BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

| DISTRESSED PROPERTIES, INC., |) |
|--|--|
| Petitioner, |) |
| | 108 |
| v . |) PCB 15- / 0 |
| |) (LUST Appeal – Ninety Day |
| ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION |) Extension) |
| AGENCY, |) |
| Respondent. |) Andrews |
| | E UNIONAL |
| NOTI | CE |
| | RECEIVED CLERK'S OFFICE |
| | |
| John Therriault | Sul Diab 45 Dineff Road DEC - 8 2014 |
| Clerk | 45 Dineff Road 2014 |
| Illinois Pollution Control Board | Lemont, Illinois 60439 STATE OF |
| 100 West Randolph Street, Suite 11-500 | Lemont, Illinois 60439 STATE OF ILLINOIS Pollution Control Board |
| Chicago Illinois 60601 2219 | Board' |

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that I have today caused to be filed a REQUEST FOR NINETY DAY EXTENSION OF APPEAL PERIOD with the Illinois Pollution Control Board, copies of which are served upon you.

ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

James G. Richardson Deputy General Counsel

Dated: December 4, 2014 1021 North Grand Avenue East P.O. Box 19276

Chicago, Illinois 60601-3218

Springfield, Illinois 62794-9276

217/782-5544

THIS FILING IS SUBMITTED ON RECYCLED PAPER



BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARDDEC -,8 2014

STATE OF ILLINOIS Pollution Control Board

| DISTRESSED PROPERTIES, INC., Petitioner, |) | |
|---|---|--|
| v. |) | PCB No. 15-10 % (LUST Appeal – Ninety Day Extension) |
| ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL | Ś | (ECOT Tippedi Timety Bay Extension) |
| PROTECTION AGENCY, |) | |
| Respondent. |) | |

REQUEST FOR NINETY DAY EXTENSION OF APPEAL PERIOD

NOW COMES the Respondent, the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency ("Illinois EPA"), by one of its attorneys, James G. Richardson, Deputy General Counsel, and, pursuant to Section 40(a)(1) of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act (415 ILCS 5/40(a)(1)) and 35 Ill. Adm. Code 105.208, hereby requests that the Illinois Pollution Control Board ("Board") grant an extension of the thirty-five (35) day period for petitioning for a hearing to April 2, 2015, or any other date not more than a total of one hundred twenty-five (125) days from the date of receipt of the Illinois EPA's final decision. In support thereof, the Illinois EPA respectfully states as follows:

- 1. On November 26, 2014, the Illinois EPA issued a final decision to the Petitioner.
- 2. On December 2, 2014, the Petitioner made a written request to the Illinois EPA for an extension of time by which to file a petition for review, asking the Illinois EPA to join in requesting that the Board extend the thirty-five day period for filing a petition by ninety days. Upon information and belief, the Petitioner received the final decision on or about November 28, 2014.

3. The additional time requested by the parties may eliminate the need for a hearing in this matter or, in the alternative, allow the parties to identify issues and limit the scope of any hearing that may be necessary to resolve this matter.

WHEREFORE, for the reasons stated above, the parties request that the Board, in the interest of administrative and judicial economy, grant this request for a ninety-day extension of the thirty-five day period for petitioning for a hearing.

Respectfully submitted,

ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

James G. Richardson Deputy General Counsel

Dated: December 4, 2014

1021 North Grand Avenue, East P.O. Box 19276 Springfield, Illinois 62794-9276 217/782-5544 217/782-9143 (TDD)

THIS FILING IS SUBMITTED ON RECYCLED PAPER



ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

1021 NORTH GRAND AYENUE EAST, P.O. BOX 19276, SPRINGFIELD, ILLINOIS 62794-9276 • (217) 782-2829

PAT QUINN, GOVERNOR

LISA BONNETT, DIRECTOR

217524-3300

NOV 26 2014

CERTIFIED MAIL #
7012 0470 0001 2567 1850

Distressed Properties, Inc. Attn. Sul Diab 14007 South Bell Road # 220 Homer Glen, IL. 60491

Re:

LPC #0312975187 - Cook County

South Holland / Distressed Properties, Inc.

15401 South Park Avenue

Incident-Claim No.: 20010053 - 64861

Queue Date: August 4, 2014
Leaking UST Fiscal File

Dear Mr. Diab:

The Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (Illinois EPA) has completed the review of your application for payment from the Underground Storage Tank (UST) Fund for the above-referenced Leaking UST incident pursuant to Section 57.8(a) of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act (Act), as amended by Public Act 92-0554 on June 24, 2002, and 35 Illinois Administrative Code (35 Ill. Adm. Code) 734. Subpart F.

