Page 1

ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF:

REASONABLY AVAILABLE CONTROL

TECHNOLOGIES (RACT) FOR VOLATILE) (Rulemaking-ORGANIC MATERIAL EMISSIONS FROM)

GROUP II AND GROUP IV CONSUMER)

AND COMMERCIAL PRODUCTS:

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO 35 ILL.

ADM. CODE 211, 218 AND 219

STATE OF ILLINOIS POSSIONAL POSSIONAL PROSECTION CONTROL BOARD

REPORT OF THE PROCEEDINGS held in the above entitled cause before Hearing Officer Tim

Fox, called by the Illinois Pollution Control

Board, taken by Steven Brickey, CSR, for the State of Illinois, 100 West Randolph Street, Chicago,

Illinois, on the 27th day of April, 2011,

commencing at the hour of 9:15 a.m.

L.A. COURT REPORTERS, LLC. (312) 419-9292

APPEARANCES

MR. TIM FOX, Hearing Officer

MR. ANAND RAO,

MS. CARRIE ZALEWSKI

MS. ANDREA MOORE

ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

1021 North Grand Avenue East

P.O. Box 19276

Springfield, Illinois 62794-9276

(217) 782-5544

BY: MS. DANA VETTERHOFFER

MR. DAVID E. BLOOMBERG

MR. YOGINDER MAHAJAN

ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATORY GROUP

215 East Adams Street

Springfield, Illinois

(217) 522-5512

BY: MR. ALEC M. DAVIS

ALSO PRESENT: MR. PAUL BURGESS

MS. STEPHANIE WILKERSON

REPORTED BY:

Steven J. Brickey, CSR CSR License No. 084-004675

- MR. FOX: Good morning, everyone,
- and welcome to this Illinois Pollution Control
- Board hearing. My name is Tim Fox and I'm the
- 4 Hearing Officer for this rulemaking proceeding
- which is entitled, quote, Reasonably Available
- 6 Control Technologies (RACT,) R-A-C-T, for Volatile
- 7 Organic Material Emissions From Group II and Group
- 8 IV Consumer and Commercial Products. Proposed
- 9 Amendments to 35 Illinois Administrative Code Part
- 10 211, 218, and 219.
- I want to recognize as present
- today from the Board to my immediate left Board
- 13 Member, Andrea S. Moore, the lead Board Member for
- the rulemaking. At my far right, Board Member,
- Carrie Zalewski, and at my immediate right Anand
- Rao of the Board's technical staff.
- The Board docket number for the
- rulemaking is R11-23. The Illinois Environmental
- 19 Protection Agency, or IEPA, filed this proposal on
- March 7th, 2011, under the, quote, Fast Track,
- unquote, rulemaking provisions at Section 28.5 of
- 22 the Act.
- In an order dated March 17th,
- 24 2011, the Pollution Control Board accepted the

- 1 proposal for hearing. As required by Section
- 2 28.5(e), the Board within 14 days of receiving
- 3 that proposal filed it for first notice under the
- 4 Illinois Administrative Procedure Act and the full
- 5 first notice proposal appeared at 35 Illinois
- 6 Register Page 4887 on April 1st of 2011.
- 7 I do want to note that on April
- 8 25th, 2011, on Monday of this week, the Agency
- ⁹ filed a motion requesting that the Board amend the
- proposal in specified fashions. Today, we are, of
- course, holding the first hearing in this
- rulemaking. The second is now scheduled to take
- place beginning on Wednesday, May 18th, 2011, in
- 14 Chicago and the third hearing, if it is necessary,
- is scheduled to take place on Wednesday, June 1st,
- 16 2011, also in Chicago.
- In an order dated March 17th,
- 18 2011, the Hearing Officer directed participants
- wishing to pre-file testimony for the first
- hearing to do so on or before Friday, April 15th,
- 21 2011, and on April 14th the Board received timely
- pre-filed testimony from the Illinois EPA by
- Mr. David Bloomberg and Mr. Yoginder Mahajan, both
- of whom are present here today with us.

- Under Section 28.5(g)1, this
- hearing, quote, shall be confined to testimony by
- and questions of the Agency's witnesses concerning
- 4 the scope, applicability and basis of the rule,
- 5 unquote. Accordingly, we will begin this hearing
- with Mr. Bloomberg and Mr. Mahajan's pre-filed
- 7 testimony. Section 28.5(f) provides in order to
- 8 expedite this hearing that testimony is accepted
- 9 into the record without reading provided that they
- are sworn and available for questions.
- So after introducing and
- swearing in those two gentlemen, we will go right
- to questions that others may have on the basis of
- the pre-filed testimony that they have submitted
- to the Board. This proceeding is governed by the
- Board's procedural rules so that all information
- that is relevant and that is not repetitious or
- privileged will be admitted into the record.
- I would ask you please to note
- any questions on the part of the Board members or
- the Board's staff are intended solely to assist in
- developing a clear and complete record and do not
- reflect any prejudgment or predisposition upon the
- proposal. I would ask for the benefit of the

- court reporter, and a clear record, that you speak
- loudly and clearly and avoid speaking at the same
- time as any other person and, at this point, I'll
- 4 simply ask if there are any questions about our
- 5 procedures this morning?
- Neither seeing nor hearing any,
- y why don't we, Ms. Vetterhoffer, for the Agency,
- 8 have the court reporter swear in Mr. Bloomberg and
- 9 Mr. Mahajan so that we can get started.
- 10 WHEREUPON:
- 11 DAVID BLOOMBERG AND YOGINDER MAHAJAN
- called as witnesses herein, having been first duly
- sworn, deposeth and saith as follows:
- MR. FOX: Thank you.
- Ms. Vetterhoffer, before we get underway, would
- you like to have the pre-filed testimony of the
- Agency's two witnesses introduced and admitted as
- a hearing exhibit today?
- MS. VETTERHOFFER: Yes, please.
- MR. FOX: Very good. Construing
- that as a motion to admit the pre-filed testimony
- of Mr. Bloomberg as Hearing Exhibit No. 1 and
- Mr. Mahajan as Hearing Exhibit No. 2, is there any
- objection to granting this motion and admitting

- them as hearing exhibits under those two numbers?
- Neither seeing nor hearing any, they will be
- marked and admitted as exhibits, Ms. Vetterhoffer.
- 4 Again, Mr. Bloomberg's pre-filed testimony is
- No. 1 and Mr. Mahajan's pre-filed testimony as
- 6 No. 2.
- 7 Then, if the IEPA is prepared to
- 8 do so, Ms. Vetterhoffer, we can begin if you wish
- 9 with an introduction or other opening remarks and
- then proceed to questions of your witness.
- MS. VETTERHOFFER: Thank you. I'm
- Dana Vetterhoffer. I'm assistant counsel on
- behalf of the Illinois EPA. This rulemaking
- 14 amends recently promulgated regulations that
- control emissions of volatile organic materials,
- or VOM, from Group II and Group IV Consumer and
- 17 Commercial product categories in areas designated
- an non-attainment with respect to the 1997 eight
- 19 hour ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standard.
- The majority of the Illinois
- 21 EPA's proposed amendments are intended to correct
- deficiencies in these regulations as identified by
- the United States Environmental Protection Agency,
- or US EPA.

