## ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD February 6, 1997

| IN THE MATTER OF:  | )           |   |
|--|-------------|---|
| EXEMPTIONS FROM THE DEFINITION OF VOM, USEPA AMENDMENTS (February 7, 1996; Perchloroethylene)  | )<br>)<br>) | R96-16<br>(Identical In Substance Rules<br>Air) |
| DISSENTING OPINION (by J. Theodore Me  | eyer):      |   |
| This is a federally mandated rulemaking and as such the Board may not challenge the scientific justification for exempting perchloroethylene from the definition of volatile organic material (VOM). However, I am compelled to voice my objection. Perchloroethylene is volatile and is widely used by the dry cleaning industry. The cost of condensing perchloroethylene is minimal, especially when compared to the risk it poses to human health and the environment. This rulemaking is a classic example of government acquiescing to a special interest group and I cannot support its promulgation. |             |   |
| For these reasons, I respectfully disser   | ıt.         |   |
|  |             |   |
| $\overline{\mathrm{J}}.$   | Theodore Me | eyer  |
| I, Dorothy M. Gunn, Clerk of the Illing the above dissenting opinion was filed on the  |             | č č   |

Dorothy M. Gunn, Clerk Illinois Pollution Control Board