Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 03/25/2025

```
Page 1
 1
                 ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD
                          March 10, 2025
 2
      IN THE MATTER OF:
                                     )
                                    ) R24-17
 3
      PROPOSED CLEAN CAR AND ) (Rulemaking - Air)
 4
      TRUCK STANDARDS: PROPOSED
      35 ILL. ADM. CODE 242
                                    )
 5
 6
 7
 8
9
       Hearing before the Illinois Pollution Control Board
10
                     Transcript of Proceedings
11
                          March 10, 2025
12
13
14
               Reporter: Jude Arndt, CSR, CCR, RPR
                        CCR NO. 084-004847
                           CSR NO. 1450
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

```
Page 2
 1
                   The aforementioned proceedings were held
     on March 10, 2025, at Illinois Pollution Control Board,
     Springfield Office, 2520 West Iles Avenue, Springfield,
 2
     Illinois, before Jude Arndt, a certified shorthand
     reporter and certified court reporter.
 3
 4
     PRESENT:
 5
     ATTENDING BOARD MEMBERS:
             Barbara Flynn Currie, Chair
 6
             Jennifer Van Wie
 7
             Michael Mankowski
             Michelle Gibson
 8
             Angela Tin
 9
     BOARD STAFF:
             Carlie Leoni, Hearing Officer
10
             Vanessa Horton, Hearing Officer
             Dr. Anand Rao
             Essence Brown
11
             Marie Tipsord
             Anupama Paruchuri
12
             Tim Fox
13
             Chloe Salk
14
     PROPONENTS - ATTORNEYS:
            James Dennison
            Robert Weinstock
15
             Albert Ettinger
16
             Nathaniel Shoaff
             Chase Deatrick
17
     PARTICIPANTS - ATTORNEYS:
18
             Gina Roccaforte
             Dana Vetterhoffer
19
             Jason James
             Caitlin Kelly
2.0
             Melissa Brown
             Alec Messina
21
            Kara Principe
             Michael McNally
             Melissa Binetti
22
23
24
```

itext.com 888-391-3376

Veritext Legal Solutions

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 03/25/2025

		Page 3
1	INDEX OF INTERROGATION	
2	WITNESS:	
3	STEVEN DOUGLAS	
J	Examination by Mr. James Dennison	Page 18
4	Examination by Mr. vames bennison	rage 10
1	MICHAEL STIEREN and LAWRENCE DOLL	
5	Examination by Mr. Chase Deatrick	Page 92
J	Examination by Mr. James Dennison	
6	Examination by Mr. Anand Rao	Page 241
7	MATTHEW HART	
•	Examination by Mr. Robert Weinstock	Page 253
8	Examination by Mr. Albert Ettinger	Page 365
9	MATTHEW WELLS	
	Examination by Mr. Nathaniel Shoaff	Page 373
10		
11	INDEX OF EXHIBITS	
12	Exhibit No. 1	Page 10
	Exhibit No. 2	Page 10
13	Exhibit No. 3	Page 13
	Exhibit No. 4	Page 13
14	Exhibit No. 5	Page 13
	Exhibit No. 6	Page 17
15	Exhibit No. 7	Page 17
	Exhibit No. 8	Page 39
16	Exhibit No. 9	Page 40
	Exhibit No. 10	Page 87
17	Exhibit No. 11	Page 90
	Exhibit No. 12	Page 92
18	Exhibit No. 13	Page 148
	Exhibit No. 14	Page 243
19	Exhibit No. 15	Page 253
	Exhibit No. 16	Page 253
20	Exhibit No. 17	Page 270
	Exhibit No. 18	Page 283
21	Exhibit No. 19	Page 289
	Exhibit No. 20	Page 323
22	Exhibit No. 21	Page 335
	Exhibit No. 22	Page 370
23	Exhibit No. 23	Page 372
	Exhibit No. 24	Page 372
24	Exhibit No. 25	Page 372

Page 4 1 [9:00 a.m.] HEARING OFFICER LEONI: It's 2 3 9:00, so let's begin. Good morning, and welcome to this 4 5 Illinois Pollution Control Board hearing. Μу name is Carlie Leoni, and I am one of the 6 7 hearing officers for this rulemaking 8 proceeding, entitled In the Matter of Proposed 9 Clean Car and Truck Standards, Proposed 35 10 Illinois Administrative Code 242. The board 11 docket number for this rulemaking is R24-17. Also present today from the Board are 12 13 board member Jennifer Van Wie, board member 14 Michelle Gibson, board member Michael Mankowski, and board member Angela Tin, our 15 other hearing officer, Vanessa Horton, board 16 17 general counsel Marie Tipsord, attorney 18 advisor Anupama Paruchuri. 19 And board staff in Chicago via video are chair of the board Barbara Flynn Currie, 20 the Board's technical unit chief environmental 2.1 22 scientist Anand Rao, and environmental 23 scientist Essence Brown, board senior attorney Tim Fox, and attorney advisor Chloe Salk. 24

2.1

Page 5

Participants of the rulemaking here today are the Alliance for Automotive Innovation, the Illinois Automobile Dealers Association, the Illinois Trucking Association, the Midwest Truck Alliance, and the Indiana Illinois Iowa Foundation For Fair Contracting.

Our witnesses today are Steven Douglas,
Lawrence Doll and Mike Stieren jointly,
Matthew Hart, and Matt Wells.

This hearing is governed by the Board's procedural rules. All information that is relevant and that is not repetitious or privileged will be admitted into the record.

Please bear in mind that any questions posed today by the Board and its staff are intended solely to help develop a clear and complete record for the board's decision, and do not reflect any decision on the proposal, testimony, or other questions.

For the sake of our court reporter, please speak clearly and avoid speaking at the same time as another person, so that we can help produce a clear transcript.

2.3

Page 6

So for background on this proceeding, on June 27th, 2024, the Sierra Club, the Natural Resources Defense Council, the Environmental Defense Fund, the Respiratory Health Association, the Chicago Environmental Justice Network, and the Center for Neighborhood Technology filed a rulemaking that proposed the Board adopt a new Part 242 of its air pollution rules.

The proponents request that the Board adopt three California motor vehicle emissions regulations addressing light, medium, and heavy-duty vehicles. Those are the Advanced Clean Cars 2, Advanced Clean Trucks, and Heavy-Duty NOx Omnibus rules.

On July 11th, 2024, the Board accepted the proposal and directed the hearing officers to proceed to hearing.

This first set of hearings was focused on the proponents' proposal and their witnesses. These hearings were held in Chicago on December 2nd and 3rd, 2024.

Today, we begin the second set of hearings, which will be focused on the

Page 7

participants' witnesses and their testimonies.

We have three days scheduled for this hearing. Towards the end of the day today, I'll go off the record to discuss with everyone our plans and schedules for the second day, including remaining testimony and questions.

If we reach the end of the day tomorrow and have no remaining testimony or questions, then I'll go off the record at that time to discuss with everyone the schedule for post-hearing briefings.

Notice for this hearing was published in 10 newspapers in different geographical locations throughout the state. Those papers are the Chicago Sun-Times, the Springfield Journal-Register, the Belleville

News-Democrat, the Champaign News-Gazette, the Moline Dispatch Argus, the Centralia and Mt.

Vernon Morning Sentinels, the La Salle News

Tribune, the Peoria Journal Star, the

Metropolis Planet, and the Rockford Register.

All of those publications occurred before

February 6th.

Page 8 1 On July 11th, 2024, the Board 2 requested, pursuant to Section 27(b) of the 3 Environmental Protection Act, that the Department of Commerce and Economic 4 5 Opportunity conduct a study on the economic impact of the proposed rules. The letter 6 requested that DCEO provide their response by 7 8 August 26th, 2024. 9 On August 28th, 2024, DCEO responded 10 via letter, declining to perform an economic 11 impact study, saying it does not have the industrial engineering expertise to 12 meaningfully participate in this rulemaking. 13 14 The proponents filed their post-hearing 15 responses to questions that were posed at the 16 first hearing on January 13th, 2025. 17 Five participants filed the written 18 testimony of six total witnesses on January 19 21st, 2025. The Board, the agency, and proponents 20 2.1 filed written questions on February 18th, and 22 participants filed written answers on March 2.3 5th. 24 The proponents also filed their

Page 9

supplemental response to question number 10 posed at the first hearing on March 6th, 2025.