- 1 The changes are necessary in
- order to obtain US EPA's approval of the rules as
- amendments to Illinois' State Implementation Plan,
- 4 or SIP, and satisfy outstanding Clean Air Act
- 5 requirements regarding reasonably available
- 6 control technology for VOM.
- 7 The Illinois EPA has worked
- 8 closely with the US EPA in developing these
- 9 amendments and in ensuring that the revised
- regulations will be approveable by US EPA.
- With me today is David
- 12 Bloomberg, manager of the Compliance Unit in the
- 13 Compliance Section of the Division of Air
- 14 Pollution Control, Bureau of Air at the Illinois
- EPA and Yoginder Mahajan, Environmental Protection
- 16 Engineer in the Air Quality Cleaning Section of
- the Division of Air Pollution Control, Bureau of
- ¹⁸ Air at the Illinois EPA.
- Mr. Bloomberg is available today
- to answer questions regarding the Group II
- regulations and Mr. Mahajan is available to answer
- questions regarding the Group IV regulations and
- we're ready to proceed to questions. Thank you.
- MR. FOX: Very good. We are as

- 1 Ms. Vetterhoffer has indicated ready to proceed to
- questions. I would ask if you have a question
- you'd like to pose, just seek recognition by
- 4 raising your hand. The first time that you speak,
- if you would, for the benefit of the record, just
- 6 state your full name and any organization or
- ⁷ association that you may represent. That would be
- 8 very much appreciated.
- 9 On the basis of that testimony,
- is there any question on the part of those present
- this morning?
- MR. DAVIS: You can go ahead.
- MR. BURGESS: My name is Paul
- Burgess from Label Master. I'm a regulatory
- specialist there. I have a question for
- Mr. Mahajan. Am I pronouncing that correctly?
- MR. MAHAJAN: Yes.
- MR. BURGESS: One sentence of your
- testimony says "The US EPA defines RACT as the
- lowest emission limitation that a particular
- source is capable of meeting by the application of
- control technology that is reasonably available
- considering technological and economic
- feasibility." Could you please expand on what

- your definition of the term "economic feasibility"
- is specifically as it relates to the business
- environment in Illinois? How is that
- 4 determination made that these were economically
- ⁵ feasible changes?
- 6 MR. MAHAJAN: This determination is
- 7 made by the US EPA. They're the one that did the
- 8 study and gave us the guidelines and we relied on
- 9 that.
- MR. BLOOMBERG: These rules, both
- the Group II and Group IV, and another group,
- which we're not dealing with now, which was Group
- 13 III, are based on control techniques guidelines
- which were issued by US EPA and although they call
- them guidelines what they really mean is follow
- these or we won't accept your rulemaking, which is
- kind of why we're here, and in those CTG's, they
- have done studies and determined what is
- economically feasible nationwide.
- 20 MR. BURGESS: Is that a matter of
- the public record that we can look at?
- MR. BLOOMBERG: Yes. Actually, I
- believe the CTG's were entered as part of the
- first rulemakings for both of these. So you

- should be able to just look them up on the Board's
- website.
- MR. BURGESS: Thank you very much.
- 4 I have no further questions at this time.
- 5 MR. FOX: Mr. Burgess has indicated
- 6 he has completed his questions at this point. Are
- 7 there any other questions for the Agency and its
- 8 witnesses?
- 9 MR. DAVIS: I have a few questions.
- 10 Good morning. My name is Alec Davis and I
- represent the Illinois Environmental Regulatory
- Group, or IERG. On behalf of IERG, I'd like to
- thank the Board for this opportunity to
- 14 participate and the Agency for the timely
- proceeding in getting this rule through.
- My questions this morning are
- entirely focused on the cleaning solvents portion
- of the rulemaking, which, if recollection serves,
- 19 fall under the Group II category. So,
- Mr. Bloomberg, if you could be so kind.
- Generally, my focus is on understanding the
- 22 applicability, the exceptions and then what
- requirements will fall on various hypotheticals to
- understand how those operate and understand that

- there's some confusion amongst some of the
- 2 regulating community just in understanding what
- 3 they're facing and where they fit into the grand
- 4 scheme once these amendments will be in place.
- 5 So turning our attention to
- 6 218.187, as I understand it Part 219 is identical
- 7 so I'll refer to 218, but my questions apply also
- 8 to 219 and that would be the solvent cleaning
- 9 Subpart E. I'll let everyone find our place here.
- Does the Illinois EPA intend to
- exclude emissions from cleaning operations
- associated with the source categories listed in
- Section 218.187(a)2(b) from being counted towards
- the 500 pounds per calendar month applicability
- threshold contained in Section 218.187(a)1.
- MR. BLOOMBERG: Yes, I believe that
- 17 language is in there.
- MR. DAVIS: If a facility has only
- 19 cleaning operations associated with the source
- categories listed in Section 218.187(a)2(b), what
- are that facilities' obligations, if any, under
- 22 the full Section 218.187?
- MR. BLOOMBERG: None, if the
- proposal is passed as it has been submitted.

- MR. DAVIS: Looking then in
- ² conjunction with the applicability section in A
- turning our attention to the recordkeeping and
- 4 reporting requirements in Subsection E of the same
- 5 section we've been discussing, does Subsection
- 6 (e)1 apply to facilities who only have cleaning
- operations listed in (a)2(b)? I think that's a
- 8 reiteration of the question I asked previously.
- 9 MR. BLOOMBERG: If the proposal is
- accepted, then, no. And let me just clarify the
- reason I keep saying if the proposal is accepted.
- Because this is a modification to the rule, as the
- rule currently stands, all of the people you're
- 14 asking about did have certification requirements
- and those certifications would have been due a few
- weeks ago on April 1st. So that's why I'm
- clarifying if the proposal goes through.
- MR. DAVIS: I appreciate the
- 19 clarification. Thank you. Still looking at the
- 20 (a) 2(b) exempt cleaning operations. If those
- types of cleaning operations were located at a
- facility that is subject to the rule, meaning
- otherwise, and complies with the rules
- requirements for nonexempt cleaning operations at

- its facility what, if any, requirements are
- 2 applicable to those operations?
- MR. BLOOMBERG: None. No additional
- 4 requirements under the proposal. Although I would
- recommend that a source, you know, be prepared for
- a field inspector by at least having that
- 7 information on hand so when the inspector walks in
- and says "How come those aren't included?" they
- 9 can just say "Oh, because..." It just makes
- things smoother for everybody involved.
- MR. DAVIS: I think we can
- 12 appreciate seeing that. Thank you. I guess
- going -- you mentioned the certification. My
- understanding was this is a one-time certification
- unless there was some changes at the source that
- necessitate an additional certification?
- MR. BLOOMBERG: It was until this
- rule proposal. With the new changes coming in,
- most sources that are still subject will need to
- certify once again by January 1st and that is for
- multiple reasons, one of which, and most
- importantly, is the applicability level has
- changed. So having certified being exempt from 15
- pounds a day, well now you've got 500 pounds per

