

JAN 2 8 2002

BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

STATE OF ILLINOIS

Pollution Control Board

IN THE MATTER OF:

) AMENDMENTS TO 35 ILL. ADM. CODE 732;

REGULATION OF PETROLEUM LEAKING

UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANKS

) R01-26

)

) (Rulemaking - Land)

NOTICE OF FILING

TO: Ms. Dorothy M. Gunn

Clerk of the Board

Illinois Pollution Control Board James R. Thompson Center 100 West Randolph Street

Suite 11-500

Chicago, Illinois 60601

(VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL)

Joel J. Sternstein

Hearing Officer

Illinois Pollution Control Board James R. Thompson Center 100 West Randolph Street

G.e. #14

Suite 11-500

Chicago, Illinois 60601

(VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL)

(PERSONS ON ATTACHED SERVICE LIST)

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that I have filed today with the Clerk of the Illinois Pollution Control Board an original and nine copies of the MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE INSTANTER and PUBLIC COMMENTS OF THE ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATORY GROUP, copies of which are herewith served upon you.

Respectfully submitted, ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATORY GROUP,

By

One of Its Attorneys

Dated: January 24, 2002

Karen L. Bernoteit Illinois Environmental Regulatory Group 215 East Adams Street Springfield, Illinois 62701 (217) 522-5512

RECEIVED

BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

| JAN | 2 | 8 | 2002 | |
|---------|----------|-----------|---------------------|---|
| STATE (|)F Co | IL nti | LINOIS rol Board | 1 |

IN THE MATTER OF:

AMENDMENTS TO 35 ILL. ADM. CODE 732;) R01-26
REGULATION OF PETROLEUM LEAKING) (Rulemaking - Land)
UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANKS

MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE INSTANTER

NOW COMES the ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATORY GROUP (IERG), by one if its attorneys, Karen L. Bernoteit, and respectfully seeks leave from the Illinois Pollution Control Board (Board) to file INSTANTER the attached Comments of the Illinois Environmental Regulatory Group (IERG) in the above-referenced proceedings. In support of its request, IERG states as follows:

Due to the departure of IERG's former Executive Director, DK Hirner, on December 31, 2001, and other pressing matters, IERG was unable to file its Comments on the Board's Proposed Regulation and First Notice Opinion and Order in R01-26, issued on November 1, 2001. IERG, the Illinois State Chamber of Commerce and the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (Agency) cosponsored a training seminar covering the Title V Air Permitting Program on January 15 and 17th, 2002 and IERG held its Quarterly Meeting on January 16, 2002. Because of the personnel changes at the Executive Director level, IERG Staff had to direct its efforts on preparing for the above events and was unable to finalize its Comments in R01-26 by the due date. The inclusion of IERG's Comments in the record of the above proceeding will present a more complete record for the Board's consideration.

WHEREFORE, IERG respectfully requests that the Board grant IERG's Motion in this matter.

WHEREFORE, IERG respectfully requests that the Board grant IERG's Motion

in this matter.

Respectfully submitted,

ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATORY GROUP,

Karen L. Bernoteit

Dated: January 24, 2002

Karen L. Bernoteit Illinois Environmental Regulatory Group 215 East Adams Street Springfield, Illinois 62701 (217) 522-5512

RECEIVED
CLERK'S OFFICE

JAN 2 8 2002

BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

| STATE | OF | ILLIN | NOIS |
|-----------|----|-------|--------------|
| Pollution | Co | ntrol | Board |

| | Pollution Control Board |
|-----------------------|--|
|) | |
|) | |
|) R01-26 | |
|) (Rulemaking - Land) | |
|) | |
| |)) R01-26) (Rulemaking - Land) |

COMMENTS OF THE ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATORY GROUP

NOW COMES the ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATORY GROUP ("IERG"), by one if its attorneys, Karen L. Bernoteit, and submits for its Comments on the Illinois Pollution Control Board's Proposed Rule and First Notice Opinion and Order, dated November 1, 2001, in the above-titled matter, the following:

I. BACKGROUND

By way of background, IERG met with representatives of the Illinois

Environmental Protection Agency (Agency) and members of the Site Remediation

Advisory Committee (SRAC) prior to the Agency's filing of the proposal with the Illinois

Pollution Control Board (Board). One of the main concerns on the part of the regulated

community was the Agency's proposed Section 732.411, which, among other things, sets

forth the factors the Agency must consider when determining whether a site

owner/operator has made best efforts to obtain access to off-site property that contains

contamination.

