BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD 1 2 3 IN THE MATTER OF:) 4) PETITION OF VONCO PRODUCTS, INC.) FOR AN ADJUSTED STANDARD FROM 35) AS 00-012 5 ILL. ADM. CODE SECTIONS 218.401(a),) 6 (b), and (c) (the"FLEXOGRAPHIC) PRINTING RULE"),)) 7 8 9 The PROCEEDINGS held before JOHN KNITTLE, Hearing Officer, taken stenographically 10 11 before GEANNA M. IAQUINTA, CSR, a notary public 12 within and for the County of Cook and State of 13 Illinois, at 118 West Cook Street, Libertyville, Illinois, on the 15th day of November, A.D., 14 15 2000, scheduled to commence at 10:30 a.m. 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

```
1
   APPEARANCES:
 2
           ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD,
 3
                   100 West Randolph
                     Suite 11-500
                Chicago, Illinois 60601
 4
                    (312) 814-3629
 5
        BY: MR. JOHN KNITTLE, Hearing Officer, and
            MR. BRAD HALLORAN
 6
 7
        JOHNSON & BELL,
        55 East Monroe Street
 8
         Suite 4100
         Chicago, Illinois 60603
        (312) 372-0770
 9
         BY: MS. SUSAN W. HORN
10
              Appeared on behalf of Vonco Products,
11
              Inc.,
12
         ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY,
13
         1021 North Grand Avenue East
         P.O. Box 19276
         Springfield, Illinois 62794
14
        BY: MS. BONNIE SAWYER and MR. DAVID
15
         BLOOMBERG
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

| ٠, | |
|----|--|
| | |
| | |
| | |

1 INDEX 2 PAGES 3 4 THE WITNESSES: JOHN LaROI 5 6 Direct Examination by Ms. Horn..... 15-21 7 8 RICHARD TRZUPEK 9 Direct Examination by Ms. Horn..... 22-37 10 11 Cross-Examination by Ms. Sawyer..... 37-38 12 Redirect Examination by Ms. Horn..... 38-40 13 Recross-Examination 14 by Ms. Sawyer..... 40-42 15 Re-Redirect Examination by Ms. Horn..... 43-43 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

1 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: My name is John 2 Knittle. I'm the hearing officer with the 3 Pollution Control Board. I'm also the assigned hearing officer to this case, which is Adjusted 4 5 Standard 2000-12, In the Matter of Petition of: Petition of Vonco Products, Incorporated, for an 6 Adjusted Standard from 35 Ill. Adm. Code 7 Sections 218.401(a), (b), and (c) (the "Flexographic 8 9 Printing Rule"). Today's date is November 15th. It 10 is approximately 10:40 a.m. I want to note for 11 12 the record that Pollution Control Board employee Brad Halloran is with us here today. Brad is a 13

14 hearing officer as well. We're going to run 15 this hearing pursuant to Section 106.806, which 16 is the order of hearing for general adjusted 17 standards.

As noted the previous two days, we are not running this hearing according to Subpart E, which is the air adjusted standard procedures. Section 106.501 designates the scope and applicability of that section and is

23 only applied when an adjusted standard is

24 brought pursuant to 35 Ill. Adm. Code 212.126.

L.A. REPORTING (312) 419-9292

5

1 It's my understanding both Ms. Horn and Ms. Sawyer 2 have agreed in the past that this, too, is not brought pursuant to that section. 3 Is that correct, Ms. Horn? 4 MS. HORN: That's correct. 5 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Ms. Sawyer, do 6 7 you agree with that? 8 MS. SAWYER: Yes. 9 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: So we're going to run it according to the section already 10 noted, 106.806. 11 At this point in time, I'd like to 12 have the parties identify themselves, starting 13 with the petitioner. 14 MS. HORN: My name is Susan Horn, 15 16 H-o-r-n. I'm the attorney with Johnson & Bell 17 in Chicago for the Petitioner, Vonco Products. I have with me today Mr. John LaRoi. It's 18 L-a-R-o-i. He's president and general --19 20 vice-president and general manager of Vonco Products and Rich Trzupek, who is an 21

22 environmental consultant with Huff & Huff.

23 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: And for the 24 IEPA?

L.A. REPORTING (312) 419-9292

| 1 | MS. SAWYER: Good morning. I'm Bonnie |
|----|--|
| 2 | Sawyer. I'm an assistant counsel with the |
| 3 | Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, and |
| 4 | with me today is David Bloomberg. He is an |
| 5 | environmental engineer with our agency. |
| 6 | HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Thank you, Ms. Sawyer. |
| 7 | I want to note again for the record, and I've |
| 8 | noted this a couple times now, but I do it for |
| 9 | every case, that I will not be making the |
| 10 | ultimate decision in this matter. That ruling |
| 11 | will come from the Illinois Pollution Control |
| 12 | Board, which is a board comprised of several |
| 13 | members located throughout the state of Illinois |
| 14 | chosen for their environmental expertise and |
| 15 | knowledge. |
| 16 | I'll be ruling on any evidentiary |
| 17 | matters and any other issues that arise during |
| 18 | the hearing, and I hope and my job is to |
| 19 | ensure an orderly hearing which will provide the |
| 20 | Board with a concise and clear record on which |

21 to base its decision.

At this point in time, do we have any preliminary matters before we get started with opening statements?

L.A. REPORTING (312) 419-9292

7

MS. HORN: No. 1 2 MS. SAWYER: No. 3 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Both parties indicate no. 4 5 Ms. Horn, your opening statement, 6 please. MS. HORN: Vonco Products, Inc. is 7 seeking an adjusted standard from 35 Ill. Adm. 8 9 Code Subpart H, Section 218.401(a), (b), and 10 (c), known as the Flexographic Printing Rule as it applies to the emissions of volatile organic 11 material, or VOM, from its one central emission 12 flexographic printing press. 13 The evidence will show that Vonco 14 15 operates this flexographic printing press to print images using inks onto high slip 16 polyethylene film, which is then converted into 17 a package for the medical, industrial, and 18 retail industries. 19

| 20 | The evidence will show that Vonco |
|----|--|
| 21 | has been working with the Illinois Environmental |
| 22 | Protection Agency since 1994 to address |
| 23 | difficulties with the Flexographic Printing |
| 24 | Rule. On May 17th, 1999, Vonco filed a petition |

8

1 seeking a variance from the rule.