- 1 month. They're essentially equivalent, but
- they're -- US EPA has basically said they need to
- 3 certify exemptions. Certainly the ones, the
- 4 sources that are fully exempt as you asked about
- 5 earlier, don't need to send in another
- 6 certification, but those that -- and by fully
- 7 exempt, I mean, have exempt -- part of exempt
- 8 categories only. Different types of exemptions so
- 9 it gets a little bit confusing, but anybody else
- does need to recertify.
- MR. DAVIS: Thank you. My final
- questions have to do with the exemptions in
- 13 218.187(a)2(c). I would note that (a)2(a) and
- 14 (a) 2(b) exempt those cleaning operations from the
- Subsection E recordkeeping and reporting
- requirements, but that those contained in (a)2(c)
- do not. Could you please elaborate some as to why
- you did not similarly exempt those cleaning
- operations in (a)2(c) from the subsection
- 20 requirements?
- MR. BLOOMBERG: Mainly because of
- (c) v, or Roman numerette v, 5, little V, and 13
- 23 XII because those are specific gallon per day
- requirements. US EPA said those records need to

- be kept by the source. So as they said the -- and
- then in addition, US EPA specifically told us
- they -- I will quote to you. Exempting the
- 4 cleaning operations identified in (a)2(c) is not
- 5 acceptable. That is a direct quote from US EPA.
- 6 It is needed -- again, quote, needed to be able to
- ⁷ adequately enforce this rule, end quote. And that
- 8 was in an e-mail.
- 9 MR. DAVIS: So it's your
- understanding that all of the cleaning operations
- contained in (a)2(c) needed to be subject to the
- recordkeeping and reporting requirements?
- MR. BLOOMBERG: That is what US EPA
- told us, yes.
- MR. DAVIS: All right. That's it
- 16 for me. Thank you very much.
- MR. BLOOMBERG: Mr. Fox?
- MR. FOX: Yes, Mr. Bloomberg. I'm
- sorry for my --
- MR. BLOOMBERG: That's okay. I just
- want to clarify. We're not entirely sure that the
- 22 CTG's were -- are on the Board's website. If
- they're not, then should we request -- you can
- request from Dana and we will get you copies of

- 1 the CTG's.
- MR. FOX: Mr. Bloomberg, I'm glad
- you brought that up. Mr. Burgess, I want to make
- 4 note at the conclusion of the hearing that I can
- be available to help bring your attention to our
- 6 web page and any claims that may be there that
- 7 would provide a downloadable -- free, downloadable
- 8 copy of the compliance techniques guidelines that
- 9 Mr. Bloomberg has referred to. I believe it's
- about a 40 to 50 page document.
- MR. BLOOMBERG: It depends on which
- one. Some are longer than others.
- MR. FOX: And that would contain
- some of the analysis that the Agency's witnesses
- have referred to, but rather than do that on the
- 16 record any longer, I can meet with you at the
- conclusion of the hearing to help you do that.
- MR. BURGESS: Thank you.
- MR. FOX: Sure. Were there any
- other questions on the part of those who are here
- for the Agency's witnesses? Very well. We can
- certainly turn back to those. Mr. Bloomberg, I
- did have some questions and if there are any
- follow ups, we'll certainly get back to the

- 1 representatives from Label Master and IERG.
- I wanted to direct your
- 3 attention specifically to what has been designated
- 4 as Public Comment No. 1 in this proceeding that
- was filed by Specialty Graphics Industry
- 6 Association and the Printing Industry of
- 7 Illinois/Indiana Association and I certainly want
- 8 to note that the motion to amend that was filed by
- 9 the Agency on Monday of this week addressed quite
- specifically some of the issues and some of the
- proposals raised in that, but I did want to draw
- your attention to a couple of them.
- The first under solvent cleaning
- they had raised on page two of their comment
- referred to the applicability of an exemption to,
- quote, the cleaning of substrates prior to screen
- printing and it didn't appear that the Agency's
- motion to amend or any other filing had addressed
- that and I wanted simply to see whether the Agency
- had a position on that proposed addition and, if
- so, what that was?
- MR. BLOOMBERG: We do intend to file
- a response to this. We are in discussions with
- US EPA because as everybody here knows, US EPA

- needs to approve any of the changes we make.
- We're still having those discussions. We were not
- 3 able to finish those discussions before this
- 4 hearing. We will file a specific response as soon
- 5 as we can. At this time -- did we hear
- 6 specifically? That one in particular especially
- 7 we need to go back and get more information for US
- 8 EPA.
- 9 MR. FOX: Very good. I appreciate
- that response, Mr. Bloomberg. The second question
- I had related to the second proposed change that
- they had raised on page two of their comment and
- that proposed striking or deleting Subsection 218
- and 219.187(a)2(c)14 and that appears to stem from
- confusion on their part on the applicability to
- specific printing devices and operations and,
- again, I'd simply ask if that was something you
- examined and developed a position on and, if so,
- 19 what that was?
- MR. BLOOMBERG: We have. We have
- talked to US EPA about that one and that one was
- one of the more simpler ones. That one is fine.
- I don't think anybody, us or US EPA, is going to
- object to deleting an exemption.

- MR. BURGESS: In other words, to
- clarify, if a second notice of opinion and order
- on the part of the Board were to strike that
- 4 exemption, that would be satisfactory consistent
- with the Agency's position on their proposal here?
- 6 MR. BLOOMBERG: Yes. And, again,
- 7 that will be part of -- I will submit proposed
- 8 language along with our response to these
- 9 comments.
- MR. FOX: Very good. I have
- effectively the same question, Mr. Bloomberg, for
- you. Mr. Mahajan, if you have something to offer,
- 13 I don't mean to exclude you by any means. They
- had proposed a revision touching I think at least
- roughly on the issue that Mr. Davis had raised on
- behalf of IERG on the exemption from the record
- and recordkeeping and reporting requirements in
- 18 Subsection 187(e) and they had asked whether that
- exemption could be specifically added for certain
- exempt operations and, again, I wonder if you had
- 21 a position on that or were still examining the
- 22 question?
- MR. BLOOMBERG: I presume you're
- talking about their comment number three, which

- refers to 218.187(a)2(c)?
- MR. FOX: Much clearer than my
- ³ question, yes.
- 4 MR. BLOOMBERG: As I have answered
- earlier, US EPA has specifically told us no.
- MR. FOX: Very good. No, they would
- 7 not approve an amendment reflecting the request in
- 8 paragraph three of the SGIA's comment?
- 9 MR. BLOOMBERG: Correct.
- MR. FOX: Very good. I had one
- final question. On number four, the request
- 12 number four on the part of the Specialty Graphics
- 13 Industry on page three of their comments they had
- proposed a revision of reporting -- revision of
- Section 218.187(e) regarding an emissions
- 16 adjustment factor for used shop towels and I
- wondered, again, if that was an issue that the
- 18 Agency has examined and arrived at a position on?
- MR. BLOOMBERG: We are still in
- discussions as a preliminary matter. It appears
- that will be okay, but it will mean increased
- recordkeeping. Any time you add something like
- that, US EPA wants to balance it by making sure
- you're actually keeping the records. Especially

- since this relates directly to keeping somebody
- below the applicability cutoff.
- 3 So we will need to get more
- 4 specific with US EPA and then more specific in our
- 5 response to these comments which we will send to
- 6 the Board, of course.
- 7 MR. FOX: It sounds like there's
- 8 implementation questions and recordkeeping
- 9 questions that are specifically still unresolved?
- MR. BLOOMBERG: Correct.
- MR. FOX: Very good. Mr. Bloomberg,
- thank you. Those were the four questions that I
- had on the basis of the record here today. Are
- there any other questions on the part of those who
- were present here today?
- MR. RAO: Mr. Bloomberg, when do you
- anticipate to file these changes? Would it be
- before this second hearing?
- MR. BLOOMBERG: We certainly intend
- to. Because it's US EPA, you know, we have been
- pushing them, but we can only push them so far
- because we're not their bosses. So they know
- we're in this process. They know the importance
- of it. So I think we expect to have it before the

