1	BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD
2	
3	IN THE MATTER OF:
4	
5	Petition of TAKASAGO ) INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION (U.S.A.) )AS-00-4
6	for an Adjusted Standard form )(Adjusted Ill Adm Code 302.208 and 304.105 ) Standard-water.)
7	
8	
9	The following is a transcript of the
10	proceedings held in the above-entitled matter taken
11	stenographically before TERRY A. STRONER, CSR, a
12	notary public within and for the County of Cook and
13	State of Illinois, taken before, Amy Muran Felton,
14	Hearing Officer, at 698 Burnham Drive, University
15	Park, Illinois, on the 22nd day of December, A.D.,
16	1999, scheduled to commence at 10:30 o'clock a.m.,
17	commencing at 10:50 o'clock a.m.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

2

APPEARANCES: HEARING TAKEN BEFORE: 3 ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD, 100 West Randolph Street 4 Suite 11-500 Chicago, Illinois 60601 5 (312) 814-4925 BY: MS. AMY MURAN FELTON 6 HEARING OFFICER 7 GARDNER, CARTON, DOUGLAS, 321 North Clark Street Chicago, Illinois 60610 8 (312) 644-3000 9 BY: MS. ROBERTA M. SAIELLI 10 Appeared on behalf of Takasago, 11 ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, 12 1021 North Grand Avenue East P.O. Box 19276 Springfield, Illinois 62795 13 (217) 782-9849 14 BY: MR. RICHARD C. WARRINGTON, JR., Appeared on behalf of the Illinois 15 Environmental Agency. 16 ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD MEMBERS: 17 Ms. Marili McFawn Mr. Anand Rao 18 Mr. Charles King 19 ALSO PRESENT: 20 Mr. James Kohl 21 Mr. Michael Klootwyk Mr. Frank Jones Mr. Michael Litton

Mr. Michael Grubermann

# L.A. REPORTING (312) 419-9292

1	INDEX	DAGEG
2		PAGES
3	GREETING BY HEARING OFFICER	3-7
4	OPENING STATEMENT OF ROBERTA SAIELLI	7-9
5	QUESTION AND ANSWER SESSION	9-43
6	CLOSING COMMENTS BY HEARING OFFICER	43-46
7		
8		
9	NO EXHIBITS WERE MARKED	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		

23

24

# L.A. REPORTING (312) 419-9292

- 1 MS. FELTON: Good morning and welcome. My
- 2 name is Amy Muran Felton and I am the hearing
- 3 officer with the Illinois Pollution Control Board.
- 4 I have been assigned to preside over this hearing
- 5 today in the matter of petition of Takasago
- 6 International Corporation U.S.A. for an adjusted
- 7 standard from 35 Illinois Administrative Code
- 8 302.208 and 304.105.
- 9 Today is Wednesday, December 22, 1999, and
- 10 it is approximately 10:45 a.m. I note that there do
- 11 not appear to be any members of the public here
- 12 today.
- 13 This hearing was scheduled as a result of
- 14 a public request for a hearing pursuant to Section
- 15 106.713. The hearing date was scheduled and noticed
- 16 pursuant to Sections 106.801 and 106.802 of the
- 17 Board's procedural rules.

- 18 The hearing will be governed in accordance
- 19 with the Illinois Environmental Act and the Board's
- 20 procedural rules. Sections 1 -- excuse me, 101.220
- 21 and 101.221 of the Board's procedural rules
- 22 regarding hearings will apply to these proceedings.
- 23 In addition, Sections 106.805 and 106.806 regarding
- 24 the conduct of hearings in adjusted standards apply

- 1 to this hearing.
- 2 This hearing is intended to develop a
- 3 record for review of this adjusted standard
- 4 proceeding by the entire seven member -- at this
- 5 time, six member Illinois Pollution Control Board.
- I would remind you that I will not be
- 7 deciding this case, rather the current six member
- 8 Pollution Control Board will be deciding this case.
- 9 They will review the transcript of this proceeding
- 10 and the remainder of the record and render a
- 11 decision in this matter.
- 12 My job is to ensure that an orderly
- 13 hearing and clear record develops so that the board
- 14 can have all the necessary information before it
- 15 when it is deciding this case. After the hearing,

- 16 the parties will have the opportunity to submit
- 17 posthearing briefs. These, too, will be considered
- 18 by the Board.
- 19 Any witnesses will be sworn and subject to
- 20 cross-examination. The parties may ask a question
- 21 of any witness. Any questions asked by the Board
- 22 members and/or Board staff and hearing officer are
- 23 not intended to express any preconceived notions or
- 24 bias, but only to build a complete record for review

- 1 by the other Board members and staff who are not
- 2 present with us today.
- 3 Before we begin with the introduction of
- 4 the parties and opening statements, I would like to
- 5 introduce the other members and Board staff that are
- 6 present with us today. Seated to my left is Anand
- 7 Rao of the Board's technical unit, seated next to
- 8 Anand Rao is Board member Marili McFawn, and next to
- 9 Board member McFawn is her attorney assistant
- 10 Charles King, and also here with us today is our
- 11 chief hearing officer, John Knittle.
- 12 Does anyone have any comments that they

- 13 would like to present to the Board -- on behalf of
- 14 the Board or the staff before we proceed?
- MS. McFAWN: No, not really, just to say hello.
- 16 I am just one of the six members so I always like to
- 17 point that out. All six of us make the decisions.
- MS. FELTON: Good. Okay. Now, we'll proceed
- 19 with the introduction of the parties. Could we
- 20 please start with the petitioner, if you would mind
- 21 introducing yourself and who you have here with us
- 22 today?
- MS. SAIELLI: I'm Roberta Saielli, I represent
- 24 Takasago International Corporation. I'm the

- 1 petitioner in the case today.
- 2 MR. WARRINGTON: And my name is Richard
- 3 Warrington, I represent the Illinois Environmental
- 4 Protection Agency today.
- 5 MS. FELTON: Ms. Saielli, do you mind just
- 6 introducing who you have with you today?
- 7 MS. SAIELLI: Sure.
- 8 MS. FELTON: Thank you.
- 9 MS. SAIELLI: I have with me Frank Jones, who
- 10 is the plant manager at Takasago's University Park

- 11 plant, which is the subject of this petition. Mike
- 12 Klootwyk, who's the environmental safety training
- 13 manager with the plant, and then also, on behalf of
- 14 Takasago, we have Jim Kohl, who is a consultant with
- 15 URS Griener Woodward Clyde, you know, who is a
- 16 technical consultant on the petition.
- 17 MS. FELTON: Okay. Are there any additional
- 18 interested parties that would like to introduce
- 19 themselves? At this time seeing none, I will now
- 20 address any outstanding motions or prehearing
- 21 motions that are currently before us.
- To my knowledge, there is only one
- 23 outstanding motion and that is the Illinois
- 24 Environmental Protection Agency's motion for leave

- 1 to file instanter the agency's recommendation, which
- 2 was filed with the Board December 3, 1999. As there
- 3 were no objections filed with the Board during the
- 4 required time frame, the agency's motion to file
- 5 instanter, it's recommendation is granted.
- Are there any other additional motions,
- 7 prehearing motions, that we need to address?

