it is
- 6 impulsive; whereas, conversation is more
- 7 long-term.
- 8 In other words, if the impulses were
- 9 frequent, the answer would be yes. If it was
- 10 just one impulse in a five-minute conversation,
- 11 it wouldn't affect it.
- 12 Q. That's a good point because when you
- 13 measured the sound on -- when Roger Harmon
- 14 measured the sound on September 24th, 1997 --
- 15 well, you personally don't know how many trucks
- 16 were going in and out of LTD's dock area that
- 17 evening, do you?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. And you recall some discussion in letters
- 20 with Paul Schomer as to whether if there were
- 21 three noise events during the measurement period
- or ten noise events during the measurement
- 23 period, that that would affect the A-weighted
- 24 average for impulsive sound, right?

- 1 A. If there are more events, yes.
- 2 Q. And that is just as you described, if

- 3 there is only one impulsive event during a
- 4 one-minute period, that's not particularly
- 5 disruptive?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 Q. But if there were ten impulsive noises
- 8 during that one-minute period, it would be more
- 9 disruptive, correct?
- 10 A. That's why the state has us do a one-hour
- 11 average. It assesses the number of events that
- 12 occur in a time frame.
- 13 Q. All right. And if you increase the
- 14 activity and the number of noise impulses, you
- 15 would increase that A-weighted average?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 Q. Now, impulsive noise of the sort that is
- 18 recorded on figure four on Respondent's Exhibit
- 19 97, if impulsive noise, as shown on figure four,
- 20 did not actually awaken the person from sleep,
- 21 could it, in your expert opinion, cause the
- 22 person to rise to a lighter -- from a deep level
- of sleep to a lighter level of sleep?
- 24 A. That's my understanding, but I'm not a

- 2 research in that area, but it's plausible.
- 3 Q. But from your review of the literature,
- 4 that's your understanding, is it not?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And that that lighter level of sleep is
- 7 not as restorative -- well, you need both deep
- 8 sleep and light sleep to get the full benefits of
- 9 a good night's sleep, right?
- 10 MR. KOLAR: Objection, foundation. I
- 11 think he's going beyond -- he just indicated
- 12 that's not his area, and now he's going into more
- 13 detail.
- 14 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: I'll sustain
- 15 that.
- MR. KAISER: Let's see. I think Mr.
- 17 Thunder was more willing to opine on this subject
- 18 during his deposition.
- 19 MR. KOLAR: That doesn't make it something
- 20 that's admissible here at the hearing just
- 21 because I didn't object at the deposition.
- 22 BY MR. KAISER:
- 23 Q. But it's your understanding from reviewing
- 24 the literature, Mr. Thunder, that impulsive

- 1 noise, that even if it didn't awaken you, it
- 2 could raise you to a lighter level of slumber?
- 3 MR. KOLAR: Objection, asked and answered.
- 4 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Sustained.
- 5 MR. KAISER: I have no further questions.
- 6 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Kolar,
- 7 redirect?
- 8 THE WITNESS: Could I take a one-minute
- 9 break, potty break, and I'll be right back?
- 10 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Yeah. Let's
- 11 make it a two-and-a-half-minute recess.
- 12 (Break taken.)
- 13 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Kolar.
- MR. KOLAR: Thank you.
- 15 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 16 by Mr. Kolar
- 17 Q. Mr. Thunder, your invoices, Complainants'
- 18 Exhibit 59, Respondent's Exhibit 4, the Pollution
- 19 Control Board complaint, do you see on there it's
- 20 signed by Karen Roti July 18th, 1998?
- 21 A. Yes, I see that.
- 22 Q. Your first invoice is dated May 31st,
- 23 1997?
- 24 A. That's correct.

- 1 Q. So for over a year before a complaint was
- 2 on file, you were working with LTD based solely
- 3 on complaints from the neighbors about noise?
- 4 A. That's correct.
- 5 Q. And when you sat for your deposition and
- 6 charged LTD for that, Mr. Kaiser was asking you
- 7 all the questions during your deposition, right?
- 8 A. Oh, yes.
- 9 Q. You and I were just there -- I was just
- 10 there sitting there as your attorney, correct?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. Do you know, as you sit here today, if
- 13 Christopher Weber wakes up because of noise
- 14 allegedly coming from LTD?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. Now, a person in your profession, can you
- 17 guarantee LTD that if a noise wall was put up, as
- 18 the complainants propose, that nobody else in the
- 19 future who owns the complainants' properties
- 20 would complain about noise?
- 21 MR. KAISER: Objection, relevance.
- 22 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Kolar.
- MR. KOLAR: Well, section 33(C) factors
- 24 talk about technical practicality of eliminating

1 and reducing noise. I think it's a relevant

- 2 question for that area.
- 3 Mr. Kaiser asked all kinds of
- 4 questions about performance you would receive
- 5 from a wall.
- 6 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Overruled.
- 7 BY THE WITNESS:
- 8 A. Restate the question.
- 9 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Do you want her
- 10 to read it back?
- 11 MR. KOLAR: Yes. Could you read it back,
- 12 please?
- 13 (Record read.)
- 14 BY THE WITNESS:
- 15 A. I couldn't guarantee it, certainly not if
- 16 it was the lower expensive wall because of field
- 17 conditions that exist there with reflections,
- 18 reverberations in the large trees that tend to
- 19 direct sound back down toward the neighbors.
- Those are conditions that are above
- 21 and beyond the idealized performance of a
- 22 barrier. So even though I did barrier
- 23 calculations, again, that's for an idealized
- 24 barrier without those constraints. In the real

- 1 world, I would expect to get something somewhat
- 2 to significantly less than that based on those
- 3 real world situations.
- 4 BY MR. KOLAR:
- 5 Q. And if it's determined that LTD is not in
- 6 violation of numerical violations, then it's
- 7 not -- you can understand Mr. Hara's business
- 8 decision not wanting to put up a \$300,000 wall?
- 9 MR. KAISER: Objection. That's a fine
- 10 closing argument, but not a question that this
- 11 witness is qualified to answer.
- 12 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Sustained.
- MR. KOLAR: I appreciate the fine comment
- 14 there.
- 15 BY MR. KOLAR:
- 16 Q. Have you ever driven from approximately
- 17 the Bannockburn area south on the tollway all the
- 18 way down to I-55?
- 19 A. I'm sure I have.
- 20 Q. Are there barrier walls along the tollway
- 21 starting in the Deerfield area intermittently
- 22 down to Hinsdale?
- 23 A. Yes, there are.
- Q. And those, I understand, they've been

- 1 constructed by the tollway?
- 2 A. I understand that, yes.
- 3 Q. And why do we have those walls along the
- 4 tollway?
- 5 A. Well, IDOT has specific noise criteria for
- 6 which they will fund barrier walls to reduce the
- 7 sound to neighboring residents. If the levels
- 8 exceed that criteria, then they will release
- 9 funds to build those walls.
- 10 Q. Have you seen noise walls constructed in
- 11 the last year, year and a half, in the area where
- 12 the Deerfield toll plaza used to be located?
- 13 A. I don't recall actually seeing that. I'm
- 14 aware that that's happened.
- 15 Q. You've seen more and more noise walls
- 16 constructed along the tollway in the last ten
- 17 years?
- 18 A. Oh, absolutely.
- 19 Q. Because people along the tollway complain
- 20 about the noise from the tollway?
- 21 MR. KAISER: Objection, foundation.
- 22 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Sustained.
- 23 BY MR. KOLAR:
- Q. The noise walls along the tollway, would

- 1 that indicate to you that at least in some areas
- 2 residents cannot get used to the tollway noise as
- 3 Mr. Kaiser indicated?
- 4 MR. KAISER: Objection, foundation. What
- 5 areas? What residents?
- 6 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Kolar.
- 7 MR. KOLAR: Between Deerfield and
- 8 Hinsdale.
- 9 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: I'm going to
- 10 allow that question. Overruled.
- 11 BY THE WITNESS:
- 12 A. Well, that's the very nature for putting
- 13 up the walls is to satisfy the concerns of
- 14 neighbors because of excessive noise. So IDOT
- 15 has set a criterion, an objective criterion, to
- 16 use as a mark to determine whether funds should
- 17 be released for such purposes.
- 18 BY MR. KOLAR:
- 19 Q. Complainants' Exhibit 36, your barrier
- 20 calculations, this relates to Pollution Control
- 21 Board regulations class B to class A, correct?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- 23 Q. You did this because Paul Schomer had

- 1 land use?
- MR. KAISER: Objection, leading.
- 3 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Sustained.
- 4 BY MR. KOLAR:
- 5 Q. Why did you do, on your barrier
- 6 calculations, Complainants' Exhibit 36, a B to A
- 7 analysis?
- 8 A. Because the new concern was that this
- 9 might be a class B or was a class B operation,
- 10 and my goal was to determine what form of
- 11 mitigation would be needed to reduce it to within
- 12 class B limits.
- 13 Q. Complainants' Exhibit 14, this November
- 14 14th, 1997, memo from you to Jack Voight, you saw
- 15 that?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Mr. Kaiser questioned you about this,
- 18 right?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- 20 Q. And he read the first and second
- 21 paragraphs basically, correct?
- 22 A. Yes.

- 23 Q. Now, as a layman and I guess as a noise
- 24 professional, do you understand that

- 1 municipalities, such as Bannockburn, Lake Forest,
- 2 have zoning ordinances?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Where they will actually give a
- 5 designation, a zoning classification, for land
- 6 within their municipal boundaries, correct?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 Q. Have you seen zoning maps before as well?
- 9 A. Yes, I have.
- 10 Q. And the map would indicate the particular
- 11 zoning for a piece of property, right?
- 12 A. That's correct.
- 13 Q. Now, on this Exhibit 14, the first part of
- 14 the first paragraph says, since your attorney
- 15 indicated your land was commercially zoned.
- Those are your words, right?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Were you a party to any communications
- 19 between Jack Voight and LTD's attorney which
- 20 preceded this November 14th memo of yours?
- 21 A. It's been a while ago. I'm not certain.

- 22 I may have just heard that from Jack.
- 23 Q. As you sit here today, do you know if Jack
- 24 Voight or someone from LTD said to their

- 1 attorney, what is the zoning of our land or if
- 2 they said to their attorney what is the
- 3 classification of our land under the Pollution
- 4 Control Board regulations?
- 5 Do you know exactly how the
- 6 conversation went?
- 7 A. That may differ.
- 8 Q. You would agree there's a difference
- 9 there?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Okay. You don't know if Jack Voight or
- 12 the person from LTD said to their attorney, what
- 13 is the zoning of our land or what is the
- 14 classification of our land under the regulations;
- 15 is that accurate?
- 16 A. I'm not aware of what the exact wording
- was, no.
- 18 Q. So if LTD said to its attorney what's the
- 19 zoning of our land in Bannockburn, that would be
- 20 a completely different question than what's the

- 21 classification of our land under the Pollution
- 22 Control Board regulations?
- 23 A. Yes, it would.
- Q. Did you, during the course of your work

- 1 for LTD, ever see my May 4th, 1998, letter to the
- 2 president of the village of Bannockburn?
- 3 A. I believe I received a copy of this. It
- 4 looks familiar.
- 5 Q. Did you see a copy of this after you had a
- 6 conversation with Jack Voight when you told him
- 7 you need to have your attorney look at the
- 8 classification of your land under the
- 9 regulations?
- 10 A. Yes. That was something I thought he
- 11 needed to resolve legally.
- 12 Q. Now, on the brochure that you sent
- 13 prospective clients that comments on effects of
- 14 noise, Mr. Kaiser read the provision, and I think
- 15 it's C6, there's something in here about how
- 16 noise can cause illness, correct?
- 17 A. I think I mentioned that many experts have
- 18 indicated that.
- 19 Q. Right. Quote, many experts believe that

- 20 this leads to a susceptibility to illness,
- 21 correct?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- Q. So if a person was, in fact, becoming ill
- 24 because of noise, you might expect them to go see

- 1 their doctor?
- 2 A. Probably, but I wouldn't expect the doctor
- 3 to know necessarily it was due to noise or
- 4 anything.
- 5 Q. Bottom line, for a C to A analysis, you
- 6 don't find a violation of the numerical
- 7 provisions of the Pollution Control Board
- 8 regulations?
- 9 A. No. I don't find it conclusive.
- 10 MR. KOLAR: I don't have any further
- 11 questions.
- 12 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Any recross,
- 13 Mr. Kaiser?
- MR. KOLAR: Yes, briefly.
- 15 RECROSS-EXAMINATION
- 16 by Mr. Kaiser
- 17 Q. And the reason you don't find it
- 18 conclusively that there's a violation or that you

- 19 can't rule out the possibility that there's a
- 20 violation is because there is that exceedance at
- 21 2,000 hertz, right?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- 23 Q. And while it might be crickets, it could
- 24 also be air brakes, right?

