Page 1

THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

BROADUS OIL COMPANY,	CLERKS OFFICE MAR 0 8 2013
Petitioner	STATE OF ILLINOIS) POllution Control Board
vs.) PCB 10-48
ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY,)))
Respondent)

TRANSCRIPT FROM THE PROCEEDINGS taken before HEARING OFFICER CAROL WEBB, by LISA K. HAHN, CSR, RMR, a notary public within and for the County of Macon and State of Illinois, at the Illinois Pollution Control Board, 1021 North Grand Avenue East (North Entrance), Springfield, Illinois, on the 26th day of February 2013, A.D., at 1:00 p.m.

Page 2

```
1
    APPEARANCES:
 2
    ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD,
     1021 North Grand Avenue East
 3
    North Entrance
     Springfield, Illinois 60601
     217-524-8509
    webbc@ipcb.state.il.us
 5
             MS. CAROL WEBB, HEARING OFFICER;
 6
7
    ELIAS, MEGINNES, RIFFLE & SEGHETTI, P.C.
    416 Main Street
8
    Suite 1400
    Peoria, Illinois 61602
    309-637-6000
    rriffle@emrslaw.com
10
             ROBERT M. RIFFLE,
11
             Appeared on behalf of the Petitioner;
12
13
    ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
    1021 North Grand Avenue East
14
    P.O. Box 19276
    Springfield, Illinois 62794-9276
15
    217-524-3332
    scott.sievers@illinois.gov
            MR. SCOTT B. SIEVERS,
16
    BY:
17
            Appeared on behalf of the Respondent.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

- 1 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: We'll go ahead and
- 2 begin.
- Good afternoon. My name is Carol Webb, and
- 4 this is the hearing for PCB 10-48, Broadus Oil Company
- 5 versus IEPA, and it's February 26, and we are beginning at
- 6 1 o'clock p.m.
- For the record, although the Petitioner is
- 8 located in LaSalle County, there was no known public
- 9 interest in this case. Thus, I granted the parties'
- 10 request to hold the hearing in Springfield.
- I'll note for the record that there are no
- members of the public present. Members of the public may
- provide public comment, if they so choose.
- 14 At issue in this case is Petitioner's high
- priority corrective action plan budget. The decision
- deadline in this case is August 22nd. The Pollution
- 17 Control Board Members will make the final decision in this
- 18 case. My purpose is to conduct the hearing in a neutral
- 19 and orderly manner so that we have a clear record of the
- 20 proceedings.
- This hearing was noticed pursuant to the
- 22 Act and the Board's rules and will be conducted pursuant
- to Sections 101.600 through 101.632 of the Board's
- 24 procedural rules.

- At this time, I'll ask the parties to
- 2 please make their appearances on the record.
- MR. RIFFLE: Robert Riffle, Your Honor, on
- behalf of Broadus Oil Company and Midwest Environmental.
- MR. SIEVERS: Scott Sievers on behalf of
- 6 the Respondent Illinois EPA.
- 7 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay.
- 8 Any preliminary matters to discuss on the
- 9 record?
- Mr. Riffle, would you like to make an
- 11 opening statement.
- MR. RIFFLE: Sure. And this may actually
- be a preliminary matter, as well as an opening statement,
- but just for clarification, we originally submitted this
- Petition for Review and Hearing seeking, I believe,
- \$88,754.98. Subsequent to that time, there was a
- re-submittal, I think, by negotiation and further
- proceedings that dealt with, really, the majority of that
- 19 claim. So today, and I'll allow counsel to correct me if
- 20 he thinks that I'm inaccurate in this regard, but I
- 21 believe what is at issue in this particular appeal for
- this particular setting is personnel costs of \$3,959.86
- and also handling charges of \$5,847.39.
- So, again, the original appeal has been

- 1 reduced to these two items, the rest of which have been
- dealt with elsewhere.
- I will note for the record, there's another
- 4 Broadus appeal, and by making that comment, I don't want
- 5 to in any way impact that. That stands on its own. But,
- 6 at any rate, for purposes of today, I believe that's
- 7 what's at issue.
- HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay. Mr. Sievers,
- 9 would you like to make any opening statement?
- Oh, I'm sorry. Were you not done? I'm
- sorry. Was that just a pause?
- MR. RIFFLE: That was my preliminary
- matter.
- 14 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Oh, okay. My
- 15 apologies. Go ahead.
- MR. RIFFLE: Does that accurately state, I
- 17 guess, as the -- is that your understanding of where
- 18 we're --
- MR. SIEVERS: I think that's correct, Your
- Honor. I think we are down to those two figures. I don't
- 21 know the exact numbers at this point, but I'd have to look
- back, but I'll reserve that for our closing arguments or
- 23 closing briefs.
- MR. RIFFLE: Sure.

- Just very briefly. This is a very, very
- 2 complicated site, complicated by a very persistent
- neighbor, who insisted upon certain things that were sort
- of above and beyond the call of duty. It made it a very
- 5 difficult project, I believe, for the Broadus Oil Company,
- 6 for Midwest Environmental, and for the Illinois
- Environmental Protection Agency, and I believe the
- 8 testimony will bear out that there were some extenuating
- 9 and unusual circumstances here, and that the personnel
- 10 cost and handling charges that were incurred that we're
- seeking here today were certainly reasonable and necessary
- under the totality of the circumstances.
- HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Now, Mr. Sievers,
- would you like to make any opening statement?
- MR. SIEVERS: No, Your Honor.
- MR. RIFFLE: Okay. Mr. Riffle, you may
- 17 call your witness.
- MR. RIFFLE: Thank you. We call Allan
- 19 Green.
- HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay. Mr. Green,
- since again, this is a new proceeding, I'll ask the court
- reporter to please swear you in again.
- 23 (Witness sworn.)

24

- 1 ALLAN GREEN, produced, sworn and examined on
- behalf of the Petitioner, testified as follows:
- 3 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- BY MR. RIFFLE:
- 5 Q. Mr. Green, please state your name and
- 6 affiliation for the record.
- A. Allan Green, President of Midwest Environmental
- 8 Consulting and Remediation Services.
- 9 Q. And what is your relationship to Broadus Oil
- 10 Company?
- 11 A. We were contracted by Broadus Oil Company to
- oversee investigation/remediation of an existing LUST site
- in Streator, Illinois.
- Q. Okay. And did -- did you perform services in
- 15 connection with that site?
- A. Yes, we did.
- Q. Can you give us just a very brief overview of
- the scope of that project and what Midwest actually did on
- 19 site in that regard?
- A. We were called onto the site by Steve Broadus,
- who's the owner of Broadus Oil Company, who had a gas
- 22 station with an existing LUST incident on the property
- that had impacted a neighboring property, or several, and
- he was wanting our opinion.

- He had an existing consultant who had done
- 2 preliminary investigation work on the site and submitted
- 3 reports to the EPA regarding the required remediation or
- 4 what they thought was a required remediation of the site.
- Mr. Broadus wanted our opinion on this because
- 6 his consultant said that there was -- I stated to him that
- 7 there was no way this incident could be closed anywhere
- 8 near the reimbursement cap.
- 9 Q. Do you recall what that reimbursement cap was at
- 10 that time?
- 11 A. 1.5 million.
- 12 Q. Okay.
- A. And we basically looked at the project, saw that
- there were some other avenues that could be taken
- regarding the closure of the incident, were hired by
- Broadus Oil Company, at which time we submitted a -- based
- upon the information we had -- previously had been
- 18 submitted to the EPA, a corrective action plan, to
- 19 remediate the neighboring property, who the individual was
- 20 basically suing Broadus Oil Company, requesting large sums
- of money and/or wanted the site cleaned up to Tier 1
- 22 standards.
- We basically submitted a plan and budget to the
- state. Mr. Sam Hale was the project manager assigned to

- 1 the project.
- We had a plan approved. We went in, basically
- ³ excavated the neighboring property and part of the city
- property on the other side, had to leave contaminated soil
- below the existing building, the neighboring building.
- The entire time, we had a lot of trouble with
- ⁷ the property owner, basically had gone political, was
- 8 contacting Sam and his boss, Cliff, there at the EPA.
- 9 We went in and remediated that site, repaved the
- 10 existing contamination under the little building that was
- there was still too high, so we had to submit another plan
- to do enhancement by remediation underneath the building.
