1	BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD
2	
3	AMERICAN BOTTOM CONSERVANCY,
4	EAST ST. LOUIS COMMUNITY ACTION
5	NETWORK, KATHY ANDRIA AND JACK
6	NORMAN,
7	Petitioners,
8	vs. PCB No. 00-200
9	VILLAGE OF FAIRMONT CITY
10	AND WASTE MANAGEMENT OF
11	ILLINOIS, INC.,
12	Respondents.
13	
14	Proceedings held on August 23, 2000, at 9:18 a.m., at the
15	St. Clair County Building, 10 Public Square, St. Clair County
16	Board Room, Belleville, Illinois, before John C. Knittle, Chief
17	Hearing Officer.
18	
19	VOLUME II
20	VOLIGIAE II
21	Reported by: Darlene M. Niemeyer, CSR, RPR CSR License No.: 084-003677
22	COR ELECTIVE IVO 001 0050//
23	KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY 11 North 44th Street
24	Belleville, IL 62226 (618) 277-0190

1-800-244-0190

1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	THE LAW OFFICES OF YVONNE M. HOMEYER, P.C. BY: Yvonne M. Homeyer
4	Attorney at Law The Parkway Tower
5	225 South Meramec, Suite 325 St. Louis (Clayton), Missouri 63105
6	On behalf of the Petitioners.
7	LAW OFFICES OF JOHN BARICEVIC BY: John Baricevic
8	Attorney at Law 4010 North Illinois
9	Swansea, Illinois 62226 On behalf of Village of Fairmont City.
10	PEDERSEN & HOUPT
11	BY: Donald J. Moran Attorney at Law
12	161 North Clark Street, Suite 3100 Chicago, Illinois 60601
13	On behalf of Waste Management of Illinois, Inc.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1	INDEX			
2				
3	WITNESS	PAGE	NUMBER	
4				
5	KATHY ANDRIA Cross Examination by Mr. Baricevic			
6	Redirect Examination by Ms. Homeyer		315	
7	Recross Examination by Mr. Baricevic			
8	TACK NODMAN			
9	JACK NORMAN Direct Examination by Ms. Homeyer			
10	Cross Examination by Mr. Moran			
11	KATHY ANDRIA			
12	Direct Examination by Ms. Homeyer			
13	Cross Examination by Mr. Moran	• • • •	300	
14	SCOTT PENNY Direct Examination by Mr. Baricevic		304	
15	Cross Examination by Ms. Homeyer		405	
16	Redirect Examination by Fir. Darreevic	• • • •	410	
17	PUBLIC COMMENT WITNESSES			
18	FRANK BOYNE		<i>1</i> 10	
19	Public comment of Mr. Boyne		412	
20	MIKE MITCHELL Public Comment of Mike Mitchell		418	
21	ALEX BREGEN Dublic Comment of Alex Progen		/10	
22	Public Comment of Alex Bregen			
23				

1	ЕХНІВ	ITS		
2	NUMBER MARKET	O FOR I.D.	ENTERED	
3	Respondent Exhibit 1	339 c.	hanged to HO-1	
4	(Respondent Exhibit 1 was amende			
5	Hearing Officer Exhibit 1 on page	ge 344.)		
6	Waste Management Exhibit 1	351	361	
7	Waste Management Exhibit 2	390	393	
8	Hearing Officer Exhibit 1	344	361	
9	Hearing Officer Exhibit 2 Hearing Officer Exhibit 3	344 384	361 389	
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				

- 1 PROCEEDINGS
- 2 (August 23, 2000; 9:18 a.m.)
- 3 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Good morning. My name is John
- 4 Knittle. We are here on the second day of hearing on PCB Docket
- 5 Number 2000-200, American Bottom Conservancy, East St. Louis
- 6 Community Action Network, Kathy Andria and Jack Norman versus the
- 7 Village of Fairmont City and Waste Management of Illinois,
- 8 Incorporated. It is about 9:18 a.m. We are starting a little
- 9 bit earlier today to try to get things finished.
- 10 I note for the record that there are no members of the
- 11 public present. The two members that were here yesterday have
- 12 not returned, although they did indicate yesterday that they
- 13 would be here to provide public comment. We will see if that
- 14 actually occurs.
- 15 We are in the middle of cross-examination of Ms. Kathy
- 16 Andria. We are going to start with John Baricevic's
- 17 cross-examination for the Village.
- 18 Mr. Moran, you finished your cross-examination yesterday,
- 19 correct?
- 20 MR. MORAN: Yes.
- 21 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Could you swear Ms. Andria back
- 22 in, please.
- 23 Before we get started, is there anything that has come up
- in the 12 hours I haven't seen you people that we need to address

1 right now? Seeing nobody making any gestures, then -- oh, I do

1-800-244-0190

- 2 see a gesture in the back. Yes, sir?
- MR. BOYNE: I have just got a couple of comments to make if 3
- I could. My name is Frank Boyne, and I am on the County Board.
- 5 I have been involved --
- 6 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Sir, could you --
- 7 MR. BOYNE: -- in this landfill situation.
- 8 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Could you hold on a second?
- Could you give us your last name, just for the court reporter,
- 10 and could you spell it?
- 11 MR. BOYNE: Boyne, B-O-Y-N-E, Frank Boyne.
- HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Okay. Sir, are you going to be 12
- here through the remainder of the day? 13
- 14 MR. BOYNE: Oh, yes.
- HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Okay. Would you mind holding off 15
- 16 on your public comment until the --
- 17 MR. BOYNE: Whatever you say. You are the man.
- 18 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Well, that is good to hear. Yes,
- 19 then I would suggest that we hold off until the parties have
- 20 finished their case-in-chief. If you have to go somewhere,
- 21 though, we would be happy to do it now. You don't have to go
- 22 anywhere?
- 23 MR. BOYNE: No. I cleared this date out.

- 1 your opportunity to provide any public comment you want after the
- 2 cases-in-chief have been completed. So that will be in about an
- 3 hour and a half or two hours or so.
- 4 Now can we swear Ms. Andria in, please?
- 5 (Whereupon the witness was sworn by the Notary Public.)
- 6 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: All right, Mr. Baricevic.
- 7 MR. BARICEVIC: Thank you.
- 8 KATHY ANDRIA,
- 9 having been first duly sworn by the Notary Public, saith as
- 10 follows:
- 11 CROSS EXAMINATION
- 12 BY MR. BARICEVIC:
- 13 Q. Ms. Andria, the organizations that you represent, could
- 14 you tell us the membership of each?
- 15 A. The membership of each organization?
- 16 Q. Yes.
- 17 A. The East St. Louis Community Action Network consists of
- 18 I think 23 -- 26 neighborhood and community groups. I will try
- 19 to get them all.
- Q. You don't have to name them all.
- 21 A. Okay.
- Q. I don't need you to name any of them. Is that
- 23 organization made up totally of neighborhood organizations?

KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY 1-800-244-0190

- 1 Catherine Dunham Institute for Arts and Humanities, the Central
- 2 Mississippi Archeological Research Center, the Emerson Park
- 3 Development Corporation, AIM, which is Adventures in Motivation,
- 4 which is an organization that works with youngsters in the city.
- 5 Community sites is -- I don't believe it is a neighborhood
- 6 organization. I am trying to think.
- 7 Q. How about American Bottom Conservancy?
- 8 A. It is not a member of the ESL CAN.
- 9 Q. What is --

- 10 A. I am sorry.
- 11 Q. That is all right. What is the membership of American
- 12 Bottom Conservancy?
- 13 A. It is not a membership group. It is a board group.
- 14 Q. A board group?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. How many people on the board?
- 17 A. We have four. We identified them, I believe with Mr.
- 18 Moran's testimony.
- 19 Q. Okay. So my -- I am not challenging that answer. The
- 20 four members of the board are the total make-up of American
- 21 Bottom Conservancy?
- 22 A. That is the official make-up of the board. We have

- 23 alliances. We work with other groups.
- 24 Q. There is no general membership of the American Bottom

- 1 Conservancy?
- 2 A. No, there is not.
- 3 Q. How about the Conservation Alliance?
- 4 A. It is not a party to this.
- 5 Q. Any municipal agencies, members of any of the parties
- 6 that you represent?
- 7 A. I don't think so.
- 8 Q. Okay. Let's go back to the application process. Focus
- 9 now on the application and not the transcript. As I recall your
- 10 testimony -- the first question is going to be is this your
- 11 recollection. As I recall your testimony yesterday, there was
- 12 one effort to purchase the application?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 Q. And two efforts to go view the application?
- 15 A. I believe that is accurate. I believe that is accurate.
- 16 Q. Now, in addition to -- now, let's focus on the viewing
- 17 of the application. In addition to those two times that you
- 18 testified to, there was another time, was there not, when Chief
- 19 Penny offered to show you the application and you were too busy?
- 20 A. I had gone to look at something else and I had someone
- 21 with me and that was not an occasion when I could do that, that
- 22 is correct.

- Q. But that did occur?
- 24 A. That did occur, yes.

- 1 Q. And you knew -- did not, at that point, Scott Penny show
- 2 you where the room was where you could sit and look at the
- 3 application?
- 4 A. No, he did not.
- 5 Q. The two times where you went to view the application,
- 6 did you call and let them know you were coming?
- 7 A. I don't recall specifically what I did about calling. I
- 8 think the first time I went, I went and I just said I would like
- 9 to look at the application, Waste Management's application for
- 10 siting. I don't remember who was behind the cage.
- 11 Q. The person behind the cage, did you not say yesterday
- 12 that you had asked to see the deputy clerk first?
- 13 A. On the first instance I did that. I just asked for the
- 14 application, if I could see the application. And then she,
- 15 whoever it was behind the window, said Karen is not here now.
- 16 Q. Did you tell that person that you wanted to see the
- 17 application anyway?
- 18 A. No, I did not.
- 19 Q. So when you were told that Karen was not there, you made
- 20 no other attempts to see the application?
- 21 A. No, I went back another time.

- 22 Q. Okay. Let's focus on the first time. The first time
- 23 you asked to see the application, the lady says Karen is not
- 24 there. Was there any other conversation?

- 1 A. I think I asked when she would be there, what her hours
- 2 were or something, and she said that they vary.
- 3 Q. Did you ask if there was anybody else there that could
- 4 show you the application?
- 5 A. I don't know if I did or not. I don't remember
- 6 specifically all of what I asked at the time.
- 7 Q. The second time that you went and you were told that
- 8 Karen was not there, did you ask to see the application anyway?
- 9 A. No. The second time I went -- I was told Karen was not
- 10 there the first time. The second time I went I asked to see
- 11 Karen. I didn't say I am here to view the application, since it
- 12 was told to me the first time I went when I asked to see the
- 13 application -- that it seemed to me that they were indicating to
- 14 me that I had to go through Karen.
- Q. Well, let's be awful specific here, Ms. Andria. You are
- 16 making some allegations about how Fairmont City operates. Did
- 17 anybody tell you, use the words that only Karen can show you the
- 18 application?
- 19 A. She did not use the word only. She said, when I asked
- 20 to see the application, Karen is not here now.
- 21 Q. And solely based on that sentence, you assumed that you

- 22 couldn't see the application unless Karen was there?
- 23 A. Well, there is no other --
- Q. That was a yes or no, ma'am.

- 1 A. Okay.
- 2 Q. Based on that one sentence, are you telling us that it
- 3 was your assumption that only Karen could show you the
- 4 application?
- 5 A. From that -- I don't know if at that time if I asked
- 6 about the clerk. I don't know if I knew at that time about
- 7 anything. I really don't remember the other of the conversation
- 8 except that I was like instructed that I had to go through Karen.
- 9 Q. Well, if you don't remember any of the conversation and
- 10 the only thing you can remember is that one sentence, what part
- of that indicated that you had to go through Karen?
- 12 A. They didn't say it is over here in a room, you can come
- 13 in. Karen is not here now, but if you would like to look at it
- 14 then you can look at it. They did not tell me that.
- 15 Q. Well, you spent a lot of time yesterday telling us how
- 16 you were intimidated by Grey Chatham. Did this lady in the cage
- 17 intimidate you into not asking for directions on what else you
- 18 needed to do?
- 19 A. Excuse me. I would like to correct your question, sir.
- 20 I don't think I said yesterday I was intimidated by Grey Chatham.

- Q. Well, were you intimidated by this lady in the cage?
- 22 A. Of course not.
- 23 Q. So what kept you from asking for her supervisor?
- 24 A. There is the cage, you know. I am sure you are very

- 1 familiar with that. It is like a little police department.
- 2 Q. Did you ask to see her supervisor?
- 3 A. No, I did not.
- 4 Q. Scott Penny had talked to you about the application. He
- 5 had offered to show it to you one day.
- 6 A. Not at that time.
- 7 Q. I understand that. But during this process you had
- 8 talked to Scott Penny about the application?
- 9 A. I don't know when in that time frame that happened. I
- 10 just remember --
- 11 Q. Did you ever call him and ask to see the application?
- 12 A. No, sir, I did not.
- 13 Q. Did you ever call the mayor and ask to see the
- 14 application?
- 15 A. No, sir, I did not.
- 16 Q. Did you ever call the city clerk and ask to see the
- 17 application?
- 18 A. I called for the city clerk and I asked for the city
- 19 clerk at the window, and the city clerk, they told me, did not
- 20 work there. He was -- he did not have office hours. That he had

- 21 a full-time job during the day.
- 22 Q. And the second time that you went, what did the lady in
- 23 the cage tell you?
- 24 A. I asked for Karen and she said Karen was not there.

- 1 Q. Did you ask to see the application anyway?
- 2 A. At that time, no, sir.
- 3 Q. Did you ask for what the procedures were to see the
- 4 application if Karen was not there to give it to you?
- 5 A. No, sir, I did not.
- 6 Q. And is it your testimony that you were too busy to make
- 7 other efforts to see the application?
- 8 A. I am sorry. What was the first part of the question?
- 9 Q. Were you too busy to see -- you only had these two times
- 10 available to you to go get the application?
- 11 A. To go get the application? No. It had been indicated
- 12 to me that it was 1,200 to 1,500 pages. That is a lot of time.
- 13 I didn't have time to go and sit for that period of time. And
- 14 when I went and asked about seeing it or Karen so that I could
- 15 get -- so that I could get facilitated, that was -- that didn't
- 16 happen.
- 17 Q. The application was filed on November 19th, correct?
- 18 A. That's what the notice says.
- 19 O. And between November 19th and March 17th, were those two

- 20 times that you testified to the only two times that you were
- 21 available to go view the application?
- 22 A. Well, the first -- I mean, you are going from November
- 23 19th. At first I was trying -- through December until the first
- 24 of the year I had been trying to get a copy of the application.

- 1 The December 15th letter and the money issue pretty much cut that
- 2 out. After the first of the year, I believe it was, I mean, I
- 3 couldn't be sure if it was before Christmas that I went over
- 4 there the first time or after.
- 5 Q. Let me refer you to -- I will show it to you here in a
- 6 minute. It is Petitioner's Exhibit Number 10. Is this a copy of
- 7 the December 15th letter that you just referred to?
- 8 A. Yes, sir.
- 9 Q. And there is a paragraph which I have made a marking
- 10 next to. Doesn't that paragraph indicate that the application is
- 11 available for you to view between the hours of 8:00 and 4:00
- 12 anytime you wanted to?
- 13 A. That's what the letter says. And in response to that is
- 14 why I went to the Village Hall to view it.
- 15 Q. Okay. So after the -- at least as of December 15th you
- 16 were put on notice, if not sooner, that you could view the
- application between the hours of 8:00 and 4:00?
- 18 A. That's what it says, yes.
- 19 Q. Were these two times that you testified to after

- 20 December 15th?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Okay. So between December 15th and March 17th, were
- 23 those the only two times that your schedule allowed you to go
- 24 look at the application?

- 1 A. Those were the two times when I went specifically with
- 2 the intent of looking at the application.
- 3 Q. Ma'am, you are not answering my question. Between
- 4 December 15th and March 17th, yes or no, were you too busy to
- 5 look at the application any other time between those dates?
- 6 A. You misstated what I said, sir. Between December --
- 7 after December 15th I went two different times to view the
- 8 application. I did not, could not, on those two times view the
- 9 application. After that, and I don't know when the first time
- 10 was, and I don't know when the second time was that I went to
- 11 view the application.
- 12 Q. Mrs. Andria, you do a great job at --
- 13 A. It is Ms.
- 14 Q. Ms. Andria, you do a great job of not answering
- 15 questions.
- 16 A. I am sorry, sir. I am trying to.
- 17 Q. Listen again. The two times, whenever it was, I am not
- 18 challenging your testimony, you went down and asked for the

- 19 application and Karen Manso wasn't there and you left. Why
- 20 didn't you go back a third time or a fourth time or a fifth time?
- 21 A. I did go back a third, a fourth and a fifth time. I did
- 22 not go specifically only to see the application.
- Q. Okay. You went back three other times to view the
- 24 application? Who did you talk to?

- 1 A. Each time I went -- and I was looking to see a public
- 2 notice. I had not seen anything in the paper.
- 3 Q. Ma'am, what other time -- I don't care about anything
- 4 else in this case. I care right now about you going to see an
- 5 application.
- 6 A. Yes, sir.
- 7 Q. When else did you try to see the application?
- 8 A. After those times I -- those two times that I testified
- 9 that I went solely to see the application. I was there at other
- 10 times and I asked for Karen, and I --
- 11 Q. How many times --
- 12 A. I do not know.
- 13 Q. -- and when did you ask for Karen?
- 14 A. How many times did I go to the Village Hall and ask for
- 15 Karen.
- 16 Q. Yes.
- 17 A. I don't really know, but it was -- I asked for --
- 18 Q. Who did you ask to see Karen?

- 19 A. May I finish the question, sir?
- Q. Go right ahead.
- 21 A. I went to Village Hall and asked for Karen many times.
- 22 I do not know, and it was like I said yesterday, it was a
- 23 continuum in my mind. I would go and it seemed to me that when I
- 24 went I was --

- Q. Ma'am, I didn't ask you for your continuum. I asked you
- 2 a question. When did you ask to see Karen to get the
- 3 application?
- 4 A. I do not know specifically all of the times that I went
- 5 to see Karen to ask for something from the Village. I said that
- 6 I went a number of times to the Village and a couple of those
- 7 times I had hoped to see the application. I had hoped to talk to
- 8 Karen.
- 9 Q. And in any of those times, did you ever say to anybody I
- 10 want to see the application?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. Did you ever ask -- tell anybody how do I see the
- 13 application if Karen is not here?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. Did you ever complain to anybody in the Village
- 16 structure that you were having difficulty seeing the application?
- 17 A. I don't believe so.

- 18 Q. Did anybody tell you to get out of city hall, you are
- 19 bothering us, treat you rudely?
- 20 A. At that time before that, no.
- 21 Q. Did anybody ever give you written instructions on how to
- 22 see the application, other than the December 15th letter?
- 23 A. Not to my knowledge.
- 24 Q. You have indicated a number of times that you were too

- 1 busy to view the application. Do you have another job?
- 2 A. I have a job, yes.
- 3 Q. What is that?
- 4 A. I work as -- I work on a grant for wetlands preservation
- 5 and education.
- 6 Q. How many hours a week does that take you?
- 7 A. I don't keep track of total number of hours that I work.
- 8 It is --
- 9 O. Is it 20 hours, 40 hours 50 hours?
- 10 A. I work -- a lot of what I to is connected with it. A
- 11 lot of what I do is not connected with it.
- 12 Q. Well, ma'am, I didn't ask you what you do.
- 13 A. It is not a 9:00 to 5:00 job.
- 14 Q. I asked you how much time between November 19th and
- 15 March 17th, on the average, how many hours a week were you
- 16 spending at your job?
- 17 A. Between which hours? I am sorry. I mean which dates?

- 18 Q. Between November 19th and March 17th of 2000, how many
- 19 hours a week on the average did you spend at your job?
- 20 A. I would not be able to guess. I would have to look and
- 21 think about it.
- Q. Is it 40 hours a week?
- 23 A. It is more than 40 hours a week.
- Q. So you would not have had time to look at it anyway if

- 1 you are working 40 hours a week and city hall is open 8:00 to
- 2 4:00, you didn't have time to go see the application no matter
- 3 what Karen Manso did?
- 4 A. I didn't say -- I don't think that is the case, sir.
- 5 Q. Well, tell us when you had time to go see the
- 6 application?
- 7 A. I had indicated that I could come whatever hours are
- 8 available. I mean, I don't -- I don't have a set schedule that I
- 9 have to follow. I was trying very hard to avail myself of the
- 10 opportunity to do this.
- 11 Q. And how did you try very hard to avail yourself?
- 12 A. I discussed that. I just testified to that.
- 13 Q. Okay. Nothing else other than what you have already
- 14 testified to?
- 15 A. I don't know.
- 16 Q. Let's go to the hearing. You told us yesterday that you

- 17 wanted to make sure that the March 13th hearing, that it didn't
- 18 occur; is that accurate?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Had you noticed anybody to be there on March 13th, other
- 21 than yourself?
- 22 A. At the Village Hall?
- 23 Q. No. Anybody, these lists of witnesses that you told Mr.
- 24 Moran about, friends, neighbors, interested citizens, had you

- 1 told anybody that they should be at the Village Center for the
- 2 hearing on March 13th?
- 3 A. Did I tell anybody to be at the Village on March the
- 4 13th?
- 5 Q. For the hearing, correct?
- 6 A. So close to March 13th did I tell them?
- 7 Q. You went to the Village Center for the hearing, as
- 8 indicated in the very first notice, on March 13th of 2000,
- 9 correct?
- 10 A. No, I did not. I don't believe I testified that I went
- 11 for the hearing that day.
- 12 Q. What did you go for?
- 13 A. To see that -- to make sure that it was not taking
- 14 place, that it wasn't going on. I didn't go inside and prepare
- 15 to go to the hearing.
- 16 Q. So on that date in time you did not notify anybody to be

- 17 there to testify?
- 18 A. No, I did not.
- 19 Q. You didn't notify anybody to be there to make public
- 20 comment?
- 21 A. No, I did not. On that day I did not.
- 22 Q. So you were relatively certain by that point that the
- 23 hearing was not going to be on March 13th? You were just going
- 24 to make sure, because of the three notices, that it didn't occur?