- 1 A. That's correct.
- Q. Now, in responding to Mr. Kolar's question
- 3 about the effectiveness of a noise wall, you
- 4 talked about noise being reflected and
- 5 reverberations of noise.
- 6 Do you recall that?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 Q. And, in fact, this entire north face of
- 9 LTD's dock area is all a hard, impervious
- 10 surface; is it not?
- 11 A. That's right.
- 12 Q. Very reflective surface, correct?
- 13 A. The upper portion of it, yes.
- 14 Q. Highly reflective of noise, right?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Noise can ricochet down in this dock area,
- 17 build up energy, and reflect off the upper

- 18 portions of LTD's northern wall to the north,
- 19 correct?
- 20 A. Well, that would be incorrect because it
- 21 doesn't build up energy. There's no source of
- 22 energy. I think what you're referring to is just
- 23 a reflection that can then just go over the
- 24 retaining wall, but it doesn't build up or

- 1 amplify in any sense.
- 2 Q. But it can reverberate, bounce off of
- 3 LTD's north wall, and then go to the north
- 4 towards the Roti, Weber, and Rosenstrock
- 5 residences?
- 6 A. For those sources that are away from the
- 7 dock area, yes. For those that are on the dock,
- 8 no.
- 9 Q. Well, on the dock meaning inside -- I
- 10 mean, the docks are actually inside under LTD's
- 11 roof, right?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. So no noise is reflecting escaping out of
- 14 the dock door and then bouncing off of the north
- 15 wall?
- 16 A. Right.

- 17 Q. But an air brake being released to the
- 18 north and in this truck staging area, it could be
- 19 the noise from that could be reflected off of the
- 20 upper portion of LTD's dock area, right?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- Q. And it's possible there are manufacturers
- 23 who construct materials that could be placed on
- 24 the upper portions of LTD's dock area in order to

- 1 absorb reflective sound and sound that might
- 2 reverberate; is that right?
- 3 A. Esthetically, it might not look good, but
- 4 functionally, it could work.
- 5 Q. Now, Mr. Kolar told you that throughout
- 6 northern Illinois, the Illinois Department of
- 7 Transportation is building noise walls at certain
- 8 locations in order to minimize transmission of
- 9 noise from the tollway to adjacent residences; is
- 10 that right?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. And IDOT has certain criteria by which
- 13 they will approve construction of noise walls; is
- 14 that correct?
- 15 A. That's correct.

- 16 Q. And they put in these noise walls to
- 17 reduce -- to contain the noise so that it doesn't
- 18 migrate into residential neighborhoods, right?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. And they put up noise walls because noise
- 21 walls work, right?
- 22 A. They work.
- 23 Q. They reduce noise, don't they?
- 24 A. For the people that are closest to it,

- 1 right.
- Q. Well, do you think IDOT is wasting the
- 3 taxpayers' money by building these noise walls?
- 4 A. I hope not. I'm just saying that people
- 5 shouldn't get the impression if they live, say,
- 6 3,000 feet from that wall that it's going to help
- 7 them. So I just wanted to define what you mean
- 8 by work; work for people that are close to the
- 9 wall, but probably not even work at all for
- 10 people that are distant from the source.
- 11 Q. All right. Now, it's axiomatic that noise
- 12 is loudest at the source, and then as it travels
- out, the intensity of the noise diminishes?
- 14 A. Correct.

- 15 Q. And as I understand it, if the noise
- 16 source starts at 100 as it moves, is it 100 feet
- 17 out it's cut in half? At what distance does
- 18 noise have to travel before it's reduced by half?
- 19 A. About three times the distance, the
- 20 measurement distance. In other words, if you're
- 21 at 100 feet, you have to go out to 200 feet for
- 22 it to drop six decibels, and you have to go out
- 23 to 400 feet before it drops yet another six
- 24 decibels. So that's 12 decibels that would be

- 1 the point of cutting it in half.
- 2 So you're going somewhere, to get to
- 3 ten decibels, about three times the distance.
- 4 Now, that would be for what we call point source
- 5 where the source is contained. When you have a
- 6 source like traffic, when you have it distributed
- 7 in a line, that reduction is closer to three per
- 8 doubling of distance.
- 9 Q. But LTD is a point source --
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. -- isn't it?
- 12 A. That's right.
- 13 Q. As distinguished from the tollway, which

- 14 is a nonpoint source?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- 16 Q. And Karen Roti's home is 200 feet from
- 17 LTD's truck dock, isn't it?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. And not 3,000 feet from LTD's dock area?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 Q. And so you would expect that Karen Roti,
- 22 Paul Rosenstrock, and Leslie and Henry Weber
- 23 would obtain benefits if LTD built a properly
- 24 designed and properly constructed noise wall

- 1 along the northern boundary of its dock staging
- 2 area, correct?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 Q. And the effects of that noise wall might
- 5 be improved and made greater still if LTD were to
- 6 install on the upper portions of its north wall
- 7 materials which would absorb noise, correct?
- 8 A. That would enhance overall performance.
- 9 MR. KAISER: Thank you. No further
- 10 questions.
- 11 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Kolar.
- MR. KOLAR: I just have a couple

- 13 questions.
- 14 FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 15 by Mr. Kolar
- 16 Q. Can noise reverberate or bounce off of a
- 17 tractor trailer body?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. So even with a noise wall, the third-party
- 20 trailers in the truck docking area, you can have
- 21 noise bouncing off the trailer bodies and go
- 22 above the wall?
- 23 A. Right, which is why the wall would have to
- 24 be taller than the trucks to help minimize that.

- 1 Q. Could you build a wall high enough that
- 2 would completely preclude noise from going over
- 3 the wall by bouncing off of the truck bodies?
- 4 A. Well, in theory, yeah, if you wanted to
- 5 build 100 foot high wall or something like that,
- 6 but, obviously, you run into structural
- 7 limitations and wind loads. So you don't
- 8 commonly see barrier walls going over 15, 20
- 9 feet.
- 10 Q. The questions Mr. Kaiser asked you about
- 11 how as you get farther away from the noise

- 12 source, the sound energy or the level dissipates
- or is less, that's generally true?
- 14 A. Yes. Right.
- 15 Q. And you testify to that when you appear
- 16 before village zoning bodies regarding noise
- 17 issues?
- 18 A. That's correct. It attenuates more for
- 19 point sources, somewhat less for traffic
- 20 patterns, and temperature inversions can
- 21 obviously compromise that.
- 22 Q. In your experience at times, village
- 23 planners decide where land uses should go based
- 24 on when noise from an existing use will be

- 1 dissipated?
- 2 A. Yeah. I mean, for example, in land use
- 3 planning, you wouldn't want to attempt to put
- 4 residential tracts next to a busy road like
- 5 that. Your tendency is to put companies like LTD
- 6 close to those already noisy spots in the
- 7 village.
- 8 Q. Like a big tollway?
- 9 A. Exactly.
- 10 Q. But like when Lake Forest was considering

- 11 housing for the area north of LTD, a noise
- 12 consultant could have told them how far homes
- 13 should be to the north so that the sound has
- 14 dissipated before it reaches the homes, correct?
- MR. KAISER: Objection, calls for
- 16 speculation.
- 17 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Overruled. I
- 18 think this is in with his expertise.
- 19 BY THE WITNESS:
- 20 A. Well, if a village called me in, I'd look
- 21 at that and say, what are you trying to do here?
- 22 You know, you got residential places next to an
- 23 industrial use. Let's try to rezone. Let's
- 24 rework it. Let's put a buffer in, a berm, you

- 1 know, those kinds of things if you want to put
- 2 residential.
- 3 BY MR. KOLAR:
- 4 Q. And if this is vacant and they say to you,
- 5 Mr. Thunder, we want to put homes in there, you
- 6 could tell them how far those homes should be
- 7 away to the north?
- 8 A. Oh, sure, sure. That's considered a valid
- 9 noise abatement approach is to determine how much

- 10 land is necessary to dissipate the sound.
- MR. KOLAR: I don't have any further
- 12 questions.
- MR. KAISER: Just briefly redirect?
- 14 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Re-recross?
- MR. KAISER: Yeah. Re-recross. Sorry.
- 16 If I may?
- 17 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Yes, please.
- 18 FURTHER RECROSS EXAMINATION
- 19 by Mr. Kaiser
- 20 Q. I just want to make sure I understand this
- 21 temperature inversion which you just referred to.
- 22 Do I understand --
- MR. KOLAR: Objection, beyond the scope.
- MR. KAISER: No, no. You used those words

- 1 temperature inversion during your last redirect
- 2 because you asked him about the distance, and he
- 3 said yes, distance and temperature inversion.
- 4 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Overruled, but
- 5 it's tenuous. So don't go too far with it, Mr.
- 6 Kaiser.
- 7 BY MR. KAISER:
- 8 Q. I just want to understand the concept.

- 9 Is it your testimony that noise
- 10 travels -- well, essentially travels -- is less
- 11 attenuated during cold weather.
- 12 MR. KOLAR: Objection. This is beyond the
- 13 scope. I think just because he mentioned
- 14 temperature inversion in a different line of
- 15 questioning doesn't allow him to go into
- 16 something he forgot to ask before.
- 17 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Kaiser.
- 18 MR. KAISER: We are talking about planning
- 19 and the impact of distance and temperature
- 20 inversion. I just want to make sure I understand
- 21 it, and by extension, the Board understands how
- 22 temperature inversion affects noise levels and
- 23 planning in association with noise levels.
- 24 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Overruled. I'll

- l allow it, but, as I said, Mr. Kaiser.
- 2 BY MR. KAISER:
- 3 Q. I mean, in cold weather, noise travels
- 4 farther, right?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. Clarify.
- 7 A. It has nothing to do -- well, sound can

- 8 propagate more favorably under certain
- 9 combinations of humidity and temperature, but I
- 10 think what you're looking at is with respect to
- 11 temperature inversion, it has nothing to do with
- 12 the absolute temperature, but rather the relative
- 13 temperature of the atmosphere up here relative to
- 14 that near the ground, and a temperature inversion
- 15 is when the air on top is warmer, and because
- 16 sound travels faster in warmer air, it has the
- 17 ability to refract or bend that sound back down
- 18 toward the residents.
- 19 So instead of getting the usual 60 dB
- 20 per doubling of distance attenuation, you may not
- 21 see that under temperature inversion conditions.
- 22 Shall I re-explain?
- 23 Q. No. I actually think I get it. I mean,
- 24 if you've got the warm air up top, the sound

- 1 waves travel faster and they may end up
- 2 essentially falling or as they move out, they get
- 3 bigger and at --
- 4 A. Right.
- 5 Q. -- more distance, you would experience
- 6 those faster moving waves?

- 7 Can I just get an answer to my
- 8 question? If it's colder, does sound travel
- 9 farther?
- 10 MR. KOLAR: Objection, asked and answered.
- 11 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Sustained. I
- 12 think he's already answered that.
- MR. KAISER: Well, I think it was no, that
- 14 wasn't what temperature inversion meant, but not
- 15 necessarily no, that's not what happens. Again,
- 16 I'm just trying to make it clear.
- 17 BY MR. KAISER:
- 18 Q. Is that an axiom of --
- 19 A. No, no. It doesn't travel further. It
- 20 still goes through the same 60 dB attenuation per
- 21 doubling of distance, but what happens is if you
- 22 have a certain combination of humidity and
- 23 temperature, and there's charts, and I couldn't
- 24 recite the chart for you, they would be more

- 1 favorable to low frequency transmission.
- 2 In other words, in addition to the
- 3 normal wave divergence, the atmosphere can absorb
- 4 some of that sound.
- 5 Q. If it's more humid?

- 6 A. If it's cold -- if it's colder mixed with
- 7 certain temperatures. That's why in doing these
- 8 calculations, they're normally done at what's
- 9 call standard conditions, which is about 70
- 10 degrees Fahrenheit, 50 percent relative
- 11 humidity. Those are what's called standard
- 12 conditions for doing propagation analysis.
- 13 MR. KAISER: Can I ask one more question?
- 14 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: You can ask.
- 15 I'm going to listen to Mr. Kolar's objection.
- 16 MR. KAISER: I'm curious, and I think it's
- 17 important.
- 18 BY MR. KAISER:
- 19 Q. Would the Rotis perceive noise differently
- 20 if it's 75 degrees out as opposed to if it's 35
- 21 degrees out; same noise, same intensity at the
- 22 source LTD dock area, but different temperature.
- 23 Would that affect the way the Rotis
- 24 would experience noise?

- 1 MR. KOLAR: Objection, beyond the scope.
- 2 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Sustained. I'm
- 3 going to have to sustain that, Mr. Kaiser.
- 4 MR. KAISER: I have no further questions.