Following subsequent negotiations 2 with the IEPA, Vonco realized that the proposed 3 relief that it was seeking was better applied as 4 5 an adjusted standard. Therefore, Vonco dismissed its petition for a variance and filed 6 the present petition for an adjusted standard. 7 8 The specific regulation from which Vonco seeks an adjusted standard requires the 9 flexographic printers to use inks that contain 10 either, one, no more than 40 percent VOM, 11 excluding water, by volume or, two, no more than 12 25 percent VOM by volume of the volatile content 13 of the ink. If a source cannot use water-based 14 inks, then the source must design and apply an 15 approved control device. If a source chooses to 16 comply with the Flexographic Printing Rule by 17 equipping the flexographic printing press with 18

19 an add-on control, then that control device must 20 reduce the captured VOM emissions by at least 90 21 percent by weight or achieve an overall 22 reduction of 60 percent in VOM emissions by 23 alternative control systems that have been 24 approved by the IEPA and the United States

L.A. REPORTING (312) 419-9292

9

Environmental Protection Agency or USEPA. 1 As the evidence will show, the 2 regulation from which Vonco requires an adjusted 3 standard applies to sources with a potential to 4 5 emit 25 tons per year or more of VOM. The initial RACT regulations applied to major 6 7 sources with actual VOM emissions in excess of 8 100 tons per year. In response to the adoption of the 9 federal implementation plan, the Board amended 10 the RACT rules to require that all Chicago area 11 12 sources with maximum theoretical emissions of at least 100 tons per year to implement RACT. 13 Pursuant to Section 182(d) of the 14 Clean Air Act, individual states within severe 15

16 ozone nonattainment areas are required to

17 include all sources with the potential to emit

| 18 | of at least 25 tons per year as major sources, |
|----|---|
| 19 | and those states must also adopt RACT |
| 20 | regulations applicable to those sources. |
| 21 | Therefore, the Illinois Pollution |
| 22 | Control Board established the requirements |
| 23 | described in the Flexographic Printing Rule. |
| 24 | The evidence will show that Vonco is located in |

10

Lake Villa, Illinois, in Lake County, which is
 part of the Chicago area designated as a severe
 ozone nonattainment area. Therefore, it is
 subject to the requirements in the Flexographic
 Printing Rule.

The regulation of general applicability 6 from which Vonco seeks an adjusted standard does 7 not specify a level of justification for an 8 adjusted standard. Therefore, the requirements 9 in Section 28.1 of the Illinois Environmental 10 Protection Act found at 35 ILCS 5/28.1 apply. 11 Section 28.1 of the Act states that the Board 12 may grant individual adjusted standards upon 13 proof that, one, the factors relating to the 14 petitioner are substantially and significantly 15 different; two, the existence of those factors 16

17 justifies an adjusted standard; three, the 18 requested standard will not result in adverse 19 environmental or health effects; and, four, the 20 proposed adjusted standard is consistent with 21 federal law. 22 As the evidence will show, these 23 four factors have been met because Vonco cannot

24 use water-based inks for its product and because

L.A. REPORTING (312) 419-9292

11

the approved control technologies will work only 1 2 at unreasonable costs. Therefore, an adjusted standard is necessary for Vonco. 3 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Thank you, Ms. Horn. 4 5 Ms. Sawyer, do you have an opening 6 statement? MS. SAWYER: Yes, I do. 7 Good morning. My name is Bonnie 8 Sawyer. I'm representing the Illinois 9 10 Environmental Protection Agency in this matter. Our agency has had the opportunity to review 11 Vonco's petition for an adjusted standard, and 12 we have filed a response with the Pollution 13 Control Board. 14 15 In this response, we have

16 recommended that the Board grant Vonco an adjusted standard subject to certain 17 conditions. I just wanted to emphasize one 18 condition that we are requesting the Board 19 impose on any adjusted standard granted to this 20 21 facility. 22 The petition requested that 23 recordkeeping be maintained as a monthly 24 average. We are recommending to the Board that

L.A. REPORTING (312) 419-9292

12

any adjusted standard granted impose daily 1 2 recordkeeping upon the facility similar to the recordkeeping required under the general rule of 3 applicability, the Flexographic Printing Rule. 4 5 In another matter in the original petition, Vonco suggested that an annual 6 limitation on emissions of 100 tons per year be 7 imposed on the adjusted standard. We are 8 9 recommending that the adjusted standard should 10 not include an annual limitation on emissions. Vonco's permit currently limits them in their 11 annual emissions of volatile organic material, 12 13 and we are recommending that the permit limitation be maintained as the appropriate 14

15 method to address annual emissions.

We have requested that 12 conditions 16 be imposed on an adjusted standard. I wanted to 17 clarify two of them, and these 12 conditions are 18 found in our response. In condition four, it 19 20 reads, Vonco must perform alone, or in 21 conjunction with others, three experiments each 22 year, including any experiments requested by the 23 Illinois EPA of alternative inks to determine if these inks are compliant with the Flexographic 24

L.A. REPORTING (312) 419-9292

13

Printing Rule and technically feasible for 1 Vonco's printing operations. 2 3 We wanted to clarify that any experiments requested by the Illinois EPA would 4 be included within the three that the facility 5 would be required to perform alone or in 6 conjunction with other facilities and would not 7 8 be an additional requirement to perform experiments. 9 10 Another condition that I wanted to clarify is condition seven. It reads, Vonco 11 12 shall not operate any other printing press at its Elm Hurst, Illinois -- pardon me, at its 13

Lake Villa, Illinois, source without full 14 compliance with the requirements of the 15 Flexographic Printing Rule. 16 17 We want to clarify that this should read, Vonco shall not operate any other 18 19 flexographic printing press at its Lake Villa, 20 Illinois, source without full compliance with 21 the requirements of the Flexographic Printing 22 Rule. We didn't intend for this condition to essentially require a printing press that would 23 otherwise not be subject to the flexographic 24

L.A. REPORTING (312) 419-9292

14

1 rule to comply with that rule.