- second hearing date.
- MR. RAO: Thanks.
- MR. FOX: No other questions,
- 4 Mr. Rao, or on part of the Board or Board staff?
- 5 MS. MOORE: No.
- MR. FOX: Why don't we in order to
- deal with some procedural issues go off the record
- 8 briefly if we may.
- 9 (Whereupon, a break was taken
- after which the following
- proceedings were had.)
- MR. FOX: We can go back on the
- record. Thank you very much. I want to address
- before we adjourn this morning a few procedural
- issues. First, under Section 27(b) of the
- 16 Environmental Protection Act, the Board must
- request that the Department of Commerce and
- 18 Economic Opportunity, or DCEO, conduct an economic
- impact study of proposed rules before the Board
- 20 adopts them.
- The Board then must make either
- the study itself or the Department's explanation
- for not performing one available to the public at
- least 20 days before public hearing. In a letter

- dated March 17th of 2011, the Board's acting
- chairman, G. Tanner Girard requested that DCEO
- 3 conduct an economic impact study of this proposal
- 4 and the Board specifically requested a response no
- ⁵ later than April 6th of 2011, which is just more
- 6 than 20 days before this scheduled first hearing.
- DCEO has not responded in any
- fashion to the Board's request. Is there anyone
- 9 who would like to testify regarding that request
- from the Board or the lack of response by DCEO?
- Neither seeing nor hearing any indication that
- anyone does, I'll move along.
- The second hearing in this
- docket has been scheduled already to take place
- Wednesday, May 18th, 2011, at 9:00 a.m. in Chicago
- with a deadline to file pre-filed testimony of
- Friday, May 6th. Under Section 28.5(f)2, the
- second hearing in a Fast Track rulemaking such as
- this one, quote, shall be devoted to presentation
- of testimony, documents and comments by affected
- entities and all other interested parties, close
- quote.
- Section 28.5(f)1 provides that,
- quote, within seven days after the first hearing,

- any person may request that the second hearing be
- held, close quote.
- The Board's procedural rules at
- 4 Section 102.304(c) elaborate that this request may
- be made on the record at hearing or in writing by
- filing it with the Board and serving it upon the
- 7 service list during that seven-day period.
- Do any of the participants here
- ⁹ today wish to address the matter of a second
- hearing on the record?
- MR. BURGESS: Not, at this time.
- MR. FOX: Neither seeing nor hearing
- any indication that anyone does wish to address
- that hearing, then under Section 28.5 as I just
- cited, the Board will hold open a seven-day period
- during which a person may request that the second
- hearing be held as scheduled. In the event that
- it does not receive such a request, the Board
- would expect to issue a Hearing Officer order
- 20 cancelling the additional hearings setting the
- deadline to close the record with post hearing
- 22 comments.
- In order to clarify that that
- seven-day period is underway, I will issue a

- 1 Hearing Officer order as soon as possible to make
- sure that any interested parties, those on the
- 3 service list or notice list are aware that that
- 4 opportunity is open for that seven-day period.
- I do want to note that the third
- 6 hearing has been scheduled to take place on
- Wednesday, June 1st, 2011, here in Chicago again.
- 8 Under Section (f) 3 of Section 28.5, that hearing,
- 9 quote, shall be devoted solely to any Agency
- 10 response to the material submitted at the second
- hearing and to any response by other parties,
- 12 close quote.
- That section also provides,
- quote, that the third hearing shall be cancelled
- if the Agency indicates to the Board that it does
- not intend to introduce any additional material,
- 17 close quote.
- Expedited copies of today's
- 19 transcript are expected to be available to the
- Board later today. Shortly after the Board
- receives the transcript, it will be available on
- the Board's website under this docket number
- 23 R11-23. I've been corrected. It was tomorrow we
- were expecting to have the transcript available

- and I apologize for my haste.
- In addition, any person may file
- written public comments in this rulemaking with
- 4 the clerk of the Board. Those may be made through
- 5 the clerk's office, online or COOL and any
- 6 questions about electronic filing through COOL
- ⁷ should be directed to our clerk before filing.
- Please check with the clerk's office to be sure
- ⁹ that you have the most recent version of the
- service list. If you have questions about
- procedural aspects of this, you may certainly
- contact me through information listed on the
- Board's web page.
- Are there any other questions or
- issues that need to be addressed before we adjourn
- this morning? Neither seeing nor hearing any, I
- want to thank everyone for participating this
- morning and we are adjourned for our first
- 19 hearing. Thank you.

20

21

22

23

24

```
Page 28
 1
     STATE OF ILLINOIS
 2
                             SS.
 3
     COUNTY OF COOK
           I, Steven Brickey, Certified Shorthand
 5
 6
     Reporter, do hereby certify that I reported in
 7
     shorthand the proceedings had at the trial
     aforesaid, and that the foregoing is a true,
 9
     complete and correct transcript of the proceedings
     of said trial as appears from my stenographic
10
11
     notes so taken and transcribed under my personal
     direction.
12
           Witness my official signature in and for
13
     Cook County, Illinois, on this 27^{M} day of
14
     April , A.D., 2011.
15
16
17
18
19
20
                           STEVEN BRICKEY, CSR
21
                           8 West Monroe Street
                           Suite 2007
22
                           Chicago, Illinois 60603
                           Phone: (312) 419-9292
23
                           CSR No. 084-004675
24
```