- 5 Thank you.
- 6 MR. KOLAR: No questions.
- 7 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Thank you, Mr.
- 8 Thunder. You can step down. Off the record.
- 9 (Discussion had
- off the record.)
- 11 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Kolar, are
- 12 you continuing your case-in-chief or are you
- 13 ready to rest?
- MR. KOLAR: I don't have any further
- 15 witnesses. I'm ready to rest, but I would have
- 16 my exhibits to --
- 17 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: That would be
- 18 fine.
- MR. KOLAR: Should we do that now?
- 20 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Let's do it now,
- 21 your exhibits anyway. After the hearing, after
- 22 we finish with public comments and any rebuttal
- 23 testimony that we might have from the
- 24 complainants, we'll go over all the exhibits,

- 1 except yours probably because we're going to do
- 2 them right now.
- 3 MR. KOLAR: I have no problem with waiting,

- 4 if you want.
- 5 MR. KAISER: It's up to you.
- 6 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Kaiser, do
- 7 you want him doing it? It's up to you. It won't
- 8 be his case-in-chief anymore, but I don't --
- 9 MR. KAISER: No, I have no problem with
- 10 him offering them after we all rest.
- 11 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Let's do it that
- 12 way then. Aside from that, Mr. Kolar, do you
- 13 have any other witnesses?
- MR. KOLAR: No. We would rest subject to
- 15 offering our exhibits.
- 16 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Kaiser, do
- 17 you have a case in rebuttal?
- MR. KAISER: Yes. By way of rebuttal, I
- 19 would like to recall one of the complainants,
- 20 Karen Roti.
- 21 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Okay. Ms. Roti,
- 22 if you'd come on up here. You've done this
- 23 before. Have a seat right over there. I'm going
- 24 to ask the court reporter to swear you in.

- 1 REBUTTAL
- 2 (Witness sworn.)

- 3 WHEREUPON:
- 4 KAREN ROTI,
- 5 called as a witness herein, having been first
- 6 duly sworn, deposeth and saith as follows:
- 7 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 8 by Mr. Kaiser
- 9 Q. Ms. Roti, you're one of the complainants
- 10 in this matter?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And you recall we were here back in
- 13 November of 1999 when you offered direct
- 14 testimony in this hearing?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And now you're back as a rebuttal witness;
- 17 is that right?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Now, I'm showing you what's previously
- 20 been marked for purposes of identification as
- 21 Respondent's Exhibit 89. It's this aerial
- 22 photograph that's up on the easel here.
- 23 Can you see that from where you're
- 24 seated?

1616

1 A. Uh-huh.

- 2 Q. And are you able to identify LTD's
- 3 Bannockburn building on that aerial photograph?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And there's a house just to the north of
- 6 LTD's dock area that's circled and has the Roti
- 7 name above it.
- 8 Is that, in fact, your home?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. You still live there, do you not?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And you still live there with your husband
- 13 Tony?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And your children?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And as I recall, you and your husband
- 18 purchased this home in either 1989 or 1990.
- 19 Is that accurate?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Do you recall what you paid for your home,
- 22 and at that point, the house was -- had been
- 23 built? You didn't buy just land. You bought
- 24 land and a house, right?

- 1 A. Right.
- 2 Q. And do you recall what you paid for your
- 3 home at that time?
- 4 A. 525.
- 5 Q. When you purchased your home, were you
- 6 aware that the boundary between the village of
- 7 Lake Forest and the village of Bannockburn was
- 8 essentially in your backyard?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And what did you know about the land uses
- 11 to the south when you and your husband purchased
- 12 your home?
- 13 A. I knew it was --
- 14 MR. KOLAR: Objection. This is not
- 15 rebuttal. This is going over his case once
- 16 again. She testified to all this before.
- 17 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Kaiser, I'm
- 18 going to give you some latitude here when you're
- 19 starting off getting maybe to what you want to
- 20 use for rebuttal, but we have covered all this on
- 21 her direct examination during your case-in-chief.
- MR. KAISER: And I've asked Ms. Roti to
- 23 come back essentially to rebut the expert opinion
- 24 of Kevin Byrnes where he talked about value, who

- 1 would buy a home near a loading dock, and whether
- 2 a person would consider the market value to be
- 3 affected by operations of the dock for ten hours
- 4 a day and operations of the dock for in excess of
- 5 20 hours a day, and it was his opinion that a
- 6 buyer would not distinguish between those two
- 7 types of operations, and so I've brought Ms. Roti
- 8 back to ask her whether that is her opinion, so
- 9 just to give the Board and you, Mr. Knittle, a
- 10 sense of where I'm going.
- 11 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: I understand.
- 12 Based on that representation, I'm going to let
- 13 him go a little ways with this, but I agree, Mr.
- 14 Kolar, we do not want to have a redirect
- 15 examination of this witness as we did before. So
- 16 the objection is overruled.
- 17 Did you have something else to add?
- 18 MR. KOLAR: Yeah. I have a further
- 19 objection because that was my understanding of
- 20 why she was here, and I don't think it's rebuttal
- 21 because Mr. Byrnes' testimony and opinion was
- 22 that a prospective buyer of the Roti home would
- 23 not distinguish between a trucking operation that
- 24 went 14 hours a day or 20 hours a day, whatever

- 1 his differential was.
- 2 She's not a prospective buyer. She's
- 3 the owner. So I don't think it's appropriate for
- 4 her to come in here and now say what a
- 5 prospective buyer would do. It's not rebuttal.
- 6 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: I'm going to
- 7 overrule that as well. I'll allow it to go on,
- 8 and I'll leave it to the Board to give the
- 9 appropriate weight to her testimony and note that
- 10 she is the owner, although at one time she was a
- 11 prospective buyer of the property.
- MR. KOLAR: Just one further point.
- 13 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Yeah. You can
- 14 make any -- put any objection you want on the
- 15 record.
- 16 MR. KOLAR: In their complaint signed by
- 17 Ms. Roti, they say that LTD's operation depresses
- 18 the value of their property, and that was the
- 19 hypothesis, so to speak, that Mr. Byrnes was
- 20 testing. Again, it's not proper rebuttal for the
- 21 complainant to come in to rebut Mr. Byrnes on the
- 22 point of whether prospective buyers of the Roti
- 23 home, how they would act.
- 24 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Kaiser,

- 1 anything further on this before we move on.
- 2 MR. KAISER: No, nothing further.
- 3 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: It's noted for
- 4 the record, but I'm going to allow it to go on on
- 5 a limited basis.
- 6 MR. KAISER: Thank you.
- 7 BY MR. KAISER:
- 8 Q. When you purchased your home, you paid
- 9 \$525,000 for it --
- 10 A. Right.
- 11 Q. -- didn't you?
- 12 And during 1990, 1991, 1992, 1993,
- 13 1994, you didn't have a problem being located
- 14 next door to LTD and its dock area, did you?
- 15 A. No, not at all.
- 16 Q. Without going over all your testimony, as
- 17 I understand it, when LTD added a second shift
- 18 and began operating until 12:30 at night during
- 19 the fall months and on occasion until 1:30 or
- 20 even 2:30 in the morning, you and your children
- 21 began to experience the noise from LTD's dock
- 22 operations as a problem; is that right?
- 23 A. Absolutely.
- Q. Now, originally, you were willing to pay

- 1 \$525,000 for your home and the lot, right?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. What would you pay for your home and your
- 4 lot now knowing that during the months of August,
- 5 September, October, November, and much of
- 6 December LTD operates its loading docks between
- 7 6:00 a.m. in the morning and 12:30 a.m. the
- 8 following day five and a half days a week, what
- 9 would you now pay for your home?
- 10 A. I wouldn't buy it. I wouldn't give it
- 11 away.
- 12 Q. I'm sorry.
- 13 A. I wouldn't give it away.
- 14 Q. What has changed since you purchased your
- 15 home in 1990?
- 16 A. The fact that -- it's one thing to put up
- 17 with some noise every once in a while during the
- 18 day, but when it's all day and all night and
- 19 disrupts your sleep and waking you up and going
- 20 to sleep, it's another story.
- 21 Q. Now, yesterday, we heard testimony from
- 22 Marcia Rowley.
- You know Ms. Rowley, don't you?
- 24 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. She's a person with whom you've listed
- 2 your home for sale on two occasions; isn't that
- 3 correct?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And your house is not presently listed --
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. -- for sale, is it?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. Do you expect to place your home back on
- 10 the market after you get a ruling from the
- 11 Illinois Pollution Control Board?
- 12 A. I doubt it at this point.
- 13 Q. Do you have an opinion as to whether or
- 14 not the expansion of LTD's dock operations, and
- 15 by expansion, I mean expansion in the time sense,
- 16 that they went from a one-shift operation to a
- 17 two-shift operation, whether that expansion has
- 18 had any affect on the fair market value of your
- 19 property?
- 20 MR. KOLAR: Objection. Now, this is not
- 21 rebuttal.
- MR. KAISER: Sure, it is.
- MS. KARASIK: Yeah, it is.
- 24 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: I have to ask

- 1 you to be quiet back there, ma'am.
- 2 MR. KAISER: Ms. Karasik feels it's
- 3 rebuttal.
- 4 MR. KOLAR: I don't remember her
- 5 testifying.
- 6 MR. KAISER: A person is always entitled
- 7 to testify with respect to the value of their own
- 8 personal or real property. So it's not a matter
- 9 of foundation or lack of expertise. Certainly,
- 10 it would be relevant because Mr. Byrnes has come
- in at \$175 an hour and expressed an opinion.
- 12 There's no reason why Ms. Roti can't.
- 13 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Anything
- 14 further, Mr. Kolar?
- MR. KOLAR: I would agree generally that
- 16 people can testify to the fair market value of
- 17 their property, but the issue is is it rebuttal,
- 18 and I think we covered this in direct.
- 19 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: What was the
- 20 question again? Mr. Kaiser, do you remember the
- 21 question?
- MR. KAISER: Yes, I do.
- 23 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Could you relay

- 1 MR. KAISER: I asked Ms. Roti whether she
- 2 has an opinion whether the expansion of LTD's
- 3 dock operations has affected the fair market
- 4 value of her property.
- 5 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: I'm going to
- 6 overrule the objection. I think that, at least
- 7 peripherally, it rebuts Mr. Byrnes' testimony.
- 8 So you can answer that question.
- 9 BY MR. KAISER:
- 10 Q. Would you like it read back?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: The most recent
- 13 one.
- 14 (Record read.)
- 15 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Ms. Roti, do you
- 16 remember the question now?
- 17 THE WITNESS: I think so.
- 18 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Give it a shot.
- 19 BY THE WITNESS:
- 20 A. I'm sure it has. I'm sure it has. I
- 21 mean, I don't know what we could sell it for, I
- 22 mean.

- 23 BY MR. KAISER:
- Q. Well, do you think the value -- because of

- 1 LTD's expanded operations, has the value of your
- 2 home appreciated, gone up, or depreciated, gone
- 3 down?
- 4 A. It's probably depreciated. I mean, it
- 5 used to be a relatively small building, and now
- 6 it's huge.
- 7 Q. And in addition to its change in size,
- 8 have you noticed any change in its operations
- 9 over the last five years?
- 10 A. The operations have grown.
- 11 MR. KOLAR: Objection, this is not
- 12 rebuttal.
- 13 BY THE WITNESS:
- 14 A. Exponentially --
- 15 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Ma'am, if you
- 16 could hold it a sec. Mr. Kaiser?
- MR. KAISER: Well, it's just, again,
- 18 getting to the basis of her opinion.
- 19 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: I'm going to
- 20 sustain the objection, not that it's not proper
- 21 rebuttal, but I do think this has been covered in

- 22 great detail on direct examination the first
- 23 time. I guess, Mr. Kaiser, I don't really think
- 24 it's necessary for her to go back and recount how

- 1 it's changed over the --
- 2 MR. KAISER: No. Absolutely.
- 3 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: As long as she
- 4 can answer the question based on the fact that it
- 5 has changed, then that's her opinion, of course.
- 6 I think that's sufficient.
- 7 BY MR. KAISER:
- 8 Q. So it's your opinion that LTD's expanded
- 9 operations have caused the price of your home to
- 10 fall; is that right?
- 11 MR. KAISER: Thank you. No further
- 12 questions.
- 13 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Kolar, do
- 14 you have a cross-examination for this witness?
- MR. KOLAR: Yes. Thank you.
- 16 CROSS EXAMINATION
- 17 by Mr. Kolar
- 18 Q. Ms. Roti, on Exhibit 89, you see we have
- 19 1995 written on the LTD warehouse expansion,
- 20 correct?

- 21 A. Uh-huh.
- 22 Q. Yes?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Ma'am, yeah, you

- 1 do have to say yes for the court reporter.
- 2 BY MR. KOLAR:
- 3 Q. And you paid \$525,000 for your property,
- 4 right?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And it's your opinion that it's not worth
- 7 \$525,000 anymore?
- 8 MR. KAISER: Objection, misstates the
- 9 opinion.
- 10 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Overruled.
- 11 BY THE WITNESS:
- 12 A. I would be happy to list it at 525 and see
- 13 if it sold. I don't know if it would.
- 14 BY MR. KOLAR:
- 15 Q. On June 1996, you and your husband listed
- 16 your home for \$695,000, right?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And then you had another listing where it
- 19 was for \$674,000, right?

- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Your husband was the one who determined
- 22 the value to put on the listing originally,
- 23 right, \$695,000? That was your husband's
- 24 decision?