Now, as to the order of today's proceedings. Five participants in this rulemaking will put forth five of six total witnesses to answer any follow-up questions that the proponents, other participants, and the Board might have regarding their testimony and written answers.

We will begin today with testimony from Steven Douglas, then move to joint testimony from Lawrence Doll and Mike Stieren, then to Matthew Hart, and finally to Matt Wells.

The court reporter will swear in all witnesses when they begin, and if they so choose, the witnesses may give a brief summary of their testimony before we start the questions.

Typically we enter pre-filed testimony as an exhibit as if read, and proponents' counsel -- or excuse me -- participants' counsel are allowed to give a short opening statement, if they so choose. Witnesses are also allowed a short opening summary of their

Page 10 1 testimony, if they so choose. As we go along today, I will be 2 entering testimony and answers as exhibits. 3 Following this hearing, I will issue an 4 5 exhibit list. I'll first start now with entering the 6 7 proponents' post-hearing responses to 8 questions posed during the December 2nd through 3rd, 2024, hearing, filed on January 9 13th, 2025, as Exhibit 1. 10 11 [Document marked as Exhibit No. 1 for identification. 1 12 13 HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Next, proponents' supplemental response to question 14 15 number 10 posed during the December 2nd through 3rd, 2024, hearing, filed on March 16 17 6th, 2025, is entered as Exhibit 2. [Document marked as Exhibit No. 2 18 19 for identification. | 20 HEARING OFFICER LEONI: And court 21 reporter, please feel free to stop me or 22 anyone at any point if we are going too fast, 23 talking too softly, or if anything needs to be 24 repeated.

Page 11

And for any participants asking questions today, I would like to just ask that you please start your question by stating your name and the organization that you are representing.

So since we began at 9:00 AM, I anticipate we'll go until around 10:30, and then we'll break for about 10 to 15 minutes. We'll resume at 10:45, and break for an hour lunch from noon to 1:00 PM.

From 1:00 to 2:00 PM, we have oral public comments, and then we will resume witness testimony at around 2:00. We'll take a 10- to 15-minute break at some point during the afternoon, and we will end today around 5:00 PM.

As I mentioned earlier, if we have any remaining questions, we can address those tomorrow or Wednesday.

Tomorrow we will start at 9:00 AM with Mary Tyler's testimony. If there is time after Ms. Tyler's testimony, we can address remaining questions from today.

We'll have a similar break schedule to

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

Page 12 today, but tomorrow we'll stop at 3:00 PM, and 1 reconvene at 4:00 for the online public 2 comment portion. We will conclude for the day 3 tomorrow at 6:00 PM. And if we get to 4 5 Wednesday and still have questions or 6 remaining testimony, we will address it at 7 that time. 8 So back to today. As many of you have 9 seen, there is a sign-up sheet in the back of the room here in Springfield to sign up for 10 11 public comment, so if there are any members of 12 the public in-person here today, please go 13 ahead and write your name on the list if you 14 have not yet. We have set aside one hour for 15 public comment, again, from 1:00 PM to 2:00 PM 16 today. 17 And also, anyone can submit written public comments to the Board's Clerk's Office 18 19 On-Line system, or as we call it, COOL, which is available on the board's website. 20 21 Please note that the Board weighs oral 22 and written public comments equally. 2.3 And before we proceed to our 24 testimonies, we have pre-filed questions for

```
Page 13
    the witnesses that we will now enter into the
1
    record as if read.
2
3
            First, from the Illinois Environmental
    Protection Agency, we have pre-filed questions
4
5
    that we will enter as Exhibit 3.
                  [Document marked as Exhibit No. 3
6
7
                  for identification. 1
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Next, we
8
9
    have the rule proponents' pre-filed questions
10
    that are entered as Exhibit 4.
11
                  [Document marked as Exhibit No. 4]
12
                  for identification. 1
13
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Lastly,
14
    we have the Board's pre-filed questions, which
15
    are entered as Exhibit 5.
16
                  [Document marked as Exhibit No. 5
17
                  for identification.]
18
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI:
19
    Are there any questions about the order of
    today's proceedings?
20
2.1
            If not, we will move on to swearing in
22
    today's first witness, Steven Douglas.
2.3
                  [Steven Douglas sworn in
24
                  by the court reporter.]
```

Page 14 1 HEARING OFFICER LEONI: 2 mentioned earlier, the pre-filed testimony of Mr. Douglas is entered into the record as if 3 4 read. 5 Does the witness wish to offer a brief 6 introduction or summary? 7 MR. STEVEN DOUGLAS: Certainly. 8 I wanted to thank the Board and the staff for 9 the opportunity to be here, on behalf of the 10 Alliance for Automotive Innovation. 11 Alliance represents 44 different automotive 12 companies, including car companies that 13 produce about 97 percent of the new vehicles 14 that are sold in Illinois. 15 As I have stated in my testimony, we -we ask the Board to reject the proposed 16 17 adoption of California's Advanced Clean Cars 2 18 regulations. 19 We -- Illinois is simply not ready to adopt a regulation which bans gasoline-powered 20 21 vehicles ultimately, and within the next two 22 to three years, that will require 59 out of 23 every 100 vehicles to be electric. 24 The --

```
Page 15
1
                  [Discussion off the record.]
2
                  MR. STEVEN DOUGLAS:
                                       Illinois,
    the infrastructure is simply, for either
3
    residential or public, simply has not -- is
4
5
    not in place. The consumer adoption has just
6
    not progressed as we had hoped, and the
7
    regulations are --
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Could you
8
9
    speak up a little bit more, Mr. Douglas?
10
                  MR. STEVEN DOUGLAS:
                                       Wow.
11
                  [Discussion off the record.]
12
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI:
                                         All
13
    right. We are back on the record. Thank you,
14
    everyone. And we were in the middle of Mr.
15
    Douglas's brief introduction/summary of his
16
    testimony.
17
           So Mr. Douglas, if you would like to
18
    continue, please proceed.
19
                  MR. STEVEN DOUGLAS: Great.
20
    Thank you -- thank you again. As I was
2.1
    saying, Illinois is simply not ready to adopt
22
    a mandate, a regulation that will ban the sale
23
    of new gasoline vehicles, ultimately, and in
24
    two to three years will require that 59 out of
```

Page 16 1 100 new vehicles sold are electric. The infrastructure, neither the 2 residential nor the public is in place. 3 Consumer demand has not developed, and this is 4 5 not going to change in the next two to three 6 years. 7 Adopting these regulations would cause 8 significant harm to Illinois's economy, to its 9 dealers, to its consumers, and likely to low-income drivers who will be forced to keep 10 11 their older, higher-polluting, less-efficient vehicles longer, further worsening air quality 12 13 in those neighborhoods. 14 With that, I appreciate your time, and 15 I'm happy to answer questions now. HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Okay. 16 17 Thank you, Mr. Douglas. 18 If the witness is ready, we'll proceed 19 to questions, but first, I would like to ask if the Alliance for Automotive Innovation 20 21 would like to enter Mr. Douglas's pre-filed 22 testimony into the record. 2.3 MS. MELISSA BROWN: Yes. This is 24 Melissa Brown of Hepler Broom, outside counsel

```
Page 17
1
    for the Alliance. We would like to enter
    pre-filed testimony into the record, please.
2
3
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Thank
    you. So Mr. Douglas's testimony will be
4
5
    entered as if read as Exhibit 6.
                  [Document marked as Exhibit No. 6
6
7
                  for identification. 1
8
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: And since
9
    the questions for Mr. Douglas have already
10
    been entered as well, would the witness like
11
    to enter their pre-filed answers as if read as
    well?
12
13
                  MS. MELISSA BROWN: Yes, we
14
    would. Thank you.
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Thank
15
          Those answers will be Exhibit 7.
16
    you.
17
                  [Document marked as Exhibit No. 7
                  for identification. 1
18
19
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI:
                                          Now, are
    there any additional questions for the
20
2.1
    witness?
22
                  MR. JAMES DENNISON: Yes.
2.3
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Please
24
    introduce yourself for the court reporter.