2 It is our understanding that the 3 petitioner has agreed to the 12 conditions that we have requested that the Board impose on an 4 adjusted standard, and based on previous 5 discussions, it's my understanding that the 6 7 petitioner will make a statement to that effect on the record in today's proceeding. 8 9 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Is that your -are you finished, aside from her responsive 10 11 statement --

12 MS. SAWYER: Yes, I am.

```
13 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: -- with your
14 opening statement?
               Ms. Horn, do you concur with that
15
16
    assessment?
          MS. HORN: I do. We have -- Vonco
17
18
    Products has agreed to all 12 conditions.
19
          HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Okay.
20
          MS. HORN: Could we go off the record
    just a minute?
21
22
         HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Yes, we can.
23
                      (Discussion had
                       off the record.)
24
```

15

HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: We're back on 1 2 the record. Ms. Horn, do you want to start with 3 your case in chief and call your first witness, 4 5 please? MS. HORN: Sure. I'd like to call John 6 7 LaRoi. HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. LaRoi, have 8 a seat. The court reporter will swear you in. 9 10 (Witness sworn.) 11 WHEREUPON:

| 12 | | JOHN LaROI, |
|----|--------|---|
| 13 | called | as a witness herein, having been first |
| 14 | duly s | worn, deposeth and saith as follows: |
| 15 | D | IRECT EXAMINATION |
| 16 | | by Ms. Horn |
| 17 | Q. | Please state your name for the record. |
| 18 | Α. | It's John LaRoi. That's L-a-R-o-i. |
| 19 | Q. | Where do you live? |
| 20 | Α. | 1716 Eric Lane in Libertyville, Illinois. |
| 21 | Q. | Where are you employed? |
| 22 | Α. | At Vonco Products, which is in Lake |
| 23 | Villa, | Illinois. |
| 24 | Q. | What is your position with Vonco |

| 1 | Produ | cts? |
|----|-------|--|
| 2 | A. | I am the vice-president and general |
| 3 | manag | er. |
| 4 | Q. | What are your duties as vice-president |
| 5 | and g | eneral manager? |
| 6 | A. | I oversee all the manufacturing and |
| 7 | print | ing operations at the company. |
| 8 | Q. | How many employees does Vonco have? |
| 9 | A. | Between 75 and 85. |
| 10 | Q. | Does Vonco use any type of a printing |

11 press in its operations?

12 Α. Yes, we do. We have one flexographic central impression printing press. 13 For what is it used? 14 Q. 15 Α. It's to surface print to polyethylene for 16 the custom packaging that we manufacture. 17 Q. Is Vonco -- compared to other printers in 18 this industry, is Vonco large or small? 19 Α. We are considered probably a job shop 20 based on the small runs that we do. It would be 21 a job shop. 22 Q. How does that relate to running your presses? 23 24 A. It means we have several changeovers. We

L.A. REPORTING (312) 419-9292

| 1 | run a lot of short runs. So there will be |
|---|--|
| 2 | several jobs we would run during the day. |
| 3 | Q. Approximately, how many jobs would you |
| 4 | complete in a typical day? |
| 5 | A. Anywhere between five and ten. |
| 6 | Q. What would be the shortest job you've run |
| 7 | and the longest job? |
| 8 | A. The shortest is just about an hour, and |
| 9 | the longest run that we've done is about three |

10 days, and that's on a rare occasion.

11 Q. What brand is Vonco's press?

12 A. We have a Uteco.

13 Q. And how old is it?

14 A. It's nine years old.

15 Q. Could you explain very briefly how it

16 works?

It's a central impression press. What 17 Α. 18 you do is you draw a film through and you apply ink. It's a six-color press. So at six 19 20 different stations, ink gets applied, it's dried, and then the film advances to the next 21 station, and the ink gets reapplied, and it 22 continues through the six stations, gets dried, 23 24 and rewound.

L.A. REPORTING (312) 419-9292

| 1 | Q. | How do the drying stations work? |
|---|---------|---|
| 2 | A. | At each drying station, there's heated |
| 3 | forced | air that blows across the web, and then |
| 4 | there's | s a section that evacuates and pulls the |
| 5 | heated | air away that drys the ink. |
| 6 | Q. | What type of products does Vonco produce? |
| 7 | Α. | We make medical products, products for |
| 8 | indust | rial. We do promotional and retail |

- 9 packaging, and I can be more specific.
- 10 Q. I think that's sufficient.
- 11 A. Okay.
- 12 Q. What type of ink does Vonco use?
- 13 A. We use solvent-based ink.
- 14 Q. Do you know if the solvents in the ink
- 15 contain VOM?
- 16 A. Yes, they do.
- 17 Q. Do you add any additional solvent during
- 18 the printing operations?
- 19 A. Yes, we do.
- 20 Q. Why do you do that?
- 21 A. You have to cut the inks to allow the
- 22 viscosity to be at a point that you can apply it
- 23 and it will dry.
- 24 Q. Did you do -- did you experiment with any

```
water-based inks?
1
          Yes, I have. I've run at least six
2
    Α.
   water-based ink trials.
3
           What's the difference, besides from the
    Q.
4
   actual water versus solvent?
5
                Is that the only difference with a
6
   water-based ink?
7
```

The difference between the solvent and 8 Α. the water-based ink? Is that what you're 9 10 asking? 11 Q. Right. 12 Α. The water-based ink, it uses water to 13 carry the pigments and the solids to apply, and 14 it dries differently. It runs differently. 15 Solvent is different. I guess I don't know how 16 specific you want me to get. How about what did Vonco do with 17 Q. water-based ink trials? 18 What we did in our water-based trials is 19 Α. we tested to make sure that the color was 20 acceptable. We made sure that we could run our 21 press at an acceptable speed. We made sure 22 23 there was adhesion. An adhesion is where the ink must adhere to the substrate without coming 24

L.A. REPORTING (312) 419-9292

20

off, and the way you test that is with a piece
 of tape, pull it off.