- 1 A. Yes, it was, I think.
- 2 Q. You're saying that circumstances have
- 3 changed so much since 1995 that nobody would buy
- 4 the Roti house now, right?
- 5 A. I wouldn't buy it again.
- 6 Q. Nobody is going to move on Wedgewood
- 7 since 1995? That's what you're telling the
- 8 Pollution Control Board?
- 9 A. I'm saying that when we listed the house,
- 10 we wanted to see if we could get approximately
- 11 around there, you know, based on several
- 12 different things, a lot of different factors,
- 13 part of which was whatever we -- whatever amount
- 14 we were paying taxes on.
- 15 Q. But the question is are you telling the
- 16 Pollution Control Board that since LTD built its
- 17 warehouse in 1995, since that point, people
- 18 aren't going to move to Wedgewood Drive anymore?

- 19 A. I'm saying I wouldn't buy my house. I
- 20 wouldn't buy it. I wouldn't sell it to anybody I
- 21 know. That's what I'm saying. I don't know what
- 22 somebody would pay for it. Hi, would you like to
- 23 buy my house for \$100,000? You'll be up all
- 24 night for four months out of the year. Maybe you

- 1 travel.
- 2 Q. You would sell your house to someone you
- 3 don't know?
- 4 A. I wouldn't sell it to somebody I don't
- 5 know or somebody I know.
- 6 Q. And you brought a neighbor with you today
- 7 for the public comment section, right?
- 8 A. I brought her with me? She followed me
- 9 here.
- 10 Q. Okay. You're the one who asked her to
- 11 come last November for the public comments?
- 12 A. I did not ask her to come. She approached
- 13 me.
- 14 Q. You told her about the Pollution Control
- 15 Board hearing at some point, right?
- 16 A. I think she approached me. I was leaving
- 17 to pick up the kids from school, and she was

- 18 getting her mail, and she stopped me and asked me
- 19 what was going on.
- 20 Q. At some point, you had advised her that
- 21 you had this Pollution Control Board matter with
- 22 LTD Commodities, right?
- 23 A. After that, I'm sure I told her that it
- 24 was something I had been working on for the past

- 1 three and a half, four years, and it really
- 2 hadn't been resolved.
- 3 Q. You're saying that she found out about the
- 4 Pollution Control Board complaint you filed on
- 5 her own and then approached you to discuss it?
- 6 A. She approached me because of the noise.
- 7 She didn't -- I don't think she knew that there
- $8\,$ $\,$ was a -- she wanted to know what was going on.
- 9 Q. For the record, your neighbor who's here,
- 10 what's her name?
- 11 A. Kendra.
- 12 Q. Kendra who?
- 13 A. Karasik.
- 14 Q. Last name?
- 15 A. Karasik.
- 16 Q. Kendra moved in across the street from you

- in 1998, right? Sound about right?
- 18 A. If you say it's right.
- 19 Q. Does that sound about right to you?
- 20 A. Yeah.
- 21 Q. Were you and your husband financially able
- 22 to move to another location in Lake Forest?
- MR. KAISER: Objection, relevance.
- 24 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: How is that

- 1 relevant, Mr. Kolar?
- 2 MR. KOLAR: Well, I guess it goes to the
- 3 credibility of her testimony regarding fair
- 4 market value, the credibility of how LTD's
- 5 operations affect her. They're financially able
- 6 to move and they're still there.
- 7 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: I'm going to
- 8 sustain that objection. I don't think it's
- 9 relevant to these proceedings.
- 10 BY MR. KOLAR:
- 11 Q. So despite the problems you've complained
- 12 LTD caused you and your family members, you're
- 13 not going to put your house on the market, right?
- 14 A. Call me an optimist, but I'm sure I can
- 15 work this out.

- 16 Q. Is it true what I said?
- 17 A. We probably will not put the house on the
- 18 market. I mean, as of today, I have no plans to
- 19 put my house on the market.
- 20 Q. Do you and your family still need more
- 21 space?
- 22 A. You know, I have kids -- two kids that are
- 23 leaving in the next year and the year after.
- 24 They're on their way out the door.

- 1 Q. College or something?
- 2 A. Right. So, I mean, four years ago, it was
- 3 a different story. Now, it's, you know, a
- 4 different picture. They're leaving.
- 5 Q. And you think if a 13 foot high noise wall
- 6 was built along the LTD property that that would
- 7 make your property more attractive to prospective
- 8 buyers?
- 9 A. I don't know. It would make it more
- 10 peaceful for me.
- 11 Q. And it's your testimony here again today,
- 12 I guess, that LTD operates all day and all
- 13 night? Did I hear you say that on direct
- 14 examination?

- MR. KAISER: Misstates the testimony.
- 16 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: I'm going to
- 17 allow her to answer the question. The objection
- 18 is noted.
- 19 BY THE WITNESS:
- 20 A. They bother me all day and all night?
- 21 That's the question?
- 22 BY MR. KOLAR:
- 23 Q. Right. That's your testimony here, right?
- 24 A. During certain times of the year.

- 1 Q. And it's your testimony that before the
- 2 warehouse was expanded in 1995, there were no
- 3 nighttime operations in the LTD trucking area?
- 4 A. I would hear -- you know, in the late
- 5 fall, I would be out with the dog or something
- 6 and I would hear a horn every once in a while or
- 7 I would hear, you know, a truck pull in, but
- 8 never to the point where it was disruptive.
- 9 MR. KOLAR: I don't have any other
- 10 questions.
- 11 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Do you have a
- 12 redirect, Mr. Kaiser?
- 13 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

- 14 by Mr. Kaiser
- 15 Q. Just with respect to that all day and all
- 16 night, is there a moment at, perhaps, 3:00 in the
- 17 morning after everybody has gone home, after
- 18 overtime has been authorized by Mr. Voight, and
- 19 before trucks arrive in the vicinity of the LTD
- 20 dock area at 5:45 or 5:30 in the morning where
- 21 you're actually not disturbed by LTD's dock
- 22 operations?
- MR. KOLAR: Objection, leading.
- 24 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Sustained.

- 1 BY MR. KAISER:
- Q. When, if ever, is there a moment when you
- 3 don't feel the effects of LTD's dock operations?
- 4 A. When I don't actually physically hear
- 5 them?
- 6 Q. Yes.
- 7 A. Like, when I'm not at home? There is a
- 8 period during the night where yes, you can, go to
- 9 sleep, and it's probably around that 3:00 to 4:30
- 10 hour, somewhere around there.
- 11 Q. And that's 3:00 to 4:30 in the morning?
- 12 A. Correct.

- 13 MR. KAISER: Thank you. No further
- 14 questions.
- 15 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Kolar.
- MR. KOLAR: No questions.
- 17 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Thank you,
- 18 ma'am. You can step down. Mr. Kaiser, do you
- 19 have any other rebuttal witnesses?
- 20 MR. KAISER: Can we go off the record?
- 21 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Yes, we may.
- 22 Let's go off.
- 23 (Discussion had
- off the record.)

- 1 MR. KAISER: I had expected Mr. Weber here
- 2 at 1:30. If he happens to appear before the
- 3 close of the public comment period, that the
- 4 Board might allow us to reopen for the limited
- 5 purpose of taking his rebuttal testimony.
- 6 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Do you want to
- 7 address that now?
- 8 MR. KAISER: No. Why don't we hold it.
- 9 It may be moot if he doesn't appear anytime
- 10 before we finish public comment, but we are
- 11 resting, but we may beg to reopen if

- 12 circumstances present themselves.
- 13 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Thank you very
- 14 much.
- MR. KAISER: Thank you.
- 16 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Let's see. We
- 17 are now --
- 18 MR. KOLAR: May the record reflect that
- 19 Jack Voight has been here all day today?
- 20 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: The record may
- 21 so reflect that Mr. Voight is here, even though
- 22 it's such a lovely day outside and the park is
- 23 within easy walking distance.
- We are now to the public comment

- 1 portion of the proceedings, otherwise known as
- 2 section 103.202(f), statements from interested
- 3 citizens as authorized by the hearing officer. I
- 4 note we have one member of the public who wishes
- 5 to provide a public comment.
- 6 Ma'am, is that correct?
- 7 MS. KARASIK: That's correct.
- 8 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: What we're going
- 9 to do and what we do -- what I do when we have
- 10 members of the public who want to provide

- 11 comment, we allow them to get up and speak their
- 12 piece. I'm going to ask that you be sworn in,
- and you'll also be subject to cross-examination
- on what you testify to from both parties.
- 15 So that being said, do you still wish
- 16 to provide public comment?
- 17 MS. KARASIK: Yes.
- 18 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Why don't you
- 19 come on up and have a seat then. If you'd
- 20 identify yourself. Well, I'll let you sit down
- 21 before I make you identify yourself.
- 22 Can you give your name to the court
- 23 reporter?
- MS. KARASIK: It's Kendra, K-e-n-d-r-a,

- 1 Karasik. K-a-r-a-s-i-k.
- 2 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Can you swear
- 3 her in, please?
- 4 (Witness sworn.)
- 5 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Ma'am, you can
- 6 provide your public comment now.
- 7 MS. KARASIK: Okay. I'm just here -- we
- 8 moved to the area two years ago, July of 1998.
- 9 We were really excited. We bought the house,

- 10 like, on a weekend and moved three weeks later.
- 11 I didn't really know LTD Commodities. Everything
- 12 happened so fast.
- I knew it was there, but just I
- 14 didn't really know. I knew the tollway was over
- 15 there. I knew kind of where we were, et cetera.
- 16 It was like in the fall right after we moved in.
- 17 I was driving the children back and forth to
- 18 school for two weeks. We moved in Labor Day
- 19 weekend, and, you know, being out in the country
- 20 from where we were in Highland Park, it was
- 21 refreshing, opening the windows, leaving them
- 22 open at night, not using the air-conditioning,
- 23 and we started to hear the noises.
- I didn't really know what it was.

- 1 Our dogs were reacting. They were wild. They're
- 2 guard dogs. They're protecting my family, and,
- 3 you know, they would bark and whine and complain,
- 4 and the thumps -- and I didn't know -- it wasn't
- 5 the tollway. The tollway has a consistent hmmmmm
- 6 that we -- you know, but this was --
- 7 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Can you pick
- 8 that up?

- 9 MS. KARASIK: Hum noises. I approached
- 10 Karen. I asked her what's going on with all this
- 11 noise? I can't stand it. I think that the
- 12 trigger was when my children had tests in
- 13 November, state tests, I-GAPS or ERBs, and it was
- 14 critical. I have a child in special ed that has
- 15 to get -- you know, they send notes home from
- 16 school, please have him get plenty of sleep, have
- 17 a good breakfast. I did my job. I couldn't do
- 18 the job outside, and they just were -- they were
- 19 sick. They were not feeling well. They were
- 20 tired. This was, again, the second week in
- 21 November. It was a hard week.
- Then it goes on throughout the
- 23 winter. Basically, it's just -- you know, we
- 24 hear all these noises all night long. The dogs

- 1 are disruptive. My child who takes a long time,
- 2 my child with special needs, takes a long time to
- 3 get settled into bed. He gets in bed, and he
- 4 rushes out when these big thumps happen
- 5 hysterical, what's going on. You know, he thinks
- that there's problems. I have to take him back,
- 7 say everything is okay, you know, get him back to

- 8 sleep again.
- 9 You know, one day it might be
- 10 something, and he won't run out, and I worry
- 11 about that. You know, to be alarmed and he won't
- 12 run out thinking, oh, it's just LTD, and he won't
- 13 come running.
- 14 My other son is in the front.
- 15 Literally, at night when I get in bed with my
- 16 husband, I can't watch a 9:00 o'clock program. I
- 17 have to have the volume up so loud. I can't have
- 18 the volume up because the children are trying to
- 19 go to sleep. So, you know, it defeats the
- 20 purpose of living in this beautiful home in Lake
- 21 Forest, a quiet community, and it's just, you
- 22 know, we can't sleep in the basement.
- So, you know, it's upsetting, and
- 24 neighbors have told me the most recent thing they

- 1 have seen people in this area -- now, again, I'm
- 2 married to a lawyer, so I know this is hearsay.
- 3 I have not seen this myself, but they have moved
- 4 in the woods. They have seen --
- 5 MR. KOLAR: Can I object to public
- 6 comment?