- 13 That was completed. The results came back satisfactory
- after a period of time, and basically we finished our work
- on the neighboring property and on the city property and
- proposed TACO closure for the contamination on the site
- itself, which was approved, and we had to get a highway
- 18 authority agreement from the IDOT for the highway in front
- of the station and ground water use restriction from the
- town, environmental land use restrictions on the
- neighboring property and the city property, and basically,
- then, TACO the site up for closure.
- Q. Now, Exhibit A to our Petition for Review and
- Hearing in this case is a corrective action budget

- amendment dated November 10 of 2009. Do you recognize
- 2 that document?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And is that a document you submitted to the
- 5 IEPA?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Page B-1 of that document, the heading on that
- 8 is proposed budget summary and budget total. Do you see
- ⁹ that entry?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. You heard in my opening statement my comment
- about a re-submittal in connection with this particular
- 13 matter. Can you explain for the record what occurred
- 14 there?
- A. Basically, we -- to my memory, we resubmitted
- 16 another budget addendum for this site with more detailed
- information as to what was requested by the EPA.
- Q. And did that address and particularly field
- 19 purchase and other costs?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. And did that leave open the personnel cost and
- handling charges that are at issue here today?
- ²³ A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Particularly with respect to the

- 1 personnel costs with reference to Exhibit A to the
- Petition, can you explain in some detail the work that was
- 3 actually performed by Midwest Environmental in connection
- with that 3,900 or so dollars of personnel costs?
- 5 A. Basically, it entailed the time that was spent
- between the city, their attorneys, the off-site property
- owner, and their attorneys, and then the IDOT for their
- 8 highway authority agreement, and then reimbursement time
- 9 and certification on that issue.
- Q. And do those entries on page G-1 of Exhibit A to
- 11 the Petition in this case accurately depict work that was
- 12 actually done by Midwest Environmental?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. And what is the total personnel cost that's
- depicted on that document?
- 16 A. \$3,959.86.
- Q. Did you have any conversations with the IEPA
- regarding the need to negotiate a site access agreement?
- 19 A. Because of the nature of the project and the
- neighboring property owner, we were basically, if not
- every other day, then close to that, in communication with
- 22 the project manager and with his supervisor.
- Q. And who was that at the time?
- A. Sam Hale was the project manager and Cliff

- Wheeler was his supervisor.
- Q. Did they give you any instructions in connection
- 3 with this project?
- A. Basically -- not to quote them -- basically was,
- 5 do what you need to do to get this site closed and get
- 6 this individual out of our hair.
- Q. And your understanding was that -- the
- individual you're talking about is the neighboring
- 9 landowner?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And were they making contact directly with IEPA
- 12 at the time?
- 13 A. Yes, they were.
- Q. And also with legislators and such?
- A. Yes, they were.
- Q. And did you also have to negotiate a highway
- 17 authority agreement?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And were there any other particular things that
- 20 are included at page G-1 of Exhibit A of note in terms of
- what all you did in terms of the scope of work?
- 22 A. There's time there for basically those issues
- 23 and the reimbursement submittals and such for that -- for
- 24 that time.

- Q. Okay. And the other thing that was at issue
- 2 here today is the handling charges. Can you just briefly
- 3 describe what that entails?
- A. It entails the handing charges put on the
- 5 subcontractor invoices, once proof of payment's been
- 6 shown. It's for us -- it's the money markup allows to the
- 7 individuals for basically paying subcontractors.
- Q. Okay. And again, all of your purchase costs and
- ⁹ all were amicably resolved in that other submittal; is
- 10 that correct?
- 11 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And was it your testimony that those are
- 13 reasonable and actual charges incurred in connection with
- this project?
- A. Yes, they are.
- MR. RIFFLE: No further questions. Thank
- you.
- 18 CROSS EXAMINATION
- 19 BY MR. SIEVERS:
- Q. Mr. Green, I'm going to be asking you about a
- document that looks an awful lot like the one attached to
- your Petition, so I apologize.
- But I'm handing you what has been previously
- marked as Respondent's Exhibit B and you recognize that to

- 1 be the budget that you submitted that is the subject of
- this case; correct?
- 3 (Respondent's Exhibit B was previously marked
- for identification.)
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Okay. And I'm handing you what has been
- previously marked as Respondent Exhibit E. Do you
- 8 recognize that to be the budget that was subsequently
- 9 submitted after the budget that is the subject of this
- 10 case; correct?
- 11 (Respondent's Exhibit E was marked for
- identification.)
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And this budget, the one set forth in
- Respondent's Exhibit E, that was paid in full, isn't that
- 16 correct, or was approved in full?
- 17 A. I mean, yeah, if we get an approval letter from
- the EPA, then yes.
- 19 Q. I'm sorry. Let me restate that. You have had
- Respondent's Exhibit E approved at least in part by
- 21 Illinois EPA; isn't that correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Now, I'm going to call your attention to that
- exhibit, pages 1637 through 1638, and that's your

- signature on page 1638; correct?
- ² A. Yes.
- Q. And would it be fair to say that you wrote this
- two-page letter that accompanied the rest of Respondent's
- 5 Exhibit E to address a mathematical error?
- 6 A. Yes.
- Q. And this was written concerning an error that
- 8 occurred related to a 2007 submittal; is that right?
- 9 A. Yes.
- Q. And this budget that is set forth in here in
- Respondent's Exhibit E on page 1641 in the amount of
- \$64,007.48, that dollar figure was approved in full; is
- 13 that correct?
- A. I believe so. I mean, without being able to
- look at the record, yes.
- Q. Now, on this page, page 1641, in this submittal,
- you did not seek personnel costs; correct?
- 18 A. No.
- Q. And you did not seek handling costs; correct?
- 20 A. No.
- Q. Okay. In fact, handling costs is not even found
- on page 1641 as a line item, is it?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. Now, the previous budget that is the subject of

- this appeal, that's contained in Respondent's Exhibit B;
- 2 correct? Do you have that before you?
- 3 A. Yes.
- Q. I'll call your attention to page 1622 of that,
- 5 Respondent's Exhibit B.
- Now, that budget that sought costs for
- ⁷ excavation and transportation, disposal of soil,
- 8 backfilling, removal of clean overburden and so forth;
- 9 correct?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Okay. And let me go back to Respondent's
- Exhibit E, pages 1637, 1638. That's the letter you wrote
- about that mathematical error. Would it be fair to say
- 14 that that letter explains that the budget that accompanies
- 15 it was for the same work that excavation, transportation,
- disposal of soil, backfill, clean overburden, and it
- should be for approximately \$64,000.
- MR. RIFFLE: I'm going to object to the
- 19 form of the question. I'm not sure I understand what
- you're asking there.
- MR. SIEVERS: I'll rephrase.
- BY MR. SIEVERS:
- Q. Is it accurate that this letter on pages 1637
- 24 and 1638 of Respondent's Exhibit E is addressing work that

- is also addressed in Respondent's Exhibit B that is the
- same excavation, transportation, disposal, backfill,
- 3 removal of clean overburden?
- 4 MR. RIFFLE: Are you asking if it's the
- 5 same soil?
- 6 MR. SIEVERS: The same work.
- A. Yeah, I believe so. Yeah.
- Q. Okay.
- 9 A. Okay. This was done in a couple different
- 10 phases, so that's why I'm looking to see the dates because
- there was the major excavation, and then this was a
- 12 separate deal that was done at the time the excavation was
- done, so I'm just making sure that one is not interacting
- with the other one.
- Q. And I think at the outset of the hearing today,
- your attorney acknowledged that these costs, the \$64,000
- worth of costs arising from that excavation,
- transportation, and disposal somewhere, those have been
- approved by the Illinois EPA; isn't that correct?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. And so we're down to, at least as far as
- 22 Respondent's Exhibit E is concerned -- I'm sorry --
- Respondent's Exhibit B is concerned, B as in boy, we're
- down to handling charges and personnel costs; correct?

- A. Yes.
- MR. SIEVERS: Nothing further of this
- 3 witness, Your Honor.
- 4 MR. RIFFLE: No further questions.
- 5 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: All right. Thank
- 6 you, Mr. Green.
- 7 Mr. Riffle, do you have anything further?
- MR. RIFFLE: I do not.
- 9 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay. Mr. Sievers,
- you may call your witness.
- MR. SIEVERS: Your Honor, I call Mr. Brian
- 12 Bauer.
- HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Is it B-A-U-E-R?
- 14 (Witness sworn.)
