1 BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD 2 3 PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, 4 Petitioner, 5 vs. No. PCB 95-091 6 WASTE HAULING LANDFILL, INC., 7 and WASTE HAULING, INC., 8 Respondents. 9 and 10 WASTE HAULING LANDFILL, INC., 11 and WASTE HAULING, INC., 12 Cross-claimants, 13 vs. 14 BELL SPORTS, INC., 15 Cross-Respondent. 16 17 Proceedings held on May 19, 1997, at 10:00 a.m., at the Office of the Attorney General, 18 Conference Room, 500 South Second Street, 19 Springfield, Illinois, before the Honorable Michael L. Wallace, Hearing Officer. 20 Reported by: Darlene M. Niemeyer, CSR, RPR 21 CSR License No.: 084-003677 22 KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY 23 11 North 44th Street 24 Belleville, IL 62226 (618) 277-0190

1

1	A P P E A R A N C E S
2	STATE OF ILLINOIS, OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY
3	GENERAL
4	BY: Thomas Davis, Esq. Chief, Environmental Bureau and
5	Maria M. Menotti, Esq. Assistant Attorney General,
б	Environmental Bureau
7	500 South Second Street Springfield, Illinois 62706
8	On behalf of the People of the State of Illinois.
9	ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY BY: Gregory Richardson, Esq.
10	Assistant Counsel 2200 Churchill Road
11	Springfield, Illinois 62794-9276 On behalf of the Illinois Environmental
12	Protection Agency.
13	SIDLEY & AUSTIN BY: Byron F. Taylor, Esq.
14	Ira Jack Nahmod, Esq. One First National Plaza
15	Chicago, Illinois 60603 On behalf of Cross-Respondent, Bell
16	Sports, Inc.
17	WILLOUGHBY, LATSHAW & HOPKINS, P.C. BY: K. Michael Latshaw, Esq.
18	502 West Prairie Decatur, Illinois 62525
19	On behalf of Respondents/Cross-Claimants, Waste Hauling Landfill, Inc. and Waste
20	Hauling, Inc.
21	WEBBER & THIES, P.C. BY: Phillip R. Van Ness, Esq.
22	202 Lincoln Square Urbana, Illinois 61803-0189
23	On behalf of Respondents/Cross-Claimants, Waste Hauling Landfill, Inc. and Waste
24	Hauling, Inc.

INDEX WITNESS PAGE NUMBER William E. Zierath 7, 60, 76, 77, 82, 84 б Gerald R. Riddle 91, 133, 159, 163, 169, 170 Jerry E. Camfield, Sr. 176, 203, 232, 261, 265 EXHIBITS NUMBER MARKED FOR I.D. ENTERED Respondent's WHL Exhibit 14 Respondent's WHL Exhibit 15 Respondent's WHL Exhibit 16 Respondent's WHL Exhibit 17 Respondent's WHL Exhibit 18 Respondent's Bell Exhibit 3 Respondent's Bell Exhibit 4 Respondent's Bell Exhibit 5 ___ Respondent's Bell Exhibit 6

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 (May 19, 1997; 10:00 a.m.) HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Pursuant to the 3 direction of the Illinois Pollution Control Board, 4 I now call Docket 95-91. 5 This is the matter of the People of the 6 7 State of Illinois versus Waste Hauling, Inc., Waste Hauling Landfill, Inc. and the counter-claim of 8 Waste Hauling Landfill, Inc., Waste Hauling, Inc. 9 10 versus Bell Sports, Inc. 11 May I have appearances for the record, 12 please? For the People? MS. MENOTTI: Maria Menotti for the 13 14 People. MR. DAVIS: Thomas Davis for the People. 15 16 MR. RICHARDSON: Greg Richardson for the 17 Illinois EPA. 18 MR. VAN NESS: Phil Van Ness for Waste 19 Hauling Landfill, Inc. and Waste Hauling, Inc. 20 MR. LATSHAW: Michael Latshaw for Waste Hauling, Inc. and Waste Hauling Landfill, Inc. 21 22 MR. NAHMOD: Jack Nahmod, from Sidley & Austin, for Bell Sports. 23 24 MR. TAYLOR: Byron Taylor for Bell

> KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY Belleville, Illinois

1 Sports.

2 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: All right. Thank you. 3 4 Let the record reflect there are no other 5 appearances at today's hearing. 6 Are there any preliminary matters? We 7 did have a brief off-the-record discussion. Mr. Van Ness? 8 9 MR. VAN NESS: Yes. Thank you, Mr. 10 Hearing Officer. In previous testimony by witness Mr. Maw, 11 M-A-W, there was introduced for identification 12 13 Waste Hauling Exhibit Number 5. That document is a 14 quite large and thick document. 15 However, we have learned that 16 approximately a third of an inch of the material at 17 the very beginning of that document was extraneous for our purposes, being material that the witness 18 19 testified was not included in the report material 20 that was sent to the Illinois EPA. Therefore, I would move to amend the 21 22 Exhibit Number 5 to exclude these materials appearing before the page dated 10 March 1993 and 23 bearing the signature of Mr. Maw and the letterhead 24

> KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY Belleville, Illinois

1 of Weston Gulf Coast, Inc.

2 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: All right. Any 3 objection? 4 MS. MENOTTI: No. HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Mr. Taylor? 5 6 MR. TAYLOR: No objections to the 7 specific request of Mr. Van Ness. 8 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: All right. 9 MR. TAYLOR: But we would like to restate 10 that we are not waiving our previous objections to this document as it exists. 11 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: So noted. Then 12 13 we will amend Exhibit 5 to exclude -- it is kind of 14 hard to describe, actually. MR. VAN NESS: Yes, it is hard to 15 16 describe. It evidently was internal documentation 17 that they used of some sort. HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: We will start 18 19 Exhibit 5 with the letter dated 10 March 1993, and the other material will be excluded from Exhibit 20 21 5. All right. Any other preliminary 22 matters? Ms. Menotti? 23 24 MS. MENOTTI: Nothing.

> KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY Belleville, Illinois

б

HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Mr. Taylor? 1 2 MR. TAYLOR: No. 3 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Is Waste 4 Hauling Landfill ready to proceed? MR. VAN NESS: Yes. 5 6 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: All right. 7 Would you call your next witness, then. MR. VAN NESS: Yes, thank you. Waste 8 Hauling recalls Mr. William Zierath. 9 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: You were 10 previously under oath. 11 12 THE WITNESS: Yes. 13 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Please consider 14 yourself still under oath and continue to tell the 15 truth. 16 You may proceed. MR. VAN NESS: Thank you, Mr. Hearing 17 Officer. 18 19 WILLIAM E. ZIERATH, 20 having been previously duly sworn by the Hearing Officer, saith as follows: 21 22 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. VAN NESS: 23 Mr. Zierath, I would like to ask you 24 Q

> KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY Belleville, Illinois

1 about one of the barrels you removed from the Waste 2 Hauling Landfill on April 22, 1992. Do you recall your testimony relating to that date? 3 4 Α Yes. 5 Ο Do you specifically recall discussing 6 barrel number 33? 7 Α My recollection of barrel number 33 is not one we sampled, but the only one we found with 8 a label on it. 9 So you didn't actually sample the 10 0 contents of that barrel? 11 12 А No, we did not. 13 0 Can you describe the outward appearance 14 of that barrel? My recollection of it, it was a crushed 15 А 16 drum. Some of the paint was off the outside of 17 the -- it was a metal drum, so some of the paint had come off the outside. It was notable because 18 19 it had a sticker on it that said nonhazardous 20 waste. Did barrel number 33 share any appearance 21 0 22 characteristics with the other barrels removed that 23 day? Well, all the drums we were able to find 24 А

8

1 had been crushed, and many of them likewise had 2 paint scraped off the outside of them. To the best 3 of my recollection, that's the only --4 0 Okay. Did you -- I am sorry. Go ahead. That's the only tie in to the others. 5 Α Okay. Did you see any of the rubbery 6 0 7 material that you had described earlier with respect to some of the barrels? 8 9 А I would have to refer to my notes again. 10 I can't remember whether we could even see into that one. Many of the drums were crushed and you 11 couldn't see inside them. So I would have to refer 12 13 to my notes as to whether I was able to observe anything in that drum. 14 Okay. Would looking at your notes 15 0 16 refresh your memory, then, is that what you are 17 suggesting? 18 Α Yes. 19 0 Why don't we hand those notes to you, 20 then. Is that 14, People's 14? Thank you. I am going to hand you, sir, what has 21 22 already been marked People's Exhibit 14. That is a report to which you just referred; is that correct? 23 24 Α That is correct, yes.

> KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY Belleville, Illinois

1 Q Take your time to look through that. 2 All right. (The witness reviewed the А document.) My notes do not reflect the contents of 3 that drum. 4 Okay. It was just one of the 53 drums 5 0 6 you found in that area? 7 А That is correct. Now, you stated earlier that the label 8 0 you observed on barrel number 33 resembled labels 9 10 you had seen elsewhere; is that correct? That's correct. 11 А 12 Do you recall where you saw similar 0 13 labels before? I saw similar labels at the -- what was 14 А referred to as the Bell Helmets facility in Rantoul 15 16 previously, during previous inspections. Did you see it subsequent to that date 17 0 18 also? 19 А I saw labels, similar looking labels, at 20 the Bell Sports facility in January of 1993. So you visited the Bell Sports facility 21 0 22 in January of 1993? 23 Α Yes. 24 Q Was that the first time you visited the

10

Bell Sports facility after the removal of the drums
 from Waste Hauling Landfill?

A No. We were -- Jack Johnson from the State Police, Dustin Burger from the Champaign regional office of the Illinois EPA, and I were out there in 1992, subsequent to the excavation of the drums from the Waste Hauling Landfill.

8 Q Do you recall what day and what month 9 that was?

10 A My recollection is it was July of 1992. 11 Q Do you recall whether -- pardon me. Do 12 you recall whether you prepared a report relating 13 to that visit to the Bell Sports facility?

A Which one, the one in January?
Q I am talking about the one you are
referring to that came prior to the one in
January.

18 A No, I did not.

19 Q Okay. Was that visit to the facility 20 that -- I am talking about the earlier one, now --21 was that related to the discovery of hazardous 22 waste in the drums at Waste Hauling? 23 A Yes, it was.

24 Q So by that time you had already received

11

1 the laboratory reports of analysis?

2 A Yes.

3 Q Okay. Why don't you describe your visit,
4 that first visit, then, to the Bell Sports
5 facility?

6 A Well, essentially, I was assisting the 7 State Police and Agent Johnson, in particular, in 8 his investigation. We showed up at Bell Sports. 9 We had a brief meeting with a number of officials 10 from the company.

11 My recollection is their attorney they 12 wanted to confer with was not available. He was 13 out of town and not reachable. And so they asked 14 if they could confer with their attorney and talk 15 to us later, at which time we left.

16 Q I see. Did you have a search warrant 17 with you when you came that time?

18 A No, we did not.

19 Q Do you recall who you spoke with at Bell 20 Sports?

21 A No, I don't recall the names of the 22 individuals.

23 Q Do you know a Mr. Nick Riddle?24 A Yes.

12

1 Q Can you identify him?

2 I don't recall what his job title was Α every time I was there, but at times he was the 3 4 plant engineer at -- my recollection is that he worked for the company that had this facility 5 before Bell, Vetter Products, and then worked for 6 7 Bell for a number of years. Do you recall whether Mr. Riddle was 8 0 present on that first date that you visited after 9 10 the lab samples were back from Waste Hauling? А I don't recall. 11 12 During that first visit do you recall 0 13 whether you or anyone requested permission to 14 inspect the premises? 15 My recollection is that we did not А 16 request permission to inspect the premises. We 17 wished to talk to them first, and they requested that they be allowed to talk to their attorney 18 19 first. 20 0 Okay. Did you request permission to take 21 samples? 22 А Not that I recall. Okay. Do you recall discussing the April 23 Q 9th waste shipment from Bell Sports to Waste 24

13

1 Hauling Landfill?

2 We identified that as being what we were А concerned about, but the discussion didn't go 3 4 anywhere because they wished to talk to their attorney first. 5 6 Do you recall what their reaction was? 0 7 MR. TAYLOR: Objection. It calls for the characterization of another person. 8 9 MR. VAN NESS: I didn't ask for a 10 characterization. I just asked what their response 11 was. HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Overruled. 12 13 THE WITNESS: I don't recall specifically 14 any reaction on their part. (By Mr. Van Ness) The only reaction was 15 0 16 we have not consulted with our attorney, so come 17 back another date? That's basically a fair statement, yes. 18 А What was the outcome of that visit? Was 19 0 there any promises made or any assurances made by 20 either side? 21 22 А My recollection is basically they agreed to talk to their attorney and get back to Agent 23 Johnson from the State Police. 24

14

1 Q Do you know whether they ever got back to 2 Agent Johnson? 3 No, I do not. А 4 Q Do you know whether they ever got back to 5 you? 6 A I received a letter from them subsequent 7 to our visit. I see. Do you recall when that letter 8 0 was received by you? 9 10 А I don't recall the date, no. If I showed you a copy of that letter 11 Q 12 would you recognize it now? 13 А Yes. 14 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: This has not been previously marked, has it? 15 16 MR. VAN NESS: No, it has not. That is a 17 Plaintiff's Exhibit from a deposition. 18 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: All right. 19 MR. VAN NESS: Would you mark this, 20 please. (Whereupon said document was 21 22 duly marked for purposes of identification as WHL Exhibit 23 14 as of this date.) 24

15

1 MR. VAN NESS: Okay. Thank you. 2 Q (By Mr. Van Ness) I am going to hand you what has just been marked WHL Exhibit Number 14, 3 4 and ask you if that is the correspondence which you previously referred? 5 6 А Yes. 7 0 Can you describe that document, please, 8 for the record? Well, the first two pages are a letter 9 Α 10 addressed to me from William Hassell, H-A-S-S-E-L-L, vice president of manufacturing from 11 Bell Sports. 12 The third page is a copy of a special 13 14 waste permit issued by our Agency for paint sludge from -- well, it is issued -- the permit is issued 15 16 to Jerry Camfield and the waste generator indicated 17 as Bell Helmets, Vetter Products. 18 The fourth page is a copy of a waste 19 manifest for shipment that was made on April 9th of 20 1992. And the last page appears to be some analysis results from material indicated -- it 21 22 indicates it was -- this report was sent to Bell 23 Helmets. 24 0 Can you say whether these are true,

16

1 accurate and complete copies of the documents as 2 you recall receiving them? 3 This is an accurate copy of the document А 4 I received, yes. For the record, I would ask you to refer 5 0 to the first line of the letter just above the 6 7 greeting where it says, re, your request. Do you see the date that is referred to there? 8 9 Yes, June 8, 1992. А 10 0 Does that refresh your memory as to when your visit occurred? 11 12 Yes, it does. That was the date. А 13 0 You would agree that the first visit you 14 previously described to the site was, in fact, on June 8, 1992? 15 16 Α That's correct. 17 0 Thank you. Do you see in the last paragraph in the letter from Mr. Hassell it says 18 19 request for additional information? 20 Α Yes. Why don't you just read that last 21 0 22 paragraph into the record, please? Okay. It says, as we discussed on June 23 Α 24 8th, we would appreciate it if you would provide us

17

1 further information regarding your concerns with 2 respect to the April 9th shipment, including any analytical results which you obtained. 3 4 0 I take that to be a reference to the concerns you stated earlier? 5 Yes, I believe so. 6 Α 7 0 Do you recall whether you mentioned the word hazardous waste in your conversation on June 8 9 the 8th? 10 Α I don't recall specifically, no. Do you recall how you expressed your 11 Q concern with that April 9th shipment? 12 13 А Well, I do recall that Agent Johnson basically told them why we were there, that we had 14 excavated drums at Waste Hauling Landfill, and why 15 16 we were concerned about them. I recall generally 17 that is what we discussed, but I don't know the 18 specifics of it. 19 0 Do you know whether you, in fact, did 20 provide such additional information as was requested by Mr. Hassell in his letter? 21 22 А I did not. Do you recall when Waste Hauling Landfill 23 Q 24 was told that there was a problem with these

18

1 barrels?

2 I don't recall the exact date, no. А 3 Would you agree that they were not told 0 in June of 1992? 4 5 Α That's possible. If I suggested to you, sir, that they 6 0 7 were not told until 1993, would you have any reason to question that? 8 9 I don't know of any time they were told А 10 before that, no. I don't know if you know the answer to 11 0 this, but may I ask why the Agency didn't bother to 12 13 tell the landfill of the presence of hazardous 14 waste at least as soon as it told Bell Sports of the problems out there? 15 MR. DAVIS: We would object. It is 16 17 calling for a conclusion that this witness might not be able to give and also it assumes facts not 18 19 in evidence. This witness has simply testified 20 that he didn't tell Waste Hauling Landfill. MR. VAN NESS: I did ask him if he knew 21 the answer. If he doesn't know, he can tell me 22 23 that. 24 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Overruled.

19

1 MR. DAVIS: I am not done stating my 2 objection. I think that, as an Officer of the Court, I can fairly state that the attorneys, of 3 4 which I was one, involved in the court proceeding, told somebody and, in fact, I would state that he 5 6 told the attorney for Waste Hauling Landfill. 7 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: All right. The objection is overruled. 8 9 You may answer the question. 10 MR. TAYLOR: I also object to the characterization of the question, as it infers what 11 12 information was conveyed to Bell Sports in June of 13 1992. 14 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Overruled. 15 THE WITNESS: Excuse me. What was the 16 question again? 17 MR. VAN NESS: Could you read it back. 18 (Whereupon the requested 19 portion of the record was read 20 back by the Reporter.) THE WITNESS: I do not know whether they 21 were told. I do not know why they weren't told. I 22 didn't have any contact with the landfill for 23 24 almost a year after that.

20

1 Q (By Mr. Van Ness) Okay. Thank you. 2 Would you agree that with the passage of time the option of removing the barrels and their contents 3 4 as opposed to managing the barrels in place became less and less viable? 5 6 MR. TAYLOR: I object to the lack of 7 foundation. 8 THE WITNESS: Well --9 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Wait just a 10 minute. 11 MR. VAN NESS: I am sorry? 12 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: I wondered if 13 you wanted to respond to the objection. 14 MR. VAN NESS: I didn't hear the basis for the objection. 15 16 MR. TAYLOR: Lack of foundation. 17 MR. VAN NESS: I am sorry? MR. TAYLOR: Lack of foundation. 18 19 MR. VAN NESS: All right. 20 Q (By Mr. Van Ness) Would you agree that leachate transport is a function of time? 21 22 А Yes (Mr. Jerry Camfield entered the 23 24 hearing room.)

21

1 0 (By Mr. Van Ness) And you have previously 2 described what leachate consists of; isn't that 3 correct? 4 А I did not, no. I am sorry. I thought maybe you had. 5 0 6 Why don't you describe leachate to us? 7 MR. TAYLOR: I have no objection to the question or to the line of questioning. But I was 8 wondering whether Mr. Camfield will be permitted to 9 sit through the proceeding and listen to the 10 testimony of Mr. Zierath. 11 12 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Are you 13 objecting to his sitting in? 14 MR. TAYLOR: Yes. 15 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: All right. 16 Response? 17 MR. VAN NESS: Well, I guess we have allowed every witness. We haven't excluded any 18 19 witnesses to date. I am not sure why we would 20 exclude Mr. Camfield. I would also point out that Mr. Camfield is, in fact, a client, a 21 22 representative in this case, so I think he is excluded from --23 24 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Mr. Camfield

22

1 can remain.

2 THE WITNESS: I am sorry. I don't recall the question. 3 4 0 (By Mr. Van Ness) I asked you a question about leachate. 5 Oh. Leachate is the material that is 6 А 7 formed when water mixes with solid waste, and then carries contamination away from that solid waste. 8 9 Is it fair to say that when solid waste 0 10 remains in a landfill that the transport of leachate becomes a greater concern? 11 12 А Yes. 13 0 To the best of your knowledge, has the 14 Agency ever tested the leachate flowing from the Waste Hauling Landfill? 15 16 А I don't know specifically about that. 17 0 Do you know whether -- let me back that 18 up. 19 Do you know whether they have ever 20 sampled the leachate at all? I am not asking you to describe the test, but whether you know whether 21 22 they have ever sampled the leachate? It is my understanding that other people 23 Α 24 within the Agency have collected samples, yes.

> KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY Belleville, Illinois

1 0 But you are unfamiliar with any 2 laboratory results from that? 3 That's correct. Α 4 0 Did you have occasion to visit the Bell Sports facility in Rantoul, Illinois, at any time 5 subsequent to your visit of June 8, 1992? 6 7 А Yes. I was there in January of 1993 and 8 then subsequently in March of 1993. 9 What exactly triggered that visit? 0 10 Α Well, I had asked our Permit Section to notify me if there was a permit application that 11 12 was submitted for the paint sludge waste from Bell Sports to any other facility, any solid waste 13 14 facility, because of the fact that Waste Hauling Landfill at that point was not operating and, 15 16 therefore, the only permitted facility to take that 17 paint sludge from Bell was closed down. And so, in fact, you were notified that 18 0 19 there was an application? Yes, I was. 20 Α When did you visit that facility? 21 0 22 А The first time in 1993 was on January 23 27th. 24 Were you accompanied by the State Police Q

24

1 at that time?

2 Α No, I was not. Did you obtain any lab samples? 3 0 4 Α Yes, we did. Did you obtain any documents? 5 0 Not during that inspection, no. 6 А 7 0 You took photographs, that kind of thing? 8 Α Yes. Do you recall to whom you spoke at that 9 0 10 time? A gentleman with the last name of 11 Α 12 It was -- and I spoke to the security Marlow. 13 guard at the gate. 14 Ο What transpired from the moment you spoke to the security guard at the gate? I assume that 15 16 was the first person you spoke to? 17 Α Yes. Well, I attempted to -- well, let me back up. I had intended to do an interim status 18 19 standards inspection under basically the hazardous 20 waste inspection at the facility, which would have included a number of documents I would have to 21 22 review. I was told by the security guard that Mr. 23 24 Nick Riddle was the only person who had access to

25

1 the documents in question. He was not available 2 that day. The security guard then tried to find some other person for me to talk to and eventually 3 4 Mr. Marlow was the person who was sent out to deal with me. 5 6 0 Did Mr. Marlow allow you to enter the 7 plant? Yes, he did. 8 Α 9 Did he accompany you throughout the time 0 10 that you were at the plant? He accompanied us until we got to the 11 Α area, the storage area. He remained for a while. 12 13 He pointed out drums of waste and identified them, 14 and then he left. 15 At that time did you get to walk through 0 the facility and see the production facility? 16 17 Α We did not during that inspection, no. Did you prepare a report of your January 18 0 19 27th, 1993 visit and RCRA inspection? Yes, I did. 20 А If I showed you a copy of that would you 21 0 22 recognize that? 23 Α Yes, I would. 24 MR. VAN NESS: Could you mark this,

26

1 please.

2	(Whereupon said document was
3	duly marked for purposes of
4	identification as WHL Exhibit
5	15 as of this date.)
б	Q (By Mr. Van Ness) I am going to show you
7	what has just been marked WHL Exhibit Number 15,
8	and ask you if that is the document to which you
9	have referred?
10	A Yes, it is a photocopy of that.
11	Q Thank you. What was the outcome of the
12	January 27, 1993 visit to Bell? Were there any
13	additional problems or assurances exchanged at that
14	time?
15	A Okay. We were interested in collecting
16	samples at that point. As I stated, I had intended
17	to do a hazardous waste inspection. However, Mr.
18	Riddle was not available with documentation, so we
19	did collect samples and then I got back to Mr.
20	Riddle later about the follow-up inspection.
21	Q All right. Now, according to your RCRA
22	inspection report, you and your staff collected a
23	number of samples, correct?
24	A That's correct.

KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY Belleville, Illinois

1 Q Do you recall how many you collected? 2 I would have to refer to my notes, I am Α 3 afraid. 4 0 I am sorry? I would have to refer to my notes. 5 Α Please feel free. 6 0 7 А (The witness reviewed documents.) My notes reflect I collected eight samples. 8 9 How many barrels were you looking at at 0 10 that time? There were 54 barrels in the group that 11 Α we were looking at. 12 13 0 Is it safe to assume that the same basic 14 chain of custody procedures applied to the sampling and securing and transporting of these samples as 15 16 you described previously with respect to the 17 samples you obtained at the Waste Hauling Landfill? 18 А Yes. 19 0 What criteria did you use to determine 20 which barrels would be sampled? We had a photoionizing detector by H. New 21 А (spelled phonetically) Company, which basically 22 gave us a meter reading relative amount of volatile 23 24 organics that were in the head space of the

28

1 samples. And then basically for all of those that 2 we collected a sample for, we took the ones that got the highest readings. 3 4 0 So once again, if you were going to take a sample from a barrel, it was going to be from 5 6 those barrels that had the highest PID readings? 7 Α That's correct. 8 0 Do you know whether Agency personnel are required to follow any set of procedures or 9 10 standards in collecting samples for laboratory analysis? 11 12 А We have procedures that we follow, yes. 13 0 Can you briefly describe what those 14 procedures are? 15 Well, it basically deals with the types Α 16 of bottles collected and the sample collection 17 method. The sample collection method depends on the type of waste and, you know, what is needed in 18 19 order to collect a sample. 20 0 Are you familiar with those requirements? 21 А Yes. 22 0 On January 27th of 1993, did you observe the sampling being done? 23 Yes, I did. 24 Α

> KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY Belleville, Illinois

2 an opinion as to whether you and the Agency personnel under your direction followed accepted 3 4 procedures? 5 Α We collected representative samples as 6 per our procedures to the best it was possible on 7 that date. 8 0 Was there something about that day that made it more difficult? 9 10 А We did have a problem with some of the drums, because it was quite a bit below freezing. 11 So any water that was in the drums was frozen. 12 13 Therefore, it was difficult to sample as per normal 14 procedures. Did you attempt to collect samples out of 15 0 16 barrels that had frozen water in them? 17 Α We did, but our normal procedure with water would be to use a glass tube, which was not 18 19 possible in this stage. 20 0 So what did you use? We collected bits of ice using a clean, 21 Α 22 stainless steel spoon from those drums where we could do that. 23 24 0 Now, according to your report, those

Based on your observations, do you have

1

Q

30

1 samples were sent to Gulf Coast Laboratories; isn't
2 that right?

3 A That's correct.

4 Q Do you know what kind of analysis was 5 requested?

6 A Because our concern was for the organic 7 contaminants listed in the TCLP, we asked for those 8 organic analyses.

9 Q Let me back up for a minute. Gulf Coast 10 Laboratories, Incorporated, why did you send 11 samples there?

A We were interested in getting the results
back fairly quickly and quicker than our lab here
in Springfield could have gotten the results.

15 Q Is Gulf Coast Laboratories a contract lab 16 for the EPA?

17 A Yes, it is.

18 Q Was it back in 1993?

A Yes, it was. Well, let me clarify that.
I haven't dealt with them in recent date. I am not
sure if they are still a contract lab.

22 Q But they were in 1993?

A Yes.

24 Q Okay. Thank you. Was any type of report

31

1 generated during the -- pardon me.