In its First Notice Opinion and Order, the Board proposed Section 732.411, relating to Off-Site Access, that contains the following subsections:

• The first provision contained in 35 Ill. Admin. Code 732.411(a) states that an owner/operator that seeks to demonstrate compliance with the proposed

35 Ill. Admin. Code 732.404(c), (which states that an owner/operator is not required to perform corrective action on off-site property if it is unable to gain off-site access despite the use of best efforts in accordance with Section 732.411), must demonstrate compliance with the requirements in Section 732.411.

- The second provision, Subsection 732.411(b), delineates the elements that must be included in a certified letter to the owner of off-site property in order to conduct best efforts to obtain off-site access.
- The third provision, Subsection 732.411(c), purportedly contains the
 documentation that the owner/operator must submit as part of a Corrective
 Action Completion Report in order to demonstrate compliance with
 Section 732.411.

The preamble to Subsection 732.311(d) purports to be a method for the Agency to determine whether a site owner/operator has complied with the best efforts provisions in Subsection 732.411(b), that consist of sending a certified letter that contains required elements. However, there is no nexus between the best efforts provisions that contain requirements for a certified letter in the proposed Subsection 732.411(b) and the factors listed in the proposed Subsection 732.411(d), which are clearly general site conditions based on possible exposure scenarios, and not access issues.

II. THE STANDARD FOR ISSUANCE OF AN NFR LETTER TO OWNERS/OPERATORS THAT HAVE BEEN DENIED ACCESS TO ADDRESS OFF-SITE CONTAMINATION

The Board's proposal does not include a clearly defined standard for the issuance of No Further Remediation (NFR) letters to site owners that have been denied access to

off-site property that contains contamination. Because of the obvious inconsistency between what the preamble of the proposed Subsection 732.411(d) states it will contain, and what it in fact contains, the proposal fails to delineate a standard for Agency decision making concerning to issuance of a NFR letter in this situation. It may well be that the best efforts provisions contained in Subsection 732.411(b) are self evident and that there is no need for a separate subsection to guide how the Agency will judge compliance with Subsection 732.411(b). IERG would agree to the elimination of Subsection (d) in its entirety if a standard that ensured that any environmental risk posed by the off-site contamination is considered in the decision of whether to issue an NFR letter to an owner/operator that has been denied access to clean up off site contamination.

III. THE NEED TO ASSESS OFF SITE CONTAMINATION AS A PRECONDITION TO GRANTING AN NFR LETTER

It appears that the proposed factors set forth in Subsections 732.411(d)(1-9) are aimed at assuring that no major environmental problem is presented by off site contamination. IERG agrees, as did the Illinois Petroleum Council (IPC), as evidenced in its proposed regulatory revisions filed with the Board on March 29, 2001, that the appropriate standard to be aware of is whether the contamination remaining on the offsite property poses an imminent threat of harm to human health or the environment. IERG also believes that the owner/operator bears some responsibility to investigate – to the extent they can – if off site contamination which poses an imminent threat will exist. IERG recommends that the preamble to the proposed Subsection 732.411(d)(1-9) be revised to state that the subsection contains the factors the Agency should consider when determining whether the off-site contamination poses an imminent threat to human health or the environment. IERG supports the factors proposed by the IPC in its proposed

Subsection 732.411(e), filed March 29, 2001, and would be open to considering additional factors beyond those in the IPC proposal. IERG respectfully wishes to remind the Board that the very reason that Section 732.411 is proposed is because off site contamination *will in fact remain off site*. To adopt open-ended language such as that contained in the proposed Subsection 732.411(d), which allows the Agency to pick and choose when and if a NFR letter can be granted based on an undefined level of off site contamination, defeats the very purpose of the regulation.