```

Page 18 1 MR. JAMES DENNISON: All right. 2 3 The witness, STEVEN DOUGLAS, first having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 4 5 EXAMINATION BY MR. JAMES DENNISON: 6 7 Good morning, Mr. Douglas. My 8 name is Jim Dennison, and I would like to ask 9 you some questions on behalf of the Sierra Club, the Natural Resources Defense Council, 10 11 the Environmental Defense Fund, and the Center for Neighborhood Technology. 12 13 Α. Thank you. 14 0. How are you doing this morning? 15 Very good. Thank you. Α. Good. So I would like to start 16 Q. 17 by just making sure that I understand the 18 scope of your testimony. First, you said in 19 response to rule proponents' pre-filed question 1 that your testimony only concerns 20 21 the Advanced Clean Cars 2 rule, or ACC2, and 22 not the Advanced Clean Trucks or Low NOx 23 rules, is that correct? 24 Α. That's correct.

Page 19 1 Q. Okay. So my questions will focus 2 on the ACC2 proposal. 3 Are you aware of the analysis that Environmental Resource Management, or ERM, 4 5 prepared for the rule proponents? I am. 6 Α. 7 And you are generally aware that ERM's analysis evaluates the expected 8 9 emissions, health, and economic impact of adopting the proposed rules in Illinois? 10 11 Generally, yes. Α. Your pre-filed testimony, filed 12 Q. 13 on January 21st, does not mention the ERM 14 analysis, correct? 15 Α. Not that I'm aware, no. 16 Q. Okay. So your pre-filed 17 testimony did not specifically respond to 18 ERM's assumptions, methods, or findings, 19 correct? The pre-filed testimony, or the 20 21 response to? 22 The pre-filed testimony. Q. 2.3 Α. Yes. 24 Q. Okay. And your testimony does

Page 20 1 not include any estimates of health impacts or net economic impacts of adopting the proposed 2 rules, does it? 3 Α. Can you state that again? 5 Q. Sure. Your testimony does not include estimates of health impacts or overall 6 7 net economic impacts of adopting the proposed 8 rules, correct? 9 Α. No. 10 You said in response to rule Ο. 11 proponents' pre-filed questions 11(d) and 11(f) that you do not have the expertise to 12 13 dispute ERM's analysis of the net social 14 benefits and benefits to zero-emission 15 vehicle, or ZEV, owners from adopting ACC2? 16 Α. Correct. 17 0. Correct? Okay. 18 And one component of these net social 19 benefits is the quantified public health benefits, correct? 20 2.1 Presumably. Α. 22 So would you also agree that you 23 do not have the expertise to dispute ERM's 24 analysis of the public health benefits from

Page 21 1 adopting ACC2? 2 Α. Correct. Okay. I would like to start by 3 Ο. discussing a little bit more the impacts of 4 5 the proposed rules on emissions. In your testimony, and in response to 6 7 pre-filed questions, you say that the total 50 8 state greenhouse gas emissions are unchanged 9 by states adopting California's ACC2 10 requirement, correct? 11 Α. That's correct. 12 And you argue that this is true 0. 13 both because EPA's fleet-wide average 14 greenhouse gas standards apply across all 50 15 states, and because the EPA fleet-wide average standards are more stringent than 16 17 California's? Is that --18 A. Correct? 19 Okay. 0. -- correct? [Interruption by the reporter.] 20 2.1 BY MR. JAMES DENNISON: 22 Would you agree that ZEV produces Q. 23 zero tailpipe greenhouse gas emissions? ZEV, as defined in the California 24 Α.

Page 22 regulations, 13 CCR 1962.4, or the broad ZEV? 1 2 Are you asking to clarify? Ο. 3 Α. Yes. As defined in the rule. Ο. 5 Α. In which rule? In the ACC2 standards. 6 Q. 7 Α. Yes. Okay. So they do produce zero 8 Q. 9 emissions? 10 Α. Yes, because they are composed of 11 a battery electric vehicle or a fuel cell vehicle, and to my knowledge, when we are 12 13 talking about Illinois, who has no hydrogen 14 infrastructure, we are only talking about 15 BEVs. 16 Q. Okay. 17 Α. Battery electric vehicles, that 18 is. 19 So would you agree also that 0. adopting ACC2 in Illinois would require an 20 21 increasing percentage of new vehicle sales in 22 Illinois to be ZEVs? 2.3 Yes, that's the definition of a 24 ZEV mandate.

2.1

Page 23

Q. Okay. So would you agree that as a result, adopting ACC2 would reduce greenhouse gas emissions from the new vehicle fleet in Illinois as the percentage of ZEV sales increases?

A. It depends if the total number of new vehicles sales remain the same. But there is certainly no guar -- you are correct that the portion of the fleet that's required to be battery electric vehicles has to increase, but you can increase that proportion of the fleet by either reducing the internal combustion engine vehicle sales or by increasing the number of battery electric vehicle sales. Correct.

Q. Okay. And I want to discuss your claims about how automakers will respond to the rule in just a minute, but for now, let's stay on your point about whether the rule itself has the potential to reduce additional emission reductions beyond those from the federal standards. Okay? So I'll just repeat my question.

Would you agree that adopting ACC2

Page 24 1 would reduce greenhouse gas emissions from the new vehicle fleet in Illinois as the 2 percentage of ZEV sales increases? 3 4 Α. No. 5 Q. You would not agree? No. 6 Α. 7 And that's because of what you Ο. 8 just said --9 Α. That's correct. 10 -- about the possibility of 0. 11 reducing overall sales rather than increasing ZEV sales? 12 13 Α. Correct. 14 Okay. Well, we'll return to that 15 in a moment, but for now, to give an example, after model year 2035, when ACC2 requires all 16 17 new vehicle sales to be ZEVs, it would result 18 in zero greenhouse gas emissions from new 19 vehicle sales in Illinois. Is that correct? Wait. I'm sorry. Could you --20 21 when you when you are talking about ZEVs, are 22 you talking about BEVs, or ZEVs and BEVs as 23 defined in the rule, or ZEVs as we typically talk? 24

Page 25 When I refer to ZEVs, I'll be 1 Q. 2 referring to them as they are applied and used throughout the rule itself. 3 4 Α. Okay. So I'm sorry. Repeat your 5 question. So after model year 2035, 6 0. Sure. 7 when ACC2 requires all new vehicle sales to be 8 ZEVs, would you agree that it will result in 9 zero greenhouse gas emissions from new vehicle 10 sales in Illinois? 11 Α. No. 12 So we talked earlier, you had Q. 13 agreed that a ZEV produces zero greenhouse gas 14 tailpipe emissions. 15 Correct, but if you could restate 16 your question. 17 Ο. Right. My question is, when ACC2 18 requires all new vehicle sales to be ZEVs --They don't. It does not. 19 Α. Is that -- why is that? 20 Q. 21 I'm sorry. Repeat the question. Α. 22 Why is that the case? Q. 23 Well, I think, again, when you Α. are using the term ZEV, there is kind of the 24

Page 26 broad ZEV term which includes battery electric 1 vehicles, fuel cell electric vehicles, and 2 plug-in hybrid electric vehicles. That's the 3 broad term ZEV. 4 5 The regulatory definition of a ZEV is only battery electric vehicles and fuel cell 6 7 electric vehicles. 8 Ο. Okay. And you had agreed that 9 the regulatory definition --10 You have stated that the Α. 11 regulation requires 100 percent ZEVs by 2035, which is not correct. 12 Do you dispute that the sales 13 0. percentage required by the rule for model 14 15 years 2035 and later is 100 percent? 16 100 percent of what? Α. 17 Ο. 100 percent ZEVs as defined 18 within the regulatory language. 19 No, I would not. Α. 20 0. All right. We can move on then. 2.1 So page 14 of your testimony. You say 22 that EPA's criteria emission program is more 23 stringent than California's, and you make a

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

similar point that adopting California's

24

Page 27 1 emissions will not result in criteria emission benefits as a result. Is that right? 2 3 Corr -- I'm sorry. Can you 4 repeat the last part? 5 Q. Sure. It's a similar line of 6 questions --7 Yeah, yeah, yeah. 8 0. -- you testified to criteria 9 emissions. 10 You say at page 14 that EPA's criteria 11 emission program is more stringent than California's, so adopting California's 12 13 standards will not result in criteria emission 14 benefits. Is that right? 15 Α. Correct. And by this, you mean that the 16 Q. 17 EPA criteria emission standards that apply to 18 the combustion engine portion of the new 19 vehicle fleet are more stringent than the California standards that apply to that 20 2.1 portion of the fleet, correct? 22 I'm sorry. Can you repeat the Α. 23 question again? 24 Sure. I understand the part of Q.