2 Was any type of report generated regarding the results of the analysis done on 3 4 January -- of the samples taken on January 27th? We did receive a report from the lab, 5 А 6 yes. 7 Q Are you familiar with that report? I have seen what was submitted to me, 8 Α 9 yes. I see. What was submitted to you? 10 0 The summary of the results listing the 11 Α 12 results for the requested analyses on those 13 samples. 14 0 Was this kind of report -- this summary report, was this the kind of a report that was 15 16 generally relied upon by you at the EPA? 17 А Yes. In fact, did you and the Agency not rely 18 0 19 on that report? 20 Α Yes, we did. Do you recall whether you shared those 21 0 22 laboratory results that you just described with anyone at Bell Sports? 23 24 А My recollection is I sent a copy to their

32

1 attorney subsequent to this inspection. 2 Q Do you recall whether you sent a letter to Mr. Riddle? 3 I don't recall. 4 А 5 0 If you had, would you recognize such a 6 letter? 7 А Yes. 8 MR. VAN NESS: Could you mark this, please. 9 10 (Whereupon said document was duly marked for purposes of 11 identification as WHL Exhibit 12 13 16 as of this date.) 14 Q (By Mr. Van Ness) I am going to hand you what has been labeled WHL Exhibit Number 16. Do 15 16 you recall that document? 17 А Yes, I do. In fact, are you the author of that 18 0 19 document? 20 Α Yes, I was. Can you briefly describe that document, 21 0 22 for the record? Well, the front page is a cover letter 23 А 24 indicating that I am sending to Mr. Riddle a copy

33

of the analysis report from the samples that were
 collected on January 27th. It also describes some
 of the results in the second paragraph.

Everything after that is a photocopy of the report that we received from the laboratory, the results and some explanation, plus I see here at the back there are also copies of the chain of custody forms.

9 Q Can you say whether this is a true, 10 accurate and complete copy of the correspondence 11 you sent to Mr. Riddle?

12 A To the best of my knowledge, it appears13 to be so.

14 Q I am going to ask you to compare the 15 attachment to the letter I just handed you with 16 what has been marked Waste Hauling Group Exhibit 17 5. I ask you whether you have seen that document 18 before?

19 A No, I have not.

20 Q Would you compare the first page of each 21 exhibit, please?

HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: I am sorry.
Would you be more specific on what you want him to
compare, please.

KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY Belleville, Illinois

1 MR. VAN NESS: Well, I want him to see 2 whether the two pages are the same or different. 3 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: I know that, 4 but I am trying to --5 MR. VAN NESS: I am sorry. HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Neither of 6 7 these exhibits are numbered, are they? And you are 8 saying compare --9 MR. VAN NESS: I am sorry. They are both 10 numbered. I am sorry. I am asking the witness, Mr. Hearing Officer, to compare the cover letter to 11 12 this particular exhibit that comes at the end of 13 the Bates numbering. 14 0 (By Mr. Van Ness) I am going to ask you to compare the page number -- Bates number 226 from 15 16 Exhibit Waste Hauling 16 to the first page of Waste 17 Hauling Exhibit 5? 18 MR. NAHMOD: Mr. Hearing Officer, we have 19 an objection to Mr. Zierath's testimony concerning 20 Exhibit Number 5. Mr. Zierath, I believe, just said he hasn't seen this document before. So it is 21 22 not clear to me why he should be able to testify about this exhibit. 23 24 Anybody can get up there and talk about

35

1 similarities. The document speaks for itself. We 2 object to Mr. Zierath's testimony about this exhibit, because he has no knowledge about it. He 3 4 just said he had not seen this before. HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: He can do a 5 6 comparison. The objection is overruled. 7 Please continue, Mr. Zierath. 8 THE WITNESS: The last page in what is marked as WHL Exhibit Number 16 appears to be 9 10 identical to the first page in WHL Number 5, with the exception of the stamp when it was received by 11 12 our Agency and some handwritten information at the 13 top that was added by our Agency. 14 0 (By Mr. Van Ness) Thank you. I am going to ask you to compare some additional pages, as 15 16 well. Please take all the time you need to do 17 this. I am going to show you what has been 18 19 Bates stamped page number 188 in Waste Hauling Exhibit 16, and I am going to ask you to see if you 20 find the identical page also in Waste Hauling 21 22 Exhibit 5. 23 MR. TAYLOR: Can you repeat the numbers 24 again, please?

> KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY Belleville, Illinois

1 MR. VAN NESS: I am sorry? 2 MR. TAYLOR: Can you repeat the page numbers once again? 3 MR. VAN NESS: Yes. It is Bates number 4 5 188. MR. TAYLOR: In Exhibit 16? 6 7 MR. VAN NESS: In Exhibit 16, right. 8 MR. TAYLOR: Okay. 9 MR. VAN NESS: We are comparing that now 10 also with a page in Waste Hauling Exhibit Number 11 5. 12 THE WITNESS: That page appears to be the 13 same as what is marked page one in Exhibit Number 14 5. (By Mr. Van Ness) Would you agree that to 15 Q 16 the right of the Bates number 188 there is also the 17 number one --18 А Yes. 19 0 -- on Exhibit 16? 20 Α Yes, there is. Can we turn the page and continue, 21 0 22 please? 23 А Okay. 24 Q Do you see page 189 Bates stamped in

> KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY Belleville, Illinois

1 Waste Hauling Exhibit 16?

2 А Yes, that appears to be the same as page two in Exhibit Number 5. 3 4 0 Now, you don't see any number two on this Bates 189, do you? 5 No, I do not. 6 А 7 0 But in all other respects they are the 8 same? 9 Yes, including the handwritten notations. А 10 0 Okay. Turn the page, please, to Bates 11 number 190 and compare that with the next page of Waste Hauling Exhibit 5. 12 13 А It appears to be the same as page number 14 three in Exhibit Number 5. Okay. Bates page number 191 in Waste 15 0 16 Hauling Exhibit 16? 17 Α It appears to be what is faintly marked as page number four in Exhibit Number 5. 18 19 0 Again, on the next page, Bates page number 000192? 20 That appears to be the same as what is 21 Α 22 marked as page number five in Exhibit Number five. HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: All right. Are 23 24 there two page fives there in Waste Hauling 5?

38

1 THE WITNESS: There is four and five. I 2 don't know if I misspoke. 3 Q (By Mr. Van Ness) Okay. Are we comparing 4 Bates page 193 now to Waste Hauling 5? Yes. It appears to be the same as page 5 А 6 number six in Waste Hauling 5. 7 0 I see. In fact, page number six reappears, doesn't it, on Bates page 193? 8 9 А That is correct. 10 0 And I am going to ask you again with respect to Bates page 194 in Waste Hauling Exhibit 11 16. 12 13 А That appears to be the same as page 14 number seven in Exhibit Number 5. 15 Thank you. And Bates page 195 in Waste 0 16 Hauling Exhibit 16? 17 А It appears to be the same as page number eight in Exhibit Number 5. 18 19 0 And Bates page 196 with respect to Waste 20 Hauling Exhibit Number 16? That appears to be the same as page 21 А 22 number nine in Exhibit Number 5. And Bates page 197 in Waste Hauling 23 0 Exhibit Number 16? 24

> KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY Belleville, Illinois

1 Α That appears to be the same as page 2 number ten in Waste Hauling Number 5. 3 Okay. And Bates page number 198 in Waste 0 4 Hauling Exhibit Number 16? 5 А It appears to be the same as page number 6 11 in Exhibit Number 5. 7 0 And Bates page --HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: All right. I 8 don't know that it is a good use of our time to go 9 10 through this page by page. MR. VAN NESS: Well, I would like to 11 avoid it. Perhaps if we gave the witness a few 12 minutes to leaf through the remaining pages, and 13 14 then we could do them in a summary fashion. Would that be acceptable? 15 16 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Yes. Why don't 17 you do that, Mr. Zierath. 18 Let's go off the record while you leaf 19 through that. 20 (Whereupon a short recess was 21 taken.) 22 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Back on the 23 record. Mr. Zierath, have you had a chance to 24

40

1 look at the documents in front of you?

2 THE WITNESS: Yes.

3 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: All right. Mr.4 Van Ness.

5 MR. VAN NESS: Thank you, Mr. Hearing 6 Officer.

Q (By Mr. Van Ness) Mr. Zierath, now that you have completed your review, would you agree that the pages which comprise the attachment to WHL Exhibit 16 are simply a collection of selected pages from WHL Group Exhibit 5?

12 A Yes.

13 Q Thank you. Now, upon reading the report, 14 were you able to reach any general conclusions as 15 to the character of the Bell Sports Waste? 16 MR. TAYLOR: We object to this line of

17 questioning for the same basis we objected to Mr. 18 Maw's testimony. There has been no -- the basis is 19 relevance. There has been no connection between 20 these samples and anything having to do with Waste 21 Hauling Landfill.

HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Mr. Van Ness?
MR. VAN NESS: I think I am asking the
witness to get to that point. I think we are

41

1 entitled to lay the foundation and have him deal 2 with it. 3 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: All right. If you will hold your objection, then. Or it is noted 4 and it will be overruled. 5 You can answer the question. 6 7 THE WITNESS: Excuse me. What was the 8 question again? 9 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Would you read 10 the question back. (Whereupon the requested 11 12 portion of the record was read 13 back by the Reporter.) 14 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: All right. To the extent -- I would ask that you clarify which 15 16 exhibit you are referring to. MR. VAN NESS: Well, okay. Why don't we 17 limit it to Waste Hauling Exhibit 16, the 18 19 attachment thereto. 20 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: All right. Now answer the question, please. 21 22 THE WITNESS: For the analysis that we requested in five of the samples elected, the 23 results for 2-Butanone were over the standard for 24

42

1 hazardous waste.

2 Q (By Mr. Van Ness) Again, 2-Butanone is commonly known as MEK; is that correct? 3 4 А Yes, that is an abbreviation for methyl ethyl ketone. 5 6 Have you compared the laboratory results 0 7 for the waste exhumed at the Waste Hauling Landfill with the laboratory results with the waste found at 8 the Bell Sports facility? 9 MR. TAYLOR: We would restate our 10 objection. 11 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: All right. 12 13 Response, Mr. Van Ness? 14 MR. VAN NESS: Yes. I am asking him to compare those results. Mr. Hearing Officer, I am 15 16 not asking him to state any origins of that sort. 17 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Well, the objection is to relevance. 18 MR. VAN NESS: Well, obviously, relevance 19 20 is made on the basis of whether there is any connection. I am simply asking him if there is any 21 22 connection. I am trying to establish that now. HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: All right. The 23 objection is noted. Overruled. 24

43

1 Mr. Zierath.

2 THE WITNESS: The sample -- some of the samples we collected at Waste Hauling Landfill were 3 hazardous because of the TCLP results for 4 2-Butanone and similarly, several of the -- some of 5 the -- five of the samples collected at Bell Sports 6 7 were hazardous because they were over the TCLP limit for 2-Butanone. 8 9 (By Mr. Van Ness) What did you conclude 0 10 based on your comparisons? These waste -- the analysis results were 11 Α similar. 12 13 0 You have already stated in this 14 proceeding that the drums you excavated in the samples from Waste Hauling Landfill were from Bell 15 16 Sports. Would you agree that the results of your 17 inspection and sampling at the Bell Sports facility in Rantoul support that opinion? 18 19 MR. TAYLOR: Objection. Leading. 20 MR. VAN NESS: I am simply referring back, Mr. Hearing Officer, to a statement he 21 22 previously made already on the record. HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Overruled. 23 24 THE WITNESS: The results we got from the

44

1 analyses done of the Bell Sports' drums, the ones 2 at their facility, were similar to what we got from the drums that were excavated at the Waste Hauling 3 4 Landfill. So this did not in any way disprove that those were similar wastes. 5 (By Mr. Van Ness) Did you at any time 6 0 7 receive any communication from Bell Sports challenging the laboratory results? 8 9 No, I did not. А Did you play a role in recommending that 10 0 this matter be referred to the Attorney General for 11 enforcement? 12 13 А I am sure I recommended to other people 14 that they refer this. Were you aware of any basis for referring 15 0 16 this matter for RCRA enforcement? There were -- the subsequent inspection 17 Α done at Bell indicated that there were apparent 18 19 RCRA violations at the facility. 20 0 Let's turn back to that. You mentioned the subsequent visit to the Bell Sports facility in 21 22 Rantoul; is that correct? 23 Α Yes. 24 Q Do you recall when that occurred?

> KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY Belleville, Illinois

1 A My recollection is it was in March of 2 1993. 3 Did you have an opportunity to speak with 0 Mr. Riddle at that time? 4 5 А Yes, I did. Did you have an opportunity to visit the 6 0 7 production facilities at the plant? А 8 Yes. 9 Did you observe where the wastes were 0 10 being generated for that facility? Mr. Riddle showed us where the paint 11 А 12 sludge was generated in a paint room at the 13 facility. Q Do you recall where the paint sludge was 14 collected? 15 16 Α It was being placed in some 55 gallon 17 drums that were in that room. 18 0 Was there more than one drum or --19 А My recollection was that there was more 20 than one drum, yes. Were you given to understand that both 21 0 drums were serving the same purpose? 22 I was informed that one drum was where 23 А 24 the employees were to put the material that Bell

> KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY Belleville, Illinois

1 was characterizing as hazardous waste and at least 2 one other drum was there for what was not supposed to have been hazardous waste. 3 4 0 Where were these drums physically located relative to each other? 5 6 А They were quite close together, several feet apart maybe. 7 Do you recall whether the drums were 8 0 9 sealed down? 10 А I don't recall, no. Do you recall whether they had a lid on 11 Q them at that time? 12 13 А I don't recall at this point. 14 0 Do you recall whether they were behind any enclosure or padlocked door or closet or 15 16 anything of that sort? 17 Α No, they were along the wall. Did you observe any impediment of someone 18 0 19 packing these barrels and putting whatever they 20 wanted to in those barrels? Α 21 No. 22 MR. TAYLOR: Objection. It calls for 23 speculation. MR. VAN NESS: I asked him if he observed 24

47

1 an impediment.

2 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Overruled. THE WITNESS: No, I did not. 3 4 0 (By Mr. Van Ness) Did you observe the 5 waste paint sludge while you were at Bell on that 6 visit? 7 Α I observed some in the drums in the paint 8 room and essentially there was that type of material in the paint booths that were in that room 9 10 and I also observed that. 11 Q Okay. Let me make sure we understand you correctly. Did you look into either of the two 12 13 barrels you described previously? 14 Α Yes. I see. Can you describe the contents, 15 0 16 please, as visually they appeared to you? 17 Α It was kind of rubbery type material. It was various colors, including gray, which is a 18 19 color they use for an undercoat that was prominent, 20 and then other colors they had used. Did the contents of both barrels have the 21 0 22 same appearance? I don't recall the difference in colors. 23 А 24 They were essentially the same type of material.

> KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY Belleville, Illinois

1 Q Did that appearance resemble in any way 2 what you observed in the contents of the crushed drums at Waste Hauling Landfill? 3 Yes, it did. 4 А 5 0 If so, in what way? In both cases it was a rubbery material 6 Α 7 with some gray material and then various other 8 colors. 9 Are you aware of any basis for referring 0 10 this matter for RCRA enforcement against Waste Hauling Landfill, other than the presence of the 11 12 waste you attributed to Bell Sports? 13 А No. 14 MR. VAN NESS: At this time, Mr. Hearing Officer, I would move Waste Hauling Exhibits 14, 15 15, and 16 into evidence. 16 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Any objection, 17 Ms. Menotti? 18 19 MS. MENOTTI: No objections. 20 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Mr. Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: Yes. I guess we have a 21 whole host of them. We would like to go through 22 23 them one by one. 24 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: All right. WHL

49

1 Exhibit Number 14.

2 MR. TAYLOR: Exhibit 14 is incomplete. I quess we don't mind that this be admitted, the 3 4 information that is here be admitted, but we would like the record to reflect that the submittal is 5 6 incomplete. 7 If you refer to the last paragraph on page one of this exhibit, it states that also 8 enclosed are additional waste analyses that Bell 9 10 Sports had conducted and those waste analyses are not attached to 14, unless my numbers are wrong. I 11 12 am referring to a letter. I believe that is 14. 13 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: The letter from William Hassell? 14 MR. TAYLOR: Yes. 15 16 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Mr. Zierath, this is a letter to you. Do you recall that there 17 were other attachments to that? 18 19 THE WITNESS: No, I do not. 20 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Mr. Van Ness? MR. VAN NESS: Insofar as I understood, 21 22 from the basis of discovery, I understood that we had the complete package here. The TCLP analysis I 23 24 understood to be the last page on here. Is there

50

1 something more?

2 MR. TAYLOR: Yes. In the last paragraph there is reference to the toxicity analysis. 3 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Is there a copy 4 of this additional information anywhere? 5 6 MR. TAYLOR: Presumably we would be able 7 to find it. HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Well, if you 8 wish it to be considered then you would have to 9 10 provide it. Mr. Van Ness is representing that this is what was tendered during discovery, and that 11 12 this is the extent of his information. 13 Mr. Zierath does not recall any 14 additional pages. So if there are additional pages, you can feel free to bring those in. 15 16 MR. TAYLOR: Let me clarify what we are 17 saying. I believe that the record should reflect that this submittal is incomplete, but we would not 18 19 object to entering this exhibit or the extent of it 20 that appears here. HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Well, I am not 21 22 so sure we are all agreed that it is incomplete. That's the problem. If there are other pages that 23 24 are with it or are missing or whatever then it

51

1 would be good to see those. Otherwise, I think, 2 you know, the document is complete in what is presented here. 3 4 Well, we will move along. I will admit WHL Exhibit 14. If there are other pages then we 5 6 will have to bring it up later. 7 (Whereupon said document was admitted into evidence as WHL 8 Exhibit 14 as of this date.) 9 10 MR. VAN NESS: Thank you. HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: All right. 11 12 Exhibit 15, the RCRA inspection report. 13 MR. TAYLOR: I believe this is the page 14 that is missing that is not there. HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: All right. 15 16 Then let's back up to Exhibit 14. MR. VAN NESS: Maybe it got separated. I 17 have seen it. Evidently when I got it it was not 18 19 attached to the letter. MS. MENOTTI: May I see it? 20 MR. DAVIS: I can make some copies of 21 22 this. 23 MR. VAN NESS: Thank you. 24 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Okay. If I

52

1 could have it.

2 Mr. Zierath, take a look at that and see if you recognize that, and if you can testify that 3 4 that was attached to that letter. THE WITNESS: I don't recall that it 5 was. But I did not look into the matter very 6 7 extensively at this point. So it may have been. I mean, I don't know. 8 9 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: All right. Do 10 you object to the attachment? MR. VAN NESS: No. Mr. Hearing Officer, 11 we have no objection to adding that page so that 12 13 Counsel for Bell can be satisfied that the exhibit 14 is, in fact, complete. The copy of the exhibit that we have does not include that, but that 15 16 document does appear to be a page I have seen 17 floating around as a result of discovery. So it may have simply become detached. 18 19 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: All right. I 20 will attach the page that has a heading of Randolph & Associates, Inc. with a report date of 04-23-90, 21 22 and that will be attached to WHL Exhibit 14, and then we will make some copies later. 23 Okay. Any objection to 15? 24

> KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY Belleville, Illinois

1 MR. TAYLOR: No. 2 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: All right. Exhibit 15 is admitted. 3 4 (Whereupon said document was admitted into evidence as WHL 5 Exhibit 15 as of this date.) 6 7 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: That's a RCRA inspection report dated 01-27-93. 8 9 All right. Then WHL Exhibit 16. 10 MR. TAYLOR: Yes. 11 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: You have an 12 objection? 13 MR. TAYLOR: Yes. First, I don't 14 understand what basis it is being provided for as an exhibit, whether it is supposed to be a business 15 record of the Agency or whether somehow these pages 16 are supposed to be authenticated somehow. 17 18 Second, is we will renew our objection to 19 relevance. We still clearly did not see a 20 connection between these sample results and any waste that was found at the landfill. To make it 21 22 more clear, there has been no testimony that this waste was sent to the landfill. We believe that 23 the statements of reason given are inadequate to 24

54

1 establish foundation.

2 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: All right. MR. VAN NESS: As regards to former, I 3 4 would simply point out that the witness was asked whether this was the type of record that was relied 5 upon and, in fact, was it not, in fact, relied upon 6 7 by he and the Agency, and he stated yes. As regards to the second, as far as 8 foundation is concerned, I think it is 9 10 transparently clear the witness has described the visual appearance, the chemical results obtained 11 12 from the samples that were taken, and he described 13 them as being comparable and similar and supportive of his previously stated view of origin of these 14 waste materials and, consequently, I think we have 15 16 all of the relatives that we need to show for 17 purposes of this exhibit. 18 MR. TAYLOR: May I respond? 19 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Yes, you may. 20 MR. TAYLOR: The last point that should be made here is that these samples were collected 21 22 approximately nine months, by my count, after the landfill shut down. 23 MR. VAN NESS: I think that will be 24

55

1 self-evident from the record. The witness 2 testified he obtained those samples in January of 1993. I think it is certainly within the purview 3 4 of the Board to draw any conclusions it wishes to make or not to make, based upon his technical 5 expertise and the associated testimony relating to 6 7 this case. HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: All right. I 8 am going to admit WHL Number 16. 9 10 (Whereupon said document was admitted into evidence as WHL 11 Exhibit 16 as of this date.) 12 13 MR. VAN NESS: Just a moment, please. 14 (Mr. Van Ness and Mr. Latshaw confer briefly.) 15 16 MR. TAYLOR: Can we ask for a 17 clarification to the ruling as to whether this Exhibit Number 16 is admitted for the validity of 18 19 the test results or for the fact that it may have 20 caused Mr. Zierath to take certain actions? The reason we raise the issue is I do not 21 22 believe Mr. Zierath has provided any testimony relating to the chain of custody of anyone outside 23 24 the Agency in handling the samples. As you see,

> KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY Belleville, Illinois

1 the samples were not analyzed by the Agency.

2 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: I am sorry. Would you repeat that? I am not sure I am clear on 3 4 your objection or your request for a clarification. MR. TAYLOR: I guess we are asking for a 5 clarification as to whether Exhibit 16 is submitted 6 7 for, or admitted -- excuse me -- to prove that the 8 sampling results are correct or whether it is submitted just to show that Mr. Zierath's reading 9 10 of this information may have caused certain actions from within the Agency. 11

12 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Well, to 13 clarify that, I have admitted it into the record 14 without any restrictions, so it is admitted for whatever it is worth. You know, there were no 15 restrictions on its admission. So I don't 16 17 understand. Are you now asking me to restrict it to a certain use, not necessarily to clarify --18 19 MR. TAYLOR: Yes, we would ask you to 20 limit the use of the exhibit on the basis just stated. I can restate it again. 21 22 MR. VAN NESS: I think I would have to

23 object to that. First, we put no such limitations 24 on similar evidence that was produced by the State

57

in the course of its case, and I see no reason to
 do so here either.

The witness' testimony is self-limiting by his own descriptions of the kind of document they receive from the EPA contract laboratory and the sort of information upon which they relied. It is, I think, well, again, within the purview of the Board to decide where else they want to go with respect to the use of that evidence.

10 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Ms. Menotti, do 11 you have any comment?

12 MS. MENOTTI: No.

HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: All right. I
am not going to place any restriction on WHL
Exhibit Number 16. It is admitted into the record
for whatever it is worth and you can argue that it
is worth nothing or it is worth something. I don't
know.

19 All right. Mr. Van Ness.

20 MR. VAN NESS: Thank you.

Q (By Mr. Van Ness) Mr. Zierath, I want to return very quickly to the inspection of the Waste Hauling Landfill on April 22, 1992. Do you recall the laboratory results for the three barrels that

58

1 did not, in your judgment, test hazardous?

2 A I don't recall the analytical results for3 those, no.

Q Do you recall -- perhaps you can recall whether the contents of those barrels included positive results for either methyl ethyl ketone or butane?

8 A Well, they all -- I am afraid I would 9 have to look at the results. As I recall, they may 10 not have all had above the detection limit for all 11 those, but some of them had below the standard but 12 above the detection limit.

13 Q So there might have been methyl ethyl 14 ketone present, but not in a concentration above 15 the standard?

16 A That's correct.

17 (Mr. Van Ness and Mr. Latshaw
18 confer briefly.)
19 MR. VAN NESS: One moment, please.

20 (Mr. Van Ness and Mr. Latshaw21 confer briefly.)

22 MR. VAN NESS: We have no further

23 questions for Mr. Zierath.

24 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Mr. Taylor,

59

1 cross-examination?

2 MR. TAYLOR: Mr. Nahmod will begin. 3 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: All right. Mr. 4 Nahmod? 5 CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. NAHMOD: 6 7 0 Mr. Zierath, I want to turn your attention to People's Exhibit Number 14, and 8 specifically to attachment one to a memo dated 9 10 April 22, 1992. Α 11 Yes. You had testified that, if I am not 12 0 13 mistaken, that the appearance of the drums were all pretty much the same; isn't that right? 14 They were crushed steel drums with 15 А 16 various amounts of paint scraped off the outside. 17 0 The one drum with a label on it was drum number 33; isn't that right? 18 19 А That's correct. 20 0 And the color of that drum was green; isn't that right? 21 22 А According to my records here, yes. The only other drum that was green was 23 Q drum number 31; isn't that right? 24

> KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY Belleville, Illinois

1 A That's correct.

2 So of the 53 drums, the one with the 0 3 label and then one other drum were green and the rest were black, blue and white; isn't that right? 4 That's correct. 5 Α As to that drum with a label on it, there 6 0 7 was no PID reading registered for that drum; isn't that right? 8 9 А That's correct. 10 0 And Bell's name was not on the label; isn't that right? 11 12 Α Yes, that's correct. 13 0 The label that you saw, it's a label that is commercially available; isn't that right? 14 15 Α Yes. 16 0 And you had seen them elsewhere? 17 Α I had seen them at Bell and at least two 18 other facilities I had been to. 19 0 So it was -- it could have been more than 20 two facilities that you had seen it? 21 А Essentially, yes. How many facilities have you visited as 22 0 part of your responsibilities with the Agency? 23 I don't know the -- hundreds of them. I 24 А

61

1 don't know the number specifically.

2 You could have seen these labels at any 0 one of those hundreds or more than one of those 3 4 hundreds of facilities; isn't that right? MR. VAN NESS: Objection. Are you asking 5 6 the witness to speculate or are you asking him 7 whether he has actually seen such labels? MR. NAHMOD: He is not speculating. I am 8 asking him to testify as to his knowledge. 9 10 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Overruled. 11 THE WITNESS: I have seen them at more 12 than one other facility. 13 Q (By Mr. Nahmod) More than two other 14 facilities; isn't that right? Yes, if you count Bell. I recall seeing 15 А them at at least three places other than the 16 17 landfill. Did you visit those other two places when 18 0 you found these labels at the landfill, at the 19 20 Waste Hauling Landfill? А 21 No. 22 0 Isn't it true that Bell is not the only facility that uses paint of various colors? 23 24 А Yes.

> KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY Belleville, Illinois

1 0 And that Bell isn't the only facility 2 that uses gray paint? 3 That's correct. А 4 0 And Bell is not the only facility that uses pink paint? 5 6 А That's correct. Isn't it also true that Bell is not the 7 0 8 only facility at which you have seen waste of a rubbery texture? 9 10 А That's correct. 11 Q Is Bell the only facility that uses MEK, 12 otherwise known as 2-Butanone? 13 А No. Is Bell the only facility that uses 14 0 15 acetone? 16 А No. 17 0 You testified that you saw these labels at the Bell Sports facility before your visit to 18 19 the landfill; isn't that right? 20 А Yes. Was that, in fact, known as the Bell 21 0 22 Sports facility at that time? My recollection is when I first dealt 23 Α with Bell it was known as Bell Helmets. 24

> KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY Belleville, Illinois

1 Q Did you visit the facility when it was 2 known as Bell Helmets? 3 Yes, I did. А 4 0 When was that? My recollection is around 1986. 5 Α What was the purpose of that visit? 6 0 7 Α It was a routine RCRA inspection, 8 hazardous waste inspection. 9 Was the facility known as Vetter Products 0 10 at that time? It had previously been known as Vetter. 11 Α 12 0 You were also there in June of 1992; isn't that right? 13 14 Α That's quite possible, yes. And you didn't see any labels then, did 15 0 16 you? 17 Α Not that I recall. Did you complete a report based on that 18 0 19 visit? 20 Α Yes, I did. Did you take any photographs from that 21 0 22 visit? Yes, I did. 23 Α 24 Q Do you recall a visit to the facility

64

1 when you were not allowed to enter the facility? 2 А No. 3 So every time you visited the Bell Sports 0 4 facility, you have been granted entrance? 5 А Yes. 6 And have you been allowed to inspect the 0 7 facility every time you have visited it? Not during the June 1993 inspection 8 А visit, I quess. 9 10 Ο The visit during which you were not allowed to inspect the facility, was that before or 11 12 after the drums were excavated at the Waste Hauling 13 Landfill? 14 That was after. А What was the date of that visit? 15 0 16 А That was the June 8, 1992 visit. 17 Q Then the subsequent visit you had just referred to, was that January of 1993? 18 19 А Yes. 20 0 In June of 1992, did you visit any other facilities besides Bell's? 21 22 А Not in connection with this investigation. 23 24 Q So the Bell Sports facility was the only

> KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY Belleville, Illinois

1 one you visited?