This information is dated July 31, 2014 and was received by the Illinois EPA on August 4, 2014. The application for payment covers the period from June 1, 2012 to July 31, 2014. The amount requested is \$86,434.77.

On August 4, 2014, the Illinois EPA received your application for payment for this claim. As a result of Illinois EPA's review of this application for payment, a voucher for \$16,916.00 will be prepared for submission to the Comptroller's Office for payment as funds become available based upon the date the Illinois EPA received your complete request for payment of this application for payment. Subsequent applications for payment that have been/are submitted will be processed based upon the date complete subsequent application for payment requests are received by the Illinois EPA. This constitutes the Illinois EPA's final action with regard to the above application(s) for payment.

The deductible amount for this claim is \$10,000.00, which was previously withheld from your payment(s). Pursuant to Section 57.8(a)(4) of the Act, any deductible, as determined pursuant to

the Office of the State Fire Marshal's eligibility and deductibility final determination in accordance with Section 57.9 of the Act, shall be subtracted from any payment invoice paid to an eligible owner or operator.

There are costs from this claim that are not being paid. Listed in Attachment A are the costs that are not being paid and the reasons these costs are not being paid.

An underground storage tank system owner or operator may appeal this decision to the Illinois Pollution Control Board. Appeal rights are attached.

If you have any questions or require further assistance, please contact Catherine S. Elston of my staff at 217-785-9351 or Brian Bauer of Harry Chappel's staff at 217-782-3335.

Sincerely,

Genordo A Alberrain

Hernando A. Albarracin, Manager Leaking Underground Storage Tank Section Division of Remediation Management Bureau of Land

HAA:CSE

ATTACHMENT

c: O2Tube Technology

Leaking UST Claims Unit

Cathy Elston

Brian Bauer

Attachment A Accounting Deductions

Re: LPC #0312975187 -- Cook County

South Holland / Distressed Properties, Inc.

15401 South Park Avenue

Incident-Claim No.: 20010053 -- 64861.

Queue Date: August 4, 2014 Leaking UST FISCAL FILE

Citations in this attachment are from the Environmental Protection Act (Act), as amended by Public Act 92-0554 on June 24, 2002, and 35 Illinois Administrative Code (35 Ill. Adm. Code).

Item # Description of Deductions

1. \$6,472.00, deduction for investigation costs which lack supporting documentation. Such costs are ineligible for payment from the Fund pursuant to 35 Ill. Adm. Code 734.630(cc). Since there is no supporting documentation of costs, the Illinois EPA cannot determine that costs will not be used for activities in excess of those necessary to meet the minimum requirements of Title XVI of the Act. Therefore, such costs are not approved pursuant to Section 57.7(c)(3) of the Act because they may be used for site investigation or corrective action activities in excess of those required to meet the minimum requirements of Title XVI of the Act.

The claim did not include an invoice from the driller, or boring logs in the technical report.

The investigation costs are inconsistent with the associated technical plan. One of the overall goals of the financial review is to assure that costs associated with materials, activities, and services are consistent with the associated technical plan. Such costs are ineligible for payment from the Fund pursuant to Section 57.7(c)(3) of the Act and 35 III. Adm. Code 734.510(b).

The drilling was not done in accordance with the plan/budget approved by the Agency.

Costs were billed as wells but the technical documentation stated as four soil borings to twelve feet.

2. \$5,655.28, deduction for analytical costs which lack supporting documentation. Such costs are ineligible for payment from the Fund pursuant to 35 Ill. Adm. Code 734.630(cc). Since there is no supporting documentation of costs, the Illinois EPA cannot determine that costs will not be used for activities in excess of those necessary to meet the minimum requirements of Title XVI of the Act. Therefore, such costs are not approved pursuant to Section 57.7(c)(3) of the Act because they may be used for

site investigation or corrective action activities in excess of those required to meet the minimum requirements of Title XVI of the Act.

The analytical costs are inconsistent with the associated technical plan. One of the overall goals of the financial review is to assure that costs associated with materials, activities, and services are consistent with the associated technical plan. Such costs are ineligible for payment from the Fund pursuant to Section 57.7(c)(3) of the Act and 35 Ill. Adm. Code 734.510(b).