- 1 A. There were people who had been told before March 13th,
- 2 back several weeks back that it was going to be on the 13th. I
- 3 did not know who all -- I mean, I announced it at a number of
- 4 meetings.
- 5 Q. You announced what?
- 6 A. That there was going to be a hearing and on the date. I
- 7 announced -- I go to many meetings. I announce -- I report on
- 8 environmental activities. I say when hearings are coming up. I
- 9 give the information that I have.
- 10 Q. And none of those people showed up on March the 13th?
- 11 A. I don't know. I mean, we are talking about a lot of
- 12 people, sir. I do not know.
- 13 Q. To your knowledge, did anybody show up on March the
- 14 13th?
- 15 A. To my knowledge, I don't know who showed up, if anyone.

- 16 Q. To your knowledge, did anybody -- do you know of anybody
- 17 that went to the hearing on March 13th, other than you?
- 18 A. I do not. I didn't go to the hearing.
- 19 Q. March 17th?
- 20 A. Yes, March 17th.
- 21 Q. Did you subpoen anybody to testify at the hearing?
- 22 A. I don't believe I have that power to do that, sir.
- 23 Q. Did you ask the board to subpoena anybody to testify at
- 24 the hearing?

- 1 A. I have never heard of such a procedure.
- 2 Q. Yes or no? Did you --
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. -- ask, try, have anybody in any manner, whatsoever,
- 5 subpoenaed to be at the hearing on March 17th?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. Did you hire an expert for any reason to testify at the
- 8 March 17th hearing?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. Did you engage any expert witnesses to appear
- 11 voluntarily at the March 17th hearing?
- 12 A. Did I -- what was the word?
- 13 Q. Had you arranged in any manner for any expert witness to
- 14 be at the March 17th hearing?
- 15 A. Arrange for an expert witness? No.

- 16 Q. You were not planning on calling anybody to support your
- 17 position at the March 17th hearing?
- 18 A. The people I talked to would have gone on their own
- 19 accord to testify. I was not calling people, calling in the
- 20 legal sense of calling a witness. I was not doing that.
- 21 Q. So you were prepared -- from your perspective, the
- 22 people that you were representing, you were prepared to represent
- 23 them without anybody being there but yourself? That was your
- 24 case? You were presenting your case?

- 1 A. I did not go to that hearing to present a case. My
- 2 understanding of the hearings is that the applicant puts on the
- 3 case and that the citizens listen and ask questions on the basis
- 4 of the testimony of the experts.
- 5 Q. I understand that. Now, from what you were going to
- 6 question, what you were going to challenge, whatever response you
- 7 were going to make to the application, you were going to do that
- 8 by yourself?
- 9 A. No, sir.
- 10 Q. Who else was going to help you?
- 11 A. People who are interested.
- 12 Q. No, give me a name. Who else did you have -- did you
- 13 ask to be there on March 17th to help you do that?
- 14 A. The --

- Q. A specific name and conditioned on Joe Smith, I want you
- 16 to be here on March 17th to help me with this hearing. Who fits
- 17 into that category?
- 18 A. I think that Dr. Kelly was to notify --
- 19 Q. Okay. Let's talk about Dr. Kelly.
- 20 A. Okay.
- 21 Q. When did you call Dr. Kelly and tell him I want you at
- the March 17th hearing?
- 23 A. He knew about the March 13th hearing. I think I called
- 24 him that afternoon to tell him that it didn't happen that day.

- 1 Q. Did you ask him to be there March 17th?
- 2 A. I don't think he was available to be there on March
- 3 17th.
- Q. Did you ask him to be there March 17th?
- 5 A. I don't know if I asked him to be there. We had a
- 6 discussion about it.
- 7 Q. Who else did you ask to be there on March 17th, you
- 8 personally ask by letter, by phone, by any communication?
- 9 A. I made no letters that I can think of for March 17th. I
- 10 think I asked Mr. Suttle to be there on March 17th. I asked Mr.
- 11 Norman.
- 12 Q. And Mr. Norman was there?
- 13 A. Mr. Norman was there.
- Q. Did Mr. Suttle tell you that he couldn't be there on the

- 15 17th?
- 16 A. I don't remember what Mr. Suttle told me at the time. I
- 17 had thought and I had hoped he would be there.
- 18 Q. Ma'am, let's talk about your exhibits for a moment. As
- 19 an example, Petitioner's Exhibit Number 13, which is the Form 8-K
- 20 that you previously testified to. Let me show that to you to
- 21 refresh your recollection.
- 22 (Document passed to the witness.)
- Q. Where did you get that document from?
- 24 A. From the internet.

- 1 Q. Did you have any witness or witnesses prepared to
- 2 testify to substantiate the veracity of what was contained in
- 3 that document?
- 4 A. That would have to be Waste Management.
- 5 Q. Did you have any witness prepared to testify?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. The photograph that you tried to admit that has now been
- 8 marked as Petitioner's 28, did you have the person that took that
- 9 photograph available to testify?
- 10 A. No, I did not.
- 11 Q. The map, the FEMA map that you tried to submit, did you
- 12 have the person that prepared the map or that was responsible for
- 13 preparing the map prepared to testify at the hearing?

- 14 A. The map was prepared 20 years ago. I have no idea who
- 15 that person would be and I have no idea if I could even ascertain
- 16 that information.
- 17 Q. Did you --
- 18 A. I did not have that person available to testify.
- 19 Q. You don't have any idea whether the -- if the map is 20
- 20 years old, do you have any idea whether the map is still
- 21 accurate?
- MS. HOMEYER: Mr. Hearing --
- 23 THE WITNESS: It is --
- MS. HOMEYER: Go ahead.

- 1 THE WITNESS: It has been represented to me by your office
- 2 that it is a --
- 3 Q. (By Mr. Baricevic) By my office?
- 4 A. I am sorry. By the Office of the St. Clair County maps
- 5 and plats, zoning, whatever that office is, that this was the
- 6 up-to-date current map.
- 7 Q. And so did you have the person that made that
- 8 representation to you ready to testify at the hearing on March
- 9 17th as to the veracity of that map?
- 10 A. I don't think that that person would be able to testify
- 11 to that.
- 12 Q. Did you make any attempts to have anybody else be there
- 13 to verify that the map was accurate and still the one that is to

- 14 be used for those purposes?
- 15 A. I didn't have funding to get people to come to --
- 16 Q. The question was not whether you had funding. The
- 17 question was did you have anybody there to testify to help you
- 18 get that map admitted?
- 19 A. Like from FEMA you mean?
- Q. From anybody?
- 21 A. No, no. There was no one there.
- 22 Q. The process of the hearing. Let's see what your
- 23 recollection is about this incident.
- 24 A. Could I add to my answer that I said just now?

- 1 Q. No. I asked you -- no, no.
- 2 A. Okay.
- 3 Q. At the beginning of the hearing or early in the hearing,
- 4 did you recall a time where you indicated to the hearing officer,
- 5 Mr. Chatham --
- 6 A. I am sorry. Can you start over? I was --
- 7 Q. Yes. Let's refer now to the procedure of the operation
- 8 of the hearing.
- 9 A. Okay.
- 10 Q. Sometime towards the front end of the hearing, after Mr.
- 11 Moran had moved for the admission of -- or had indicated that he
- 12 was going to stand on the application?

- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And would have witnesses available for
- 15 cross-examination, but from an offer of proof the application was
- 16 going to stand on its own merits, did you not then tell Mr.
- 17 Chatham that you had some exhibits in the car that you were not
- 18 quite prepared and you needed time to go get those exhibits?
- 19 A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. And he let you do that, did he not?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Now, ma'am, Ms. Andria, you had indicated that you had
- 23 wanted Mark Wusthof of Southwestern Illinois Tourism Bureau to
- 24 testify?

- 1 A. I had hoped that he would.
- Q. Had you ever talked to him about his testimony?
- 3 A. No, sir, I didn't. It was indicated to me that he was
- 4 interested in it.
- Q. Was it indicated by Mr. Wusthof?
- 6 A. No, sir.
- 7 Q. You never talked to Mr. Wusthof?
- 8 A. No, I did not.
- 9 Q. And as you sit here today, have you ever talked to Mr.
- 10 Wusthof about --
- 11 A. About this particular thing?
- 12 Q. Yes.

- 13 A. No, I have not.
- 14 Q. And, Mr. Fries, he is a structural engineer, is he not?
- 15 A. I don't know his -- all of his credentials. But I know
- 16 that he is -- he knows about structural engineering. I think he
- 17 is a structural engineer, but I do not know.
- 18 Q. Do you have any idea of his knowledge or expertise in
- 19 soils?
- 20 A. It was indicated to me when I discussed with him that he
- 21 had knowledge of that.
- 22 Q. Mr. Fries is a guy that is very active in conservation
- 23 issues?
- 24 A. Yes, he is.

- 1 Q. Mr. Fries is part of a group that does -- is it the bike
- 2 trail folks in the St. Louis metropolitan area?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. He spends a lot of times at public hearings?
- 5 A. I don't believe I have ever seen Mike Fries at a public
- 6 hearings.
- 7 Q. You have never seen Mike at a public hearing? What gave
- 8 you any indication that Mike Fries was going to be at Fairmont
- 9 City for this public hearing?
- 10 A. I think I testified that I knew he was not going to be
- 11 because he said he had a conflict of interest.

- 12 MR. BARICEVIC: I have nothing else, Mr. Hearing Officer.
- 13 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Let's go off the record.
- 14 (Discussion off the record.)
- 15 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: All right. Let's take five
- 16 minutes.
- 17 MS. HOMEYER: Yes, that's all I need. Thank you very much.
- 18 (Whereupon a short recess was taken.)
- 19 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: All right. We are back on the
- 20 record.
- 21 Ms. Andria, it is your redirect examination of -- I am
- 22 sorry. Ms. Homeyer, it is your redirect examination of Ms.
- 23 Andria.
- 24 Ms. Andria, I will remind you that you are still under

- 1 oath.
- 2 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- 3 MS. HOMEYER: Thank you.
- 4 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Your witness.
- 5 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- BY MS. HOMEYER:
- 7 Q. Ms. Andria, there was some testimony by you on direct
- 8 and cross-examination of your participation in other siting
- 9 hearings; is that correct?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. And what procedure were you used to seeing in terms of

- 12 witnesses in the other hearings?
- 13 A. That the applicant put on testimony by expert witnesses
- 14 addressing different criteria.
- 15 Q. In support of the application?
- 16 A. In support of the application.
- 17 Q. And did that occur in the hearing on March 17th?
- 18 A. No, it did not.
- 19 Q. In any of those three sitting hearings that you
- 20 testified about, were you ever on the same side as Waste
- 21 Management?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. And in which siting hearing was that?
- 24 A. The National City landfill.

- 1 Q. Was Waste Management also opposing that siting?
- 2 A. Yes, it was.
- 3 Q. At the hearing on March 17th of this year, did you have
- 4 any knowledge of who the court reporter was?
- 5 A. No
- 6 Q. Did the court reporter have any communication with you
- 7 at all at any time before, during or after the March 17th
- 8 hearing?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. Did she ever give you a business card?

- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. Did you even know that she was with a private company as
- 13 opposed to a public entity?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. You didn't know anything about her, did you?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. Who did you think were the most obvious sources of
- 18 obtaining the transcript?
- 19 A. The Village, the Village clerk.
- 20 Q. And why were you led to call Mr. Baricevic?
- 21 A. Because on April 14th, when I talked to Karen Manso on
- 22 the telephone, she indicated to me that the transcript was at the
- 23 courthouse.
- Q. And who did you call next?

- 1 A. I called Mr. Baricevic's office at the courthouse.
- Q. What were you told?
- 3 A. I was told that he was not there and that I should try
- 4 his law office.
- 5 Q. Then what did you do?
- 6 A. I called his law office.
- 7 Q. And then what did you do?
- 8 A. He was not there and I left a message.
- 9 Q. And did you testify about this conversation with Karen
- 10 Manso yesterday?

- 11 A. I don't remember.
- 12 Q. Did you review anything last night that refreshed your
- 13 recollection about your conversation --
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. -- with Karen Manso?
- 16 A. Yes, I did.
- 17 Q. What did you review?
- 18 A. A memo that I had made to myself.
- 19 Q. And did that refresh your recollection that prior to
- 20 calling Mr. Baricevic you had talked with Karen Manso?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. And the date was April 14th, 2000?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. After your deposition on August 8th, did you -- were you

- 1 able to refresh your recollection about conversations with Karen
- 2 Manso prior to December 15th, 1999, pertaining to obtaining a
- 3 copy of the transcript?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. What did you find?
- 6 A. I was -- I am sorry. I was going back to the
- 7 deposition.
- 8 Q. All right. After your deposition on August 8th, of this
- 9 year --

- 10 A. Uh-huh.
- 11 Q. -- at which time you testified about conversations with
- 12 Karen Manso, did you subsequently find anything that refreshed
- 13 your recollection about conversations that you had had with her
- 14 just before December 15th, 1999?
- 15 A. Oh, yes.
- 16 Q. What did you find?
- 17 A. I found a little piece of some scribbled notes that I
- 18 had made.
- 19 Q. Of what?
- 20 A. Of the first telephone conversation with her when I
- 21 called to ask for a copy of the application.
- 22 Q. And after you reviewed -- you reviewed this note after
- 23 your deposition; is that correct?
- 24 A. Yes, I did.

- 1 Q. And did that refresh your recollection?
- 2 A. Yes, it did.
- 3 Q. And is that why you were able to testify more fully at
- 4 this hearing about conversations with Ms. Manso shortly before
- 5 December 15th, 1999?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Ms. Andria, do you have any training in the law?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. Are you an expert in any field?

- 10 A. I don't know.
- 11 Q. Are you --
- 12 A. I mean --
- 13 Q. Are you an expert in civil engineering?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. Are you an expert in structural engineering?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. Are you an expert on floodplain issues?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. Are you an expert on traffic?
- 20 A. No.
- Q. Are you an expert on landfill needs?
- 22 THE WITNESS: Could I ask that they go to the other room?
- 23 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Yes. Folks, Mr. Baricevic, if
- 24 you want to talk, take it to the other room. All right.

- 1 MR. BARICEVIC: Okay. Excuse me.
- 2 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Please proceed.
- Q. (By Ms. Homeyer) Are you an expert on landfill needs?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. Are you an expert in any other field that pertained to
- 6 the nine criteria --
- 7 A. No.
- 8 O. -- pertaining to siting?

- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. I believe you testified yesterday that you had
- 11 approximately two weeks to look at the documents that were given
- 12 to you by Joe Durako with Waste Management; is that correct?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 Q. Did you fully understand everything that you read in
- 15 those documents?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. If you had had more time to look at the application as
- 18 it was presented to you by Waste Management, what would you have
- 19 done or what might you have done with respect to the technical
- 20 aspects of the Waste Management sitting application?
- 21 A. I would have broken it down into areas and would have
- 22 attempted to start calling experts and get opinions and try to
- 23 learn more about what was going on, what the application stated,
- 24 what were the risks involved. Was this accurate, was that

- 1 accurate. I would have made many phone calls and done research.
- 2 Q. Were you prejudiced in that opportunity by not having
- 3 more time to look at the application?
- 4 A. Absolutely.
- 5 Q. Would you describe what you can see of the Village Hall
- 6 building when you walk into the entrance or lobby?
- 7 A. It is a little like a vestibule. I don't know what kind
- 8 of name you call it. And to the right, as you come in, it is a

- 9 very little space. To the right there is a window. And then
- 10 right next to that is a bulletin board. And there is someone who
- 11 sits behind the window. I think that they call her a dispatcher.
- 12 I don't know. It is like you can't really see what else is in
- 13 there. You don't see any other offices or anything.
- 14 Q. And is this dispatcher blocked off by a glass window?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Do you have to talk through a little microphone or a
- 17 little --
- 18 A. I don't really know.
- 19 Q. When you are standing in that vestibule, do you have
- 20 access to any other part of Village Hall?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 O. Are those doors locked?
- 23 A. I do not know. I have never tried to enter, but they
- 24 are closed.

- 1 Q. They are closed. And can you see any of the work areas
- 2 of the employees who work at Village Hall when you are standing
- 3 in the vestibule?
- 4 A. Only the desk of the dispatcher person.
- 5 Q. Is that the police dispatcher also?
- 6 A. I think so, but I don't know.
- 7 Q. So there is no opportunity to walk freely through

- 8 Village Hall, is there?
- 9 A. Well, no, I don't think so.
- 10 Q. You testified yesterday that you would have liked to
- 11 have Paul Osman testify at the hearing, correct?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Had you talked with Mr. Osman prior to March 17th?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Did you discuss with him the issue of the floodplain
- 16 matter?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And did he express to you any information concerning
- 19 where the landfill was in relation to the floodplain?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. What did he tell you?
- MR. BARICEVIC: Object. Hearsay.
- 23 MS. HOMEYER: Mr. Knittle, she testified that she didn't
- 24 know what Mr. Osman was going to testify about, and they opened

- 1 that issue up. And what I am asking her to do is clarify that
- 2 she did have some understanding of what Mr. Osman would have
- 3 testified about.
- 4 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Baricevic?
- 5 MR. BARICEVIC: Well, that was not the question. She can
- 6 testify whether or not she had some understanding. She can't
- 7 testify as to what he would testify to.

- 8 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: The objection is sustained.
- 9 Q. (By Ms. Homeyer) Did you have an understanding of what
- 10 Mr. Osman would have said about the floodplain had he testified
- or had he appeared at the March 17th hearing?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Would it have been about where the floodplain was
- 14 located?
- 15 MR. BARICEVIC: I object, Your Honor. She is trying to do
- 16 the same thing. She is going to now testify as to the substance
- 17 of what he would have testified to.
- 18 MS. HOMEYER: They opened the issue up by asking her -- Mr.
- 19 Moran asked her yesterday on cross-examination do you know what
- 20 Mr. Osman would have testified about. I am asking her to clarify
- 21 her answer from yesterday.
- 22 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: She can testify as to her own
- 23 basis and knowledge. I don't have an objection or a problem with
- 24 that. But regardless of whether they opened it up, I am not

- 1 going to allow hearsay testimony from this witness.
- 2 Q. (By Ms. Homeyer) What do you believe Mr. Osman would
- 3 have said at the March 17th hearing about the floodplain?
- 4 A. Based on my conversations with Mr. Osman --
- 5 MR. BARICEVIC: I object to any further testimony as to
- 6 what he would say.

- 7 MS. HOMEYER: My question was what did she expect him to
- 8 say.
- 9 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: I will overrule the objection.
- 10 Q. (By Ms. Homeyer) What did you expect him to say?
- 11 A. That the landfill was located in the floodplain.
- 12 Q. In Petitioner's Exhibit Number 10, the Village of
- 13 Fairmont City told you the application would be approximately
- 14 1,200 to 1,500 pages, correct?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. At the hearing did the Mayor of the Village of Fairmont
- 17 City make any statements about how big the application was?
- 18 A. He said it was 3,000 pages.
- 19 Q. Now, have you looked at the certificate of the record on
- 20 appeal that the Village of Fairmont City has filed?
- 21 A. I have.
- 22 Q. Have you calculated how many pages the two volume
- 23 application actually takes up in the record on appeal?
- A. I believe it is 776 pages.

- 1 Q. Ms. Andria, when you were preparing your public comment
- 2 you testified yesterday that you were prejudiced by not having
- 3 the transcript of the March 17th hearing available to you,
- 4 correct?
- 5 A. Correct.
- Q. What did you refer to primarily in your public comment

- 7 as far as supporting documentation?
- 8 A. My own research that took place after trying to find out
- 9 information and things related. There was very little reference
- 10 to testimony.
- 11 Q. Did you also refer to the exhibits that you tried to
- 12 offer into evidence --
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. -- but were not allowed to?
- 15 A. Yes, I did.
- 16 Q. So your public comment was written primarily based on
- 17 your own documentation, correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Do you remember how many exhibits you submitted with
- 20 your public comment?
- 21 A. I believe 53.
- 22 Q. Some of those included the exhibits that you tendered at
- 23 the March 17th hearing; is that correct?
- 24 A. That's correct.

- 1 Q. And you say there was very little reference to testimony
- 2 in your public comment, correct?
- 3 A. That's correct.
- 4 Q. Why is that?
- 5 A. My notes were a shambles. I couldn't make anything -- I

- 6 didn't have the transcript. I was extremely prejudiced.
- 7 Q. Were you present at a meeting of the board of directors
- 8 of the East St. Louis Community Action Network at which the board
- 9 members voted on whether to participate in the petition for
- 10 review from the April 19th, 2000 ordinance passed by the Village
- 11 of Fairmont City approving siting?
- 12 A. Yes, I was.
- 13 Q. And do you remember when that meeting occurred,
- 14 approximately?
- 15 A. It was after the public hearing -- after the public
- 16 comment period ended, and I don't remember exactly.
- 17 Q. It was after the March 17th hearing, correct?
- 18 A. Right.
- 19 Q. It was prior to what date?
- 20 A. It was prior to the deadline to file a petition.
- 21 Q. Do you remember the result of that vote?
- 22 A. It was unanimous to go forward.
- 23 Q. So there was a board vote of ESL CAN to participate in
- 24 this proceeding, correct?