 We make sure it cures okay, and we
 monitor the cleanup. We had to make sure that
 we could run the press and then tear it down,
 clean it up, and not damage the press, the

7 printing plates, or the Anilox printing rolls or 8 Anilox rolls.

9 Q. What were the results of your trials? 10 A. We found out that, number one, we could 11 not get an acceptable adhesion. Number two, we 12 couldn't run the press fast enough to really 13 justify using the ink.

14 The cleanup was excessive. We had 15 ink dry on our printing plates. We had ink dry in our ceramic laser engraved Anilox rolls that 16 we had to send out and clean. It had to be 17 ultrasonically cleaned. In one case, we had one 18 roller I had to send out and get reconditioned 19 because we couldn't get the water-based pigments 20 out of the pores. 21

22 We had a customer also state that 23 they would not accept the color -- the depth of 24 color, the sheen on the water-based product and

L.A. REPORTING (312) 419-9292

21

wanted the solvent-based ink.
 Q. Do you happen to know what the cost of
 these trials to Vonco was?
 A. It cost me around \$18,000; press time,
 operators, cleanup, and ink.

Q. Have you participated in these adjusted
standard proceedings leading up to the hearing?
A. Yes. Yes, I have.

9 Ο. Could you explain your involvement? I got involved basically in the beginning 10 Α. 11 with BEMA films. We first started talking about 12 Title 5, and it's been trips to Springfield and 13 working with the Illinois EPA and working with 14 other converters to kind of understand the rule and see how it applied. So it's been since we 15 all started. 16

MS. HORN: Thank you. I have no furtherquestions.

19 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Ms. Sawyer, any 20 cross-examination?

21 MS. SAWYER: No questions.

22 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Thank you.

23 Sir, you can step down.

24 Ms. Horn, your next witness, please.

L.A. REPORTING (312) 419-9292

22

MS. HORN: Our next and hopefully last
 witness will be Rich Trzupek.
 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Mr. Trzupek,
 we're going to have Ms. Iaquinta swear you in,

5 please.

6 (Witness sworn.) 7 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Ms. Horn, your 8 witness. 9 WHEREUPON: 10 RICHARD TRUPEK, 11 called as a witness herein, having been first 12 duly sworn, deposeth and saith as follows: 13 DIRECT EXAMINATION by Ms. Horn 14 15 Q. Please state your name for the record. Α. It's Richard Trzupek. 16 Could you spell your last name for the 17 Q. court reporter? 18 19 Α. It's spelled T, as in Tom, r-z-u, P, as 20 in Peter, e-k. Where do you live? 21 Q. 22 In Streamwood, Illinois. Α. Where are you currently employed? 23 Q. At Huff & Huff, Incorporated of LaGrange, 24 Α.

L.A. REPORTING (312) 419-9292

23

Illinois.
 Q. What do you do for Huff & Huff?
 A. I am the manager of air quality. We are

a firm that does environmental consulting for 4 5 industry. What is your educational background? 6 Q. I have a bachelor's degree in chemistry 7 Α. 8 from Loyola University of Chicago. 9 Q. Do you have any training or experience in 10 air regulations, specifically with regard to the 11 printing industry? I do. 12 Α. Could you tell us what it is? 13 Q. 14 Α. I have been involved with the printing industry in regard to compliance with air 15 quality regulations and control issues for 16 approximately 18 years. 17 Are you familiar with Vonco Products' 18 Q. 19 printing operations? I am. 20 Α. And how are you familiar with them? 21 Q. I've been working with Vonco for the past 22 Α. three years in regards to coming into compliance 23 with the Flexographic Printing Rule. 24

L.A. REPORTING (312) 419-9292

24

Q. Were you involved in the negotiations and
 the analysis and calculations that formed the

3 basis for Vonco's petition for an adjusted

4 standard?

5 A. I was.

6 Q. Could you briefly describe the

7 Flexographic Printing Rule?

8 A. The Flexographic Printing Rule, in
9 essence, presents three options for control.
10 One is the use of an add-on control device,
11 meaning certain control requirements specified
12 in the rule.

13 The second is the use of compliant inks that meet certain VOM percentages that are 14 specified in the rule, and the third is a use of 15 a mixture of compliant and noncompliant inks 16 17 that on a daily weighted average basis, using calculations specified in the rule, meet the VOM 18 percentages specified in the rule. 19 Could you explain the technical 20 Q. difference between using solvent-based inks and 21 water-based inks? 22 Solvent-based inks and water-based inks 23 Α. use different mechanisms to set the image and 24

L.A. REPORTING (312) 419-9292

25

1 the protective film that forms on the image that

2 helps with adhesion in protecting it. In a 3 solvent-based ink, the solvent evaporates and 4 leaves the pigment and film formers on the 5 image.

6 The solvent evaporates relatively 7 quickly, which it needs to do because there's 8 very little time between print stations and the 9 plastic film does not absorb any of the 10 pigments. So it has to be left on the surface very quickly in between the drying stations. 11 12 A water-based ink uses a chemical action to set the image and form the film. It's 13 14 actually a catalytic action cross-linking between the components of the film, and it does 15 16 not happen as quickly. The water does not flash 17 off as quickly as the solvents do, and you also need additional time for the cross-linking 18 components to cure and set the image. 19 20 Q. Okay. Did you hear Mr. LaRoi's testimony about Vonco's experiments with water-based inks? 21 22 Α. I did. Do you agree -- based on your experience, 23 Q.

24 do you agree with his conclusions?