- 15
- BRIAN BAUER, produced, sworn and examined on
- behalf of the Respondent, testified as follows:
- 18 EXAMINATION
- 19 BY MR. SIEVERS:
- Q. Mr. Bauer, could you state your name and spell
- 21 it for the court reporter?
- A. Brian Bauer, B-R-I-A-N, B-A-U-E-R.
- Q. Are you employed?
- A. Yes, I am.

- Q. Where are you employed?
- A. At Illinois Environmental Protection Agency.
- Q. Any particular unit within that agency?
- 4 A. The LUST section.
- Q. When you say LUST, do you mean leaking
- 6 underground storage tank?
- 7 A. I do.
- Q. How long have you been so employed?
- 9 A. Over 20 years.
- Q. Did you attend college?
- 11 A. Yes.
- Q. Where?
- 13 A. I went to Northland College.
- Q. Did you earn a degree?
- A. A Bachelor's degree in biology.
- Q. Did you attend graduate school?
- A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Where?
- 19 A. University of Illinois in Springfield.
- Q. Did you get a degree?
- A. A Master's degree in environmental studies.
- Q. What's your present title at Illinois EPA?
- A. Well, I'm a project manager, I guess.
- Q. And what are the job duties of a project

- 1 manager?
- A. Of a project manager in particular?
- Q. Well, in the LUST program.
- A. In the LUST program is to oversee the approval
- 5 and remediation of corrective action plans and site
- 6 investigation plans and completion reports and things like
- 7 that.
- Q. What are your job duties in particular?
- A. My job duties are to -- I kind of quasi oversee
- 10 the reimbursement portion of the underground storage tank
- 11 program.
- 12 Q. And how long have you had that role?
- A. Over three years; three or four years.
- Q. And before that, you were project manager in the
- 15 LUST program; correct?
- A. That's correct, yes.
- 17 Q. So you would review budgets and plans and so
- forth, submittals?
- 19 A. That's correct, yes.
- Q. Now, so would it be fair to say that for the
- last two decades you've been working with the LUST program
- 22 and -- well, it's correct that you've been working for the
- LUST program for the last two decades dealing with plans
- and budgets and so forth?

- 1 A. That's correct.
- Q. Would it be fair to say you've reviewed hundreds
- of plans and budgets?
- A. That would be fair to say.
- Now, this case involved a December 8th, 2009
- 6 decision of Illinois EPA to reject a corrective action
- 7 plan budget. Are you familiar with that decision?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. I'm handing you what has been previously
- marked as Respondent's Exhibit A. Do you recognize that?
- 11 (Respondent's Exhibit A was previously marked
- for identification.)
- 13 A. I do.
- Q. What do you recognize that to be?
- 15 A. It is a denial letter of the corrective action
- budget's plan budget that was -- that the Agency issued.
- Q. And you understand that to be the basis for the
- 18 hearing today?
- 19 A. I do.
- Q. On the second page of Respondent's Exhibit A, is
- 21 the name Sam Hale -- who is or was Sam Hale?
- A. Sam Hale was a project manager in the LUST
- 23 section.
- Q. Is he still with Illinois EPA?

- 1 A. He is not.
- Q. And why not?
- A. He is deceased.
- Q. And does the name Clifford Wheeler on that page
- 5 as well, who is or was Clifford Wheeler?
- A. Cliff was a unit manager over Sam Hale.
- Q. Okay. And is he still with the Illinois EPA?
- 8 A. He retired.
- Q. Okay. And has he since passed away?
- 10 A. He has since passed away, yes.
- Q. Okay. Now, Attachment A to Respondent's Exhibit
- 12 A had two numbered paragraphs. Does that set forth as you
- understand it the reason for the denial of the submittal
- in this case?
- MR. RIFFLE: I'm going to object on the
- 16 lack of foundation.
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. Are you familiar with the basis of the denial of
- 19 the submittal in this case?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And is it set forth on page 1643 of
- Respondent's Exhibit A?
- 23 A. It is.
- Q. I'm going to hand you what has been marked as

- 1 Respondent's Exhibit B. Do you recognize that document?
- 2 A. Yes.
- Q. And what do you recognize that document to be?
- A. The Corrective Action Budget Amendment that was
- 5 denied on the December 8, 2009 letter.
- Q. So you understand Respondent's Exhibit B to be
- 7 the submittal that was rejected in your Respondent's
- 8 Exhibit A?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Now, I'll call your attention on page 1619 of
- Respondent's Exhibit B. Do you see the six numbered line
- 12 items?
- 13 A. I do.
- Q. Now, there are three entries there; correct?
- One for personal costs, one for field purchases and other
- 16 costs, and one for handling charges; correct?
- 17 A. Correct.
- Q. Let me ask you about handling charges. Does
- 19 Illinois EPA approve handling charges in a budget
- 20 submittal?
- A. No, we do not.
- 22 Q. Why not?
- A. They are approved, but they are based upon what
- you submit in your reimbursement at the time you submit

- 1 the bills.
- Q. Now, is this -- on page 1619, was that an
- 3 Illinois EPA form, to your knowledge?
- A. Yes, I believe it was.
- 5 Q. Was it a form that was current when it was
- 6 submitted, do you know? Well, let me ask you this. Has
- 7 the form for budget submittals changed over the years?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And does the form currently include handling
- 10 charges?
- 11 A. For budgets, no.
- Q. Mr. Bauer, I'm handing you what has been
- 13 previously marked as Respondent's Exhibit C. Will you
- 14 take a moment to review that? Do you recognize
- Respondent's Exhibit C?
- 16 (Respondent's Exhibit C was previously marked
- for identification.)
- A. Yeah. It's the regulations.
- Q. Are these regulations that you rely upon in your
- 20 job?
- 21 A. It is.
- Q. Let me call your attention to the third page,
- 23 paragraph B. Have you relied upon this provision at all
- in dealing with handling charges?

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. And in particular, what portion of paragraph B?
- A. Can I read the sentence?
- 4 Q. Yes.
- 5 A. The budget must include, but is not limited to,
- 6 a copy of the eligibility/deductibility termination of the
- OSFM and an estimated of all costs associated with the
- 8 development, implementation, and completion of corrective
- 9 action plan, excluding handling charges.
- Q. And do you understand that to be the basis for
- 11 Illinois EPA's denial of request for handling charges in
- budget submittals, at least the approval of budgets?
- 13 A. Yeah, approval of the dollar amount.
- Q. Okay. So it's not that you won't -- Illinois
- EPA won't pay them later on, is that correct?
- A. That's correct. We will pay them later on.
- 17 Q. You just don't approve the handling charges in
- 18 the budget itself.
- 19 A. Correct.
- Q. Mr. Bauer, I'm calling your attention to what
- 21 has been previously marked as Respondent's Exhibit D. Do
- you recognize that document?
- 23 (Respondent's Exhibit Number D was previously
- marked for identification.)

- A. Yes. That's another portion of the regulations.
- Q. And is that a -- are those regulations materials
- you rely upon in the course of your job?
- 4 A. It is.
- Q. And do you understand from those regulations
- 6 that various costs are not approved for reimbursement
- 7 under the LUST program?
- 8 A. Correct.
- Q. Do you understand, based on these regulations
- and your understanding of them, does Illinois EPA approve
- 11 reimbursement for excavation, disposal, backfill and so
- 12 forth?
- Let me strike that. Does Illinois EPA approve
- budgets seeking reimbursement for excavation, disposal and
- 15 backfill?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Now, does Illinois EPA approve budgets that
- include restoration of water or restoration of electric
- 19 compactors or pre-create cost figures?
- A. No, we do not.
- Q. And does the basis for that denial include
- Respondent's Exhibit D?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Could a budget submitted to Illinois EPA be

- denied in whole, even though part of it would be
- 2 acceptable?
- 3 A. Yes.
- Q. So if one part of a budget was violated or
- 5 conflicted with the regulations, the whole budget could be
- 6 denied or rejected?
- ⁷ A. Yes.
- Q. I'm handing you what has been previously marked
- 9 as Respondent's Exhibit E. Can you take a look at that
- 10 for a moment? Do you recognize that?
- 11 A. Yes.
- Q. What do you recognize that to be?
- A. A corrective action plan budget amendment and
- ¹⁴ justification.
- 15 Q. Let me call your attention to 1643, page 1643 of
- that exhibit. Now, does this budget address excavating
- and transportation, disposal, backfill, overburden?
- 18 A. It does.
- 19 Q. Now, have -- I'll have you go back to another
- exhibit here, and that is Respondent's Exhibit B. Do you
- 21 have it?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Okay. And page 1622.