2 In conjunction with this investigation, А 3 yes. 4 Q Had you already decided by the time you visited Bell's facility that it was the source of 5 the drums that were found at the landfill? 6 7 А That was our -- that was the theory we 8 were working under at that point. 9 So you didn't have any theory that 0 10 anybody else could have been the source of those drums; is that right? 11 12 А That's correct. 13 0 When you tested the waste at Bell's 14 facility, you said that the results from that testing did not disprove that the wastes were 15 16 similar; isn't that right? 17 А That's correct. So you were not testing Bell's waste to 18 0 19 see whether it proved that that waste was similar 20 to the waste at the landfill; isn't that right? А That's correct. 21 22 0 I want to turn your attention to the 23 January 1993 report. 24 MR. VAN NESS: Is that Waste Hauling

66

1 Exhibit 15?

2 THE WITNESS: Yes, Waste Hauling Exhibit 3 15. 4 MR. VAN NESS: Thank you. (By Mr. Nahmod) At this point in time the 5 Q 6 Waste Hauling Landfill was no longer accepting 7 waste; isn't that right? That's correct. 8 А 9 So the waste tested during this visit did 0 10 not end up at the Waste Hauling Landfill, did it? To the best of my knowledge, no, it did 11 А 12 not. 13 0 Where did it go, to the best of your 14 knowledge? 15 А I don't know. 16 0 Do you have any reason to think that it 17 went to the Waste Hauling Landfill? 18 А No. 19 0 You mentioned that you scraped the tops 20 of drums during this visit; isn't that right? А Excuse me? 21 22 0 You had testified earlier today that you took the samples by scraping the tops of drums; 23 isn't that right? 24

> KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY Belleville, Illinois

1 А We collected samples using generally 2 stainless steel spoons from the material at the top 3 of the drums. 4 0 Okay. Was that what you consider a 5 representative sample of the contents of the drums? 6 А Yes. 7 0 But that doesn't at all indicate what is 8 in the drums; isn't that right? 9 Α No, I wouldn't characterize that as 10 right. So when you took the samples from the 11 Q tops of the drums, you were assuming what was in 12 13 the drums; is that right? 14 MR. VAN NESS: Objection. That is not what the witness stated. He is mischaracterizing 15 16 the witness' testimony. 17 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Sustained. (By Mr. Nahmod) You didn't collect a 18 0 sample from anywhere but on top of the drums; isn't 19 20 that right? That is -- for several of the samples we 21 А used glass tubes to collect a -- the liquid that 22 was in the drums, some of which wasn't water, so we 23 did collect as much of a column of liquid as we 24

68

1 could in the containers.

2 0 Where was that liquid taken from? It was liquid that was in the drums, and 3 А 4 so we used glass tubes, basically, to get a cross-section of the liquid in those drums. 5 So now you are saying that the samples 6 0 7 were not taken from the tops of the drums? 8 Α I am saying that where we could we used glass tubes to take a cross-sectional sample of the 9 liquid. Where all we could reach or all we could 10 find was the solid material, then we collected what 11 12 we could reach using the stainless steel spoons. 13 0 And even then you were limited by only 14 taking what wasn't frozen; isn't that right? That's correct. 15 А 16 0 I want to turn your attention to what has 17 been marked as Waste Hauling Exhibit Number -- I am sorry. I am actually referring to People's 18 19 Exhibit -- no, that is not it. It is Waste Hauling 20 Exhibit Number 16. MR. VAN NESS: Number 16? 21 22 MR. NAHMOD: Yes. (By Mr. Nahmod) Specifically, I want to 23 Q 24 turn your attention to what is marked in the lower

69

1 right-hand corner as page number 190. There is a 2 reading indicated or a level indicated for 3 2-Butanone on that page; isn't that right? 4 Α Yes. Do you see to the right of that reading 5 0 6 that there are two letters there; isn't that right? 7 А Yes. The letters are E and B? 8 0 9 А Yes. 10 0 What is the significance of the letter E, do you know? 11 I would have to look in the reference 12 Α 13 sheet at the beginning of this. 14 0 Can you please do that? The reference sheet, which is the second 15 Α page of this letter, indicates that E means 16 17 concentration exceeds the instrument calibration range and was subsequently diluted. 18 19 0 What is the significance of that? 20 Α It means that when they ran it through the first time it was too concentrated and they 21 22 couldn't get a usable result, and so they diluted the sample in known amount and ran it again so it 23 would fall into the instrumentation range. 24

> KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY Belleville, Illinois

Q Do you see here what the subsequent
 reading was?

3 A Yes.

Q Okay. Now, turning your attention back
to the previous page, the letter B, what is the
significance of that?

7 A Referring once again to the reference
8 page, it says compound was found in the blank in
9 the sample.

10 0 What is the significance of that? Well, there are -- basically, the 11 Α laboratory uses blank samples. In this case, since 12 13 we were not collecting the little volatiles they 14 would have taken an empty container that hadn't been used and taken a blank sample to determine if 15 16 there was contamination from the lab.

17 Q This indicates that, in fact, the blank18 was contaminated; isn't that right?

19 A Yes.

20 Q Turn your attention, please, to what is 21 in the lower right-hand corner, 000201. There is a 22 reading on that page for 2-Butanone, isn't there? 23 A Yes.

24 Q To the right of that reading the letter B

71

1 is there; isn't that right?

2 A Yes.

3 Q Here, too, doesn't this indicate that the 4 blank was contaminated?

5 A Yes.

6 Q Turning two more pages, please, to page 7 203, there is a reading there for 2-Butanone, isn't 8 there?

9 A Yes.

10 Q The notation B there, again, indicates 11 that the blank was contaminated, doesn't it?

12 A Yes.

13 Q Mr. Zierath, when were these samples in 14 your custody?

15 A They actually never had them in my 16 custody. They were collected, and the -- by the 17 sampler and then handed to Amy Brown, who then 18 packaged them up in the cooler.

19 Q Who was the sampler?

20 A Debra Paxton was the head sampler. She 21 was the one who actually collected the samples.

22 Q Then she handed them to Amy Brown?

A Yes.

24 Q To whom did Amy Brown hand them?

72

1 А She then shipped them to the laboratory. 2 Did you see who shipped them? By that I Q mean who actually transported them from Amy Brown 3 4 to the laboratory? I don't know. We usually use UPS. 5 Α 6 Did you see them handled at the 0 7 laboratory? А 8 No. 9 Do you know who handled them at the 0 10 laboratory? 11 А No. 12 Did you do any of the testing at the 0 13 laboratory? 14 А No, I did not. Did you see how the testing was done? 15 0 16 А No. 17 Q So you have no knowledge, personally, as 18 to how the testing was conducted; isn't that right? 19 Α That's correct. 20 0 You conducted no quality review check of the testing? 21 22 А No, I did not. So you, personally, cannot testify that 23 Q 24 this testing was done correctly, can you?

1 A No.

2 Q You can't testify that the test results are accurate, either, can you? 3 4 Α No. (Mr. Taylor and Mr. Nahmod 5 confer briefly.) 6 7 0 (By Mr. Nahmod) I want to turn your attention to what has been marked as Waste Hauling 8 Exhibit Number 14. Specifically, I want to turn 9 10 your attention to what was the last page of the exhibit, as it was submitted by Waste Hauling, 11 12 which I guess now is the second to the last page of 13 the exhibit. These are test results given to you by 14 Bell Sports; isn't that right? 15 16 А Well, they were submitted as part of this 17 letter. 18 0 What was tested for? 19 А Well, it -- the tests that were run were 20 the toxic characteristic leaching procedure for organic compounds. 21 And benzene was tested for; isn't that 22 Ο 23 right? Yes, that is one of the compounds. 24 А

> KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY Belleville, Illinois

1 0 And methyl ethyl ketone was tested for, 2 right? 3 That is also one of the compounds, right. А 4 0 This is the same test you used on Bell's waste; isn't that right? 5 6 А That's correct. 7 0 This attachment to Waste Hauling Exhibit 14, indicates that none of the waste sampled at 8 Bell Sport's facility was hazardous; isn't that 9 10 right? MR. VAN NESS: I would object. Let the 11 12 report speak for itself. 13 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Overruled. 14 THE WITNESS: Nothing -- none of the results here indicate that the samples here were 15 16 from hazardous waste. MR. NAHMOD: We have no further questions 17 at this time, Mr. Hearing Officer. 18 19 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: All right. 20 Redirect? MR. VAN NESS: Yes. Thank you. 21 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Oh, I am 22 23 sorry. Ms. Menotti? 24 MR. VAN NESS: I am sorry.

> KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY Belleville, Illinois

1 MS. MENOTTI: Thank you. 2 CROSS EXAMINATION BY MS. MENOTTI: 3 4 0 I just have a couple of questions for 5 you. Mr. Van Ness asked you about leachate 6 7 during his direct examination. During your limited visits to the landfill, did you ever observe 8 leachate there? 9 10 Α I recall that south of where we were digging in the afternoon on April 22nd, 1992, there 11 were stains from leachate, and that's my only 12 13 recollection of leachate at that facility. 14 0 What do you mean by "stains"? Well, there were visible stains on the 15 Α 16 ground. There was not liquid flowing that I 17 recall, but the ground had been stained brown where leachate had flown out of the -- from the cover of 18 19 the material at the landfill. 20 0 You testified that you never took any leachate samples at the landfill, right? 21 22 А I never did, no. In your review of the file, do you know 23 Q 24 if any leachate samples were ever taken?

> KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY Belleville, Illinois

1 Α I understand that there were, but I did 2 not review the file for that, so I don't know when. Have you ever taken any leachate samples 3 0 4 at other facilities? 5 Α Yes, I have. Can you tell me what that procedure is? 6 0 7 Α The procedure is to find a place in the 8 leachate flow where you can put a clean glass container and then just interrupt the flow and 9 10 collect the leachate in the glass container, and then transfer it from there into other appropriate 11 bottles for the analysis. 12 13 0 Why is leachate analyzed? Because it is contaminated, generally, 14 Α and we wish to characterize how contaminated. 15 MS. MENOTTI: I have nothing further. 16 17 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: All right. Redirect? 18 19 MR. VAN NESS: Yes, Mr. Hearing Officer. 20 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. VAN NESS: 21 22 0 Mr. Zierath, you testified that you had seen the label on what is going to be called drum 23 number 33. You had seen labels like that before; 24

77

1 is that correct?

2 A Yes.

3 Q And at other facilities than the Bell4 Sports facility?

5 A Yes.

Q You further testified, I believe, in
response to Mr. Nahmod's questioning, that you
didn't visit those other facilities at this time;
is that correct?

10 A That's correct.

11 Q Why?

12 A The only other two facilities I remember 13 seeing those labels on were they were on waste oil 14 drums, and this was -- that was not what we were 15 finding at the Waste Hauling Landfill.

16 Q So you would have no reason to look at 17 those other two sites; is that correct?

18 A That's correct.

19 Q You described taking samples at the top 20 of the drums. I assume that that is with the lid 21 off; is that correct?

22 A Yes.

Q And you indicated that you first used thephotoionization detector to determine which drums

78

1 to sample from?

2 A Yes.

3 Q Why was it necessary to use the stainless
4 steel spoons?

Basically because of the nature of the 5 Α material. For solid materials that's what we use, 6 7 a clean, stainless steel spoon to dig them out. Okay. Now, what was the nature of the 8 0 solid material that you were removing? 9 It was basically a rubbery material and 10 А some of it granular at times and other times it was 11 solid mass of material. 12 13 0 Do you recall what the physical appearance of that material was? 14

15 A Basically it was a rubbery type material.

16 Q What color was it?

17 A I testified before there was gray plus18 various other colors.

19 Q You previously testified, I believe, that 20 the nature of the test that was performed -- let me 21 rephrase that. Let me refer you to the correct 22 exhibit.

Let's turn to Waste Hauling Exhibit, Iguess this is 14, and what was the final page, and

79

1 I guess now is the ultimate page of that exhibit. 2 Do you have that in front of you, sir? 3 А Yes. 4 0 Is that the page that reads Environmental Science and Engineering at the top? 5 6 А Yes. 7 0 You described the test that was reported on this page as being the same test that was 8 reported in the attachment to Waste Hauling Exhibit 9 16; is that correct? 10 11 Α Yes. 12 The same type of test was reportedly 0 13 done? 14 А Yes, the TCLP. 15 You didn't see that test performed, did Q 16 you? 17 А No. 18 You didn't do any independent Q verification of the assurance of quality control? 19 20 А No. All right. So you have no personal 21 0 22 knowledge if these results are accurate either, do 23 you? 24 А No.

80

1 Q How did you come about having a copy of 2 the attachment of what is now Waste Hauling Exhibit 3 16? How did that come to be in your hands? 4 А It was sent to me by an Agency employee named Sue Dubet, who is in our -- one of the 5 administrators of our contract lab program at the 6 7 Agency. Do you know what she does, what her 8 0 9 duties were? 10 А Well, she works in the contract lab program. She arranges for laboratory facilities 11 12 when we need them, and then the results are submitted to her and she forwards them on to the 13 14 project manager. You were the project manager in that 15 0 16 case, correct? 17 Α Yes. 18 (Mr. Van Ness and Mr. Latshaw 19 confer briefly.) (By Mr. Van Ness) Do you know whether it 20 Q would be the normal practice of the Agency to 21 22 advise the project manager if there were any problems encountered with the lab samples or 23 24 analyses?

> KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY Belleville, Illinois

1 А I have been involved in projects before 2 where things were brought to my attention so, yes, apparently, it is a practice of the Agency. 3 4 Q Were any problems brought to your attention aside from those that Mr. Nahmod 5 6 described to you? 7 Α No. Was there anything about those results 8 Q that Mr. Nahmod described with you, was there 9 10 anything in that set of results that affected your decision? 11 12 А No. 13 0 Is it still your view that the labs 14 exhumed on April 22, 1992, from the Waste Hauling Landfill were received from Bell Sports? 15 16 А It is still my opinion that the drums we 17 exhumed were from Bell Sports, yes. MR. VAN NESS: Okay. I have nothing 18 19 further. 20 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Recross, Mr. Nahmod? 21 22 MR. NAHMOD: Yes. 23 RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. NAHMOD: 24

82

1 0 You mentioned that you had seen the 2 labels -- the type of label that was found at the landfill on that one drum, you had seen that same 3 4 label used in connection with waste oils; isn't that right? 5 6 А Yes. 7 0 Is there anything about that label that 8 would prevent its use with other wastes, as well? 9 Α No. 10 (Mr. Taylor and Mr. Nahmod confer briefly.) 11 12 0 (By Mr. Nahmod) Turning your attention 13 briefly to the inspection you conducted on January 14 of 1993, at the Bell Sports facility, you recall seeing labels during your inspection on that visit, 15 16 don't you? 17 А Yes. In fact, all of the drums were labeled; 18 0 19 isn't that right? 20 Α Yes. You mentioned Sue Dubet, and she didn't 21 0 22 actually conduct any of the testing of these samples, did she? 23 24 Α No.

> KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY Belleville, Illinois

1 0 So as far as you know, she doesn't have 2 personal knowledge as to whether proper procedures were followed in testing the samples? 3 4 Α No. As far as you know, she doesn't know 5 0 whether the sampling results were accurate; isn't 6 7 that right? 8 А That's correct. 9 MR. NAHMOD: No further questions. 10 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Ms. Menotti? 11 MS. MENOTTI: Nothing. 12 EXAMINATION 13 BY HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Mr. Zierath, I need you to go back 14 Q through some more sampling on January 27th, 1993. 15 16 You examined how many drums? 17 А There were 54 drums in a group that were identified as nonhazardous waste drums. 18 19 0 And how many drums did you -- you took 20 samples from how many drums? From eight drums. 21 А 22 0 Did you remove the lids from these drums 23 or --We removed the lids from all of the drums 24 А

84

1 that the lids would come off of.

2 0 Now, of the eight drums that you sampled, were some frozen on top? 3 4 А There was some ice in a number of the drums, and including some that we sampled. 5 0 All right. The ones that had ice 6 7 required you to use your spoon; is that correct? That is correct. 8 Α 9 The ones that were not frozen, you used a 0 10 glass tube? 11 Α If there was liquid that was not frozen we used a glass tube. 12 13 Q All right. The glass tube, is that inserted -- how long is the glass tube? 14 It is a little over three feet. It is a 15 А 16 little bit longer than the drum is tall. 17 0 And normal procedure is to insert the glass tube all the way to the bottom? 18 19 А Yes, if there is nothing obstructing it. 20 0 And then by using the glass tube, you get a cross-section of the entire barrel, or drum in 21 22 this case? 23 А Yes. 24 Q Or at least as far down as it will go?

85

1 A That's correct.

2 Q With the spoon method you scrape off of the top of the drum; is that correct? 3 4 Α When we use the spoon, we try and dig 5 down as far as we can so we don't just get the top surface, but it is limited in how far. 6 7 0 All right. I assume the spoon is not three feet long? 8 9 А You are correct. 10 0 This is where I am confused. The barrels 11 that had ice, were you able to use the spoon just 12 on the surface or did you go down below the 13 surface? 14 Α The drums that had ice, it frequently wasn't over the entire surface, so we were able to 15 dig down through the paint-like material a ways. 16 17 As far as collecting the bits of the ice, we were limited to the surface, what we could break off. 18 Well, then, did you take more than one 19 0 20 sample from each drum? We added -- if we collected liquid --21 А Well, wait. Let me back up. I am 22 0 somewhat confused. Did you take samples of the ice 23 24 crystals that were on top as well as other material

86

1 in the drum?

2 We did, but they were all added into the А same bottle to make a single sample of the material 3 in a drum. 4 So then with that type of collection, you 5 0 6 do not get any cross-sectional look at the drum? 7 Α That is correct. All right. Now the eight samples, how 8 0 many were glass tubes and how many were the 9 10 stainless steel spoon? I don't recall right offhand. 11 Α 12 All right. Would your notes show that 0 13 or --14 Α Just a second. (The witness reviewed 15 documents.) 16 It appears as though there was liquid in 17 seven of the eight samples, so those were the ones we would have used glass tubes on. I am sorry. It 18 19 appears as though all eight samples. 20 Q All eight samples what? Had liquid and, therefore, we used glass 21 Α 22 tubes on all eight of those samples. So you do not -- do you recall whether or 23 0 24 not you used the stainless steel spoon at all?

87

1 Α We used the stainless steel spoons to 2 collect the solid material from the drums, because all of the drums had some solids and from the ones 3 4 we sampled they all had some liquid also. So in order to collect as representative as we could get 5 we collected part of the samples as the solid 6 7 material and part of it as liquid. All right. Those samples resulted in 8 0 your receiving that information that is contained 9 10 with your letter, which has been identified as WHL Exhibit 16? 11 That is correct. 12 А 13 0 Okay. Did you utilize the information 14 you received in any fashion? The information in WHL 16? 15 Α 16 0 Yes. 17 Α We -- I subsequently conducted an inspection at the facility, a RCRA inspection, in 18 19 which I reviewed documentation at the facility, and 20 subsequently our Champaign regional office followed up on that, since it is actually in their region. 21 22 My understanding was this facility went through what is called closure of their storage facility 23 where they were storing this material. 24

> KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY Belleville, Illinois

1 Q Okay. So your next visit, would that 2 have been the March of 1993 visit? 3 A Yes. HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: All right. 4 5 Thank you. You may step down. б (The witness left the stand.) 7 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Let's go off 8 the record. (Discussion off the record.) 9 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Let's come back 10 11 at 1:10. 12 (Whereupon a lunch recess was 13 taken from 12:10 p.m. to 1:10 14 p.m.) 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

1 AFTERNOON SESSION 2 (May 19, 1997; 1:10 p.m.) 3 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: All right. Back on the record. Let's resume for the 4 afternoon. 5 6 Mr. Van Ness? 7 MR. VAN NESS: I believe that my 8 colleague will take it from here, Mr. Hearing 9 Officer. 10 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: All right. Mr. 11 Latshaw? 12 MR. LATSHAW: Thank you, Mr. Hearing 13 Officer. Mr. Riddle, I think, for the record, that 14 you have --15 16 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Wait. Why 17 don't you call him, so that I can swear him in. MR. LATSHAW: I am sorry. I thought we 18 19 were set. I call Mr. Nick Riddle. 20 21 (Whereupon the witness was 22 sworn by the Hearing Officer.) GERALD R. RIDDLE, 23 24 having been first duly sworn by the Hearing

90

1 Officer, saith as follows:

2 DIRECT EXAMINATION 3 BY MR. LATSHAW: Mr. Riddle, what is your full name? 4 Q Gerald Riddle. 5 Α Gerald R. Riddle? 6 0 7 Α Gerald R. Riddle. I am sorry. You go by Nick; is that correct? 8 Q 9 Right. Α 10 0 Mr. Riddle, you are not presently employed by Bell Sports; is that correct? 11 12 А Correct. 13 0 When was the last time you were employed by Bell Sports? 14 15 А 1995. 16 0 Prior to 1995, how long had you been 17 employed there? 18 А Eight years. 19 0 Only eight years? 20 Α There was a separation. I had worked about ten years before that. 21 22 0 What was the length of the separation? Almost two years. 23 Α 24 Q So you were employed there in 1992, then;

91

1 is that correct?

2 A Yes.

3 So it would have been eight years prior 0 4 to 1995, that was your second --5 А Yes. -- tour there? If my arithmetic is 6 0 7 correct that would have been, what, 1987 that you 8 were re-employed? 9 Right about there, uh-huh. А 10 0 During that eight year period prior to 1995, what was your position or status? 11 12 А Facilities manager. 13 0 What did that entail? 14 Α The people in maintenance worked for me. I had responsibility for security, buildings and 15 16 grounds. 17 0 Were you responsible in any way for handling or managing any paint sludge that might 18 19 have been generated? 20 Α Yes.

21 Q To what extent were you responsible for 22 that aspect?

A I was responsible for properly trainingthe people to handle the sludge and to make sure it

92

1 was disposed of properly.

2 In that regard did you have people Q 3 working for you? 4 Α Yes. 5 0 How many people? I think at the most it was seven. 6 А 7 0 Aside from Mr. Marlow and Mr. Staulter (spelled phonetically) were there other persons? 8 9 А Yes. 10 0 Were they -- when you say seven, does that count turnover? 11 12 Α No. 13 0 Okay. Total at one time. 14 Α All right. Now, were you familiar with 15 0 16 how this paint sludge was generated? 17 Α Yes. 18 Can you describe how it was generated? 0 19 А We painted bicycle helmets, and they 20 would come into a paint booth on a conveyor, and that's when the paint was applied. And the paint 21 22 sludge was a result of the overspray that dropped into the booth and caught the water, and that's 23 where the sludge was obtained. 24

> KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY Belleville, Illinois

1 Q Now, was this an automatic spray process? 2 А No. 3 Were people used to actually spray the 0 4 helmets? 5 А Yes. 6 Were the helmets sprayed only during the 0 7 first shift? 8 А It varied. The majority of time it was 9 only on first shift. 10 Q When you say the majority of the time, could you characterize that in terms of a 11 12 percentage? A Over 50 percent. 13 14 Q Now, how was the spray gathered or 15 captured or contained in the water that you described? 16 17 А The spray hit the water, the waterfall. 18 0 There was a waterfall behind the paint 19 line, as it were? 20 А In the paint booth, behind the conveyor line. 21 22 0 Was it a continuous stream of water like a waterfall? 23 24 А Yes.

> KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY Belleville, Illinois

1 Q How large was the waterfall? 2 About five foot by five foot. А 3 Five feet by five feet, you mean it would 0 fall a distance of five feet? 4 The back wall was approximately that 5 Α 6 size. 7 0 Okay. So a square area of approximately five feet? 8 9 А Approximately. 10 0 Would more than one person be involved in 11 the spraying? 12 Α Only one person in one booth. 13 0 How many booths were there? 14 Α Three. Were they all operated simultaneously? 15 0 16 Α No. Normally 90 percent one booth, 90 17 percent of the time one booth and maybe 30 -- 20 to 18 30 percent two booths and the third booth was 19 rarely, rarely used for spraying. 20 0 Would there only be one color sprayed at a time in one paint line? 21 22 А In one paint booth? Yes, sir, in one paint booth? 23 Q Just one color. 24 А

> KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY Belleville, Illinois

1 0 Would that color be changed during the 2 course of a single shift? 3 It could be, yes. А 4 0 Well, usually and customarily would it be a process of running three or four different colors 5 during that particular shift? 6 7 Α It really varied according to the schedule. 8 All right. So on some days it could have 9 0 10 been a single color all day? 11 А Yes. 12 On some days it could have been two or 0 13 three or as many as five colors that day? 14 Α Yes. Now, what would happen to the water after 15 0 16 it was -- after the paint spray was captured by the 17 water, what would happen to it? When we took the sludge out of the back 18 А 19 of the booth we did take some of the water with it, 20 but it remained. It recycled in the booth. Now, what container was there that the 21 0 22 water and the paint fell into? Can you describe the nature of that container? 23 24 А It was just part of the paint booth. I

> KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY Belleville, Illinois

1 can't describe it.

2 Was there a container of some sort or did 0 3 it just fall on the floor? 4 А No, it was part of the paint booth, inside the paint booth. 5 6 Can you describe how large an area that 0 the water fell into in terms of the container? 7 Five foot by six feet, seven feet. 8 А 9 Did it have a depth where this water 0 would fall? 10 Yes. It was -- I would have to -- I 11 Α 12 don't know. It was not over three feet deep. 13 0 Okay. That would be the depth of the 14 water? Yes. 15 Α 16 Q Okay. 17 Α Probably less. Two feet, perhaps? 18 0 19 А It could be. 20 Q Less than two feet? А Doubtful. 21 22 0 All right. What would happen to the water then, if anything? 23 24 А It stayed in the booth.

> KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY Belleville, Illinois

1 Q Would it be recycled through the booth? 2 It stayed in the booth. We would add А 3 water if needed. 4 0 So the water that would be used in the 5 waterfall was sort of just a continuous feeding 6 process with the same water and maybe you would 7 have to add some from time to time? 8 Α Yes. 9 Is that correct? 0 10 А Yes. 11 Q Now, at some point in time this paint sludge would have to be removed; is that correct? 12 13 А Correct. 14 0 How was that done? There is a small door on the back of the 15 Α 16 booth that we could -- gives us access to the 17 inside, and we would dip the paint sludge out of it, out of the water. 18 What tool or device was used for that 19 0 20 purpose? It looked something like a shovel, but it 21 Α 22 was a -- made with some heavy grading, diamond shaped grading that let the water fall through as 23 24 we picked up the sludge, with a handle on it.