- 8 MR. WARRINGTON: No.
- 9 MS. FELTON: Thank you.
- 10 At this time now, we will proceed with
- 11 opening statements on behalf of the parties,
- 12 starting first with the petitioner, Ms. Saielli.
- MS. SAIELLI: Takasago tends to stand on its
- 14 petition, we don't intend to offer any testimony
- 15 today, but I just wanted to give you a little bit of
- 16 background on why we're here and what we're asking
- 17 for.
- 18 Basically, there was an adjusted standard
- 19 granted for Deer Creek, which is where the Consumers
- 20 Illinois Water Company, which is the local waste
- 21 water treatment plant, it's a privately owned
- 22 treatment plant, discharges to Deer Creek. There
- 23 was an adjusted standard granted to them in 1990 by
- 24 the Pollution Control Board for total dissolved

- 1 solids and it was granted at 2100 daily max and 1675
- 2 on the average milligrams per liter of TDS.
- 3 At that time, the Nutrasweet company owned
- 4 the plant that's currently owned and operated by
- 5 Takasago, and the companies are not related

- 6 companies, Takasago purchased the plant in 1996, and
- 7 at that time, Nutrasweet was given certain
- 8 limitations on the amount of pounds of TDS that they
- 9 could discharge to the CIWC plant, which then
- 10 discharged to Deer Creek.
- 11 So neither did -- Nutrasweet or Takasago,
- 12 as a direct discharger, they are indirect
- 13 dischargers to CIWC, and Takasago is here today to
- 14 basically change the name on the adjusted standard
- 15 to make the adjusted standard applicable to their
- 16 discharge, and basically the water that's being
- 17 discharged is from the same processes that water was
- 18 discharged at Nutrasweet.
- 19 When I say processes, I don't mean the
- 20 manufacturing process of the plant. The water is
- 21 discharged from the utility water treatment system.
- 22 When the water comes into the Takasago plant from
- 23 the public water supply, it's already high in total
- 24 dissolved solids inasmuch as 930 and some milligrams

- 1 per liter, and they need to basically soften that
- 2 water so that they can use it for their utilities,

- 3 plant utilities, and also for water to use in the
- 4 processes. We do have pretreatment facilities,
- 5 which are described in the petition, and then the
- 6 water from that utility processes discharge to CIWC,
- 7 and so essentially, Takasago is asking for the same
- 8 relief that was previously granted to Nutrasweet,
- 9 and I believe the IEPA may make a statement, but
- 10 that's all we have to say today, and if you have any
- 11 questions, people from Takasago can answer them.
- 12 That's it. Thank you.
- MS. FELTON: Thank you very much, Ms. Saielli.
- 14 Mr. Warrington?
- MR. WARRINGTON: On behalf of the Agency, we
- 16 would waive an opening statement. By way of
- 17 introduction, we -- we'll rely on our
- 18 recommendation. The recommendation is that Takasago
- 19 should be substituted for the relief given to the
- 20 Nutrasweet company several years ago by the
- 21 Pollution Control Board with the same effluent
- 22 concentration and mass loading limits as that
- 23 previous adjusted standard. Leaving that, I'm
- 24 available for questions.

- 1 MS. FELTON: Okay. Thank you. Ms. Saielli,
- 2 it's my understanding then you actually are not
- 3 offering any testimony?
- 4 MS. SAIELLI: No.
- 5 MS. FELTON: Okay. And that's true for you?
- 6 MR. WARRINGTON: Right.
- 7 MS. FELTON: Okay. And just for the record,
- 8 I'm going to ask if any interested party at this
- 9 time would like to offer any testimony? If there is
- 10 anyone, please speak now.
- 11 At this time, there does not appear to be
- 12 any interested party who would like to offer any
- 13 testimony on behalf of themselves with regard to
- 14 this petition for adjusted standard.
- 15 At this time, I ask if there's anyone here
- 16 that has any questions for either the petitioner or
- 17 the respondent?
- MS. McFAWN: I do.
- MS. FELTON: Please, Ms. McFawn.
- 20 MS. McFAWN: I'm probably more familiar with
- 21 the past records than today's records, so if it's
- 22 already answered in your petition, please forgive
- 23 me.
- 24 What I was wondering is, do you have an

- 1 operating permit from the Agency?
- 2 MS. SAIELLI: The company has a construction
- 3 operating permit.
- 4 MS. McFAWN: For the waste water discharge?
- 5 MS. SAIELLI: For the discharge to CIWC for the
- 6 construction of pretreatment.
- 7 MS. McFAWN: Okay. Do you know offhand what
- 8 regulatory provision the Agency issues that under?
- 9 Do you know Mr. Warrington?
- 10 MR. WARRINGTON: On behalf of the Illinois
- 11 EPA, the Agency has authority to issue construction
- 12 and operating permits under 35 Illinois
- 13 Administrative Code Part 309, I believe it's
- 14 Subpart A, which are permit requirements for non --
- 15 national pollutant discharged elimination, or NPDS
- 16 permits. The NPDS permit is granted to a direct
- 17 discharger of waters of a state. There are
- 18 provisions in those Pollution Control Board rules to
- 19 issue permits for other -- for the construction and
- 20 operating of facilities that don't require an NPDS
- 21 permit.
- In addition, the Illinois EPA administers
- 23 a pretreatment program on behalf of the United
- 24 States Environmental Protection Agency that

- 1 regulates the internal discharge to waste water
- 2 treatment plants in cooperation with the waste water
- 3 treatment plant. Sorry, if that was too long.
- 4 MS. McFAWN: This last part you talked about,
- 5 the pretreatment program that you administer on
- 6 behalf of the US EPA, isn't that the NPDS program or
- 7 is that --
- 8 MR. WARRINGTON: No, no, it's a -- the
- 9 pretreatment program is a separate federal program
- 10 to regulate the -- what they call the indirect
- 11 dischargers to the waste water treatment plant. The
- 12 waste water treatment plant would be the last
- 13 treatment stage before the effluent reaches the
- 14 water in the state, and that is covered by the NPDS
- 15 program.
- MS. FELTON: Ms. Saielli, do you have any
- 17 follow-up comments?
- 18 MS. SAIELLI: It's my understanding that
- 19 Illinois doesn't have the authority to administer
- 20 the pretreatment programs, but they have one in the
- 21 regulations, but they're -- what Takasago has is a
- 22 permit to own and operate a pretreatment system from

- 23 which they discharge to a treatment works that has
- 24 an NPDS permit with the state, is that correct?