- 24 A. Okay.

- 1 Q. Now, this page -- this also includes on
- Respondent's Exhibit B, page 1622, that also includes
- 3 costs for excavation, transportation, disposal, backfill,
- 4 and overburden; correct?
- 5 A. Correct.
- Q. Now, have you had the opportunity to add
- ⁷ together the figures on page 1622 for the trench backfill,
- 8 that 166.7 yards to the 1,494.23 yards, and compare them
- 9 to the figures in the other exhibit, Respondent's Exhibit
- 10 E on page 1643?
- 11 A. Yes.
- Q. And how do they compare?
- 13 A. They're the same totals.
- Q. Okay. So we're talking -- it appears to be the
- same amount of yardage of excavation for transportation,
- disposal, backfill, and clean overburden?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. And again, I'll call your attention to
- 19 Respondent's Exhibit E, and do you understand -- are you
- aware of the status of this budget submittal?
- A. I believe it's been approved.
- Q. Okay. And was it approved for the full amount
- on page 1641 of \$64,007.48?
- A. I believe so, yes.

- 1 Q. Now, this budget did not include handling
- charges; correct?
- A. Correct.
- 4 Q. It didn't include personnel costs; correct?
- 5 A. It did not.
- Q. Had this budget included the personnel costs
- 7 that had been set forth in Respondent's Exhibit B, is it
- 8 possible they might have been paid?
- ⁹ A. It is possible.
- 10 Q. Is page 1641 the current form, or was it the
- current form at the time that this budget was submitted,
- 12 the current Illinois EPA form? That is a form that lacks
- an entry for handling charges.
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. In looking at Respondent's Exhibit B on
- page 1619, do you know whether that form at the time it
- was submitted was the correct form for submitting a
- proposed budget summary and budget total?
- 19 A. I do not believe it was the form that we had out
- at that time to be used.
- 21 Q. The form that you did have out, that you had
- when approved? Illinois EPA had approved a form at that
- time? Would that be correctly said?
- Well, does Illinois EPA provide forms for

- 1 submittal of various LUST documentations, including
- budget?
- 3 A. Yes, we do.
- Q. At the time this proposed budget summary and
- 5 budget total were submitted, did the form Illinois EPA had
- 6 include handling charges as a line item?
- 7 A. No.
- Q. But it's fair to say that handling charges,
- 9 although not approved as part of a budget, are approved by
- 10 Illinois EPA separately.
- 11 A. Yes. We don't approve a dollar amount in the
- 12 budget for handling charges.
- Q. And upon what do you base the handling charges?
- 14 A. There's a couple things governing handling
- charges on the reimbursement side, but it would be --
- there's a sliding scale.
- Q. Is it in the regulations?
- A. It's in the regulations, yes.
- MR. SIEVERS: Okay. I have nothing
- ²⁰ further.
- MR. RIFFLE: Thank you.

22

23

24

CROSS EXAMINATION

2 BY MR. RIFFLE:

1

- Q. I'd ask you to briefly look at Exhibit A. It
- 4 should be in front of you there. Now, this is signed off
- on by Clifford Wheeler; correct?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- Q. And then Sam Hale was also probably involved in
- the drafting of this; is that correct?
- 9 A. Yes.
- Q. And I'm noting at the bottom there, you've got
- the initials of Mr. Wheeler and Mr. Hale right under
- 12 Mr. Wheeler's signature block; correct?
- 13 A. Correct.
- Q. What involvement did you personally have in the
- drafting of Exhibit A?
- 16 A. None.
- Q. And were you personally involved in the process
- of denying this budget?
- 19 A. No.
- Q. Aside from Attachment A to Exhibit A, do you
- have any independent knowledge of how a determination was
- made whether to approve or reject this budget?
- A. I've reviewed the file.
- Q. Is that after the fact?

- A. After the fact.
- Q. How recent? When was the first time you
- 3 reviewed the file in that connection?
- A. I don't recall.
- Q. Was it within a few months of this December '09
- 6 date, or was it more recent?
- 7 A. More recent.
- Q. So your entire understanding of the
- decision-making process here is based on a file review; is
- 10 that correct?
- 11 A. That is correct.
- Q. Attachment A to Exhibit A, I believe it's the
- last page of Exhibit A, if you could turn to that. It
- 14 states two -- my understanding correctly, those are
- essentially the grounds for denial of the budget?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. And do either of those address personnel charges
- 18 at all?
- MR. SIEVERS: I'm going to object as vague
- 20 as to what address means.
- 21 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Well, I don't know
- 22 if he understands the question or not. Maybe you could
- rephrase it so that we all know what you're asking.
- MR. RIFFLE: Sure.

- 1 Q. There are two grounds stated in Exhibit A,
- 2 Attachment A to Exhibit A, which we're interpreting as
- grounds for a denial of the budget; is that correct?
- A. That's correct.
- ⁵ Q. Is there any mention of personnel costs in
- 6 either of those two grounds?
- 7 A. No.
- Q. And if you'd take a quick look at Exhibit B,
- 9 page 1620, if you would, as you sit here today, do you
- 10 have any reason to believe that the hours that are listed
- on page 1620 of Exhibit B were not reasonably expended in
- 12 connection with this project?
- 13 A. No.
- Q. And do you have any reason to believe that the
- hourly rates listed in that page 1620 are not reasonable
- and proper reimbursement rates?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. You stated earlier that a whole budget could be
- 19 rejected based on one particular deficiency; is that
- 20 correct?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. And what do you base that statement on?
- A. I would say based upon my experience of denying
- budgets over the years.

- Q. Is there any law that you're aware of that
- 2 provides for that particular course of action?
- A. I don't understand the question.
- Q. Are you aware of any law, either a statute or
- 5 administrative regulation, that allows the EPA to deny a
- 6 budget entirely based on one deficiency?
- 7 MR. SIEVERS: I'm going to object. It
- 8 calls for a legal conclusion.
- 9 MR. RIFFLE: I'm asking if he's aware.
- HEARING OFFICER WEBB: You can answer.
- 11 A. I believe the regulations allow us to do that.
- 12 Q. Do you know what provision of the regulations?
- A. Not offhand.
- Q. Is it in any of the ones that you were
- previously shown, Exhibit C or D?
- A. I don't know.
- Q. Did you have any personal involvement in the
- Broadus project?
- MR. SIEVERS: Objection, vague. What does
- 20 personal involvement mean?
- 21 BY MR. RIFFLE:
- Q. What, if any, involvement did you have in the
- Broadus project other than your testimony here today?
- A. Just to review everything for the case.

- MR. RIFFLE: No further questions. Thank
- 2 you.
- 3 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 4 BY MR. SIEVERS:
- Q. Mr. Bauer, let me call your attention to
- Respondent's Exhibit A, page 1633, with those two reasons.
- Paragraph 2 says the budget includes costs for corrective
- 8 action that are inconsistent with the associated technical
- 9 plan; is that correct?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. And would it be correct that when -- in your
- experience when a budget is denied in whole or in part
- that the explanation set forth for its rejection may not
- 14 be necessarily detailed to every particular line of that
- 15 budget?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. Maybe a generalized statement as to the basis
- 18 for the rejection; correct?
- 19 A. That would be correct, yes.
- Q. So when it says a budget includes costs for
- 21 corrective action, those costs can be a number of costs;
- 22 correct?
- A. That's correct; yes, sir.
- Q. Including personnel costs?

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. Including handling charges?
- 3 A. Yes.
- HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Nothing further.
- 5 RECROSS EXAMINATION
- 6 BY MR. RIFFLE:
- Q. Sir, as you sit here today, do you know of any
- 8 personnel costs that would have been included within
- 9 paragraph 2 of Attachment A to Exhibit A?
- 10 A. Can you repeat that, please?
- Q. Sure. Are you aware specifically of any
- 12 personnel costs that are being specifically denied by
- reference in that statement in paragraph 2?
- 14 A. I would believe that all the personnel costs
- were being referenced in there.
- Q. And on what do you base that conclusion?
- 17 A. If he's denying a budget for excavation,
- transportation, disposal, the cost to prepare that budget
- 19 and everything else would be all-inclusive of what he was
- denying.
- Q. If you look back at Exhibit B, page 1620, there
- are, for instance, hours for off-site access negotiation.
- Do you see that?
- 24 A. I do.

- Q. Does that have anything to do with the
- 2 excavation?
- A. I believe so.
- Q. And how would that be?