Page 28

your testimony we just discussed to mean that you think the EPA criteria emission standards that apply to the combustion engine portion of the new vehicle fleet, those are more stringent than the California standards that apply to the same portion of the fleet?

A. That's -- and I think, as I explained in the testimony, they use two different methods for determining the fleet average. California removes all of the ZEV, ZEV operations.

So for -- the electric vehicle
operation for obviously electric vehicles, so
those are removed from the fleet average, but
also the combustion engine operation of a
plug-in hybrid electric vehicle are also
removed from the fleet average, which
California's fleet average remains constant at
30 milligrams per mile, whereas Illinois -- or
the EPA regulations, they reduce the fleet
average, but they include both the electric
operation of plug-in hybrid electric vehicles
and of course battery electric vehicles. And
so they reduce it from 30 to 15 milligrams per

```
Page 29
1
    mile.
 2
            0.
                  Okay.
                  So they are kind of two different
 3
            Α.
 4
    methods.
               The intent was to get to the same
 5
    endpoint.
                  The same endpoint of emissions
6
            Ο.
7
     from the combustion portion of the fleet,
8
    right?
                  Yeah -- well, from the total
9
            Α.
10
    fleet.
11
                  Okay. Well, what I want to ask
            0.
    about is the ZEV portion of the fleet.
12
13
            Would you agree that ZEVs don't emit
14
     any tailpipe emissions?
15
            Α.
                  Yes.
16
                  So if adopting ACC2 results in a
            Q.
17
    greater share of ZEVs in Illinois's new
18
    vehicle fleet, then criteria emissions from
19
    that new vehicle fleet will decrease also?
20
            Α.
                  Yes. Yes.
21
            Q.
                  Okay.
22
            Α.
                  Yeah.
23
                  And so I'm going to ask you one
            Ο.
24
    more time.
```

Page 30

Would you agree that adopting ACC2 over time will require the percentage of ZEVs in the new vehicle fleet to increase? Α. Oh, certainly. Q. Okay. So to sum up, you agree that ACC2 can reduce both greenhouse gas and criteria emissions from Illinois's new vehicle fleet by increasing of ZEV sales? Is that fair? 10

Α. Say that again.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Would you agree that ACC2 can Ο. reduce both greenhouse gas and criteria emissions from Illinois's new vehicle fleet as it increases the percentage of ZEV sales?
- I certainly agree that it can reduce the criteria. And I'm assuming with ACC2 that you are including the greenhouse gas, right, from California?
- Q. Well, I guess my question is --I'm less concerned about the effect of the fleet-wide average standard, and more concerned about the increasing sales share of zero-emission vehicles that we've been discussing.

Page 31 1 And I think I heard you say that 2 because ZEVs produce zero tailpipe emissions, that as that percentage increases, then the 3 overall emissions from the new fleet would 4 5 decrease? Α. 6 Correct. 7 Okay. So is it fair to say that 8 increasing the ZEV sales percentage is a 9 different mechanism for reducing emissions 10 than the fleet average approach used in the federal standards? 11 12 Correct. Α. 13 O. Okay. 14 I believe. Α. 15 All right. Now, we touched on Q. this a bit before, but from your pre-filed 16 17 questions, I understand that you have two main 18 disagreements with ERM about how ACC2 will 19 reflect ZEV deployment, and I would like to talk through those now. 20 2.1 So first, you claim that instead of 22 selling more ZEVs, automakers might reduce their sales of combustion vehicles in order to 23 comply with ACC2; and second, you claim that 24

Page 32 1 drivers might keep their older vehicles 2 longer. 3 Is that a fair summary of your 4 position? 5 Α. Correct. All right. Now, as we talked 6 0. 7 about before, you said you don't have the 8 expertise to critique other aspects of ERM's 9 analysis, like their assessment of the 10 emissions health and economic impacts of 11 increasing ZEV deployment, correct? 12 Α. Correct. 13 Ο. So --14 Or I think it was societal 15 benefits, is what the question was. Okay. If ERM is right that ACC2 16 0. 17 will not affect the total number of new 18 vehicles sold in Illinois, or how long drivers 19 hold onto old vehicles, and it only affects the percentage of new ZEV sales, then you 20 21 wouldn't have any basis for disagreeing with ERM's projections of the resulting emissions 22 23 health and societal benefits, do you? If -- so if, for example, you 24 Α.

Page 33 1 just banned all gas cars tomorrow -- is that kind of ERM's analysis, that it would produce 2 a large societal benefit? 3 4 My question to you is, we just 0. 5 talked about your points of disagreement with ERM, and how you don't have the expertise to 6 7 critique the other aspects of ERM's analysis. 8 So my question is just, if ERM is right 9 about these two issues, that the overall fleet size won't change and that the length of time 10 11 people keep vehicles in use won't change, then you wouldn't have a basis for disputing the 12 13 conclusions that follow from the rest of ERM's 14 analysis, is that right? 15 Well, I think I dispute the underlying assumptions, but you say if the 16 17 assumptions are correct. Then -- then I'm not 18 sure that that's --19 And the assumptions that you Ο. 20 dispute are these two things we have 21 identified, right? 22 Right. Right. Α. 23 Ο. Okay. So let's talk about those 24 now.

Page 34 1 Α. Okay. 2 Starting with your first concern about automakers reducing sales of combustion 3 vehicles. 4 5 On page 26 of your testimony, you describe a scenario where automakers increase 6 7 their ZEV sales by 20 percent per year, and 8 they comply with ACC2 not by selling more ZEVs 9 but by reducing their sales of other vehicles by 85 to 95 percent, right? 10 11 Α. Right. 12 And in that scenario, you say 13 automakers would eliminate over two million 14 vehicle sales, representing \$96 billion in lost revenue through 2035, correct? 15 16 Α. Correct. 17 So is it your position that automakers are more likely to leave \$96 18 19 billion on the table than to increase their ZEV sales? 20 2.1 Well, I think they are in -- in Α. 22 the analysis that you are referring to on page 23 26, the ZEV sales did increase, so they increased 20 percent year over year, correct. 24

Page 35 1 And then in addition to that, in addition to increasing sales, they would still have to 2 reduce the internal combustion engine vehicle 3 sales by 80, 85 percent. 4 5 And in this scenario you are describing, if automakers increased their ZEV 6 7 sales above the 20 percent that you have 8 assumed, they would be able to sell more 9 vehicles, including combustion vehicles, 10 correct? 11 Α. Right. And you are saying they wouldn't 12 Q. 13 do this; they would instead only sell an 14 increase of 20 percent ZEVs per year, and just curtail that \$96 billion of vehicle sales? 15 16 Α. Yeah, I think at some point it 17 becomes a market-driven -- I mean, you do have 18 to have buyers for these vehicles, and so at 19 some point it becomes market-driven. Now, I have some questions about 20 21 your assumption that ZEV sales will grow by 20 22

www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

In response to rule proponents'

pre-filed question 15, you acknowledged

percent per year.

23

24

Page 36 several historical examples where ZEV sales in 1 2 Illinois, California, and Germany grew significantly faster than 20 percent per year, 3 didn't you? 4 5 Correct. Α. In fact, the rate of ZEV sales 6 0. 7 growth in these examples is on par with the 8 rate of growth that you project would be 9 needed to reach ACC2's model year 2029 sales percentage, isn't that correct? 10 11 I'm sorry. Can you repeat that? Α. Sure. 12 The rate of growth in ZEV Q. 13 sales from these examples, that's on par with the rate of growth that you say would be 14 15 necessary to reach the 2029 sales percentage? 16 No, not even close, not at the Α. 17 levels that we are talking about. I mean, 18 there is no state in the country that has ever 19 even exceeded 30 percent sales of ZEVs.

Q. Okay --

20

21

22

23

24

ever. And in Germany, the one that you

They dropped 27 percent the next year.

referred to, after they removed the \$10,000

per vehicle rebate, sales completely tanked.