- 1 MR. WARRINGTON: That's correct. We're not
- 2 delegated to take over the entire pretreatment
- 3 program from the United States Environmental
- 4 Protection Agency. We do -- as a matter of -- I
- 5 believe it's a memorandum of agreement and part of
- 6 our working agreement with the United States
- 7 Environmental Protection Agency to administer a
- 8 program that accomplishes much of the same goals.
- 9 MS. McFAWN: Okay. So this is probably the
- 10 permitting scheme, and I'm not that familiar with it
- 11 so maybe you can just indulge me here. You issue
- 12 permit -- operating permits to companies such as
- 13 Takasago?
- MR. WARRINGTON: Right.
- MS. McFAWN: Because they're an indirect
- 16 discharger?
- 17 MR. WARRINGTON: Right. Because their
- 18 operation meets one of the categories that is
- 19 required to have a pretreatment permit under the

- 20 federal system.
- MS. McFAWN: Do you know what category that is?
- MR. WARRINGTON: No, not offhand. I'm sorry.
- MR. RAO: Can I just ask a question here, a
- 24 clarification question? Does the pretreatment

- 1 program, the federal program, does it apply only to
- 2 publically owned treatment works or both publically
- 3 and privately owned?
- 4 MR. WARRINGTON: The federal pretreatment
- 5 program only applies to dischargers to publically
- 6 owned treatment works.
- 7 MS. FELTON: Before we proceed, just since
- 8 we're having several questions at this time, I think
- 9 it might be appropriate if there's no objection from
- 10 Ms. Saielli to have Mr. Warrington sworn in to
- 11 provide the testimony on behalf of the Agency.
- 12 Ms. Saielli, you have no objection to that?
- MS. SAIELLI: No.
- 14 (Witness sworn.)
- MS. FELTON: Okay. I think the same would hold
- 16 true if there's any questions directed to
- 17 Ms. Saielli or unless you can also provide her

- 18 witnesses as well to answer those questions. Thank
- 19 you.
- 20 MS. McFAWN: Okay. So Mr. Warrington, if I
- 21 recall correctly, you just said that the
- 22 pretreatment program, and this would be the
- 23 pretreatment program that you issue permits on for
- 24 under a memorandum of the agreement, that involves

- 1 only POTWs?
- 2 MR. WARRINGTON: That is correct.
- 3 MS. McFAWN: Okay. Now, as I understand it
- 4 CICW --
- 5 MR. WARRINGTON: CIWC.
- 6 MS. McFAWN: CIWC, thank you, is a --
- 7 publically regulated?
- 8 MR. WARRINGTON: Privately owned.
- 9 MS. McFAWN: Privately owned, but as the Board
- 10 would define it, a publically regulated treatment
- 11 works. So how does that fit into what you just
- 12 described as your delegated authority?
- 13 MR. WARRINGTON: I'm not sure. I would have to
- 14 speculate a little bit, but I believe that we treat

- 15 publically regulated treatment works such as
- 16 Consumers Illinois Water Company as a publically
- 17 owned treatment works for purposes of a pretreatment
- 18 program.
- 19 MS. McFAWN: Okay. The Board doesn't have a
- 20 regulation that says that operating permits are not
- 21 required for those pretreatment works or waste water
- 22 sources discharging to a sewer tributary to a
- 23 treatment works which will not, and then there's
- 24 three qualifying paragraphs, and if you come in

- 1 within one of those three categories, you do not
- 2 need such an operating permit. This is that -- the
- 3 Board's Rule 309.204.
- I was wondering if Takasago fits into one
- 5 of these three categories? Maybe -- do you want to
- 6 see a copy of the reg?
- 7 MR. WARRINGTON: I think we need a copy of the
- 8 reg.
- 9 MS. McFAWN: Okay. Here, you can even use mine
- 10 which is highlighted, and you might want to show
- 11 this to the people from Takasago. They might know
- 12 their plant operations.

- MR. WARRINGTON: Sure.
- MS. FELTON: We'll go off the record for just a
- 15 second.
- 16 (Whereupon, a discussion
- 17 was had off the record.)
- 18 MS. FELTON: We'll go back on the record. Sir,
- 19 if you're going to answer, I prefer if you were
- 20 sworn in, Ms. Saielli -- or can --
- 21 MS. McFAWN: Can one of your gentlemen --
- MS. FELTON: Or can one of your witnesses
- 23 testify to that --
- MS. McFAWN: Or do you feel this is maybe a

- 1 legal --
- 2 MS. SAIELLI: That's what -- that's -- my
- 3 concern is that this is really a legal question.
- 4 MS. FELTON: Okay.
- 5 MS. SAIELLI: Nutrasweet had an operating
- 6 permit that was issued by the IEPA, and then
- 7 Takasago applied again.
- 8 They are subject to a categorical standard
- 9 under the US EPA's pretreatment regulations and, you

- 10 know, I think it's a legal interpretation of the
- 11 Agency as to whether this provision applies, but,
- 12 you know, we would be speculating as to why. So I
- 13 don't know necessarily if I can answer the question
- 14 for the Agency.
- MS. McFAWN: So when you say that it is subject
- 16 to one of the categorical standards under the US EPA
- 17 regs, those would be the regulations that have to do
- 18 with POTWs?
- MS. SAIELLI: No. Those would be regulations
- 20 for indirect discharges under the Federal Clean
- 21 Water Act Regulation. They're actually part of the
- 22 organic chemicals, plastic, synthetic fibers source
- 23 category, although none of this really has anything
- 24 to do with TDS, just so you're aware. In terms of

- the -- the fact that they're subject to this
- 2 categorical standard has nothing to do with the TDS.
- 3 That's the subject of this adjusted standard.
- 4 MS. McFAWN: Oh, that's an interesting point.
- 5 Okay.
- 6 MR. KING: Are there constituents of their TDS
- 7 admissions that are characteristic, i.e., that could

- 8 be traced back to this plant from beyond the
- 9 treatment works?
- 10 MS. SAIELLI: I don't understand your question
- 11 because essentially total dissolved solids are
- 12 basically -- it's my understanding they're types of
- 13 salts, and I'm not testifying now, but with
- 14 incoming -- this is all in our petition, the
- 15 incoming --
- 16 MS. McFAWN: If you could, would you testify to
- 17 this about the plant and --
- MS. SAIELLI: Can you explain where the TDS is
- 19 coming from? You're going to need to be sworn in.
- MS. McFAWN: You're Mr. Jones, right?
- 21 MR. JONES: I'm Mr. Jones.
- MS. FELTON: I'm just going to clarify to the
- 23 extent that either Ms. Saielli or Mr. Warrington
- 24 are giving legal arguments, then there's no need to

- 1 have you sworn, but if you cross the line into a
- 2 factual sort of situation, I would ask that you were
- 3 sworn, so, yeah, that's fine. We can proceed with
- 4 your witness.