98

1 Q So this would be the size, say, for 2 example of a grain scoop? 3 No, of a flat shovel, scoop or a shovel, А I mean a flat shovel, maybe ten by ten inches. 4 5 0 Generally square though? А 6 Yes. 7 0 Is that correct? Okay. Where would the 8 paint sludge be placed? We put it into a bucket right there 9 Α 10 because of the size of the access door and then we put it into a drum. 11 12 A 55 type --0 13 А A 55 gallon drum. All right. Now, how often would the 14 0 sludge have to be emptied from that booth, 15 16 container? That was strictly due to the -- you know, 17 Α how much we painted. And that schedule was all 18 19 over the place. It could be any sort of time; once 20 a week or it could vary all over the place. Can you give me some indication of how 21 0 22 long it would take to fill up one of those barrels? I have no idea. 23 А You have no idea? 24 Q

99

1 А Huh-uh. 2 You don't remember? 0 3 А No. 4 0 Would you fill one up each time you did the process of removing the sludge? 5 6 А No. 7 0 Then how often would you remove the 8 sludge? 9 MR. TAYLOR: Asked and answered. 10 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Overruled. THE WITNESS: As needed. As time 11 12 permitted. 13 0 (By Mr. Latshaw) Well, let me ask you 14 this. Let's assume for a moment that you did the painting on the first shift, and that there was not 15 16 going to be any further painting until the next 17 first shift the next day. Would somebody on the second or third shift, if there is one, then remove 18 19 the sludge prior to the next day? 20 Α That's when we did it, correct. Okay. Was that every time, every day? 21 0 22 А No, no. Not at all. Would it be more than twice a week? 23 0 It depends entirely on how much we 24 А 100

1 paint. I mean, you could paint one day a week or 2 you could paint four days a week. You know, it made a difference. 3 4 0 You didn't paint every day, then? 5 Α No. Would you paint at least once a week? 6 0 7 Α Yes. Now, as I understand it, there were two 8 Q barrels provided close to each other; is that 9 10 correct? 11 Α Correct. 12 One was for hazardous type material and 0 13 one was for paint sludge or nonhazardous; is that 14 correct? 15 А Correct. 16 0 What would go into the hazardous barrel? 17 Α Any left over paint at the end of a run, the end of the day, that maybe got contaminated or 18 19 couldn't use or -- and then maybe some material 20 they used to clean the pots with or the guns with, the paint guns with. 21 22 0 Something like paint thinner? 23 Α Yes. 24 Q Now, these two barrels were not locked or

101

1 sealed, were they?

2 A No.

3 Q They would have lids on them, though, 4 would they not?

5 A Yes.

Q Aside from the person in the paint booth,
who was operating the painting process or whatever
that was, were these barrels located in some
location where someone could walk by?

10 A Yes, uh-huh.

11 Q Can you indicate here on the record the 12 location of the paint booth at the Rantoul facility 13 at Bell with regard to different hallways or 14 passageways near it?

15 A The paint room is located -- the paint 16 booth is located in the paint room, separate 17 walls. The paint room is right off the main aisle 18 that goes from the raw material warehouse to the 19 production area.

Q When you say right off the main aisle, do
you mean a fairly large passageway of some kind?
A A breezeway, an aisle.
Q Okay. What door or access was there to

24 the paint room from that aisle?

102

1 А A 30 man door. 2 0 Excuse me? 3 A 30 man door. А 4 0 I am sorry. I don't understand what that 5 is? A three foot door. 6 Α 7 0 I see. A three foot door. Okay? (Nodded head up and down.) 8 Α 9 A man door meaning a door as I would 0 10 probably refer to a door for people to walk through; is that correct? 11 12 А Yes. 13 0 As opposed to a vehicle door, such as a 14 garage door? Α 15 Correct. 16 0 All right. Now, was that door locked? 17 Α No. Okay. How many people had access to that 18 0 19 door? 20 Α It is one of the areas that -- I mean, you don't go into while they are painting. 21 You 22 can't. You are not supposed to, I should say. But other than the time that they are painting, you 23 know, different people can go in there. I don't 24

103

1 know how many.

2 Well, let's assume for the -- let me ask Ο you to assume on the second or third shift; would 3 4 there be access that people could have to that 5 paint room during those times, if people were working those shifts? 6 7 Α Other than the paint people, you mean? 8 0 Other than the paint people. Yes, people could get in there probably. 9 Α 10 You worked the first shift; is that 0 11 correct? 12 Α Correct. 13 0 What specific hours of the day constituted the first shift of Bell at that time? 14 6:00 a.m. was the start and worked for 15 Α eight hours, so 6:00 to 2:00. 16 17 0 Until 2:00. All right. That was the period you worked; is that correct? 18 19 Α Yes. 20 0 Do you -- were there any kind of reports or any kind of documentation that was generated for 21 22 you to review after -- or rather by the people who would be working after the first shift that you 23 24 would review the next morning when you returned to

104

1 work?

2 A No.

3 It was also at that time, though, was it 0 4 not, that the people that worked for you would 5 remove the sludge; is that correct? 6 Α Yes. 7 0 Okay. But you wouldn't get any report 8 from them? 9 А Other than --10 0 Indicating that we had to empty it and how much we did and that kind of thing? 11 Not that type of report. 12 Α 13 0 Okay. Well, what type of report, if you 14 qot one? I would get a report if there was any 15 Α 16 problems or, of course, hours they worked, just 17 anything unusual that may have happened. 18 Can you give me an example of something 0 19 unusual that happened? 20 Α A conveyor broke and needs to be repaired first thing in the morning. 21 22 0 Conveyor, they would be painting during the second shift, then? 23 24 А No, he maintained the conveyor, also.

105

1 Q All right. Let me clear this here. Did 2 people work the second and/or third shift who 3 worked for you? 4 Α One person did. 5 0 Who was that? Proemba. 6 Α 7 0 All right. What was Proemba's job? He did several things. Some general 8 Α maintenance and ran a bailer, a garbage compactor, 9 10 and removed the sludge from the paint booths. Was this general maintenance clean up 11 Q 12 type work? 13 А Yes. 14 0 How do you spell his name, so that the record is clear? 15 16 Α P-R-O-E-M-B-A. 17 Q Okay. So the only report that you would 18 get from Mr. Proemba would be some kind of oral 19 report of some sort? 20 Α It would be handwritten if there was a problem. 21 22 0 Did this operation run three shifts? Which operation? 23 А Bell Sports in Rantoul? 24 Q

106

1 Α Sometimes. I mean, we are talking 2 several years here. Sometimes there were only one. Sometimes there were three. 3 4 0 All right. Mr. Proemba, however, would always work the second shift? 5 The second or the third. 6 Α 7 0 All right. MR. NAHMOD: Mr. Hearing Officer, we 8 would object to this continuing line of 9 10 questioning. Mr. Latshaw just seems to be asking whatever comes to mind. The relevance really isn't 11 12 clear. 13 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Overruled. 14 Q (By Mr. Latshaw) Now, Mr. Riddle, where was your office with regard to the location of the 15 two barrels you just described? 16 17 Α On the opposite end of the building. And did you spend much time in your 18 0 19 office or were you floating around the facilities 20 inspecting or doing what it is you do? I spent quite a bit of time in my office 21 А 22 and I was on the floor as needed, uh-huh. So people could come and go into this 23 0 24 paint room, and you wouldn't know it; is that

107

1 right?

2 A Yes.

3 All right. Now, were you responsible for 0 4 training the people who would handle this paint 5 sludge? 6 Right, yes. А 7 0 With regard to the manner in which you trained them, was there any kind of written 8 instructions which you gave to them? 9 10 А In regards to the training, is that what you said. 11 12 0 With regard to I guess the training regarding the paint sludge, did you give them any 13 14 kind of written instructions? 15 А No. 16 0 Okay. Did they have a checklist? 17 Α Yes. There was a checklist and a slide presentation that we used specifically for that. 18 19 0 Now, what did this checklist consist of 20 with regard to the barrels in the paint booth area, paint room? 21 22 А I don't remember everything, but I do know it had the spec on the drums to use, and 23 the -- how to seal up a drum properly with the 24

108

1 gaskets and the rings and the nuts. I don't 2 remember what else was on there, that was on 3 there. 4 0 All right. Where would the empty drums come from? 5 6 Our purchasing group purchased them. Α 7 0 Were they kept -- did you keep a stockpile, rather, of empty drums someplace? 8 9 Out back of the building. А 10 0 Okay. That's also where the drums would be placed after fill; is that correct? 11 12 А Correct. 13 0 At the same facility? 14 А Correct. Now, these drums at some point in time 15 0 16 were tagged or labeled; is that correct? 17 А Correct. 18 Do you know at which point in time they 0 19 were tagged or labeled? 20 Α We always labeled them when we started to fill a drum, as soon as we first got ready to fill 21 22 a drum, we labeled it. Now, where were the labels kept? 23 0 My office. 24 А

109

1 0 Who had access to them? 2 Well, really just me. Α Were they locked? 3 0 4 Α When my office was locked, they were 5 locked. 6 Was your office locked during the day 0 7 when you were working? No, not most of the time. 8 Α So if you were to leave your office 9 0 10 during the day and go somewhere to do something, you would not lock your office; is that correct? 11 12 А Correct. 13 0 Would it be possible for someone to come in and pick up a label if they needed one for the 14 15 drum? 16 А Yes, it is possible. 17 Q Okay. Now, were you present all the time when labels were placed on the drums? 18 19 А No. 20 0 Did you check to make sure labels were placed on the drums on a daily basis? 21 22 А No. Could a drum go into the paint area, the 23 Q paint booth, or paint room, and remain there for 24

110

1 the period of time that it was going to be used for 2 paint sludge, and then be taken to the storage area without a label ever being put on it? 3 4 MR. TAYLOR: Objection. It calls for speculation. The question is could. I mean --5 6 MR. LATSHAW: I am sorry. I couldn't 7 hear. MR. TAYLOR: The question calls for 8 speculation. 9 10 MR. LATSHAW: Well, if he knows, in terms of if he wasn't there 100 percent of the time. If 11 there is some basis for him to claim that that is 12 not possible, I am trying to find out if such a 13 14 thing could have happened. HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Go ahead and 15 16 answer the question, Mr. Riddle. THE WITNESS: Could you ask it again? 17 18 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Could you read 19 the question back. 20 (Whereupon the requested portion of the record was read 21 back by the Reporter.) 22 THE WITNESS: Well, anything is 23 24 possible. It could. That wasn't the way we

111

1 trained them to do it.

2 Q (By Mr. Latshaw) Okay. But you didn't 3 check to make sure that that was done, that they 4 were following the checklist; is that correct? 5 Α Not daily. All right. Were these labels numbered in 6 0 7 some kind of accounting system? 8 Α No. 9 With regard to the nonhazardous paint 0 10 sludge, in 1992, for example, do you know what colors were being used to identify them? 11 12 А I don't recall exactly. 13 0 Excuse me? 14 А I don't recall exactly, no. Did you at any time use green labels for 15 0 16 nonhazardous? I think so. I am not 100 percent sure. 17 А Do you know what color of label Bell used 18 0 19 in 1992, for example, with regard to labeling 20 hazardous drums? А 21 Yellow. 22 0 Was that the color consistently used by Bell for its hazardous barrels? 23 24 А Yes.

112

1 0 But the nonhazardous could have been or 2 the special waste sludge could have been different 3 colors from time to time except yellow? 4 Α Yes. Okay. What was supposed to be written on 5 0 these labels, if anything? 6 7 Which labels? А Well, let's take the nonhazardous paint 8 0 sludge labels. Did you instruct your people to 9 10 write anything on them? Not on the nonhaz. 11 Α 12 Were they preprinted in some form 0 13 specially for Bell? 14 Α What time frame are you talking about? I am referring to, say, for example, 15 0 1992, if you can recall? 16 17 Α No. 18 They were not? 0 19 А No. 20 Q Okay. Was there any printing or writing done on any of the hazardous labels? 21 It was preprinted asking for the name, 22 А date, and start date. 23 24 Q All right.

> KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY Belleville, Illinois

113

1 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: The start 2 date? 3 THE WITNESS: Start date. 4 Q (By Mr. Latshaw) Now, when they were filled or after the paint sludge barrels were 5 6 filled, they would be removed to the storage area; is that correct? 7 8 А Correct. 9 Now, this is a facility that is located 0 10 outside the building? А Correct. 11 12 Can you describe it in terms of its 0 13 dimensions? 14 A Approximately 80 feet by 100 with an eight foot fence around it up against a block 15 16 building. 17 0 Aside from both empty and filled barrels, what else was located inside that? 18 19 А Some equipment; maybe some obsolete 20 equipment; maybe a few racks, racks being obsolete equipment also, but that's about it. 21 22 0 All right. There was a gate? 23 А Yes. 24 Q What kind of a gate?

114

1 А A double swing gate. 2 Big enough for a vehicle? Q 3 Uh-huh, yes. А 4 0 Was the gate locked? Most of the time. 5 Α 6 Was it locked during the day? 0 7 Α If we weren't using it, if we weren't going in and out of it. 8 9 It was not locked all the time during the 0 10 first shift; is that correct? 11 Α Correct. 12 Could you see this area from your office? 0 13 А No. 14 0 Now, at some point in time Waste Hauling, Inc. was contracted by Bell to pick up special 15 16 waste sludge; is that correct? 17 А Correct. 18 Were you responsible for that? Did you 0 19 make those arrangements? 20 А Yes. Did you speak with Mr. Camfield or did he 21 0 22 come to you? I spoke to him. 23 А All right. Did you contact any other 24 Q

115

1 haulers or landfills at that time? 2 Yes, Villa Grove and one in А 3 Champaign-Urbana. 4 0 Did you say Villa Grove? 5 Α That was one, yes. 6 All right. Do you know approximately 0 7 when that was? No, I don't. 8 Α 9 Okay. After that arrangement was made, 0 10 did you have your paint sludge hauled anywhere else until --11 12 With Waste Hauling? Α 13 0 Yes. 14 Α No. Well, let me represent to you that Waste 15 Q 16 Hauling Landfill was shut down in May of 1992. 17 Between the time that you originally contacted Mr. Camfield until May of 1992, is the period I am 18 19 referring to. 20 Α Yes. During that period he is the only one you 21 0 22 used; is that correct? 23 А Correct. 24 MR. LATSHAW: Could you mark this,

116

1 please. 2 (Whereupon said document was 3 duly marked for purposes of identification as WHL Exhibit 4 17 as of this date.) 5 6 MS. MENOTTI: Do we have copies of the 7 exhibit? 8 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Do you have 9 copies? 10 MR. LATSHAW: No, I do not. That's the second time I have done that. 11 12 Maybe you would like to take a look at 13 this. 14 (Mr. Latshaw passed the 15 document to Ms. Menotti.) 16 MR. LATSHAW: Those are the special waste 17 manifests that were provided to you during 18 discovery for Bell through Waste Hauling. 19 0 (By Mr. Latshaw) Mr. Riddle, I want to 20 hand you what has been marked as Waste Hauling or WHL Exhibit 17, and ask you to examine those 21 22 documents. Take your time. Okay. (The witness reviewed the 23 А document.) Okay. 24

> KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY Belleville, Illinois

117

1 Q Do you recognize those as copies of Bell 2 Sports special waste manifests for paint sludge shipped to Waste Hauling Landfill? 3 4 А Yes. Okay. And they cover the period 5 Ο 6 basically 1989 -- April of 1989 through April of 1992; is that correct? 7 8 Α Yes. 9 Were those manifests prepared by you? 0 10 А All except two. Which two would those be, sir? 11 Q Manifest document number NR41 and 12 А 13 manifest document NR -- and I can't make it out. 14 It is dated 12-09 and 12-11. Which year, please? 15 0 16 А I can't read it. 17 Q Was someone else authorized to generate 18 those manifests from Bell in your absence? 19 А Yes. 20 0 Who would that have been? George Staulter. 21 Α 22 0 Do you recognize Mr. Staulter's writing? 23 Α Yes. 24 Q Are you representing that those documents

118

1 were prepared by him?

2 A Yes.

Now, Bell Sports never shipped any paint 3 0 4 sludge to Waste Hauling Landfill without a manifest; is that correct? 5 6 А Correct. 7 0 You had to sign the manifest; is that 8 correct? 9 А Correct. 10 0 All of those are signed by you; is that right, except for Mr. Staulter's? 11 12 А Yes. 13 0 Now, when would you sign the manifest with regard to a shipment that Mr. Camfield or 14 someone from his company would pick up? 15 16 Α After the drums were loaded. 17 Q Would you then inspect the load? 18 Α Not every time, no. 19 0 Was someone responsible for inspecting 20 the load at Bell after it was loaded? No, just the person that was loading it 21 Α 22 was responsible for it. Do you know, is there some person, 23 0 24 rather, that you had assigned to do the loading job

119

1 all of the time?

2 It was either George Staulter or Marlow. Α 3 They would use, what, the forklift or 0 4 something like that? The fork lift. 5 Α The barrels would be stored on pallets? 6 0 7 Α Not necessarily, no. All right. Would you inspect or would --8 0 did you instruct those two gentlemen to insure that 9 10 all barrels had labels on them? 11 Α Yes. 12 Would you from time to time make sure 0 13 that they did, as well? 14 Α Yes. You don't know, however, if every load, 15 0 16 or do you, that every load was inspected to insure 17 that there were labels on every barrel? 18 It was not inspected by me. Α 19 0 If the other two gentlemen you described 20 did, in fact, inspect it, would they have made some report to you that they, in fact, had done so and 21 22 they looked good? 23 Α No, there was not a report. 24 Q Okay. But you would then sign the

120

1 manifest after someone would come and tell you we 2 are loaded and ready, and you would come outside and hand it to the driver? 3 4 А That or the driver would come to my office and I would sign it there. 5 6 Okay. On those occasions where he came 0 7 to your office, you would not inspect the load, 8 correct? 9 А Correct. 10 0 Okay. Do you recall in June of 1992 an occasion when representatives of the EPA and the 11 State Police came to Bell? 12 13 А Yes. 14 0 Were you present then? 15 Α Yes. 16 0 Was there a meeting of some kind? 17 Α Yes. Do you remember Mr. Zierath being 18 0 19 present, or do you know Mr. Zierath? 20 А Yes, I know him. I don't remember that he was there. 21 22 0 All right. Were other representatives of the EPA there? 23 24 А The only one I remember at that meeting

121

1 was the State Police, and that's the only one I 2 remember as to who he was. 3 Did they tell you why they were there? 0 4 Α Yes, in the meeting, uh-huh. What did they tell you? 5 Q That -- I don't recall, you know, 6 Α 7 exactly. Well, did they tell you that the EPA 8 0 claims that they had hazardous waste found in Waste 9 10 Hauling Landfill and it came from Bell? Somebody in that group did. 11 Α 12 Okay. That's the first you heard about 0 13 it, I guess; is that right? 14 Α Yes. Do you know on behalf of Bell who else 15 0 16 was present at that meeting? 17 Α Bill Hassell was there. 18 Who is Mr. Hassell? 0 19 А He was my -- he was the plant manager and my immediate boss. 20 Who else? 21 0 22 Α No one else that I can recall from Bell. Did you state and make any comments or 23 Q 24 make any statements at that meeting with regard to

122

1 the truth or veracity of that assertion?

2 A No.

3 Did Mr. Hassell make any assertion at 0 4 that point in time to the truth or veracity? Not that I recall. 5 А 6 Mr. Hassell then subsequently prepared a 0 letter to Mr. Zierath; is that correct? 7 Correct. 8 А 9 Have you seen that letter? 0 10 А Yes. I wonder if we could -- that would be 11 Q 12 Waste Hauling 14. I want to show you Waste Hauling 13 Exhibit 14. Do you recall seeing that document, 14 sir? 15 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: That goes with 16 it. 17 THE WITNESS: What was the question? 18 Q Do you recall seeing that document 19 before, sir? 20 А Yes. Did you play any hand in having it 21 0 22 prepared for Mr. Hassles' signature? 23 А Yes. 24 Q Did you write it?

123

1 A The fact parts I did.

2 Okay. There is a reference here to a 0 copy of an -- I will have you look at the bottom of 3 4 the first page, please. There is a reference to a 5 copy of an EP toxicity analysis? 6 А Yes. I believe that is the loose document 7 0 8 accompanying. That document was included at the time this was transmitted; is that correct? 9 10 А Yes. Now, apparently Mr. Zierath had made a 11 Q 12 specific request for specific information, 13 apparently, am I correct? 14 Α Yes. Now, I guess it is item number two 15 0 16 referred to in this letter, and I guess it is 17 attached as the third attachment to the letter. It appears to be an ESE Engineering report. Do you 18 19 have the document now? 20 Α The last page? Yes, sir, it would be the last page. 21 0 22 А I have got it. The writing up there has paint sludge and 23 Q 24 an arrow. Did you write that?

124

1 A I sure did.

2 Q Okay. What was this report or this 3 particular attachment? What is it? It is results of some tests that ESE did 4 А for Bell with testing the paint sludge. 5 6 Okay. Had you at any time -- you had 0 7 communicated that particular document to Mr. Camfield in the past; is that correct? 8 9 А Not that I recall. 10 MR. LATSHAW: Could you mark this. Thank 11 you. 12 (Whereupon said document was 13 duly marked for purposes of identification as WHL Exhibit 14 18 as of this date.) 15 16 MR. LATSHAW: That again is the correspondence with Bell to Mr. Camfield through 17 18 discovery. I think you have seen this, Byron. 19 0 (By Mr. Latshaw) Mr. Riddle, I will have 20 you examine WHL Exhibit 18, please. Do you recall that document, sir? 21 22 А Yes. You wrote that letter as handwritten; is 23 0 that correct? 24

125

1 A Yes.

2 Q All right. Can you characterize what 3 that letter is? 4 Α The results of TCLP test of our special 5 waste. 6 Now, the attachment to that exhibit is 0 7 the same as the last page of the exhibit -- the attachment to the exhibit Waste Hauling 14; is that 8 9 correct? 10 А Correct. So is it fair to say then that you had 11 Q 12 communicated -- what is the date of the Exhibit 18, 13 sir? 12-17-90. 14 А Okay. So you communicated to Mr. 15 Q 16 Camfield the results of your TCLP in 1990; is that 17 correct? А 18 Correct. 19 0 For your paint sludge? 20 А Yes. Now, subsequent to 1992, you had also had 21 0 22 a visit from Mr. Zierath in I believe January of 1993. Do you recall that? 23 24 A Not specifically that date.

126

1 Q All right, sir. But some time in the 2 winter after June of 1992? 3 А Yes. 4 0 Were you present when Mr. Zierath came to your establishment at that time? 5 6 А No. 7 0 You were not there? 8 А No. 9 Okay. Did you subsequently receive some 0 10 communication from Mr. Zierath with regard to his visit during the winter? 11 12 А Yes. 13 0 I am going to hand you I think Exhibit 14 15, Waste Hauling Landfill 15? 15 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: It is Exhibit 16 16. 17 Q (By Mr. Latshaw) Excuse me. It is 18 Exhibit 16. And ask you if you have seen that document before? 19 20 А Yes. Do you recall if there was any discussion 21 0 22 or reaction to that document by yourself and Mr. Hassell? 23 I am sure we discussed it. 24 А

127

1 0 Well, did it mean anything to you, I 2 guess, is my question? 3 This? А 4 0 Exhibit 16, yes, sir. 5 Α Well, I guess I don't understand the question. 6 7 0 Well, were you able to understand what it 8 was communicating to you? 9 А Oh. Yes. 10 0 Did Bell Sports do anything about it at that time? Did it do anything as a result of 11 receiving that communication from Mr. Zierath? 12 13 Α We contacted our consultants. All right. Now, you were aware at some 14 0 time that Mr. Camfield's landfill was shut down; is 15 16 that correct? 17 А Yes. What did you do with the paint sludge 18 0 19 between the time you learned about that in March of 20 1993, I believe was the date of that letter; is that correct? 21 22 А March of 1993 is the date of the letter. Yes. What did you do with the paint 23 Q 24 sludge? Did you ship it someplace else between

128

1 June of 1992 and March of 1993?

2 A No, we didn't ship any nonhazardous after 3 that date. 4 0 Did you contact any other landfills for the purpose of doing that? 5 6 А I didn't. 7 0 You didn't. Did anyone on behalf of Bell, that you know of, contact any other 8 9 landfills? 10 А No. How about are you familiar with the 11 Q landfill in Clinton? 12 13 А I just know that there is one there. 14 0 Did you have any contact with the landfill there to ship the special waste sludge? 15 16 А No. 17 Q Are you aware of whether anyone else did on behalf of Bell, that is, sir? 18 19 MR. TAYLOR: Asked and answered. 20 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Overruled. THE WITNESS: I am not aware. 21 22 Q (By Mr. Latshaw) All right, sir. As a part of Exhibit 15, if I may hand you Waste 23 Hauling -- WHL Exhibit 15, imbedded in that exhibit 24

129

1 is what appears to be a Bell Sports application for 2 paint sludge to the Clinton Landfill. 3 MR. TAYLOR: Object to the characterization of this document. 4 MR. LATSHAW: All right. Well, let me 5 6 rephrase it. 7 0 (By Mr. Latshaw) Imbedded in that document, do you see an application for a special 8 9 waste permit involving the Clinton Landfill? 10 А Yes. 11 0 The waste generator is Bell Helmets; is that correct? 12 13 А Yes. 14 Q Does that refresh your recollection that you were trying to find some other landfill to take 15 16 special waste at that time? 17 Α No. 18 It does not? 0 19 А No. 20 Q Do you know who generated this document? 21 А No. 22 Ο If you would look at the fifth page, there appears to be a letterhead of Bell attached 23 to it; is that correct? 24

130

1 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: It is the fifth 2 page of the permit. 3 THE WITNESS: After this? HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Yes. 4 (By Mr. Latshaw) I am sorry. The fifth 5 Q page of the permit. 6 7 Α Yes. 8 Q Your name appears at the bottom of it? 9 А Yes. 10 0 Is it dated October 22, 1992? 11 Α Uh-huh, yes. Is this letter on Bell letterhead 12 0 13 intended to be a part of this permit application? 14 Α I have no idea. Well, look at page four, one page 15 0 16 forward. It appears to be a page with your 17 signature on it; is that correct? 18 А Correct. 19 Ο You still don't know if that was intended 20 to be a part of that application to Clinton? No, I don't. 21 Α 22 0 None of this refreshes your recollection, 23 I guess? 24 А Not with Clinton, no.

131

1 Q The page I just referred to you bears the 2 date of 10-02-92? 3 А Yes. 4 MR. LATSHAW: Excuse me. (Mr. Latshaw and Mr. Van Ness 5 6 confer briefly.) 7 MR. LATSHAW: I tender the witness. I 8 have no further questions. 9 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: All right. Mr. 10 Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: Can we go off the record for 11 12 a second? 13 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Yes. 14 (Discussion off the record.) HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Back on the 15 16 record. 17 Let me note here for the Board that you are going to do cross-examination as well as 18 19 substantive questions at this point to save time in 20 recalling Mr. Riddle at a later date. 21 Is that a fair statement? 22 MR. TAYLOR: Yes, that is a fair 23 statement. 24 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: All right. So

132

1 the Board is put on notice at this point. 2 CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. TAYLOR: 3 Mr. Riddle, I have some additional 4 Q questions for you. 5 Will you explain for us the types of 6 7 waste generated in the paint room at the Bell Sports facility in Rantoul? 8 9 Hazardous and nonhazardous. А 10 Ο And what was the nonhazardous waste? The sludge, the paint -- the sludge out 11 Α 12 of the back of the paint booths. 13 Ο And what was the hazardous waste? Anything that was generated from the 14 Α painting operation; left over paint, cleaning out 15 16 the pots and guns with the paint thinners and stuff 17 they cleaned out the paint with. 18 Did you generate equal amounts of these 0 19 wastes? 20 Α No. Was there more of one type of waste 21 0 22 generated? More of the nonhazardous. 23 Α 24 Q Why is that?

133

1 Α Well, the hazardous is -- I mean, this 2 paint is real expensive. I mean, you just want to keep that to a minimum. You don't like throwing 3 money out the door. But the paint sludge, the 4 amount of that was dictated by how much we 5 6 painted. 7 0 Okay. So you didn't generate one drum of hazardous waste for one drum of nonhazardous waste? 8 9 Α No, no. 10 0 Do you know what the percentage was? No, I don't. 11 Α 12 Now, how do you know that the 0 13 nonhazardous waste was, in fact, nonhazardous? I had a consultant, ESE, test it. 14 Α What does ESE stand for? 15 0 16 А Environmental Science & Engineering out 17 of Peoria. Did they, in fact, test it? 18 0 19 А Yes. 20 0 Did they test it more than once? 21 Α Yes. 22 0 Do you recall how many times they tested 23 it? 24 Α No.