- A. I think the excavation was on off-site property.
- Q. Do you know for sure whether there was
- 7 excavation off site in this particular project?
- 8 A. I'm pretty sure it was.
- 9 Q. Okay. But again, you weren't personally
- involved in the decision to deny this particular budget,
- 11 Exhibit B?
- 12 A. That's correct. I was not.
- MR. RIFFLE: Nothing further.
- MR. SIEVERS: Nothing further, Judge.
- 15 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay. Thank you,
- Mr. Bauer.
- Mr. Sievers, do you have -- are you going
- 18 to introduce your --
- MR. SIEVERS: Yes. We'd move for admission
- of Respondent's Exhibits A through E, I believe.
- 21 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: And these are all
- part of the record, right?
- MR. SIEVERS: They are, Your Honor.
- HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Yeah. So we will go

```
1
     ahead and admit all of these exhibits.
                  (Respondent's Exhibits A through E were
 3
               admitted into evidence.)
                   And do you have anything else to present
 5
     today, Mr. Sievers?
                   MR. SIEVERS: I do not, Your Honor.
                   HEARING OFFICER WEBB: We'll just wrap up.
                   The transcript is due on March 8th and will
 9
    be posted on the Board's website. The public comment
10
     deadline is March 12. Public comments must the filed in
11
    accordance with Section 101.628 of the Board's procedural
12
    rules. Petitioner's brief is due by April 8th, and
13
    Respondent's brief is due by May 8th. Petitioner's reply,
14
    if any, is due by May 22nd.
15
                   There are no members of the public to
16
    present any comment today, so at this time I will conclude
17
    the proceeding.
18
                   We stand adjourned. Thank you very much.
19
                      (Whereupon, the above-entitled
20
                      proceedings were concluded at 1:50
21
                      p.m., February 26, 2013.)
22
23
24
```

	rage 4
1	STATE OF ILLINOIS)
2) SS COUNTY OF MACON)
3	
4	I, LISA K. HAHN, CSR, RMR, do hereby state
5	that I am a court reporter doing business in the City of
6	Decatur, County of Macon, and State of Illinois; that I
7	reported by means of machine shorthand the proceedings
8	held in the foregoing cause, and that the foregoing is a
9	true and correct transcript of my shorthand notes so taken
10	as aforesaid.
11	
12	0 ~
13	Luser Halm
14	Lisa K. Hahn, CSR, RMR Notary Public, Macon County, Illinois
15	CSR #84-2149
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

	1	1	1	1
A	afternoon 4:3	Aside 32:20	37:21	brief 8:17 39:12
\$3,959.86 5:22	agency 1:6 2:13	asking 14:20	backfill 17:16	39:13
12:16	7:7 20:2,3	17:20 18:4	18:2 27:11,15	briefly 7:1 14:2
\$5,847.39 5:23	22:16	33:23 35:9	28:17 29:3,7	32:3
\$64,000 17:17	agreement	assigned 9:24	29:16	briefs 6:23
18:16	10:18 12:8,18	associated 26:7	backfilling 17:8	Broadus 1:3 4:4
\$64,007.48	13:17	36:8	base 31:13 34:22	5:4 6:4 7:5 8:9
16:12 29:23	ahead 4:1 6:15	attached 14:21	37:16	8:11,20,21 9:5
\$88,754.98 5:16	39:1	Attachment	based 9:16	9:16,20 35:18
A.D 1:17	all-inclusive	23:11 32:20	24:23 27:9	35:23
able 16:14	37:19	33:12 34:2	33:9 34:19,23	budget 4:15
above-entitled	Allan 3:7 7:18	37:9	35:6	9:23 10:24
39:19	8:1,7	attend 20:10,16	basically 9:13	11:8,8,16 15:1
1	allow 5:19 35:11	attention 15:23	9:20,23 10:2,7	15:8,9,14
acceptable 28:2	allows 14:6 35:5	17:4 24:10	10:14,21 11:15	16:10,24 17:6
access 12:18	amendment	25:22 26:20	12:5,20 13:4,4	17:14 22:7,16
37:22	11:1 24:4	28:15 29:18	13:22 14:7	24:4,19 25:7
accompanied	28:13	36:5	basis 22:17	26:5,12,18
16:4	amicably 14:9	attorney 18:16	23:18 26:10	27:24 28:4,5
accompanies	amount 16:11	attorneys 12:6,7	27:21 36:17	28:13,16 29:20
17:14	26:13 29:15,22	August 4:16	Bauer 3:8 19:12	30:1,6,11,18
accurate 17:23	31:11	authority 10:18	19:16,20,22	1 ' ' '
accurately 6:16	and/or 9:21	12:8 13:17	25:12 26:20	30:18 31:2,4,5
12:11	answer 35:10	Avenue 1:15 2:2	36:5 38:16	31:9,12 32:18
acknowledged	ŀ	2:13		32:22 33:15
18:16	apologies 6:15	<u> </u>	bear 7:8	34:3,18 35:6
Act 4:22	apologize 14:22	avenues 9:14	beginning 4:5	36:7,12,15,20
action 4:15 9:18	appeal 5:21,24 6:4 17:1	aware 29:20	behalf 2:11,17	37:17,18 38:10
10:24 21:5		35:1,4,9 37:11	5:4,5 8:2 19:17	budget's 22:16
22:6,15 24:4	appearances 5:2	awful 14:21	believe 5:15,21	budgets 21:17
26:9 28:13	Appeared 2:11	В	6:6 7:5,7 16:14	21:24 22:3
35:2 36:8,21	2:17	B 2:16 3:13,15	18:7 25:4	25:11 26:12
actual 14:13	appears 29:14	14:24 15:3	29:21,24 30:19	27:14,17 34:24
add 29:6	approval 15:17	17:1,5 18:1,23	33:12 34:10,14	building 10:5,5
addendum	21:4 26:12,13	18:23 24:1,6	35:11 37:14	10:10,12
11:16	approve 24:19	24:11 25:23	38:3,20	business 40:5
address 11:18	26:17 27:10,13	26:2 28:20	beyond 7:4	<u>C</u>
16:5 28:16	27:17 31:11	29:2 30:7,15	bills 25:1	C 2:1 3:18 25:13
33:17,20	32:22	34:8,11 37:21	biology 20:15	
addressed 18:1	approved 10:2	38:11	block 32:12	25:15,16 35:15
addressing	10:17 15:16,20		Board 1:1,15 2:2	call 7:4,17,18
17:24	16:12 18:19	B-1 11:7	4:17	15:23 17:4
adjourned 39:18	24:23 27:6	B-A-U-E-R	Board's 4:22,23	19:10,11 24:10
administrative	29:21,22 30:22	19:13,22	39:9,11	25:22 28:15
35:5	30:22 31:9,9	B-R-I-A-N	boss 10:8	29:18 36:5
admission 38:19	approximately	19:22	bottom 32:10	called 8:20
admit 39:1	17:17	Bachelor's	Box 2:14	calling 26:20
admitted 39:3	April 39:12	20:15	boy 18:23	calls 35:8
affiliation 8:6	arguments 6:22	back 6:22 10:13	Brian 3:8 19:11	cap 9:8,9
aforesaid 40:10	arising 18:17	17:11 28:19	19:16,22	Carol 1:12 2:5
			674 127 M C 1940 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	

4:3	compactors	26:15,16,19	date 33:6	Discussed 3:4
case 4:9,14,16	27:19	27:8 29:4,5	dated 11:1	l .