- 5 MS. SAIELLI: Okay. We're going to have Mike
- 6 Klootwyk.
- 7 MS. McFAWN: Okay.
- 8 MS. FELTON: Roberta, if you want to have him
- 9 come up and sit closer to you, that would be fine.
- MS. SAIELLI: Yeah, do you want to come up,
- 11 Mike?
- 12 MR. KLOOTWYK: Okay.
- MS. FELTON: Yeah, if you just want to raise
- 14 your hand to be sworn.
- 15 (Witness sworn.)
- MS. FELTON: You can sit down next to
- 17 Ms. Saielli if you want, sir, that's fine.
- 18 MS. SAIELLI: Ask your question again.
- 19 MR. KING: Well, I guess another way to say it
- 20 would be what are the constituents of the TDS coming
- 21 out of the plant, if you know?
- 22 MR. KLOOTWYK: What are the breakdowns of the
- 23 TDS that are coming --
- MR. KING: Yeah, just generally.

- MR. KLOOTWYK: Generally speaking, and we
- 2 talked about this this morning, primarily it's

- 3 coming off the softener systems, and so when you
- 4 regenerate a softener much like in your home, you
- 5 have a lot of salts that come out.
- 6 In Takasago's case, the salts coming in --
- 7 or the dissolved solids I should bring -- the
- 8 dissolved solids coming into the plant are
- 9 relatively high. We had the drinking water, if you
- 10 would, potable water tested both by ourselves,
- 11 Takasago, as well as CIWC from their well. Okay?
- 12 It's a raw-water well essentially. I don't know
- 13 what's the depth of that particular well, but the
- 14 results indicated that their total dissolved solids
- 15 that they're pulling up from the aqua filter below
- is running over 900, and we've seen a peak as high
- 17 as 1,000, which at that point exceeded the State's
- 18 standard for TDS discharge of 1,000. So they were
- 19 delivering to us a quality of water that was very
- 20 high in TDS. I can't break those compounds down
- 21 because CIWC regulates that well and tests that
- 22 well. So essentially we're using that water --
- 23 MR. KING: So essentially if they were
- 24 discharging their well water straight out, that

- 1 would potentially be in violation?
- 2 MR. KLOOTWYK: Pretty close.
- 3 MS. SAIELLI: They currently are operating
- 4 under 2100 milligrams per liter so --
- 5 MR. KING: Right.
- 6 MS. McFAWN: The waste water treatment, he's
- 7 talking about the fresh water.
- 8 MR. KING: Yeah, CIWC, but if CIWC was taking
- 9 water so that the water -- from what I hear you
- 10 saying, though, the water they were pulling out of
- 11 the ground is so high in TDS to start with --
- MR. KLOOTWYK: The potable water, yes.
- 13 MR. KING: -- that if that just went straight
- 14 out with no treatment that it could potentially,
- 15 when it peaks, be violating the standard.
- MR. KLOOTWYK: Yes, yes. Two years ago when we
- 17 first looked at this then, and then when Ukano
- 18 Foxworth, an EPA permit individual, he asked a
- 19 question about the potable water, and I expressed
- 20 that the TDS was so high that we could violate by
- 21 taking a garden hose, if you would, from the potable
- 22 water source and put it right to the discharge and
- 23 be in violation of the State's standards for TDS on
- 24 a straight pass through.

- 1 MR. KING: Oh, that's interesting. So there's
- 2 not -- I guess what I was wondering is if there was
- 3 some constituent of the effluent from the plant that
- 4 would differentiate it from, say, municipal waste
- 5 water from the city, which I understand also goes
- 6 through this plant, through the CIWC treatment
- 7 works?
- 8 MR. KLOOTWYK: Restate that if you would?
- 9 MR. RAO: I think, basically, what Mr. King is
- 10 asking you is if you sampled the effluent discharge
- 11 coming out of the treatment plant of CIWC; is there
- 12 something that can tell you that, in a part, the
- 13 TDS is coming from either plant through the CIWC
- 14 plant, you know, like if there's a certain
- 15 characteristic of the TDS which can say well, you
- 16 know, this TDS is -- you know, is there anyway to
- 17 distinguish between the chemical breakdown in the
- 18 TDS to say whether it was coming from the plant or
- 19 from the other dischargers?
- 20 MR. KLOOTWYK: That's a difficult answer -- or
- 21 question to answer because I can say this. Before
- 22 we brought chemicals on the site, okay, we didn't
- 23 need to regulate it for water. All right. We were
- 24 doing the same things that we're doing today, but

- 1 now because we brought a constituent on site that's
- 2 under the Federal Code of Regulations 414, we now
- 3 must look at pretreatment in a criteria under the
- 4 federal codes, okay, which now forces us to move
- 5 towards a pretreatment operating permit. All right.
- 6 So we went through a window and really did
- 7 nothing different at the plant other than the fact
- 8 that, yes, we do have this one constituent on site.
- 9 If we had a release of this constituent it could be
- 10 tied directly back to the facility, and that's what
- 11 414 is all about, to regulate those hazardous
- 12 pollutants. The industrial park, to my knowledge, I
- 13 don't believe there's anybody else using that
- 14 particular compound in reference to 414.
- MS. SAIELLI: But you're not talking about the
- 16 TDS. What you're asking specifically is when the
- 17 water comes out of Takasago's plant to the CIWC is
- 18 there anything about TDS and the discharge that
- 19 would differentiate it from the TDS that's already
- 20 in our water coming in from somebody elses plant?
- 21 MR. KING: That's correct.