- 1 A. There was.
- 2 Q. Did you make any attempt between March 13th and March
- 3 17th to let the general public --
- 4 A. Would you tell me those dates again.
- Q. Yes. After you went to Village Hall on March 13th and

- 6 learned that there was no public hearing that date --
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. -- did you make any attempt to let the public know about
- 9 the March 17th hearing date?
- 10 A. Yes, I did.
- 11 Q. What steps did you take?
- 12 A. I called the newspapers, because I couldn't call
- 13 everybody and tell them that there was a public hearing on the
- 14 17th. I called the newspapers so that they could print something
- 15 about the public hearing was going to be held.
- 16 Q. What newspapers did you call?
- 17 A. I called the Belleville News-Democrat. I don't know if
- 18 I called the -- no, I didn't call the Journal, because they were
- 19 not --
- 20 Q. Just testify to the best of your recollection. What
- 21 newspapers do you remember calling?
- 22 A. I believe I called the Post and the Belleville
- 23 News-Democrat.
- Q. What information did you give each paper?

- 1 A. That there was going to be a public hearing on Friday
- 2 morning, at 9:00, on the landfill, the expansion of the landfill.
- 3 Q. What other steps did you take?
- 4 A. I didn't see anything that was printed yet, and I wrote

- 5 a public press release.
- 6 Q. And when did you send that out?
- 7 A. I think I sent it on Wednesday.
- 8 Q. That would be March 15th?
- 9 A. I think so.
- 10 Q. Did an article subsequently run in the Belleville
- 11 News-Democrat?
- 12 A. Yes, it did.
- 13 Q. What date did it appear?
- 14 A. The morning of the public hearing.
- 15 Q. You testified about some conversations that you had with
- 16 people at the Village Hall about when you went there to see the
- 17 application. Now, at any time did anyone at the Village offer to
- 18 let you see the application even though Karen Manso was not
- 19 there?
- 20 A. No, no.
- 21 Q. When you received the May 8, 2000 letter from the
- 22 Village saying that the transcript -- I am sorry -- when you
- 23 received the May 9th, 2000 letter from the Village saying that
- 24 you could view the transcript at city hall if you went to see

- 1 Karen -- if you arranged it through Karen Manso, do you recall
- 2 seeing that in the letter?
- 3 A. Yes.
- Q. And did that confirm what the Village had been telling

- 5 you all along that Karen Manso was the gatekeeper for these
- 6 documents?
- 7 A. Absolutely.
- 8 MS. HOMEYER: I don't have any further questions.
- 9 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Moran?
- 10 MR. MORAN: Thank you.
- 11 RECROSS EXAMINATION
- 12 BY MR. MORAN:
- 13 Q. Ms. Andria, you knew, based upon your reading of the
- 14 Illinois Environmental Protection Act, that the last day on which
- 15 the hearings on the siting application of Waste Management of
- 16 Illinois, Inc., could begin was March 18th of 2000; isn't that
- 17 correct?
- 18 A. I did not know until after the hearing that it was --
- 19 that was the last day.
- 20 Q. So would it be accurate to say that you, at no point,
- 21 read the statute, read Section 39.2 of the Act, which set out the
- 22 time periods within which a hearing must be commenced based on
- 23 the date the application was filed; would that be accurate?
- 24 MS. HOMEYER: Objection. Outside the scope of redirect.

- 1 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Moran?
- 2 MR. MORAN: It is particularly relevant to the redirect in
- 3 which this witness was asked repeatedly about her inability to

- 4 prepare for a public hearing that she didn't know was going to be
- 5 scheduled because of a number of different notices and that she
- 6 didn't have sufficient time to prepare for that hearing.
- 7 MS. HOMEYER: Mr. Knittle, I did not go into that. I went
- 8 into the technical reports and the need to consult with experts.
- 9 I did not go into the time frame of the public hearing, nor did I
- 10 go into the three different notices.
- 11 MR. MORAN: There were questions about whether she had an
- 12 application in enough time to prepare for this public hearing.
- 13 This witness has told us and she told us yesterday that she was
- 14 extremely prejudiced by the fact that she had insufficient time
- 15 to prepare for a hearing that she was not aware of.
- 16 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Yes, that was yesterday, though,
- 17 not on redirect. Let me go through my notes real quick. I have
- 18 got them.
- 19 MS. HOMEYER: Also, I believe that the question was asked
- 20 and answered yesterday on cross-examination by Mr. Moran. He has
- 21 had an opportunity to go into this before.
- 22 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Moran, to the best of my
- 23 ability, my note taking here, I don't think that that was delved
- 24 into on redirect. I am going to sustain the objection.

- 1 If you want to make an offer of proof about this particular
- 2 question, I would allow it.
- 3 MR. MORAN: By all means because it was asked early on in

- 4 the redirect.
- 5 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Well, the transcript will show
- 6 it, so it is --
- 7 MR. MORAN: Absolutely.
- 8 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: So if you want to --
- 9 MR. MORAN: Let me go through the --
- 10 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: -- phrase this as an offer of
- 11 proof, I would let you ask those questions of this witness.
- 12 Q. (By Mr. Moran) Ms. Andria, is it accurate to say, then,
- 13 that you made no attempt to review Section 39.2 of the Act to
- 14 determine the period within which the public hearing must
- 15 commence, based upon the filing date of the siting application?
- 16 MS. HOMEYER: Objection. Asked and answered.
- 17 Argumentative. Calls for a legal opinion as to what the statute
- 18 requires. And it is outside of the scope of redirect.
- 19 MR. MORAN: This is an offer of proof. Why are you
- 20 objecting to --
- 21 MS. HOMEYER: Oh, I am sorry.
- 22 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: I will note them all for the
- 23 record. I am sorry, Ms. Homeyer, I --
- MS. HOMEYER: I thought you overruled my objection.

- 1 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: No, I sustained your objection.
- 2 MS. HOMEYER: Okay.

- 3 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: I agree. I think it is outside
- 4 of the scope of redirect, as well. That's what I sustained.
- 5 MS. HOMEYER: I apologize. I misunderstood.
- 6 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Not a problem. Mr. Moran is
- 7 making an offer of proof.
- 8 Do you need that question read back to you?
- 9 THE WITNESS: Oh, I am supposed to answer? I am sorry.
- 10 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: You are supposed to answer.
- 11 THE WITNESS: Did I make an effort to look at 39.2 to
- 12 determine when the last date of the public hearing was, is that
- 13 what --
- 14 Q. (By Mr. Moran) Or could be based on the statute, yes?
- 15 A. Did I? No.
- Q. You talked about the vote of ESL CAN -- this is beyond
- 17 the offer of proof.
- 18 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Okay.
- 19 Q. (By Mr. Moran) You talked about the vote of ESL CAN to
- 20 participate in this siting process, correct?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. When did that occur?
- 23 A. I do not know. It was after --
- Q. Can you give us a year as to when this happened?

- 1 A. I think I just --
- 2 Q. Was it 1999, was it 2000? Do you have any idea when

- 3 this vote occurred?
- 4 MS. HOMEYER: Objection. Asked and answered.
- 5 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Overruled. I will let this
- 6 question as to which year it occurred go forth.
- 7 THE WITNESS: I think I just testified that it was before
- 8 we filed the petition and after the end of the public comment.
- 9 Q. (By Mr. Moran) So there was no determination made prior
- 10 to the public hearing in which ESL CAN agreed to participate in
- 11 the siting proceedings; is that correct?
- 12 A. Oh, in the siting proceeding? I thought you --
- 13 MS. HOMEYER: Objection. My question on redirect was
- 14 focused on the participation of ESL CAN in the petition for
- 15 review. This question is outside the scope of redirect.
- 16 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Moran, do you have a
- 17 response?
- 18 MS. HOMEYER: Also, it was gone into yesterday.
- 19 MR. MORAN: The point here is that this witness has
- 20 testified about her inability to prepare for a siting hearing.
- 21 She has testified to that again on redirect examination. She had
- 22 insufficient time to prepare for the public hearing, aside from
- 23 any question as to the petition for review and this appeal. This
- 24 question goes to that inquiry which was made on redirect

- 2 reasons why she may not have had sufficient time to prepare for
- 3 this public hearing.
- 4 MS. HOMEYER: I don't agree with anything he just said.
- 5 His question is about a vote of the board about something to do
- 6 with their participation at the hearing. And I never went into
- 7 that in redirect, and Mr. Moran did go into it yesterday. It has
- 8 been gone into. It is outside the scope of redirect. All I
- 9 asked the witness to do is clarify about a board vote concerning
- 10 the participation of ESL CAN in this proceeding, the appeal or
- 11 the petition for review.
- 12 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: The proceeding before the
- 13 Illinois Pollution Control Board.
- MS. HOMEYER: Yes.
- 15 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Correct.
- 16 MR. MORAN: I am not referring to her question regarding
- 17 ESL CAN for purposes of this appeal. I am referring to her
- 18 questions to this witness about this witness having an
- 19 application two weeks before a hearing and being unable to
- 20 prepare, allegedly, for that public hearing.
- 21 MS. HOMEYER: My objection is that that question has
- 22 nothing to do with the issue he claims it is relevant to.
- 23 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: If you can explain to me how that
- 24 relates to her preparedness because of the siting application, I

- 2 MR. MORAN: If this witness did not have the authority or
- 3 the direction to proceed on behalf of either ESL CAN or American
- 4 Bottom Conservancy in the public hearing in a sufficient enough
- 5 time prior to the public hearing, that would certainly explain
- 6 part of her inability to prepare for a hearing that she should
- 7 have known was going to take place by March 18th.
- 8 If these two organizations did not vote to authorize
- 9 participation in the public hearing until the end of February or
- 10 until -- whenever the date was -- I still don't know after
- 11 talking to a number of these different witnesses -- then that is
- 12 a significant factor in determining why she was unable to prepare
- 13 for this hearing, because she represented and appeared, as she
- 14 said, on behalf of these two organizations at that hearing.
- 15 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Anything further, Ms. Homeyer?
- MS. HOMEYER: No.
- 17 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Okay. I am overruling the
- 18 objection.
- 19 Do you recall the question, ma'am?
- THE WITNESS: No.
- 21 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Do you need it read back?
- MR. MORAN: Yes, please.
- 23 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Darlene, could you read the
- 24 question back.

- 1 (Whereupon the requested portion of the record was read
- 2 back by the Reporter.)
- 3 THE WITNESS: That is not correct.
- 4 Q. (By Mr. Moran) There was a vote prior to the public
- 5 hearing in which ESL CAN authorized you to participate in the
- 6 public hearing; is that correct?
- 7 A. I am the chair of the environment committee and I make
- 8 environment committee reports at each of the meetings, the
- 9 membership meetings. And we have -- we discussed it and it was
- 10 shortly -- I don't know the meeting date that we discussed it,
- 11 and we decided. It was not a formal resolution or anything to go
- 12 forward.
- Q. What year did that happen?
- 14 A. That happened in the year 2000.
- 15 Q. Did it happen in January of 2000?
- 16 A. I don't know.
- 17 Q. Did it happen in February of 2000?
- 18 A. I don't know.
- 19 Q. Did it happen in March of 2000?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. Similarly, with the regard to the American Bottom
- 22 Conservancy, was the decision made in a similar fashion, to
- 23 participate in the public hearing?
- 24 A. It was conversations on the telephone or at a meeting.

- 1 I don't remember.
- Q. What year did this happen?
- 3 A. It happened this year.
- 4 Q. Did it happen in January of this year?
- 5 A. I do not know, sir.
- 6 Q. Did it happen in February of this year?
- 7 A. I do not know the date, sir. I think it might -- I
- 8 think we discussed it in December.
- 9 Q. Did it happen in 1999?
- 10 A. It could have.
- 11 O. You have no recollection?
- 12 A. No, sir.
- Q. Now, you testified also that in the prior siting
- 14 hearings in which you have participated, the experts would
- 15 testify with respect to the specific criterion that they were
- 16 addressing; is that correct?
- 17 A. Would you repeat that? I am sorry.
- 18 Q. You testified on redirect examination that in the prior
- 19 siting hearings you have worked on, there have been expert
- 20 witnesses who have testified in those proceedings; is that
- 21 correct?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- 23 Q. And those experts testified based upon written reports
- 24 that were submitted as part of the siting applications filed in

- 1 those matters?
- 2 A. I was not familiar with the process in the first one, so
- 3 I can't -- I really don't remember that. I think I remember
- 4 getting -- looking at the reports for National City. I just
- 5 don't really have a strong memory. It was five years ago, and
- 6 they all happened about the same time, so I really don't have a
- 7 strong memory.
- 8 Q. So as you sit here today, you are unable to tell us
- 9 whether any of the testimony presented by any of the witnesses in
- 10 those three prior siting hearings were based upon written reports
- 11 that were contained in the siting request; is that correct?
- 12 A. I don't understand the question.
- 13 Q. Let me try it this way. Do you have any understanding
- 14 or any knowledge, as you are sitting here now, that the experts
- 15 who testified in those prior siting hearings did so on the basis
- 16 of written reports they had submitted as part of the siting
- 17 applications in those matters?
- 18 A. Yes, I understand that that is the situation.
- 19 Q. And that is what happened in those earlier siting
- 20 proceedings; isn't that correct?
- 21 A. I said I don't remember precisely everything that went
- 22 into them.
- 23 Q. But as you are sitting here now, your understanding is
- 24 that experts who testified at those siting proceedings did so on

- 1 the basis of written reports they had written and submitted as
- 2 part of the siting application in those matters, correct?
- 3 A. It is my understanding that that is the way it is
- 4 supposed to work. I do not know if that is the way that it
- 5 worked during those siting proceedings. I am sorry.
- 6 Q. Now, you were asked on redirect examination who you
- 7 thought the most obvious sources of obtaining the public hearing
- 8 transcript were. Do you recall that question?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. I think your answer was the Village of Fairmont City?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. Now, you had obtained a copy --
- 13 MS. HOMEYER: Objection. That does not correctly state her
- 14 testimony.
- 15 MR. MORAN: She just said it did.
- 16 THE WITNESS: Well, then read my testimony. I don't know
- 17 what you are --
- 18 MR. MORAN: You don't recall your testimony? Do you want
- 19 to change your answer based on your attorney's statement ?
- 20 THE WITNESS: No, I would like to -- go ahead. I am sorry.
- 21 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Yes.
- MS. HOMEYER: I will object that the question that he posed
- 23 to her did not correctly summarize the testimony that she just
- 24 gave on redirect.

- 1 MR. MORAN: She can explore that on redirect examination.
- 2 This witness has answered it.
- 3 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: You will have to address that on
- 4 the re-redirect. She has already answered that question. To be
- 5 honest with you, Ms. Homeyer, I have the question down but I
- 6 don't have her response down.
- 7 Mr. Moran, you can continue.
- 8 MR. MORAN: Thank you.
- 9 Q. (By Mr. Moran) Now, Ms. Andria, you had previously
- 10 testified that you obtained a copy of the siting application from
- 11 Waste Management of Illinois; is that correct?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. Did it ever occur to you to contact Waste Management of
- 14 Illinois to get a copy of the public hearing transcript?
- 15 A. Did it occur to me to call them to ask for one?
- 16 Q. Yes.
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. Now, you also testified on redirect examination that you
- 19 did not fully understand the copy of the siting application that
- 20 you obtained from Waste Management of Illinois; is that correct?
- 21 A. That I didn't fully understand?
- Q. You didn't fully understand it, correct?
- 23 A. That's correct.
- Q. When you recognized that the siting application was

- 1 perhaps beyond your skills and considerable experience in
- 2 different areas, did you make any attempt to enlist the
- 3 assistance or help of those who could provide that understanding
- 4 for you?
- 5 A. Yes, I did.
- 6 Q. And describe for us what you did in order to enlist the
- 7 assistance of people to help you understand that siting
- 8 application?
- 9 A. One of the people that I talked to was -- I believe was
- 10 Mr. Fries. I called other people asking questions. I called --
- 11 I think I called the EPA. I made several phone calls.
- 12 Q. Now, when you say you think you called the EPA, are you
- 13 just speculating that you may have called them? Do you have any
- 14 recollection specifically about talking to someone at the EPA
- 15 about this siting application?
- 16 A. Right now, of specific recollections I remember that it
- 17 was very scrambled. I mean, I was trying to both get people to
- 18 know about it so that they could come testify, and this is on
- 19 their own, not as my witnesses but to let them know that
- 20 something was coming up, that this was the procedure. I did not
- 21 know what exactly what was in the application before that. So I
- 22 was trying to -- I don't have specific recollections as to who I
- 23 called, and when I called, but I do know that I attempted to try
- 24 to make sense of the application.

- 1 Q. Did you have any discussion with anyone about hiring an
- 2 attorney to represent you or these organizations in connection
- 3 with the siting application?
- 4 MS. HOMEYER: I am going to object. That would be covered
- 5 by the attorney-client privilege.
- 6 MR. MORAN: How in the world would a discussion about the
- 7 possible retention of an attorney to assist in the presentation
- 8 of the case at a siting hearing in itself violate any
- 9 attorney-client privilege?
- 10 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Anything further, Ms. Homeyer?
- MS. HOMEYER: I will withdraw the objection.
- 12 THE WITNESS: That was the question, whether to have an
- 13 attorney present at the siting hearing? Is that what your
- 14 question was?
- 15 Q. (By Mr. Moran) My question was this. Did you have any
- 16 discussion with any person, someone from ESL CAN, someone from
- 17 American Bottom Conservancy or any other individual about
- 18 retaining a lawyer to assist you and those organizations in the
- 19 public hearing that would take place on the siting application?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 MS. HOMEYER: I will object. It is outside the scope of
- 22 redirect.
- 23 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Moran?
- 24 MR. MORAN: Well, she has already answered the question.

1-800-244-0190

- 1 The question is directed to that line of questioning on redirect
- 2 in which she was asked whether she understood the application,
- 3 whether she was able to go forward and prepare for it, and I want
- 4 to find out if it was so obvious that she didn't understand the
- 5 application and wanted to appear, was there any effort made by
- 6 her to get somebody in there to assist her and these
- 7 organizations at the public hearing.
- 8 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: I will allow the one question,
- 9 but I don't want to go into any details on any discussions.
- 10 THE WITNESS: We did not attempt to retain an attorney to
- 11 go to the siting hearing.
- 12 Q. (By Mr. Moran) Now, you also testified on redirect as to
- 13 certain notes or memoranda of yours that you used to refresh your
- 14 recollection after the date of your deposition and before today's
- 15 hearing; is that correct?
- 16 A. I said that -- I believe I said that -- there was a note
- 17 that I had.
- 18 Q. This is a written note?
- 19 A. A written.
- Q. A written note that you made to yourself?
- 21 A. No, not that I made to myself.
- Q. Tell us what was contained in this note.
- MS. HOMEYER: I am going to object. She testified about
- 24 two notes, I believe, that she used to refresh her recollection.

- I I would like to request clarification of which note that Mr.
- 2 Moran is --
- 3 MR. MORAN: I want to find out about all of them, because
- 4 this is the first that I have heard of any notes that she has
- 5 taken that relate to any of the issues in this case. So I will
- 6 clearly go into all of them.
- 7 MS. HOMEYER: Mr. Knittle, I object to the implication that
- 8 we had some obligation to provide him with information about
- 9 those notes.
- 10 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: I can't sustain -- without
- 11 knowing -- I will note it for the record, but without looking
- 12 into the discovery in this issue --
- 13 MR. MORAN: I am getting into the obligation to produce
- 14 these notes. I want to establish what they are, what they
- 15 contain, when they were prepared, and that's the purpose of this
- 16 question.
- 17 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: We can note your objection, but I
- 18 do think the questions are valid, at least to find out what we
- 19 are dealing with here.
- 20 Go ahead, Mr. Moran.
- 21 THE WITNESS: Okay. Could you ask me what you are talking
- 22 about?
- 23 Q. (By Mr. Moran) Did you prepare notes that you have used
- 24 to refresh your recollection about certain questions that had

- 1 been posed to you, both at the deposition and at the hearing
- 2 yesterday?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. Well, your attorney asked you earlier this morning on
- 5 redirect examination if you had an opportunity to refresh your
- 6 recollection with respect to certain matters, and I thought you
- 7 answered yes, you did. Now are you saying that you didn't?
- 8 A. I think the questions are different. You asked me just
- 9 now if I prepared notes to do this. While I was looking through
- 10 papers I ran across a note that -- it said, Karen -- it said at
- 11 the top, application, Karen, Chief, \$500.00, will call back. I
- 12 think that was the sum and total of that note.
- Q. When was this note written?
- 14 A. After I had the conversation the first -- when I first
- 15 called Karen Manso either at the end of November or the beginning
- 16 of December, and asked her about the -- that I told her I wanted
- 17 to get a copy of the application.
- 18 Q. Did you write this note?
- 19 A. Yes, I did.
- 20 Q. You wrote it shortly after you had this conversation
- 21 with Karen Manso about obtaining a copy of the siting
- 22 application?
- 23 A. No, I wrote it while I was having the conversation.
- 24 Q. Okay. So while you were talking with Karen Manso you

- were writing these notes about your conversation with her; is
- 2 that correct?
- 3 A. That's correct.
- 4 Q. Now, where did you maintain these notes?
- 5 A. This note was just stuck in with a bunch of things that
- 6 I was looking through. This is not -- this was not maintained.
- 7 This was not dated. This was just a scribbled note that I had.
- 8 O. And you just happened to be looking at these notes after
- 9 our hearing yesterday?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. When did you first review these notes that helped
- 12 refresh your recollection about your discussion with Karen Manso?
- 13 A. Let me see. It is all running together now. The note
- 14 that I found regarding the conversation with Karen Manso I found
- 15 before yesterday, I believe.
- 16 Q. When did you find it?
- 17 A. No, I think it was last night. I am sorry. It is all
- 18 running together.
- 19 Q. So as you sit here today, you can't recall whether you
- 20 reviewed this note about the Karen Manso discussion either after
- 21 yesterday's hearing or sometime prior to yesterday's hearing?
- 22 You are unable to differentiate; is that correct?
- 23 A. Right now I am unable to differentiate much of anything.
- 24 It is --

- 1 Q. Would you have reviewed this note prior to your
- 2 deposition on August 8th of this year?
- 3 A. I didn't know the existence of the note.
- 4 Q. So you found this note sometime between the date you
- 5 were deposed on August 8th and this morning?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 Q. Whenever you reviewed the note, that note refreshed your
- 8 recollection about a conversation you had with Karen Manso prior
- 9 to December 15th of 1999; is that correct?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. You do not recall whether you had reviewed this note
- 12 before you testified at any time yesterday, correct?
- 13 A. I really can't remember. I mean, it is like it is all
- 14 blurring into one day to me now. I think I did, but I don't
- 15 remember when I found it.
- 16 Q. When you say it is all blurring into one day for you,
- 17 what is what blurring all into one day? This entire month? This
- 18 whole year? I mean, what period are we talking about just kind
- 19 of blurring together?
- 20 A. Well, it has been blurring lately a lot.
- Q. Are we talking about the last month that is sort of
- 22 blurred together?
- 23 A. No, I am saying that I am losing -- right now I am

- 1 when you ask me something, like, you just testified, I am not
- 2 sure whether you are referring to something I just testified to
- 3 now or something I testified yesterday.
- 4 Q. Yesterday you did not testify as to this discussion with
- 5 Karen Manso that you say was refreshed by your reviewing these
- 6 notes. This is the first time we have heard of it. So my
- 7 question is those notes for this hearing this morning, have now
- 8 allowed you to remember this conversation with Karen Manso; is
- 9 that correct?
- 10 A. I think I testified yesterday that I had -- I called her
- 11 first and then I talked to her a second time and the second time
- 12 I asked her to send me what she told me on the telephone.
- 13 Q. Other than this note that you at some point have viewed
- 14 over the last I guess few weeks --
- 15 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Moran, are you about to leave
- 16 this note? I have a question about this note.
- 17 MR. MORAN: I am about to leave this note and get into the
- 18 other notes, yes.
- 19 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Let me interject. Ma'am, are
- 20 you saying that you don't know if you discovered this note last
- 21 night? You can't recall?
- 22 THE WITNESS: I think I saw it yesterday.
- 23 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: For the first time?