The claim billed more analytical costs than were invoiced. It was not clear what lab did the PID testing of soil and water and Drager testing of soil and water on the DO Technologies invoice #2007361.

\$28,487.00, deduction for personnel costs which lack supporting documentation. Such costs are ineligible for payment from the Fund pursuant to 35 III. Adm. Code 734.630(cc). Since there is no supporting documentation of costs, the Illinois EPA cannot determine that costs will not be used for activities in excess of those necessary to meet the minimum requirements of Title XVI of the Act. Therefore, such costs are not approved pursuant to Section 57.7(c)(3) of the Act because they may be used for site investigation or corrective action activities in excess of those required to meet the minimum requirements of Title XVI of the Act.

The personnel costs need to be broken down on weekly work sheets.

3.

Costs for personnel exceed those contained in the budgets approved by the Agency on May 20, 2004 and November 2, 2005. The costs included in the application for payment exceeds the approved budget amount and, as such, is ineligible for payment from the Fund pursuant to Section 57.8(a)(1) of the Act and 35 III. Adm. Code 734.605(g) and 734.630(m).

Corrective action costs for personnel are not reasonable as submitted. Such costs are ineligible for payment from the Fund pursuant to Section 57.7(c)(3) of the Act and 35 III. Adm. Code 734.630(dd).

In addition, pursuant to 35 III. Adm. Code 734.870(d)(1), for costs approved by the Agency in writing prior to the date the costs were incurred, the applicable maximum payment amounts must be the amounts in effect on the date the Agency received the budget in which the costs were proposed. Once the Agency approves the cost, the applicable maximum payment amount for the cost must not be increased.

Of the above deduction, \$6,845.00 in personnel costs were also billed at a higher rate than submitted and approved in the budget dated May 20, 2004 and November 2, 2005

The personnel costs associated with oversight by an owner or operator are ineligible for payment from the Fund pursuant to 35 III. Adm. Code 734.630(qq). In addition,

such costs are not approved pursuant to Section 57.7(c)(3) of the Act because they are not reasonable and/or will be used for site investigation or corrective action activities in excess of those required to meet the minimum requirements of Title XVI of the Act.

Of the above deduction, \$10,350.00 in costs were also billed for project management by the Owner/Operator.

4. \$6,000.00, deduction for costs for equipment exceeds those contained in the budgets approved by the Agency on May 20, 2004 and November 2, 2005. The costs included in the application for payment exceeds the approved budget amount and, as such, is ineligible for payment from the Fund pursuant to Section 57.8(a)(1) of the Act and 35 Ill. Adm. Code 734.605(g) and 734.630(m).

Corrective action costs for equipment are not reasonable as submitted. Such costs are ineligible for payment from the Fund pursuant to Section 57.7(c)(3) of the Act and 35 Ill. Adm. Code 734.630(dd).

In addition, pursuant to 35 Ill. Adm. Code 734.870(d)(1), for costs approved by the Agency in writing prior to the date the costs were incurred, the applicable maximum payment amounts must be the amounts in effect on the date the Agency received the budget in which the costs were proposed. Once the Agency approves the cost, the applicable maximum payment amount for the cost must not be increased

The equipment costs lack supporting documentation. Such costs are ineligible for payment from the Fund pursuant to 35 III. Adm. Code 734.630(cc). Since there is no supporting documentation of costs, the Illinois EPA cannot determine that costs will not be used for activities in excess of those necessary to meet the minimum requirements of Title XVI of the Act. Therefore, such costs are not approved pursuant to Section 57.7(c)(3) of the Act because they may be used for site investigation or corrective action activities in excess of those required to meet the minimum requirements of Title XVI of the Act.

The equipment costs for the system was billed for four months at \$2,000.00 per month but reimbursement was requested for ten months at \$1,200.00 per month. The system ran for six months at \$1,000.00 per the corrective action completion report.

5. \$7,112.00, deduction for equipment costs for O2 Tube that exceeds those contained in a budget or amended budget approved by the Illinois EPA. The cost included in the application for payment exceeds the approved budget amount and, as such, is ineligible for payment from the Fund pursuant to Section 57.8(a)(1) of the Act and 35 Ill. Adm. Code 734.605(g) and 734.630(m).

The equipment costs for O2 Tube are inconsistent with the associated technical plan. One of the overall goals of the financial review is to assure that costs associated with materials, activities, and services are consistent with the associated technical plan.

Such costs are ineligible for payment from the Fund pursuant to Section 57.7(c)(3) of the Act and 35 III. Adm. Code 734.510(b).