- MR. KLOOTWYK: And I don't believe we could do
- 23 that.
- 24 MR. KING: Okay. I think you answered my

- 1 query.
- 2 MS. FELTON: Mr. Warrington, did you have
- 3 anything you would like to provide in response?
- 4 MR. WARRINGTON: All I can do is concur with
- 5 that testimony. The way I understand the federal
- 6 test procedure, 40 CFR 136, the test for total
- 7 dissolved solids involves a filtering sample,
- 8 weighing it, evaporating water, and weighing it
- 9 again so you wind up with a residue that is the --
- 10 it's a mixture of salts, primarily sodium chloride,
- 11 which is, I believe, indistinguisible for -- from
- 12 the result we get from testing the raw well water or
- 13 the discharge from any domestic water softener.
- 14 MR. KING: If I could clarify one thing that
- 15 you had said a moment ago, so the presence of the
- 16 compound that brought you within the federal
- 17 regulatory scheme is not tied to the processes that
- 18 are producing your waste water, it's just the fact
- 19 that it's there in the plant?

- MR. WARRINGTON: That's correct.
- 21 MR. KING: Okay. Thank you.
- MS. McFAWN: So the process, the manufacturing
- 23 process, doesn't contribute to the TDS?
- MS. FELTON: Mr. Klootwyk, could you answer yes

- 1 or no?
- 2 MR. KLOOTWYK: The manufacturing -- we need to
- 3 define manufacturing. There's manufacturing of
- 4 processes of potable water that you can use for
- 5 utilities. That's defined here. Then there's
- 6 manufacturing of aroma chemicals. Okay.
- 7 Specifically does the aroma chemical manufacturer
- 8 contribute
- 9 to --
- 10 MS. McFAWN: The TDS?
- MR. KLOOTWYK: No.
- 12 MS. McFAWN: Okay. Thank you, and thank you
- 13 for making that distinction.
- 14 Do you and do any of the people that have
- 15 testified or does anyone else know, I am not
- 16 familiar with CIWC, what other discharges does it

- 17 accept? Does it service all of University Park?
- MS. SAIELLI: That's on the petition. They do;
- 19 the residences and approximately 60 industrial
- 20 dischargers.
- 21 MS. FELTON: Sir, just one second, sir. I just
- 22 want to note for the record that there do appear to
- 23 be two individuals here, perhaps from the community
- 24 and, sir, if you would like to respond, I'd ask you

- 1 to stand up and just please be sworn in.
- MS. McFAWN: Your name is?
- 3 MR. GRUBERMANN: My name is Mike Grubermann.
- 4 I'm the village manager for the village of
- 5 University Park.
- 6 MS. FELTON: Thank you, and we'll ask the court
- 7 reporter to swear you in.
- 8 (Witness sworn.)
- 9 MS. FELTON: Thank you, sir. If you'd like to
- 10 respond, thank you.
- 11 MR. GRUBERMANN: Yeah. They service the
- 12 entirety of the village of University Park in
- 13 addition to certain unincorporated areas, which are
- 14 surrounded by but not incorporated in the village,

- 15 and also by contract service, the entire sewer
- 16 system from the village of Monee, which is
- 17 immediately south of our industrial park, and an
- 18 earlier question was in relation to -- somebody had
- 19 asked in relation to the depth of the wells, I
- 20 believe the majority of the wells here are about 490
- 21 feet at the water level and there is a high level of
- 22 dissolved solids, a lot of iron, limestone, so there
- 23 are -- there's a lot in there that needs to be --
- 24 that needs to be worked out if you're doing anything

- 1 with the water. Most of us soften our own.
- 2 MS. McFAWN: We've learned then that there are
- 3 other manufacturing concerns that discharge to CIWC.
- 4 Would they -- any of them suffer the problem that
- 5 the raw water coming in contains such high solids
- 6 that they just pass it along to CIWC?
- 7 MR. GRUBERMANN: I would say so. Originally --
- 8 I mean, this is from Nutrasweet. Nutrasweet tested
- 9 their water and they actually discontinued
- 10 purchasing water -- water bottles. They
- 11 discontinued purchasing water that way. They

- 12 discontinued using drinking water directly to
- 13 faucets and ran their processed work to their
- 14 drinking fountains simply because of the fact that
- 15 they cleaned it up before it got used.
- 16 MS. McFAWN: So in layman's terms, they were
- 17 then using their water -- the water which had been
- 18 softened inside Nutrasweet?
- 19 MR. GRUBERMANN: Right. They were doing
- 20 reverse osmosis so they were using that as drinking
- 21 water inside of Nutrasweet because it was of better
- 22 quality than they could even buy from Hinckley &
- 23 Schmitt. For example, that way the crest around
- 24 your hot water spout, it's no different than what we

- 1 are getting here.
- 2 MS. McFAWN: So if the manufacturing concerns
- 3 that discharge to CIWC accept the raw water from the
- 4 water supplier -- who is the water supplier, is it
- 5 CIWC?
- 6 MR. GRUBERMANN: Yes. They're the supplier.
- 7 MS. McFAWN: Okay. So if they accept the raw
- 8 water there, they, too, probably have to soften or
- 9 just use it --

- 10 MR. GRUBERMANN: Some do, some don't. We've
- 11 got a lot of -- probably 50 or 60 percent of the
- 12 homes here soften and that's all discharged. When
- 13 the softener regenerates, that's all discharged to
- 14 the system, but, you know, the industrial plants, a
- 15 lot of them don't bother treating it because they
- 16 might have, you know, ten, 15 employees and not
- 17 actually do anything -- anything that has to do with
- 18 water other than drinking it and flushing a toilet.
- 19 Ms. McFAWN: Okay. Good point. Thank you.
- 20 MR. GRUBERMANN: Most of them import water to
- 21 run their coffee for dissolved solids.
- MS. FELTON: Thank you. Are there any further
- 23 questions for any of the witnesses or any of the
- 24 other parties?