- 1 seen it since then.
- 2 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: But do you recall whether or not
- 3 you saw it last night? Do you recall if that was the first time
- 4 you discovered the existence of this note after you wrote it?
- 5 THE WITNESS: I think it was before yesterday, but it has
- 6 been in the last two days that the note came up.
- 7 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: So it was either last night or
- 8 the day before?
- 9 THE WITNESS: That's correct.
- 10 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Moran, you can proceed.
- 11 Q. (By Mr. Moran) Other than this note -- and by the way,
- 12 was this note written on a page with other writing or notations
- on it or was this on a separate scratch pad?
- 14 A. It was a scratch, just a scratch note.
- 15 Q. It didn't have any date on it?
- 16 A. No, I don't think so.
- 17 Q. Do you have that note with you now?
- 18 A. No, I do not.
- 19 Q. Where is it?
- 20 A. I am not sure.
- Q. You are not sure where it is?
- 22 A. No.

- 23 Q. Does someone else have it, have possession of it?
- 24 A. I don't know where it is. I am sorry.

- 1 Q. Has someone destroyed it?
- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. It still exists?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. But you don't know where it is?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. Do you have any other notes that you took that relate to
- 8 any issues relating to your claims of fundamental fairness, any
- 9 other notes that you wrote to yourself that you have found either
- 10 since the date of the deposition or last night or this morning or
- 11 any other time?
- 12 A. I had a memo that I did.
- 13 Q. A memo that you did?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. When did you prepare the memo?
- 16 A. I believe it was April 14th.
- 17 Q. Of this year?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Is that memo in written form?
- 20 A. That one is.
- 21 Q. Do you have possession of that memo?
- 22 A. My attorney has it.

- 23 Q. Did you review this note or document to refresh your
- 24 recollection about any of the events that have occurred in

- 1 connection with this hearing?
- 2 A. I just read it.
- Q. Or I should say the siting hearing.
- 4 A. I just read it.
- 5 Q. You just read it this morning?
- 6 A. I would have to look at it again. I am sorry.
- 7 Q. You don't remember if you looked at it this morning?
- 8 A. I don't know if I did. I looked at it sometime in the
- 9 last day.
- 10 Q. You may have looked at it yesterday?
- 11 A. I may have.
- 12 Q. You may have looked at it this morning or you know you
- 13 didn't look at it this morning?
- 14 A. I don't know. I don't know whether I did. I think it
- 15 was probably last night.
- Q. What does the memo say?
- 17 A. I will have to get the memo.
- 18 MS. HOMEYER: I will be happy to provide it, certainly.
- 19 MR. MORAN: You can show it to your client, sure.
- 20 MS. HOMEYER: Let the record reflect that I am handing Mr.
- 21 Moran the memorandum concerning a conversation with Karen Manso

- 22 on Friday, April 14th, 2000.
- 23 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Moran, do you need a second
- 24 to take a look at that?

- 1 MR. MORAN: Sure.
- 2 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Let's take a couple of minutes.
- 3 You are to remain on the witness stand, though.
- 4 THE WITNESS: I can't go to the bathroom?
- 5 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: You can go to the bathroom. I
- 6 don't want you talking to your attorney during the break.
- 7 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 8 (Whereupon a short recess was taken.)
- 9 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: We are back on the record after a
- 10 short recess.
- 11 Ms. Andria, let me remind you that you are still under
- 12 oath.
- 13 MR. MORAN: Can we mark this as an exhibit?
- 14 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Sure. What do you want to call
- 15 it, Mr. Moran?
- MR. MORAN: We can identify it as Respondent's.
- 17 MS. HOMEYER: Are you going to use the letters like R-A. I
- 18 don't know how you want to do it.
- 19 MR. MORAN: Do you just want to make it a P number? What
- 20 are we at, 32?
- 21 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: I would rather separate the

- 22 petitioner's exhibits and your exhibits. Is this going to be
- 23 your exhibit?
- 24 MR. MORAN: Well, I don't really want it as an exhibit. I

- 1 just want it as part of the record, because I am going to ask her
- 2 a few questions about it. I hate to have a record without --
- 3 MS. HOMEYER: I think it should be marked if we are going
- 4 to refer to it.
- 5 MR. MORAN: No, I agree. We will mark it.
- 6 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: I am going to mark it as
- 7 Respondent's 1, but you don't have to offer it into evidence.
- 8 MR. MORAN: Yes, right. It is not my intent at this point
- 9 to offer it, but we need to refer to it somehow.
- 10 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Right. I understand.
- 11 (Whereupon said document was duly marked for purposes of
- 12 identification as Respondent's Exhibit 1 as of this date.)
- 13 Q. (By Mr. Moran) Ms. Andria, let me show you what we have
- 14 marked as Exhibit R-1. Can you tell us what that is?
- 15 A. It is a memo that I wrote.
- 16 Q. Is that the memo you were just referring to as having
- been prepared on April 14th of 2000?
- 18 A. I believe so.
- 19 Q. And this was one of the other memos or notes that you
- 20 had made to yourself during the course of this siting proceeding?

- 21 A. I am sorry. What? I was reading it.
- 22 Q. This was one of the notes or memos that you had prepared
- 23 to yourself during the course of this siting proceeding?
- 24 A. It is probably the only one I did.

- 1 Q. Well, in addition to the notes that you referred to
- 2 earlier in which you made the notation about the discussion with
- 3 Ms. Manso?
- 4 A. There was no memo. I just had scratch notes.
- 5 Q. There were notes. I said notes or memos. I am sorry if
- 6 I didn't make that clear.
- 7 A. Okay.
- 8 Q. Notes or memos. These are the only two things that you
- 9 have written down during the course of your participation in the
- 10 siting hearings beginning back in December of 1999?
- 11 A. These are the only two things I have written down? Is
- 12 that what you asked?
- 13 Q. Yes, by way of a note or memo to yourself regarding any
- 14 of the events or developments of the siting hearing?
- 15 A. No, I had -- I had a memo a note on something that I
- 16 think was handed in.
- 17 MS. HOMEYER: Yesterday we introduced an exhibit that had a
- 18 handwritten note on it.
- 19 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Yes, I recall that. Do you need
- 20 me to find the exhibit, Mr. Moran?

- MR. MORAN: No.
- 22 Q. (By Mr. Moran) Those are the only three instances in
- 23 which you have prepared a memo to yourself regarding any aspect
- 24 of the siting process?

- 1 A. That's the only thing -- I mean, I probably wrote down
- 2 things. I don't have any kind of official notes that I have that
- 3 I can think of.
- 4 Q. I am not asking you about official notes.
- 5 A. Okay.
- 6 Q. Just anything you would have written down to yourself,
- 7 either handwritten, typewritten, entered in any other fashion on
- 8 any other format where it could be kept or maintained?
- 9 A. I have not -- I do not have a file of kept or
- 10 maintained.
- 11 Q. So other than these three notes or memos to yourself,
- 12 there are no others?
- 13 A. I didn't say that. I have not kept or maintained.
- 14 MS. HOMEYER: I would like to state that the witness did
- 15 have one other sheet of memos that I have this morning and so,
- 16 apparently, she has forgotten that she has handed it to me. But
- 17 to clarify the record, there is another sheet of paper that
- 18 starts off with the date May 3rd, 2000. So to correct the
- 19 record, I would like to state that there does seem to be one

- 20 other memo that she is not remembering at this very moment. I
- 21 have it with me and I will be happy to share it with you.
- 22 MR. MORAN: Well, I object to any attempt by an attorney to
- 23 refresh her client's recollection by offering a document that the
- 24 client does not even remember. Obviously, it is a highly unusual

- 1 and inappropriate procedure to have a lawyer basically testify
- 2 for a client, remind the client that there is another memo that
- 3 she prepared when she can't remember it.
- 4 MS. HOMEYER: Mr. Knittle, we are not holding anything
- 5 back. If my client does not remember giving it to me this
- 6 morning, we are not hiding it. I don't want any inference that
- 7 because I have it in my notebook and she is testifying and I know
- 8 I have it -- I think I have an obligation to tell the Court I
- 9 have another memo. I think I have an obligation to tell the
- 10 Pollution Control Board that. I don't think I am testifying for
- 11 my client. I don't want any inference that because I have this
- 12 in my notebook that we are holding it back. We are not.
- 13 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Moran, did you have something
- 14 further or some motion or something that I could rule on or are
- 15 you just noting things for the record?
- 16 MR. MORAN: No. I think at this point I think the attempt
- 17 by Counsel to disclose a document that perhaps should have been
- 18 disclosed earlier is certainly honorable and I think appropriate.
- 19 But by the same token, it has acted in a fashion to communicate

- 20 to this client that there was another document that she should
- 21 have told us about in response to our questions. So my decision
- 22 at this point is to accept that offer of the document. Let's
- 23 explore that document. But I think we ought to clearly note that
- 24 the witness, at this point, had no recollection of whatever this

- 1 document is. So if we could mark this document, I will take a
- 2 look at it and then proceed.
- 3 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Do you have a problem with us
- 4 noting that on the record? I think that is an accurate
- 5 characterization of Ms. Andria's statement before you showed the
- 6 document.
- 7 MS. HOMEYER: Yes. Again, I will just state that I think
- 8 that if I know that she is testifying incorrectly I think I have
- 9 an obligation to clarify it, because otherwise it looks like I am
- 10 holding back a document that I know about, and that is not our
- 11 intention, to hold it back.
- 12 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: I understand. I don't think Mr.
- 13 Moran was upset that you produced the document. I think it was
- 14 the timing of the document. It had the effect of letting this
- 15 witness know that there were more documents that she didn't
- 16 recall at this particular point.
- 17 MS. HOMEYER: Well, isn't that the point of being here,
- 18 trying to get all of the information out?

- 19 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: I think that is true, but we also
- 20 want the witness to testify from the witness' own memory and from
- 21 the witness' own knowledge. I think that is what Mr. Moran was
- 22 pointing out. And that is something to which I agree and think
- 23 that Mr. Moran would be within his rights to file a motion at
- 24 some later point in time regarding the whole situation.

- 1 MS. HOMEYER: I would also like to clarify the record that
- 2 there was no obligation to produce any documents prior to today,
- 3 and we will go into that later. But I think the inference is
- 4 that my client has done something wrong. That is not accurate.
- 5 The document that I am handing to --
- 6 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Let me see the document, please.
- 7 MS. HOMEYER: Certainly. Mr. Knittle, I am handing you
- 8 some handwritten notes that start off with the date of May 3rd of
- 9 2000.
- 10 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: We are marking this -- are you
- 11 guys going to have exhibits of your own, Mr. Moran?
- 12 MR. MORAN: I don't intend to have any. Well, I take that
- 13 back. I probably will submit one, although I don't know if it
- 14 will be in the context of any witnesses.
- 15 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Let's change the previous -- can
- 16 I have that exhibit, please, ma'am.
- 17 The document that I had marked as R-1, I am altering, I am
- 18 changing, I am amending to HO, Hearing Officer 1.

- 19 Then I am going to have Hearing Officer 2, which is the
- 20 second notes that I was just handed by Ms. Homeyer, dated 05-03,
- 21 2000.
- 22 (Whereupon said documents were duly marked for purposes of
- 23 identification as Hearing Officer Exhibits 1 and 2 as of
- this date, with Respondent Exhibit 1 being changed

- 1 to Hearing Officer Exhibit 1.)
- 2 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: I am handing them both to Mr.
- 3 Moran so he can take a look at them.
- 4 THE WITNESS: May I ask a question?
- 5 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Moran?
- 6 MR. MORAN: Not really. No, you don't --
- 7 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: You would have to --
- 8 MR. MORAN: Not while you are on the stand under oath.
- 9 THE WITNESS: No, I wanted to ask him.
- 10 MR. MORAN: I understand. Mr. Knittle can decide, but --
- 11 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: I don't think it is appropriate,
- 12 especially in light of the current situation and the fact that
- 13 Mr. Moran is objecting to it.
- 14 THE WITNESS: Okay. It is the same --
- 15 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Ma'am, you cannot ask me a
- 16 question and you can't ask your attorney a question right now,
- 17 either or Mr. Norman.

- 18 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 19 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Moran, are you ready?
- 20 MR. MORAN: Yes. Thank you.
- 21 Q. (By Mr. Moran) Ms. Andria, let me show you what has been
- 22 marked as Exhibit HO-2. You can review that for a moment and
- 23 tell us what it is.
- 24 A. It is notes.

- 1 Q. Your notes?
- 2 A. It is my notes, yes. I am sorry. Yes, notes that I
- 3 have made.
- 4 Q. When did you make these notes?
- 5 A. I do not know when I made these notes.
- 6 Q. Was it in 1999?
- 7 A. No, it was 2000.
- 8 Q. Sometime in the year 2000?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Do you have a month?
- 11 A. I do not know. It has -- up at the top of the page it
- 12 says 05-03, 2000, Karen Manso, in one print, I mean, in one color
- 13 ink. Then it has some other things underneath that I don't know
- 14 if they relate to that or not. Then it has two phone numbers
- 15 that I believe are the city -- the Village of Fairmont City's
- 16 phone numbers. But I do not know what this note says, what it
- 17 refers to.

- 18 Q. Your testimony is that after reviewing exhibit HO-2, you
- 19 were unable to tell us what the note says?
- 20 A. No. I can tell you what it says. I don't know -- I
- 21 have no context for this note. I don't know if these notes were
- 22 taken all at one time. I don't know if parts of them were taken,
- 23 one part -- I mean, what I do is I recycle paper. I write on --
- 24 if I have something that is a mistake, I recycle paper. I take

- 1 notes. Sometimes I take notes from one thing on top of another.
- 2 This is not organized. This is not -- this is not an official
- 3 kind of note that I am saying this has any kind of -- I can't
- 4 explain it. I don't know the note, the phone number underneath,
- 5 I don't know what that is, what that relates to. That's why my
- 6 question to the Hearing Officer was going to be --
- 7 Q. Ms. Andria.
- 8 A. Okay.
- 9 Q. So your testimony is that the entries on exhibit HO-2
- 10 could have been made at different times?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. But as you sit here now, you have no recollection as to
- 13 whether that, in fact, occurred?
- 14 A. I do not know. I cannot testify as to what this
- 15 represents.
- 16 O. Could you tell us what this note says?

- 17 A. Up at the top it says, 05-03, 2000, Karen Manso, in one
- 18 color ink. Then it has the phone numbers, which I believe are
- 19 the phone numbers for Fairmont Village Hall. It says copy of
- 20 minutes, a copy of record of proceedings on. Who was lawyer who
- 21 got a copy of application. Called 11:54, went to Community
- 22 Center, left message with dispatcher to please return call.
- 23 Then I have got a line. There was a line between copy of
- 24 minutes and copy of record of proceedings on without completing

- 1 it. Then a line. And then it says Karen, and then I have got a
- 2 copy of minutes from last trustee meeting, and then a question,
- 3 need FOIA request, question. This looks like to me like
- 4 questions I was going to ask Karen. Question, did they have a
- 5 separate meeting or expansion siting, question mark, line.
- 6 Then the third thing, see copy of administrative record of
- 7 proceedings on application. And I don't know whether I spoke
- 8 with Karen or whether I -- I don't have any notes that related to
- 9 that, but I do know that I wrote a FOIA request that asked for a
- 10 copy of the administrative record of proceedings on the
- 11 application. And then down at the bottom is has somebody's name
- 12 that I have no clue who it is. And this is in the third color
- ink, and then it has got a phone number.
- 14 Q. Does this Exhibit HO-2 contain any information that
- 15 relates to your claim of fundamental unfairness in the siting
- 16 proceedings before the Village of Fairmont City?

- 17 A. Well, it shows my attempts to -- that I did -- that a
- 18 lawyer got a copy of the application. It shows that I called to
- 19 talk to Karen and I left a message with the dispatcher to please
- 20 have her return the call. I asked if I needed -- or I was trying
- 21 to find out if I needed a FOIA request since I was not getting
- 22 any other documents, whether I needed a FOIA request to request a
- 23 copy of the minutes that showed that they had returned -- that
- 24 they had voted on the siting. And then I asked -- it shows that

- 1 I didn't know when the meeting was that they had voted on the
- 2 issue.
- 3 Q. So is the answer to my question, yes, that this does
- 4 contain some information that relates to your claim of
- 5 fundamental unfairness?
- 6 A. Some information? Yes, it has information.
- 7 Q. Relating to the fundamental unfairness claim you have
- 8 made, correct?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. When did you last -- when were you last aware of the
- 11 existence of Exhibit HO-2?
- 12 A. When was I last aware of it?
- Q. Yes, before today. I mean, you didn't remember it.
- 14 A. Right.
- 15 Q. In giving testimony today you didn't remember it

- 16 yesterday. When do you last remember having any recollection
- 17 that this exhibit existed?
- 18 A. I don't remember.
- 19 Q. Now, other than Exhibit HO-1, HO-2 and the exhibit that
- 20 was entered yesterday, were there any other notes or memos that
- 21 you prepared to yourself in connection with this siting
- 22 proceeding?
- 23 A. I am sure I made notes. I don't know that -- I don't --
- 24 I mean, they are probably -- you know, I don't know where they

- 1 are.
- Q. Did you send or deliver Exhibit HO-1 to anybody?
- 3 A. I am sorry?
- Q. Did you send or deliver Exhibit HO-1 to anyone?
- 5 A. No, I don't think so.
- 6 Q. You just kept that yourself?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. It was just a memo to yourself that you maintained
- 9 someplace, correct?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Did you send or deliver Exhibit HO-2 to anybody?
- 12 A. No.
- Q. Now, Ms. Andria, do you recall having been served with
- 14 interrogatories in this case in connection with certain claims
- 15 that you have made in this appeal?

- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you remember providing answers to those
- 18 interrogatories?
- 19 A. My attorney prepared the interrogatories.
- 20 Q. The interrogatory answers she prepared?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And that you signed a statement under oath that those
- 23 answers were complete?
- 24 A. I signed, yes.

- 1 Q. Do you recall that? I mean, I don't want to -- if you
- 2 don't remember, why don't we --
- 3 MR. MORAN: Can we mark this? And we can mark this as
- 4 either our exhibit or Hearing Officer exhibit.
- 5 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: I am going to do Waste Management
- 6 1, WM-1, if that is all right.
- 7 MR. MORAN: That's fine.
- 8 (Whereupon said document was duly marked for purposes of
- 9 identification as Waste Management Exhibit 1 as of this
- 10 date.)
- 11 Q. (By Mr. Moran) Let me show you what we have marked as
- 12 Waste Management Exhibit Number 1. I only have one copy. Just
- 13 take a look at that and then we will let Ms. Homeyer take a look
- 14 at it as well.

- 15 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Do you have a specific portion
- 16 that you want her to look at?
- 17 MR. MORAN: It is a long document. These are the responses
- 18 that she gave.
- 19 THE WITNESS: Tell me what you want to look at because it
- 20 has got a lot of stuff. Did you want me to read the whole thing?
- 21 Q. (By Mr. Moran) I just want you to identify that
- 22 document, if you have you ever seen it before. First of all,
- 23 have you ever seen it before?
- 24 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Are these the answers that you prepared and submitted in
- 2 response to the interrogatories that were filed by Waste
- 3 Management of Illinois, Inc.?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And is that your signature that appears on the last
- 6 page --
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. -- of Waste Management Exhibit Number 1?
- 9 A. What on page one? I am sorry.
- 10 Q. Is that your signature that appears --
- 11 A. You either have to decide, Mr. Moran, whether you want
- 12 me to read what it says or you want me to listen to your
- 13 questions and answer. I cannot do both.
- 14 Q. What I first wanted you to do is just look at the

- 15 document and tell me if you recognize it.
- 16 A. Okay. Why don't you give me a couple of minutes to do
- 17 that. I would appreciate it.
- 18 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Ma'am, you have already stated
- 19 that you recognized -- I thought that was your response.
- THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 21 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: I think that is all Mr. Moran
- 22 wanted.
- THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 24 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: She has already answered your

- 1 question as to whether or not that was her signature. She said
- 2 yes it was.
- 3 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 4 Q. (By Mr. Moran) Ms. Andria, did you submit any documents
- 5 in response to these interrogatories?
- 6 A. I am not aware of what we did.
- 7 Q. So you are unaware of whether any documents were
- 8 submitted or referred to in connection with the answers to these
- 9 interrogatories; is that correct?
- 10 A. I know that you asked for documents and --
- 11 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Can I see it, please, while you
- 12 guys are talking.
- 13 THE WITNESS: Sure.