- 7 MS. KARASIK: -- people coming in and
- 8 out. This is public comment. They've seen
- 9 people --
- 10 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Hold on, ma'am.
- 11 Ma'am. I'm sorry.
- MR. KOLAR: I was overruled by her.
- MS. KARASIK: Oh. I didn't know. I
- 14 didn't hear you. I get lost.
- 15 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: That's okay.
- MS. KARASIK: I don't know. I haven't --
- 17 it's hearsay. I don't know anything about it.
- 18 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Ma'am, can you
- 19 hold a second?
- 20 Mr. Kolar, are you objecting during
- 21 public comment?
- MR. KOLAR: I think I have a right to
- 23 object to hearsay in public comment.
- 24 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: You can object,

- 1 but I do allow the citizens a lot of leeway just
- 2 because we want to hear what they have to say.
- 3 So if you object, I think I'll consider it.
- 4 MR. KOLAR: I object to her not having
- 5 personal knowledge of what she's going to testify

- 6 to. She didn't see it and didn't --
- 7 MS. KARASIK: Well, like I said, it's
- 8 hearsay.
- 9 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Ma'am, let me --
- 10 I know you've got a lawyer for a husband, but let
- 11 me rule on this.
- I am going to allow her to testify.
- 13 Public comment isn't weighed as heavily as
- 14 testimony that's elicited by one of the
- 15 attorneys, and they do have a certain amount of
- 16 latitude. The Board will also give the
- 17 appropriate weight to her testimony, and if it's
- 18 hearsay testimony, they'll consider that as well.
- MS. KARASIK: Well, because then it
- 20 becomes another issue, which is highly critical
- 21 for my family, and it is safety. We send our
- 22 children to go to school to be safe and come home
- 23 to be safe, and now that's planted in my head.
- 24 I've got eyeballs everywhere.

- 1 Every time I'm in and out, I look to
- 2 make sure if there's landscapers, they are people
- 3 with emblems on their shirts or some kind of
- 4 thing that I know that these people are -- they

- 5 belong. You know who drives up and down our
- 6 street. We know all the cars. It's a small
- 7 street. We know who belongs and who doesn't
- 8 belong, but now since this was brought to my
- 9 attention, again, I've not seen this, but it's in
- 10 my head, and now I'm looking extra, extra hard.
- 11 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Anything
- 12 further, ma'am?
- MS. KARASIK: No.
- 14 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Okay. Thank you
- 15 for your testimony. If you'll stay there for one
- 16 second, I want to caution both attorneys when I
- 17 say cross-examination of the public comment, I do
- 18 allow that, but it's not something the Board
- 19 requires. It's something that I allow to kind of
- 20 safeguard parties from additional information
- 21 being elicited without the opportunity to
- 22 cross-examine that witness that the Board will
- 23 consider, but I don't want either side to get too
- 24 in-depth on cross-examination, nor do I want

- 1 either side to present their case through the
- 2 public comment portion.
- 3 So that being said, Mr. Kaiser do you

- 4 have any questions of this witness?
- 5 MR. KAISER: Briefly, if I may.
- 6 WHEREUPON:
- 7 KENDRA KARASIK,
- 8 called as a witness herein, having been first
- 9 duly sworn, deposeth and saith as follows:
- 10 CROSS EXAMINATION
- 11 by Mr. Kaiser
- 12 Q. You told the Board that you moved into
- 13 your home on Wedgewood Drive in, was it, August
- 14 of 1998?
- 15 A. Labor Day weekend.
- 16 Q. Labor Day, September of 1998.
- 17 And you approached this large aerial
- 18 photograph. You see it from where you're seated,
- 19 right?
- 20 A. Uh-huh.
- 21 Q. And you can see on Respondent's Exhibit 89
- 22 where LTD's facility is located?
- 23 A. Uh-huh, yes.
- Q. And you can see just to the north of Karen

- 1 Roti's house?
- 2 A. Yes.

- 3 Q. And can you describe for the Board, either
- 4 from where you're seated or if you need to come
- 5 over and point where your home is located in
- 6 relation to the LTD facility?
- 7 A. It's across the street.
- 8 Q. Directly across the street from Karen
- 9 Roti's?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And is that your circular driveway that's
- 12 right at the front of your house?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. So if the Board was looking at this or one
- of the Board members, they would just look at the
- 16 house due north of Karen Roti's and that would be
- 17 your home?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Now, you described this noise. You first
- 20 heard it when you moved in on Labor Day weekend
- 21 of '98, and you described that it continued and
- 22 was a problem in November of 1998 before your
- 23 children had to take the state exams?
- 24 A. Right. They were I-GAPS -- not I-GAPS.

- 2 important tests, whatever. Any test they take
- 3 is.
- 4 Q. Did that noise continue? Did you --
- 5 during September, October, November, and December
- of 1999, did you still hear noise from LTD's dock
- 7 operations?
- 8 A. Yes, I did, and there were lights, too,
- 9 and when we moved in, I didn't see all this
- 10 because it was September -- it was July when we
- 11 bought the house. We moved three weeks later, so
- 12 the last week of July. So it was beautiful.
- 13 There was foliage. It was Lake Forest. I didn't
- 14 see this -- really what was back there.
- 15 Like I said, we heard the expressway,
- 16 hmmmm, I knew what was there, the hum, but I did
- 17 not know this. I didn't hear it because I didn't
- 18 live in the house before we bought it.
- 19 Q. Does the noise from the expressway keep
- 20 your son from falling asleep at night?
- 21 A. No, no.
- MR. KAISER: Thanks. I have no further
- 23 questions.
- 24 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Kolar.

- 1 CROSS EXAMINATION
- 2 by Mr. Kolar
- 3 Q. How much did you pay your for your house?
- 4 A. 795.
- 5 Q. \$795,000?
- 6 A. Uh-huh.
- 7 Q. Yes?
- 8 A. Yes. I think so.
- 9 Q. What?
- 10 A. I think so. It could be off a little, not
- 11 much.
- 12 Q. Could it be a million?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. What was the name of the people that you
- 15 bought the house from?
- 16 A. Walter Huskey.
- 17 Q. Did he have a wife?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Did she live there with him as well?
- 20 A. I believe so.
- 21 Q. Apparently, Walter and his wife did not
- 22 mention anything to you about noise from LTD; is
- 23 that correct?
- 24 A. That's correct.

- 1 Q. Did you talk to any neighbors before you
- 2 bought your house?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. Did you see any of the neighbors out when
- 5 you had visited your house?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. You moved from Highland Park?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. You had been down Route 22 during the
- 10 years when you lived in Highland Park, right?
- 11 A. Not much.
- 12 Q. You had been down --
- 13 A. I had been down, but that wasn't where I
- 14 traveled.
- 15 Q. But before you bought the house on
- 16 Wedgewood, you and your husband knew of the
- 17 tollway being just to the west, correct?
- 18 A. I knew half we got there where the tollway
- 19 was. I never -- I don't drive on expressways.
- 20 So I really -- I knew it was kind of there, but
- 21 it just didn't -- you know, it didn't pertain to
- 22 me because I don't drive on expressways.
- 23 Q. You knew it was in the vicinity of your
- 24 house?

- 1 A. Yes. I wasn't sure really where, but I
- 2 knew it was there.
- 3 Q. Before you bought your house, did you know
- 4 that the LTD building and its trucking operations
- 5 were just to the south?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. Did you know that there was this Corporate
- 8 100 office building just to the southeast before
- 9 you bought your house?
- 10 A. I vaguely remember a building, like, just
- 11 another building. I didn't know know what it was
- 12 or anything about it.
- 13 Q. Your husband, he works as an attorney at
- 14 Baker & McKenzie?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- 16 Q. In Chicago?
- 17 A. Chicago.
- 18 Q. The largest law firm in the world, right?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- 20 Q. How many children do you have?
- 21 A. I have two boys.
- 22 Q. And one of them has special education
- 23 needs?
- 24 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. And that's the one that's most affected by
- 2 the LTD --
- 3 A. No. They both are. My other son has the
- 4 front bedroom. He's very affected.
- 5 Q. And the LTD operations affect you, as you
- 6 said, all night long?
- 7 A. They affect my children, my husband, and
- 8 my dogs, two dogs, that are guard dogs that
- 9 protect us, and if anything moves, they jump.
- 10 They're trained to do that.
- 11 Q. But it's your public comment that LTD
- 12 operations affect you all night long?
- 13 A. Intermittently throughout the night. You
- 14 know, lots of times when we're just going to
- 15 sleep, there's no pattern. I mean, you can look
- 16 at the trucking records yourself and see what
- 17 time the trucks come and answer your question.
- 18 Whenever they come in and drop that hood, that's
- 19 when it affects us, unload, you know, that truck.
- 20 Q. I understand it can change from day to day
- 21 you're stating, but it can be on one day 2:00 in
- 22 the morning, and the next day you can be affected
- 23 by LTD at 3:30 in the morning?
- 24 A. Yeah, and a lot during the day too.

- 1 Q. And is it your comment that you're
- 2 affected by LTD 12 months a year?
- 3 A. No, but I've -- you know, lately I've
- 4 heard noises. You know, they come at different
- 5 times. There's no pattern when you hear them.
- 6 It could be the way the wind blows. Who knows?
- 7 Q. So why was it that you and your husband
- 8 bought a house and moved in so quickly? Did you
- 9 have any time period that you had to get out of
- 10 your Highland Park house?
- 11 A. No. We built our home in Highland Park.
- 12 We were going to stay there for a long time. We
- 13 were on a great street, a real kid street. My
- 14 son was at a traveling baseball game. We drove
- 15 over the tracks, saw this house, and my husband
- 16 said, oh, look at that house, and he said, oh,
- 17 don't call, and I said I'm going to call. We
- 18 always tell the boys they've got nothing to lose
- 19 but to ask and to try. So I picked up the phone,
- 20 and I called.
- The guy had to get out of the house.
- 22 It was one of those things. We made an offer.
- 23 My husband gave him the old hour to decide, and
- 24 he said I'll take it. We moved three weeks

- 1 later, and that was not what the house was
- 2 originally listed for. It was -- we were very
- 3 lucky.
- 4 Q. And you were here last November when this
- 5 hearing was going on, right?
- 6 A. Right.
- 7 Q. At that time, did Karen Roti ask you to
- 8 come down and make a public comment?
- 9 A. No. I asked her what -- what's going on,
- 10 and she said that, you know, she'd been working
- 11 on this, and I said well, what can we do? Cindy
- 12 Lakin, who can't be here today because her babies
- 13 are sleeping right now, she can't be here, she
- 14 would have been here. Everybody wants to do
- 15 something, but we all have -- and I wanted to
- 16 know what I can do.
- 17 Q. So you and Karen Roti were talking, and
- 18 she told you what you can do is come down and
- 19 make a comment during the public comment section?
- 20 A. She gave me the name of the lawyer, and I
- 21 called you, and my husband called you, what can
- 22 we do, and he said this in --
- 23 Q. Did you speak to Mr. Kaiser?

- 1 Q. And he told you come on down and talk
- 2 during the public comment section of the case?
- 3 A. This was before in November. So, I mean,
- 4 what can we -- you know, Karen said for me to
- 5 call the lawyer, that that was the best approach,
- 6 and we wrote letters. I'm sure you all have
- 7 those.
- 8 Q. No.
- 9 A. No?
- 10 Q. In any event, the trees to the west of the
- 11 Roti home --
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. -- you were pointing to those --
- 14 A. Right.
- 15 Q. -- when I made my objection?
- 16 A. Right.
- 17 Q. You have some safety concerns regarding
- 18 the trees?
- 19 A. Somebody said that there were people in
- 20 there, and my children play out in front. That's
- 21 why we live on this street.
- 22 Q. But you have no personal knowledge as to

- where the people came from?
- 24 A. I do not. Like I said, it's hearsay. I

- 1 have never seen it. I've never heard it, but now
- 2 it's in my head.
- 3 Q. You have no personal knowledge if anybody
- 4 in there would have anything to do with LTD,
- 5 true?
- 6 A. True.
- 7 MR. KOLAR: I don't have anything else.
- 8 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Thank you very
- 9 much, ma'am.
- 10 MR. KAISER: If I may, Mr. Knittle, just
- 11 briefly, just to clarify something.
- 12 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: (Shaking head.)
- MR. KOLAR: How about just the names and
- 14 ages of her sons.
- 15 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: That, I'll
- 16 allow, but that's it.
- Ma'am, can you provide the names and
- 18 ages of your sons?
- 19 MS. KARASIK: Jack is 13 and in seventh
- 20 grade, and Alex is 12 in sixth grade.
- 21 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: And which is the

- 22 one with special education needs?
- MS. KARASIK: Jack, who's 13.
- 24 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Thirteen,

- 1 correct?
- 2 MS. KARASIK: Right. I mean, everything
- 3 is stressful for him. You know, something is
- 4 coming up. You know, everything is high pressure
- 5 for him. So these noises are very intrusive to
- 6 him.
- 7 You know, it takes a while to get him
- 8 settled down. He's not crazy. He doesn't jump
- 9 around, but he's what is that, what is that, what
- 10 is that?
- 11 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Sure. No. I
- 12 understand. And I want to thank you for your
- 13 time and your comments.
- MS. KARASIK: Okay.
- 15 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: The Pollution
- 16 Control Board does appreciate the comments of
- 17 people not directly involved with the case.
- MS. KARASIK: Okay.
- 19 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: So thank you
- 20 very much.