- 1 MS. McFAWN: I kind of -- I wonder, do we have
- 2 a copy of your operating permit?
- 3 MS. SAIELLI: I don't believe we attached it to
- 4 the petition.
- 5 MS. McFAWN: Could we have a copy submitted to
- 6 the Board and just -- the Agency, of course, would

- 7 have a copy of that.
- 8 MR. WARRINGTON: We didn't bring one with us.
- 9 I'm sorry.
- 10 MS. McFAWN: That's okay.
- MS. SAIELLI: I don't have a copy to give you.
- 12 We'll send you one.
- MS. McFAWN: Okay. Fine. That would be fine.
- 14 Do you have a copy with you, though?
- MS. SAIELLI: Yeah.
- MS. McFAWN: Oh, could we take a moment to look
- 17 at it, please?
- MS. SAIELLI: This is the original.
- MS. McFAWN: We won't mark it up.
- 20 MS. McFAWN: All right. Could we just take a
- 21 few minutes off the record then?
- MS. FELTON: Actually, before we go off the
- 23 record, I just want to confirm that the petitioner
- 24 will provide a copy to the Board of the operating

- 1 permit?
- 2 MS. SAIELLI: Sure.
- 3 MS. FELTON: Okay. And that -- as long as -- I
- 4 will just direct them to do that by the time that

- 5 the record closes in this record, which we'll
- 6 clarify that at the end. That will be a few weeks
- 7 after the transcript is available. Thanks. We'll
- 8 go off the record for a second.
- 9 (Whereupon, a discussion
- 10 was had off the record.)
- 11 MS. FELTON: Back on the record.
- MS. McFAWN: Thank you for letting me take a
- 13 look at your permit and, as we agreed, we'll get
- 14 copies at the conclusion of this hearing.
- I just have a couple questions about how
- 16 the permit works especially in conjunction with the
- 17 adjusted standard which was granted to Nutrasweet
- 18 and CIWC.
- 19 According to that permit, after reviewing
- 20 it, Special Condition No. 6 requires that you not
- 21 exceed 1,000 milligrams per liter on a daily maximum
- 22 limit of TDS and that you are to test three times a
- 23 week -- a daily sample three days a week for that,
- 24 is that right?

- 2 the provisional variance -- upon expiration of the
- 3 provisional variance.
- 4 MS. McFAWN: Okay.
- 5 MS. SAIELLI: They were supposed to do that.
- 6 MS. McFAWN: Right. So I assume that that's
- 7 now how you're operating because the provisional has
- 8 expired?
- 9 MS. SAIELLI: Correct. We've asked that the
- 10 adjusted standard be made to apply to the
- 11 expiration.
- 12 MS. McFAWN: Okay. Now, if my familiarity with
- 13 the past adjusted standard tells me that these were
- 14 standard -- these were the conditions that
- 15 Nutrasweet also agreed to?
- MS. SAIELLI: They did not agree to 1,000
- 17 milligrams per liter discharge. They agreed to
- 18 count not concentration limits, but pounds per day,
- 19 mass limit.
- MS. McFAWN: Oh, okay. Well, thank you. I
- 21 thought this was what was agreed to. How come the
- 22 change?
- MS. SAIELLI: I believe it might have been an
- 24 error on part of the IEPA, but I'm not sure.

1 MS. McFAWN: An error now or as was now?

- 2 MS. SAIELLI: Now.
- 3 MS. McFAWN: Now? So you want to keep the mass
- 4 limit?
- 5 MR. WARRINGTON: They, I believe, do want to
- 6 keep the mass limit.
- 7 MS. SAIELLI: We requested the mass limit and
- 8 IEPA is agreeing.
- 9 MS. McFAWN: Okay. I see. All right. So
- 10 these types of conditions were also in the operating
- 11 permit that Nutrasweet held?
- MS. SAIELLI: The mass limit.
- MS. McFAWN: The mass limits, and that was
- 14 because of the adjusted standard?
- MS. SAIELLI: Yes.
- MS. McFAWN: So is that the only thing
- 17 obligating Nutrasweet or Takasago to do this or is
- 18 there a contractual obligation between CIWC and
- 19 Nutrasweet/Takasago?
- MS. SAIELLI: I don't believe so.
- MS. McFAWN: No?
- MR. KLOOTWYK: No. We have no contractual
- 23 agreement between our company and CIWC.
- MS. McFAWN: Concerning TDS?

- 1 MR. KLOOTWYK: That's correct.
- 2 MS. McFAWN: Do you have a contractual
- 3 agreement otherwise?
- 4 MR. KLOOTWYK: CIWC just bills us according to
- 5 the -- I believe it's the Illinois Commerce
- 6 Commission that sets the --
- 7 MS. McFAWN: The rates?
- 8 MR. KLOOTWYK: -- rates and loads.
- 9 MS. McFAWN: Okay.
- MR. KLOOTWYK: And has that forwarded to us.
- 11 MS. McFAWN: Okay. All right. A little
- 12 earlier, I asked you to look at Section 309.204,
- 13 which is the operating permits for existing sources
- 14 and that it says that operating permits are not
- 15 required unless you discharge -- one of those
- 16 qualifying paragraphs was No. 2; unless you
- 17 discharge 15 percent or more of the total hydraulic
- 18 flow received by the treatment works. Would
- 19 Takasago contribute more than 15 percent of the
- 20 total hydraulic flow, do you know?
- 21 MR. KLOOTWYK: Their total flow -- their total
- 22 flow out of the plant?
- MS. McFAWN: Received by the plant.

- 1 their total flow received is. We only know the
- 2 information discharging out of the plant.
- 3 MS. McFAWN: Okay. All right. Mr. Warrington,
- 4 can you tell me -- I understand that Takasago has a
- 5 pretreatment permit because -- subject to the
- 6 categorical standards.
- 7 MR. WARRINGTON: Right. They fall into that
- 8 first exclusion from the exemption --
- 9 MS. McFAWN: Correct. Does the Agency -- can
- 10 you tell me has the Agency issued the operating
- 11 permits subject to Paragraph 2 or 3, one being the
- 12 15 percent or more of the total hydraulic flow
- 13 received and, one being 15 percent or more of the
- 14 total biological loading received?
- MR. WARRINGTON: Not to my knowledge. From
- 16 reviewing that particular section of the
- 17 regulations, it appears that they are a source
- 18 that's regulated under Section 307 of the Clean
- 19 Water Act, which is, I believe, is the pretreatment
- 20 program or the categorical standards. We've heard
- 21 testimony today that they are regulated under 40

- 22 CFR, I believe, it's Part 414, is that correct?
- 23 MS. McFAWN: Okay. But my question was more
- 24 general. Have -- does the Agency -- you might not

- 1 know the answer, but what I'm curious about is the
- 2 Agency issuing permits to a waste water source
- 3 because it's discharge is more than 15 percent of
- 4 the -- 15 percent or more of the total hydraulic
- 5 flow received by a treatment works? Do you know of
- 6 any incidence of that?
- 7 MR. WARRINGTON: I recall that situation, but I
- 8 can't recall who it was or when it was. There are
- 9 cases where you have your relatively small
- 10 municipality with a publically-owned treatment works
- 11 and one or two large industrial contributors to that
- 12 system.
- MS. McFAWN: But what we would need is a --
- MR. WARRINGTON: A particular name that, you
- 15 know, would be that situation, I can't recall.
- MS. McFAWN: What we would really need is that
- 17 situation where you have a publically regulated
- 18 treatment works as opposed to a POTW.