Technical documentation does not state that this equipment was used during this period.

54,982.00, deduction for equipment costs for motor starter that are inconsistent with the associated technical plan. One of the overall goals of the financial review is to assure that costs associated with materials, activities, and services are consistent with the associated technical plan. Such costs are ineligible for payment from the Fund pursuant to Section 57.7(c)(3) of the Act and 35 Ill. Adm. Code 734.510(b).

The costs for the motor starter were not approved in the budgets that were approved by the Agency on May 20, 2004 and November 2, 2005.

Technical documentation has not been received by the Agency to explain the costs for the motor starter — stabilizer & wire service for fourteen months at a total cost of \$4,982.00.

7. \$8,170.08, deduction for field purchases and other costs that are inconsistent with the associated technical plan. One of the overall goals of the financial review is to assure that costs associated with materials, activities, and services are consistent with the associated technical plan. Such costs are ineligible for payment from the Fund pursuant to Section 57.7(c)(3) of the Act and 35 Ill. Adm. Code 734.510(b).

Of the above deduction, \$7,911.22 in costs are ineligible for reimbursement for the repair of leased equipment pursuant to 35 Ill. Adm. Code 734.630(yy) which states that costs associated with the maintenance, repair, or replacement of leased or subcontracted equipment, other than costs associated with routine maintenance that are approved in a budget are not eligible for reimbursement.

Of the above deduction, \$259.96 in costs are ineligible for reimbursement. The costs associated with Universal Silencer are included in the equipment rate. The costs exceed the maximum payment amounts set forth in Subpart H, Appendix D, and/or Appendix E of 35 Ill. Adm. Code 734. Such costs are ineligible for payment from the Fund pursuant to 35 Ill. Adm. Code 734.630(zz). In addition, such costs are not approved pursuant to Section 57.7(c)(3) of the Act because they are not reasonable.

\$2,640.41, deduction for handling charges for subcontractor costs when the contractor has not submitted proof of payment for subcontractor costs. Such costs are ineligible for payment from the Fund pursuant to 35 Ill. Adm. Code 734.630 (ii). In addition, such costs are not approved pursuant to Section 57.7(c)(3) of the Act because they are not reasonable.

8.

Proof of payment in the form of cancelled checks, lien waivers, or affidavits were not submitted for the subcontractor's costs.

The subcontractor costs that have been billed directly to the owner or operator are ineligible for payment from the Fund pursuant to 35 Ill. Adm. Code 734.630(hh). In addition, such costs are not approved pursuant to Section 57.7(c)(3) of the Act because they are not reasonable.

Some of the subcontractor costs were billed directly to the Owner/Operator and are therefore not eligible for handling charges.

Appeal Rights

An underground storage tank owner or operator may appeal this final decision to the Illinois Pollution Control Board pursuant to Sections 40 and 57.7(c)(4) of the Act by filing a petition for a hearing within 35 days after the date of issuance of the final decision. However, the 35-day period may be extended for a period of time not to exceed 90 days by written notice from the owner or operator and the Illinois EPA within the initial 35-day appeal period. If the owner or operator wishes to receive a 90-day extension, a written request that includes a statement of the date the final decision was received, along with a copy of this decision, must be sent to the Illinois EPA as soon as possible.

For information regarding the filing of an appeal, please contact:

Dorothy Gunn, Clerk Illinois Pollution Control Board State of Illinois Center 100 West Randolph, Suite 11-500 Chicago, IL 60601 312/814-3620

For information regarding the filing of an extension, please contact:

Illinois Environmental Protection Agency Division of Legal Counsel 1021 North Grand Avenue East Post Office Box 19276
Springfield, IL 62794-9276
217/782-5544

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned attorney at law, hereby certify that on December 4, 2014 I served true and correct copies of a REQUEST FOR NINETY DAY EXTENSION OF APPEAL PERIOD by first class mail of the United States Postal Service upon the persons as follows:

John Therriault Clerk Illinois Pollution Control Board 100 West Randolph Street, Suite 11-500 Chicago, Illinois 60601-3218

Sul Diab 45 Dineff Road Lemont, Illinois 60439

CLERK'S OFFICE

ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

STATE OF ILLINOIS Pollution Control Board

James G. Richardson Deputy General Counsel Division of Legal Counsel 1021 North Grand Avenue East P.O. Box 19276 Springfield, Illinois 62794-9276 217/782-5544 217/782-9143 (TDD)