	1	1	1	1 , 20 , 1 .	1 261222
A	5:18 6:17	amends 7:14	4:6,7,20,21	4:20 6:15	26:15,20,20
able 11:1	7:3	amongst 12:1	13:16 24:5	19:3 22:18	27:4
16:6 19:3	admitting	analysis	areas 7:17	22:24 23:14	Board's 3:16
about 6:4	6:24	17:14	arrived 21:18	23:19,24	5:16,21
13:14 15:4	adopts 23:20	Anand 2:2	asked 13:8	24:6 27:7	11:1 16:22
17:10 19:21	affected	3:15	15:4 20:18	27:15	24:1,8 25:3
20:24 27:6	24:20	Andrea 2:3	asking 13:14	begin 5:5 7:8	26:22 27:13
27:10	aforesaid	3:13	aspects 27:11	beginning	bosses 22:22
above 1:8	28:8	another	assist 5:21	4:13	both 4:23
accept 10:16	after 5:11	10:11 15:5	assistant	behalf 7:13	10:10,24
acceptable	23:10 24:24	answer 8:20	7:12	11:12 20:16	Box 2:5
16:5	26:20	8:21	associated	being 12:13	break 23:9
accepted	again 7:4	answered	12:12,19	14:23	Brickey 1:10
3:24 5:8	14:20 16:6	21:4	association	believe 10:23	2:15 28:5
13:10,11	19:17 20:6	anticipate	9:7 18:6,7	12:16 17:9	28:20
Accordingly	20:20 21:17	22:17	attention	below 22:2	briefly 23:8
5:5	26:7	anybody	12:5 13:3	benefit 5:24	bring 17:5
Act 3:22 4:4	Agency 2:4	15:9 19:23	17:5 18:3	9:5	brought 17:3
8:4 23:16	3:19 4:8 6:7	anyone 24:8	18:12	bit 15:9	Bureau 8:14
acting 24:1	7:23 11:7	24:12 25:13	available 1:2	Bloomberg	8:17
actually	11:14 18:9	apologize	3:5 5:10 8:5	2:7 4:23 5:6	Burgess 2:12
10:22 21:24	18:19 21:18	27:1	8:19,21	6:8,11,22	9:13,14,18
Adams 2:9	26:9,15	appear 18:17	9:22 17:5	8:12,19	10:20 11:3
add 21:22	Agency's 5:3	appeared 4:5	23:23 26:19	10:10,22	11:5 17:3
added 20:19	6:17 17:14	appears	26:21,24	11:20 12:16	17:18 20:1
addition 16:2	17:21 18:17	19:14 21:20	Avenue 2:5	12:23 13:9	25:11
18:20 27:2	20:5	28:10	avoid 6:2	14:3,17	business 10:2
additional	ago 13:16	applicability	aware 26:3	15:21 16:13	
14:3,16	ahead 9:12	5:4 11:22	a)2(a) 15:13	16:17,18,20	<u>C</u>
25:20 26:16	Air 1:3 7:19	12:14 13:2	a)2(b) 13:7	17:2,9,11	C 2:1
address	8:4,13,14	14:22 18:15	13:20 15:14	17:22 18:22	calendar
23:13 25:9	8:16,17,18	19:15 22:2	a)2(c) 15:16	19:10,20	12:14
25:13	Alec 2:11	applicable	15:19 16:4	20:6,11,23	call 10:14
addressed	11:10	14:2	16:11	21:4,9,19	called 1:9
18:9,18	along 20:8	application	A.D 28:15	22:10,11,16	6:12
27:15	24:12	9:21	a.m 1:13	22:19	cancelled
adequately	already	apply 12:7	24:15	Bloomberg's	26:14
16:7	24:14	13:6		7:4	cancelling
adjourn	although	appreciate	<u>B</u>	Board 1:1,10	25:20
23:14 27:15	10:14 14:4	13:18 14:12	back 17:22	3:3,12,12	capable 9:21
adjourned	Ambient	19:9	17:24 19:7	3:13,14,17	Carrie 2:3
27:18	7:19	appreciated	23:12	3:24 4:2,9	3:15
adjustment	amend 4:9	9:8	balance	4:21 5:15	categories
21:16	18:8,18	approval 8:2	21:23	5:20 11:13	7:17 12:12
ADM 1:5	amendment	approve 19:1	based 10:13	20:3 22:6	12:20 15:8
Administr	21:7	21:7	basically	23:4,4,16	category
3:9 4:4	amendments	approveable	15:2	23:19,21	11:19
admit 6:21	1:5 3:9 7:21	8:10	basis 5:4,13	24:4,10	cause 1:8
admitted	8:3,9 12:4	April 1:12	9:9 22:13	25:6,15,18	certain 20:19
			before 1:8		certainly

	1			 I	
15:3 17:22	clerk's 27:5,8	20:4	D	developed	10:4,19
17:24 18:7	close 24:21	Construing	Dana 2:7	19:18	effectively
22:19 27:11	25:2,21	6:20	7:12 16:24	developing	20:11
certification	26:12,17	Consumer	date 23:1	5:22 8:8	eight 7:18
13:14 14:13	closely 8:8	1:4 3:8 7:16	dated 3:23	devices 19:16	either 23:21
14:14,16	Code 1:5 3:9	contact 27:12	4:17 24:1	devoted	elaborate
15:6	come 14:8	contain	David 2:7	24:19 26:9	15:17 25:4
certifications	coming 14:18	17:13	4:23 6:11	Different	electronic
13:15	commencing	contained	8:11	15:8	27:6
certified	1:13	12:15 15:16	Davis 2:11	direct 16:5	emission 9:20
14:23 28:5	comment	16:11	9:12 11:9	18:2	emissions 1:3
certify 14:20	18:4,14	control 1:1,2	11:10 12:18	directed 4:18	3:7 7:15
15:3 28:6	19:12 20:24	1:9 3:2,6,24	13:1,18	27:7	12:11 21:15
chairman	21:8	7:15 8:6,14	14:11 15:11	direction	end 16:7
24:2	comments	8:17 9:22	16:9,15	28:12	enforce 16:7
change 19:11	20:9 21:13	10:13	20:15	directly 22:1	Engineer
changed	22:5 24:20	Cook 28:3,14	day 1:12	discussing	8:16
14:23	25:22 27:3	COOL 27:5	14:24 15:23	13:5	ensuring 8:9
changes 8:1	Commerce	27:6	28:14	discussions	entered
10:5 14:15	23:17	copies 16:24	days 4:2	18:23 19:2	10:23
14:18 19:1	Commercial	26:18	23:24 24:6	19:3 21:20	entirely
22:17	1:4 3:8 7:17	copy 17:8	24:24	Division 8:13	11:17 16:21
check 27:8	community	correct 7:21	DCEO 23:18	8:17	entities 24:21
Chicago 1:11	12:2	21:9 22:10	24:2,7,10	docket 3:17	entitled 1:8
4:14,16	complete	28:9	deadline	24:14 26:22	3:5
24:15 26:7	5:22 28:9	corrected	24:16 25:21	document	environment
28:22	completed	26:23	deal 23:7	17:10	10:3
cited 25:15	11:6	correctly	dealing 10:12	documents	Environme
claims 17:6	compliance	9:16	deficiencies	24:20	2:4,9 3:18
clarification	8:12,13	counsel 7:12	7:22	done 10:18	7:23 8:15
13:19	17:8	counted	defines 9:19	downloada	11:11 23:16
clarify 13:10	complies	12:13	definition	17:7,7	EPA 4:22
16:21 20:2	13:23	County 28:3	10:1	draw 18:11	7:13,24 8:7
25:23	concerning	28:14	deleting	due 13:15	8:8,10,15
clarifying	5:3	couple 18:12	19:13,24	duly 6:12	8:18 9:19
13:17	conclusion	course 4:11	Department	during 25:7	10:7,14
Clean 8:4	17:4,17	22:6	23:17	25:16	12:10 15:2
cleaning 8:16	conduct	court 1:24	Departmen	<u>E</u>	15:24 16:2
11:17 12:8	23:18 24:3	2:24 6:1,8	23:22		16:5,13
12:11,19	confined 5:2	CSR 1:10	depends	E 2:1,1,7	18:24,24
13:6,20,21	confusing	2:15,15	17:11	12:9 13:4	19:8,21,23
13:24 15:14	15:9	28:20,23	deposeth	15:15	21:5,23
15:18 16:4	confusion	CTG's 10:17	6:13	earlier 15:5	22:4,20
16:10 18:13	12:1 19:15	10:23 16:22	designated	21:5	EPA's 7:21
18:16	conjunction	17:1	7:17 18:3	East 2:5,9	8:2
clear 5:22 6:1	13:2	currently	determinat	economic	equivalent
clearer 21:2	considering	13:13	10:4,6	9:23 10:1	15:1
clearly 6:2	9:23	cutoff 22:2	determined	23:18,18	especially
clerk 27:4,7	consistent	c)v 15:22	10:18	24:3	19:6 21:24
				economically	
			•	•	