Page 37 And even in Germany, the ones you 1 are talking about was 27 percent, 29 percent. 2 So it's way below the 59 percent that's 3 required in the first year of Illinois. 4 5 Ο. Okay. And we'll talk about the overall level of penetration in just a moment, 6 7 but I want to focus for now on the rate. 8 And the rate of growth in these examples, year over year, is comparable to the 9 pace at which sales would have to increase 10 from where they are in Illinois to reach that 11 59 percent level? 12 13 To reach -- so it would be, what, 14 an 80 percent year-over-year increase or 15 something like that? 16 Ο. Yeah, something like a sixfold 17 increase through 2029. 18 Right, so in three years. So --Α. 19 Yeah. And I just want to confirm 0. that the pace from those examples --20 2.1 That's possible. It seems like a Α. 22 math problem. So --2.3 O. Okay. 24 Α. I can't do the math right now in

Page 38 1 my head. But -- but --2 But do you have any reason for disputing if I represent to you that the pace 3 4 is comparable? No, I can't dispute that if sales 5 increase by 620 percent from today to three 6 7 years from now, then I mean, that's -- that's 8 my testimony. 9 0. Okay. 10 And I don't -- I'm not familiar 11 with, like I say, in any of the examples provided that any of those came close to being 12 13 even half of the level that's required in 2029 14 in Illinois under ACC2. 15 [Interruption by the reporter.] 16 BY MR. JAMES DENNISON: 17 Ο. So I would like to talk now about 18 these two points you have identified. 19 overall level of sales, and as you mentioned, sales -- sales dipping after a point in some 20 21 of the examples. 22 MR. JAMES DENNISON: So Hearing 23 Officer Leoni, I have two documents that I 24 would like to show the witness, and I would

```
Page 39
    like to move these into evidence. I have got
1
    several copies that I could share. How would
2
    you like me to proceed?
4
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: So if you
5
    could state the name of the documents, and
    then we'll enter them one at a time as
6
7
    exhibits into evidence.
                  MR. JAMES DENNISON:
8
9
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: And
10
    that's how we'll go.
11
                  MR. JAMES DENNISON: The first is
    an article by World Resources Institute
12
13
    titled, "These countries are adopting electric
14
    vehicles the fastest."
15
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Okay. So
    that will be entered into the exhibit -- or
16
17
    excuse me -- entered into the record as
18
    Exhibit 8.
19
                  [Document marked as Exhibit No. 8
20
                  for identification.
2.1
                  MR. JAMES DENNISON: All right.
22
    And the second is an article by Carbon Tracker
23
    titled, "S curves in the driving seat of the
    energy transition."
24
```

```
Page 40
 1
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Okay.
    And that will be entered into the record as
 2
    Exhibit 9. And if you could, please, before
    you leave just leave copies with me and
 5
    Hearing Officer Horton.
6
                  MR. JAMES DENNISON: Okay. I'll
7
    be happy to.
8
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Thank
9
    you.
10
                  [Document marked as Exhibit No. 9
11
                  for identification. 1
12
    BY MR. JAMES DENNISON:
13
                 Mr. Douglas, I'll give you a copy
           0.
14
    of each of these.
15
                  MR. JAMES DENNISON: Should I
16
    distribute any other copies for folks to
17
    follow along?
18
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Yes,
19
    please.
20
                  MR. JAMES DENNISON: Okay.
2.1
                  [Discussion off the record.]
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: All
22
23
    right. So we'll go back on the record now.
    And before you resume, Mr. Dennison, I would
24
```

```
Page 41
1
    just like to ask the participants if there are
2
    any objections to entering these exhibits into
3
    the record.
                  MS. MELISSA BROWN: I mean -- so
4
5
    they were cited in your pre-filed questions?
                  MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK: I believe
6
7
    we asked the witnesses to respond to them in
8
    the pre-filed questions.
9
                  MS. MELISSA BROWN: Okay. But
    they weren't attached to the pre-filed --
10
11
                  MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK: No, but
12
    they're publicly available, just like the
13
    dozens of links that everyone put in their
14
    testimony.
15
                  MS. MELISSA BROWN: Right. Well,
16
    I mean, just to clarify, we provided links,
17
    but we also attached the documents in the
18
    link --
19
                  MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK: You're the
20
    only ones to do that, which we appreciate.
21
                  MS. MELISSA BROWN: You're
22
    welcome.
23
                  MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK:
                                         But --
24
    yes.
```

Page 42 1 MS. MELISSA BROWN: I mean, I guess I just wanted to note that in the first 2 hearing, there was a deadline to file exhibits 3 4 on COOL, the electronic system, before the 5 rulemaking. So I guess my objection would be 6 7 following the same procedure as the 8 rulemaking. I think it would have been more 9 proper to file the full exhibit ahead of this 10 hearing. So in that form, we'll object. 11 MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK: would just note that that order -- there was 12 13 no order for this hearing. The order for the first hearing only referring to demonstrative 14 15 exhibits, not substantive exhibits, which at the hearing I believe Ms. Brown was actually 16 17 the lawyer who introduced another -- well, 18 maybe not. 19 There was one lawyer who introduced one of the substantive exhibits, and it was 20 2.1 admitted then because it had been cited in the 22 previously-filed documents, even though it 23 hadn't been pre-filed with demonstrative exhibits. 24

```
Page 43
1
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Okay.
2
    Thank you both.
3
           Since we did not post a pre-filing
    deadline for substantive exhibits for this
4
5
    hearing, as counsel has pointed out, we will
    be allowing the exhibits into the record so
6
7
    that the Board can have as full and complete a
8
    record as possible in order to make our
9
    determination.
10
           Anything else from the participants?
11
           If not, we will proceed with Mr.
    Dennison's --
12
13
                 MS. MELISSA BROWN: Oh, my
14
    apologies. I would like to -- if we could
15
    pause and wait until we receive copies of it,
    just so I could follow along as the questions
16
17
    are going.
18
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI:
                                         Οf
19
    course. Sure. So we'll go off the record
    then to wait until we have copies.
20
2.1
                  [Discussion off the record.]
22
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Okay. We
23
    are back on the record now, resuming with the
24
    testimony of Mr. Douglas. Mr. Dennison?