IV. THE EFFECT OF AN NFR LETTER

The result of complying with the provisions of the proposed Section 732.411 is that the Agency will grant a NFR letter for that portion of the property that has been remediated. No release or NFR letter is granted for the off site property. To be successful, a remediation project must assure that contamination on the site will not continue to migrate off site. Thus, the issue is contamination off site which will not get any worse and which, despite the best efforts of the owner/operator, could not be remediated. Under the Agency's proposal, the remedy for such a situation might be to deny the owner/operator, who uses best efforts, an NFR letter for the property that it remediated. This proposal is devoid of logic and places the owner/operator in a circular regulatory situation which on the one hand offers a way to obtain an NFR if the owner/operator cannot obtain off site access despite the use of best efforts, and on the other hand requires activities to assess the potential for off-site contamination which the owner/operator is precluded from addressing.

Perhaps more important is the fact that denying an NFR letter to the owner/operator in no way resolves the environmental concerns that may exist off site.

Denying a NFR letter will not change the fact that the owner/operator was denied access to off site property. Thus the net result is that the owner/operator who uses best efforts is punished and the environmental concerns remain.

V. CONCLUSION

IERG believes it is questionable from a public policy standpoint, to adopt a regulation that on its face contains an obvious inconsistency that results in the absence of a clearly articulated standard for the issuance of NFR letters in certain circumstances. If the regulated community is expected to adhere to applicable regulatory requirements, the regulations should be as clear and concise as possible. The adoption of the proposed Subsection 732.411(d) might result in inconsistent interpretations among members of the regulated community. The regulation should read as clearly as possible so that a site/owner operator knows exactly what standard it must meet in order to obtain an NFR letter when off-site contamination has not been addressed due to the denial of access. There is no purpose served in penalizing site owners/operators, by denying NFR letters based on an unclear standard, for failing to address contamination on off-site property to which they were denied access.

IERG urges the Board to reconsider the Off-Site Access provisions of the proposed regulation and revise these provisions to ensure clarity by including a clearly articulated standard for issuing an NFR letter to an owner/operator that has not addressed off-site contamination because of the inability to gain off-site access. In addition, if the Board believes that an assessment of off site contamination is necessary, the listed factors should be revised consistent with those proposed by the IPC in its 35 Ill. Admin. Code 732.411(e)(1-3). IERG would be open to considering additional factors beyond those

included in IPC's proposal. IERG urges the Board to act consistent with these public comments.

Respectfully submitted,

ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATORY GROUP,

By: Jaren L. Bernoteit

Dated: January 24, 2002

Karen L. Bernoteit ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATORY GROUP 215 East Adams Street Springfield, Illinois 62701 (217) 522-5512

IERGMAIN/PROJECTS/21/Posthearing comments.LUST

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Karen L. Bernoteit, the undersigned, certify that I have served a copy of the MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE INSTANTER and PUBLIC COMMENTS OF

THE ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATORY GROUP upon:

Ms. Dorothy M. Gunn Clerk of the Board Illinois Pollution Control Board James R. Thompson Center 100 West Randolph Street Suite 11-500 Chicago, Illinois 60601 Joel J. Sternstein Hearing Officer Illinois Pollution Control Board James R. Thompson Center 100 West Randolph Street Suite 11-500 Chicago, Illinois 60601

SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST.

by depositing said documents in the United States Mail in Springfield, Illinois on January 24, 2002.