L.A. REPORTING (312) 419-9292

1 A. I do.

2 Q. Mr. LaRoi also testified that Vonco adds3 additional solvents to the inks.

4 How much do the inks contain when 5 they come from the manufacturer and how much 6 solvent do printers in general have to add? 7 Α. As supplied by the manufacturer, inks may 8 contain anywhere from typically 50 to 70 9 percent, and I'd say 60 percent would be a good average of solvent. As applied at the stations, 10 they may contain anywhere from 60 to 80 percent 11 and probably the upper 70s would be a good, 12 13 typical average. Okay. Could you explain briefly what a 14 Q. 15 nonattainment area is? 16 Α. Nonattainment area is an area which has not achieved compliance with national ambient 17 air quality standards for a criteria pollutant. 18 Q. Is Vonco located in a nonattainment area? 19 They are located in a nonattainment --20 Α. 21 the Chicago metropolitan nonattainment area for ozone, a six-county area, and it includes Lake 22 23 County in which Vonco is located. 24 Q. Could you briefly describe the three

L.A. REPORTING (312) 419-9292

add-on control options available to Vonco? 1 Vonco can use some form of oxidation, 2 Α. either catalytic or thermal. They can use 3 carbon absorption, or they could use gas 4 5 absorption, which is some form of a scrubber. 6 Ο. In your opinion, are any of these three 7 appropriate for Vonco?

8 Α. Yes. The oxidation methods are technically viable for Vonco. Carbon absorption 9 is technically not viable for Vonco. Some of 10 the alcohols don't absorb well and, further, 11 12 when the alcohols are desorbed through passage of steam through the carbon bed and then 13 14 recondenses to water, some of the alcohols are very volatile and would not stay in the water 15 and allow them to easily achieve their control 16 requirements. 17

18 Gas absorption or a scrubber also 19 similarly absorbs the alcohols and other VOCs in 20 the water, but, again, because of volatility of 21 these VOCs or VOMs, they tend not to stay in the 22 water rendering that one difficult to achieve 23 control requirements as well.

```
24
```

Of the basic oxidation options,

L.A. REPORTING (312) 419-9292

1 catalytic oxidation is possible for some

printers. Other printers have difficulty with 2 3 it because of contamination to the catalyst. 4 The choice that we made in the case of Vonco was 5 that they would rather not take the chance on 6 catalytic and look primarily at thermal oxidation. 7 Did you do any analysis of the cost of 8 Q. different options for control? 9 Yes, I did. 10 Α. Could you explain briefly? 11 Q. We examined the cost control using USEPA 12 Α. 13 standard control cost methodology. That was a 14 cooperative effort between ourselves and IEPA until we got to a point where we agreed on the 15 control cost numbers. 16 17 Q. Okay. What are the basic terms of the adjusted standard that IEPA has proposed and 18 Vonco has agreed to? 19 The adjusted standard sets a VOM limit Α. 20 21 for the as-applied inks that Vonco uses of 82 22 percent on a daily weighted average basis. 23 Q. Is there a change to that 82 percent anywhere else in the conditions? 24

L.A. REPORTING (312) 419-9292

1 Α. There's a provision for the ozone season 2 that the -- the basis for ERMs purposes will be 3 a 72 percent basis for calculating baseline for 4 the ERM season. 5 Q. Does the proposed adjusted standard 6 contain an annual emissions limit? 7 It does not. Α. Ο. Why not? 8 Because the annual emissions limit is 9 Α. 10 provided for in their current operating permit. Does the proposed adjusted standard 11 Q. 12 require daily recordkeeping or monthly 13 recordkeeping? Daily recordkeeping. 14 Α. And why is that? 15 Q. Because the standard -- national ambient 16 Α. air quality standard to which the rule -- which 17 provides the reason for the rule being imposed 18 provides for a daily limit on ozone 19 concentration in ambient air. 20 21 Q. Is it your understanding that Vonco has agreed to do daily recordkeeping? 22 Α. It is. 23 In your opinion, will daily recordkeeping 24 Q.

L.A. REPORTING (312) 419-9292

1 be difficult for Vonco? 2 Α. I believe daily recordkeeping will be 3 difficult for Vonco. 4 MS. HORN: At this time, I would like to 5 show Mr. Trzupek what is marked as Petitioner's 6 Exhibit 1, which is the videotape, which I don't 7 have in front of me. 8 Please let the record reflect that I've previously provided Ms. Sawyer and 9 Mr. Bloomberg with a copy of Exhibit 1. 10 11 BY MS. HORN: 12 Ο. Mr. Trzupek, what is Exhibit 1? Α. It's a videotape of a job that was 13 performed at Vonco Products that I observed in 14 order to document the typical procedures for 15 printing at Vonco and ink mixing procedures that 16 17 go along with that. Did you act as the narrator on the video? Q. 18 I did. 19 Α. Why did Vonco make the video? 20 Q. In order to document what is done to mix 21 Α. inks and to maintain ink quality during their 22 23 printing jobs. MS. HORN: At this time, I would like to 24

1 show Mr. Trzupek what's marked as Petitioner's 2 Exhibit 2. Please also let the record reflect 3 that I have previously provided Ms. Sawyer and 4 Mr. Bloomberg with a copy of Exhibit 2. 5 BY MS. HORN: Mr. Trzupek, can you identify this 6 Ο. 7 exhibit? Yes, I can. 8 Α. Q. What is it? 9 10 A. It is the job that was printed when the 11 videotape was filmed. It's a small trash bag on a polyethylene film. 12 MS. HORN: At this time, I'd like to 13 request that Petitioner's Exhibits 1 and 2 be 14 entered into evidence. 15 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Any objection 16 to Petitioner's No. 1, Ms. Sawyer? 17 18 MS. SAWYER: No objection. HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: That will be 19 admitted. 20 Petitioner's No. 2, the small trash 21 bag, any objection to that? 22 MS. SAWYER: No objection. 23 24 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: That, too, will

```
1
    be admitted.
 2
           MS. HORN: At this time, I request the
 3
    hearing officer's permission to have Mr. Trzupek
    explain the printing operation shown on the
 4
    videotape.
5
 6
           HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Any objection,
7
    Ms. Sawyer?
           MS. SAWYER: No objection.
8
9
           HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Please proceed,
10
    sir.
    BY THE WITNESS:
11
           We are at 9:40:31 in the videotape. I
12
    Α.
    have muted the tape for purposes of the hearing,
13
    but there is a narrative provided with the tape
14
15
    as well.