4:18 10:24	Company 1:3	30:2,3,4,17	1	disposal 17:7,16
12:11 15:2,10	4:4 5:4 7:5	30:2,3,4,17	dates 18:10	18:2,18 27:11
22:5 23:14,19	1	33:10,11 34:3	day 1:16 12:21	27:14 28:17
35:24	8:10,11,21	1 ′	deadline 4:16	29:3,16 37:18
cause 40:8	9:16,20	34:4,20 36:9	39:10	document 11:2
	compare 29:8,12	36:10,11,18,19	deal 18:12	11:4,7 12:15
certain 7:3	completed 10:13	36:22,23 38:12	dealing 21:23	14:21 24:1,3
certainly 7:11 certification	completion 21:6 26:8	40:9	25:24	26:22
12:9		corrective 4:15	dealt 5:18 6:2	documentations
	complicated 7:2	9:18 10:24	decades 21:21	31:1
changed 25:7	7:2	21:5 22:6,15	21:23	doing 40:5
charges 5:23	concerned 18:22	24:4 26:8	Decatur 40:6	dollar 16:12
7:10 11:22	18:23	28:13 36:7,21	deceased 23:3	26:13 31:11
14:2,4,13	concerning 16:7	correctly 30:23	December 22:5	dollars 12:4
18:24 24:16,18	conclude 39:16	33:14	24:5 33:5	drafting 32:8,15
24:19 25:10,24	concluded 39:20	cost 7:10 11:21	decision 4:15,17	due 39:8,12,13
26:9,11,17	conclusion 35:8	12:14 27:19	22:6,7 38:10	39:14
30:2,13 31:6,8	37:16	37:18	decision-maki	duties 20:24
31:12,13,15	conduct 4:18	costs 5:22 11:19	33:9	21:8,9
33:17 37:2	conducted 4:22	12:1,4 14:8	deficiency 34:19	duty 7:4
choose 4:13	conflicted 28:5	16:17,19,21	35:6	E
circumstances	connection 8:15	17:6 18:16,17	degree 20:14,15	
7:9,12	11:12 12:3	18:24 24:15,16	20:20,21	E 2:1,1 3:1,13,16
city 10:3,15,21	13:2 14:13	26:7 27:6 29:3	denial 22:15	15:7,11,15,20
12:6 40:5	33:3 34:12	30:4,6 34:5	23:13,18 26:11	16:5,11 17:12
claim 5:19	consultant 9:1,6	36:7,20,21,21	27:21 33:15	17:24 18:22
clarification	Consulting 8:8	36:24 37:8,12	34:3	28:9 29:10,19
5:14	contact 13:11	37:14	denied 24:5 28:1	38:20 39:2
clean 17:8,16	contacting 10:8	counsel 5:19	28:6 36:12	earlier 34:18
18:3 29:16	contained 17:1	County 1:13 4:8	37:12	earn 20:14
cleaned 9:21	contaminated	40:2,6,14	deny 35:5 38:10	East 1:15 2:2,13
clear 4:19	10:4	couple 18:9	denying 32:18	either 33:17
Cliff 10:8 12:24	contamination	31:14	34:23 37:17,20	34:6 35:4
23:6	10:10,16	course 27:3 35:2	depict 12:11	electric 27:18
Clifford 23:4,5	contracted 8:11	court 7:21 19:21	depicted 12:15	ELIAS 2:7
32:5	Control 1:1,14	40:5	describe 14:3	eligibility/ded
close 12:21	2:2 4:17	CROSS 3:6	detail 12:2	26:6
closed 9:7 13:5	conversations	14:18 32:1	detailed 11:16	employed 19:23
closing 6:22,23	12:17	CSR 1:12 40:4	36:14	20:1,8
closure 9:15	copy 26:6	40:13,14	determination	enhancement
10:16,22	correct 5:19	current 25:5	32:21	10:12
college 20:10,13	6:19 14:10	30:10,11,12	development	entailed 12:5
comment 4:13	15:2,10,16,21	currently 25:9	26:8	entails 14:3,4
6:4 11:11 39:9	16:1,13,17,19	D	different 18:9	entire 10:6 33:8
39:16	17:2,9 18:19	·	difficult 7:5	entirely 35:6
comments 39:10	18:24 21:15,16	D 3:1,19 26:21	DIRECT 3:6 8:3	Entrance 1:15
communication	21:19,22 22:1	26:23 27:22	directly 13:11	2:3
12:21	24:14,16,17	35:15	discuss 5:8	entries 12:10

	l .	l	1	l
24:14	24:11 25:13,15	30:11,12,12,16	Hahn 1:12 40:4	25:17 26:24
entry 11:9 30:13	25:16 26:21,23	30:17,19,21,22	40:13	IDOT 10:18
environmental	27:22 28:9,16	31:5	hair 13:6	12:7
1:6 2:13 5:4	28:20,20 29:2	forms 30:24	Hale 9:24 12:24	IEPA 4:5 11:5
7:6,7 8:7 10:20	29:9,9,19 30:7	forth 15:14	22:21,21,22	12:17 13:11
12:3,12 20:2	30:15 32:3,15	16:10 17:8	23:6 32:7,11	Illinois 1:1,6,14
20:21	32:20 33:12,13	21:18,24 23:12	hand 23:24	1:14,16 2:2,3,8
EPA 5:6 9:3,18	34:1,2,8,11	23:21 27:12	handing 14:4,23	2:13,14 5:6 7:6
10:8 11:17	35:15 36:6	30:7 36:13	15:6 22:9	8:13 15:21
15:18,21 18:19	37:9,21 38:11	found 16:21	25:12 28:8	18:19 20:2,19
20:22 22:6,24	exhibits 38:20	foundation	handling 5:23	20:22 22:6,24
23:7 24:19	39:1,2	23:16	7:10 11:22	23:7 24:19
25:3 26:15	existing 8:12,22	four 21:13	14:2 16:19,21	25:3 26:11,14
27:10,13,17,24	9:1 10:5,10	front 10:18 32:4	18:24 24:16,18	27:10,13,17,24
30:12,22,24	expended 34:11	full 15:15,16	24:19 25:9,24	30:12,22,24
31:5,10 35:5	experience	16:12 29:22	26:9,11,17	31:5,10 40:1,6
EPA's 26:11	34:23 36:12	further 5:17	30:1,13 31:6,8	40:14
error 16:5,7	explain 11:13	14:16 19:2,4,7	31:12,13,14	impact 6:5
17:13	12:2	31:20 36:1	37:2	impacted 8:23
essentially 33:15	explains 17:14	37:4 38:13,14	heading 11:7	implementation
estimated 26:7	explanation		heard 11:11	26:8
evidence 39:3	36:13	$\frac{G}{G + 12 + 12 + 22 + 22}$	hearing 1:12 2:5	inaccurate 5:20
exact 6:21	extenuating 7:8	G-1 12:10 13:20	3:3 4:1,4,10,18	incident 8:22
EXAMINATI		gas 8:21	4:21 5:7,15 6:8	9:7,15
8:3 14:18		generalized	6:14 7:13,20	include 25:9
19:18 32:1	fact 16:21 32:24	36:17	10:24 18:15	26:5 27:18,21
36:3 37:5	33:1	give 8:17 13:2	19:5,9,13	30:1,4 31:6
examined 8:1	fair 16:3 17:13	go 4:1 6:15	22:18 33:21	included 13:20
19:16	21:20 22:2,4	17:11 28:19	35:10 37:4	30:6 37:8
excavated 10:3	31:8	38:24	38:15,21,24	includes 29:1,2
excavating	familiar 22:7	going 14:20	39:7	36:7,20
28:16	23:18 far 18:21	15:23 17:18	held 40:8	including 31:1
excavation 17:7		23:15,24 33:19	high 4:14 10:11	36:24 37:2
17:15 18:2,11	February 1:16	35:7 38:17	highway 10:17	inconsistent
18:12,17 27:11	4:5 39:21 field 11:18 24:15	Good 4:3	10:18 12:8	36:8
27:14 29:3,15		governing 31:14	13:16	incurred 7:10
37:17 38:2,5,7	figure 16:12 figures 6:20	graduate 20:16 Grand 1:15 2:2	hired 9:15	14:13
excluding 26:9	Q	2:13	hold 4:10	independent
exhibit 3:15,16	27:19 29:7,9		Honor 5:3 6:20	32:21
3:17,18,19	file 32:23 33:3,9 filed 39:10	granted 4:9 Green 3:7 7:19	7:15 19:3,11	individual 9:19
10:23 12:1,10	final 4:17		38:23 39:6	13:6,8
13:20 14:24	finished 10:14	7:20 8:1,5,7 14:20 19:6	hourly 34:15	individuals 14:7