- 21 MS. KARASIK: Thank you.
- 22 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Is there anybody
- 23 else present? I see nobody else wishing to
- 24 provide public comment at this point, which

- 1 pretty much means the case is over.
- 2 Mr. Kaiser, was that phone call you
- 3 received during the public comment from your
- 4 witness?
- 5 MR. KAISER: Yes. That was from Mr. Weber
- 6 who's unable to appear and present testimony this
- 7 afternoon. We would not ask the Board to
- 8 continue the hearing, and we would close our
- 9 proofs at this time.
- 10 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Before we get
- 11 into whether or not we want to do closing
- 12 arguments or reserve those for your briefs, let's
- 13 go over the exhibits that have been offered and
- 14 not offered.
- MR. KAISER: And I'll state right now I'm
- 16 going to reserve it for the brief.
- MR. KOLAR: Me too.
- 18 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: That's good to
- 19 know. Mr. Kolar, you have a number of exhibits

- 20 you want to offer at this point in time.
- 21 MR. KOLAR: Yes. I did spend some time
- 22 and went through.
- 23 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: I'm going to use
- 24 your list.

- 1 MR. KOLAR: Right.
- 2 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: We'll give Mr.
- 3 Kaiser a second.
- 4 MS. KARASIK: Thank you.
- 5 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Thank you,
- 6 ma'am.
- 7 MR. KOLAR: Thank you.
- 8 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: We're going to
- 9 go over the exhibits that Mr. Kolar wants to
- 10 offer. Here, I can give you my old one.
- 11 MR. KAISER: Thank you.
- 12 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Is that pretty
- 13 much the same?
- MR. KOLAR: Pretty much.
- MR. KAISER: These are yours though,
- 16 right?
- 17 MR. KOLAR: Yeah. These are all mine.
- 18 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: We're going to

- 19 go over his first, and then we're going to go
- 20 over the ones that have been offered at this
- 21 point.
- MR. KOLAR: So I have eight and nine, I'm
- 23 offering.
- 24 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Nothing up until

- 1 to eight and nine?
- 2 MR. KOLAR: Right.
- 3 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: I have eight as
- 4 a September 18th -- well, you can read this as
- 5 well as I can -- listing agreement between Rowley
- 6 and Roti.
- 7 MR. KAISER: No objection.
- 8 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: That's
- 9 admitted.
- 10 MR. KAISER: I have no objection to either
- 11 eight or nine.
- MR. KOLAR: Maybe yours is the middle
- 13 column if you want to keep track. Then what I
- 14 have next is ten, an October 22, 1998, letter
- 15 from Karen Roti to neighbors.
- MR. KAISER: No objection.
- 17 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: That's admitted.

- 18 MR. KOLAR: Twelve.
- 19 MR. KAISER: No objection.
- 20 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: That's admitted.
- 21 MR. KOLAR: Fifteen.
- MR. KAISER: No objection.
- 23 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: That's admitted.
- MR. KOLAR: Eighteen.

- 1 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: January 28th,
- 2 '97.
- 3 MR. KAISER: No objection.
- 4 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: That's
- 5 admitted. That one that he's looking at and
- 6 using for his basis of not objecting is the same
- 7 you said mostly, right? If something pops up
- 8 differently, just -- I just don't want Mr. Kaiser
- 9 to run in to the unfortunate, you know --
- 10 MR. KAISER: No. I'm looking at the
- 11 discretion as well.
- 12 MR. KOLAR: I had given him an updated
- 13 one, which is --
- 14 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Yeah, I know,
- 15 but I don't if he has that.
- MR. KAISER: I may have misplaced it.

- 17 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: That's okay.
- 18 Let's keep going. If there looks to be a
- 19 problem, we'll address it.
- 20 MR. KOLAR: Twenty-eight, neither one of
- 21 you have. This is a survey that we had shown of
- 22 the LTD property.
- 23 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Survey of LTD
- 24 property.

- 1 MR. KOLAR: Which the purpose was just to
- 2 show Lakeside Drive versus the LTD --
- 3 MR. KAISER: No objection.
- 4 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: It's admitted.
- 5 MR. KOLAR: Thirty-four, the manual, SLUCM
- 6 manual.
- 7 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Kaiser?
- 8 MR. KAISER: No objection.
- 9 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: That's admitted.
- 10 MR. KOLAR: I guess I should know that. I
- 11 think I'm missing a couple pages. I am missing a
- 12 couple pages. I got this from somebody in
- Washington, D.C.
- 14 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Are you looking
- 15 to replace those pages later?

- MR. KOLAR: If I can get those pages and
- 17 send them to Mr. Kaiser?
- 18 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Yes.
- 19 MR. KOLAR: And if he has an objection,
- 20 then I guess it will go in without the pages. If
- 21 he doesn't have an objection, I'll transmit them
- 22 to you.
- MR. KAISER: I mean, I'm going to be using
- 24 -- I have a SLUCM code as well, and to the

- 1 extent I reference it in argument, I'll assume
- 2 that the pages are there.
- 3 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Do you mind if
- 4 Mr. Kolar provides --
- 5 MR. KAISER: No.
- 6 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: -- those pages
- 7 at a later point in time?
- 8 MR. KOLAR: Just for the record, it looks
- 9 like I'm missing seven and eight of this very
- 10 lengthy manual.
- 11 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: We'll admit it,
- 12 and you are granted leave to replace those pages
- 13 at a later point in time because I take it,
- 14 Mr. Kaiser, you did not object, correct?

- MR. KAISER: No, no objection.
- MR. KOLAR: Thirty-six, good neighbor
- 17 documents.
- 18 MR. KAISER: I'll object, self-serving.
- 19 No, no objection.
- 20 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: That's admitted.
- 21 MR. KOLAR: Thirty-nine, you already
- 22 admitted, the real estate tax bill.
- 23 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Yes. That's
- 24 admitted already.

- 1 MR. KAISER: No objection.
- 2 MR. KOLAR: Then I have 40, 41, and 42,
- 3 the Paul Schomer letters.
- 4 MR. KAISER: No objection.
- 5 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Those are all
- 6 admitted.
- 7 MR. KOLAR: I have 46 and 47 used in the
- 8 Paul Schomer evidence deposition.
- 9 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Kaiser.
- 10 MR. KAISER: No objection.
- 11 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Forty-six and 47
- 12 are admitted.
- MR. KOLAR: Then I have the photographs,

- 14 51 through 85.
- 15 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: I remember
- 16 those.
- 17 MR. KAISER: No objection.
- 18 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Would that be 34
- 19 of them, Mr. Kaiser?
- 20 MR. KAISER: Yeah, all of them, no
- 21 objection.
- 22 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Those are all
- 23 admitted.
- MR. KOLAR: Then I have the aerials 86,

- 1 87, 88, and 89.
- 2 MR. KAISER: No objection.
- 3 MR. KOLAR: Just for the record, 86 and 87
- 4 are each two-page exhibits, and what I put on
- 5 here is like 86 one of two, 86 two of two,
- 6 because LTD is in two townships. So one is like
- 7 Deerfield township, and I think the next one is
- 8 Vernon township, but basically the same view.
- 9 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Eighty-six, 87,
- 10 88, and 89 are all admitted.
- MR. KOLAR: Then 90, the Bannockburn
- 12 zoning map.

- 13 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Kaiser?
- MR. KAISER: No objection.
- 15 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: It's admitted.
- MR. KOLAR: Then I had Thunder documents
- 17 92 and 93.
- 18 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Kaiser?
- MR. KAISER: No objection.
- 20 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Both admitted.
- MR. KOLAR: And 97, the Thunder study.
- 22 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Kaiser?
- MR. KAISER: No objection.
- 24 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Admitted.

- 1 MR. KOLAR: Ninety-eight, the May 6th,
- 2 '98, to Jack Voight by Mr. Thunder.
- 3 MR. KAISER: No objection.
- 4 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Admitted.
- 5 MR. KOLAR: And then, finally, the box and
- 6 the little envelope of peanuts, No. 100.
- 7 MR. KAISER: No objection.
- 8 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: I think we
- 9 admitted that already, but that's readmitted.
- 10 MR. KOLAR: That's all I have.
- 11 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Let's go over

- 12 what I have.
- 13 MR. KOLAR: I'll put those in the peanut
- 14 box.
- 15 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Thank you. Are
- 16 all the aerials rolled up into that? Oh, I see.
- 17 I forgot about those.
- MR. KOLAR: I'll add those.
- 19 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Yeah. We can do
- 20 those later.
- 21 MR. KOLAR: I'll rubber band them all
- 22 together.
- 23 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: I have a very
- 24 small foreign car. We'll be okay. All right.

- 1 Mr. Kaiser?
- 2 MR. KAISER: Yes.
- 3 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: I'm assuming all
- 4 the exhibits are on the shelf somewhere, but I'm
- 5 just going to go through my notes.
- 6 MR. KAISER: Yeah. I'm trying to just put
- 7 them --
- 8 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Do you want to
- 9 go through them in order? You want to take a
- 10 recess while we do this?

- 11 THE REPORTER: Sure.
- 12 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Let's go off for
- 13 a little bit.
- 14 (Discussion had
- off the record.)
- 16 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: I'm going to go
- 17 through them one by one and tell you what I've
- 18 got.
- 19 MR. KOLAR: Could I get a copy of that
- 20 then or something?
- 21 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Actually, you
- 22 can if you -- well, I don't know about my notes.
- 23 It could be embarrassing, but I do do a hearing
- 24 report where I itemize all the exhibits offered

- and those accepted, and that will come out when I
- 2 get the transcript.
- 3 MR. KOLAR: That will be fine.
- 4 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: That will be in
- 5 typewritten form. It won't be so hard to read.
- 6 C1 -- I've labeled everything C1 and R1, C number
- 7 and then R number.
- 8 C1 is the Valentine's Day catalogue.
- 9 That was admitted. C2 is Christmas catalogue,

- 10 '98. That was admitted. C3 was deeds, LTD
- 11 Commodities deeds it looks like.
- 12 MR. KOLAR: Right. Definitely.
- 13 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Those were
- 14 admitted. C4 was a memo to Jack Voight from John
- 15 Scheimel dated November 13th, 1996. That was
- 16 admitted. C5 is a letter to Mr. Voight from
- 17 William Kaufman dated 12-10-96. That was
- 18 admitted. C6 was Acoustic Associates Limited
- 19 facsimile transmittal. This is dated January
- 20 31st, 1997. That was admitted.
- 21 C7, a February 7th letter to Mike
- 22 Hara from David Lothspeich. Is that the right
- 23 way to say that? That was admitted.
- MR. KOLAR: Good enough.

- 1 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: C8, a Weber
- 2 letter to Lothspeich, 1-30-97. That was
- 3 admitted. C9, fax, Voight to Lothspeich,
- 4 4-7-97. That was admitted. Here we skipped
- 5 some. Oh, I was right. C10 Lothspeich to Hara
- 6 letter, 4-25-97. That was admitted.
- 7 Cl1, Lothspeich to Hara letter,
- 8 7-11-97. That was admitted. C12, Kaufman to

- 9 Hara letter, 7-30-97. That was admitted. C13,
- 10 memo, Thunder to Voight, November 2nd, 1997.
- 11 That was admitted. C14, memo, Thunder to Voight,
- 12 11-14-97. That was admitted.
- 13 C15, Lothspeich to Voight facsimile
- 14 dated 12-1-97. It was admitted. C16 is letter
- 15 Hara from Berman dated 12-5-97. This was
- 16 admitted for the notice that it was received, for
- 17 that sole purpose only, and this will be more
- 18 clear in the transcript, and I will identify this
- 19 in the hearing report. C17, Lothspeich to Voight
- 20 fax dated 12-8-97. This was admitted. C18,
- 21 Acoustic Association, 12-23-97, draft report.
- 22 This was admitted.
- 23 C19, report Acoustic Association it
- looks like to Mr. Voight dated 1-8-98. That was

- 1 admitted. Schomer to Lothspeich letter, 1-26-98,
- 2 is C20. That was admitted. C21, Berman to Hara
- 3 letter, 2-12-98. That was admitted. C22,
- 4 Lothspeich to Hara letter dated 2-18-98. That
- 5 was admitted. C23, Schomer to Thunder letter
- 6 dated 2-27-98. This was reserved. Remember we
- 7 had talked about this earlier?

- 8 MR. KOLAR: Can I see it?
- 9 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Go ahead.
- 10 MR. KAISER: Was it reserved because Mr. Kolar
- 11 anticipated having Mr. Thunder verify the
- 12 accuracy of Dr. Schomer's summary?
- 13 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: I think so, but
- 14 without looking at the transcript from November
- 15 1st, 1999, I can't be sure.
- 16 MR. KOLAR: I think the issue was that I
- 17 guess it was more of a question for Mr. Schomer
- 18 by Steve, but you said LTD Industries wanted to
- 19 better measure the background sounds since they
- 20 now had to meet the class B requirements. I
- 21 guess as long as it's admitted and understood
- 22 that that's Mr. Schomer's opinion, that's his
- 23 statement as opposed to any position by LTD.
- MR. KAISER: I have no objection.