134

1 Q Did they test the hazardous waste? 2 I don't recall. А 3 Okay. Now, did ESE or a representative 0 4 of ESE ever come to the Bell Sports facility? 5 Α Yes. Who was that? 6 0 7 А Ken Konter. Do you know about how often he would come 8 0 to the facility? 9 10 А In a year's time, more than 10 times but less than 20. 11 12 Okay. Did he ever provide you with any 0 13 assistance other than sampling this paint waste 14 stream? 15 А Yes. 16 0 What other types of things did Mr. Konter 17 do? He helped me with some of the reports. 18 А 19 0 What types of reports? 20 Α Annual reports. Relating to? 21 0 22 А The generation of waste. Okay. Now, did Mr. Konter see how these 23 Q 24 wastes were generated?

135

1 A Yes.

2 He was familiar with it? 0 3 А Yes. 4 0 Did he provide you any advice on how to segregate these wastes? 5 6 А Yes. 7 0 And what did he tell you? To mark them accordingly and keep them as 8 Α far apart as we could in the storage area. 9 10 0 Okay. So did you decide to segregate these waste streams? 11 12 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Let's go off 13 the record. 14 (Discussion off the record.) HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Back on the 15 16 record. Thank you. 17 Would you read his last question back. 18 (Whereupon the requested 19 portion of the record was read 20 back by the Reporter.) (By Mr. Taylor) Let me clarify the 21 0 22 question. Did you decide this without the assistance of Mr. Konter to segregate the waste 23 24 streams?

136

1 А I don't guess I understand. 2 Well, did you decide, without consulting 0 with Mr. Konter, to put the sludge into one drum 3 4 and the hazardous materials into another drum? 5 Α No. Now, who would fill the nonhazardous 6 0 7 drum? Most of the time it would be Proemba. 8 Α And did he work for you? 9 0 10 А Yes. And who would fill the hazardous waste 11 0 12 drums? 13 А Most of the time it would be the painter. 14 Okay. Now, these people, did they 0 receive any training in how to handle these 15 16 materials? 17 Α Yes, that's one of the things Ken Konter did with the slide presentation. 18 Can you tell us, to the best of your 19 0 20 recollection, what all was encompassed within that training program? 21 22 А We went through everything from the definitions of hazardous and nonhazardous to the 23 24 size of the drum, the spec of the drums, to how to

137

1 handle it, proper procedures. I don't remember 2 anything else, I mean, specifically. 3 Did you have a clear understanding of 0 4 what was supposed to take place after that training? 5 6 А Yes. 7 0 Did the employees who worked for you have 8 an understanding of what was supposed to take 9 place? 10 А Yes. Now, when was it -- for purposes of 11 Q 12 clarification, when was it that the labels were 13 affixed to the drums? They were put on the day, when we started 14 Α to -- when you put material into a drum, that's 15 16 when the label was applied. 17 0 Now, were you -- did you ever go into the paint room? 18 19 А Yes. Did you see the drums in the paint room? 20 Q 21 Α Yes. 22 0 When you went into the paint room, could you see the labels on the drums? 23 24 А Yes.

138

1 Q If you ever found a drum that was not 2 labeled, was there any sort of policy or procedure 3 in how to handle that drum? 4 А Yes, we called it hazardous if we didn't 5 know. Even if it contained nonhazardous 6 0 7 material? А 8 Yes. 9 Now, where were the drums inside of the 0 10 paint room, relative to the other equipment in the paint room? 11 Right beside -- well, to the left-hand 12 А 13 side of the paint booth, if you are standing looking at the paint booth, approximately four foot 14 15 away. 16 0 Is this a large room? 17 Α No. 18 Were the drums next to one another in the 0 19 paint room? 20 Α They could be. Sometimes they were. Was it physically possible to put them 21 0 22 in, like, say, catty-corner within the paint room? 23 Α No. 24 Q Why was that?

139

1 А We had an oven behind the paint booths 2 that wouldn't allow you to get a drum to the other side of the room. 3 Okay. Now, you stated on -- when Mr. 4 0 5 Latshaw was asking you questions, that the hazardous waste labels were yellow? 6 7 А Yes. What color, ordinarily, were the 8 0 nonhazardous labels? 9 10 А As I recall, it was green, solid green or 11 green and white or, you know. 12 If I showed you copies of these labels 0 13 would you be able to recognize them? 14 А The hazardous ones for sure. (Mr. Taylor showed the label to 15 16 Mr. Latshaw and Ms. Menotti.) 17 MR. LATSHAW: I think we have seen those before, haven't we? 18 19 MR. TAYLOR: Could you mark this, please. 20 (Whereupon said document was duly marked for purposes of 21 22 identification as Bell Exhibit 3 as of this date.) 23 (By Mr. Taylor) Mr. Riddle, I would like 24 Q

140

1 to hand you what has been marked as Bell Exhibit 2 Number 3. Do you know what that is? 3 А That's the label we used for hazardous 4 waste. Can you describe it for us, generally? 5 Q 6 It is square, red and yellow. There are Α 7 lines for generator information. Now, did you purchase these labels? 8 Q 9 А Yes. 10 0 Where did you purchase them from? 11 Α I asked our purchasing group to do that. 12 I don't know where they came from. Out of a magazine. 13 14 0 Were they just generally commercially available? 15 16 А Yes. 17 Q You didn't have them specially printed? 18 А No. 19 0 Okay. Now, who held on to these labels 20 before they were used at Bell Sports? А I did. 21 22 0 Was it -- did you keep these labels in the ordinary course of your business? 23 24 А Yes.

> KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY Belleville, Illinois

141

1 Q Was it ordinary for Bell Sports to keep 2 these labels in the ordinary course of business? 3 А Yes. 4 MR. TAYLOR: Could you mark this as Bell 5 Number 4, please. (Whereupon said document was 6 7 duly marked for purposes of identification as Bell Exhibit 8 4 as of this date.) 9 10 0 (By Mr. Taylor) Mr. Riddle, I would like 11 to hand you what has been marked as Bell Number 4. Do you know what that is? 12 13 Α It is a nonhazardous waste label. 14 Can you generally describe that label for 0 15 us? 16 А Green and white, nonhazardous waste 17 labeled on there twice, and it has some information for the generator. 18 19 0 In terms of size, is that similar in size as the hazardous waste label, which is Bell Number 20 21 3? 22 А Yes. 23 Q Do you recognize that label as an example 24 of the type of label that you would have placed on

142

1 the drums?

2 Α Yes, it looks something like that. 3 Now, where did you purchase these labels 0 4 from? The purchasing group ordered them for me. 5 Α Who kept them when you were employed at 6 0 7 Bell Sports? А I did. 8 9 Was it in the ordinary course of your 0 10 business to retain such labels? 11 А Yes. 12 Was it ordinary for Bell Sports to retain 0 13 those labels in the course of its business? 14 Α Yes. MR. TAYLOR: I would move to enter Bell 3 15 16 and 4 into evidence. 17 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Any objections, Ms. Menotti? 18 19 MS. MENOTTI: No. 20 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Mr. Latshaw? MR. LATSHAW: Well, yes, I think I should 21 22 interpose an objection to the -- I mean, the witness, first of all, with regard to the 23 nonhazardous label simply said it was sort of kind 24

143

1 of like the ones that they might have used, as I 2 recall his testimony. I don't think we have ever been provided with copies of these labels before 3 4 now. MR. TAYLOR: You never asked for them. 5 MR. LATSHAW: I don't know if it is on 6 7 the list of exhibits, either. MR. TAYLOR: It is on the list of 8 9 exhibits. 10 MR. LATSHAW: But I haven't seen it. I don't think there is a proper foundation certainly 11 for the green one, for the nonhazardous. It is 12 simply indicated that it might have been sort of 13 like the ones they used, but it is not necessarily 14 the one. 15 16 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: All right. 17 Bell 3 and 4 are admitted. 18 (Whereupon said documents were 19 admitted into evidence as Bell 20 Exhibits 3 and 4 as of this date.) 21 22 0 (By Mr. Taylor) Now, you stated that you retained these labels. Who actually placed the 23 labels on to the drums? 24

144

1 Α Most of the time it was Proemba. 2 Q Okay. 3 Or the painter. Α 4 0 You kept these -- where did you keep these labels? 5 6 In my drawer sometimes, other times I А 7 kept them in my file. Did you ever --8 0 9 In my filing cabinet. Α 10 0 Excuse me. I am sorry for interrupting you. You also stated, during testimony to Mr. 11 Latshaw, that you wouldn't lock your office during 12 13 your shift ordinarily? 14 Α Correct. The entire time that you were employed at 15 0 16 Bell Sports, did you ever have any problems with 17 people coming into your office and improperly 18 taking these labels? 19 А Not to my knowledge, no. 20 Q Now, who ordinarily went into the paint 21 room? 22 А Just the painters and, of course, the clean up crew person, Proemba, and occasionally a 23 24 QC person or supervisor might go in and talk to the

145

1 people.

2 Q And by QC person you mean? 3 Quality control. А 4 0 During the course of your employment at 5 Bell, did you ever have -- were you ever made aware of problems of people just randomly wandering into 6 7 this room? 8 Α No. Did you ever have any concerns that 9 0 anyone other than Proemba or another of your 10 11 employees would voluntarily go into that room and 12 clean up? 13 А No. 14 0 Now, at some point the drums in the paint room would become full, correct? 15 16 А Correct. 17 0 Can you explain to us what happened at 18 that point? 19 А At that point we would seal them up and 20 take them out back to the storage area. Okay. Did you -- how were they stored? 21 0 Most of the -- sometimes on pallets. 22 Α Sometimes just in the gravel area. 23 24 Q Did you try to segregate the hazardous

146

1 from --

2 А Oh, yes. 3 -- the nonhazardous drums? 0 4 Α Yes. We had hazardous on one side of the 5 storage area and nonhaz on the other. How did you know which was the hazardous 6 0 7 waste area and which was the nonhazardous waste 8 area? 9 Just by the labels on the drums. Α 10 0 And if you found a drum in the storage area that was not labeled, was there any sort of 11 12 policy or procedure to deal with that drum? 13 А Yes, if it had some type of waste in it, 14 we always called it hazardous, just to be safe. Now, did you ever assist in loading drums 15 0 16 on to a truck to be -- excuse me -- nonhazardous 17 drums on to a truck to be taken to Waste Hauling 18 Landfill? 19 А No. Who was it that checked to -- who was it 20 0 that loaded the drums on to the truck? 21 22 А It could have been Marlow most of the time and Staulter some of the time. 23 24 Q To the best of your knowledge, did Mr.

147

1 Marlow understand which drums were to be placed on 2 to the truck? 3 А Yes. 4 0 Which drums were those? The nonhazardous. 5 А You also stated, during Mr. Latshaw's 6 0 7 examination, that you didn't check daily to insure that the labels were on the drums. Why is that? 8 9 I might not get to that area that day. А 10 0 Did you have a concern that the drums would not be labeled? 11 12 А No, not at all. 13 0 Why not? 14 А Because we were -- the people were trained and there never was a problem. 15 16 Q Okay. What size drums were these wastes put into? 17 18 А 55 gallon. 19 0 Do you know what color they were, the 20 drums? No. They were mostly dark. They were 21 Α 22 black. Do you recall ever using green drums? 23 Q 24 А No.

148

1 0 You also stated that Mr. Konter would 2 sometimes help you fill out reports? 3 (Nodded head up and down.) А 4 0 Did you fill out a report relating to hazardous waste to be submitted to the IEPA? 5 6 А Yes, uh-huh. 7 0 Was there an annual report to be submitted to the IEPA? 8 9 Α Yes, uh-huh. 10 MR. TAYLOR: Could you mark this as Bell Exhibit Number 5, please. 11 12 (Whereupon said document was 13 duly marked for purposes of 14 identification as Bell Exhibit 5 as of this date.) 15 16 MR. LATSHAW: How is this identified on 17 your exhibit list? 18 MR. TAYLOR: Do you have it there? 19 MR. LATSHAW: Yes. Is that it? 20 MR. TAYLOR: Number 9. (By Mr. Taylor) I would like to hand to 21 0 22 you what has been marked as Bell Number 5. Do you recognize that document? 23 24 Α Yes.

149

1 Q Can you tell us what it is? 2 А It is a hazardous waste report. 3 Is this your signature at the bottom of 0 4 page one of this document? 5 Α Yes. Now, can you describe to us in a little 6 0 7 more detail what is indicated by this document? It describes the waste and the amount 8 А generated. 9 10 0 Which types of waste? 11 Α Like spent solvents, styrene monomer. HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Styrene what? 12 13 THE WITNESS: Monomer. 14 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Thank you. THE WITNESS: And spent thinner. 15 16 0 (By Mr. Taylor) Just for a clarification, 17 was the styrene essentially the foam for the 18 helmets? 19 Α Was it what? 20 0 For the foam portion of the helmet? MR. LATSHAW: I think I am going to have 21 22 to interpose an objection to the witness testifying in detail about the document because, first, it is 23 not in evidence. He can describe and identify what 24

150

it is for foundation purposes. Aside from that,
 the document speaks for itself, unless he needs to
 just explain language on it that might require
 clarification.

5 My second objection is that it was my 6 understanding that exhibits had to be specifically 7 identified on these lists of exhibits and this 8 document, according to Counsel, is manifests and 9 other records relating to Bell's waste disposal 10 practices between 1990 and 1992, and are not 11 supposed to describe this document.

MR. TAYLOR: This is clearly a document relating to Bell's waste disposal practices. In fact, it is a hazardous waste report that is required to be submitted to the Agency ever year by law.

17 MR. LATSHAW: A list of exhibits, in my view, is a list of exhibits; this is Exhibit 1, 2, 18 19 and 3. This is the exhibits referred to, so they 20 are identifiable documents. You know, I think it is a bit ridiculous to suggest that we can just 21 22 categorize whole pages of documents by general phrases and then use that as somehow maintaining 23 that we have indicated what exhibits we intend to 24

151

1 introduce.

2 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Mr. Taylor, any 3 further response? 4 MR. TAYLOR: It was my understanding that we needed to identify exhibits, and it was our 5 6 understanding that this description would suffice. 7 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Well, was this 8 document tendered in discovery? 9 MR. TAYLOR: It was not requested. 10 MR. LATSHAW: No. HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: All right. 11 The objection is upheld. 12 MR. TAYLOR: I would just simply like to 13 point out that we have -- that's okay. Can I take 14 this back? 15 16 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Yes. 17 Q (By Mr. Taylor) Mr. Riddle, did you 18 submit annual hazardous waste reports to the 19 Illinois Environmental Protection Agency? 20 А Yes. Did you submit those reports each year? 21 0 22 А Yes. Would those reports indicate the amount 23 Q 24 and type of hazardous waste that was generated each

152

1 year?

2 A Yes.

3 Q Did Mr. Konter assist you in preparing4 these reports?

5 A Yes.

6 Q Did you file such a report for the year 7 of 1992?

8 A Yes.

9 Q And that report indicated the amount of 10 hazardous waste generated that year?

11 A Yes.

12 Q And did that report also indicate the 13 hazardous waste disposal facility that received 14 that waste?

15 A Yes.

16 Q Do you recall the name of that disposal 17 facility?

18 A Yes, it was Clayton.

19 Q Do you know where this Clayton facility 20 is located?

21 A I don't recall.

Q Did Clayton come to the facility to pickup hazardous waste? Did they send a truck?

24 A I used a hazardous waste carrier that I

153

1 contacted.

2 Okay. Would you provide the carrier with Q 3 the manifest? 4 Α Yes. 5 Q For each shipment of hazardous waste to 6 Clayton? 7 Α Yes. (Mr. Taylor and Mr. Nahmod 8 9 confer briefly.) 10 Q (By Mr. Taylor) Mr. Riddle, I would like to refer you to the Waste Hauling Exhibit Number 11 12 15, to the special waste stream disposal 13 application that allegedly is attached to this report. Did you prepare this document? 14 15 Α No. 16 0 Have you seen this document in the past? 17 Α No. Did you authorize the Clinton Landfill, 18 0 19 Inc. to submit a special waste disposal permit for 20 the receipt of special waste from Bell Sports? Α 21 No. 22 0 In the fifth page back from there, in the letter from Bell Sports, or the memorandum --23 Oh, further back? 24 А

154

1 Q Yes.

2 A Okay.

3 Q Did you sign this letter of memorandum?4 A No.

5 Q Do you ordinarily sign documents that you 6 would send out?

7 A Yes.

8 Q Now, after the Waste Hauling Landfill --9 did you understand that the Waste Hauling Landfill 10 closed or was shut down some time during 1992?

11 A Yes.

12 Q And you also understand that -- did Bell 13 Sports continue to send special nonhazardous waste 14 to a disposal facility after that point in time? 15 A No.

16 Q What was done with that waste material?
17 A At that point we started shipping all of
18 our waste as hazardous.

19 Q Why is that?

20 A We weren't creating -- at that time we 21 were not creating very much waste at all, and it 22 gave us only one waste stream versus two.

23 Q And why weren't you generating much waste
24 at that time?

155

1 Α We were not painting as many helmets at 2 that time. 3 Where did you send this material to? 0 4 Α Coleman Chemical picked it up. Okay. Do you know where they took it? 5 Q 6 I don't recall exactly. А 7 0 Is Coleman Chemical a hazardous waste 8 hauler? 9 А Yes. 10 0 Were they asked to take it to a hazardous waste facility? 11 12 А Yes. 13 0 Were drums that were marked nonhazardous waste sent off as hazardous waste? 14 15 No. We were -- in order to be able to do А 16 that I had to blend the two, the hazardous and the 17 nonhazardous, to get enough BTUs in it to make it 18 feasible to ship. 19 0 Okay. 20 А Well --You are also aware that the State has 21 0 22 alleged that Bell Sports improperly handled waste at its facility? 23 24 А Yes.

> KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY Belleville, Illinois

156

1 (Mr. Davis left the hearing 2 room.) 3 (By Mr. Taylor) Do you recall if Bell 0 4 Sports took any actions at its facility to address the State's concerns? 5 6 Yes, we went through closure of that А 7 area. What did that involve? 8 Q 9 ESE going in and doing all of the proper Α 10 testing of the ground and submitting all of the proper paperwork and getting approval granted. 11 12 0 So ESE actually took some samples of the 13 ground in the area? 14 Α Yes. Did they prepare some sort of report? 15 Q 16 А Yes. 17 Q Was that submitted to IEPA? 18 Yes. Α 19 0 Did IEPA approve that submittal? 20 Α Yes. Do you recall what the approval consisted 21 0 22 of? 23 Α No. Did they tell you that you had to do any 24 Q

157

1 additional work in the storage area?

2 A No, we didn't.

3 Q Now, did you have any direct knowledge
4 that the violations alleged by the IEPA actually
5 occurred?

6 A Prior to closure?

7 Q Yes, prior to closure.

8 A No.

9 Q All right. So why did you decide to 10 close the storage area?

11 A Because when I made the decision to ship 12 everything hazardous, that meant that everything 13 out there was hazardous, and had been there over 90 14 days and, therefore, the violations and, therefore, 15 closure.

16 Q Okay. Did you do this closure
17 voluntarily?

18 A Yes.

19 Q Did IEPA ask you to do this closure20 before you volunteered to do it?

21 A No.

Q Okay. Were you present at the facility when Mr. Zierath collected samples from drums in January of 1993?

158

1 A No.

2 I take it, then, that -- do you know Q 3 which drums he collected the samples from? 4 Α No. Do you know whether he collected those 5 Q 6 samples from hazardous waste drums? 7 А I don't know. Do you know whether he collected from 8 0 9 solid waste drums? 10 А I don't know. MR. TAYLOR: That's all for right now. 11 12 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Ms. Menotti? 13 CROSS EXAMINATION BY MS. MENOTTI: 14 Mr. Riddle, regarding the storage of the 15 0 16 wastes that were generated when you were still 17 generating hazardous and nonhazardous waste 18 separately, were both of those types of wastes 19 stored in 55 gallon drums? 20 Α Yes. Were they stored in the same area of the 21 0 22 facility, or did you keep them separate? They were stored in the same fenced in 23 А 24 area.

159

1 Q And there were -- they were labeled, if I 2 understand correctly, before you started putting 3 materials in them so that you knew which was for 4 which?

5 A Yes, yes.

6 Q Once you started -- once you found out 7 that the Waste Hauling Landfill was closed and you 8 started shipping everything as hazardous, am I 9 understanding correctly that you combined both 10 waste streams? You combined the paint sludge 11 materials with the paint thinners or cleaning 12 solutions or whatever?

- 13 A Yes.
- 14 (Mr. Davis entered the hearing15 room.)

16 Q (By Ms. Menotti) So the sludge material 17 was still the same that had been generated before 18 that was shipped under the special waste stream 19 permit?

20 A Yes.

Q When this change occurred, did you notify the Illinois EPA of the change in your processes? MR. TAYLOR: I would object to the characterization of "change in your processes."

160

1 Q (By Ms. Menotti) I am sorry. That you 2 were no longer operating -- that you were no longer 3 sending the paint sludge as special waste? I don't think I did. 4 А Okay. For what period of time were you 5 Q 6 sending the nonhazardous paint sludge to the Waste 7 Hauling Landfill? А I would have to look up the date. I 8 really don't know. 9 Is there a document in front of you that 10 Ο 11 would help refresh your memory? 12 А Yes. 13 0 Can you tell me which one it is? Would 14 the waste manifest help you? The permit would. 15 Α 16 0 The permit in the --17 А This one here. 18 The permit in the document that is 0 19 marked, I believe, as Waste Hauling Landfill 20 Exhibit 15? This one here, isn't it? 21 А 22 0 That's the Waste Hauling Number Exhibit 23 14. I am sorry. Go ahead? 24 A

> KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY Belleville, Illinois

161

1 Q Can you look at that document and tell me 2 for what period of time you were shipping waste to 3 the Waste Hauling Landfill? 4 Α May of 1990 we started. 5 0 Okay. And --6 Well --Α 7 0 Did the same transporter come and pick up 8 the waste to take to the Waste Hauling Landfill every time? 9 10 А Yes. 11 Q And that was Waste Hauling, Inc.? 12 А Yes. 13 0 Do you recall about how many shipments from 1990 to 1992 that you sent to the landfill? 14 15 No, I don't. А 16 0 Do you recall, at all, sending a shipment 17 during April of 1992? 18 Α Yes. 19 MS. MENOTTI: I don't have anything 20 else. HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Mr. Latshaw? 21 22 MR. LATSHAW: Yes, thank you. In order for me to be clear, I don't know 23 if Mr. Taylor was complete with both 24

162

1 cross-examination and direct of Mr. Riddle or 2 whether we are just dealing with the first round of cross and then redirect, and then he is going to 3 4 begin with his direct, I guess. I am just trying to find out if you are 5 6 done with the witness altogether. 7 MR. TAYLOR: It depends on what questions 8 you ask. 9 MR. LATSHAW: Well, aside from finishing 10 this round, are you --11 MR. TAYLOR: Yes. 12 MR. LATSHAW: All right. 13 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 14 BY MR. LATSHAW: Now, Mr. Riddle, I thought that you said 15 0 16 that between June of 1992 and March of 1993 you were not painting any helmets? 17 18 MR. TAYLOR: Objection. It is a 19 mischaracterization of his testimony. 20 0 (By Mr. Latshaw) Am I correct? MR. TAYLOR: I will withdraw it. 21 THE WITNESS: Can you give me those dates 22 23 again? (By Mr. Latshaw) June of 1992 and March 24 Q

163

1 of 1993, from the time that Mr. Zierath visited 2 your offices and you received his letter in March of 1993, I thought that was the period of time you 3 4 indicated that there was no painting of helmets going on. Did I hear that correctly? 5 I don't know. I didn't know I indicated А 6 7 that, no. So that is not correct? 8 0 9 We painted helmets. Α 10 Okay. You painted helmets and there was 0 11 no substantial change in the process that had been going on during that period in terms of the 12 13 production or the production requirements and the 14 painting? The amount of production might have been 15 Α 16 the only thing that changed. 17 0 Okay. I wonder if I could have you refer to WHL Exhibit 15 again, sir. 18 19 Can you indicate on the record why you 20 filled out that pesticide, herbicide certification in October of 1992? There was no application that 21 22 you are aware of for shipment of the paint sludge? I filled out that pesticide yearly per 23 Α 24 ESE, and it is sent in to the IEPA.

164

1 0 So that is provided, you are saying, 2 independent of any permit application; is that 3 correct? 4 Α Yes. 5 Ο And you do that on an annual basis? Yes. 6 Α 7 0 Is that in October of every year? I don't recall. 8 Α Okay. Now, Counsel asked you about the 9 0 10 colors of these drums, and you said that they were mostly black but there were others; is that right? 11 12 Black is the only color that I recall. Α 13 0 Okay. But there were other colors that 14 you used; is that correct? I know we used some yellow overpacks. 15 А 16 That's the only one that I really remember. The 17 yellow overpacks. 18 You don't recall any other specific 0 19 colors, though; is that correct? 20 Α No, I don't. Is it possible that they could have used 21 0 22 other colors? Yes, it is possible. 23 Α 24 Q Okay. Did you do the ordering for the

165

1 drums or did you, again, rely upon the purchasing 2 group? 3 The purchasing group did that. А 4 Q Okay. Did you tell them which colors to 5 buy? 6 No, just the amount. Α 7 Q Okay. Was there ever a time when you ran out of labels, nonhazardous green labels, that you 8 9 know of? 10 А Not that I recall, no. 11 Q All right. Now, Mr. Konter, was that his name, from ESE? 12 13 А Konter. From your testimony I guess ESE and Mr. 14 0 Konter or Mr. Konter decided how the two waste 15 streams were to be structured and set up prior to 16 17 1992? 18 А Yes. 19 0 All right. They would visit how often? 20 Α Oh, 10 to 20 times a year. Okay. During the course of their visits, 21 0 22 would they then inspect the premises and watch the 23 process? 24 А Yes.

166

1 Q Would they watch the process of the 2 loading of the paint sludge and nonhazardous drums? 3 On to the truck? А No, sir. First of all, the loading of 4 Q 5 the barrel itself in the paint facility? 6 А No. 7 0 Would they watch the loading of the 8 trucks? 9 Α No. 10 0 Would they watch the sealing of the drums? 11 12 Not the daily sealing of the drums, no. Α 13 0 The drums were not actually sealed, were 14 they, until they were stuck in the storage facility 15 full? 16 А Right. 17 Q From the time that they were initially placed in the paint booth or paint room until they 18 were taken to the storage facility and sealed, they 19 20 were open; is that correct? They were sealed when they left the paint 21 А 22 room. All right. But up until the time they 23 Q 24 leave the paint room they were not sealed?

167

1 A Correct.

2 They had a lid on it, but they were not Q 3 sealed? 4 А Correct. MR. LATSHAW: All right. I think I am 5 done with this witness, although I would like to 6 move to admit WHL Exhibits 17 and 18. 7 8 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Any objection, 9 Ms. Menotti? 10 MS. MENOTTI: Can I see Number 18? Thank 11 you. 12 Okay. We don't have any objections. 13 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Mr. Taylor? 14 MR. TAYLOR: Just a second. We are trying to make sure we have the numbers right. 15 16 No objection. 17 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: WHL Exhibits 17 and 18 are admitted into evidence. 18 19 (Whereupon said documents were admitted into evidence as WHL 20 Exhibits 17 and 18 as of this 21 22 date.) HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Mr. Taylor, 23 further questioning? 24

168

1 MR. TAYLOR: I have just a few 2 additional. 3 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Recross, 4 redirect? MR. TAYLOR: Whichever. 5 6 RECROSS EXAMINATION 7 BY MR. TAYLOR: 8 Q Just for purposes of clarification, Mr. Konter or other representatives of ESE at some 9 10 point went into the paint room, correct? 11 A Oh, yeah. 12 0 And the drums would have been visible at 13 that time, correct? 14 А Yes. Okay. And they had the opportunity to 15 0 16 look into the drums? 17 А Yes. And, in fact, on different occasions, 18 0 19 they actually took samples of the nonhazardous 20 material? 21 А Yes. 22 MR. TAYLOR: Okay. Thank you. No further questions. 23 24 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Ms. Menotti?