15:3,7,11,15	first 33:2		hours 34:10	information
15:20,24 16:5	follows 8:2	ground 10:19 grounds 33:15	37:22	9:17 11:17
16:11 17:1,5	19:17	34:1,3,6	hundreds 22:2	initials 32:11
17:12,24 18:1	foregoing 40:8,8	guess 6:17 20:23	I	insisted 7:3
18:22,23 22:10	form 17:19 25:3	guess 0.1 / 20.23	identification	instance 37:22
22:11,20 23:11	25:5,7,9 30:10	H	15:4,12 22:12	instructions
23:22 24:1,6,8	25.5,1,9 50.10	H 3:13	12.7,12 22.12	13:2

interacting	leaking 20:5	23:24 25:13,16	neutral 4:18	19:9 22:9 23:7
18:13	leave 10:4 11:21	26:21,24 28:8	new 7:21	23:9,11,21
interest 4:9	legal 35:8	markup 14:6	North 1:15,15	26:14 28:23,24
interpreting	legislators 13:14	Master's 20:21	2:2,3,13	29:14,22 30:15
34:2	letter 15:17 16:4	materials 27:2	Northland 20:13	31:19 38:9,15
introduce 38:18	17:12,14,23	mathematical	notary 1:13	once 14:5
investigation 9:2	22:15 24:5	16:5 17:13	40:14	ones 35:14
21:6	limited 26:5	matter 5:13 6:13	note 4:11 6:3	open 11:21
investigation/	line 16:22 24:11	11:13	13:20	opening 3:3 5:11
8:12	31:6 36:14	matters 3:4 5:8	notes 40:9	5:13 6:9 7:14
invoices 14:5	Lisa 1:12 40:4	mean 15:17	noticed 4:21	11:11
involved 22:5	40:13	16:14 20:5	noting 32:10	opinion 8:24 9:5
32:7,17 38:10	listed 34:10,15	35:20	November 11:1	opportunity
involvement	little 10:10	means 33:20	number 26:23	29:6
32:14 35:17,20	located 4:8	40:7	36:21	orderly 4:19
35:22	long 20:8 21:12	MEGINNES 2:7	NUMBER/LE	original 5:24
issue 4:14 5:21	look 6:21 16:15	members 4:12	3:14	originally 5:14
6:7 11:22 12:9	28:9 32:3 34:8	4:12,17 39:15	numbered 23:12	OSFM 26:7
14:1	37:21	memory 11:15	24:11	outset 18:15
issued 22:16	looked 9:13	mention 34:5	numbers 6:21	overburden
issues 13:22	looking 18:10	Midwest 5:4 7:6		17:8,16 18:3
item 16:22 31:6	30:15	8:7,18 12:3,12	0	28:17 29:4,16
items 6:1 24:12	looks 14:21	million 9:11	o'clock 4:6	oversee 8:12
	lot 10:6 14:21	moment 25:14	object 17:18	21:4,9
J	LUST 8:12,22	28:10	23:15 33:19	overview 8:17
job 20:24 21:8,9	20:4,5 21:3,4	money 9:21 14:6	35:7	owner 8:21 10:7
25:20 27:3	21:15,21,23	months 33:5	Objection 35:19	12:7,20
Judge 38:14	22:22 27:7	move 38:19	occurred 11:13	
justification	31:1		16:8	P
28:14		N	off-site 12:6	P 2:1,1
	M	N 2:1 3:1	37:22 38:5	P.C 2:7
K	M 2:10	name 4:3 8:5	offhand 35:13	p.m 1:17 4:6
K 1:12 40:4,13	machine 40:7	19:20 22:21	Officer 1:12 2:5	39:21
kind 21:9	Macon 1:13	23:4	3:3 4:1 5:7 6:8	P.O 2:14
know 6:21 25:6	40:2,6,14	nature 12:19	6:14 7:13,20	page 11:7 12:10
30:16 33:21,23	Main 2:7	near 9:8	19:5,9,13	13:20 16:1,11
35:12,16 37:7	major 18:11	necessarily	33:21 35:10	16:16,16,22
38:6	majority 5:18	36:14	37:4 38:15,21	17:4 22:20
knowledge 25:3	making 6:4	necessary 7:11	38:24 39:7	23:4,21 24:10
32:21	13:11 18:13	need 12:18 13:5	Oh 6:10,14	25:2,22 28:15
known 4:8	manager 9:24	negotiate 12:18	Oil 1:3 4:4 5:4	28:23 29:1,2,7
L	12:22,24 20:23	13:16	7:5 8:9,11,21	29:10,23 30:10
lack 23:16	21:1,2,14	negotiation 5:17	9:16,20	30:16 33:13
lacks 30:12	22:22 23:6	37:22	okay 5:7 6:8,14	34:9,11,15
land 10:20	manner 4:19	neighbor 7:3	7:16,20 8:14	36:6 37:21
landowner 13:9	March 39:8,10	neighboring	9:12 11:24	pages 3:2 15:24
large 9:20	marked 3:14	8:23 9:19 10:3	14:1,8,12 15:6	17:12,23
LaSalle 4:8	14:24 15:3,7	10:5,15,21	15:14 16:21	paid 15:15 30:8
law 35:1,4	15:11 22:10,11	12:20 13:8	17:11 18:8,9	paragraph
10011 JJ.15T				

25:23 26:2	28:13 36:9	34:12 35:18,23	34:10,14	
36:7 37:9,13	plans 21:5,6,17	38:7	reasonable 7:11	relied 25:23
paragraphs	21:23 22:3	proof 14:5	14:13 34:15	rely 25:19 27:3
23:12	please 5:2 7:22	1 -		Remarks 3:3
part 10:3 15:20	8:5 37:10	proper 34:16	reasonably 34:11	remediate 9:19
28:1,4 31:9	1	property 8:22 8:23 9:19 10:3	_	remediated 10:9
36:12 38:22	point 6:21		reasons 36:6	remediation 8:8
	political 10:7	10:4,7,15,15	recall 9:9 33:4	9:3,4 10:12
particular 5:21 5:22 11:12	Pollution 1:1,14	10:21,21 12:6	RECEIVED	21:5
	2:2 4:16	12:20 38:5	3:14	removal 17:8
13:19 20:3	portion 21:10	proposed 10:16	recognize 11:1	18:3
21:2,8 26:2	26:2 27:1	11:8 30:18	14:24 15:8	repaved 10:9
34:19 35:2	possible 30:8,9	31:4	22:10,14 24:1	repeat 37:10
36:14 38:7,10	posted 39:9	Protection 1:6	24:3 25:14	rephrase 17:21
particularly	pre-create 27:19	2:13 7:7 20:2	26:22 28:10,12	33:23
11:18,24	preliminary 3:4	provide 4:13	record 4:7,11,19	reply 39:13
parties 4:9 5:1	5:8,13 6:12 9:2	30:24	5:2,9 6:3 8:6	reported 40:7
passed 23:9,10	prepare 37:18	provides 35:2	11:13 16:15	reporter 7:22
pause 6:11	present 4:12	provision 25:23	38:22	19:21 40:5
pay 26:15,16	20:22 39:4,16	35:12	RECROSS 3:6	reports 9:3 21:6
paying 14:7	President 8:7	public 1:13 4:8	37:5	request 4:10
payment's 14:5	pretty 38:8	4:12,12,13	REDIRECT 3:6	26:11
PCB 1:5 4:4	previous 16:24	39:9,10,15	36:3	requested 11:17
Peoria 2:8	previously 9:17	40:14	reduced 6:1	requesting 9:20
perform 8:14	14:23 15:3,7	purchase 11:19	reference 12:1	required 9:3,4
performed 12:3	22:9,11 25:13	14:8	37:13	reserve 6:22
period 10:14	25:16 26:21,23	purchases 24:15	referenced	resolved 14:9
persistent 7:2	28:8 35:15	purpose 4:18	37:15	respect 11:24
personal 24:15	priority 4:15	purposes 6:6	regard 5:20 8:19	Respondent 1:7
35:17,20	probably 32:7	pursuant 4:21	regarding 9:3	2:17 5:6 15:7
personally 32:14	procedural 4:24	4:22	9:15 12:18	19:17
32:17 38:9	39:11	put 14:4	regulation 35:5	Respondent's
personnel 5:22	proceeding 7:21		regulations	3:15,16,17,18
7:9 11:21 12:1	39:17	Q	25:18,19 27:1	3:19 14:24
12:4,14 16:17	proceedings	quasi 21:9	27:2,5,9 28:5	15:3,11,15,20
18:24 30:4,6	1:11 4:20 5:18	question 17:19	31:17,18 35:11	16:4,11 17:1,5
33:17 34:5	39:20 40:7	33:22 35:3	35:12	17:11,24 18:1