16
                We're looking at Vonco's printing
17
    press at 9:40:50 at this point before the job
18
    has started. We'll fast forward to something
    more interesting. The time of the tape is
19
    9:45:23, and we're looking at Vonco's ink room.
20
    This view gives us a partial view of the
21
22
    different inks that Vonco stores in five-gallon
```

23

pails.

33

1 Those are inks that are custom blended to a 2 particular color and then stored for later reuse, recycling rather than being disposed of. 3 These inks are distinguished from virgin inks, 4 which at 9:45:54 we see a couple of virgin inks 5 from which the blended colors are made, reflex 6 blue and cyan green are the two that are 7 8 identified on the sign. They're stored in 9 55-gallon drums. We're at 9:50:07 and we're looking 10 at the plate-making operation. At this point, 11 12 the technician is wiping down the printing plate. It's one use of solvent basically 13 14 showing the various ways solvents are used in the printing operation. In this case, he's 15 16 simply cleaning up the roller preparatory to 17 putting the printing plate on it. 18 The time is 9:52. We're looking at a solvent being dispensed for cleanup purposes 19 and a container and dispenser for cleanup 20 21 solvent. The time is 10:05:10 and we're looking 22 at the final printed product as it's supposed to

23 look and they're flipping through the various

24 colors, color separation that is involved in the

L.A. REPORTING (312) 419-9292

34

| 1 | printing process so you can see how the |
|----|--|
| 2 | different colors are laid on in stages. |
| 3 | The time is 10:06:24 and we're |
| 4 | looking at a panetone color key and basically |
| 5 | examples of the different colors that are |
| 6 | possibly mixed from the base colors and the wide |
| 7 | variety of colors that can be used by Vonco's |
| 8 | customers. |
| 9 | The time is 10:16:52 and we're |
| 10 | looking at custom blending of one of the colors, |
| 11 | and this being done by mixing a couple of base |
| 12 | blues in proportion from the panetone chart. |
| 13 | The time is 10:18:24. We're looking at |
| 14 | viscosity checking of the color. This is done |
| 15 | using a number two zone cup. It dispenses a |
| 16 | predetermined amount of ink. The operator |
| 17 | drains the ink through a hole in the bottom of |
| 18 | the cup and times it. |
| 19 | Viscosity is expressed in terms of |

20 seconds it takes for the ink to completely run 21 out, and the specs for the particular job will 22 be in so many seconds viscosity. In order to

23 adjust the viscosity, the operator will most

24 often add solvent to bring the time down. If he

L.A. REPORTING (312) 419-9292

| 1 | should overshoot the margin, he might add a |
|----------|---|
| 2 | little bit of ink as well. |
| 3 | The amount of solvent that's |
| 4 | contained in the ink is roughly proportional to |
| 5 | the viscosity and serves as a good surrogate |
| 6 | measurement and not a solvent. That's there. |
| 7 | We see the operator adding solvent in the |
| 8 | typical fashion at 10:19:22. |
| 9 | We're at 10:45:13 and we're looking |
| 10 | at the actual ink pails on the press. The pail |
| 11 | is used to dispense the ink. There's pumps |
| 12 | attached to the pail with covers that pump the |
| 13 | ink to the ink station and then recirculate it |
| 14 | back down. It keeps the viscosity constant and |
| 15 | the ink quality constant. |
| 16 | We're looking at a printing unit at |
| | we le looking at a printing unit at |
| 17 | 10:45:44 showing yellow ink flowing. The |
| 17 18 | |
| | 10:45:44 showing yellow ink flowing. The |

21 What is emphasized here is the 22 number of viscosity adjustments that are made, 23 the number of solvent additions that are made 24 and that they are made for each color in the job

L.A. REPORTING (312) 419-9292

| 1 | so that we find that typical number of absolute |
|----|--|
| 2 | measurements one would make if one tried to |
| 3 | account for every drop of solvent at every point |
| 4 | would be typically in, you know, more than 100 |
| 5 | measurements very easily. |
| 6 | However, the target viscosities they |
| 7 | shoot for we see is a constant number and |
| 8 | provides a good means of knowing what the |
| 9 | as-applied content should be. We also |
| 10 | emphasize, as we go through the tape, that the |
| 11 | solvent is constantly evaporating and so that's |
| 12 | why they're constantly adding solvent in order |
| 13 | to make up to try to keep a given amount of |
| 14 | solvent in the ink as the job goes on. We'll |
| 15 | stop it there. |
| 16 | BY MS. HORN: |
| 17 | Q. Thank you, Mr. Trzupek. I have just one |
| 18 | final question that I didn't ask you, but I |
| 19 | will. |

| 20 | Could you tell me when we were |
|----|--|
| 21 | discussing the costs of the add-on control |
| 22 | options, could you tell me the cost of |
| 23 | oxidation, cost in cost per ton number? |
| 24 | A. The number we submitted to the state? |

| 1 | Q. I think that's only there's only one. |
|----|---|
| 2 | A. For the two oxidation options that we |
| 3 | found viable, recuperative and regenerative, we |
| 4 | came up with a recuperative number of \$39,858 |
| 5 | per ton and a regenerative number of \$34,156 per |
| 6 | ton. |
| 7 | MS. HORN: I have nothing further. |
| 8 | HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Ms. Sawyer, do |
| 9 | you have a cross-exam for this witness? |
| 10 | MS. SAWYER: I think so, but just one |
| 11 | minute. |
| 12 | HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Sure. Let's go |
| 13 | off. |
| 14 | (Discussion had |
| 15 | off the record.) |
| 16 | HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Ms. Sawyer, |
| 17 | your cross-examination. |
| 18 | CROSS - EXAMINATION |

19 by Ms. Sawyer
20 Q. Good morning, Mr. Trzupek.
21 You were -- in the video, you were
22 showing solvent that's added to inks for a given
23 print job.