Maren L. Bernoteit
Karen L. Bernoteit

SERVICE LIST

Scott Anderson Black & Veatch 101 N Wacker Dr Ste 1100 Chicago, IL 60606

Garry Aronberg Kuhlmann Design Group 15 E Washington Belleville, IL 62220

Bruce Bonczyk 601 W Monroe Springfield, IL 62704

Robert A. Carson, P.E. 924 Cherokee Dr Springfield, IL 62707

Harry A. Chappel Inland/Chappel Environmental 144 Laconwood Springfield, IL 62707

Cindy Consalvo Pioneer Environmental 700 N Sacramento Ste 101 Chicago, IL 60612

William G. Dickett Sidley & Austin 10 S Dearborn Ste 5200 Chicago, IL 60603

Leo P. Dombrowski Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon 225 W Wacker Dr Ste 3000 Chicago, IL 60606

Ron Dye CORE Geological Services, Inc. 2621 Montego Ste C Springfield, IL 62704

Judy Dyer IL Environmental Protection Agency 1021 N. Grand Ave. East P O Box 19276 Springfield, IL 62794-9276 Lawrence W. Falbe Wildman, Harrold, Allen & Dixon 225 W Wacker Dr Ste 3000 Chicago, IL 60606-1229

Neil F. Flynn Attorney at Law 1035 S Second St Springfield, IL 62704

Lisa Frede Director of Regulatory Affairs Chemical Industry Council of Illinois 9801 W Higgins Rd Ste 515 Rosemont, IL 60018

Sid Glenn Arcadis 35 E Wacker Ste 1000 Chicago, IL 60601

Daniel J. Goodwin Goodwin Environmental Consultants 400 Bruns Lane Springfield, IL 62702

Collin Gray SEECO Environmental Services, Inc. 7350 Duvon Dr Tinley Park, IL 60477

Dorothy M. Gunn Clerk IL Pollution Control Board 100 W. Randolph Ste. 11-500 Chicago, IL 60601

Thomas L. Herlacher
Herlacher Angleton Associates, L L C
8731 Bluff Rd
Waterloo, IL 62298

James E. Huff Huff & Huff, Inc. 512 W. Burlington #100 LaGrange, IL 60525

Kenneth James Carlson Environmental, Inc. 65 E Wacker Place Ste 1500 Chicago, IL 60601

SERVICE LIST

Joe Kelly United Science Industries, Inc. P O Box 360 Woodlawn, IL 62898

Kenneth Liss Andrews Engineering, Inc. 3535 Mayflower Blvd Springfield, IL 62707

Pat Ludewig Caterpillar P O Box 1875 Peoria, IL 61656-1875

Barbara A. Magel Karaganis & White Ltd. 414 N. Orleans St., Ste. 810 Chicago, IL 60601

George K. Moncek United Env. Consultants, Inc. 119 E Palatine Rd Ste 101 Palatine, IL 60067

Monte Nienkerk Clayton Environmental Consultants 31,40 Finley Rd Downers Grove, IL 60515

David L. Rieser Ross & Hardies 150 N. Michigan Ave. Ste. 2500 Chicago, IL 60601

Wayne Smith Pioneer Environmental, Inc. 700 N Sacramento Chicago. IL 60612

Suzanne Smith Sonnenschein, Nath & Rosenthal 8000 Sears Tower Chicago, IL 60606

Joel Sternstein Hearing Officer IL Pollution Control Board 100 W Randolph St Ste 11-500 Chicago, IL 60601 Dan Strubel Speedway Super America, L L C P O Box 1500 Springfield, OH 45001

David Sykuta IL Petroleum Council P.O. Box 12047 Springfield, IL 62791

Georgia Vlahos U.S. Navy 2501 A Paul Jones Street Great Lakes, IL 60088-2845

Rodger Walker Walker Engineering 500 W Herrin St Herrin, IL 62448

John W. Watson Gardner Carton & Douglas 321 N Clark St Chicago, IL 60610-4795

Gary T. Zolyak U S Army Environmental Center Northern Regional Env Office, Bldg E-4480 Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21010-5401