- MS. HOMEYER: I would like to object on the grounds that
- 15 there is no question here that asks for documents to be produced
- 16 as part of the interrogatories. I think the question is
- 17 misleading.
- 18 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Moran?
- 19 MR. MORAN: Look at the last interrogatory. The last
- 20 interrogatory.
- 21 MS. HOMEYER: I am sorry?
- MR. MORAN: The request of documents.
- MS. HOMEYER: Mr. Knittle, would you direct Mr. Moran to
- 24 tell us what interrogatories he is looking at.

- 1 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: I think he said interrogatory
- 2 eleven.
- 3 MS. HOMEYER: Eleven. All right. Well, for the record,
- 4 could we have someone read that into the record?
- 5 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Yes, I would be happy to.
- 6 MS. HOMEYER: Thank you.
- 7 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Interrogatory Number 11 --
- 8 although this will be an exhibit. Identify the documents,
- 9 writing, writings, recordings or things that were used or
- 10 referred to in the preparation of your answers to these
- 11 interrogatories. Indicate for which answer each document,
- 12 writing, recording or thing was used or referred to.
- 13 Is that correct, Mr. Moran?

- MR. MORAN: That's correct.
- 15 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Answer, the transcript of the
- 16 March 17th, 2000 hearing, the original petition for review, the
- 17 amended petition for review, and my public comment.
- 18 Q. (By Mr. Moran) Ms. Andria, did you identify HO-1 or HO-2
- 19 as documents that were responsive to Interrogatory Number 11?
- 20 A. These documents were not used to prepare the
- 21 interrogatories.
- 22 Q. In fact, other than the documents that were identified
- 23 in the Answer to Interrogatory 11, there were and are no other

1-800-244-0190

I would have to read it to see if that's a correct

24 documents responsive to that interrogatory; is that correct?

354 KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY

- 2 answer.

Α.

- 3 Q. You are unable to respond to that question; is that
- 4 correct?
- 5 A. I am unable to respond to that question.
- 6 MR. MORAN: I have nothing further.
- 7 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Baricevic, do you have any
- 8 recross-examination?
- 9 MR. BARICEVIC: Yes, sir.
- 10 RECROSS EXAMINATION
- 11 BY MR. BARICEVIC:
- 12 Q. Ms. Andria, in your responses to questions from your

- 13 attorney, you indicated that you made an effort to contact me
- 14 and, in fact, that you called the courthouse and I was not here
- 15 and they directed you to my law office and I was not there and
- 16 then you stopped. Didn't we actually talk?
- 17 A. Yes, we did, on Monday.
- 18 Q. I called you back, did I not?
- 19 A. Yes. I think I testified to that yesterday.
- 20 Q. Is that the only time you attempted to get in touch with
- 21 me about getting records?
- 22 A. When I talked to Karen Manso --
- 23 Q. The question, ma'am, was, was that the only time that
- 24 you attempted to get ahold of me to help you get any kind of

- 1 records?
- 2 A. Yes, sir.
- 3 Q. You told us earlier that you were a grant writer; is
- 4 that right?
- 5 A. No, I said I was working with a grant on wetlands
- 6 education and preservation.
- 7 Q. Did you apply for that -- were you the person that went
- 8 through the grant application?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And in the process of that do you keep notes, make
- 11 documents?
- 12 A. In the process of writing a grant?

- 13 Q. Of applying for the grant and doing the job -- I assume
- 14 you then have to report back on what the grant does?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. To the funder?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you keep notes?
- 19 A. Somewhat.
- Q. Do you keep them organized?
- 21 A. Not very well.
- 22 Q. Were you a newspaper reporter at some point in your
- 23 career?
- 24 A. No, sir.

- 1 Q. Did you ever -- in any other occupation have you kept
- 2 notes or records?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. What was that?
- 5 A. I mean, I worked as a reporter, a writer.
- 6 Q. So you have training in keeping notes and keeping them
- 7 organized, do you?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 MS. HOMEYER: I am going to object. The question is
- 10 argumentative and totally irrelevant.
- 11 MR. BARICEVIC: She answered no. I have no other

- 12 questions.
- 13 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Do you withdraw your objection?
- MS. HOMEYER: I will withdraw the objection.
- 15 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: You can do a re-redirect if you
- 16 would like, Mrs. Homeyer.
- 17 MS. HOMEYER: Thank you.
- 18 FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 19 BY MS. HOMEYER:
- 20 Q. Ms. Andria, before you signed your answers to
- 21 interrogatories, did you read through them?
- 22 A. Yes, I did.
- 23 Q. Were they true and accurate on the day that you signed
- 24 them?

- 1 A. Yes, they were.
- 2 Q. Question 11 asks you to identify documents that you
- 3 referred to or used preparing your answers to the
- 4 interrogatories, correct?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Of course, once you sign the answers to interrogatories
- 7 on August 11th, 2000, you didn't prepare anymore answers to
- 8 interrogatories, did you?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 MS. HOMEYER: I have no further questions.
- 11 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Moran?

- MR. MORAN: Nothing further.
- 13 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Baricevic?
- MR. BARICEVIC: No.
- 15 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Ms. Andria, you may step down.
- 16 THE WITNESS: You are kidding.
- 17 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: No. Surprise, surprise.
- 18 (The witness left the stand.)
- 19 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Let's go off the record for a
- 20 second.
- 21 (Discussion off the record.)
- 22 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: All right. We are back on the
- 23 record.
- We are finished with the testimony of Ms. Andria. Ms.

- 1 Homeyer has indicated off the record that before we begin the
- 2 direct testimony of her next witness that she would like to make
- 3 a motion, I gather.
- 4 MS. HOMEYER: Yes. My motion is I move to strike any
- 5 references in Mr. Moran's comments implying that there was any
- 6 obligation on the part of Ms. Andria to produce Exhibits HO-1,
- 7 HO-2, or any other memos at any time. And I believe his
- 8 statements are prejudicial and unfounded, and I move that they be
- 9 stricken.
- 10 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Moran, any response?

- 11 MR. MORAN: I have no knowledge of any legal basis in which
- 12 an attorney's comment regarding questioning or the questions
- 13 themselves can be stricken from the record. Beyond that, the
- 14 discovery obligations upon a party who has been requested to
- 15 respond to interrogatories relating to the claims made in the
- 16 action are certainly established. In this case the
- 17 interrogatories requested not only specific responses to
- 18 questions about information, but the identification of documents.
- 19 We have, obviously, heard that this witness has had her
- 20 recollection refreshed since yesterday with respect to testimony
- 21 she has provided regarding her claim of fundamental unfairness in
- 22 obtaining a copy of the siting application and a copy of the
- 23 public hearing transcript. This is, obviously, a highly
- 24 irregular and inappropriate response to issues that she, herself

- 1 and the parties have raised in this appeal. So I, obviously,
- 2 object to any attempt to strike comments made by me in connection
- 3 with the various legal positions that need to be asserted by
- 4 virtue of this witness' tardy and very conveniently timely
- 5 ability to refresh her recollection with documents that nobody
- 6 knew about.
- 7 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Any response, Ms. Homeyer?
- 8 MS. HOMEYER: No further response.
- 9 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: I am going to deny the motion to
- 10 strike. While not commenting or reflecting in any way on either

- 11 position, I do think at least there is an issue there that Mr.
- 12 Moran may want to address and I think his comments attempt to
- 13 address that.
- 14 MS. HOMEYER: All right.
- 15 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: So you may call your next
- 16 witness.
- 17 MS. HOMEYER: Thank you.
- 18 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Oh, before we get started on
- 19 that, Mr. Moran, are you going to want to offer this Waste
- 20 Management Number 1, which is the Answers and Objections of Kathy
- 21 Andria to Respondent's Interrogatories? Or do you want to save
- 22 that for your case?
- 23 MR. MORAN: I can offer it now for purposes of making the
- 24 record clear.

- 1 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: All right. Any objection, Ms.
- 2 Homeyer?
- 3 MS. HOMEYER: No.
- 4 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Baricevic?
- 5 MR. BARICEVIC: No.
- 6 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Okay. Then that will be
- 7 admitted.
- 8 (Whereupon said document was admitted into evidence as
- 9 Waste Management Exhibit 1 as of this date.)

- 10 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Then I am going to admit Hearing
- 11 Officer's 1 and 2. If you have objections to those feel free to
- 12 make them.
- MS. HOMEYER: No objection. We produced them.
- 14 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Any objection?
- 15 MR. BARICEVIC: No.
- MR. MORAN: No.
- 17 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: All right. Those will be
- 18 admitted.
- 19 (Whereupon said documents were admitted into evidence as
- 20 Hearing Officer Exhibits 1 and 2 as of this date.)
- 21 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: All right. That's all I had,
- 22 then.
- Ms. Andria, you may call your next witness.
- MS. ANDRIA: Ms. Homeyer.

- 1 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: I keep doing that, don't I. I am
- 2 sorry. My apologies. Ms. Homeyer, you may call your next
- 3 witness.
- 4 MS. HOMEYER: Thank you. I would like to call Jack Norman.
- 5 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Norman, if you could have a
- 6 seat, please.
- 7 If you would please swear him in.
- 8 (Whereupon the witness was sworn by the Notary Public.)
- 9 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: All right. Ms. Homeyer, you may

- 10 proceed.
- 11 MS. HOMEYER: Thank you.
- JACK NORMAN,
- 13 having been first duly sworn by the Notary Public, and saith as
- 14 follows:
- 15 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 16 BY MS. HOMEYER:
- Q. What is your name, sir?
- 18 A. Jack Norman.
- 19 Q. Where do you live?
- 20 A. Columbia, Illinois.
- 21 Q. Are you one of the individual petitioners in this appeal
- 22 before the Illinois Pollution Control Board?
- 23 A. I am.
- 24 Q. Are you here today on behalf of any other petitioner

- 1 organization?
- 2 A. In part.
- 3 Q. What organization is that?
- 4 A. American Bottom Conservancy.
- 5 Q. Do you live and work in close proximity to the Milam
- 6 Landfill?
- 7 A. From time to time, yes.
- 8 Q. And would you be impacted by the siting of the

- expansion?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 What is your occupation? Q.
- I am a land surveyor. 12 Α.
- Were you at the hearing in front of the Village of 13 Q.
- 14 Fairmont City on March 17th of this year?
- 15 Α. I was.
- 16 Q. And did you appear at that hearing in your individual
- 17 capacity?
- 18 A. In part.
- 19 Q. And in any other capacity?
- 20 Α. I appeared as an officer of the Sierra Club.
- 21 Ο. Were you there on behalf of American Bottom Conservancy,
- 22 as well?
- 23 Α. Yes.
- Prior to the filing of this petition for review, have 24 Ο.

- you been involved in any other environmental or community 1
- activities in the past year?
- 3 A. Oh, yes.
- Could you describe some of them for us?
- 5 I am a volunteer in the Sierra Club and have various
- chores and offices in that. One of them is Conservation Chair of 6
- the Kaskaskia Group of the Sierra Club, which I describe as a
- license to hunt anywhere. I am a member of the Southern Illinois

- 9 Regional Groundwater Prevention and Planning Committee, Associate
- 10 Director of the Monroe County Soil and Water Conservation
- 11 District, a participant in the Kaskaskia Watershed Alliance
- 12 and -- let me see. The Illinois Council on Food and Agricultural
- 13 Research, the Mid-West Agricultural Working Group, the Metro-East
- 14 Groundwater Committee, the Metro-East Smart Growth Committee.
- 15 Q. Thank you. When and how did you first become aware that
- 16 Waste Management had filed an application for siting of a
- 17 vertical expansion of the Milam Landfill?
- 18 A. In a telephone conversation with Kathy Andria, which was
- 19 probably in the month of March of this year.
- 20 Q. You were present at the hearing on March 17th, correct?
- 21 A. I was.
- 22 Q. Are you making any claims that the hearing was conducted
- in a fundamentally unfair manner?
- 24 A. I am.

- 1 Q. And what claims are you making, Mr. Norman?
- 2 A. One of them is that the procedure to be followed in the
- 3 hearing was not explained at the beginning or otherwise. Another
- 4 is that lines of inquiry were prematurely cut off, as it seemed
- 5 to me.
- 6 Q. Anything else?
- 7 A. That will do for now.

- 8 Q. All right. Can you be more specific about what you mean
- 9 by saying that the procedure was not explained?
- 10 A. Well, it just wasn't. The event began by some
- 11 unidentified person asking whether all of the participants had
- 12 signed up, and that was followed by an announcement from Mr.
- 13 Baricevic about notice and we were off and running.
- 14 Q. Were you expecting the hearing officer to set out some
- 15 rules?
- 16 A. I was.
- 17 Q. Did the hearing officer stop or cut off any lines of
- 18 inquiry during your questioning of witnesses?
- 19 A. He did.
- 20 Q. Can you tell us which witness you were cut off on?
- 21 A. I would have to refer to notes to get her name.
- Q. Was it Sheryl Smith?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. What were you questioning Ms. Smith about?

- 1 A. It had to do with one of the criteria for approval of
- 2 local siting, that having to do with need or necessity, as it is
- 3 referred to, and had to do with landfill capacity supply and
- 4 demand.
- Q. And what happened during your questioning of Ms. Smith?
- 6 A. We were working our way through some principles of
- 7 evaluating the economics and of those matters and the hearing

- 8 officer apparently eventually had enough of that and stopped it.
- 9 Q. Was there more testimony that you wanted to elicit from
- 10 Ms. Smith?
- 11 A. There was.
- 12 Q. Okay. Do you feel that the record was not developed as
- 13 fully as you would have liked it to have been developed?
- 14 A. I do.
- 15 Q. And do you claim that you where prejudiced by not being
- 16 allowed to finish your questioning of Ms. Smith?
- 17 A. I do.
- 18 Q. Is there any other way that you are claiming that the
- 19 hearing was fundamentally unfair?
- 20 A. I guess I should add pre-hearing nonevents. I never had
- 21 access to the application and very limited opportunity to examine
- 22 a small part of it.
- Q. How did you get a small part of it?
- 24 A. Through Kathy Andria, who had a part of it, but she

- 1 permitted me to have copy and I don't remember whether I copied
- 2 it -- I think I did -- or whether someone else copied it for me.
- 3 Q. Approximately how many pages of the application did you
- 4 have available to you?
- 5 A. Something like 50.
- 6 Q. Fifty pages?

- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. How soon before the hearing of March 17th did you get
- 9 those?
- 10 A. I am not sure. I think it was the day before.
- 11 Q. Do you remember any expert reports being contained in
- 12 those pages?
- 13 A. Yes, there were.
- Q. How many?
- 15 A. I don't know. I never did get a chance to thoroughly
- 16 examine even that 50 page fragment.
- 17 Q. Were you aware that Ms. Andria was trying to get a copy
- 18 of the March 17th transcript prior to the deadline for public
- 19 comment?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you file public comment?
- 22 A. I did.
- 23 Q. Did you want to have a copy of the transcript available
- 24 to you before you filed your public comment?

- 1 A. Yes, in that I would have added to my comments had I had
- 2 the transcript.
- Q. Okay. Were you one of the people who signed the
- 4 original petition for review?
- 5 A. I was. I am.
- 6 Q. And did you have a copy of the transcript available to

- 7 you at the time you signed that original petition for review?
- 8 A. I did not.
- 9 Q. Did you hear Ms. Andria testify that the two of you were
- 10 in Village Hall on April 17th of this year to file your public
- 11 comment and her supplemental public comment?
- 12 A. I am not sure of the date. Was that the Monday? I
- 13 thought it was the 18th. I am not sure about that.
- 14 Q. Well, let's refer, then, to the Monday deadline for
- 15 public comment, that Monday in April?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Were you at Village Hall with Ms. Andria?
- 18 A. I was.
- 19 Q. Did you look at the bulletin board in the vestibule?
- 20 A. I did.
- Q. What were you looking for?
- 22 A. Well, first it would just be looking at something
- 23 because we were kind of waiting in line but shortly we got
- 24 interested in a meeting notice posted.

- 1 Q. Did you see any meeting notices posted?
- 2 A. I did not.
- 3 Q. Did you later find out that the Village had passed an
- 4 ordinance approving the siting on April 19th of 2000?
- 5 A. I did.

- 6 Q. Were you wanting to go to the meeting in which they took
- 7 that vote?
- 8 A. I would have.
- 9 Q. Why were you not there?
- 10 A. I didn't know it was happening.
- 11 Q. Mr. Norman, the way the hearing was conducted, if a
- 12 member of the public had not read the application or the expert
- 13 reports in it, how would they have been able to proceed to
- 14 examine the witnesses?
- 15 MR. MORAN: Objection. The question is so obvious it just
- 16 answers itself. If someone does not come prepared to examine
- 17 witnesses, how can you prepare a witness. If you don't read an
- 18 application to prepare, I think the question is just entirely
- 19 beside the point. It does not contribute anything toward the
- 20 issues that we are here in this appeal about. Of course, if no
- 21 one reads an application and does not come prepared for the
- 22 hearing how can you prepare for it.
- 23 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Ms. Homeyer?
- MS. HOMEYER: I will rephrase the question.

- 1 Q. (By Ms. Homeyer) What was necessary to be prepared for
- 2 the hearing on March 17th?
- 3 A. As it turns out, some familiarity with the subject and
- 4 access to the application.
- 5 Q. And that is because the Waste Management witnesses were

- 6 not examined by Mr. Moran to testify about the reports, correct?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 MR. BARICEVIC: That is a leading question. Objection.
- 9 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Sustained.
- 10 Q. (By Ms. Homeyer) What would be the basis of your
- 11 questioning a witness at the March 17th hearing, if you had not
- 12 read the reports?
- MR. MORAN: Objection. It is a question that, as I said,
- 14 it answers itself. If you go to a trial or a hearing and you
- 15 have not prepared to ask a witness questions, how in the world
- 16 are you going to ask the witness questions. It is a -- it is an
- 17 insipid question.
- 18 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Ms. Homeyer, any response?
- 19 MS. HOMEYER: No.
- 20 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: That objection is overruled.
- 21 MS. HOMEYER: Could you read -- do you need the question
- 22 read back?
- 23 THE WITNESS: I would like that, please.
- 24 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Could you read it back.

- 1 (Whereupon the requested portion of the record was read
- 2 back by the Reporter.)
- 3 THE WITNESS: Presentations by the experts in support of a
- 4 contention that the nine statutory criteria were being met.

- 5 Q. (By Ms. Homeyer) Did those witnesses present their
- 6 reports or their testimony?
- 7 A. In a fragmentary way.
- 8 Q. Who had to ask the questions?
- 9 A. Well, Mr. Moran elicited some of that and Kathy Andria
- 10 most of it.
- 11 Q. Other than qualify the witness, did Mr. Moran go into
- 12 the substance of the experts' testimony?
- 13 A. No, he did not.
- 14 Q. What are you asking the Pollution Control Board to do?
- 15 A. To overturn the Board's -- the Village Board's decision.
- MS. HOMEYER: I don't have any further questions for Mr.
- 17 Norman.
- 18 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Moran, do you have cross?
- MR. MORAN: Yes.
- 20 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Please proceed.
- 21 MR. MORAN: Thank you.
- 22 CROSS EXAMINATION
- 23 BY MR. MORAN:
- Q. Mr. Norman, you took notes at this public hearing,

- 1 didn't you?
- 2 A. I did.
- Q. And you have those notes to this day, don't you?
- 4 A. I do.

- 5 Q. You used those notes in preparing your public comment?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 Q. And you used those notes in assisting Ms. Andria in the
- 8 preparation of her public comment?
- 9 A. Possibly. I am not sure.
- 10 Q. Now, Mr. Norman, you made no attempt at any time to
- obtain a copy of this application; is that correct?
- 12 A. That is correct.
- 13 Q. You heard from Ms. Andria about two to three weeks
- 14 before the hearing on March 17th that the hearing was scheduled
- 15 for March 17th; isn't that correct?
- 16 A. I am not sure that that is the case. I had more than
- 17 one conversation about the coming hearing. I don't know when I
- 18 was given a firm date. Probably something like a week in
- 19 advance, I would guess.
- Q. I don't want you to guess.
- 21 A. Well, then I don't remember.
- 22 Q. Okay. Mr. Norman, do you remember appearing to give
- 23 your deposition on August 10th of this year?
- 24 A. I do.

- 1 Q. Do you remember at that time that you were put under
- 2 oath?
- 3 A. Yes.

- 4 Q. And that you agreed to tell the truth with respect to
- 5 the questions that were being posed to you in that deposition?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 Q. All right. Let me direct your attention to page 17,
- 8 beginning on line 9, and going through page 18, line 23. And I
- 9 will ask you to review that, and then I will ask if that
- 10 refreshes your recollection as to when you learned from Ms.
- 11 Andria that the hearing was going to be March 17th.
- 12 A. Okay.
- 13 (The witness reviewing document.)
- 14 A. Okay.
- 15 Q. Does that refresh your recollection of the fact that you
- 16 learned from Ms. Andria two to three weeks before March 17th that
- 17 the hearing --
- 18 A. I don't believe that is different from what I testified
- 19 to a few minutes ago.
- 20 Q. So that is correct that you learned from Ms. Andria two
- 21 to three weeks prior to March 17th that the public hearing would
- 22 be held on March 17th?
- 23 A. No, that is not correct. That is not what that says.
- 24 Q. You had a conversation with Ms. Andria prior to the

- 1 public hearing regarding when the public hearing would take
- 2 place, correct?
- 3 A. Yes.