	1			 I]
15:1	fall 11:19,23	16:18 17:2	grand 2:5	hour 1:13	indication
event 25:17	far 3:14	17:13,19	12:3	7:19	24:11 25:13
everybody	22:21	19:9 20:10	granting	hypothetic	Industry
14:10 18:24	fashion 24:8	21:2,6,10	6:24	11:23	18:5,6
everyone 3:1	fashions 4:10	22:7,11	Graphics		21:13
12:9 27:17	Fast 3:20	23:3,6,12	18:5 21:12	<u> </u>	information
examined	24:18	25:12	group 1:4,4	identical	5:16 14:7
19:18 21:18	feasibility	free 17:7	2:9 3:7,7	12:6	19:7 27:12
examining	9:24 10:1	Friday 4:20	7:16,16	identified	inspector
20:21	feasible 10:5	24:17	8:20,22	7:22 16:4	14:6,7
exceptions	10:19	from 1:3 3:7	10:11,11,11	IEPA 3:19	intend 12:10
11:22	few 11:9	3:12 4:22	10:12 11:12	7:7	18:22 22:19
exclude	13:15 23:14	7:16 9:14	11:19	IERG 11:12	26:16
12:11 20:13	field 14:6	12:11,13	guess 14:12	11:12 18:1	intended
exempt 13:20	file 18:22	14:23 15:14	guidelines	20:16	5:21 7:21
14:23 15:4	19:4 22:17	15:19 16:5	10:8,13,15	II 1:4 3:7	interested
15:7,7,7,14	24:16 27:2	16:24 18:1	17:8	7:16 8:20	24:21 26:2
15:18 20:20	filed 3:19 4:3	19:14 20:16		10:11 11:19	introduce
Exempting	4:9 18:5,8	24:10 28:10	H	III 10:13	26:16
16:3	filing 18:18	full 4:4 9:6	hand 9:4	ILL 1:5	introduced
exemption	25:6 27:6,7	12:22	14:7	Illinois 1:1,9	6:17
18:15 19:24	final 15:11	fully 15:4,6	haste 27:1	1:11,12 2:4	introducing
20:4,16,19	21:11	further 11:4	having 6:12	2:6,9,10 3:2	5:11
exemptions	find 12:9	f)3 26:8	14:6,23	3:9,18 4:4,5	introduction
15:3,8,12	fine 19:22		19:2	4:22 7:13	7:9
exhibit 6:18	finish 19:3	G	hear 19:5	7:20 8:3,7	involved
6:22,23	first 4:3,5,11	G 24:2	hearing 1:8	8:14,18	14:10
exhibits 7:1,3	4:19 6:12	gallon 15:23	2:2 3:3,4	10:3 11:11	issue 20:15
expand 9:24	9:4 10:24	gave 10:8	4:1,11,14	12:10 28:1	21:17 25:19
expect 22:24	18:13 23:15	Generally	4:18,20 5:2	28:14,22	25:24
25:19	24:6,24	11:21	5:5,8 6:6,18	Illinois/Ind	issued 10:14
expected	27:18	gentlemen	6:22,23 7:1	18:7	issues 18:10
26:19	fit 12:3	5:12	7:2 17:4,17	immediate	23:7,15
expecting	focus 11:21	gets 15:9	19:4 22:18	3:12,15	27:15
26:24	focused	getting 11:15	23:1,24	impact 23:19	IV 1:4 3:8
expedite 5:8	11:17	Girard 24:2	24:6,11,13	24:3	7:16 8:22
Expedited	follow 10:15	glad 17:2	24:18,24	implement	10:11
26:18	17:24	go 5:12 9:12	25:1,5,10	8:3 22:8	
explanation	following	19:7 23:7	25:12,14,17	importance	J
23:22	23:10	23:12	25:19,21	22:23	J 2:15
e)1 13:6	follows 6:13	goes 13:17	26:1,6,8,11	importantly	January
e-mail 16:8	foregoing	going 14:13	26:14 27:16	14:22	14:20
	28:8	19:23	27:19	included	June 4:15
F	four 21:11,12	good 3:1 6:20	hearings	14:8	26:7
facilities	22:12	8:24 11:10	25:20	increased	just 9:3,5
12:21 13:6	Fox 1:9 2:2	19:9 20:10	held 1:7 25:2	21:21	11:1 12:2
facility 12:18	3:1,3 6:14	21:6,10	25:17	indicated 9:1	13:10 14:9
13:22 14:1	6:20 8:24	22:11	help 17:5,17	11:5	14:9 16:20
facing 12:3	11:5 16:17	governed	hold 25:15	indicates	24:5 25:14
factor 21:16	,	5:15	holding 4:11	26:15	
					l

	1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	I	
K	L.A 1:24	13:22	4:14 8:1	21:21	17:12
keep 13:11	2:24	means 20:13	necessitate	once 12:4	otherwise
keeping	M	meet 17:16	14:16	14:20	13:23
21:24 22:1	M 2:11	meeting 9:21	need 14:19	one 9:18 10:7	outstanding
kept 16:1		Member 3:13	15:2,5,10	14:21 17:12	8:4
kind 10:17	made 10:4,7	3:13,14	15:24 19:7	19:6,21,21	ozone 7:19
11:20	25:5 27:4	members	22:3 27:15	19:22,22	P
know 14:5	Mahajan 2:8	5:20	needed 16:6	21:10 23:23	
22:20,22,23	4:23 6:9,11 6:23 8:15	mentioned	16:6,11	24:19	P 2:1,1
knows 18:24	8:21 9:16	14:13	needs 19:1	ones 15:3	page 4:6 17:6
]———	9:17 10:6	modification	Neither 6:6	19:22	17:10 18:14
<u>L</u>	20:12	13:12	7:2 24:11	one-time	19:12 21:13
Label 9:14		Monday 4:8	25:12 27:16	14:14	27:13
18:1	Mahajan's	18:9	new 14:18	online 27:5	paragraph
lack 24:10	5:6 7:5	Monroe	None 12:23	only 12:18	21:8
language	Mainly 15:21	28:21	14:3	13:6 15:8	part 3:9 5:20
12:17 20:8	majority 7:20	month 12:14	nonexempt	22:21	9:10 10:23
later 24:5		15:1	13:24	open 25:15	12:6 15:7
26:20	make 17:3	Moore 2:3	non-attain	26:4	17:20 19:15
lead 3:13	19:1 23:21	3:13 23:5	7:18	opening 7:9	20:3,7
least 14:6	26:1 makes 14:9	more 19:7,22	North 2:5	operate	21:12 22:14
20:14 23:24		22:3,4 24:5	note 4:7 5:19	11:24	23:4
left 3:12	making 21:23	morning 3:1	15:13 17:4	operations	participants
let 12:9 13:10		6:5 9:11	18:8 26:5	12:11,19	4:18 25:8
letter 23:24	manager 8:12	11:10,16	notes 28:11	13:7,20,21	participate
level 14:22		23:14 27:16	notice 4:3,5	13:24 14:2	11:14
License 2:15	March 3:20	27:18	20:2 26:3	15:14,19	participating
like 6:16 9:3	3:23 4:17 24:1	most 14:19	number 3:17	16:4,10	27:17
11:12 21:22	marked 7:3	14:21 27:9	20:24 21:11	19:16 20:20	particular
22:7 24:9		motion 4:9	21:12 26:22	opinion 20:2	9:20 19:6
limitation	Master 9:14	6:21,24	numbers 7:1	opportunity	parties 24:21
9:20	18:1	18:8,18	numerette	11:13 23:18	26:2,11
list 25:7 26:3	material 1:3	move 24:12	15:22	26:4	passed 12:24
26:3 27:10	3:7 26:10	much 9:8	0	order 3:23	Paul 2:12
listed 12:12	26:16 materials	11:3 16:16		4:17 5:7 8:2	9:13
12:20 13:7	7:15	21:2 23:13	object 19:24	20:2 23:6	people 13:13
27:12	matter 1:2	multiple	objection 6:24	25:19,23	per 12:14 14:24 15:23
little 15:9,22	10:20 21:20	14:21	obligations	26:1	
LLC 1:24	25:9	must 23:16	12:21	organic 1:3	performing 23:23
2:24	may 4:13	23:21	obtain 8:2	3:7 7:15	period 25:7
located 13:21	5:13 9:7	N	off 23:7	organization	25:15,24
longer 17:12	17:6 23:8	N 2:1	offer 20:12	9:6	26:4
17:16	24:15,17	name 3:3 9:6	office 27:5,8	other 6:3 7:9	person 6:3
look 10:21	25:1,4,16	9:13 11:10	Officer 1:8	11:7 17:20	25:1,16
11:1	27:2,4,11	National	2:2 3:4 4:18	18:18 20:1	27:2
looking 13:1	mean 10:15	7:19	25:19 26:1	22:14 23:3	personal
13:19	15:7 20:13	nationwide	official 28:13	24:21 26:11	28:11
loudly 6:2	21:21	10:19	Oh 14:9	27:14	Phone 28:22
lowest 9:20	meaning	necessary	okay 16:20	others 5:13	place 4:13,15
	meaning	necessary	OKAY 10.20		piace 4.13,13