24 Would it be feasible to measure the

L.A. REPORTING (312) 419-9292

| 1 | amount of solvent added on a given print job |
|----|---|
| 2 | based on the volume used from the containers or |
| 3 | the weight of the container before the job and |
| 4 | after the job? |
| 5 | A. Yeah. I mean, that you would use more |
| 6 | than one container in a given job, but I think |
| 7 | it may be viable to count the number of |
| 8 | containers used at a constant basis or to, you |
| 9 | know, weigh on a daily basis the solvent drum |
| 10 | from which the solvent originated, something to |
| 11 | that sort, yes. |
| 12 | MS. SAWYER: Okay. I have nothing |
| 13 | further. |
| 14 | MS. HORN: I just have |
| 15 | HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Any redirect? |
| 16 | MS. HORN: Just one. Now I forgot it. |
| 17 | REDIRECT EXAMINATION |

by Ms. Horn 18 If you were weighing the solvent, the 19 Q. total amount of solvent added, would that give 20 you an accurate reading as to the VOM content of 21 22 the ink at any given time? 23 Α. The amount of solvent added would not 24 correspond directly to the VOM content of the

L.A. REPORTING (312) 419-9292

39

ink as applied because you also have evaporative 1 2 losses in the ink to account for as well. 3 Ο. And would you have to do that same accounting for each of the number of inks that 4 were used on the job? 5 I'm sorry. I didn't understand your 6 Α. question. 7 Would you count the solvent for each ink 8 Ο. as applied or would you count the total solvent 9 10 used in your example? I think that is open to interpretation 11 Α. and would depend on whatever is finally agreed 12 to between the Agency and Vonco as to what's the 13 most accurate and feasible plan for keeping 14 daily records. 15

16 MS. HORN: Okay. I have nothing

17 further.

18 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Recross, Ms.
19 Sawyer?
20 MS. SAWYER: Possibly.
21 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Let's go off
22 the record again.
23 (Discussion had
24 off the record.)

L.A. REPORTING (312) 419-9292

| 1 | RECROSS - EXAMINATION |
|----|---|
| 2 | by Ms. Sawyer |
| 3 | Q. Mr. Trzupek, in the adjusted standard |
| 4 | petition, you developed a percentage of VOM for |
| 5 | inks applied that would be used at the facility |
| 6 | as the adjusted standard and that number was 82 |
| 7 | percent VOM weight I don't know, 82 percent. |
| 8 | Could you explain how you calculated |
| 9 | that 82 percent? |
| 10 | A. Yes. We looked at the mass of solvent |
| 11 | used on an annual basis, the VOM content of the |
| 12 | inks as supplied on an annual basis, and that, |
| 13 | of course, comes with a number higher than what |
| 14 | would be the actual as-applied VOM content. |
| 15 | So we backed off to what we thought |

| 16 | would be a reasonable percentage that would |
|----|---|
| 17 | represent the highest as-applied number. |
| 18 | Q. When you refer to as applied, you are |
| 19 | referring to the ink supplied from the pails to |
| 20 | the pan or the ink from the pan to the |
| 21 | printing printed substrate or is it the same |
| 22 | thing? |
| 23 | A. I would say it's the same thing. |
| 24 | Q. So it is one of those two areas that |
| | |

| 1 | you're referring to as the as-applied content of |
|----|--|
| 2 | the inks? |
| 3 | A. Yes. It's recirculated. |
| 4 | Q. And in that respect, if there are |
| 5 | additions made that evaporate, they may not be |
| 6 | included in that? |
| 7 | A. I would say it depends it depends on |
| 8 | how you account for them, and if, for example, I |
| 9 | guess to clarify, if you counted every solvent |
| 10 | addition against an ink and said every time that |
| 11 | you added solvent you said it was increasing the |
| 12 | VOC content of the ink, by the end of a job, you |
| 13 | may show a particular ink to be out of |
| 14 | compliance with any standard, and if you took |

15 that ink and put it back in and reworked it and 16 you counted the additional solvent additions 17 against it, you would show an ever-growing VOM 18 content.

19 That's not what actually happens. 20 They attempt to maintain a constant VOM 21 content. So it's not accurate to count every 22 solvent addition and say the VOM content is 23 increasing, and that's what I'm really trying to 24 get to. The VOM content doesn't increase; it's

L.A. REPORTING (312) 419-9292

42

maintained. 1 2 So when you add solvent to ink, though, Q. 3 you're adding it because there was some evaporation that occurred? 4 5 Α. Absolutely. 6 Q. Okay. 7 Α. And in accounting for the mass loss, I 8 mean, you have to account for all the solvent additions, understood, but what the content is 9 you try to maintain as a flat line. 10 MS. SAWYER: Okay. Thank you. No 11 12 further questions. 13 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Ms. Horn, a

14 re-redirect?

MS. HORN: I just need a minute. 15 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Off. 16 (Discussion had 17 18 off the record.) 19 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Let's go back 20 on the record. Do we have a re-redirect, Ms. 21 Horn? 22 MS. HORN: I just have one question, and it's mainly to clarify Mr. Trzupek's testimony. 23 24

L.A. REPORTING (312) 419-9292

```
43
```

RE-REDIRECT EXAMINATION 1 2 by Ms. Horn Mr. Trzupek, do you add solvent or does 3 Q. Vonco add solvent to get the ink up to the 4 target viscosity? 5 Yes, they do. Initially, Vonco will add Α. 6 7 solvent to the as-applied ink to reach what we can call a target viscosity or running 8 viscosity, and then once they hit that running 9 viscosity, they will, as the job goes through, 10 11 add solvent to maintain that target. 12 So, for example, an ink may come in

at 60 percent VOC. They may bring it up to 75 13 percent VOC. Once they hit that target, which 14 15 corresponds to so many seconds of viscosity, they will periodically add solvent to maintain 16 17 that higher VOC level. 18 MS. HORN: I have no further questions. 19 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Ms. Sawyer, are 20 you going to have a three-time recross. 21 MS. SAWYER: No more questions. HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Okay. Thank 22 you, sir. You can step down. 23 24 At this point in time, if there were

L.A. REPORTING (312) 419-9292

44

members of the public present, they'd be able to 1 offer public comment. However, I want to note 2 3 for the record that there are no members of the public comment -- public here to comment. 4 5 Excuse me. 6 I realize now I've been a bit 7 premature. Ms. Horn, do you have any other witnesses? 8 MS. HORN: I do not. 9 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Well, then what 10 I previously said still applies and members of 11

12 the public, were they here, would be able to 13 offer public comment.