169

1		MS. MENOTTI: Nothing else.
2		EXAMINATION
3		BY HEARING OFFICER WALLACE:
4	Q	All right. Mr. Riddle, I am going to
5	have some	clarifying questions, I hope, for the
6	record.	
7		You are not now employed by Bell Helmets?
8	A	No, sir.
9	Q	Bell Sports?
10	A	No.
11	Q	All right.
12	A	Self-employed.
13	Q	You are self-employed? Do you have any
14	affiliation or relationship with Bell?	
15	A	No.
16	Q	Your first employment with Bell was back
17	in the 1970s; is that correct?	
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	And you worked for ten years and left for
20	two years?	
21	A	Approximately a year and a half, two.
22	Q	And then came back?
23	A	Uh-huh.
24	Q	On WHL Exhibit 15, which is the RCRA

170

1 inspection report, I have it right here, you were 2 saying that you have never seen this permit 3 application; is that correct? 4 Α That's correct. All right. And then you would not know 5 0 why your name was put in down here as the 6 7 generator? 8 Α No. 9 Was Clinton -- you said you never had any 0 10 contact with Clinton Landfill? Not at all. 11 Α 12 You also say you never prepared or saw 0 13 this memo that is dated October 22, 1992? 14 Α I did not prepare that. Have you seen it prior to today? 15 0 16 А Not that I recall. 17 Q All right. The Agency fella is gone, but all these pages have the number 924702, on all 18 19 these several pages, which would indicate that that is part of an application. You have never seen any 20 of those pages? 21 22 А Can I see them? I should rephrase that. I mean, you have 23 Q 24 never seen this as an application packet?

171

1 A No, no.

2 Q Okay. Some of the ESE reports you may 3 have seen? 4 Α Yes. 5 Okay. Now, at the very beginning of your Q 6 testimony you said that the conveyor brings the 7 helmets in, they are sprayed, and the sludge hits the water and then drops down to --8 9 А The overspray hits. 10 0 The overspray of the paint hits a wall of water at the back of the painting booth? 11 12 А Uh-huh. 13 Ο Yes? 14 Α Yes. And then the sludge then drops to a tray 15 0 16 like feature in the booth itself at the floor of 17 the booth? 18 А Yes. 19 0 And then there is an escape hatch at the back or the side? 20 А The back. 21 22 0 At the back, which your employee, Proemba, would scoop out the sludge? 23 24 А Correct.

172

1 Q How big is the tray at the bottom of the 2 booth that sludge accumulated in? 3 Just where the sludge is, it is probably А 4 five foot by three feet, approximately. Is it an actual tray depression in the 5 Q booth or what? How would you describe where the 6 7 sludge falls on the bottom of the booth? It has a -- it is an angled piece of 8 А metal that helps -- that throws all the sludge into 9 10 one area, with the angle, to the back of the booth, and there is a couple of feet, three feet of water 11 in it. 12 13 Ο What does the water do? What is its 14 purpose in the booth? It keeps the overspray from -- once it 15 А 16 hits the water it grabs it. The water hangs on to 17 the overspray. All right. So without any diagrams here, 18 0 19 the paint is sprayed horizontally or at an angle to 20 the helmet? 21 А Horizontally. 22 0 And then the overspray then hits the water which is flowing --23 Behind the helmet. 24 А

> KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY Belleville, Illinois

173

1 0 Behind the helmet. Then the water is to 2 catch the spray and drop it down? 3 Α Yes. 4 0 All right. Now, in your fenced area, 5 when you loaded the barrels on the truck, were they put on a pallet and then loaded or were they always 6 7 put on pallets before being put into the truck? No. The nonhazardous? 8 Α 9 0 Yes. 10 А No, they were not. Some were and some were not? 11 0 12 No, we didn't load them on the truck with Α 13 pallets. They were set up with the forklift, one 14 drum at a time. And then rolled off of the forklift into 15 0 16 the truck? 17 А It was on a flatbed, so we could load it 18 from the side. 19 0 Well, if I remember correctly, if you 20 have the drum sitting on the forklift prongs you have to tip the barrel off with the prongs, do you 21 22 not? Well, we had barrel grabbers and you can 23 А 24 just grab it.

174

Q Oh, okay. So you never used the 1 2 pallets? You just used a flatbed truck and stuck 3 the drums on the flatbed? 4 А Correct. 5 0 Now, in response to Bell Number 4, the green placard, is it your recollection that this 6 7 was being used in the spring of 1992 or it is just similar to what is being used? 8 9 It is similar. I don't remember that А 10 exactly. It is similar as in green and white and 11 it says nonhaz on it. All right. Now, the yellow hazardous 12 0 13 waste one, you are sure that that is the one that 14 was being used, at least in the spring of 1992? А 15 Yes. 16 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: All right. 17 Thank you, Mr. Riddle. 18 Anything else of Mr. Riddle? 19 MR. LATSHAW: No. 20 MR. TAYLOR: No. HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: No? 21 22 MR. TAYLOR: We would like to restate I guess our objection to the ruling that the 1992 23 24 annual hazardous waste report could not be

175

1 submitted.

2 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: All right. So noted. 3 4 All right. Mr. Riddle, thank you. You 5 may step down. б (The witness left the stand.) 7 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Let's take a five or six minute break. 8 9 (Whereupon a short recess was 10 taken.) 11 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Back on the 12 record. (Whereupon the witness was 13 14 sworn by the Hearing Officer.) HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: You may 15 16 proceed. 17 MR. LATSHAW: I will proceed. 18 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Thank you. 19 JERRY E. CAMFIELD, SR. 20 Having been first duly sworn by the Hearing Officer, saith as follows: 21 22 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LATSHAW: 23 Q Jerry, on the record, could you indicate 24

176

1 your full name, please.

2 Jerry E. Camfield, Sr. Α 3 Jerry, you are or were, I guess, the 0 4 owner of a corporation that used to operate a landfill in Macon County; is that correct? 5 6 А That's correct. 7 0 Approximately when did you start operating that landfill for that company? 8 9 In May of 1980. Α 10 0 Now, the exact name of the corporation that are named as respondents here are Waste 11 12 Hauling, Inc. and Waste Hauling Landfill, Inc. You 13 are familiar with those corporations; is that 14 correct? 15 Α Yes. You are the sole shareholder of those; is 16 Ο 17 that correct? 18 А Yes. 19 0 You are the only officer and director? 20 Α That's correct. Now, from the time that this landfill 21 0 22 began to operate under your direction, you periodically received visits, I guess, from 23 representatives of the Illinois Environmental 24

177

1 Protection Agency; is that correct?

2 A That's correct.

During any of those visits, do you recall 3 0 4 any conversations with representatives of the EPA in their inspections about this matter of a berm? 5 А 6 Yes. 7 0 Can you describe what this berm is, first 8 of all? 9 Well, there is a berm that is on the Α north side and it wrapped around and proceeded 10 south on both the east and west sides almost to the 11 south border. 12 13 0 All right. 14 Α It was tapered from the south, north. In other words, there was more berm on the north than 15 16 actually on the south side. 17 0 Now, the property on which the landfill sits is approximately how much acreage, if you 18 19 know? 20 Α The total acreage is about 50 acres. Now, there are actually two principal 21 0 22 fill areas; is that correct? There are actually three. 23 Α

24 Q All right. We have referred or heard

178

1 testimony in this case between an old and a new 2 area?

3 A That's correct.

4 Q Can you describe what those are?

5 A Well, the old area is two areas that were 6 filled prior to 1980. I believe they were ceased 7 somewhere in early 1979. They are east of the 8 creek that runs through the property. The creek 9 runs kind of from the southeast to the northwest at 10 an angle.

11 Q Now, would the new area then, the 12 so-called new area, be on the west side of that 13 creek?

14 A That's correct.

15 Q That's the area you described with regard 16 to this berm; is that correct?

17 A That's correct.

18 Q All right. Now, during the course of any 19 inspections, did any representatives of the EPA ask 20 you or indicate to you or suggest to you or in any 21 manner tell you to raise this berm?

22 A That's correct. It is also noted on the23 reports.

24 Q Now, what did you understand that to

179

1 mean?

2 A Raise the berm higher than what it was at 3 that time. 4 0 Was there -- did you understand that 5 there was any reason to raise the berm as far as 6 you knew? 7 А No. Other than the fact that it -- they had decided that it wasn't the way it should be and 8 I was to raise it. 9 10 Q What process would you engage in in order 11 to comply with that? What did you do? 12 А We done just that. We started hauling 13 dirt in and raising the berm. 14 0 What relationship, if any, was there between this berm and the fill portion of the 15 16 landfill? 17 А Well, it is outside the fill. The berm is what is outside of the garbage that is hauled in 18 19 there. Well, as the berm was raised what would 20 0 happen to the fill area? 21 22 А It would go up. All right. Was there a reason for that? 23 Q 24 In your mind, was there some reason why that

180

1 occurred?

2	A Well, in my mind, when the berm was
3	raised then you had to shape the garbage and the
4	top cover when you applied it so that there
5	wouldn't be water going into the fill. It had to
6	run off when you got to the final stages of it.
7	Q The final stages, meaning?
8	A Closure.
9	Q Closure. Okay. So, apparently, the fill
10	did did the fill then rise?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Did the fill area rise along with the
13	berm, then?
14	A Yes.
15	Q After you would raise this berm area, the
16	fill would rise, also?
17	A Yes. I would build up to the berm on an
18	angle and taper so that when we put the final cover
19	on it, the water would not settle down in the fill,
20	it would run off the side.
21	Q When you say settle down in the fill, do
22	you mean
23	A Well, if it is flat
24	Q Where it is flat

181

1 А If it is flat, it is going to either lay 2 there or eventually it is going to wind up in the 3 fill. 4 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Mr. --5 THE WITNESS: Because it is going to soak 6 through the -- yes? 7 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: I am sorry. 8 Finish your question. But wait for Mr. Latshaw to finish his question before you --9 10 THE WITNESS: Oh, okay. 11 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: -- start 12 answering, please. THE WITNESS: All right. 13 14 Q (By Mr. Latshaw) Now, I guess I understand that -- I presume that you are just 15 16 raising, sort of building a mound there, then, is 17 that what, in effect, was happening? 18 А Yes. 19 0 The berm was on the north side of the 20 property? А 21 Yes. 22 Ο Was the existing contours of the property, before any fill was put there, would it 23 generally fall from the south to the north? 24

182

1 A Yes.

2 Okay. It was higher at the south than it Q 3 was at the north? 4 Α Yes. 5 Ο All right. So the berm was built on the 6 north side? 7 Α Yes. To contain the flow I guess, right? 8 Q 9 А Yes. 10 0 Okay. Now, after you had raised the berm, did any inspector subsequently come out to 11 12 talk to you about this berm? 13 А We had periodic inspections, yes. 14 0 Did each one talk about this berm, as far as you recall? 15 16 А I don't know how many particular ones 17 that inspected it, you know, mentioned it. 18 Did somebody talk about height at some 0 19 point in time? 20 Α Yes. They started talking about height in about 1986, 1987, somewhere along in there. 21 22 0 Was that the first time you had heard anything about height in connection with the berm? 23 24 А Yes.

183

1 Q Do you recall who it was that you had 2 this discussion with, as a representative of the 3 EPA? 4 А I don't recall the person's name, no. Did you make any inquiry of any 5 Q representative of the EPA about how these two were 6 7 going to be related? 8 А Yes. I contacted the engineer, my engineer, and we discussed it. 9 10 0 All right. There was at some point in time an aerial survey map or drawing generated. Do 11 12 you recall that? 13 А Yes. Okay. Was that generated at or about the 14 0 same time that the discussions about the height 15 were begun? 16 17 А Yes. 18 And that aerial survey was generated as a 0 19 result of your discussions with Mr. Krimmel? 20 А That's correct. Now, an administrative citation was 21 0 22 served on you at one time. Do you recall that? 23 А Yes. 24 Q And that citation had to do with, among

184

1 other things, height, overheight; is that correct? 2 That is correct. Α 3 You paid a penalty on that administrative 0 4 citation. Do you recall that? 5 Α Yes. 6 Do you recall how much you paid? 0 \$2,500.00. 7 Α 8 0 Okay. Do you recall approximately when 9 that was? 10 Α 1989, 1990, somewhere along in there. Okay. I am not going to have this 11 Q 12 marked, but I am going to hand you what appears to 13 be a copy of a check made out to the amount of -made out to the Illinois Environmental Protection 14 Agency signed by --15 16 MR. TAYLOR: Is this on the exhibit 17 list? 18 MR. LATSHAW: Yes. I am not having it 19 entered. I am just having him refresh his 20 recollection. (By Mr. Latshaw) Does that refresh the 21 0 22 recollection as to the date when this sum may have 23 been paid? 24 А Yes.

185

1 0 And what is your recollection of the 2 date? 3 02-22-91. А 4 0 Now, you also recall that in May of 1992, as a result of a Circuit Court proceeding, your 5 landfill was closed by an order of Court; is that 6 7 correct? 8 А That's correct. 9 And you were required to do certain 0 10 things at that time; is that right? That's right. 11 А 12 Did you undertake to do any kind of 0 13 closure efforts following that order? 14 А Yes. And could you indicate on the record what 15 0 16 efforts you did undertake at that time? 17 А Well, we was ordered to cover the entire landfill, and finish the -- I call it the cut off 18 19 wall around the old landfill, which is the area 20 east of this particular creek. It was a lot of dirt moving and final cover and whatever put on the 21 22 fill. You stopped receiving any waste; isn't 23 0 that correct? 24

186

1 A That's correct.

2 Q How much cover did you put on the landfill, the new area, that is? 3 4 А It will vary from, in my recollection, a 5 foot to three foot different places trying to come 6 up with a contour. 7 0 How long a process was this? How long 8 did it take you? Well, we worked on it all summer long. 9 А 10 It was the latter part of September, October, 11 somewhere along in there when we got done. 12 Did Mr. Krimmel participate in this 0 13 process in some manner, do you recall? 14 А Yes, he -- we talked about it and, you know, what we was to do, and what the Court -- he 15 16 told me exactly what they wanted me to do. 17 0 Did he go out and probe any portion of the landfill while you were there or do you know? 18 19 А Yes. 20 0 Did he -- you understood what he was trying to do? 21 22 А Yes, establishing the depth of the dirt 23 we put on top. 24 Q Where did you get the dirt that you did

187

1 use to cover?

2 We used a clay type dirt that he А instructed that that is what we was supposed to 3 4 use. We got it from an area just west of the landfill. 5 6 0 This was adjacent property that you -- I 7 guess you owned that also at the time? А Uh-huh. 8 9 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Yes? 10 THE WITNESS: Yes. Excuse me. 11 Q (By Mr. Latshaw) You need to answer 12 clearly yes or no. 13 А Okay. Now, I guess I have asked this, but let 14 0 me be specific. You haven't accepted any waste in 15 16 any form since May of 1992 in that landfill; is 17 that also correct? That is correct. 18 А 19 0 Now, you are familiar with Bell Sports, I 20 guess; is that right? А 21 Yes. 22 0 And you were present when Mr. Riddle testified just a moment ago. Do you know Mr. 23 24 Riddle?

188

1 A Yes.

2 You had been -- is that the gentleman you Q dealt with at Bell Sports during the time that your 3 4 company was hauling paint sludge out of there? 5 Α Yes. Now, did you at any time actually visit 6 0 7 the Bell Sports facility in connection with your agreement to haul this stuff? 8 9 А Yes. 10 0 Do you know approximately when that was? Well, it -- well, I hauled loads from the 11 Α 12 original permit, which I believe was in 1986, 1987, 13 somewhere along in there, up --I am sorry. If you are not finished, I 14 0 15 did not mean to interrupt you. 16 А Up through the last one. I didn't haul 17 the last one. I had hauled various loads. 18 Well, what I was getting at, did you 0 19 personally drive the truck over there on any 20 occasions and pick up a load of waste? А 21 Yes. 22 0 Do you know about how many times you did, 23 if you can recall? 24 Α I am going to say five or six times.

189

1 Q Do you know -- would this be in the early 2 period, you said 1986, 1987? 3 Yes, it would be in the earlier period. А 4 I don't remember without looking at the documents 5 as to --Sure. Did you visit and see the area 6 0 7 where the paint sludge is generated, the paint booth area? 8 9 А Yes. Q 10 All right. How many times did you visit 11 that area when you were over there? 12 А A couple times. 13 0 Did Mr. Riddle give you a tour or did you 14 just kind of wonder through? Well, the first time I actually got a 15 Α tour, but after that it was, you know, wherever I 16 17 wanted to go. There was no --18 0 No one ever restricted your movements 19 there? 20 Α No. If you walked into the plant? 21 0 22 А No. 23 Q Now, did you examine the area where the 24 barrels that were used to place the paint sludge,

190

1 and I guess there was another barrel that was 2 supposed to be hazardous waste, did you see that area, did you see those two barrels? 3 4 Α I seen the areas. Do you remember seeing the barrels? 5 Q Yes, I seen barrels in the area, yes. 6 Α 7 0 All right. Did you look in the barrels, 8 by any chance? 9 No. The barrels were sealed, that I Α 10 seen. Are you talking about --I am talking about the barrels in the 11 Q 12 paint area. 13 Α Oh, in the paint area. Yes, I seen the 14 barrels there also. 15 Well, what -- did you open the lids and 0 16 look at them? 17 Α Yes. Did you look inside? 18 0 19 А Yes. 20 Q All right. What did you see, if you recall? 21 22 А Paint sludge. All right. 23 Q In one of them and then it looked and 24 А

191

1 smelled like thinner in the other one. 2 Thinner, paint thinner? 0 3 А Uh-huh. 4 0 You need to answer yes or no in order for the record to be clear. 5 6 А Okay. 7 0 Was that a yes or a no? 8 Α Yes. 9 Now, did -- aside from opening and 0 10 looking in, you didn't do anything else or did you? You didn't stick your hand in there or 11 12 anything like that? 13 Α No. 14 0 Did you notice any labels on any of the barrels or those two barrels? 15 16 А I can't honestly say that I seen any 17 labels or didn't see any labels. All right. Did you witness the painting 18 0 19 operation? 20 Α I seen a small portion of it, yes. Okay. Is it generally correct, then, 21 0 22 that there was a waterfall and paint was sprayed and then the paint would hit the waterfall and go 23 into some container? 24

192

1 A That's correct.

2 Q Did you observe anyone else near those two barrels the day that you happened to be there? 3 4 Α The day I was there, there was only the painter in there. 5 Okay. How long were you in there, if you 6 0 7 recall? 8 Α Just to step in and step out, just to look at what was going on and back out. 9 10 0 Okay. I guess the door that went into the paint room was not obstructed or locked to 11 prevent you from going in? 12 13 А No, no. 14 0 Okay. Now, on the occasions that you actually then would pick up barrels, when you were 15 16 there to drive the truck, would Mr. Riddle be there 17 to meet you? No. I always -- there was always 18 Α 19 somebody running around back there, and I told them 20 I was there to get barrels and they got ahold of whoever to load them. 21 22 0 Well, did you recall seeing Mr. Riddle at any time on the visits that you actually picked up 23 the barrels? 24

193

1 Α Yes, sometimes I would go through the 2 plant and he would be in his office, yes. 3 You knew where that office was? 0 4 Α Yes. What would he do or did he accompany, 5 Ο then, you back outside or did he just stay in his 6 7 office and talk to you? No, we would just sit there and talk and 8 Α then after a period of time he would hand me some 9 10 papers and I would go out and wait for him to finish loading the truck. 11 12 Well, you know what a manifest is? 0 13 Α Yes. That is what he would hand you, I 14 0 15 presume? 16 Α Yes. 17 Q Would he sign it at that time when he 18 handed it to you? 19 Α Yes, it was signed when I got it. 20 Q Okay. Because I had to sign it and he had to 21 Α 22 retain two copies. He would pull some copies then, right? 23 0 24 А Right.

194

1 0 So while the truck was being loaded, both 2 you and Mr. Riddle sometimes would be in his 3 office? 4 А That's correct. 5 0 How many people -- on that occasion, how many people were loading the truck? 6 7 Α Two. Did you examine the labels, then? Let's 8 0 assume you went back outside. Did you examine the 9 10 labels on the truck at the time that they were loading or --11 12 Yes, I would look to make sure that there Α 13 were labels on them. 14 0 Okay. Do you know what color labels Bell used as hazardous waste, at least before today? 15 16 А Yes, I remember those being green. 17 0 For nonhazardous or hazardous? 18 For nonhazardous. А 19 0 Do you remember yellow? 20 Α Yes, I remember the yellow labels being on hazardous material. I have seen that both at 21 22 Bell and other places, too. Okay. What do you remember the label 23 0 24 being for nonhazardous, color-wise?

195

1 A Green.

2 At Bell? 0 3 А Uh-huh. 4 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Yes? THE WITNESS: Yes. 5 6 (By Mr. Latshaw) Do you remember there 0 7 being any other color of any type? 8 А I believe I have seen blue nonhazardous 9 labels. 10 Q Do you think that might have been at Bell or somewhere else? 11 I don't know. All I know is that I have 12 А 13 seen blue and green nonhazardous labels, as my 14 recollection. As to where... Okay. What type of truck was used by 15 0 16 Waste Hauling, Inc. to pick up the barrels at Bell? 17 А It was a semi truck with a flatbed 18 trailer. 19 Ο The same flatbed trailer all the time? 20 А Yes. You would not -- the times that you were 21 0 22 there you would not always stay there while they loaded them; is that right? 23 24 А No.

196

1 0 Okay. How many times that you were 2 there, doing -- picking up the drums, how many times was Mr. Riddle outside observing the loading 3 with you? 4 I don't ever remember Nick being out 5 А 6 there when they was loading. 7 0 Now, you had a special waste permit for the purpose of loading or hauling and disposing 8 special waste paint sludge from Bell Sports; is 9 10 that correct? That's correct. 11 Α 12 Did you at any time receive hazardous 0 13 waste, to your knowledge, from Bell Sports? 14 Α No, I did not. Did you ever receive or transport paint 15 0 16 sludge from Bell Sports without a signed manifest? 17 Α No, I did not. 18 (Mr. Latshaw and Mr. Van Ness 19 confer briefly.) (By Mr. Latshaw) Mr. Camfield, Jerry, do 20 Q you recall on the occasions that you picked up the 21 special waste drums, what color they were? 22 They were various colors. 23 Α 24 Q Do you recall any?

197

1	A	I remember black and white. There could
2	have been	other colors, but I don't remember.
3	Q	Now, do you recall the occasion when the
4	State Pol	ice were at your landfill in April of
5	1992?	
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	And that's to give you a search warrant?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	Were you present at that time?
10	A	No.
11	Q	You were not?
12	A	Not when they got there.
13	Q	Brad Brown was not there either?
14	A	No.
15	Q	Where were you?
16	A	In hearings in the Macon County Circuit
17	Court.	
18	Q	With regard to the EPA?
19	A	That's correct.
20	Q	All right. Did you go out there later?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	What did you observe?
23	A	I observed a lot of people running around
24	in white	suits, a backhoe, a roll-off truck with a

198

1 container from out-of-state, and they had dug up a 2 bunch of barrels and had them laying around all over the ground. 3 4 0 Do you know how many they dug up? I was told later 53. 5 Α Now, did you examine the place or the 6 0 7 hole or whatever was left where they removed the barrels? Did you go up and look at it? 8 9 Α Yes. 10 0 What did you see? 11 Α A hole where they dug up a bunch of 12 garbage. 13 0 All right. Did you notice anything 14 unusual? No, I can't say that I seen anything --15 А 16 0 I quess unusual in the sense of a 17 landfill. Did you notice any strong odors? А 18 No. I can't say that I observed strong 19 odors, because when you are around that type of 20 material all of the time, you have odors all the time. 21 22 Ο I am referring to did you happen to notice any strong odors of any solvent or paint 23 24 thinner or something of that nature in a general

199

1 way?

2 I don't remember smelling it, no. А 3 When the barrels had been exhumed, do you 0 4 know where they were placed? Were they put in some particular area? 5 6 A Before the day was over they put them in 7 this roll-off container and then covered them up with a tarp. 8 9 Did they cover the hole up? 0 10 А No. 11 Q I guess that was left to you? 12 All three of them. А 13 0 There were three holes? 14 А That's correct. Where were the other two? 15 0 16 Α Farther west and a little bit south of 17 where the -- of the one where they got the barrels out of. 18 19 0 All of the barrels -- do you know which 20 one all of the barrels came from? I was told they come out of the one 21 А 22 basically on top of the fill. 23 Q Okay. 24 А That's where they was closest to.

200

1	(Mr. Latshaw and Mr. Van Ness
2	confer briefly.)
3	Q (By Mr. Latshaw) At some point in time,
4	after April of 1992, were you informed, by any
5	representative of the IEPA, that as a result of
6	their search or taking of barrels in April of 1992
7	that they were claiming that you had received
8	hazardous waste? Do you remember when that was?
9	A I believe the first I heard about it was
10	in a meeting that Bob Krimmel and myself came over
11	to the EPA office with several EPA people. I
12	believe that was in March, April of 1993.
13	Q Do you know who was present?
14	A Well, I know some of them. Mr. Childs
15	was there. The gentleman that testified earlier
16	today. I am terrible on names. I can't remember
17	names. Lee Smith, I believe, was there. There was
18	a lot of people that I didn't know or I had never
19	met before.
20	Q By the fella that testified earlier, do
21	you mean the fella with the beard?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Mr. Zierath?
24	A Yes.

KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY Belleville, Illinois 201

1 Q Is that the first time that you had heard 2 any assertion or allegations that you had received hazardous waste? 3 4 А Yes. 5 Q Okay. 6 Other than the newspaper. А 7 MR. LATSHAW: I have no further questions of this witness. 8 9 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: All right. Mr. 10 Taylor? MR. TAYLOR: Can we go off the record a 11 12 minute? 13 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Yes. Off the 14 record. 15 (Discussion off the record.) 16 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Back on the 17 record. 18 Start your cross, then. 19 MR. TAYLOR: Why don't we just do the whole thing. 20 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: All right. I 21 22 would note for the record that Mr. Taylor may be asking questions of a direct nature to expedite the 23 24 proceedings. There is no objection from Waste

202

1 Hauling.

2 CROSS EXAMINATION 3 BY MR. TAYLOR: 4 Q For clarification purposes, Mr. Camfield, you stated on direct that to your knowledge you 5 have not received any hazardous waste from Bell 6 7 Sports? 8 Α That's correct. 9 Also, you stated that you were at Bell's 0 10 facility five or six times, approximately? 11 Α Approximately, yes, that many times. 12 The drums in the storage area, those 0 drums were labeled, correct? 13 14 Α Not always. The drums in the back storage area? 15 0 16 А Not always. 17 0 Did you walk through and inspect those 18 drums? 19 Α No, I watched them put labels on when 20 they loaded them on the truck. Okay. Which labels were being placed on 21 0 22 those drums, the nonhazardous green ones? The nonhazardous. 23 Α 24 Q Were you concerned at that point that you

203

1 were receiving hazardous waste? 2 I had no reason to believe so. А 3 Okay. You had actually seen the paint 0 room, correct? 4 Uh-huh. 5 А HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Yes? 6 7 THE WITNESS: Yes. (By Mr. Taylor) And you had seen the two 8 Q separate drums in the paint room? 9 10 А Yes. And when you went in the paint room --11 Q 12 how many times were you there? 13 А I was in there a couple of times. 14 0 Did you go in there specifically looking 15 for labels? 16 А No. Mr. Riddle was showing me how the 17 operation worked, and where the product came from. 18 0 Okay. And you also stated that you had 19 relatively free access when you were inside this 20 facility? 21 А Yes. 22 0 You didn't dump any material into those drums, did you? 23 А Pardon? 24

204

1 0 You didn't dump materials into those 2 drums in the paint room, did you? 3 I don't believe so. А 4 0 You are the actual certified operator for Waste Hauling Landfill when it was operating, 5 6 weren't you? 7 Α No. Who was? 8 Q 9 Brad Brown. А 10 0 So Brad Brown received some type of official training on the --11 12 Yes, he got a certification from the EPA. А 13 0 Do you know when that was? Not without digging up records and 14 Α finding out when it happened. 15 Okay. Have you ever been certified to be 16 0 17 an operator of a landfill? 18 А No. 19 0 I would like to talk to you for a few 20 minutes about the way waste is received at the facility. I take it you were not there every day 21 22 when the facility was operating? Not all the time, no. 23 А 24 Q But you were there sometimes when it was

205

1 operating?