- 19 MR. WARRINGTON: Oh, okay. I couldn't
- 20 distinguish between the two in my memory. I don't
- 21 know.
- 22 MS. McFAWN: Okay. Do you know -- do you ever
- issue permits to waste water sources?
- MR. WARRINGTON: Well, yes.

- 1 MS. McFAWN: That are indirect dischargers?
- 2 MR. WARRINGTON: Correct. If they fall under a
- 3 categorical standard or if they're a large, either
- 4 organic contributor, or a hydraulic contributor,
- 5 then they are obligated to receive, upon
- 6 implication, an indirect discharger permit or a
- 7 construction and operating permit.
- 8 One example, I think, has come to mind is
- 9 that I believe it's a town that has a dairy. The
- 10 wash waters and waste waters from the dairy are
- 11 fairly high in organic loading such that we require
- 12 them to pretreat that waste water before it is sent
- 13 into the sewer through the waste water treatment
- 14 plant.
- MS. McFAWN: Have you ever issued such a permit
- 16 to a company that doesn't -- or I mean to a

- 17 discharger, an indirect discharger, that doesn't
- 18 pretreat?
- 19 MR. WARRINGTON: It is possible. Under the
- 20 federal pretreatment program, there are options
- 21 where the receiving treatment works or the control
- 22 authority can make contractual or binding
- 23 obligations with an indirect discharger that
- 24 otherwise would have to limit the indirect

- 1 dischargers to a certain numerical or percentage
- 2 amount that the -- that nonetheless the receiving
- 3 waste water treatment plant can still accept them.
- 4 Usually, that means that the receiving waste water
- 5 treatment plant can more economically treat the
- 6 increased loading than the indirect discharger could
- 7 and usually you have a contract with financial
- 8 compensation to arrange for that.
- 9 MS. McFAWN: Okay. But then in that instance,
- 10 would the Agency issue an operating permit to the --
- 11 MR. WARRINGTON: They would still meet the
- 12 trigger of contributing no more than X amount of
- 13 hydraulic or organic loading. They still require a

- 14 construction permit or an operating permit if for no
- other reason than they have a sewer constructed from
- 16 the indirect discharger to the treatment plant.
- 17 MS. McFAWN: Okay. So they would have to
- trigger one of these requirements in 309.204?
- 19 MR. KLOOTWYK: That's correct.
- MS. McFAWN: A question for Takasago, you
- 21 mentioned that you purchased the Nutrasweet facility
- in 1996, was that correct?
- MS. SAIELLI: Yes.
- MS. McFAWN: And I was wondering what happened

- 1 between 1996 and this middle of this AS?
- MS. SAIELLI: Mr. Klootwyk?
- 3 MR. KLOOTWYK: What happened between 1996
- 4 and --
- 5 MS. McFAWN: This middle of your adjusted
- 6 standard petition, when Takasago has owned it for
- 7 three years or about --
- 8 MR. KLOOTWYK: Yeah. In August -- roughly
- 9 around August 1st of 1996, Nutrasweet literally
- 10 handed over the plant keys, if you would, to
- 11 Takasago. Takasago then spent roughly two years

- 12 decommissioning, if you would, looking at
- 13 engineering, new facilities on the site. In
- 14 roughly, I believe it was mid-1998, they filed with
- 15 the air division in operating a construction permit
- 16 to commence construction at which time the plant
- 17 was -- 1997, okay. In 1997, they sought an
- 18 operating and construction permit in construction.
- 19 Upon receiving that permit, approval for operating
- 20 and constructing, they began building in
- 21 mid-December. Well, I should say September of 1997.
- 22 It was under construction until -- as of late --
- 23 actually, the actual first production facility was
- 24 brought on-line July of this year. Yeah, July of

- 1 1999.
- 2 MS. McFAWN: So between the purchase in July of
- 3 1999, you weren't producing?
- 4 MR. KLOOTWYK: There was a limited amount of
- 5 production water being generated and I'll clarify.
- 6 The building was still needing, for sanitary
- 7 purposes, restrooms. Water was still brought into
- 8 the plant for sanitary purposes. During the winter,

- 9 they had to fire up, if you would, a boiler -- a big
- 10 boiler unit because that was the only way to heat
- 11 the building, the office area. Okay?
- So they did that for two winters where
- 13 they brought boilers up, and then brought them down,
- 14 but every time they brought that boiler up they had
- 15 to bring the pretreatment water -- pretreatment
- 16 processes up because you cannot feed that kind of
- 17 water into a boiler. It's very dangerous. It will
- 18 clog the tubes and you'll have a failure and cause a
- 19 significant explosion. So during the winter months,
- 20 they did that.
- 21 Outside of that, they did bring boilers
- 22 down and still ran raw water into the plant for
- 23 sanitary purposes, softened accordingly and for
- 24 drinking water purposes, they did send it through

- 1 what they call reverse osmosis. We won't let the
- 2 people drink the water, raw water.
- 3 MS. McFAWN: So you weren't producing a
- 4 product, you were just maintaining the offices?
- 5 MR. KLOOTWYK: We were just maintaining the
- 6 facilities.

- 7 MS. McFAWN: At that time, did you have an
- 8 operating permit from the Illinois EPA, a water
- 9 operating permit, or did that come because of the
- 10 new processes?
- MR. KLOOTWYK: That became because of the new
- 12 processes.
- 13 MS. McFAWN: Okay. So, for example, when
- 14 Nutrasweet got the AS, they didn't get an operating
- 15 permit from the Illinois EPA?
- MR. KLOOTWYK: I believe they had a
- 17 pretreatment permit in hand.
- MS. McFAWN: Oh, they did?
- 19 MR. KLOOTWYK: Yes.
- 20 MS. McFAWN: Even though they weren't subject
- 21 to Part 414?
- MR. KLOOTWYK: Nutrasweet was subject to 414.
- Ms. McFAWN: Oh, okay. I misunderstood. I
- 24 thought maybe something new that was added by

- 1 Takasago triggered that.
- 2 MR. KLOOTWYK: No.
- 3 MS. McFAWN: Thank you. So the adjusted

- 4 standard, if memory serves me correctly, that
- 5 Nutrasweet got had them actually doing stream
- 6 monitoring as well for TDS, is that right? No?
- 7 MR. KLOOTWYK: Nutrasweet -- being a former
- 8 Nutrasweet employee, they did monitor for TDS.
- 9 Their monitoring conditions and our monitoring
- 10 conditions are virtually identical.
- 11 MS. McFAWN: Okay.
- MS. SAIELLI: The actual opinion is Exhibit B
- 13 to the petition.
- MS. McFAWN: Thank you.
- MS. FELTON: Ms. Saielli, could you confirm
- 16 under which zoning classification the petitioner
- 17 cited?
- MS. SAIELLI: It's zoned industrial.
- 19 MS. FELTON: Thank you. We're going to take a
- 20 brief five-minute break, if that's okay. We'll
- 21 reconvene -- it's 11:40. We'll reconvene at 11:45.
- 22 Thanks.