For the record, there are no such 14 members of the public here. We are now going to 15 16 proceed with closing statements starting with Ms. Horn. 17 18 MS. HORN: I have a very brief one. 19 Vonco requests that the Board grant 20 the proposed adjusted standard as an alternative to the RACT regulations adopted by the Board in 21 the Flexographic Printing Rule. To require 22 Vonco to comply with the requirements of 35 Ill. 23 Adm. Code Subpart H, Section 218.401 would 24

L.A. REPORTING (312) 419-9292

45

result in substantial economic hardship to Vonco 1 with no corresponding or proportional 2 environmental benefit. 3 4 Moreover, it is not technically 5 feasible for Vonco to comply with the 6 Flexographic Printing Rule because, one, water-based inks do not work for its products 7 and, two, an oxidizer, as the only control 8 9 device that works, presents unreasonable expenses for design and installation. 10

Vonco has met the four factors in 11 Section 28.1 of the Act and, therefore, Vonco 12 Products respectfully requests that the Board 13 grant Vonco the proposed adjusted standard from 14 15 35 Ill. Adm. Code Subpart H, Section 218.401 as 16 that rule applies to the emissions of VOM from 17 the flexographic printing operations at Vonco Products in Lake Villa, Illinois. 18 19 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Thank you, 20 Ms. Horn. Ms. Sawyer, do you have a closing 21 22 statement? MS. SAWYER: Yes, I do. 23 24 Representatives of the Illinois EPA

L.A. REPORTING (312) 419-9292

46

| 1 | have reviewed the video that Vonco presented as |
|---|--|
| 2 | an exhibit today, and we believe that daily |
| 3 | recordkeeping is feasible for the facility. We |
| 4 | intend to work with the facility to come up with |
| 5 | the appropriate method to maintain daily |
| 6 | records. |
| 7 | To the extent that the method used |
| 8 | to demonstrate compliance with daily records |
| | |

differs from the method used to determine the 82

percent standard requested in this proceeding 10 11 and to the extent that the daily recordkeeping that will be maintained in the future indicates 12 that Vonco is able to meet a lower standard, we 13 14 would recommend that the adjusted standard be 15 adjusted to reflect that average, and that's all 16 that I had. HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Thank you, 17 18 ma'am. Any rebuttal closing argument, 19 20 Ms. Horn? MS. HORN: I have none. 21 HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Thank you. 22 23 Let's go off the record. 24

L.A. REPORTING (312) 419-9292

| 1 | (Discussion had |
|---|---|
| 2 | off the record.) |
| 3 | HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: We're back on |
| 4 | the record after a brief off-the-record |
| 5 | discussion pertaining to posthearing briefs. |
| 6 | Both parties indicate that at this time they do |
| 7 | not want to file posthearing briefs. |
| 8 | Is that correct, Ms. Horn? |

9 MS. HORN: That is correct.

HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: Ms. Sawyer?MS. SAWYER: Yes.

HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: However, if, in 12 13 fact, any public comment is received in this 14 matter, the parties will have leave to come back 15 and ask me whether or not -- me for leave to 16 file posthearing briefs and we'll set up a 17 posthearing briefing schedule, and I would allow that should any public comment be received in 18 this matter and that's any oral or written 19 20 public comment. Speaking of public comments, we're 21

22 going to allow written public comments in this 23 matter to be filed 14 days from today, which 24 take us to November 29th. All public comments

L.A. REPORTING (312) 419-9292

48

must be received by November 29th, 2000. If anything is received, once again, we'll redress the briefing schedule situation. If, in fact, no posthearing comments are received by that date, however, the record will close and this matter will be ready to be decided by the Board.

| 8 | It's about 11:35 a.m. We're going |
|----|--|
| 9 | to hold this open until 1:00 o'clock. We'll |
| 10 | take a recess and come back at 1:00 and make |
| 11 | sure that no members of the public wish to |
| 12 | comment on this matter. |
| 13 | Other than that, that is all I |
| 14 | have. I should note it now, though, that I am |
| 15 | required to make a credibility determination, |
| 16 | and based upon my legal judgment and experience, |
| 17 | I found no credibility issues with either of the |
| 18 | two witnesses giving testimony today. Okay. |
| 19 | Let's go off the record. |
| 20 | (Discussion had |
| 21 | off the record.) |
| 22 | (Break taken.) |
| 23 | HEARING OFFICER KNITTLE: We're back on |
| 24 | the record after a lunch recess. It's |

49

approximately 1:00 p.m. I want to note for the 1 2 record that there are no members of the public present. If there were, they would be allowed 3 to give public comment. However, that is not 4 5 the case. 6

We've already closed up the hearing

| 7 | and set the posthearing briefing schedule and |
|----|--|
| 8 | talked about the credibility determination. So |
| 9 | I just want to thank you all for coming. |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |

50

 STATE OF ILLINOIS)) SS.
 COUNTY OF C O O K)
 I, GEANNA M. IAQUINTA, CSR, do
 hereby state that I am a court reporter doing

| 6 | business in the City of Chicago, County of Cook, |
|----------------------------|---|
| 7 | and State of Illinois; that I reported by means |
| 8 | of machine shorthand the proceedings held in the |
| 9 | foregoing cause, and that the foregoing is a |
| 10 | true and correct transcript of my shorthand |
| 11 | notes so taken as aforesaid. |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | Geanna M. Iaquinta, CSR Notary Public, Cook County, IL |
| 15 | Illinois License No. 084-004096 |
| | |
| 16 | |
| 16 17 | SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO |
| | SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me thisday of, A.D., 2000. |
| 17 | before me thisday of, A.D., 2000. |
| 17 18 | before me thisday |
| 17 18 19 | before me thisday of, A.D., 2000. |
| 17 18 19 20 | before me thisday of, A.D., 2000. |
| 17 18 19 20 21 | before me thisday of, A.D., 2000. |