2 Α That's correct. Can you describe for me, if a truck came, 3 0 4 where would it go, which direction would it be headed? 5 6 А Well, the lane runs -- from the township 7 road it runs straight north to the landfill. So the truck comes in to the north? 8 0 9 Uh-huh. А Is there a staging area anywhere? 10 0 The only staging area would be where we 11 Α would happen to be dumping at that particular time. 12 13 0 Would the truck go directly to an open 14 area of the landfill? 15 А Yes. 16 0 They would dump all of the materials that 17 they had at that one time? 18 А Yes. 19 0 You wouldn't have a truck come to the 20 facility and dump half of its materials and then go back down the hill and wait for a while, would it? 21 22 А No. You are aware that in this proceeding 23 Q that there has been an allegation that Bell gave 24

206

1 hazardous waste to you or one of your drivers; is 2 that correct? 3 That's correct. А 4 0 That shipment was April 9, 1992; is that 5 your understanding? That's my understanding, yes. 6 Α 7 0 All right. Were you at the landfill on that day? 8 9 I don't recall being there, no. А 10 0 Okay. Did you attain any sort of a map of where each shipment of waste was disposed of? 11 12 А No. 13 0 Or a plot plan? 14 Α No. Did you keep any sort of a record of the 15 0 16 material received on any particular day? And by 17 you, for clarification, I mean you or anybody that worked for you? 18 19 А Yes, there were records kept of what came 20 out there every day. Would it be accurate to call these waste 21 0 22 in sheets? Yes, you could call them that, yes. 23 Α Those sheets would indicate how much was 24 Q

207

1 received in cubic yards? 2 Α That's correct. 3 On any particular day? 0 4 Α That's correct. MR. TAYLOR: Would you mark this Bell 5 6 Exhibit Number 6, please. 7 (Whereupon said document was duly marked for purposes of 8 identification as Bell Exhibit 9 10 6 as of this date.) (By Mr. Taylor) Sir, I would like to show 11 Q you what has been marked as Bell Number 6. 12 13 А Okay. 14 0 This is a series of documents. If you don't mind, could you flip through them. 15 16 А (Witness complied.) 17 0 Do you recognize these sheets? 18 Yes. Α Can you tell us what they are? 19 0 20 Α Well, they are the daily sheets that we kept to keep track of the yardage of waste that 21 22 went in the landfill. Are these the types of quote, unquote, 23 Q 24 waste in sheets that we were talking about just a

> KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY Belleville, Illinois

208

1 second ago?

2 Α Yes, you can call them that. 3 I would like to refer you to the sheet 0 with the date 04-09-92. 4 5 Α Okay. About halfway down on this page, you see 6 0 7 Bell Sports with the number 22 after it? Uh-huh. 8 А 9 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Yes? 10 THE WITNESS: Yes. 11 Q (By Mr. Taylor) Can you tell us what the number 22 means? 12 13 А It is the translation of up to 22 yards. 14 0 So the shipment from Bell Sports consisted of 22 cubic yards? 15 16 А Yes. 17 Q Do you see the first entry, WHI 30? 18 Uh-huh, yes. Α 19 0 Can you explain to me what WHI 30 is? 20 Α Waste Hauling, Incorporated, 30 yards. What does that mean? 21 0 22 Α The 30, is that what you are asking? Let me clarify. Is this a reference to a 23 Q particular truck? 24

209

1 A Yes.

2 Q Did you number your trucks?

3 A Yes.

4 Q Okay. And then presumably they were --5 in the amount column is a 16 reference, so there 6 was 16 cubic yards on this truck?

7 A Yes.

8 Q Are all the WHI trucks your trucks?

9 A Yes.

10 Q Okay. After the WHI listing you start to 11 see things like PPG. Is that one of your trucks or 12 is that someone else's truck?

13 A That's someone else's load. That's14 customer PPG.

15 Q Did that arrive on one of your trucks?16 A Yes.

17 Q Okay. Were there any -- are there any 18 loads here that arrived on something other than one 19 of your trucks?

A You have got three loads down at the
bottom; McKinney Disposal, Cash Brown, Newmeyer
(spelled phonetically) Roofing.

23 Q So those were delivered by someone else?24 A Uh-huh, yes.

210

1 0 There is no reference on this sheet as to 2 the type of container that the material was in, is 3 there? 4 Α On the Bell Sports load? 5 0 On any particular load. On the Bell Sports load there is. 6 Α 7 0 Where is the --8 Α There is a permit number, which would refer to a manifest. 9 10 0 But does that tell you whether the shipment was in drums or in a roll-off container? 11 12 А Not without getting another piece of 13 paper with that number on it. 14 Ο Okay. So, for example, underneath Bell Sports there is the Staley's carbon. Do you know 15 16 if that was in drums or a roll-off container? I know, yes, because I know how it was 17 Α 18 hauled. 19 0 How was it hauled? 20 Α It was hauled in a bulk container. Can you go down this list -- do you have 21 0 22 a good enough recollection to tell what each and every shipment was in, whether it was drums or a 23 24 roll-off container or any other type?

211

1 A Yes, I could tell you.

2 Okay. Which ones of these shipments were Q 3 in drums? 4 Α The only load this date that come in in drums was Bell Sports. 5 6 Okay. What was the total amount of waste 0 7 received on this day? The total down at the bottom says 860 8 А yards. It doesn't say yards, but I know that is 9 10 what it means. 11 0 Can we refer to the previous page which was April 8, 1992? 12 13 А Yes. 14 0 What was the total amount of waste received on the 8th? 15 16 А On that day it was 915. 17 0 Based on your recollection of reviewing that list, can you tell whether any of these 18 19 shipments were drums? 20 А I don't see any loads that would have come in in drums. 21 22 0 What other manufacturers or generators did you receive drum shipments from? 23 One time we hauled some barrels from the 24 А

212

1 factory in Mattoon that come in drums. What else 2 came in drums? I can't think of any others right off of the top of my head. 3 4 0 Were you -- is it correct to say that you weren't at the landfill each day? 5 6 A I was there most every day at some time 7 or another. 8 0 Were you there throughout the time that the facility was opened each day? 9 10 А Only there, no. I would make a visit to 11 see what was going on. 12 How much time, on average, would you 0 13 spend during this visit? 14 А I was probably around there an hour a 15 day. 16 0 How much --17 Α On average. I am sorry about that. 18 0 19 Α That's all right. 20 0 Your answer was you were there about an 21 hour? 22 А On an average. Now, is this a document -- are these 23 Q documents which were dated from around the 1st of 24

213

1 April through April 17th, are these the type of 2 records that you keep in the ordinary course of 3 business? 4 Α Yes. Okay. Did you, in fact, keep them in the 5 Ο 6 ordinary course of business? 7 А Yes. Can you explain to us how it is that if 8 0 you were there at the landfill on average about an 9 10 hour a day, how you know the type of container that was delivered for each shipment to the facility? 11 12 А How I know what is in every one of these 13 containers? 14 0 Right. I have hauled every one of them at one 15 Α 16 time or another. 17 0 If someone happened to put waste in drums that they were putting into roll-off boxes at the 18 19 time you were hauling, would you know that? 20 Α If I was driving the truck? If you were not driving the truck? 21 0 22 Α If I am not driving the truck? Someone could slip something in, yes. 23 24 Q Okay.

> KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY Belleville, Illinois

214

1 A Anything is possible.

2 You didn't keep records of what each 0 delivery -- what type of container it was, did you? 3 4 А I don't understand what you are talking about, the type of container. 5 6 Well, is there another document other 0 7 than these waste in sheets that would say, for example, Staley's spiller aid, roll-off container? 8 9 А Yes. There is? What document would that be? 10 0 Well, there is a load ticket that each 11 Α 12 driver makes out. 13 0 And you have retained each of those load 14 tickets? I am sure we have got them somewhere. 15 А 16 0 Okay. Who signed manifested shipments of 17 waste when they were delivered to your facility, 18 say, in 1992? 19 А For whom? 20 0 What, different people signed the manifest based on who the generator was? 21 22 А I don't know which signature you are 23 looking for, sir. 24 Q Was there a requirement to sign for

215

1 someone at the landfill, one of your employees, to 2 sign a manifest when the shipment was delivered? 3 Yes, the operator of the tractor could Α 4 sign it, the supervisor could sign it. Who would those people be? 5 Q Well, Brad Brown could have signed it and 6 А 7 did sign a lot of them. 8 Q Okay. Back in that period of time we had a 9 А 10 fella by the name of Chuck Cornwall that was an operator, and he could have signed for it. 11 12 When were they signed? 0 13 Α They were signed at the office. And when would the -- how would the 14 0 manifest get to the office? 15 16 Α The landfill or the driver of the truck 17 would bring it to the office. 18 Do the drivers stop at the office before 0 19 they go to the landfill? 20 Α No. So a shipment would arrive and be 21 0 22 disposed of before the manifest was signed? 23 Α Yes. 24 Q Okay. That was your normal operating

216

1 procedure?

2 A Yes.

3 Was it your normal operating procedure to 0 4 inspect the incoming shipments of waste? 5 We knew what the permit allowed to be А 6 unloaded, yes. 7 0 Was it someone's job to look at each and every shipment as it came in to make some attempt 8 to determine what it was? 9 10 А No, the driver primarily knew -- had hauled it enough that they knew what was supposed 11 12 to be in the load when they picked it up. Did you sample these incoming streams? 13 0 14 Α No. Did you maintain a written emergency plan 15 0 16 in the event that --17 Α No, it was not required. 18 So the reason you didn't was because it 0 19 was not required? 20 Α Yes. Is that the same reason you didn't 21 0 22 sample? That's correct. 23 А 24 Q Did you have any written employee

217

1 training manuals concerning the types of waste that 2 you were allowed to receive? 3 No, I don't believe so. А 4 0 Now, when was it that you first learned that you allegedly had received hazardous waste? 5 It was in a newspaper article that was in 6 А 7 the newspaper, and I don't always believe what I read in the newspaper. But I don't, you know --8 9 That's understandable. Do you know when 0 10 the --The article was --11 А 12 -- article was written? 0 13 А I don't remember. 14 0 Was it around the time that you learned from the Agency that you had supposedly received 15 16 hazardous waste? 17 Α No, the speculation was made just prior to the closing of the landfill. 18 19 Ο So is that around --20 Α That was in -- it would have been in April. Maybe it was further than that. In 1992, 21 22 is that when the search warrants were issued? Well, unfortunately, I can't answer 23 0 24 questions for you.

> KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY Belleville, Illinois

218

1 А Okay. I believe it was approximately at 2 the same time that the search warrant to dig up the landfill was issued. 3 4 0 Okay. At some point during the day, when the search warrants were being executed, you came 5 6 to the facility? 7 Α That's correct. 8 Q Was that later in the day? 9 It was about lunchtime. А 10 0 Had you been in court that day? That's correct. 11 А 12 So you were in court in the morning and 0 13 then came to the facility around lunch? 14 Α Uh-huh. Yes. You saw people in white suits walking 15 0 16 around? 17 Α That's correct. You saw them with the backhoe? 18 0 19 А That's correct. 20 Q There was a roll-off container there? That's right. 21 Α 22 0 I assume that at some point they put the barrels into the roll-off container? 23 That's correct. 24 А

219

1 0 Did they ask you where to leave that 2 roll-off container at your facility, or did they just leave it somewhere? 3 4 Α No, they asked me where I wanted them to put it. 5 6 Where did you tell them to put it? 0 7 Α On the north side. Is that out of the way? 8 Q 9 It was out of the way for me, yes. Α 10 0 It was covered with a tarp? 11 А That's correct. 12 Now, between the day that they were 0 13 there, in April 1992, and March or April of 1993, 14 when you had the meeting with the IEPA --Α 15 Okay. 16 0 Did you call them to ask them whether 17 that material was hazardous waste? 18 А No, I did not. 19 0 Did anybody that worked for you call them 20 and ask them that question? Not to my knowledge. 21 А 22 0 Why is that? Why didn't I call? 23 Α 24 Q Yes.

> KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY Belleville, Illinois

220

1 А I never personally talked to the Agency, 2 other than the inspectors and the engineer or the attorneys talked to them. 3 4 0 Why did you not ask your engineer or your attorney to call them and ask? 5 6 MR. LATSHAW: I will object on relevancy 7 grounds. I am not sure what relevance it is whether he called them. There is no foundation for 8 it to begin with. Why should he. 9 10 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Do you care to 11 respond? MR. TAYLOR: Frankly, it goes to the 12 13 damage mitigation. 14 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: All right. 15 Overruled. 16 Mr. Camfield? 17 THE WITNESS: Repeat the question, 18 please. 19 MR. TAYLOR: Would you mind if we asked 20 the court reporter to read it back? 21 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Would you read 22 the question back. (Whereupon the requested 23 24 portion of the record was read

221

1 back by the Reporter.) 2 THE WITNESS: In the conversation with my attorney and engineer, I let both professionals do 3 4 their job as to the way they see fit. (By Mr. Taylor) Were you advised not to 5 0 6 contact the Agency, then? 7 MR. LATSHAW: I will object to any 8 testimony as to what he was advised. 9 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Sustained. 10 Q (By Mr. Taylor) After the drums were removed, this hole was open? 11 12 А That's correct. 13 0 And the Agency left it that way? 14 Α That's correct. Did you do any additional excavation in 15 0 16 that hole to see what else was there? 17 А No, I did not. You had an opportunity to look at it, 18 0 19 didn't you? 20 Α Yes, I looked at it. Did you see any additional drums in the 21 0 22 hole? Not to my recollection. 23 Α 24 Q Between 1992 and today, have you made an

222

1 attempt to remove any hazardous waste from the 2 facility? 3 No, I haven't done anything. А 4 Q Have you collected or asked anyone to collect samples from inside the landfill? 5 6 Actually bore into the landfill? А 7 0 Yes. 8 Α No. 9 Are you aware of any groundwater data 0 10 that shows a contamination problem from the facility? 11 12 А No. 13 0 You also stated on direct that you read 14 the inspection reports that you receive from the Agency; is that correct? 15 16 А Yes. 17 Q Did you always read those inspection 18 reports? 19 А Yes. 20 0 Do you recall receiving a report some time around April 26th of 1990? 21 22 А Off the top of my head I don't remember 23 receiving a report. If I showed you a copy of that report, 24 Q

223

1 would you recognize it? 2 А Yes. 3 MR. LATSHAW: Which one is that? What is the date of that? 4 MR. TAYLOR: The April 26, 1990 report. 5 MR. VAN NESS: 1990? 6 7 MR. TAYLOR: Yes. MR. LATSHAW: I think it is Exhibit 5. 8 9 MR. TAYLOR: I believe it is People's 10 Number 5. 11 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: He has it. 12 Let's continue. 13 Q (By Mr. Taylor) Do you recognize that 14 document, Mr. Camfield? Yes, I have seen it before. 15 А 16 0 I would like you to turn to page 12 from 17 the front. I believe they are not numbered, so you will have to count them. It appears we have 18 19 different copies. I am sorry. I found the page 20 that I had asked you to look at. Is that page 21 numbered? 22 А Not that I see. 23 Q Is there a paragraph 16 on that page? 24 А Yes.

224

1 0 Do you recall reading that paragraph when 2 you received this report? 3 А Yes. 4 0 Did you understand it at the time? 5 Α Yes. The first sentence, the first full 6 0 7 sentence of this paragraph states as follows: Hazardous waste solvent contaminated degreaser 8 filters were accepted from DK Manufacturing; is 9 10 that correct? 11 Α That's what it says, yes. 12 Do you know when those filters supposedly 0 13 were accepted at the landfill? 14 Α I don't know the exact date. DK was a customer of yours; is that 15 0 16 correct? 17 А That's correct. You received waste form them over a 18 0 19 period of time, correct? 20 А That's correct. In fact, you received waste from them 21 0 22 between mid 1988 until 1990; is that correct? That's correct. 23 А What did you do after you received this 24 Q

225

1 inspection report which alleges that you had 2 received hazardous waste from DK Manufacturing? 3 It had already been done. I didn't do А anything after this. It had already been taken 4 care of. 5 What had already been taken care of? 6 0 7 Α The problem with the filters. When you say it had been taken care of, 8 Q what do you mean? 9 10 А It was taken care of the day they were dumped at the landfill. They were picked up and 11 taken back to DK. 12 13 0 How did you know you had received them? 14 Α We had an inspector there. You had an inspector? 15 0 An EPA inspector, yes. 16 А 17 0 When was that? Like I said before, I don't remember the 18 А 19 exact date without digging through the records. 20 0 Was this -- was the date of this report the first day that you had learned of this 21 22 allegation? 23 Α No. Was it the date that this inspector was 24 Q

226

1 at the facility that wrote this report?

2 A The date he was there.

3 Q That was April 26, 1990?

4 A That's the date on this report, yes.

5 Q So it was this inspector that told you 6 that he thought that that shipment from DK had 7 hazardous waste in it?

8 A Yes.

9 Q You had received a shipment from DK on 10 that day?

11 A He watched it being dumped.

12 Q Then your people removed -- was it dumped 13 or did you catch it before it was dumped?

14 A It was dumped. It was in a closed
15 container. There was no way to see it until it was
16 dumped.

17 Q Did you -- I assume, then, that you
18 picked it back up somehow?

19 A That's correct.

20 Q With some equipment?

A I didn't pick it back up. The help at the landfill, under the supervision of Brown, got it picked back up and put back in the container and we took it back to DK.

227

1 0 Then whose container was it? 2 It was my container. А And you shipped that back to DK? 3 0 4 Α Yes, we furnish the container. They fill it. We bring it and empty it and take it back. 5 Did DK provide -- give you back that 6 0 7 container at some point in time? Give it back to me? It is mine to start 8 Α with. I furnish the container. They fill it. I 9 10 take it and empty it. But presumably they had to remove the 11 Q waste from that container, correct, when you 12 13 shipped it back? 14 Α The filters? 15 0 Yes. 16 А The waste? 17 0 Yes. It was removed when it went back there. 18 Α 19 0 Then you received your container back that same day? 20 No, after that the filters were taken out 21 Α 22 of the containers and it was sat in front of the compactor again and they proceeded to put regular 23 rubbish back in it. It was all done on my 24

228

1 equipment, my truck. It was my container. 2 Q I am sorry. I am confused. At the 3 landfill someone refilled your container with DK's waste, correct? 4 Just the filters that wasn't supposed to 5 А be in it. 6 7 Just the filters? 0 That's correct. 8 А 9 Did they use any equipment to remove 0 10 those filters? 11 А Not to my knowledge. 12 They were put back into the container and 0 13 then driven back to DK? 14 А That's right. Was that shipment to DK manifested by 15 Q 16 you? 17 А No. 18 And DK then removed the filters from the 0 19 container? 20 А That's correct. Did you decontaminate the container? 21 0 22 А No. Now, after this event did you start 23 Q 24 inspecting the incoming waste streams?

229

1 A From DK.

2 Q From DK. Did you start inspecting incoming waste streams from anyone else? 3 4 Α Nothing more than what is generally 5 done. Did you see these filters? 6 0 7 Α No, I did not. Okay. For purposes of clarification, you 8 Q removed one shipment of filters from DK from the 9 10 landfill? MR. LATSHAW: I will object to the 11 12 characterization. The testimony is that there was 13 a filter in a general waste container, as set forth in paragraph 16, that Counsel alluded and caused 14 15 the witness to refer to. 16 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Actually, I am 17 sorry, I think both of you are mischaracterizing what he said. He has not testified how many 18 19 filters there were. 20 MR. TAYLOR: I apologize if I was mischaracterizing. 21 22 0 (By Mr. Taylor) My question to you is if DK had sent you a shipment the previous month you 23 didn't dig that shipment up, did you? 24

230

1 А I believe we emptied the DK container 2 approximately once a week. 3 It is only the one shipment that was 0 identified by --4 5 А Townsend. 6 -- Mr. Townsend that you removed from the 0 7 landfill, however many filters it was, and sent it back to DK? 8 9 А That's correct. 10 MR. LATSHAW: Objection. I think Counsel is assuming facts not in evidence, that there were 11 12 filters in every other load, which there is no 13 basis whatsoever to suggest that. There is no evidence of that at all. It is assuming facts not 14 15 in evidence. 16 MR. TAYLOR: I asked him whether he dug 17 up other shipments from DK. 18 MR. LATSHAW: Shipments of what? 19 MR. TAYLOR: Anything. 20 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: The objection is overruled. 21 22 (Mr. Taylor and Mr. Nahmod confer briefly.) 23 (By Mr. Taylor) Just a couple more 24 Q

231

1 questions. The roll-off box that the Agency put 2 this waste material in --3 А Okay. 4 0 -- did you maintain that roll-off box, 5 meaning did you maintain the tarp on top of it? 6 No, I did not. Α 7 0 And how long did that roll-off box stay 8 there? 9 It was there from the time they left it Α 10 until last fall sometime. 11 MR. TAYLOR: Thank you. 12 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Ms. Menotti? 13 MR. DAVIS: I will handle this inquiry. HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Mr. Davis? 14 MR. DAVIS: Thank you. 15 16 CROSS EXAMINATION 17 BY MR. DAVIS: Mr. Camfield, the way we understand it, 18 0 and correct me if I am wrong, is that your company, 19 20 Waste Hauling, Inc., began to operate the landfill back in May of 1980; is this correct so far? 21 22 А Yes. Okay. And at some point in time, sir, 23 Q 24 you had formed a new company called Waste Hauling

232

1 Landfill, Inc., did you not?

2 A That's correct.

And approximately what point in time, if 3 0 4 any, did this new company take over operation of the landfill? 5 6 Without referring to documents, I can't А 7 give you a date. Would it have been approximately 1991? 8 Q Like I say, I need to refer to 9 Α 10 documents. I don't have those in front of me. 11 Q As to your companies, is it true that you 12 now have a managing company that you own that 13 handles these other companies? 14 MR. LATSHAW: Objection. Beyond the scope of direct. 15 MR. DAVIS: Well, this is 16 17 cross-examination. This is the president of the corporate defendants, plural, and I am trying to 18 19 cross based upon two different sets of direct 20 examination. So whether it may be slightly beyond the scope, I encourage the Hearing Officer to 21 22 acknowledge that this is cross-examination, by the prosecuting party, of the defendant. 23 24 MR. LATSHAW: If I may respond?

233

1 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: All right. 2 MR. LATSHAW: I understood Mr. Davis to indicate, during our discussions off the record, 3 4 that he was only going to cross-examine Mr. Camfield based upon my direct testimony, and was 5 6 not under subpoena from him. Cross-examination, as far as I am 7 concerned, has to be limited to the scope of direct 8 examination, and may not be used to explore new 9 10 areas that were not gone into by direct examination. 11 12 Mr. Taylor nor myself went into any other 13 corporate entity or any other business owned or 14 operated by -- or whether he has any interest in it or not by Mr. Camfield. It was limited strictly to 15 the two entities that are the subject of this 16 17 proceeding. I think Mr. Davis is going to be limited to cross-examination on that basis. 18 19 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: All right. 20 MR. DAVIS: I don't recall having a conversation with Mr. Latshaw on this topic. 21 22 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Be that as it may, the objection is sustained. 23 24 MR. DAVIS: You are going to preclude my

234

1 cross-examination, Mr. Hearing Officer, on this 2 topic? 3 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: On this other 4 entity. MR. DAVIS: On the relationship of the 5 6 corporate entities, so that the Board has no basis 7 for comprehension? 8 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: That's correct. 9 MR. DAVIS: All right. 10 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: This is the very first time we have ever heard of a corporate 11 12 entity, and it is on cross-examination, so it is 13 beyond the scope of the direct. 14 0 (By Mr. Davis) Mr. Camfield, when your attorney, Mr. Latshaw, referred to "company" in the 15 16 singular, as operating the landfill, which company 17 was he talking about? 18 А I don't have the answer to that 19 question. You will have to ask Mr. Latshaw. 20 Q Sir, you will have to answer my question. 21 22 MR. DAVIS: I ask the Hearing Officer to direct the witness to answer my question. 23 24 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Could you

235

1 answer his question, Mr. Camfield?

2 THE WITNESS: I don't know what he is referring to, Mr. Hearing Officer. 3 4 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: All right. Continue, Mr. Davis. 5 (By Mr. Davis) At what point in time did 6 0 7 Waste Hauling Landfill, Inc. begin operation of the landfill? 8 9 There again, I would have to get the А records to find out exactly when it was. I don't 10 have them in front of me, sir. 11 12 Okay. Mr. Camfield, how much did you 0 13 charge, as far as a tipping fee, to have people, 14 including your own hauling company, dispose of waste at your landfill? 15 16 А What was the tipping fee? 17 0 Yes. It changed at various times to various 18 А 19 different customers, depending on the product that 20 came in. How much did Waste Hauling Landfill, Inc. 21 0 22 charge Waste Hauling, Inc. to tip? There was a standard fee and without 23 Α looking at records at that time, I can't tell you 24

236

1 the exact amount. But they was charged the same 2 exact amount that another hauler coming in was charged. 3 4 0 What was the most expensive tipping fee that your companies charged? 5 MR. LATSHAW: I think I am going to have 6 7 to object. I think this is getting pretty far afield from the scope of direct examination. 8 9 MR. DAVIS: And I would encourage the Hearing Officer, once again, to acknowledge that 10 this is cross-examination. 11 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: And I would 12 13 encourage the representative for the People to not 14 be so sarcastic in its responses. The objection is sustained. 15 16 0 (By Mr. Davis) Mr. Camfield, let's focus 17 on the issue regarding the berms. I believe you testified that it is your understanding that a berm 18 19 was required, is it not? 20 Α That's correct. How was it required? 21 0 22 А It was part of the plan. And was this plan the plan that was 23 Q 24 approved by the permits?

> KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY Belleville, Illinois

237

1 А That was my understanding, yes. 2 In essence, this is part of your Q operational requirements, would it not be? 3 4 Α That was my understanding, that there had to be a berm on the north side, yes. 5 What type of berm was this? 6 0 7 Α Earth. And what was its intended purpose? 8 Q 9 It is to retain the solid waste from just А 10 taking off and running, I guess. Have you heard of this type of berm 11 0 referred to as a containment berm? 12 13 А Yes. 14 0 Would it also play a role in controlling runoff from the fill area? 15 16 А I don't know how it would control 17 runoff. 18 0 Have you spoken to Mr. Krimmel regarding 19 the purposes of the containment berm? 20 А We have discussed the berm, yes. Did he explain to you the purposes of the 21 0 22 containment berm? It is containment of solid waste inside 23 А of it. 24

238

1 0 Did he refer to any other objective 2 purposes of the containment berm? 3 No, not to my recollection. А 4 0 Does the permit require that the berm be higher than the fill area? 5 А Not to my recollection. 6 7 0 What does the permit require regarding the height of the berm in relation to the fill 8 9 area? 10 А It is containment. It is to contain the solid waste inside that berm. 11 12 And is there any requirement regarding 0 13 the height of the berm in relation to the fill 14 area? 15 I don't understand what it is you are А 16 trying to get me to say, sir. 17 0 Well, I am -- let me ask you a better question, then. Does the permit require the berm 18 19 to be higher than the fill area; yes or no? I don't believe so. 20 А Okay. What did the EPA inspectors say to 21 0 22 you regarding their desire or their perceived need for you to have your berm higher than your fill 23 24 area?