- 4 Q. And in that conversation Ms. Andria told you that the
- 5 hearing was going to be March 17th; is that correct?
- 6 A. In a conversation she told me that, yes.
- 7 Q. This conversation occurred at some point prior to March
- 8 17th?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. This was in a phone consideration with Ms. Andria; is
- 11 that correct?
- 12 A. I believe that's correct.
- 13 Q. In that conversation you talked with her about your
- 14 attendance at that hearing; is that correct?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. She had indicated that she wanted you to attend that
- 17 hearing; is that correct?
- 18 A. That was an implication at least of what she said.
- 19 Q. The implication that you received from her was that she
- 20 wanted someone in addition to herself to be there to testify at
- 21 the siting hearing, correct?
- 22 A. No, that is not correct. There is no discussion of
- 23 testifying.
- 24 Q. Okay. This phone conversation occurred two to three

- 1 weeks before the public hearing, didn't it?
- 2 A. No, sir.

- 3 Q. Mr. Norman, you recall having appeared for your
- 4 deposition on August 10th, correct?
- 5 A. We met through that, yes, sir.
- 6 Q. Were you asked this question and did you give this
- 7 answer:
- 8 "Question: And how many days before, approximately, the
- 9 public hearing was this phone conversation with Kathy Andria?
- 10 Answer: It may have been two or three weeks."
- 11 Did you give that answer to that question?
- 12 A. Yes, I did, but the question was about another matter.
- 13 Q. Now, Ms. Andria at no point ever told you that she
- 14 intended to have witnesses testify at this siting hearing; isn't
- 15 that true?
- 16 MS. HOMEYER: I am going to object. It is outside the
- 17 scope of direct.
- 18 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Moran?
- 19 MR. MORAN: That is actually correct, and if I need to go
- 20 through this with this witness subsequently as my witness, I will
- 21 do that. For the sake of efficiency I can cover some of this
- 22 now.
- 23 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Ms. Homeyer, do you want him to
- 24 call the witness on his own or do you want to waive the

- 1 objection?
- MS. HOMEYER: Well, I don't know that he can because he

- 3 didn't put Mr. Norman down as one of his witnesses. In fact, he
- 4 didn't even file a witness list. I did, and I named Mr. Norman.
- 5 There is no requirement that I have to go into every issue that
- 6 Mr. Moran might wish to cross-examine on. So I don't believe
- 7 that he has the right to call Mr. Norman in his case.
- 8 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Moran, in light of that
- 9 statement, I think that I will sustain the objection to beyond
- 10 the scope and see what happens if you choose to call Mr. Norman.
- 11 MR. MORAN: Very well.
- 12 MS. HOMEYER: Thank you.
- 13 Q. (By Mr. Moran) Now, Mr. Norman, you did not intend to
- 14 present any evidence at the siting hearing, did you?
- 15 A. I did not.
- 16 Q. You were not in any way confused about the date when
- 17 this public hearing was going to be held; is that correct?
- 18 A. No. I knew there was confusion, but it was not on my
- 19 part.
- Q. You had no confusion?
- 21 A. That's right.
- 22 Q. You were able to prepare for your participation at this
- 23 public hearing in the time before the public hearing; isn't that
- 24 correct?

KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY 1-800-244-0190

1 A. Well, I was able to prepare in some sense. I got

- 2 dressed that morning and took a file with me.
- 3 Q. Other than what you have testified to regarding what
- 4 occurred at the public hearing, were you unable to in any way
- 5 prepare to participate at the public hearing on March 17th?
- 6 A. I did not have access to the application. Had I had
- 7 access to it, I would have applied myself to reviewing it.
- 8 Q. Did you ever ask Kathy Andria if she had a copy of the
- 9 application before the public hearing?
- 10 A. I did not ask her.
- 11 Q. So you didn't ask anybody for a copy of the application
- 12 prior to the public hearing?
- 13 A. It came out of the clouds and was delivered to me.
- 14 Q. I am sorry? The application came out of the clouds and
- 15 was delivered to you before the public hearing?
- 16 A. The fragment that I did have before the hearing was
- 17 presented to me. I didn't have to go ask anybody for that much
- 18 of it.
- 19 Q. And when did this application come out of the clouds
- 20 before the public hearing?
- 21 A. I testified, but I will repeat it. I am not certain,
- 22 but I believe it was the day before.
- Q. You don't know who provided that copy to you?
- 24 A. As a mechanical matter, I don't know whether I made the

- 2 of the documents in the possession of Kathy Andria.
- 3 Q. Now, you said you prepared a written comment in this
- 4 matter; is that correct?
- 5 A. That's correct.
- 6 Q. And your written comment contained discussion regarding
- 7 criterion one; is that correct?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. It contained comment relating to the testimony of Ms.
- 10 Smith regarding the need criterion, or criterion one; is that
- 11 correct?
- 12 A. I don't know that I mentioned her in my comment.
- 13 Q. But the substance of your comment contained information
- 14 that she testified about; isn't that correct?
- 15 A. Or didn't.
- 16 Q. Even though you didn't mention her name, your comment
- 17 contained statements that related to testimony that she provided
- 18 at the public hearing; isn't that right?
- 19 A. Narrowly considering your words, yes.
- 20 Q. Your written comment also included a discussion of
- 21 criterion four, the floodplain, correct?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- 23 Q. That was the area that Mr. Connor had testified about at
- 24 the public hearing; isn't that correct?

- 1 A. That's correct.
- 2 Q. Your comment also included a discussion of the hearing
- 3 officer rulings in connection with criterion one and four; isn't
- 4 that true?
- 5 A. That's true.
- 6 Q. Did you ask anyone or did you make any effort to obtain
- 7 a copy of the public hearing transcript?
- 8 A. I did not.
- 9 Q. Were you in any way unable to offer any evidence
- 10 relating to any of the statutory criteria at the public hearing?
- 11 A. I don't know. I had no intention of offering such
- 12 evidence.
- 13 O. Now, you testified during your direct examination that
- 14 during the course of the hearing you were denied fundamental
- 15 fairness because in your questioning of Ms. Smith you were cut
- 16 off by the hearing officer in asking questions; is that right?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. Isn't it true that during your entire examination of Ms.
- 19 Smith there was but one objection made to any of your questions?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And that one objection related to your questioning Ms.
- 22 Smith about what the affects of a future increase in
- 23 transportation costs may have on the economy or the consumers in
- 24 the service area?

- 1 A. Well, I would have to read the transcript to be sure of
- 2 the question, but that could well be.
- 3 Q. And when that question was sustained you stopped asking
- 4 any further questions; isn't that right?
- 5 A. That's right.
- 6 MR. MORAN: I have nothing further.
- 7 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Baricevic, do you have a
- 8 cross?
- 9 MR. BARICEVIC: Yes.
- 10 CROSS EXAMINATION
- 11 BY MR. BARICEVIC:
- 12 Q. Mr. Norman, was there any action by the Village of
- 13 Fairmont City that prohibited you from getting an application
- 14 prior to the hearing on March 17th?
- 15 A. No action directly relating to me.
- 16 Q. You apparently knew that you only had part of the
- 17 application when it fell out the clouds to you?
- 18 A. Well, yes.
- 19 Q. Did you make any effort to get the rest of the
- 20 application?
- 21 A. I did not.
- 22 Q. You have now seen the transcript of the public hearing?
- 23 A. I have seen it.
- Q. Have you bothered to read it?

- 1 A. I have read parts of it.
- 2 Q. Based on the part that you read, what part of that would
- 3 you -- or how would your public comment have changed based on the
- 4 part that you have read?
- 5 A. I may have added some specifics about the questioning of
- 6 Ms. Smith that Mr. Moran was just asking me about.
- 7 Q. Well, suffer with me if you will. You indicated that
- 8 you might have added some specifics?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Have you taken the time to categorize or to specify
- 11 exactly how your public comment would have differed now that you
- 12 have had an opportunity to see the transcript?
- 13 A. No. There has been no need for it.
- 14 Q. You had indicated in your answers to questions by your
- 15 attorney that your basis of cross-examination was interfered
- 16 with; is that accurate?
- 17 A. I am not sure about the technical meaning here of
- 18 cross-examination.
- 19 Q. The question -- I am referring now to the public hearing
- 20 on March 17th. That you had indicated that the lack of the
- 21 application or lack of the ability to have the application
- 22 prevented you to be prepared to cross-examine witnesses; is that
- 23 accurate?
- 24 A. It prevented me from being prepared to the extent that I

- 1 would have liked to have been prepared.
- 2 Q. In your preparation for the hearing, would you have done
- 3 anything else but read the application?
- 4 A. I don't know. It would depend on --
- 5 Q. Did you -- I am sorry. I didn't mean to cut you off.
- 6 A. It would have depended on what I found when I read it.
- 7 Q. Did you contact any experts prior to the hearing?
- 8 A. No, I did not.
- 9 Q. In your various and many not-for-profit ventures, you
- 10 have multiple interactions with municipal governments, do you
- 11 not?
- 12 A. I do.
- 13 Q. You know that clerks of municipal governments keep
- 14 records and notices of public meetings?
- 15 A. Generally they do.
- Q. Did you bother to call the Fairmont Village Clerk to
- 17 find out what the schedule of meetings were?
- 18 A. I was not -- no, I did not, and I was not concerned
- 19 about the schedule of meetings.
- 20 MR. BARICEVIC: Thank you. Nothing else.
- 21 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Ms. Homeyer, do you have any
- 22 redirect?
- MS. HOMEYER: I don't have any redirect.
- 24 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Thank you, sir. You may step

- 1 down.
- 2 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 3 (The witness left the stand.)
- 4 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Let's go off the record.
- 5 (Discussion off the record.)
- 6 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: All right. We will take a five
- 7 minute break.
- 8 (Whereupon a short recess was taken.)
- 9 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: All right. We are back on the
- 10 record.
- 11 Okay. Ms. Homeyer, you can make that pronouncement again.
- 12 MS. HOMEYER: During the break that we just took, Ms.
- 13 Andria looked through some documents that she had with her today
- 14 and she found the handwritten memo with no date that she
- 15 testified about earlier that referred to the cost of the
- 16 application based on a telephone conversation in December of 1999
- 17 with Karen Manso.
- 18 During the break I informed Mr. Knittle and Counsel that we
- 19 have found the memo. I have shown it to Mr. Baricevic and Mr.
- 20 Moran, and we will be happy to let Ms. Andria get back on the
- 21 witness stand and have this exhibit marked and answer any
- 22 questions about it.
- 23 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Okay. Could I have that, please?
- MS. HOMEYER: Certainly.

- 1 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: It will be marked as Hearing
- 2 Officer Exhibit Number 3.
- 3 (Whereupon said document was duly marked for purposes of
- 4 identification as Hearing Officer Exhibit 3 as of this
- 5 date.)
- 6 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: And, Ms. Andria, if you would
- 7 want to get back on the stand.
- 8 Mr. Moran and Mr. Baricevic, we discussed this off the
- 9 record and this was the best course of action we could come up
- 10 with, correct?
- 11 MR. BARICEVIC: Correct.
- MR. MORAN: (Nodded head up and down.)
- 13 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Note a nod for Mr. Moran.
- 14 MR. MORAN: Yes.
- 15 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Do you need to see the
- 16 handwritten note again?
- MR. MORAN: Not at the moment.
- 18 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: You have been sworn in, Ms.
- 19 Andria. You realize you are still under oath?
- 20 THE WITNESS: Many times yes, sir.
- 21 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: All right. You are still under
- 22 oath.
- 23 Ms. Homeyer, do you have any preliminary examination about
- 24 this document or do you want to just pass it to Mr. Moran for

- 1 cross-examination?
- 2 MS. HOMEYER: I will be happy to question the witness about
- 3 it.
- 4 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: All right.
- 5 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 6 BY MS. HOMEYER:
- 7 Q. Ms. Andria, I am handing you what has been marked as
- 8 Hearing Officer Exhibit Number 3. What is this?
- 9 A. It is a piece of paper that I wrote.
- 10 Q. Did you refer in your testimony earlier today to this
- 11 document?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. And with whom -- this document refers to a conversation
- 14 over the telephone with whom?
- 15 A. With Karen Manso.
- Q. Concerning what subject?
- 17 A. Concerning my request to get a copy of the application
- 18 for siting.
- 19 Q. And does this memo refer to the conversation that you
- 20 testified took place before Ms. Manso wrote her December 15th
- 21 1999 letter to you?
- 22 A. This refers to the first conversation that I had with
- 23 Karen Manso.
- 24 Q. Before she wrote the letter to you dated December 15th,

1-800-244-0190

- 1 1999, correct?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. All right. And this is the memo that you said had no
- 4 date, that you saw recently, and you didn't know where it was,
- 5 correct?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 Q. And where did you find it today?
- 8 A. I looked to see if it was with a copy of my application
- 9 and I had it with a copy of the -- I mean, of the October notice
- 10 of intent to file.
- 11 Q. All right. So you just found it in some papers that you
- 12 had with you today?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. At this hearing, correct?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 MS. HOMEYER: I don't have any further questions.
- 17 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Moran?
- 18 CROSS EXAMINATION
- 19 BY MR. MORAN:
- Q. Ms. Andria, is it fair to say that since you prepared
- 21 Hearing Officer Exhibit Number 3, you at no subsequent point ever
- 22 read it or reviewed it until today?
- 23 A. No, that is not fair to say that. I think I testified
- 24 that I either read it -- I read it yesterday or today. I was

- 1 unsure when you asked me. I read it after I looked -- after you
- 2 were asking me questions about the time line or the days or
- 3 something and I looked at my notice and this was with it.
- 4 Q. So between the time you wrote Hearing Officer Exhibit
- 5 Number 3 and either yesterday or today, you had not reviewed it,
- 6 seen it, or looked for it, at any time between the October --
- 7 between when you wrote this and yesterday or today?
- 8 A. Between -- I didn't -- I didn't know.
- 9 Q. Between the time you wrote this, which --
- 10 A. From the time I wrote it -- I didn't remember of its
- 11 existence. I didn't know that I had notes.
- 12 Q. And you only realized you had this note either yesterday
- 13 or today?
- 14 A. I believe I said yesterday. My testimony earlier this
- 15 morning saying it was all running together, yesterday and today,
- 16 I am losing track of yesterday and today. They have been long
- 17 days. I found it in time to state that I remembered that there
- 18 were two phone calls, not just one phone call, to Karen Manso
- 19 before the letter. This was the notes from the first phone call
- 20 when I called. I do not know the date. When I called the
- 21 Village to ask for a copy of the application. And I have got her
- 22 name at the top because that is what she said her name was. Then
- 23 it says chief. She had to check with the chief to see what the
- 24 cost of the copies was going to be.

- 1 Q. Okay. Ms. Andria, you don't need to continue to
- 2 describe this. That was not really my question. My question was
- 3 you have not seen or reviewed this since you wrote it before
- 4 yesterday?
- 5 A. That's correct.
- 6 Q. And you found Hearing Officer Exhibit Number 3 after
- 7 this morning's session in this hearing ended; is that correct?
- 8 A. I looked to see if it was with the notice. I had the
- 9 notice with me and I didn't -- I didn't consider this important.
- 10 I am sorry. This is -- these are just notes. It is not dated.
- 11 I knew what it said, but this -- I don't know what you are making
- 12 the big deal about. I am sorry. It verifies what I said, that I
- 13 called to try to get a copy.
- 14 Q. Did you find Hearing Officer Exhibit Number 3 within the
- 15 last half hour?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 MR. MORAN: Nothing further.
- 18 MR. BARICEVIC: Nothing.
- 19 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Thank you, ma'am.
- 20 And, Ms. Homeyer, you don't, I take it, want to do any
- 21 further redirect on this?
- 22 MS. HOMEYER: I would like to offer it into evidence.
- 23 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Sure. You can offer it, but I am
- 24 going to admit it on my own. We will note it for the record that

388 KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY

- 1 you wanted it offered as well.
- 2 MS. HOMEYER: Are you doing Hearing Officer 1, 2 and 3, you

1-800-244-0190

- 3 are admitting all of them?
- 4 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Right. I am admitting them all
- 5 over any objections that anyone may have. But at this point no
- 6 one has had any objections about my admitting them.
- 7 MS. HOMEYER: No, I have no objections.
- 8 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Is there any objection on Hearing
- 9 Officer 3 from anybody?
- 10 MR. MORAN: No.
- 11 MR. BARICEVIC: No.
- 12 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: All right.
- 13 (Whereupon said document was admitted into evidence as
- 14 Hearing Officer Exhibit 3 as of this date.)
- 15 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: All right. Thank you, ma'am.
- 16 Ms. Homeyer, you didn't have anything further?
- MS. HOMEYER: No.
- 18 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: You may step down, ma'am.
- 19 (The witness left the stand.)
- 20 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Ms. Homeyer, does that end your
- 21 case-in-chief?
- MS. HOMEYER: Yes, it does.
- 23 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Moran, do you have any
- 24 witnesses you would like to call at this time?

- 1 MR. MORAN: I have no witnesses to call.
- 2 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Ending your case-in-chief? Do
- 3 you have any exhibits?
- 4 MR. MORAN: We have an exhibit that I want to submit.
- 5 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Would you like to do that at this
- 6 time?
- 7 MR. MORAN: Yes. I have not marked this.
- 8 (Mr. Moran handing copy of exhibit to Ms. Homeyer.)
- 9 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Do you want me to mark it?
- 10 MR. MORAN: Yes, would you mark that?
- 11 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: I am going to be marking this as
- 12 Waste Management 2. The answers to the interrogatories were
- 13 Waste Management 1.
- MR. MORAN: Correct.
- 15 (Whereupon said document was duly marked for purposes of
- 16 identification as Waste Management Exhibit 2 as of this
- 17 date.)
- 18 MR. MORAN: This exhibit sets out a response to the
- 19 contention that has been raised in this appeal that somehow Waste
- 20 Management of today is different than the Waste Management of
- 21 yesterday, although, as I think I have mentioned before, it is
- 22 properly considered as Waste Management of Illinois who is the
- 23 applicant and not Waste Management, the parent of corporate

- 1 But to respond to this allegation, as is still I think 2 unsupported about the difference between these two entities, this 3 exhibit addresses from a corporate standpoint that specific 4 issue, and that's the reason it is submitted. 5 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Ms. Homeyer? 6 MS. HOMEYER: I would object on the grounds of hearsay. HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Any argument? 8 MS. HOMEYER: He could have brought a witness in. HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Moran, anything? 9 MR. MORAN: I think this information is information of 10 11 which the Board can take judicial notice. It is not a matter 12 which requires live testimony from an individual to basically establish the corporate form or existence of this applicant. I 13 14 think it also is clear that in addition to the fact that judicial 15 notice can be taken that this is the kind of information based 16 upon corporate organization and corporate documents, that 17 reasonable people can rely upon in the conduct of their own 18 affairs both legally and otherwise. I think on that basis it is 19 appropriate and should be admitted. HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Baricevic? 20
- 23 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Okay. Anything further, Ms.

MR. BARICEVIC: I have no objections to the admission of

21

22

the document.

- 1 MS. HOMEYER: I am very surprised to hear Mr. Moran
- 2 describe this as appropriate for judicial notice. I can't think
- 3 of anything less likely to be the subject of judicial notice.
- 4 This is an affidavit signed by a corporate officer. There is
- 5 nothing in the public record about this. And all he has ever
- 6 done is object to our introduction of documents filed by Waste
- 7 Management with the SEC and yet here he comes and asks us to take
- 8 conclusory statements in an affidavit of a witness of his own
- 9 client that he could have produced as a witness and claims it is
- 10 judicial notice. It is just ridiculous.
- 11 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: I agree that this is hearsay. I
- 12 did, though, admit over objection both of the registration
- 13 statements offered in regards to Waste Management's corporate
- 14 structure. So I am going to allow this in. The Board can take
- 15 official notice of things. I don't think it is quite a matter
- 16 for official notice, but I think it meets the Board's
- 17 requirements for admissable evidence, which, in essence, can be
- 18 boiled down to what a reasonably prudent person would rely upon
- 19 in pursuit of serious affairs. I think this qualifies. In light
- 20 of the fact I have admitted the other registration statements, I
- 21 am going to admit this as well.
- 22 MR. MORAN: Mr. Hearing Officer, when you said the

- 23 registration statement of Waste Management, you meant the
- 24 registration and charter of East St. Louis Community Action

- 1 Network and American Bottom Conservancy, didn't you?
- 2 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: No, I think we have Form S-8 and
- 3 Form 8-K, right, that were printed off of the internet?
- 4 MS. HOMEYER: Yes. But the certificates of good standing
- 5 that he is referring to are the official Secretary of State
- 6 documents for proving up corporate existence. That is a
- 7 different thing than this exhibit.
- 8 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: I understand that.
- 9 MS. HOMEYER: Okay.
- 10 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: No, I am not admitting it because
- 11 of that.
- 12 MR. MORAN: I am sorry. You were referring to the
- 13 registration statements that were submitted by the petitioners?
- 14 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Right. All I have is Form S-8,
- 15 registration statement, and Form 8-K, registration statements,
- 16 but I know they are both pertaining to Waste Management's
- 17 corporate structure. I admitted them over objections. I think
- 18 that in light of that I am going to admit this as well.
- 19 MS. HOMEYER: How is this being marked as an exhibit?
- 20 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: I have marked it Waste
- 21 Management-2.
- MS. HOMEYER: Okay. Thank you.

- 23 (Whereupon said document was admitted into evidence as
- Waste Management Exhibit 2 as of this date.)

- 1 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Is that it, Mr. Moran?
- 2 MR. MORAN: That's all.
- 3 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Baricevic?
- 4 MR. BARICEVIC: Thank you. Scott Penny.
- 5 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Penny, please come on up and
- 6 have a seat.
- Would you swear the witness in, please.
- 8 (Whereupon the witness was sworn by the Notary Public.)
- 9 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Baricevic.
- 10 MR. BARICEVIC: Thank you.
- 11 SCOTT PENNY,
- 12 having been first duly sworn by the Notary Public, saith as
- 13 follows:
- 14 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 15 BY MR BARICEVIC:
- 16 Q. Mr. Penny, where do you live?
- 17 A. On 63rd Street, in the Village of Fairmont City,
- 18 Illinois.
- 19 Q. What is your position with the Village of Fairmont city?
- 20 A. I am the chief of police and I am also the
- 21 administrative officer for the Village of Fairmont.