24

- 2 break was had, the
- 3 following proceedings
- 4 were held accordingly.)
- 5 MS. McFAWN: If I could get a copy of
- 6 Nutrasweet's operating permit and Takasago's and
- 7 have those submitted to the record, and
- 8 Mr. Warrington, if you could provide us a copy with
- 9 the permit for CIWC.
- 10 MR. WARRINGTON: The NPDS permit.
- 11 MS. McFAWN: Yeah., is it already in the
- 12 exhibit?
- MS. SAIELLI: I think they just got reissued
- 14 the new one. The old one was --
- MS. McFAWN: Depending on it's length, what
- 16 I'm most interested in, of course, I can't imagine
- 17 that's it's very lengthy, but I want to see how you
- 18 wrote the conditions for their AS is what I'd like
- 19 to see. You can submit that by mail, you know,
- 20 through the clerk's office with a copy to the
- 21 hearing officer, that would be helpful.
- MS. SAIELLI: Just an original and one or --
- MS. FELTON: Actually, original and nine and
- then one to me. Thanks.

- 1 MR. WARRINGTON: Got it.
- MS. FELTON: You can file a motion to waive
- 3 but --
- 4 MS. McFAWN: You don't have to do that today.
- 5 I meant this is more, you know, after the close of
- 6 the hearing, you can submit these.
- 7 MR. WARRINGTON: How many copies would you
- 8 like?
- 9 MS. FELTON: Original and nine.
- 10 MR. WARRINGTON: Original and nine?
- 11 MS. McFAWN: Right.
- MS. FELTON: So basically I just confirmed that
- 13 copies of Nutrasweet's operating permit, Takasago's
- 14 operating permit, and CIWC's current permit will be
- 15 provided to the Board pursuant to our procedural
- 16 rules by the time that the record closes in this
- 17 matter, which we will establish in just a few
- 18 minutes.
- 19 Are there any other questions?
- Ms. McFAWN: I don't have any questions, but I
- 21 do want to thank you for listening to my questions
- 22 and indulging me. I'm having a little trouble
- 23 getting the history on this and getting it current
- 24 so I certainly appreciate you supplying the

- 1 information.
- 2 MS. FELTON: Are there any other questions on
- 3 behalf of the Board or staff? All right. Are there
- 4 any other questions on behalf of any interested
- 5 parties?
- 6 Seeing as there do not appear to be any
- 7 with us at this time, we will proceed with closing
- 8 statements if the parties would like to make them.
- 9 If they would like to waive them, that's fine.
- MS. SAIELLI: We'll waive.
- MS. FELTON: Okay.
- MR. WARRINGTON: The Agency will waive a
- 13 closing statement.
- 14 MS. FELTON: Okay. Are there any additional
- 15 motions that the parties would like to present to
- 16 the Board for its deliberation on behalf of the
- 17 petitioner or the respondent?
- 18 MR. WARRINGTON: No.
- 19 MS. FELTON: Okay. Let's just go off the
- 20 record just for a second.
- 21 (Whereupon, a discussion
- 22 was had off the record.)
- MS. FELTON: We'll go back on the record.

- 1 expressed and represented that they will be waiving
- 2 the post-hearing brief schedule. Consequently, I
- 3 will set forth sort of the time frame for closing
- 4 the record in this matter.
- 5 It appears that the transcript in this
- 6 matter will be available on or about Monday, January
- 7 3rd, 2000. Based on that, all post-hearing comments
- 8 must be filed with the Board two weeks after the
- 9 transcript is available, which I deem to be January
- 10 17th, 2000.
- 11 However, if there are public comments, I
- 12 am going to set forth a briefing schedule for the
- 13 parties to respond to those comments in the event
- 14 that they are filed. If no comments are received by
- 15 January 17th, 2000, the record will close on that
- 16 date, and that's the time at which we would request
- 17 all of the permits be filed. However, if any public
- 18 comments are filed, the parties will adhere to the
- 19 following briefing schedule: Petitioner's would be
- 20 due on January 31st, 2000; and respondent's brief

- 21 would be due on February 14th, and if any comments
- 22 are filed and there is this briefing schedule, if we
- 23 proceed with the briefing schedule, the record will
- 24 close on February 14th, 2000, upon the date that the

- 1 respondent's brief is due.
- 2 Just to confirm and clarify, the mailbox
- 3 book rule as set forth at 35 Illinois Code 101.102 D
- 4 and 101 -- strike that.
- 5 101.144 C will apply to all posthearing
- 6 filings. All post-hearing comments must be filed in
- 7 accordance with Section 106.807 of the Board's
- 8 procedural rules. Mr. Warrington?
- 9 MR. WARRINGTON: Will the transcript be posted
- 10 on the Board's internet site?
- 11 MS. FELTON: It is my understanding that the
- 12 transcript will be posted on the Board's website and
- 13 now that we have somebody handling that full-time,
- 14 it should be fairly immediately available on their
- 15 website.
- MR. WARRINGTON: Thank you.
- MS. FELTON: Do you have our website address?
- MR. WARRINGTON: We do.

19	MS. FELTON: Great. Okay. Are there any other
20	matters which need to be addressed at this time?
21	Seeing that there are no additional matters, this
22	hearing is adjourned and we thank you for your
23	attendance and participation in this matter and
24	happy holidays. Thank you.

1	MR. WARRINGTON:	Thank you.
2		(Whereupon, no further
3		proceedings were had in
4		the above-entitled
5		cause.)
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		

### L.A. REPORTING (312) 419-9292

49

STATE OF ILLINOIS ) 2 ) SS. 3 COUNTY OF C O O K ) 4 5 I, TERRY A. STRONER, CSR, do 6 7 hereby state that I am a court reporter doing business in the City of Chicago, County of Cook, and State of Illinois; that I reported by means of machine shorthand the proceedings held in the 10 11 foregoing cause, and that the foregoing is a true 12 and correct transcript of my shorthand notes so

taken as aforesaid.

	14	
	15	
	16	
	17	Terry A. Stroner, CSR
Illinois	18	Notary Public, Cook County,
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	