36:24 37:8,12	process 32:17	questions 14:16	reimbursement	18:22,23 22:10
37:14	33:9	19:4 36:1	9:8,9 12:8	22:11,20 23:11
Petition 5:15	produced 8:1	quick 34:8	13:23 21:10	23:22 24:1,6,7
10:23 12:2,11	19:16	quote 13:4	24:24 27:6,11	24:11 25:13,15
14:22	program 21:3,4	<u> </u>	27:14 31:15	25:16 26:21,23
Petitioner 1:4	21:11,15,21,23	<u>R</u>	34:16	27:22 28:9,20
2:11 4:7 8:2	27:7	R 2:1	reject 22:6 32:22	29:2,9,19 30:7
Petitioner's 4:14	project 7:5 8:18	rate 6:6	rejected 24:7	30:15 36:6
39:12,13	9:13,24 10:1	rates 34:15,16	28:6 34:19	38:20 39:2,13
phases 18:10	12:19,22,24	re-submittal	rejection 36:13	rest 6:1 16:4
plan 4:15 9:18	13:3 14:14	5:17 11:12	36:18	restate 15:19
9:23 10:2,11	20:23,24 21:2	read 26:3	related 16:8	restoration
22:7,16 26:9	21:14 22:22	really 5:18	relationship 8:9	27:18,18
·		reason 23:13		- ,
	I			1

restrictions	second 22:20	sort 7:3	submitted 5:14	things 7:3 13:19
10:20	section 20:4	sought 17:6	9:2,16,18,23	21:6 31:14
resubmitted	22:23 39:11	specifically	11:4 15:1,9	think 5:17 6:19
11:15	Sections 4:23	37:11,12	25:6 27:24	6:20 18:15
results 10:13	see 11:8 18:10	spell 19:20	30:11,17 31:5	38:5
retired 23:8	24:11 37:23	spent 12:5	submitting	thinks 5:20
review 5:15	seek 16:17.19	Springfield 1:16	30:17	third 25:22
10:23 21:17	seeking 5:15	2:3,14 4:10	Subsequent	thought 9:4
25:14 33:9	7:11 27:14	20:19	5:16	three 21:13,13
35:24	SEGHETTI 2:7	SS 40:1	subsequently	24:14
reviewed 22:2	sentence 26:3	stand 39:18	15:8	Tier 9:21
32:23 33:3	separate 18:12	standards 9:22	suing 9:20	time 5:1,16 9:10
Riffle 2:7,10 5:3	separately 31:10	stands 6:5	Suite 2:8	9:16 10:6,14
5:3,10,12 6:12	services 8:8,14	state 1:14 6:16	summary 11:8	12:5,8,23
6:16,24 7:16	set 15:14 16:10	8:5 9:24 19:20	30:18 31:4	13:12,22,24
7:16,18 8:4	23:12,21 30:7	40:1,4,6	sums 9:20	18:12 24:24
14:16 17:18	36:13	stated 9:6 34:1	supervisor	30:11,16,20,23
18:4 19:4,7,8	setting 5:22	34:18	12:22 13:1	31:4 33:2
23:15 31:21	shorthand 40:7	statement 5:11	sure 5:12 6:24	39:16
32:2 33:24	40:9	5:13 6:9 7:14	17:19 18:13	title 20:22
35:9,21 36:1	shown 14:6	11:11 34:22	33:24 37:11	today 5:19 6:6
37:6 38:13	35:15	36:17 37:13	38:6,8	7:11 11:22
right 16:8 19:5	side 10:4 31:15	states 33:14	swear 7:22	14:2 18:15
32:11 38:22	Sievers 2:16 5:5	station 8:22	sworn 7:23 8:1	22:18 34:9
RMR 1:12 40:4	5:5 6:8,19 7:13	10:19	19:14,16	35:23 37:7
40:13	7:15 14:19	status 29:20		39:5,16
Robert 2:10 5:3	17:21,22 18:6	statute 35:4	T	total 11:8 12:14
role 21:12	19:2,9,11,19	Steve 8:20	T 3:13	30:18 31:5
rriffle@emrsl	31:19 33:19	storage 20:6	TACO 10:16,22	totality 7:12
2:9	35:7,19 36:4	21:10	take 25:14 28:9	totals 29:13
rules 4:22,24	38:14,17,19,23	Streator 8:13	34:8	town 10:20
39:12	39:5,6	Street 2:7	taken 1:11 9:14	transcript 1:11
	signature 16:1	strike 27:13	40:9	39:8 40:9
S	32:12	studies 20:21	talking 13:8	transportation
S 2:1 3:13	signed 32:4	subcontractor	29:14	17:7,15 18:2
Sam 9:24 10:8	sir 36:23 37:7	14:5	tank 20:6 21:10	18:18 28:17
12:24 22:21,21	sit 34:9 37:7	subcontractors	technical 36:8	29:3,15 37:18
22:22 23:6	site 7:2 8:12,15	14:7	termination	trench 29:7
32:7	8:19,20 9:2,4	subject 15:1,9	26:6	trouble 10:6
satisfactory	9:21 10:9,16	16:24	terms 13:20,21	true 40:9
10:13	10:22 11:16	submit 10:11	testified 8:2	turn 33:13
saw 9:13	12:18 13:5	24:24,24	19:17	two 6:1,20 21:21
says 36:7,20	21:5 38:7	submittal 14:9	testimony 7:8	21:23 23:12
scale 31:16	six 24:11	16:8,16 23:13	14:12 35:23	33:14 34:1,6
school 20:16	sliding 31:16	23:19 24:7,20	Thank 7:18	36:6
scope 8:18 13:21	soil 10:4 17:7,16	29:20 31:1	14:16 19:5	two-page 16:4
Scott 2:16 5:5	18:5	submittals 13:23	31:21 36:1	
scott.sievers@	sorry 6:10,11	21:18 25:7	38:15 39:18	U
2:15	15:19 18:22	26:12	thing 14:1	underground

	1	1	1	1
20:6 21:10	weren't 38:9	143:7	4	
underneath	Wheeler 13:1	1400 2:8	43:3	
10:12	23:4,5 32:5,11	15 3:15,16	416 2:7	
understand	Wheeler's 32:12	1619 24:10 25:2		
17:19 22:17	witness 7:17,23	30:16	5	
23:13 24:6	19:3,10,14	1620 34:9,11,15	5 3:3,4	
26:10 27:5,9	WITNESSES	37:21		
29:19 35:3	3:6	1622 17:4 28:23	6	
understanding	work 9:2 10:14	29:2,7	60601 2:3	
6:17 13:7	12:2,11 13:21	1633 36:6	61602 2:8	
27:10 33:8,14	17:15,24 18:6	1637 15:24	62794-9276 2:14	
understands	working 21:21	17:12,23		
33:22	21:22	1638 15:24 16:1	7	
unit 20:3 23:6	worth 18:17	17:12,24	7 3:4	
University 20:19	wrap 39:7	1641 16:11,16	8	
unusual 7:9	written 16:7	16:22 29:23		
use 10:19,20	wrote 16:3	30:10	83:724:5	
	17:12	1643 23:21	84-2149 40:14	
V		28:15,15 29:10	8th 22:5 39:8,12	
vague 33:19	X	166.7 29:8	39:13	
35:19	X 3:1,13	19 3:8		
various 27:6		19276 2:14		
31:1	<u> </u>			
versus 4:5	yardage 29:15	2		
violated 28:4	yards 29:8,8	2 36:7 37:9,13		
vs 1:5	yeah 15:17 18:7	20 20:9		
***	18:7 25:18	2007 16:8		
W	26:13 38:24	2009 11:1 22:5		
want 6:4	years 20:9 21:13	24:5		
wanted 9:5,21	21:13 25:7	2013 1:16 39:21		
wanting 8:24	34:24	217-524-3332		
water 10:19		2:15		
27:18	Z	217-524-8509		
way 6:5 9:7	0	2:4		
We'll 4:1 39:7	09 33:5	22 3:17		
we're 6:18 7:10	U2 33.3 	22nd 4:16 39:14		
18:21,23 29:14	1	25 3:18		
34:2	1 4:6 9:21	26 3:19 4:5		
Webb 1:12 2:5	1,494.23 29:8	39:21		
4:1,3 5:7 6:8	1.5 9:11	26th 1:16		
6:14 7:13,20	1:00 1:17			
19:5,9,13	1:50 39:20	3		
33:21 35:10	10 11:1	3,900 12:4		
37:4 38:15,21	10 -11.1 10-48 1:5 4:4	309-637-6000		
38:24 39:7	101.600 4:23	2:9		
webbc@ipcb.s	101.600 4.23 101.628 39:11	32 3:8		
2:4	101.632 4:23	36 3:8		
website 39:9	101.032 4:23 1021 1:15 2:2,13	37 3:8		
went 10:2,9	1021 1.13 2.2,13 12 39:10	39 3:15,16,17,18		
20:13	14 J7.1U	3:19		
		l .	1	