```

Page 44 1 MR. JAMES DENNISON: All right. 2 Thank you. BY MR. JAMES DENNISON: 3 4 So Mr. Douglas, this first 5 document that I'm showing you is an article by World Resources Institute titled, "These 6 7 countries are adopting electric vehicles the 8 fastest." 9 And the second is an article by Carbon Tracker titled, "S curves in the driving seat 10 11 of the energy transition." So I would like to start with page 5 of 12 13 the World Resources Institute article. Do you see the chart showing EV sales percentages in 14 15 different countries? 16 Α. I do. 17 0. Okay. Let's focus on sales in Norway, represented by the yellow line. 18 19 Norway's sales went from below 20 percent in 2016 to over 60 percent in 2021. 20 21 Do you see that? 22 Α. I do. 23 So would you agree that this example shows that ZEV sales percentages well 24

Page 45 1 above 50 percent have been achieved? 2 Yes, with the appropriate amount of incentives. And if I'm not mistaken, I 3 think the incentives associated with Norway 4 5 were on the order of \$40,000 per vehicle, plus free parking, plus access to bus lanes. 6 7 So yeah, with a -- with broad, very, 8 very resource-intensive incentives, you can 9 certainly increase the ZEV sales. 10 Okay. And do you see how 0. 11 Norway's sales fell slightly from 2015 to 12 2016, and then they continued sharply 13 increasing? 14 Α. Yes. Do you see that sales have also 15 briefly leveled off but then continued to 16 17 climb in the blue line representing Iceland, 18 the green line representing the Netherlands, 19 and the red line representing China? 20 Α. Yes. 21 All right. And switching to the 0. 22 other article now. 23 Looking at pages 6 and mostly onto page 24 7, there is a chart of EV adoption in the

Page 46 1 United Kingdom. 2 Do you see that chart? 3 Which page are you on? Α. 4 Ο. It's page 7. 5 Α. Okay. Do you see how adoption in the 6 0. U.K. has been following an overall upward 7 8 trajectory, although there have been a few 9 dips down at various points? 10 In -- I'm kind of having trouble Α. 11 seeing the charts. 12 Q. It's -- let's focus on the top 13 chart, is probably easiest to see. Those dots 14 that are kind of plotted onto a line, those 15 represent sales percentages or market share over time. 16 17 Do you see that? 18 Α. Yes. 19 And do you see how the trend in 0. 20 those dots has been curving upward, although 21 there have been a few dips along the way? 22 Yes. The dots, not the line. Α. 2.3 Correct, the dots. The line is just plotted to the dots. 24

Page 47 1 Α. Okay. 2 Would you agree that these examples we have just been through show that 3 if ZEV sales hit a plateau, they can continue 4 5 to grow after plateauing? 6 Α. Certainly. 7 Ο. All right. Now, the ZEV sales 8 figures for 2024 that you cited in your 9 testimony only went through the third quarter of 2024, correct? 10 11 Α. Correct. Yeah. 12 Q. All right. And I guess this is 13 the point where I was hoping to ask a few 14 questions about one of the documents that's 15 being copied, so I don't know if we need to 16 wait, or I can circle back to this topic. 17 Α. Is this another document? 18 It is. 0. 19 Α. So I don't have that? No. Yeah. 20 Q. 2.1 Α. Okay. 22 HEARING OFFICER LEONI: It's one 23 that hasn't yet been distributed to anyone in the room? 24

Page 48 1 MR. JAMES DENNISON: I think they are working on making copies of it. So we 2 could either wait, or maybe I'll just come 3 back to this topic. I think that's probably 4 5 best. HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Let's --6 7 yeah, let's proceed and then come back to the 8 topic after you address things that don't 9 require additional copies at this time. 10 MR. JAMES DENNISON: BY MR. JAMES DENNISON: 11 Well, I'll start with a few more 12 13 questions then about your response to rule proponents' pre-filed question 16(b). And as 14 15 we go through these questions, I'll be referring to the two documents that you have 16 17 in front of you. 18 Α. Okay. 19 Mr. Douglas, are you familiar Ο. with the phenomenon of S curves for technology 20 21 adoption? 22 Yes. You mean as they apply to Α. 23 non-mandated technologies? 24 Q. Just in general. These S curves

Page 49 1 describe the pace at which a new technology is 2 adopted in a market over time. 3 Would you agree with that? 4 Yes. Yeah, typically not Α. 5 mandated, correct. Actually, never mandated, because you don't need a mandate if you got 6 7 that nice S curve. Correct. 8 Okay. Would you agree that one 0. 9 feature of S curves is that adoption starts relatively slowly, but then it rapidly 10 11 increases after reaching a tipping point? 12 Α. Yes, certainly, and again, I 13 think speaking of all the non-mandated 14 technologies like cell phones, computers, 15 telephones, things like that. 16 Okay. Right, and I'm just Q. 17 interested in S curves as they apply to 18 showing the pace at which a market can 19 develop. In your response to rule proponents' 20 21 question 16(b), you go through some examples 22 that you just mentioned, including telephones, 23 correct? Α. Correct. 24

Page 50 1 Q. First I would just like to 2 clarify, what was your source for the information about these examples? 3 I'm not exactly certain about the 4 Α. 5 source for them. I tried to summarize what I had read. 6 7 Okay. But using the example of Ο. 8 telephones, you say that, quote, between 1900 9 and 1980, penetration of telephones grew from 10 to 90 percent of U.S. homes, end quote. 10 11 that right? 12 Α. Yes. 13 And I just want to clarify, the 0. penetration that you are referring to here, 14 15 that's the number of U.S. homes that have a 16 telephone? 17 Α. Correct. 18 0. Correct? 19 And the percent penetrations that you cite for your other examples also represent 20 21 the portion of U.S. households that have 22 adopted the technology? 2.3 Α. Correct. So in the case of ZEVs, the 24 Q.

Page 51 1 corresponding number would be the percentage of vehicles on the road that are ZEVs, is that 2 3 right? I'm -- I'm sorry. Can you 5 restate that question? Right. Just to apply this --6 Ο. this calculation to ZEVs, their corresponding 7 8 number would be the percentage of vehicles 9 that are on the road or perhaps the percentage of U.S. households that drive a ZEV? Is that 10 11 right? 12 Α. I'm not -- are you -- I'm not sure exactly what the question is. 13 14 I just want to understand what a 15 level of penetration, compared to the examples that you gave, would look like in the case of 16 17 zero-emission vehicles. Yes, and you said the total 18 Α. 19 number of ZEVs on the road? Is that --Yeah. It would be --20 0. 21 Okay. Yeah. Α. 22 So if it was, you know, 10 Q. percent penetration, that would be 10 percent 23 24 of the road -- 10 percent of the vehicles on

Page 52 1 the road are ZEVs, right? 2 Α. Correct. Yeah. And that's different than a 3 Ο. percentage of new vehicle sales that are ZEVs, 4 5 right? Correct. Yeah, because a lot of 6 Α. 7 the vehicles on the road are used vehicles. 8 Q. Okay. And because --9 Α. All of them, in fact. 10 Because the number of annual O. 11 vehicle sales is much smaller than the total numbers of vehicles on the road, it will take 12 13 longer to increase the percentage of ZEVs on 14 the road than to increase the percentage of 15 ZEV sales, correct? 16 Α. Yeah, almost by definition. 17 0. All right. Thank you for 18 clarifying that. 19 So in your pre-filed answer to question 16(b) from the proponents, you say the S 20 21 curves typically apply to, quote, products 22 that offer a new benefit or vastly better 23 experience, end quote. 24 Is it fair to say that a product with a

Page 53 lower cost than the alternative product offers 1 a new benefit? 2 3 It's certainly a benefit, yes. Α. 4 Would you agree with the O. 5 statement in World Resources Institute's article at pages 2 to 3, saying that with S 6 7 curve adoption, quote, once a technology 8 reaches a tipping point, for example, when EVs 9 become cheaper than traditional gas- or diesel-powered vehicles, the trajectory curves 10 11 upward? 12 I'm sorry. Where are you at? Α. 13 Bottom of page 2, the top of page O. I can read it again, if you would like. 14 Okay. I'm right there. 15 Α. 16 Q. Now, would you agree that that's 17 a way in which S curve adoption can proceed, with the --18 19 I'm not certain that's true. you are just saying something that is a lower 20 21 price, all of a sudden it ramps up and becomes 100 percent? 22 2.3 I'm saying --0. Everything with a lower price 24 Α.

Page 54 1 doesn't ramp up. You know, like for example, 2 IBM computers were cheaper than Apple computers, but that did not lead to an S 3 4 curve. 5 I'm just asking if you would Q. agree that the point at which new technology 6 7 becomes lower-priced than the incumbent 8 technology could be a tipping point. 9 Α. No, I wouldn't agree. 10 You don't think there are any O. 11 cases where that could be a tipping point? Certainly not in the case that we 12 Α. 13 are talking about, with electric vehicles. 14 mean, there are more factors that consumers 15 consider than just the cost, right? Like for example, fuel cell vehicles. If I said, oh, I 16 17 can get you a hydrogen fuel cell vehicle and 18 it's only \$10,000, that's a \$60,000 car, would 19 that be valuable to you if you don't have any hydrogen fuel? No. It would be a yard 20 21 ornament and not valuable. So --22 Q. Okay. 23 So I wouldn't -- I wouldn't agree

with that. And again, in the situation that

24

Page 55 1 we are talking about. 2 Okay. Just one more question on Ο. the price. 3 In response to proponents' projections 4 5 that ZEVs will soon have lower upfront costs than combustion vehicles, even without 6 7 incentives, you did not include any information in your testimony projecting 8 9 future ZEV costs, correct? 10 I did not, no. Α. 11 All right. Do you have any Ο. reason to dispute the finding reported at page 12 13 2 of the World Resources Institute article, which says that, quote, while EV sales have 14 15 started accelerating at different years for different countries, they are all following a 16 17 similar S curve pattern of growth? 18 And so what was the question? Α. Ι 19 read that. My question is, do you have any 20 21 reason to dispute this finding that EV sales 22 are exhibiting S curve patterns of growth? 2.3 No, I can't dispute it or confirm Α. it. 24

Page 56

Q. All right. Now, please refer to the Carbon Tracker article. That's the other one at page 1.

Do you see where it says, quote, the S curve is a well-established phenomenon where a new technology reaches a certain catalytic tipping point, typically five to 10 percent market share, and then rapidly reaches a high market share, i.e., 50 percent or more, within just a couple years once passing this tipping point?

Do you see that?

A. Yes.

- Q. You say in your testimony that ZEVs' current market share in Illinois is within that five to 10 percent range, correct?