- Q. And as the administrative officer for the Village of
- 23 Fairmont City, do you supervise city employees?
- 24 A. Yes, I do.

394

- Q. All city employees?
- 2 A. Yes, and I provide liaison between the mayor and the
- 3 board of trustees and the actual department heads who work for
- 4 us.
- 5 Q. And during the -- you have been present for both days of
- 6 this hearing?
- 7 A. Yes sir.
- 8 Q. During that testimony that you heard, there were a
- 9 number of city employees referred to either by name or by
- 10 position?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. And do you supervise all of those individuals?
- 13 A. Yes, sir, I do.
- Q. As a part of your responsibility in being the
- 15 administrator for Fairmont City and supervising those city
- 16 employees, did you have the responsibility for managing the
- 17 record keeping process for the Milam siting hearing?
- 18 A. Yes, sir, I did.
- 19 Q. And did employees under your control have the
- 20 responsibility of maintaining the application and the record for
- 21 the siting from the application through today?

- 22 A. Yes, sir.
- 23 Q. And did you receive, that is did Fairmont City receive
- 24 the application for this siting request on or about November 19th

- 1 of 1999?
- 2 A. Yes, we did.
- 3 Q. Upon receiving that application, what was the policy of
- 4 the Village as to making that available for anyone?
- 5 A. When we received the application and supporting
- 6 documents, it was in excess of 12,000 pages, so we prepared a
- 7 space by cleaning off the top cabinets in the office next to the
- 8 city clerk's office or Village clerk's office. And we lined the
- 9 binders up standing upright and they ran several feet long. I
- 10 called all of the employees in who would have even remotely any
- 11 bearing on this issue and pointed out the application and the
- 12 supporting documents.
- 13 At about this same time there had been a series of
- 14 newspaper articles that addressed some experiments done by the
- 15 Illinois Press Association where they sent reports in unannounced
- 16 to different local government offices asking for public
- 17 documents.
- 18 MS. HOMEYER: I am going to object that this is outside the
- 19 scope of the question and it is hearsay.
- 20 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Baricevic.

- 21 MR. BARICEVIC: Well, I don't know that it is being -- it
- 22 is his motivation for setting his policy. It has nothing to do
- 23 with the truth of the matter.
- 24 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: I agree on the hearsay but I am

- 1 going to sustain on the objection on the fact that it is a
- 2 nonresponsive answer.
- 3 Q. (By Mr. Baricevic) So what was the policy of the Village
- 4 of Fairmont City about the public having access to these
- 5 documents?
- 6 A. What did I tell the work force about them?
- 7 Q. Yes.
- 8 A. I told them just what I started to explain right now.
- 9 Do you want me to not mention that?
- 10 Q. Did you advise your employees of the problem with the
- 11 press?
- 12 A. Yes. I explained to the employees, that had access and
- 13 control over these documents, that there had been a series of
- 14 articles in the local newspapers and that in these articles
- 15 unannounced inspections by newspaper reporters had occurred at
- 16 government offices, that some criticism had come up that the
- 17 employees were unresponsive and uninformed about what was going
- 18 on and that they had not produced the documents.
- 19 I told the people involved that the mayor had given very
- 20 explicit instructions that we were to be ethical, above-board and

- 21 that we were to make every effort to make these documents
- 22 available. That either attorneys, newspaper reporters, or
- 23 business competitors from other landfills were quite possibly
- 24 going to come in and without announcing themselves ask to see

397

- 1 these documents. They were to be admitted to the room where the
- 2 documents were kept, and if we were using the room, the city
- 3 employees, it was to be vacated and those people were to leave
- 4 the room so that any public inspection that was requested could
- 5 be conducted.
- 6 We had prepared a sign in sheet so that anyone who came in
- 7 to examine the documents could actually list their name so that
- 8 we could maintain a record of it. And it was hung right below
- 9 where the records were.
- 10 Q. And those employees that you provided that policy to,
- 11 did that group include Karen Manso?
- 12 A. Yes, it did.
- 13 Q. And who works in the cage that has been testified to?
- 14 A. What the cage is, is the front office, and it is behind
- 15 a bullet resistant glass partition. That is our receptionist and
- 16 clerk who takes in different utility bills and accepts payments
- 17 for fees and permits, and that is Ms. Joyce Duley, D-U-L-E-Y.
- 18 Q. Did you explain your policy to her as well?
- 19 A. Yes, I did.

- 20 Q. Let me show you what has been marked for identification
- 21 purposes as Petitioner's Exhibit 11. Would you tell us what that
- is, please?
- 23 A. This is a photocopy of what is titled log sheet, and
- 24 this was prepared by Ms. Manso. And it is a sign in sheet for

398

- 1 people who came in to view the application for expansion of
- 2 Milam.
- Q. Okay. Just to make sure I am clear, that is not a log
- 4 in sheet for people requesting to have a copy of the application,
- 5 is it?
- 6 A. No, it is not.
- 7 Q. If anybody would have requested to view any or all of
- 8 those documents, would they have been listed on that sheet?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Was anybody listed on that sheet?
- 11 MS. HOMEYER: Objection. Calls for speculation.
- 12 MR. BARICEVIC: I didn't hear her. I am sorry.
- 13 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Objection. Calls for
- 14 speculation. To which question?
- MS. HOMEYER: To the question about what would you have
- 16 done. It is not a question about something that actually
- 17 happened. It is a question about -- I am objecting to the form
- 18 of the question.
- 19 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Baricevic?

- MR. BARICEVIC: No response.
- 21 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: I am going to overrule the
- 22 objection. I think it is more in terms of the policy of the
- 23 office.
- 24 MR. BARICEVIC: I think he answered anyway. Did you get

- 1 his answer?
- 2 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Darlene, did you get his answer?
- 3 (Whereupon the requested portion of the record was read
- 4 back by the Reporter.)
- 5 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Okay. So we have his answer
- 6 to the question that was objected to, so we have that.
- 7 Do you remember the last question, sir?
- 8 THE WITNESS: Is there any signature on the sheet?
- 9 Q. (By Mr. Baricevic) Yes. And the answer is?
- 10 A. Yes, there is one person who signed in that they wanted
- 11 to view the document and they conducted an examination of it.
- 12 Q. How many times did Kathy Andria contact you about seeing
- 13 anything?
- 14 A. One.
- 15 Q. Is that -- would your testimony be the same as she
- 16 related that incident?
- 17 A. No, it would not be.
- 18 Q. How do you recall that incident?

- 19 A. I happened to be standing in the front area when I heard
- 20 that it was Ms. Andria, and Ms. Andria asked if this document was
- 21 available for inspection. I walked around and opened the
- 22 vestibule door. The documents are contained approximately five
- 23 feet away from that door. They are actually visible. I pointed
- 24 to the room and said they are right here if you want to come in

- 1 and take a look at them. Her reply to me was that she didn't
- 2 have time, and that she would do it on some other occasion.
- 3 Q. Did you hear her actually ask to see the documents?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. The application?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. But it didn't happen?
- 8 A. But it didn't happen because the moment I told her the
- 9 documents were available and pointed to them, she said that she
- 10 was busy and would return later.
- 11 Q. Did you ever receive a complaint from Kathy Andria or
- 12 concern or any communication from her whatsoever, orally or in
- 13 writing, that she was having problems with Village employees in
- 14 gaining access to the application?
- 15 A. No, sir, I did not.
- 16 Q. There was some questioning, Chief Penny, about hearing
- 17 notices. When do you post notices of city meetings? When?
- 18 A. The notice of the city meeting is posted by my

- 19 secretary, and that posting occurs at the close of business on
- 20 Monday preceding the meeting. Meetings are held on the first and
- 21 third Wednesdays of each month. The policy is that at the close
- 22 of business at 5:00 p.m. on Monday the secretary then has
- 23 accepted all business that can be placed on the agenda. She
- 24 types the agenda, places the agenda and the meeting notice on the

- bulletin board, because that then gives us a required 48 hours
- 2 notice necessary under the open meetings act.
- 3 Q. At the time that Mr. Norman and Kathy Andria indicated
- 4 that they were at the Village, had the notice been posted yet?
- 5 A. Their testimony, as I understood it here, was that they
- 6 were present at 4:45 p.m. on Monday and it would not have been
- 7 posted at that time.
- 8 Q. There has also been some testimony about their inability
- 9 to get a transcript of the hearing. Did you in any way
- 10 intentionally prohibit or interfere with them in getting a
- 11 transcript?
- 12 A. I didn't intentionally interfere with them, but I was
- involved in the events that occurred.
- 14 Q. Do you know -- now, in retrospect now that you know what
- 15 all of the facts are, do you know when the transcript got to the
- 16 Village?
- 17 A. The transcript arrived at Village in probably the latter

- 18 part of March.
- 19 Q. How did you find out -- well, I am a little ahead of
- 20 myself. When Kathy Andria was requesting the transcript, did you
- 21 know that the transcript was at the Village?
- 22 A. No, sir.
- 23 Q. How did you find out that the transcript was at the
- 24 Village?

- 1 A. We had received an inquiry early in April from Ms.
- 2 Andria asking for a copy of the transcript. I instructed my
- 3 secretary to check. She telephoned -- she contacted Ms. Manso,
- 4 who had not received a copy of it. She telephoned your office
- 5 and spoke to your secretary. The information that I then
- 6 received was that when it was completed, it would be shipped out
- 7 and it should be at any time.
- 8 At about this time we had a variety of other projects going
- 9 on and so I figured it would be forthcoming and I didn't make a
- 10 great deal of effort in following up on that. We did make one
- 11 other effort to check on it and, again, I had my secretary -- I
- 12 told her, find out what the story is on this transcript. She
- 13 called your office and spoke to your secretary who said whenever
- 14 it is finished it should be shipped at any point at this -- or at
- 15 any point.
- 16 Q. You eventually found the transcript?
- 17 A. Yes. I received another written request for that

- 18 transcript in the month of May from Kathy Andria and I received
- 19 that on the 8th. And at that time I began feeling there was a
- 20 serious problem with the transcript. So I telephoned and spoke
- 21 with you directly saying we have got to get on this guy who is
- 22 doing the transcription because this thing is way late. Your
- 23 response was it was finished.
- We did a -- we had never, to my knowledge, received a copy

- 1 of the transcript. At that point you, Mr. Baricevic, checked
- 2 with the transcription service who stated that it had been
- 3 shipped to Fairmont City by UPS. We then had a tracer run on the
- 4 shipment, which revealed that it arrived late in the month of
- 5 March at Fairmont City. We then conducted an examination of the
- 6 entire building, and what we found was that the transcription
- 7 service had packaged all of the copies of the transcript inside a
- 8 cardboard box. That particular cardboard box was a used
- 9 photocopy paper box, which contained a case of photocopy paper.
- 10 Our photocopy paper is shipped in and out of the building
- 11 by a commercial transport service. So he had reused a box, he
- 12 had placed his label on the top of the box and, apparently, one
- 13 of our staff received the box, signed for it, was under the
- 14 mistaken belief it was photocopy paper, and had filed the entire
- 15 box with our office supplies and locked it in the cabinet.
- 16 Q. When you say filed, you are talking about a room that

- 17 you keep copy paper and office supplies in?
- 18 A. Yes, that is exactly right. It was locked in with other
- 19 cases of photocopy paper, is where it was at.
- 20 Q. And when you found -- when you did that trace and you
- 21 found the transcript on May 8th, what did you do with it?
- 22 A. I contacted the clerk's office and we immediately
- 23 drafted a letter to Ms. Andria, which stated that we now had the
- 24 document. It was available if she wished to examine it or obtain

- 1 a copy of it.
- 2 Q. When you say the clerk's office, you are talking about
- 3 the Fairmont Village Clerk?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 MR. BARICEVIC: No other questions.
- 6 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Moran, do you have any
- 7 questions of this witness?
- 8 MR. MORAN: No questions.
- 9 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Okay. Cross-examination, Ms.
- 10 Homeyer?
- 11 MS. HOMEYER: Yes. Thank you.
- 12 CROSS EXAMINATION
- 13 BY MS. HOMEYER:
- 14 Q. When Waste Management delivered the application on or
- 15 about November 19th, 1999, didn't it consist of two volumes?
- 16 A. The application?

- 17 Q. Yes.
- 18 A. It was all brought in as a unit. The application was in
- 19 two binders and incorporated, as I understood it, by reference
- 20 were supporting documents and engineering studies, and it was --
- 21 Q. What physically did you receive on November 19th, 1999,
- 22 from Waste Management?
- 23 A. About five or six commercial storage boxes of binders.
- 24 These are the ones I made reference to. After we examined them,

- 1 it ran over 12,000 pages in length. It was actually -- the
- 2 entire file was listed with the documents that we photocopied and
- 3 sent up to the Pollution Control Board as part of this process.
- 4 Q. So you are saying that the application consisted of --
- 5 that Waste Management delivered more than two loose leaf binders
- of documents on November 19th, 1999?
- 7 A. Yes, they did.
- 8 Q. Did you receive any documents from Waste Management
- 9 after November 19th, 1999, relating to the vertical siting
- 10 application?
- 11 A. Not that I personally recall, ma'am.
- 12 MS. HOMEYER: Mr. Knittle, I would like to show the witness
- 13 an exhibit, Petitioner's Exhibit Number 10. May I show him a
- 14 copy, or do you want me to show him the original?
- 15 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Is that the letter dated December

- 16 15th?
- 17 MS. HOMEYER: It is.
- 18 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: You can show him a copy.
- MS. HOMEYER: All right.
- 20 Q. (By Ms. Homeyer) Sir, I am handing you what has been
- 21 marked as Petitioner's Exhibit Number 10. Is this a letter from
- 22 Karen Manso, the deputy clerk, to Ms. Andria, dated December
- 23 15th, 1999?
- 24 A. Yes, it is.

- 1 Q. And does this letter say to Ms. Andria that there are
- 2 two binder bound volumes involved with the contract?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Does Ms. Manso also estimate that the contract or
- 5 application consists of approximately 1,200 to 1,500 single
- 6 pages?
- 7 A. Yes, she does.
- 8 Q. And she didn't make any reference to over 12,000 pages
- 9 in that letter, did she?
- 10 A. Not in this document, no, ma'am.
- 11 Q. When Mr. Konzen looked at the application, do you know
- 12 if he made -- if he had any copies made?
- 13 A. Yes, he did.
- 14 Q. Do you know how much of the two volume binders he had
- 15 photocopied?

- 16 A. He had the two binder set photocopied, yes.
- 17 O. He did? Okay. Did you testify that you spoke with Mr.
- 18 Baricevic and he said that he had the transcript?
- 19 A. On May 8th, yes.
- Q. He had the transcript on May 8th?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Where did he have the transcript on May 8th?
- 23 A. Where did he physically have it? I don't know. I
- 24 called him and said have you received a copy of the transcript

- 1 and he said yes.
- 2 Q. Is that different than the copy that you testified was
- 3 misplaced in a photocopy paper box?
- 4 A. Yes. He had received apparently his independent copy,
- 5 which was shipped to his office.
- 6 Q. Okay.
- 7 A. In fact, it is a photocopy, I believe, of his transcript
- 8 which we shipped the next day to Ms. Andria. I sent a courier to
- 9 his office to obtain it, or a copy of it so that we could bring
- 10 it back and begin to photocopy it. That is the one -- when I
- 11 made reference to a copy, it was a copy I obtained from Mr.
- 12 Baricevic's office.
- 13 Q. You didn't actually mail a copy to Ms. Andria, did you?
- 14 A. No.

- 15 Q. You told her that if she wanted a copy she needed to
- 16 send in payment first, correct?
- 17 A. Yes, I did.
- 18 Q. I am handing you what has been marked as Petitioner's
- 19 Exhibit Number 25. Is this a letter from Mr. Prsha to Ms. Andria
- 20 dated May 9th?
- 21 A. Yes, it is.
- 22 Q. Is this the letter -- in this letter did he direct her
- 23 to send in payment if she wanted a copy, and then he would send
- 24 her the transcript?

- 1 A. Above that is a --
- Q. Well, I am asking you if she wanted a copy --
- 3 A. Oh, if she wanted a copy. He is explaining it is
- 4 available for inspection. Is that what you are referring to?
- 5 Q. I would direct your attention to paragraph two. If she
- 6 wanted to have her own copy, isn't it true that Mr. Prsha
- 7 directed her to send in the money first?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And then he would mail her the transcript?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Did Mr. Baricevic ever indicate that he had had a
- 12 conversation with Ms. Andria about the transcript on or about
- 13 Monday, April 17th of this year?
- 14 A. Not to me, not that I recall.

- 15 Q. So he didn't contact you and ever mention anything about
- 16 that conversation to you?
- 17 A. No, ma'am.
- 18 Q. Do you know if he contacted anyone?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. So you don't know whether he did, in fact, tell Ms.
- 21 Andria that he would have someone from the Village call her in a
- 22 few days?
- 23 A. I don't have any knowledge about any of that.
- 24 MS. HOMEYER: Okay. I don't have any further cross. Thank

- 1 you.
- 2 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Baricevic, do you have any
- 3 redirect?
- 4 MR. BARICEVIC: Yes.
- 5 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 6 BY MR. BARICEVIC:
- 7 Q. Chief, on or about November 19th, when the application
- 8 arrived, the Village received more than one application, did they
- 9 not?
- 10 A. More copies of the two binder document?
- 11 Q. Yes.
- 12 A. Yes. We received a series of binders that were provided
- 13 by the landfill that were their supporting documents and were

- 14 included as a part of the application.
- 15 Q. And was there more than one copy of the application
- 16 provided to the Village?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And the Village got additional documents later on, the
- 19 IEPA required documents? Do you recall that?
- 20 A. No, I don't recall that.
- 21 MR. BARICEVIC: Okay. Thank you. Nothing else.
- 22 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Moran?
- MR. MORAN: I have nothing.
- 24 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Ms. Homeyer?

- 1 MS. HOMEYER: Nothing.
- 2 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Okay. Thank you, sir. You may
- 3 step down.
- 4 (The witness left the stand.)
- 5 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Baricevic, anything further?
- 6 MR. BARICEVIC: Nothing else. That's it.
- 7 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Ms. Homeyer, do you have any case
- 8 in rebuttal?
- 9 MS. HOMEYER: No.
- 10 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Okay. Then that takes us to --
- 11 where does that take us? That takes us to public comment.
- 12 Anybody here interested in providing public comment?
- 13 We will let this gentleman in the front go first. He has

- 14 been anxious to go for a couple of hours. Would that be okay,
- 15 sir?
- MR. MITCHELL: Mine is brief.
- 17 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: You can go next. Sir, why don't
- 18 you step up here and we are going to swear you in. Would you
- 19 state your name for the court reporter and spell it.
- MR. BOYNE: Frank Boyne, B-O-Y-N-E.
- 21 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Could you swear him in.
- 22 MR. BOYNE: I am a member of the St. Clair County Board. I
- 23 am on the environmental committee.
- 24 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: We have to swear you in first.