12:4,9	procedural	public 10:21	mandy 8:23	rogulating	rosport 7.19
24:14 26:6	5:16 23:7		ready 8:23 9:1	regulating 12:2	respect 7:18
	1	18:4 23:23			responded
Plan 8:3	23:14 25:3	23:24 27:3	really 10:15	regulations	24:7
please 5:19	27:11	push 22:21	reason 13:11	7:14,22	response
6:19 9:24	Procedure	pushing	reasonably	8:10,21,22	18:23 19:4
15:17 27:8	4:4	22:21	1:2 3:5 8:5	regulatory	19:10 20:8
point 6:3	procedures	P.O 2:5	9:22	2:9 9:14	22:5 24:4
11:6	6:5	Q	reasons 14:21	11:11	24:10 26:10
Pollution 1:1	proceed 7:10 8:23 9:1	Quality 7:19		reiteration	26:11
1:9 3:2,24		8:16	receive 25:18	13:8	revised 8:9
8:14,17	proceeding	question 9:2	received 4:21	related 19:11	revision
portion	3:4 5:15	9:10,15	receives	relates 10:2 22:1	20:14 21:14
11:17	11:15 18:4	13:8 19:10	26:21		21:14
pose 9:3	proceedings 1:7 23:11	20:11,22	receiving 4:2 recent 27:9	relevant 5:17	right 3:14,15
position		21:3,11		relied 10:8	5:12 16:15
18:20 19:18	28:7,9	questions 5:3	recently 7:14	remarks 7:9	Roman 15:22
20:5,21 21:18	process 22:23	5:10,13,20	recertify 15:10	repetitious 5:17	roughly 20:15
· ·	product 7:17 Products 1:4	6:4 7:10		3:17 REPORT 1:7	20:15 rule 5:4
possible 26:1 post 25:21	3:8	8:20,22,23	recognition 9:3		
post 23:21 pounds 12:14		9:2 11:4,6,7		reported 2:14 28:6	11:15 13:12
14:24,24	promulgated 7:14	11:9,16	recognize 3:11		13:13,22 14:18 16:7
·	pronouncing	12:7 15:12	recollection	reporter 6:1 6:8 28:6	
predisposit 5:23	9:16	17:20,23	11:18	REPORTE	rulemaking 1:3 3:4,14
1	1	22:8,9,12		1:24 2:24	,
prejudgment 5:23	proposal 3:19 4:1,3,5	22:14 23:3	recommend 14:5		3:18,21 4:12 7:13
preliminary	4:10 5:24	27:6,10,14	record 5:9,18	reporting 13:4 15:15	10:16 11:18
21:20	12:24 13:9	quite 18:9	5:22 6:1 9:5	16:12 20:17	24:18 27:3
prepared 7:7	13:11,17	quote 3:5,20	10:21 17:16	21:14	rulemakings
14:5	14:4,18	5:2 16:3,5,6	20:16 22:13	represent 9:7	10:24
present 2:12	20:5 24:3	16:7 18:16	23:7,13	11:11	rules 5:16 8:2
3:11 4:24	proposals	24:19,22,24	25:5,10,21	representat	10:10 13:23
9:10 22:15	18:11	25:2 26:9	recordkeep	18:1	23:19 25:3
presentation	proposed 1:5	26:12,14,17	13:3 15:15	request 16:23	R-A-C-T 3:6
24:19	3:8 7:21		16:12 20:17	16:24 21:7	R11-23 1:2
presume	18:20 19:11	R	21:22 22:8	21:11 23:17	3:18 26:23
20:23	19:13 20:7	R 2:1	records	24:8,9 25:1	3.10 20.23
previously	20:14 21:14	RACT 1:3	15:24 21:24	25:4,16,18	S
13:8	23:19	3:6 9:19	refer 12:7	requested	S 2:1 3:13
pre-file 4:19	Protection	raised 18:11	referred 17:9	24:2,4	saith 6:13
pre-filed	2:4 3:19	18:14 19:12	17:15 18:15	requesting	same 6:2
4:22 5:6,14	7:23 8:15	20:15	refers 21:1	4:9	13:4 20:11
6:16,21 7:4	23:16	raising 9:4	reflect 5:23	required 4:1	satisfactory
7:5 24:16	provide 17:7	Randolph	reflecting	requireme	20:4
printing 18:6	provided 5:9	1:11	21:7	8:5 11:23	satisfy 8:4
18:17 19:16	provides 5:7	Rao 2:2 3:16	regarding	13:4,14,24	saying 13:11
prior 18:16	24:23 26:13	22:16 23:2	8:5,20,22	14:1,4	says 9:19
privileged	provisions	23:4	21:15 24:9	15:16,20,24	14:8
5:18	3:21	rather 17:15	Register 4:6	16:12 20:17	scheduled
		reading 5:9			4:12,15
		I		I	I