 - A. Certainly.
- Q. And if ZEV market share in

 Illinois were to follow an S curve like the

 one described in this article, reaching above

 50 percent market share within a few years,

 that would be faster than the 20 percent per

 year ZEV market growth that you assumed in

 your testimony, wouldn't it?

```
Page 57
1
                  If the market in Illinois jumped
 2
    to 59 percent in two years?
 3
                  If it follows the trajectory
           0.
    described in the article.
 4
 5
                  Yeah, certainly if the market
    share of ZEVs in Illinois jumped to 59 or 60
6
7
    percent in the next couple years, then that
8
    would be greater than 20 percent
9
    year-over-year growth.
                  MR. JAMES DENNISON: Okay.
10
                                               Ιt
11
    sounds like we might have copies? Maybe I can
12
    turn back to that at this point.
13
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Sure.
14
                  MR. JAMES DENNISON: I don't know
15
    where --
16
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: They are
17
    circulating. People are passing them around.
18
                  MR. JAMES DENNISON: Oh, okay.
19
    Okay.
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: If you
20
21
    would prefer to just give it a minute, it
22
    looks like they are close.
2.3
                  MR. JAMES DENNISON:
24
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: And can I
```

```
Page 58
1
    ask, do you have one or two more exhibits to
2
    enter?
3
                  MR. JAMES DENNISON: I have one.
4
    I -- I think the other one won't be necessary.
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI:
5
                                           Okay.
                  MR. JAMES DENNISON: We could go
6
    ahead and admit it, if that would be the
7
8
    cleanest way. I don't really have a
9
    preference.
10
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: If you
11
    are planning to ask questions about it, we
12
    will need to admit it into the record, but if
13
    you are not, I leave it up to you.
14
                  MR. JAMES DENNISON: Okay. I --
15
    it discusses a topic on which I think Mr.
    Douglas and I won't have any disagreements,
16
17
    and so the article won't be necessary, but if
18
    there is any need for clarification, that
19
    would be the purpose of introducing it.
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI:
20
21
    Why don't we go ahead and introduce it.
22
           And as long as we are waiting for
    copies, I would just like to take this moment
23
24
    to say that though a hearing officer order
```

Page 59 1 wasn't issued, setting a deadline, the Board's 2 procedural rules with which everyone participating in the Board rulemaking should 3 familiarize themselves do say that there is a 4 5 21-day pre-filing deadline before a hearing to 6 admit any evidence-related exhibits, 7 questions, responses, and pre-filed testimony, which is what we are proceeding with today and 8 9 for future board rulemakings. That is Section 10 102.424. 11 MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK: And 12 just -- sorry. With the pre-filed answers 13 only coming this Monday, and some of these 14 documents being the things cited in those, we 15 assume that the hearing officer orders were superseding that rule, because it would be 16 17 impossible for us to provide exhibits that we 18 didn't even know existed until six days before 19 the hearing. HEARING OFFICER LEONI: I don't 20 2.1 know where you would have gotten the 22 assumption that something would supersede the 23 Board's procedural rule, when we are 24 conducting a hearing pursuant to the Board's

Page 60 1 procedural rules. 2 MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK: understood. Hearing officer orders often 3 adjusts deadlines in the rules. That's why --4 5 but apologies. 6 HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Okay. 7 Well, we didn't in this instance. So if the 8 future, if there is anything that any participant in the rulemaking would like to 9 address in questioning or testimony, please 10 11 pre-file that with the Board. If it is later than 21 days before the hearing, so be it, but 12 13 please do make an effort to pre-file with the 14 Board's Clerk Office On-Line and serve it on 15 all of the participants on the service list in 16 the rulemaking. 17 So now that that's out there, Mr. 18 Dennison, please proceed. 19 MR. JAMES DENNISON: Yeah, we 20 really appreciate everyone's flexibility, and 21 apologies for the misunderstanding. 22 I don't know if Mr. Douglas has a copy 23 of the document I was hoping to turn to now. 24 Α. I don't. I just have --

```
Page 61
1
                  MR. JAMES DENNISON: Is that
 2
    still circulating?
 3
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI:
                                            I guess
    we'll go off the record while we're waiting.
 4
 5
                  THE REPORTER:
                                  Okay. Off the
    record.
6
                  [Discussion off the record.]
7
8
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Okay.
9
    We'll go back on the record and address other
10
    questions.
11
                  MR. JAMES DENNISON:
                                        Okay.
    BY MR. JAMES DENNISON:
12
                  Thanks for bearing with me, Mr.
13
            Ο.
14
    Douglas.
15
                  Certainly.
16
                  Mr. Douglas, are you familiar
            Q.
17
    with the concept of technology-forcing
    standards?
18
19
            Α.
                  Yes.
20
                  Is it fair to describe these as
21
    standards that require the adoption of an
22
    emissions control technology that is not yet
23
    in widespread use?
24
                  Yes, I think that's fair.
            Α.
```

Page 62 1 Q. Would you agree that historically, technology-forcing standards 2 have led to the successful adoption of many 3 vehicle emission control technologies, 4 5 including catalytic converters, fuel injection, and onboard diagnostics? 6 7 Can you repeat the first part of 8 that question? 9 Ο. Would you agree that technology-forcing standards have historically 10 11 led to the successful adoption of many control technologies, including catalytic converters, 12 13 fuel injection, and onboard diagnostics? 14 Certainly -- well, I'm not sure 15 about all of them, but yeah, certainly some of the technology-forcing regulations have 16 17 required the spread -- I mean, most of the 18 technologies were developed by the industry. [Interruption by the reporter.] 19 BY MR. JAMES DENNISON: 20 21 And are you aware of any instance 22 where one of these technology-forcing 23 standards resulted in automakers cutting sales by millions of vehicles and billions of 24

Page 63 1 dollars? No, I think the difference in all 2 of these technologies, onboard diagnostics, 3 catalytic converters, they were pretty unknown 4 5 by the customer. So, okay, the vehicle price might have 6 went up \$50 or \$100, but for the customer, 7 they didn't have to change their lifestyle to 8 9 use a catalytic converter. They don't have to change their lifestyle to use onboard 10 11 diagnostics. They don't have to change their lifestyle to use fuel injectors. 12 13 That's not really the case with 14 electric vehicles and the ZEV mandate, where 15 you do have to -- particularly if you are low-income, you lived in multi-family housing, 16 17 or you rent, or you don't have access to home 18 charging, which is very cheap, very reliable, 19 and very convenient. So I think there is a difference 20 2.1 between -- between what you are talking about 22 and the zero-emission vehicle mandate. 2.3 Q. Okay. And I appreciate the detail, but just to confirm, your answer to my 24

Page 64

question was no?

- A. Can you repeat the question?
- Q. No, you're not aware of any instance of a technology-forcing standard resulting in automakers cutting their sales by millions of vehicles and billions of dollars?
- A. No, I mean, I'm fully aware of many standards that did not proceed as the regulatory intention. I mean, many, many including the catalytic converter, you know, where there were starts and fits to it, where the regulations were adopted, they had a specific timeline, and they failed to meet those -- those -- those were changed.
- Q. Okay. I would like to briefly turn to your other point of disagreement with ERM's analysis, your claim that adopting ACC2 could cause drivers to keep their old vehicles longer.

If your pre-filed testimony and your responses to pre-filed questions, you don't point to any examples where emission standards have actually caused drivers to keep their old vehicles longer, do you?

Page 65

A. Well, I think the trend that you see is increasing cost, and the part of that increasing cost is the regulatory requirements, whether those are safety or emissions.

And I'm not disputing the benefit of those, but I think what you see is that vehicles are being kept longer. You know, the average age a of new vehicle now is 12 years, 12-and-a-half, and before it was 10 years, so it's creeping up. So yeah, I think there's ample evidence of that.

- Q. Do you cite any examples in your testimony showing that the increased age of vehicles is a result of standards and not something else, like better manufactured vehicles that last longer?
- A. You mean increasing costs, like a correlation between the fact that vehicle prices have continued to increase and the vehicles on the road -- the average age of those vehicles has continued to increase?
- Q. I'm just asking if there is anything in your testimony showing that people

Page 66

hold onto vehicles longer as a result of emission standards.