239

1 Α They simply said that the berm needed to 2 be higher. 3 Did they explain why? 0 4 Α No. Okay. Did the Illinois EPA inspectors 5 Ο ever advise you to raise the fill area so that it 6 7 was higher than the containment berm? 8 Α No, I can't remember them doing that. I believe you testified on direct that 9 0 10 you had a concern regarding water on the fill area? 11 12 That's correct. А 13 0 Okay. What was that concern? 14 Α The concern is that I didn't want the top of it flat so that the water would stand on it and 15 16 penetrate into the fill. 17 0 Is this the reason why you would raise the fill area, so that it was higher than the berm? 18 19 А I would round off the top of it so that 20 the water would run off of the fill. And by doing these actions did you intend 21 0 22 for the fill area to be higher than the containment 23 berm? 24 А Well, that would be the contention of it,

240

1 yes.

2 Was this your objective in doing these Q 3 things? 4 Α Yes. Okay. What was the maximum permitted 5 Q 6 elevation of your landfill? 7 Α I don't remember the figure, sir. At what point in time did your landfill 8 0 exceed the maximum permitted elevation? 9 10 Α I don't remember, sir. At what point in time did you decide to 11 Q 12 seek local siting approval? 13 А That decision was made in 1987, 1988, 14 somewhere along in there. 15 And what did you seek to have approved as 0 16 far as local siting? 17 Α Expansion. Can you describe your expansion plans? 18 0 It was an expansion plan that would 19 Α 20 engulf the fill that we was using at that particular time. 21 22 0 Would it have obtained a higher elevation 23 than you presently had? 24 А Yes.

241

1 Q Okay. In your siting application to the 2 Macon County Board, did you indicate that your landfill had gone beyond the maximum permitted 3 4 elevation already? 5 Α I didn't prepare those documents, sir. Did you sign those documents, sir? 6 0 7 Α Yes. 8 0 Did you read those documents? No, I didn't read every word that was in 9 А 10 the documents. Did the document indicate the present 11 0 height of your landfill at that time? 12 13 Α Yes. 14 0 Okay. Now, to be fair, so that the record is clear, did your siting approval 15 16 application also seek an expansion to the site, to 17 the west side, I believe, to --18 А Yes. 19 0 -- increase? Okay. 20 Α Well, yes. Can you elaborate on that? Am I mistaken 21 0 22 on the direction or the nature of expansion? Well, it would have expanded a small 23 Α 24 distance east, some distance north, some distance

242

1 south, and an extreme amount of distance west. 2 When did the Macon County Board deny your 0 siting application? 3 I believe it was in November, December of 4 А 1990, I believe. I am not 100 percent positive on 5 6 the date. 7 0 Did you try to get that decision changed 8 on any appeal? 9 Yes, we did. А Was, in fact, an appeal taken to the 10 0 Pollution Control Board? 11 12 А Yes. 13 0 Did the Board deny your appeal? 14 А Yes. And about this time did the Attorney 15 0 16 General's office file a complaint against you? 17 А Yes. Now, you have indicated that on February 18 0 22nd, 1991 you paid a \$2,500.00 payment to settle 19 20 an administrative citation? А 21 Yes. 22 0 Okay. Now, this administrative citation was actually issued the previous year, 1990, was it 23 24 not?

243

1 Α Without looking at documents, sir, I 2 don't know. 3 Was it based upon the April 1990 0 4 inspection that we have been discussing a few 5 minutes ago? 6 А I can't honestly answer that question, 7 sir. Did this payment in February of 1991, in 8 Q your understanding, Mr. Camfield, allow you to keep 9 10 going higher with your landfill? 11 Α No. 12 Okay. Did you ever tell Mr. Krimmel that 0 13 you planned to keep operating even though you were 14 too high, and even though the Macon County Board had denied your siting approval application? 15 16 MR. LATSHAW: Objection. I am not sure 17 that -- if this is impeachment, I am not sure that there is any prior testimony to talk about. I am 18 19 not sure -- it is also a relevancy question. I am 20 not sure exactly what this is all relevant to. HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Overruled. Go 21 22 ahead and answer the question. THE WITNESS: Would you repeat the 23 24 question?

244

1 Q Certainly. Did you ever tell Mr. Krimmel 2 that you planned to keep on operating even though 3 your landfill was too high and even though the 4 Macon County Board had denied your siting approval 5 application?

6 A No, I did not.

7 0 Did you tell Mr. Krimmel that you intended to keep on operating until the new 8 regulations came into effect in the fall of 1992? 9 10 Α I had discussed with Mr. Krimmel that we needed to -- a decision was made that we needed to 11 12 close in September. I believe that was the date 13 that it had to be closed before you jumped into Subtitle D. 14

15 Q Did you speak to your attorney, Mr.
16 Darrell Statzer, about anything that Mr. Richardson
17 or myself may have told him about hazardous waste
18 disposal?

19 A I don't remember discussing anything with20 Mr. Statzer about that.

21 Q Okay. You have indicated that you did 22 read something in the newspaper about hazardous 23 waste disposal, did you not?

24 A That's right.

245

1 Q Okay. You have also indicated, Mr. 2 Camfield, that months later, in the spring of 1993, that you had a meeting with the Illinois EPA at 3 which this was discussed? 4 That's when I first found out that --5 Α 6 0 Okav. 7 Α -- they was going to classify it as a 8 hazardous waste facility. Did you find out from any other source in 9 0 10 between the newspaper article, in I think you said April of 1992, and the meeting with the Illinois 11 EPA, approximately a year later, regarding the 12 13 hazardous waste disposal? The meeting I referred to was the first 14 Α time I heard it. 15 16 0 Okay. Now, at that meeting, in the 17 spring of 1993, were you advised that you needed to address closure of the landfill? 18 19 Α That's the reason I went to the meeting. 20 0 Right. And what were you advised? I was told at that time that the EPA had 21 Α 22 classified it a hazardous fill and that's the way I had to close it. 23 24 0 Were you advised that the closure plan

246

1 had to address the hazardous waste disposal? 2 That's what they told me. А Were you advised at that meeting, sir, 3 0 4 that the closure plan needed to address the overfill situation? 5 The only thing I remember about that 6 А 7 meeting was the fact that they decided to make it a hazardous fill. After that, I don't remember too 8 much of what was said. 9 10 0 What have you done since that meeting in the spring of 1993, to address closure, hazardous 11 waste, and overfill? 12 13 А We have tried to negotiate and find out 14 what is needed to be done. And have you found out what needs to be 15 0 16 done? 17 А No, I have not. Have you attended other meetings since 18 0 the spring of 1993 regarding your landfill? 19 20 А I don't believe I have personally, no. Have your legal or technical 21 0 22 representatives attended? 23 А Yes. 24 Q Have you spoken to them about what they

247

1 learned from the Illinois EPA?

2 Yes. They have told me what they thought Α they have figured out. 3 4 0 Have you been advised through your legal 5 and technical representatives that you either need to move the overfill or have it sited? 6 7 Α No, that has not been discussed. 8 Q Okay. The possibility of it has. 9 Α 10 0 And what were you told? MR. LATSHAW: Objection to what he was 11 told in regards to legal counsel. I am not sure if 12 13 this is a technical or a legal question, but I am 14 not sure, also, what relevance this is. It is a little bit beyond the scope of direct, I believe, 15 16 as well. 17 MR. DAVIS: If I may respond? HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Please. 18 19 MR. DAVIS: I believe there could be a 20 privilege situation. That is certainly not my intent. I believe that as far as relevancy, we 21 22 have a situation where these problems have existed for several years, and that it is a due diligence 23 type of consideration that the Board must undertake 24

248

so, therefore, the record should contain some
 evidence.

My angle is, of course, to point out the lack of due diligence. The respondent could certainly tell us the things that have been undertaken as far as due diligence, but basically we are talking about an issue that they have raised.

9 They have indicated that they don't know 10 what they need to do, and have suggested that the 11 Illinois EPA has been remiss in advising them of 12 these serious violations and so forth. So I think 13 it is a legitimate inquiry.

14 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: All right. То the extent that you are asking privileged 15 16 information, if there is an attorney-client 17 privilege, if you are asking for technical information, the objection is overruled. 18 19 0 (By Mr. Davis) Let me rephrase my 20 question so that we can focus on that, Mr. Camfield. 21 22 А All right. Has Mr. Krimmel relayed to you what needs 23 Q to be done? 24

249

1 A Mr. Krimmel has put some figures together 2 as to what it would cost to move an extreme amount 3 of solid waste. 4 0 By an extreme amount, what do you 5 consider, or what is your understanding as far as your landfill as to an extreme amount? 6 To the tune of 9 or 10 million dollars 7 А 8 worth. 9 What sort of quantity of overfill does 0 10 this translate to? 11 А I didn't understand the quantity part of 12 it. 13 Okay. Have you made any decision whether 0 or not to seek siting approval, then, as an 14 alternative to relocation of the waste? 15 16 А To be quite frank with you, sir, I don't 17 have the \$100,000.00 it takes to take it in front of the Macon County Board. 18 When you say you don't have the 19 0 20 \$100,000.00, are you talking about you, yourself as an individual, or one of your companies? 21 22 А The companies. Which company? 23 Q Either one of them. 24 А

> KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY Belleville, Illinois

250

1 Q Is Waste Hauling, Inc. still a going 2 concern? 3 It is still operating. Α 4 0 Can you describe its operations? It is the hauling of industrial waste. 5 Α To which landfill does it haul? 6 0 7 Α The Macon County Landfill. Do you hold any interest in the Macon 8 0 County Landfill? 9 10 Α I am a small stockholder. You are a voting stockholder? 11 Q 12 That's correct. Α 13 0 And how many other voting stockholders 14 are there? Probably 25 or 30. 15 А 16 0 Does each own an equal share? 17 Α It is my understanding that they do. Does your company, Waste Hauling, Inc., 18 0 19 receive a special rate for disposal at Macon County Landfill? 20 Α 21 No. 22 0 If you don't have \$100,000.00, then what do you intend to do as far as the violations that 23 we have been talking about? 24

251

1 A That decision has not been made yet, 2 sir. 3 Have you spoken with Mr. Krimmel as far 0 4 as prioritizing all of the different things that need to be done? 5 6 А When we went to the March meeting in 1993 7 we had a plan --8 Q Okay. 9 -- that we was going to present, and А 10 after what we was told, that was the end of it. What about the August 1996 meeting? Did 11 Q 12 you learn enough from that meeting, through your representatives, to prioritize compliance measures? 13 14 Α August of when, sir? 0 I believe it was last year, August of 15 16 1996. 17 А I haven't learned enough to make any kind of decision on what has to be done. 18 19 0 Well, let's explore that here. You don't 20 have a closure plan; is this correct? That's correct. 21 А 22 0 Okay. Therefore, you don't have financial assurance; is this correct? 23 24 А That's correct.

> KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY Belleville, Illinois

252

1 MR. LATSHAW: Objection. This is all 2 beyond the scope of direct. 3 MR. DAVIS: Once again, in all sincerity, Mr. Hearing Officer, this is cross-examination of 4 the party defendant. 5 6 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Well, this 7 appears to be more closely related to direct than the other, so continue. 8 9 MR. DAVIS: Thank you. 10 0 (By Mr. Davis) You don't have financial 11 assurance, correct? 12 А That's right. 13 0 You don't have groundwater monitoring 14 wells, correct? We have a well, yes. 15 Α 16 0 Okay. Have these wells been monitored? 17 Α Yes. What is the most recent data that you 18 0 19 have? I don't keep that information. Mr. 20 А Krimmel does. 21 22 0 Okay. I believe on direct you did testify, sir, that the groundwater monitoring 23 hadn't shown any problems. Is this your 24

253

1 understanding from talking to Mr. Krimmel? 2 That's right. А 3 Is it your understanding that the 0 4 monitoring is being done on a regular basis? 5 А Yes. 6 Let me jump back just for a second to the 0 7 financial assurance. We believe that at one point in time you had a surety bond posted; is that 8 9 correct? 10 А That's correct. 11 Q It expired? 12 That's correct. А 13 0 Can you explain why it was not continued, in effect? 14 A It expired. I didn't catch it. The EPA 15 16 didn't catch it. Okay. Continuing on with the list of 17 0 compliance measures, I believe on direct you did 18 19 mention that it is your understanding that one to 20 three feet of cover was applied to the landfill; is this correct? 21 22 А Uh-huh. 23 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Yes? 24 THE WITNESS: Yes. Excuse me.

254

1 0 (By Mr. Davis) What attempts have been 2 made, if any, to maintain this cover, Mr. Camfield? 3 I haven't done anything there. А 4 0 Okay. It was put on basically in the summer and early fall of 1992? 5 That's correct. 6 А 7 0 To comply with the judge's order? That's correct. 8 Α Nothing has been done since the fall of 9 0 10 1992 to maintain it? That is correct. 11 Α 12 You may have heard about the evidence of 0 erosion problems at the landfill. My question to 13 you, sir, what efforts, if any, do you intend to 14 take in spending whatever money you have to take 15 16 care of those problems? 17 А I don't understand what you are asking me, sir. 18 19 0 Okay. Let me back up. We have heard 20 about the application to cover four and a half 21 years ago. 22 А Okay. We heard from you, sir, that you have 23 Q made no efforts to maintain it; is this correct, 24

255

1 sir?

2 А That's correct. I am representing to you, sir, that we 3 0 4 have -- this group has heard evidence regarding erosion problems at the landfill. 5 My question is; what efforts, if any, do 6 7 you intend to take to correct those erosion 8 problems? 9 MR. LATSHAW: I am objecting to this. It 10 is becoming inquisitorial. He didn't hear that testimony from this witness. And if he wishes to 11 make this witness his witness, then he can issue a 12 13 subpoena and he can do so. But it is beyond the scope of this 14 witness' direct examination. It certainly is 15 16 relevant and related to all of the testimony we 17 have heard from Mr. Krimmel, and it is starting to sound a little cumulative, but it certainly is 18 19 beyond the scope of this witness' direct 20 examination from us. MR. DAVIS: Well, it is appropriate, Mr. 21 22 Wallace, for the attorney doing the cross-examination to represent to the witness what 23 evidence has been received. And I don't think 24

256

there is a dispute regarding my representation as
 to that.

I think it is appropriate, as I have stated earlier, in the cross-examination of a party defendant, especially with the Board's statutorily mandated consideration of certain criteria, to focus on these types of things for due diligence, for economic benefit accrual, regarding the severity of the violations, and on and on.

10 I am not being sarcastic. I don't want 11 to make this man my witness. He is here now. He 12 is under oath. I am crossing him. I think it is a 13 perfectly legitimate inquiry. It may be striking a 14 bit deep.

15 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: The objection16 is overruled. Continue.

Q (By Mr. Davis) Okay. My question to you sir, once again, is we have heard about these erosion problems. What do you intend to do with your financial resources, whatever they may be, to address the erosion problems?

A When this hearing is over, I intend to get with the professionals that I hired and find out what I need to do.

> KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY Belleville, Illinois

1 Q Why are you waiting for the hearing to be 2 over? 3 I have no idea what is going to be А required out of this hearing. 4 Are you telling the Pollution Control 5 0 Board that you are willing to wait until they send 6 7 you an order? А 8 I have got to find out what is going to happen. 9 10 0 Well, is there any uncertainty in your mind, sir, regarding this hazardous waste 11 12 situation? 13 А Any --14 0 Any uncertainty? Uncertainty in what way? 15 А 16 0 As far as how it impacts the closure 17 activities that will be required of you? А Yes, there is uncertainty. 18 19 0 Does this uncertainty translate to 20 certain other fundamental matters, such as maintenance of cover? 21 22 А The uncertainty has got the whole situation confused as to what is going to be 23 required; what, when and where. 24

> KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY Belleville, Illinois

1 0 Do you feel that the erosion problems at 2 your landfill may be causing any problems with 3 leachate? 4 Α No. 5 Ο Do you agree, sir, that leachate during 6 the operation of the landfill was a problem? 7 MR. LATSHAW: I am renewing my objection 8 going back two months ago, three months ago, to this leachate business that -- I am just renewing 9 10 it for the record. I have a continuing objection 11 to the testimony about it. I am just renewing it. 12 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: All right. So 13 noted. 14 Mr. Camfield? THE WITNESS: Which leachate problem are 15 16 you referring to, sir? (By Mr. Davis) The one that the judge 17 0 shut your landfill down for. 18 19 А That had to do with the old fill. 20 0 Okay. Do you feel, sir, that there is any need to take any action at your landfill at the 21 22 present time to prevent leachate problems? I don't think I have a leachate problem, 23 А 24 actually.

> KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY Belleville, Illinois

1 Q Okay. Now, you have testified, Mr. 2 Camfield, that on April 9, 1992, Waste Hauling Landfill accepted a load of drums from Bell Sports; 3 isn't this true? 4 That's correct. 5 А To your knowledge, did this load contain 6 0 7 any hazardous wastes? No, sir. 8 Α 9 Then why are you claiming in a 0 10 cross-claim against Bell that it did contain hazardous waste? 11 12 MR. LATSHAW: I will object to the 13 question as calling for a legal conclusion, and it is, I think, a bit argumentative with this 14 15 witness. 16 MR. DAVIS: Well, my response would be 17 that what people put in legal pleadings should be discussed with clients. We have a situation where 18 19 Mr. Camfield has not fully informed himself of the 20 facts regarding his landfill, and I am now turning my attention to whether he has fully informed 21 22 himself as to the facts that are pleaded in this 23 litigation. 24 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: The objection

260

1 is sustained.

2 MR. DAVIS: I have no other cross-examination. Thank you. 3 4 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Redirect? MR. LATSHAW: Yes, sir. Thank you. 5 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 6 7 BY MR. LATSHAW: 8 Jerry, I would like to call your 0 attention to People's Exhibits 10 and 11, if we can 9 10 find those somewhere. Those both purport to be 11 inspection reports of the EPA in 1992, in the 12 summer; is that correct? 13 А Yes, according to the dates, yes. 14 0 Do you recall inspectors being out at the landfill on, I guess it would have been in July and 15 16 then again in September of 1992? 17 Α Yes. Did you have any contact with those two 18 0 gentlemen, whoever they might have been, whoever is 19 20 reflected on those inspection reports? Yes, I talked to them. 21 А 22 0 Did either one of them mention to you that the Illinois EPA suspected that you had 23 24 received hazardous wastes during either of those

261

1 visits?

2 I don't remember the conversation. Α I believe Counsel had also asked you, on 3 0 4 behalf of Bell, with regard to some type of filters from DK Manufacturing. Do you know what kind of 5 filters those might have been? Do you have any 6 7 idea what they were? No, I don't have knowledge of the exact 8 Α origin or where they came from. 9 10 0 Do you know what they are? Do you have some idea what they are? 11 12 Just a filter material. It was my А 13 understanding that they were like a two by two and 14 a half foot filter type thing and that there was a series of them in a wall. That was my 15 16 understanding of what they were. 17 0 Okay. Now, these, apparently, had turned up into the general waste container that you 18 19 contracted with DK to move? 20 А That's correct. (Mr. Davis left the hearing 21 22 room.) (By Mr. Latshaw) Now, this container, was 23 Q 24 that -- was that the only type of agreement that

262

1 you had with DK Manufacturing, was to move a

2 general waste container?

3 A Yes.

4 Q And that was, of course, your container I 5 think you indicated?

6 A Right.

Q You did not have any agreement or obtain
any special waste permit for the purpose of moving
any other waste from DK Manufacturing, then?

10 A Not that I recollect.

Okay. The only occasion that you know of 11 Q 12 that any of these filters would have appeared in your landfill was on the particular day that you 13 14 were there in the presence of an inspector who noticed it at that time; is that correct? 15 16 Α That's correct. 17 0 How many filters were there on that day. Do you have any recollection? 18 19 А No, I do not.

20(Mr. Davis entered the hearing21room.)22QQ(By Mr. Latshaw) All right. Could it

23 have been one?

A I really don't have a recollection as to

263

1 how many.

2 Q Okay. Had you seen any of those before 3 that day? 4 А No. 5 0 Had you been there when DK had -- when 6 the DK container had come in before that day? 7 Α I have dumped the container myself. All right. Did you notice any filters in 8 0 those containers? 9 10 А No, I did not. No. All right. Now, were there ever any 11 Q tests done that you know of, that you became aware 12 13 of, with regard to what, in fact, those filters 14 were classified in terms of hazardous versus nonhazardous? 15 16 Α Other than what is in the report is the 17 only thing I know about. 18 All right. No one ever showed you any 0 19 test results? 20 А No. So when Counsel asked you whether you had 21 0 22 decontaminated the container after you had removed the -- after you took the filters back to DK, were 23 you aware of any need to decontaminate the 24

264

1 container without evidence that it has been 2 contaminated? 3 А It was my understanding that it was all 4 dry. It was just the filter. Did the inspector indicate to you at that 5 Q time and place that the container had been 6 7 contaminated? 8 А No. 9 MR. LATSHAW: I have nothing further. 10 Thank you. HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Mr. Taylor? 11 12 MR. TAYLOR: Just a couple things. 13 RECROSS EXAMINATION 14 BY MR. TAYLOR: Sir, do you know what the difference is 15 0 16 between a listed hazardous waste and a 17 characteristic hazardous waste? А No, I do not. 18 19 0 What was the volume of the DK containers 20 that you picked up? That is a 40 cubic yard box. 21 А 22 0 Was that -- how often did you pick that 23 up? Was that once a week? Probably. Without looking at records, I 24 А

265

1 don't know how often it was picked up. They went 2 through different schedules. 3 But it was relatively frequent? 0 4 А Yes. Okay. So when you say you didn't see any 5 0 6 filters in a shipment that you hauled, that could 7 have been a 40 cubic yard shipment? 8 The same as the one that the filters were А in. 9 10 Q Right. How large are the filters? Two by two and a half or something like 11 А 12 that. 13 0 Are they thick? 14 А No, they are just so thick (indicating). 15 That's all I remember. 16 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Let the record 17 reflect that --18 THE WITNESS: The record. Oh, okay. 19 Maybe two inches thick. Two by two and a half. 20 Q (By Mr. Taylor) Okay. Were they pliable? Do you know what I mean by pliable? 21 22 А Yes. Were they? 23 Q 24 А Yes.

> KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY Belleville, Illinois

1 Q Okay. To your knowledge, did anyone else 2 pick up DK's general waste? And by general waste I mean their trash, whatever would have been in these 3 4 40 cubic yard containers from the period of mid 1988 through 1990? 5 6 Did another driver do it? Α 7 0 No. Let me be more specific. 8 Α Okay. Did -- was another hauling and disposal 9 0 10 company dealing with their waste? 11 А No. 12 So as far as you know, you were their 0 13 exclusive general waste disposal provider? 14 А That's correct. MR. TAYLOR: That is all I have. 15 16 We move to enter Bell Number 6, which was 17 the waste in daily sheets. HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Any objection? 18 19 MS. MENOTTI: No. 20 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Any objection? MR. LATSHAW: No objection. 21 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Bell Exhibit 22 Number 6 is admitted. 23 24 (Whereupon said document was

267

1 admitted into evidence as Bell 2 Exhibit 6 as of this date.) 3 MR. TAYLOR: For purposes of 4 clarification, it is my understanding that we also entered in the two labels. 5 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Yes, the two 6 7 labels were admitted. And to clear something up, while I am thinking about it, Number 5 was 8 technically not moved, I don't believe. But if you 9 10 want to make it an issue, then you can move it. I 11 will deny admission and then you can do whatever 12 you want. 13 MR. TAYLOR: All right. We would then 14 move to enter Bell Number 5. HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: All right. 15 That being an annual report, and it is not 16 17 admitted. 18 MR. DAVIS: Mr. Wallace, I have no recross of Mr. Camfield. 19 20 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: All right. You were through, Mr. Taylor? 21 MR. TAYLOR: Yes, I am through. 22 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: All right. 23 Thank you, Mr. Davis. 24

> KEEFE REPORTING COMPANY Belleville, Illinois

1 Mr. Camfield, you may step down. 2 THE WITNESS: All right. (The witness left the stand.) 3 4 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Mr. Van Ness? MR. LATSHAW: I think we rest. And in 5 6 case we haven't, I will move to admit all of the 7 exhibits. For 22 years that's been haunting me. HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: All right. I 8 think I am still reserving ruling on Exhibit Number 9 5, but I think everything else has been admitted. 10 We will adjourn for the day, but do you 11 anticipate -- well, actually, do you anticipate 12 13 witnesses tomorrow? 14 MR. TAYLOR: Two, I believe. HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: All right. 15 MR. LATSHAW: Do you need Mr. Camfield? 16 17 MR. TAYLOR: No, we are done with Mr. Camfield. 18 19 MS. MENOTTI: I don't know if you want 20 this on or off the record. I know that you are expecting Mr. Townsend to testify tomorrow. 21 He is 22 not under subpoena, and he is not available to testify until tomorrow afternoon. 23 24 MR. TAYLOR: He is under subpoena.

269

1 MS. MENOTTI: He never received his 2 subpoena for this round of the hearing. 3 MR. NAHMOD: Well, all witnesses were 4 under subpoena. MS. MENOTTI: He received one for the 5 first hearing in March, and the second hearing in 6 7 April. He can't appear tomorrow morning. 8 MR. TAYLOR: You said he is available in 9 the afternoon? 10 MS. MENOTTI: He will be available in the 11 afternoon. 12 MR. DAVIS: Who was your other, Zierath? 13 MR. DAVIS: Because he asked me. He said 14 he was under subpoena from you, and he asked me to 15 check and --16 MR. TAYLOR: We are done with Mr. 17 Zierath. 18 MR. DAVIS: All right. 19 MR. TAYLOR: The other witness will be a 20 gentleman named Robert Miller from Bell Sports. HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: All right. In 21 22 any event, we can get started with one witness tomorrow morning, and then Mr. Townsend will be 23 available at what time? 24

270

1 MS. MENOTTI: He has a doctor's 2 appointment and he should be available some time early afternoon, is the message that I got. 3 4 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Is that like 1:00 or 1:30. 5 MS. MENOTTI: That's what I assume. 6 7 MR. TAYLOR: Just so everyone knows, I don't expect Mr. Miller to take very long. I can't 8 represent any cross-examinations, but I suspect 9 10 that there will be a significant break in between witnesses. 11 12 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Off the 13 record. 14 (Discussion off the record.) 15 HEARING OFFICER WALLACE: Back on the 16 record. 17 We will adjourn until tomorrow morning at 10:00. 18 19 (WHL Exhibits 14 through 18 and 20 Bell Exhibits 3 through 6 were 21 retained by Hearing Officer 22 Wallace.) 23 24

271

1 STATE OF ILLINOIS) SS) 2 COUNTY OF MONTGOMERY) 3 CERTIFICATE I, DARLENE M. NIEMEYER, a Notary Public 4 in and for the County of Montgomery, State of 5 6 Illinois, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing 271 7 pages comprise a true, complete and correct transcript of the proceedings held on the 19th of 8 May A.D., 1997, at the Office of the Attorney 9 General, Conference Room, 500 South Second Street, 10 Springfield, Illinois, in the case of People of the 11 12 State of Illinois v. Waste Hauling Landfill, Inc. 13 and Waste Hauling, Inc., in proceedings held before 14 the Honorable Michael L. Wallace, Hearing Officer, and recorded in machine shorthand by me. 15 16 IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have hereunto set my 17 hand and affixed my Notarial Seal this 30th day of May A.D., 1997. 18 19 20 Notary Public and Certified Shorthand Reporter and 21 Registered Professional Reporter 22 CSR License No. 084-003677 23 My Commission Expires: 03-02-99 24

272