24.6.14	atmosplane	24:4	Suite 28:21	Ala: I- 12.7	10.12
24:6,14	simpler 19:22			think 13:7	19:12
25:17 26:6		specified	sure 16:21	14:11 19:23	types 13:21
scheme 12:4	simply 6:4	4:10	17:19 21:23	20:14 22:24	15:8
scope 5:4	18:19 19:17	Springfield	26:2 27:8	third 4:14	$\overline{\mathbf{U}}$
screen 18:16	since 22:1	2:6,10	swear 6:8	26:5,14	under 3:20
second 4:12	SIP 8:4	SS 28:2	swearing	three 20:24	4:3 5:1 7:1
19:10,11	smoother	staff 3:16	5:12	21:8,13	11:19 12:21
20:2 22:18	14:10	5:21 23:4	sworn 5:10	threshold	14:4 18:13
23:1 24:13	solely 5:21	Standard	6:13	12:15	23:15 24:17
24:18 25:1	26:9	7:19	T	through	25:14 26:8
25:9,16	solvent 12:8	stands 13:13	take 4:12,15	11:15 13:17	26:22 28:11
26:10	18:13	started 6:9	24:14 26:6	27:4,6,12	
section 3:21	solvents	state 1:10 8:3		Tim 1:8 2:2	understand
4:1 5:1,7	11:17	9:6 28:1	taken 1:10 23:9 28:11	3:3	11:24,24
8:13,16	some 12:1,1	States 7:23		time 6:3 9:4	12:6
12:13,15,20	14:15 15:17	stem 19:14	talked 19:21	11:4 19:5	understan
12:22 13:2	17:12,14,23	stenographic	talking 20:24	21:22 25:11	11:21 12:2
13:5 21:15	18:10,10	28:10	Tanner 24:2	timely 4:21	14:14 16:10
23:15 24:17	23:7	STEPHAN	technical	11:14	underway
24:23 25:4	somebody	2:12	3:16	today 3:12	6:15 25:24
25:14 26:8	22:1	Steven 1:10	techniques	4:10,24	Unit 8:12
26:8,13	something	2:15 28:5	10:13 17:8	6:18 8:11	United 7:23
see 18:19	19:17 20:12	28:20	technological	8:19 22:13	unless 14:15
seeing 6:6 7:2	21:22	still 13:19	9:23	22:15 25:9	unquote 3:21
14:12 24:11	soon 19:4	14:19 19:2	Technologies	26:20	5:5
25:12 27:16	26:1	20:21 21:19	1:3 3:6	today's 26:18	unresolved
seek 9:3	sorry 16:19	22:9	technology	told 16:2,14	22:9
send 15:5	sounds 22:7	Street 1:11	8:6 9:22	21:5	until 14:17
22:5	source 9:21	2:9 28:21	term 10:1	tomorrow	ups 17:24
sentence 9:18	12:12,19	strike 20:3	testify 24:9	26:23	used 21:16
serves 11:18	14:5,15	striking	testimony	touching	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$
service 25:7	16:1	19:13	4:19,22 5:2	20:14	
26:3 27:10	sources 14:19	studies 10:18	5:7,8,14	towards	v 15:22,22
serving 25:6	15:4	study 10:8	6:16,21 7:4	12:13	various 11:23
setting 25:20	speak 6:1 9:4	23:19,22	7:5 9:9,19	towels 21:16	
seven 24:24	speaking 6:2	24:3	24:16,20	Track 3:20	version 27:9
seven-day	specialist	subject 13:22	thank 6:14	24:18	very 6:20
25:7,15,24	9:15	14:19 16:11	7:11 8:23	transcribed	8:24 9:8
26:4	Specialty	submit 20:7	11:3,13	28:11	11:3 16:16
SGIA's 21:8	18:5 21:12	submitted	13:19 14:12	transcript	17:21 19:9
shop 21:16	specific	5:14 12:24	15:11 16:16	26:19,21,24	20:10 21:6
shorthand	15:23 19:4	26:10	17:18 22:12	28:9	21:10 22:11
28:5,7	19:16 22:4	Subpart 12:9	23:13 27:17	trial 28:7,10	23:13
Shortly	22:4	subsection	27:19	true 28:8	Vetterhoffer
26:20	specifically	13:4,5	Thanks 23:2	turn 17:22	2:7 6:7,15
signature	10:2 16:2	15:15,19	their 18:14	turning 12:5	6:19 7:3,8
28:13	18:3,10	19:13 20:18	19:12,15	13:3	7:11,12 9:1
similarly	19:6 20:19	substrates	20:5,24	two 5:12 6:17	volatile 1:3
15:18	21:5 22:9	18:16	21:13 22:22	7:1 18:14	3:6 7:15
			things 14:10		VOM 7:16
		I	I		

		1		<u> </u>	
8:6	17:21	20 23:24 24:6	4887 4:6		
	wonder	2007 28:21			
W	20:20	2011 1:12	5		1
walks 14:7	wondered	3:20,24 4:6	5 15:22	ł	
want 3:11 4:7	21:17	4:8,13,16	50 17:10		
16:21 17:3	words 20:1	4:18,21	500 12:14		
18:7,11	worked 8:7	24:1,5,15	14:24		
23:13 26:5	writing 25:5	26:7 28:15	522-5512		
27:17	written 27:3	211 1:5 3:10	2:10		
wanted 18:2		215 2:9			
18:19	X	217 2:6,10	6	}	
wants 21:23	XII 15:23	218 1:5 3:10	6th 24:5,17		
web 17:6		12:7 19:13	60603 28:22		
27:13	Y	218.187 12:6	62794-9276		
website 11:2	Yoginder 2:8	12:22	2:6		
16:22 26:22	4:23 6:11	218.187(a)1	7		
Wednesday	8:15	12:15	7th 3:20		
4:13,15	$\overline{\mathbf{z}}$	218.187(a)	782-5544 2:6		
24:15 26:7	Zalewski 2:3	12:13,20	702-3344 2:0		
week 4:8	3:15	218.187(a)	8		
18:9	3.13	15:13 21:1	8 28:21		
weeks 13:16	0	218.187(e)			
welcome 3:2	084-004675	21:15	9		
well 14:24	2:15 28:23	219 1:5 3:10	9:00 24:15		
17:21		12:6,8	9:15 1:13		
were 10:4,14	1	219.187(a)			
10:23 13:21	1 6:22 7:5	19:14			
16:22 17:19	18:4	25th 4:8			
19:2 20:3	1st 4:6,15	27th 1:12			
20:21 22:12	13:16 14:20	27(b) 23:15			
22:15 23:11	26:7	28.5 3:21			
26:24	100 1:11	25:14 26:8			
West 1:11	102.304(c)	28.5(e) 4:2			
28:21	25:4	28.5(f) 5:7			
we'll 17:24	1021 2:5	28.5(f)1			
we're 8:23	13 15:22	24:23			
10:12,17	14 4:2	28.5(f)2			
16:21 19:2	14th 4:21	24:17			
22:22,23	15 14:23	28.5(g)1 5:1			
we've 13:5	15th 4:20				
WILKERS	17th 3:23	3			
2:12	4:17 24:1	312 1:24 2:24			
wish 7:8 25:9	18th 4:13	28:22			
25:13	24:15	35 1:5 3:9 4:5			
wishing 4:19	187(e) 20:18	4			
witness 7:10	19276 2:5	40 17:10			
28:13	1997 7:18	40 17.10 419-9292			
witnesses 5:3		1:24 2:24			
6:12,17	2	28:22			
11:8 17:14	2 6:23 7:6	20.22			
· ·			•	•	