- 1 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Or a judicatory
- 2 body?
- 3 MR. KOLAR: Right.
- 4 MR. KAISER: I have no objection to it
- 5 being --
- 6 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Admitted for

- 7 that purpose, Mr. Kaiser?
- 8 MR. KAISER: Yes.
- 9 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: This will be
- 10 admitted subject to that restriction. That was
- 11 C23. C24 is an e-mail from John Sejud to Jack
- 12 Voight dated March 5th, 1998. That was
- 13 admitted. C25, a letter from Voight to Berman
- 14 dated 3-9-98. That was admitted. C26, letter
- 15 from Voight to Mitchell dated 3-10-98. That was
- 16 admitted. C27, a letter from Roti to Hara, an
- 17 unsigned letter, I note, dated 3-21-98. This was
- 18 admitted.
- 19 C28, a letter from Hara to Roti dated
- 20 4-16-98. That was admitted. C29, a letter from
- 21 Roti to Hara dated 4-20-98. That was also
- 22 admitted. C30, a letter -- pardon me, a
- 23 facsimile from Lothspeich to Hara and Voight
- 24 dated 4-23-98. That was admitted.

- 1 C31, a letter from Thunder to Voight
- 2 dated 5-19-98. That was admitted. C32, letter
- 3 from Thunder to Voight dated 6-5-98. That was
- 4 admitted. C33, a letter from Hara to Garutza
- 5 dated 6-29-98. That was admitted. C34 was the

- 6 complaint before the Pollution Control Board.
- 7 This was admitted. This is dated July 18th,
- 8 1998. C35, Thunder to Voight letter with
- 9 redactions dated 9-30-98. This was admitted. C36
- 10 were the barrier calculations by Thunder. I
- 11 don't have this as being offered or admitted.
- MR. KAISER: I'd offer it now.
- 13 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: It was in
- 14 testimony. Mr. Kolar, do you have an objection
- 15 to that?
- MR. KOLAR: No, I have no objection. He
- 17 explained what it was.
- 18 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Okay. This is
- 19 admitted. C37 is a map of LTD Commodities,
- 20 8-by-11. This was admitted.
- 21 MR. KAISER: I'm sorry. I'm losing you
- 22 here. C37?
- 23 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: C37, 8-by-11
- 24 representation of LTD Commodities and the

- 1 surrounding area with certain portions
- 2 highlighted in yellow highlighter. C38-A, fax
- 3 from Huff to Figalo dated 1-28-97. This was
- 4 admitted. C -- I think we have a bunch here.

- 5 I think this is a group exhibit.
- 6 C38-B, a letter from Voight to Mitchell March
- 7 10th, 1998. This was admitted. I also note that
- 8 this is the same as C26. C38-C is a letter from
- 9 Thunder to Mitchell dated 5-18-98. It was
- 10 admitted. C38-B, a fax from Mitchell to Voight
- 11 dated 6-5-98. That was admitted. C38-E is a
- 12 Mitchell to Graham facsimile dated 11-10-98.
- 13 That was admitted. C38-F is a memo to Graham
- 14 from Mitchell dated 11-10-98. That was
- 15 admitted. C38-G was another facsimile from
- 16 Mitchell dated 11-16-98. This was admitted.
- 17 Without taking out staples, I can't see who this
- 18 is to, and I don't have it in my notes. Can you
- 19 make that out?
- 20 MR. KOLAR: Duroweld?
- 21 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Rich from
- 22 Duroweld. That was admitted.
- MR. KOLAR: I remember him. He testified,
- 24 didn't he, Rich from Duroweld?

- MR. KAISER: I think we called him.
- 2 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: C38-H is a
- 3 facsimile from Huff to Bronji dated 11-16-98.

- 4 That was admitted. C38-I, facsimile from
- 5 Mitchell to Voight dated 11-17-98. That was
- 6 admitted. C38-J, facsimile from Mitchell to
- 7 Voight dated 1-13-99. That was admitted.
- 8 C38-K was a facsimile from Mitchell
- 9 to Voight dated 2-13-99. That was also
- 10 admitted. C38-L is a brochure entitled Building
- 11 the Sound Barrier by Industrial Acoustics
- 12 Company. That was admitted. C39-M, I have this
- 13 as diagrams of LTD dock area, two. Yes, it is
- 14 two pages, and they were both admitted. That
- 15 ends the exhibits submitted on 11-1.
- 16 11-2, we had C39, noise regulations
- 17 of the Illinois Pollution Control Board. These
- 18 were admitted.
- 19 MR. KOLAR: Can I see those for a second?
- 20 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Yes, you may.
- 21 Do you want to stop for a little bit?
- MR. KOLAR: They look like the ones that I
- 23 have, but I would take a look at the impulsive.
- 24 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: We can identify

- 1 the date that those were printed, if you'd like.
- 2 MR. KAISER: What do you see there, Joe?

- 3 MR. KOLAR: It looks like the ones that
- 4 are current. I think the web site has the fast
- 5 dynamic characteristic phrase in impulsive, which
- 6 is not part of the regulation, and this one does
- 7 not have that phrase.
- 8 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: They haven't
- 9 fixed that yet?
- 10 MR. KOLAR: Right. We looked at it the
- 11 other day.
- 12 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: That's good to
- 13 know, isn't it? I should bring that to
- 14 somebody's attention.
- These were admitted printed by the
- 16 authority of the state of Illinois in 7-91. I'd
- 17 like to think we had a more current copy, but it
- 18 does include the amendments through January 28th,
- 19 1987. I would assume that it was printed in
- 20 1987, and this was the permission to print them.
- 21 So these are admitted, and they are dated January
- 22 28th, 1987, amendments including -- up to and
- 23 including those of that date.
- 24 C40 is Zak documents, five pages off

1673

1 the Internet. It looks to be five pages from the

- 2 LTD Commodities site. That was admitted. C41
- 3 are the handwritten notes from last week. I
- 4 don't know whose handwritten notes these are.
- 5 MR. KAISER: Would it be one of the
- 6 complainants? I don't know if that's --
- 7 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: This was denied.
- 8 MR. KAISER: Denied?
- 9 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Not supplied.
- 10 MR. KOLAR: Right.
- 11 MR. KAISER: Forty-one?
- 12 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: This is C41.
- 13 I'll identify the complainant in the exhibit list
- 14 when I do it, but without the benefit of the
- 15 transcript, I can't remember which complainant it
- 16 is, and I didn't write it down.
- 17 MR. KAISER: I don't want to be guessing.
- 18 I can't tell by the handwriting.
- 19 MR. KOLAR: You don't put that in the pile
- 20 then, do you?
- 21 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: I put it in the
- 22 pile. I direct the Board not to look at it. I
- 23 keep it in case Mr. Kaiser wants to file a motion
- 24 to reconsider with the Board and they overrule my

- 1 decision. Then they'll have it to look at.
- Otherwise, I can assure you that the Pollution
- 3 Control Board will not take that document into
- 4 consideration.
- 5 MR. KAISER: Can we go off the record just
- 6 real quick?
- 7 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Let's go off the
- 8 record.
- 9 (Discussion had
- off the record.)
- 11 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: C42 is a log of
- 12 Rosenstrock. This was admitted. C43 was a photo
- 13 driver's license of Paul Rosenstrock. That was
- 14 admitted. C44 was a Roti to councilmen letter
- 15 dated November 21st, 1997. That was admitted.
- 16 C45 was an April 1st, 1998, letter from, it
- 17 looks, like Zak to Roti dated 4-1-98. This was
- 18 also noted as Respondent's Exhibit 15. Has this
- 19 been admitted under that as well?
- MR. KAISER: Yes.
- 21 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: This was
- 22 admitted back in November. This is another one
- 23 that's been admitted twice. I'll note it on both
- 24 of the parties' exhibit lists. C46 is a Zak to

- 1 Roti letter dated 5-20-98. I'll also note that
- 2 this is Respondent's Exhibit 16. That's also
- 3 admitted.
- 4 MR. KOLAR: I don't think we admitted that
- 5 under this.
- 6 MR. KAISER: You admitted it in your
- 7 case.
- 8 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: You didn't offer
- 9 that one?
- 10 MR. KOLAR: Right.
- 11 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: That's admitted
- 12 only as C46 then.
- MR. KAISER: Now, C47, I don't know where
- 14 it is or what it is.
- 15 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: I don't see that
- 16 either.
- MR. KAISER: It's possible that we skipped
- 18 one.
- 19 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: I think we
- 20 missed one because I go right to C48. I'll check
- 21 the transcript to make sure though.
- 22 C48, notes of Karen Roti. That was
- 23 admitted. It's notes on the back of an
- 24 envelope. That was admitted. C49, notes of

- 1 Karen Roti, plain piece of paper, dated 11-98.
- 2 That was admitted. C50 -- I'm missing one. I
- 3 have C50 as last Thursday night's logs from Roti,
- 4 and those were denied, but I do not have a copy
- 5 of those. If for some reason the board overrules
- 6 me, Mr. Kaiser, or if you even ask them to do so,
- 7 you're going to have to supply another copy.
- 8 I'll go back and check my office, but I kept
- 9 everything in the same folder, and I don't
- 10 anticipate --
- 11 MR. KAISER: It's entirely possible I hung
- 12 on to all the copies. Maybe Joe has them.
- MR. KOLAR: Well, I do have a copy of 50,
- 14 but I'd like to keep my copy.
- MR. KAISER: Keep your copy.
- 16 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: You want to hold
- 17 on to that? Note for the record that Mr. Kolar
- 18 has a copy, and I'm sure Mr. Kaiser has a copy,
- 19 and if, in fact, we need to get that sent in, we
- 20 will do so, but 50 was denied.
- 21 C51 -- actually, I'm going to change
- 22 that. Mr. Kaiser, I want you to submit that to
- 23 me at some point. I want you to submit it to Joe
- 24 first to make sure it's the same one he has, and

1 if he agrees that it's what he has, send it in to

- 2 me just so I have everything. Do that at your
- 3 leisure.
- 4 MR. KAISER: But before my response brief
- 5 or closing brief is due.
- 6 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Probably before
- 7 the Board -- yeah. Exactly. C51 is a 17-page
- 8 document of notes. Once again, I do not have
- 9 whose notes those are.
- 10 MR. KAISER: Those look like Ms. Roti's.
- 11 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: This was
- 12 denied. This is also Respondent's 23, but I know
- 13 you didn't offer those. I jump now to C55, which
- 14 means we're missing 52, 53, and 54. They could
- just be out of order here. These are all
- 16 admitted exhibits that we're missing. Nope, we
- 17 don't have them.
- 18 MR. KOLAR: I can tell you what I have is
- 19 --
- 20 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: I know what they
- 21 are. Fifty-two is a Schomer to Lothspeich
- 22 4-20-97 letter.
- 23 MR. KOLAR: Right. That's already in, for
- 24 what it's worth, as my exhibit.

```
1 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: It was admitted
```

- on November 4th as well.
- 3 MR. KAISER: What's the date of it,
- 4 4-20-97? Fifty-two is 4-20-97?
- 5 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Right. Was that
- 6 admitted -- was that offered by you as well, Mr.
- 7 Kolar?
- 8 MR. KOLAR: Yes.
- 9 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Do you know the
- 10 exhibit number?
- MR. KOLAR: Yes.
- 12 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: I think 52 is
- 13 probably admitted and 54 as well.
- MR. KOLAR: His Complainants' 52 is the
- 15 same as Respondent's 40.
- 16 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Okay. That's
- 17 admitted, and I have a copy of that. Well, it's
- in the box, right, with the peanuts?
- MR. KOLAR: Wait. Is that 52 you're
- 20 asking about?
- 21 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Fifty-four is a
- 22 Thunder 5-6-98 letter report, which has been
- 23 offered and admitted as R98. No. Actually,
- there's two. I don't know which one this was,

- 1 but we have R98 and R99, which was not offered.
- 2 MR. KOLAR: What do you have down as 54?
- 3 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: I have 5-6-98
- 4 Thunder letter report.
- 5 MR. KOLAR: I've got 54 as this May 6th,
- 6 '98, document.
- 7 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Which one of
- 8 yours, though? See, because I have two May 6th,
- 9 '98, documents on your list, and one has been
- 10 offered and one has not. I don't know which one
- 11 that is.
- MR. KOLAR: That's May 19th.
- 13 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Oh.
- MR. KAISER: What is C53?
- 15 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: C53, we'll get
- 16 to that, but we do have a copy of C54, and that
- 17 is the same as R98. That was admitted. C53,
- 18 notes of Harmon, which I do not have.
- MR. KAISER: But they were admitted?
- 20 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: They were
- 21 admitted on November 4th. Do you have a copy of
- 22 those, Mr. Kolar?
- MR. KOLAR: Yes.
- 24 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Is that the

- 1 original copy or is that just yours?
- 2 MR. KOLAR: This is my copy that Steve
- 3 gave me.
- 4 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Kaiser, do
- 5 you have a copy of that?
- 6 MR. KAISER: I'll see what I can do. I
- 7 must, but --
- 8 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Right. If you
- 9 can't get a copy of that, Joe can send you a copy
- 10 and make a copy and send it because that is
- 11 admitted as well. That takes us to C55, overtime
- work for 1998, I'm assuming for LTD employees,
- overtime schedule for 1998. This was admitted.
- 14 C56 is a trailer chart for LTD dated 12-98. This
- 15 is admitted. C57, monthly summary of LTD from
- 16 '96 and '97 Christmas seasons. This was
- 17 admitted. C58 was a Lothspeich to Voight
- 18 facsimile dated 12-19-96. This was denied.
- 19 MR. KAISER: Denied?
- 20 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Denied.
- 21 MR. KAISER: Lothspeich to whom?
- 22 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Voight.
- 23 12-19-96. Do you want to take a look?