- 1 MR. BOYNE: All right.
- 2 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Would you raise your right hand,
- 3 sir.
- 4 (Whereupon the witness was sworn by the Notary Public.)
- 5 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: All right, sir. You may provide
- 6 your public comment.
- 7 MR. BOYNE: Okay. My interest in this particular situation
- 8 is very great there. I have been involved in this environmental
- 9 situations with the landfills and that ever since I came on the
- 10 County Board in 1982. I don't think anybody on the Board worked
- 11 any harder at it or is any better versed on the situation than I
- 12 am in St. Clair County. I have been in, inspected and looked at

- 13 every landfill in the greater area, and I am talking about St.
- 14 Louis side, up in Madison County, and that there. So I have a
- 15 pretty good knowledge of what I am talking about.
- 16 Now, when this situation started here, although it was not
- 17 required, Joe Durako got ahold of me and gave me a complete
- 18 explanation as to what they were going to do here, there. And
- 19 what I did is I had the environmental committee -- I notified
- 20 them and we put them on the agenda for the committee. He made a
- 21 complete explanation to the committee as to what we were going to
- 22 do. He had the drawings with him and that and we went through
- 23 the whole thing. There was quite a discussion on it there. The
- 24 committee had no objections to it whatsoever and supported the

- 1 situation there.
- 2 On one occasion I was talking to my county chairman, Mr.
- 3 Baricevic, and I made mention of the situation there and he says,
- 4 Frank, be careful. Now, he says, I am also the attorney for
- 5 Fairmont City. You and I get into a discussion on this, this
- 6 comes before a hearing, the committee will be the hearing
- 7 committee and you will be disqualified. I think it took about 20
- 8 seconds, didn't it, John? Or did it take 20 seconds? But that
- 9 ended the conversation between he and I on it there.
- 10 On the site itself there, the only comments I am going to
- 11 make on that, when we got into it, and I have had a couple of
- 12 people ask me questions about it there, and I told them, I said,

- 13 what you are looking at down here is you are taking a footprint
- 14 of that site, just as is it right now, it will be no bigger.
- 15 That it will be an expansion like this (the witness raising his
- 16 hands up and down in a vertical motion.) And the increase will
- 17 be -- let's see. They went from five to four on the situation to
- 18 give them room to do it there. As far as the height had already
- 19 been determined. It couldn't go over 600 feet. They were not
- 20 even at that height at that time. And that is where that
- 21 situation would end right there.
- 22 Basically I got no comments against the situation there.
- 23 The area itself is a strong growth area as far as the county is
- 24 concerned there. We have got a golf course down there. The race

- 1 track is down there. It keeps getting bigger and bigger. We
- 2 have a restaurant now. And as I said before, it is one of our
- 3 stronger growth areas there.
- 4 As far as the relationship between Fairmont City and Waste
- 5 Management there, I was basically one of the ones that was
- 6 instrumental for getting that started there. One supervisor we
- 7 had for Canteen Township, Joe Klokotovich (spelled phonetically)
- 8 and he and I got along real good. He was a great big guy and he
- 9 could push you around real good there. And he and I got along
- 10 read good. I told him you had better take a look at that site.
- 11 There is possibilities for you there. And he did, and actually

- 12 the end result of the situation was Fairmont City annexed the
- 13 landfill there, and it was probably the best thing they ever did.
- 14 As far as the -- how much bigger is this site going to be?
- 15 There is 20 million tons in there right now. They are talking
- 16 about putting 4 million more on top of that. Divide the 20 into
- 17 the 4 there, and it comes out 20 percent is what the expansion of
- 18 the site is going to be. It is not going to be any larger than
- 19 that there. Just use the figures there.
- 20 As to what I want to comment on, let's address the first
- 21 thing on our nine criteria that we have for landfills. Number
- 22 one is need. Okay. There is a need for this landfill here, as
- 23 far as I am concerned there. When I take and I go back over my
- 24 18 years in working with it there, the first two guys that I came

- 1 across there were Rich Coburn (spelled phonetically) and Glenn
- 2 O'Brien, and we started out from there. The annexation came on.
- 3 We got it moving there. We have never had any problem with Waste
- 4 Management as such. Anything that I asked for down there was
- 5 done. It was taken care of there.
- 6 When I got into the situation, I found that there was a
- 7 closure order on Waste Management, July of 1986 or 1987, July 1st
- 8 there. And I was working on that situation for them there. We
- 9 had a terrible site down there in National City, the 3D site
- 10 there. I told Rich Coburn, I said, close that site for them. It
- 11 will go a long way to help me out as to what you want to do and

- 12 that you do want to be cooperative and contribute to the county
- 13 itself there.
- 14 The people in the IEPA like to fell off the chair when they
- 15 came up with it. They did. They closed the whole thing and it
- 16 cost \$750,000.000 to them. They made it a better area there. So
- 17 what they did was they just contributed to the area, in
- 18 everything that I have ever worked with them on there.
- 19 I talked to them about the flares to burn off the gas
- 20 there. Then they put in the gas conversion plant there, which
- 21 went a long way. I think we are up to five units on that now,
- 22 Joe? It is three? We will go to five before they are through
- 23 with the whole thing there.
- Now, the one thing, when I say there is a need for this

KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY 1-800-244-0190

415

- 1 there, when they got into this situation there, they kept a
- 2 school from closing. Now, closing that school, what we would
- 3 have had there is a bigger burden on 189 and another search for
- 4 how can we accommodate this situation there. They provided
- 5 services for the people in Fairmont City there, transportation
- 6 for the elderly there. The police department and the city itself
- 7 there in furnishing necessary equipment to do it. For a town
- 8 that size, they wind up with an operation that is unheard of for
- 9 a town of 4,200 or 4,500 there.
- 10 And you have never had a tax increase on it, have you,

- 11 Alex?
- MR. BREGEN: No, sir.
- MR. BOYNE: Okay. So when I look at all of this there and
- 14 somebody says, oh, I don't know about this. I tell them, well,
- 15 let's stop and take a good look at it and see what it does and
- 16 who it has contributed to. When you talk about this situation
- 17 here, you take into consideration what it does for the elderly,
- 18 what it has done for the working class, what it has done for the
- 19 basic operations of the city and for the children there. Yes,
- 20 there is a need for this for what it contributes to.
- 21 All right. So it is going to be for seven or eight more
- 22 years it is going to close. What are you going to do then?
- 23 Well, we have seven or eight years to work on that situation
- 24 there. Will we work out something to work it out to stay this

KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY 1-800-244-0190

- 1 way or to keep it as close to this as we can there? Yes, we
- 2 will. Why will we? We have no other choice. Are we going to
- 3 just turn these people down and say it is all over now, get your
- 4 own transportation there and we are not going to worry about your
- 5 school anymore?
- 6 This expansion, to me, and to a lot of other people, other
- 7 than Fairmont City, it is a need. And I just -- I am pushing for
- 8 this for all I am worth because of what it has done for St. Clair
- 9 County, and that has basically been my goal ever since I have
- 10 been at it there, to see how much I could do. I was instrumental

416

- 11 in getting this situation moving and started and I think it is
- 12 one of the best things I ever did there.
- 13 That takes care of me.
- 14 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Okay. Thank you very much, sir.
- 15 MR. BOYNE: Thank you. Thank everybody for listening to
- 16 me.
- 17 (The witness left the stand.)
- 18 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: All right, sir. Can you have a
- 19 seat and identify yourself for the court reporter and spell your
- 20 last name.
- 21 MR. MITCHELL: My name is Mike Mitchell, M-I-T-C-H-E-L-L.
- 22 I am the Director of Zoning for St. Clair County. Part of my
- 23 duties is enforcing the FEMA flood zone maps. My only comment is
- 24 that --

- 1 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Excuse me, sir. We forgot to
- 2 swear you in.
- 3 MR. MITCHELL: Okay.
- 4 (Whereupon the witness was sworn by the Notary Public.)
- 5 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: If you could start again, we
- 6 would appreciate it.
- 7 MR. MITCHELL: I am the Director of Zoning for St. Clair
- 8 County and part of my duties include enforcing and regulating
- 9 FEMA flood zone maps. My only comment is that these maps are far

- 10 from perfect. We find mistakes daily. The maps have never been
- 11 officially updated since 1981.
- 12 I know for a fact that the Milam Landfill has made several
- 13 changes that do not show on these maps. We are currently filing
- 14 with the Illinois Department of Natural Resources and FEMA on all
- 15 of the corrections and changes to the Milam site. Other than
- 16 that, that's it.
- 17 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: All right. Thank you, sir.
- 18 MR. MITCHELL: Okay.
- 19 (The witness left the stand.)
- 20 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Is there anybody else wishing to
- 21 provide public comment?
- MR. BREGEN: Yes, I am.
- 23 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: All right, sir. Come on up.
- MR. BREGEN: Good afternoon.

- 1 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Good afternoon, sir. If you will
- 2 please have a seat and give your name and spell the last name for
- 3 the court reporter.
- 4 MR. BREGEN: My name is Alex Bregen, B-R-E-G-E-N, Mayor of
- 5 Fairmont City.
- 6 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: And could you swear him in,
- 7 please.
- 8 (Whereupon the witness was sworn by the Notary Public.)
- 9 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: All right, sir.

- 10 MR. BREGEN: Okay. Again, I would like to thank the
- 11 comments of Mr. Boyne on behalf of the community. He knows very
- 12 well that Milam Landfill has proved a very, very positive
- 13 community corporate friend. The revenue resources upon their
- 14 share of tipping fees probably provides two-thirds of the
- 15 Village's revenue. With that revenue we are able to provide -- I
- 16 think we have 27 families now fully employed by the community.
- 17 The added services that Mr. Boyne mentioned just add to the
- 18 support and services that the city could offer. I think our
- 19 citizens are very well pleased with our efforts.
- 20 As Mr. Boyne mentioned, it is a humble community. There
- 21 are no extravagances, and with the people who serve on any
- 22 administration of similar nature, probably not the greatest
- 23 educational background, not experts in the field of what is in --
- 24 what it takes to be a landfill and all of the various points, but

- 1 people that do serve their constituents.
- 2 Like I say, expected at the hearing that day, there was
- 3 some anticipation. I had three new board members who had never
- 4 been through an expansion hearing before. They had to try to
- 5 absorb the very, very many pages in the applications that they
- 6 were delivered. No one quite knew -- at least a few of them had
- 7 never been through it, so they certainly didn't know what to
- 8 expect. Even it happens so seldom, just a few times. You never

- 9 know what is going to happen.
- 10 That morning -- I have been through -- this was my third
- 11 one. That morning it was the -- I should say the least
- 12 structured of the three in that it just went a different way than
- 13 the other two were. The entire session was filled with questions
- 14 basically by Ms. Andria and Mr. Norman, that I don't know could
- 15 have been answered, and it led to I think more confusion and
- 16 chaos. As I say, what had been absorbed and accepted was the
- 17 application with document after document of professional people,
- 18 professional experience and affidavits and degrees and resumes.
- 19 Again, you have got a serving administration who, as people
- 20 make questions, you believe that they are competent in asking
- 21 these questions. Like I say, it definitely did influence our
- 22 decision as far as the review time was much greater than it had
- 23 been in the last two. We did our best as an administration, I
- 24 believe, to review all questions and points. We did make a point

- 1 to accept information and responses and to review even after the
- 2 certain due dates. I think that we took every step that we could
- 3 to make sure that our vote was fair.
- 4 And really I just wanted to add that, you know, in these
- 5 things -- like I say, this was my third one, as far as an
- 6 expansion hearing. The first two were totally professional.
- 7 There were certainly criticisms and questions and debate. I
- 8 don't know if I could make a suggestion to your Board or who I

- 9 have to make the suggestion to but, certainly, the people who
- 10 speak at this, I feel, should certainly have qualifications that
- 11 merit their comments and structure. Again, if my small Village
- 12 has to go through it, I am sure other communities have to go
- 13 through the same thing and it is definitely a challenge or it
- 14 could be made challenging.
- 15 So I learned something through this last day and a half or
- 16 whatever. I appreciate you coming and we appreciate having this
- 17 hearing. There is a disappointment and frustration, from the
- 18 Village's standpoint, that we have to be here because of this.
- 19 Naturally, when I heard of this hearing and I read the complaint,
- 20 like I say, there was a disappointment and a frustration. Now I
- 21 could -- you know, my personal feelings now it is basically
- 22 sympathetic empathy to the folks who have brought us here today.
- I thank the Board for hearing us. I appreciate the help of
- 24 the attorneys and the comments of the public. On behalf of my

- 1 Village and the people that I represent, which is a population of
- 2 probably 2,200, rather than the 4,000, as Mr. Boyne reported, I
- 3 very much would like to say that Milam is a good friend and
- 4 hopefully our relationship will go on for quite a period of time.
- 5 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Thank you, sir.
- 6 MR. BREGEN: Thank you.
- 7 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Ms. Homeyer has indicated that

- 8 she has a question for you.
- 9 I will allow very limited cross-examination.
- 10 CROSS EXAMINATION
- 11 BY MS. HOMEYER:
- 12 Q. Was Ms. Andria involved in either of the two prior
- 13 expansions of the Milam Landfill?
- 14 A. No.
- MS. HOMEYER: Thank you.
- 16 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Okay. That was very limited.
- 17 Anything from the other side?
- 18 MR. MORAN: No.
- 19 MR. BARICEVIC: No.
- 20 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: All right. Thank you, sir, for
- 21 your time.
- MR. BREGEN: Thank you.
- 23 (The witness left the stand.)
- 24 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Does anybody else wish to give a

- 1 statement?
- 2 All right. Seeing none, I will state that the Illinois
- 3 Pollution Control Board is always welcoming any and all
- 4 statements from members of the public and statements of interest
- 5 from citizens. We do take those seriously and we appreciate your
- 6 time and effort in giving them to us. That takes us to closing
- 7 arguments.

- 8 Let's go off the record for a moment.
- 9 (Discussion off the record.)
- 10 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: All right. We are now back on
- 11 the record after an off-the-record discussion concerning briefing
- 12 schedules and whatnot.
- 13 Ms. Homeyer, you have indicated that you are going to waive
- 14 your closing argument?
- MS. HOMEYER: Yes, we will waive closing argument and we
- 16 will just address the issues in our brief.
- 17 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Okay. Mr. Moran?
- 18 MR. MORAN: Yes, we will also waive argument at this time.
- 19 MR. BARICEVIC: We will waive.
- 20 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: All right. Mr. Baricevic is
- 21 waiving as well.
- 22 Are there any motions before we close up here that we have
- 23 to address before the end of the hearing, Ms. Homeyer?
- MS. HOMEYER: No.

- 1 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Moran?
- 2 MR. MORAN: No.
- 3 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Baricevic?
- 4 MR. BARICEVIC: No.
- 5 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: All right. That being said, we
- 6 have talked about the briefing schedule. The briefing schedule

- 7 that I will set -- that is being set now and it will also be
- 8 documented in my hearing report, which will go out after I
- 9 receive the transcript, because I have to check the transcript
- 10 and make sure it is accurate, which I am sure it will be, and
- 11 then go from there.
- 12 We have got Petitioner's briefs will be due on September
- 13 12th. The Respondent's briefs will be due on September 26th.
- 14 Then the Petitioner's reply briefs will be due on October 3rd.
- 15 Bear in mind that there is no mailbox rule on this in this
- 16 instance because of the statutory decision deadline, so they have
- 17 to be in the Board's offices on those dates.
- 18 I have a credibility determination to make. I am going to
- 19 wait until I read the transcript and make that in my hearing
- 20 report.
- 21 I do want to go over all of the exhibits one by one real
- 22 quick. If anybody comes up with an error or an inconsistency
- 23 when I am going through this, just let me know.
- 24 Starting on August 22nd, I have Petitioner's Exhibit Number

- 1 1, which is a certificate for American Bottom Conservancy. That
- 2 was admitted.
- 3 Petitioner's Number 2, a certificate for ESL CAN. That was
- 4 admitted.
- 5 Petitioner's Number 3 is letter a from Mr. Baricevic to Ms.
- 6 Homeyer. That was admitted.

- 7 Petitioner's Number 4 was a host agreement between the
- 8 Village and Waste Management. That was denied.
- 9 Petitioner's Number 5 was a copy of the McLeod Phone
- 10 Directory for the area. That was admitted.
- 11 Petitioner's Number 6 was a copy of the Ameritech Phone
- 12 Directory for the area. That was admitted.
- 13 Petitioner's Number 7 was the Flood Insurance Rate Map for
- 14 St. Clair, what we have been referring to as FEMA. That was
- 15 admitted over objection.
- 16 Petitioner's Number 8 was a prospectus, and that was
- 17 admitted.
- 18 Petitioner's Number 9 was a public notice for underlying
- 19 hearing. That was admitted.
- 20 Petitioner's Number 10 was a Ms. Manso to Ms. Andria
- 21 letter, dated 12-15-99. That was admitted.
- 22 Petitioner's Number 11 was a log sheet for the sign in to
- 23 view the -- was it the transcript or was it the siting
- 24 application?

KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY 1-800-244-0190

425

- 1 MR. MORAN: For the siting application.
- 2 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: For the siting application. That
- 3 was admitted.
- 4 Petitioner's Number 12 was the form S-8 for Waste
- 5 Management, the registration statement that was downloaded from

- 6 the internet. That was admitted.
- 7 Petitioner's Number 13 was Waste Management Form 8-K,
- 8 downloaded from the internet. It also dealt with registration
- 9 issues. That was admitted.
- 10 Petitioner's Number 28 was an aerial map, and that was
- 11 denied.
- 12 Petitioner's Number 30 was a newspaper article, which was
- 13 also denied. At this point we had an offer of proof regarding
- 14 the newspaper article, as you recall.
- 15 Petitioner's Number 14 was a legal notice of the Milam
- 16 expansion, dated February 23rd, 2000. That was admitted.
- 17 Petitioner's Number 15 was a legal notice of the Milam
- 18 expansion, dated February 29th, 2000. That was admitted.
- 19 Petitioner's Number 16 was a legal notice of Milam
- 20 expansion, dated March 1st, 2000. That was also admitted.
- 21 Petitioner's Number 26 was a transcript of the underlying
- 22 hearing, only two pages, though, the court reporter's
- 23 certification. That was a photocopy. That was admitted.
- 24 Petitioner's Number 17 was an Andria letter to Manso, dated

- 1 April 5th. That was admitted.
- 2 Petitioner's Number 18 was a postal delivery confirmation
- 3 receipt. That was admitted.
- 4 Petitioner's Number 29 was a letter from Kathy Andria to
- 5 Prsha, dated April 26th, 2000. That was admitted.

- 6 Petitioner's Number 19 was Andria to Prsha, another letter
- 7 regarding a FOIA request, dated May 3rd, 2000. That was
- 8 admitted.
- 9 Petitioner's Number 24 was a letter from Prsha to Andria,
- 10 dated May 8th. That was admitted.
- 11 Petitioner's Number 20 was a letter from Prsha to Andria,
- 12 dated May 4th, 2000. That was admitted.
- 13 Petitioner's Number 21 was a letter from Andria to Prsha,
- 14 dated May 5th, 2000. That was admitted.
- 15 Petitioner's Number 22 was a letter from Andria to Prsha,
- 16 dated May 7th. That was admitted.
- 17 Petitioner's Number 23 was a letter from Prsha to Andria,
- 18 dated May 8th. That was admitted. I should note that I have
- 19 down here that is a different letter than another letter dated on
- 20 the 8th, which is Petitioner's Exhibit Number 24.
- 21 MS. HOMEYER: That is correct.
- 22 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Two distinct letters,
- 23 correct?
- MS. HOMEYER: Yes, they are.

- 1 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: All right. Petitioner's Number
- 2 25 is a letter from Prsha to Andria, dated May 9th. That was
- 3 admitted.
- 4 Petitioner's Exhibit Number 27 is an Ordinance Number 573.

- 5 That was admitted.
- 6 Petitioner's Number 31 is a letter from Andria to Prsha.
- 7 This contains the handwritten notes that we discussed earlier,
- 8 dated May 3rd. That was admitted as well.
- 9 MS. HOMEYER: I don't have a copy of it.
- 10 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Okay. Maybe Mr. Baricevic could
- 11 make --
- 12 MS. HOMEYER: Is this the one we changed from 28 to 31?
- MR. MORAN: Right.
- 14 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Yes, because the aerial map was
- 15 Number 28. I remember Mr. Baricevic and the eagle eye back
- 16 there.
- 17 MS. HOMEYER: What do you have down as Number 31? What is
- 18 it?
- 19 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: The letter that you are holding
- 20 right there, the letter from Andria to Prsha, dated May 3rd, with
- 21 handwritten notes.
- 22 MS. HOMEYER: Okay. That's Number 31. Okay. Thank you
- 23 very much.
- 24 MS. ANDRIA: The map is a photograph, an aerial photograph,

- 1 just to clarify.
- 2 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Yes, the -- I have an aerial map,
- 3 but it is an aerial photograph. Either way, it has been denied.
- 4 MS. HOMEYER: Right.

- 5 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: This takes us to today's
- 6 exhibits. We started off with an offer of proof by Mr. Moran.
- 7 We had Hearing Officer Exhibit Number 1, which is a memo of
- 8 Kathy Andria. That was admitted.
- 9 Hearing Officer Exhibit Number 2, which is notes of Kathy
- 10 Andria. That was admitted.
- 11 Waste Management Number 1, Answers and Objections to the
- 12 Interrogatories. That was admitted.
- 13 Waste Management Number 2, that was a certificate of Waste
- 14 Management's corporate structure. That was admitted.
- 15 Then there was Hearing Officer Exhibit Number 3.
- MS. HOMEYER: Now, that I don't have a copy of.
- 17 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: These are the handwritten notes
- 18 of Kathy Andria. That was admitted as well.
- 19 Maybe Mr. Baricevic can get us another copy of this before
- 20 we go.
- 21 That's all I have. Is there any exhibits that I have
- 22 missed?
- 23 MR. MORAN: Did you get Waste Management's Number 1 and 2?
- 24 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Yes, Answers and Objections to

- 1 Interrogatories and the certificate.
- 2 MR. MORAN: Right. Okay.
- 3 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: And you had no exhibits, Mr.

- 4 Baricevic?
- 5 MR. BARICEVIC: None.
- 6 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Ms. Homeyer, did I miss anything?
- 7 MS. HOMEYER: No. I would like to try and get a copy of
- 8 our Exhibit 29 and also today's Hearing Officer Exhibit Number 3.
- 9 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Okay. That is up to Mr.
- 10 Baricevic.
- 11 MR. BARICEVIC: Just go ahead give me what you want. Is
- 12 that it?
- 13 MS. HOMEYER: Yes, Exhibit 29 and Hearing Officer Exhibit
- 14 Number 3.
- 15 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: That is all I have. Is there
- 16 anything further?
- 17 MR. BARICEVIC: Not from me.
- MS. HOMEYER: Not from me.
- 19 MR. MORAN: Nothing further.
- 20 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Okay. Thank you all very much.
- 21 MS. HOMEYER: Thank you.
- MR. BARICEVIC: Thank you.
- MR. MORAN: Thank you.
- 24 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Just for the record, no members

- 1 of the public have since come in to offer any statements of
- 2 interest. So that's it. Thank you.
- 3 (Hearing exhibits were retained by Hearing Officer

4	Knittle.)	
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
		431
	KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY 1-800-244-0190	431

1 STATE OF ILLINOIS)
) SS 2 COUNTY OF MONTGOMERY)

3	CERTIFICATE		
4			
5	I, DARLENE M. NIEMEYER, a Notary Public in and for the		
6	County of Montgomery, State of Illinois, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that		
7	the foregoing 161 pages comprise a true, complete and correct		
8	transcript of the proceedings held on the 23rd of August A.D.,		
9	2000, at the St. Clair County Building, County Board Conference		
10	Room, Belleville, Illinois, in the case of American Bottom		
11	Conservancy, East St. Louis Community Action Network, Kathy		
12	Andria and Jack Norman v. Village of Fairmont City and Waste		
13	Management of Illinois, Inc., in proceedings held before John C.		
14	Knittle, Chief Hearing Officer, and recorded in machine shorthand		
15	by me.		
16	IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have hereunto set my hand and affixed		
17	my Notarial Seal this 28th day of August A.D., 2000.		
18			
19			
20			
21	Notarry Dublic and		
22	Notary Public and Certified Shorthand Reporter and Registered Professional Reporter		
23	Registered Professional Reporter		
24	CSR License No. 084-003677 My Commission Expires: 03-02-2003		