- motion in connection with that.
- HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: C59, invoices of
- 3 Acoustic Associates, Ltd., sent attention Jack
- Voight, LTD Commodities. These are a variety of
- 5 dates from 5-31-97 to 1-29-98. These were
- admitted. I have R36 as the photos. Those were 6
- admitted as well on November 5th. We've admitted
- 8 them here again today. C60 is 2-17-94 zoning
- 9 approval request and application. I guess
- 10 actually it's the building permit preapplication
- for zoning and architectural review approval. 11
- This was admitted. C61 is the 93-37 Bannockburn 12
- ordinance, which was reserved, and I do not have 13
- 14 a copy of that. I think you kept that one.
- 15 MR. KOLAR: What are we talking about,
- 16 61?
- HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Yeah. I have 17
- 93-37 Bannockburn ordinance, and I have no copy 18
- of that, and it was reserved. What do we want to 19
- 20 do with that?
- 21 MR. KOLAR: I don't have a copy.
- 22 MR. KAISER: Let me take a quick look.

24 end.

L.A. REPORTING (312) 419-9292

- 1 MR. KOLAR: Yeah.
- 2 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: I'll circle it
- 3 here. C62 is a letter from Lohn Associates to
- 4 Lothspeich dated 2-21-94. This was admitted.
- 5 C63 was a Lohn & Associates letter to Lothspeich
- 6 dated 2-21-94. This was admitted. They're both
- 7 admitted. C64 is Bannockburn ordinance number
- 8 94-12. This was admitted. C65 is the evidence
- 9 deposition from Paul Schomer dated October 29th,
- 10 1999. This was admitted subject to the
- 11 objections contained in the transcript, which
- 12 will be ruled upon by me, and decisions will be
- 13 forthcoming in the hearing report.
- MR. KAISER: Do you want to just go off
- 15 the record real quick?
- 16 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Yeah. I'd be
- 17 happy to. Before we go off the record, this was
- 18 admitted, correct?
- MR. KAISER: Yes.
- 20 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Kaiser and
- 21 Mr. Kolar, this was admitted subject to that?

- MR. KOLAR: Schomer evidence?
- 23 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Right.
- MR. KOLAR: Right.

- 1 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Admitted subject
- 2 to my rulings on the objections contained in the
- 3 transcript. We can go off.
- 4 (Discussion had
- 5 off the record.)
- 6 MR. KOLAR: I think then with Mr. Schomer
- 7 I did forget. Respondent's 35, this relates to
- 8 objections. In his transcript, the complainants'
- 9 supplemental responses to interrogatories where
- 10 we asked them to identify trial witnesses and
- 11 opinion witnesses and the subject of their
- 12 testimony, and some of my objections are that
- 13 they're beyond the scope of the disclosure. So
- 14 you would need 35.
- 15 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Do you have
- 16 an objection to that, Mr. Kaiser?
- 17 MR. KAISER: There's the disclosure, and
- 18 then there was the opportunity to depose Dr. Schomer
- 19 at length, and some of the opinions Dr. Schomer --
- 20 I mean, without knowing exactly which portions of

- 21 the Schomer transcript Mr. Kolar believes were
- 22 subject to objection or not disclosed, it's
- 23 difficult for me to argue. I mean, I think he
- 24 had ample opportunity. Mr. Schomer was there and

- 1 was willing to sit there as long as Mr. Kolar had
- 2 questions.
- 3 So I don't think --
- 4 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: But are you
- 5 objecting to the admittance of this document
- 6 then, which is --
- 7 MR. KOLAR: Thirty-five.
- 8 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Yeah. R35 is, I
- 9 think, your supplemental response to the
- 10 interrogatories. I can tell you right now I'll
- 11 allow you to note any objection on the record,
- 12 but I'm going to admit that.
- MR. KAISER: Oh, I have no problem
- 14 admitting it.
- 15 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Oh, okay.
- 16 MR. KAISER: I think what he's arguing is
- 17 that there's a paragraph on page four where I
- 18 disclosed what I expect Dr. Schomer will testify
- 19 to.

- 20 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Oh, I see.
- 21 MR. KAISER: And I think Mr. Kolar's
- 22 objection may be that opinions contained in the
- 23 transcript go beyond what was set forth. I think
- 24 to the extent they go beyond, they go beyond in

- 1 order to explain the method by which he reached
- 2 opinions or information he may have considered or
- 3 information that was introduced by way of a
- 4 question or --
- 5 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: You don't have
- 6 to order it -- you can throw it on top.
- 7 MR. KAISER: So I would hope that as you
- 8 review the relevance of Dr. Schomer's testimony,
- 9 you take all those things into consideration.
- 10 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: I definitely
- 11 will take that into account. I'm sure you made a
- 12 similar argument during the objection portion of
- 13 the evidence deposition, one would hope.
- MR. KAISER: If I didn't -- one would
- 15 hope. If I didn't, I'm making it now.
- 16 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: He gave me his
- 17 copy from the peanut box.
- 18 MR. KOLAR: Just to clarify, what I was

- 19 stating is that during the evidence dep, using
- 20 that very document, as I recall, I objected to
- 21 certain things saying it was beyond the scope.
- 22 So it's not a new objection. I'm just saying you
- 23 need that document because I believe I referred
- 24 to it in the evidence dep.

- 1 MR. KAISER: Fair enough. Then I trust
- 2 you'll exercise your judgment and discretion.
- 3 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: I will do so to
- 4 the best of my ability. This is admitted, R35.
- Which takes us to C65, which we have
- 6 just covered as admitted subject to the objection
- 7 that is yet to be ruled upon. The rest of these
- 8 are Respondent's. C66 is a Kolar letter to
- 9 Bannockburn dated 5-4-98. That was admitted.
- 10 Let me check the date.
- MR. KOLAR: You've got it highlighted.
- 12 Can we use mine that's not highlighted?
- 13 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: I have no
- 14 problem with that. Mr. Kaiser?
- MR. KAISER: I have no objection.
- 16 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Let's substitute
- 17 that.

- MR. KOLAR: He can even change the
- 19 numbering on mine if he wants.
- 20 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: I note for the
- 21 record that exhibits today, 5-24-00, the
- 22 complainant offered no exhibits today. So the
- 23 last exhibit was C66. That was yesterday.
- MR. KOLAR: So Respondent's 26 is the same

- 1 thing as Complainants' 66?
- 2 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Right. That was
- 3 admitted as well. That's all I have. Mr. Kaiser,
- 4 did I miss anything to the best of your
- 5 knowledge?
- 6 MR. KAISER: No, nothing further. I think
- 7 we've accounted for everything or at least
- 8 identified those which are missing or which I
- 9 need to provide. There's this C61 Bannockburn
- 10 ordinance, which was reserved. I'm not sure I
- 11 have a copy of that with me today.
- 12 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Are you offering
- 13 that at this point? I'll tell you what, if you
- 14 don't have it before you, I would grant you leave
- 15 to move to offer it after the hearing subject to
- 16 any objection that Mr. Kolar may have.

- 17 MR. KAISER: If we could just leave it in
- 18 that posture, I'd be comfortable with that.
- 19 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Kolar, if
- 20 you have an objection, you can make it when he
- 21 sends it in.
- You've both waived your closing
- 23 arguments, correct?
- MR. KAISER: Yes.

- 1 MR. KOLAR: Yes.
- 2 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Are there any
- 3 other motions or matters we have to address here
- 4 today?
- 5 MR. KOLAR: I'd move for a directed
- 6 verdict -- I guess not a directed verdict --
- 7 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: It's not within
- 8 my authority, but it's duly noted. Mr. Kaiser?
- 9 MR. KAISER: Nothing further, Mr. Knittle.
- 10 Thank you for your time.
- 11 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: We're not quite
- 12 done yet. First, I have a credibility
- 13 determination I'm going to make right now. Based
- 14 on my legal experience and judgment in this area,
- 15 I find that credibility is not an issue with any

- 16 of the witnesses. We also want to go over a
- 17 briefing schedule. Let's go off the record and
- 18 come up with something real quick.
- 19 (Discussion had
- off the record.)
- 21 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Pursuant to an
- 22 off-the-record discussion, we agreed on a
- 23 briefing schedule. Complainants' post-hearing
- 24 brief will be due on or before July 10th, 2000.

- l The Respondent's brief will be due on or before
- 2 8-7-2000, and the reply brief of the complainants
- 3 will be due on or before August 21st, 2000. We
- 4 didn't cover service. I don't think time frames
- 5 are tight enough to require anything other than
- 6 mailing. Does anyone need a fax or an
- 7 overnight?
- 8 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: I don't at the
- 9 Board, but, Mr. Kolar, if you want to, we can put
- 10 in there that Mr. Kaiser has to overnight it to
- 11 you or get it to you by the seventh -- excuse me,
- 12 the tenth.
- MR. KOLAR: And I'd be willing to do the
- 14 same for him just because we're dealing with the

- 15 mail. So I would like it on the -- no later than
- 16 the day after it's due. I mean, if he wants on
- 17 the 10th to overnight it so I get it on the
- 18 11th --
- 19 MR. KAISER: That's fine. I expect I'm
- 20 going to be filing it not on that Monday because
- 21 I'm going to be out of town that day, but
- 22 somewhere in the -- you know probably, before the
- 23 fourth to be honest. So you'll have it.
- 24 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Let's do this

- 1 then. Service before the Board can be by mailbox
- 2 rule, but you are directed to serve it on the
- 3 other party by the date which it is due. So it
- 4 will be due in the other party's hands --
- 5 complainants' post-hearing brief will be due on
- 6 7-10-2000. Respondent's brief will be due at the
- 7 complainants on August 7th, and the reply brief,
- 8 get to it Mr. Kolar by 8-21. That's in the
- 9 hands. There's no mailbox rule that will apply,
- 10 but the Board doesn't need an overnight delivery
- 11 in this case. Just feel free to put it in the
- 12 mail.
- MR. KAISER: But they would need ten

- 14 copies?
- 15 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Yeah. I think
- 16 it's nine and one original. That's the rules.
- 17 MR. KOLAR: Is there a page limit on --
- 18 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: There isn't
- 19 generally, but we can -- actually, there might
- 20 be, but we could set one. Sometimes we do.
- 21 MR. KAISER: I think in this case where we
- 22 have so much testimony and so many documents --
- 23 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Hold on, Mr. Kaiser.
- 24 There is. No post-hearing brief -- this is

- 1 101.104(b). Briefs submitted in response to
- 2 Board order or public comments submitted in lieu
- 3 of a brief shall exceed 50 pages --
- 4 MR. KAISER: I can live with that.
- 5 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: -- without prior
- 6 approval of the Board hearing officer. Mr. Kolar --
- 7 oh, no, no. This is a no reply brief, and this
- 8 is for your reply brief, none shall exceed 25
- 9 pages. So the limits are 50 for the first two
- 10 briefs and then 25 for the second. I'd be
- 11 willing to consider extending that if you need me
- 12 to. Let me know.

- MR. KAISER: No.
- 14 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Of course, that
- 15 will be subject to Mr. Kolar's objection.
- MR. KOLAR: I would move to shorten the
- 17 briefs actually.
- 18 MR. KAISER: I'd object to that.
- 19 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Let's leave it
- 20 then at the regulation standards. That's all I
- 21 have. Thank you both very much. Let's go off
- the record.
- MR. KOLAR: Thank you.
- MR. KAISER: Thank you. Mr. Knittle.

1692

- 1 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: I want to note
- 2 for the record that no members of the public are
- 3 here wishing to provide public comment at this
- 4 point in time. It's approximately 3:25 on
- 5 Wednesday, May 25th (sic) -- the court reporter
- 6 corrected me that it is Wednesday, May 24th.
- 7 Thank you very much. The hearing is ended.
- 8 (Whereupon, these were all the
- 9 proceedings held in the
- 10 above-entitled matter.)

L.A. REPORTING (312) 419-9292 STATE OF ILLINOIS)) SS.

```
) SS.

2 COUNTY OF C O O K )

3

4 I, GEANNA M. IAQUINTA, CSR, do

5 hereby state that I am a court reporter doing

6 business in the City of Chicago, County of Cook,

7 and State of Illinois; that I reported by means

8 of machine shorthand the proceedings held in the

9 foregoing cause, and that the foregoing is a true

10 and correct transcript of my shorthand notes so
```

11	taken as aforesaid.	
12		
13		
14	-	Geanna M. Iaquinta, CSR Notary Public, Cook County, IL
15		Illinois License No. 084-004096
16		
17	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me thisday of, A.D., 2000.	
18		
19	 Notary Public	
20	1.00017 1 0.0210	
21		
22		
23		
24		