A. No. No.

2.1

- Q. Your testimony doesn't point to any examples where ACC1 has caused drivers to keep their old vehicle longer, in the states that have adopted it, correct?
- A. No, I think the trend overall -- and keep in mind that ACC1 is kind of parallel with the federal program -- in fact, they are identical on the criteria side, very close on the greenhouse gas side. And so I think the trend overall in the U.S. is that the average age of vehicles is increasing, and the average price of vehicles has certainly increased.
- Q. But just to confirm, the answer to my question is, no, you don't point to any examples of vehicles in ACC1 states being held onto longer as a result of the standard?
- A. Yeah, no, I'm just pointing out that I'm not sure there is a lot of difference in an ACC1 state and a non-ACC1 state.
- Q. Okay. And your testimony in pre-filed question responses don't cite to any

Page 67 sources or steps looking at whether emission 1 2 standards actually lead to this result, do they? 3 No, I don't believe so. Α. 5 Okay. You also don't cite any Q. sources or studies looking at whether this 6 7 would lead to an overall increase in emissions 8 if this effect were to occur, do you? 9 So if you -- you are saying older cars pollute less than newer cars? 10 I'm saying you don't cite any 11 Ο. studies showing that the net effect of changes 12 13 to the new vehicle fleet and whatever might be 14 happening with the old fleet results in an 15 overall increase in emissions. You don't have anything like that, 16 17 correct? 18 No, I thought it was self-evident 19 that if you keep older vehicles longer, and a knowledge of the emission standards over the 20 21 last 30 years will show that older vehicles 22 are vastly higher emitting than newer 23 vehicles, and so it seems self-evident. And as we discussed earlier, you 24 Q.

Page 68 1 don't have the expertise to develop projections of how changes in the vehicle 2 3 fleet will affect overall emissions and health outcomes, correct? 4 5 Well, I think what the question Α. was, societal benefits and health outcomes. I 6 7 can't predict the -- the number of 8 hospitalizations, things like that. I think 9 the societal benefits was what you were 10 referencing. Societal benefits is what you 11 were referencing. 12 0. Correct. And -- thank you. 13 So my question, my last question on 14 this topic is, even if this phenomenon of driving -- of vehicles holding onto -- sorry, 15 drivers holding onto their old vehicles, even 16 17 if that does occur, your testimony doesn't 18 provide a basis for concluding that this would 19 outweigh the emission reductions from a cleaner new vehicle fleet under ACC2, does it? 20 2.1 Repeat that again. I'm --Α. 22 I'm asking, even if this Q. 23 phenomenon of drivers holding onto their vehicles longer does occur, your testimony 24

Page 69 1 doesn't provide any basis to support a 2 conclusion that this would outweigh the 3 emission reductions from a cleaner new vehicle fleet, does it? 4 5 So if people keep their older, higher-emitting cars longer, will that 6 7 outweigh the increase in ZEV sales in one 8 model year? 9 Ο. Right, and I'm just asking you to confirm, your testimony doesn't have anything 10 11 weighing those two effects and indicating which one would be greater, does it? 12 13 Α. No, I don't think so. 14 MR. JAMES DENNISON: Okay. 15 we perhaps ready to turn back to that other topic? Or not just yet? Okay. Okay. 16 17 MR. CHASE DEATRICK: I think 18 these might be the copies. 19 MR. JAMES DENNISON: I'll look real quick. If not, it's fine. I'll just 20 21 keep going. I would like to turn now to the 22 topic of charging infrastructure. 2.3 BY MR. JAMES DENNISON: 24 Q. So starting at page 29 of your

Page 70 1 testimony, you say there that, quote, the 2 question remains how many chargers Illinois 3 would need to keep pace with EV adoption. that right? 4 5 Α. Correct. Am I correct in understanding 6 0. 7 this to mean that you do not have a specific projection for how many EV chargers would need 8 9 to be installed in Illinois if it adopted 10 ACC2? 11 No, I think we would rely on the Α. National Renewable Energy Lab. 12 13 Okay. And that's the National 0. 14 Renewable Energy Lab, or NREL, report that you 15 cited in response to proponents' pre-filed 16 question 19? 17 Α. Correct. Yeah, and it's 18 mentioned on page 28 of my testimony. 19 Okay. So in that pre-filed O. question response, you compare the number of 20 21 charging ports in the NREL report's estimate 22 for how many chargers would be needed to 23 support 1.1 million EVs in Illinois by 2030, 24 you compare that with the number of

Page 71 1 incremental charging points that ERM estimates Illinois will need by 2030 if it adopts ACC2. 2 Is that right? 3 4 Α. Correct. 5 0. And the number of chargers from the ERM study, you say, is substantially lower 6 7 than those projected by NREL, correct? 8 Correct. I wasn't exactly sure 9 based on that small chart on the ERM -- in the statement of reasons, I believe -- it was kind 10 11 of hard for me to decipher where those numbers, how they fell, because there were 12 13 multiple scenarios, but yeah, to your point, 14 yeah. 15 Overall, you say they are lower? Ο. 16 Α. Yeah. Yeah, in my pre-filed 17 answer, I thought the ERM study showed about 18 152,000 Level 2 home chargers. 19 Now, NREL's projections are for 0. the total number of chargers that would be 20 21 needed in Illinois in a 1.1 million vehicle 22 scenario, correct? 23 I'm sorry. Which one? The ERM or the NREL? 24

Page 72 1 Q. NREL. NREL. 2 Α. NREL. Yeah. 3 That's for the total number of Q. chargers? 4 5 Α. Right. And are you aware that ERM's 6 0. 7 projections are for the incremental number of 8 chargers; that is, the additional number of chargers that would need to be installed if 9 10 Illinois adopted ACC2 compared to a baseline 11 scenario where Illinois continues to implement the current EPA emission standards? 12 13 Α. Okay. So they are using -- so an 14 additional 152,000? 15 0. Right. That's the incremental 16 number, not the total number. 17 Α. Right. Is that per year? 18 Because it seems like per year was mentioned. 19 Well, the chart I think that was Ο. cited in the question gives -- it's kind of a 20 21 running cumulative number of incremental 22 chargers that's updated in each year of the 2.3 table. 24 Α. Okay.

Page 73 1 So for example, that 150-some-odd thousand that you mentioned, that would be the 2 cumulative number of incremental chargers that 3 had been installed through 2030 as a result of 4 5 adopting the rule. Does that make sense? 6 7 No, the cumulative number of 8 incremental -- I'm sorry. Can you repeat 9 that? 10 Right. It's the cumulative 0. 11 number of chargers through 2030 that would be installed if the rule were adopted but that 12 13 otherwise wouldn't have been installed if 14 Illinois continues enforcing the federal EPA 15 standards. 16 Α. Okay. 17 Okay. Now, the total number of 18 chargers that would be adopted across the 19 state is different than that incremental number we've been discussing, correct? 20 2.1 Yes, I think so. Α. 22 Okay. And you say in your Q. 23 testimony that the EPA's standards that are being used as the baseline by ERM, those would 24

Page 74 1 lead to a significant level of ZEV adoption, 2 correct? 3 Correct. So does this distinction between 4 5 the total number of chargers in the NREL study and the incremental number of chargers in the 6 7 ERM, does that help you make sense of the 8 difference between the numbers that are cited 9 in each of those two reports? 10 Yeah, that would make more sense. Α. 11 So you agree that the -- or the ERM would agree with the NREL numbers. 12 13 Well, I quess that's -- that's my 0. 14 question, is you don't have any reason to 15 believe that these two sets of numbers are --16 A. Different. 17 O. -- different or inconsistent 18 with each other? 19 So yeah -- no, I don't. So I think the NREL is -- and what you are 20 21 suggesting, or I believe what you are 22 suggesting, is that the ERM supports the NREL 2.3 numbers. Okay. So would you agree there 24 Q.

Page 75 1 is nothing in your testimony or in your 2 pre-filed responses that controverts ERM's estimates of how many additional chargers 3 would be needed if Illinois adopts ACC2? 4 5 Α. No, again, assuming that ERM is aligned with NREL. I think that's --6 Okay. And similar for the 7 Ο. 8 estimated cost? 9 If ERM's estimated incremental cost corresponds to the number of chargers that 10 11 they assume will be needed, you don't have 12 anything in your testimony that's inconsistent 13 with that cost estimate? 14 Α. No. No, I don't. 15 Okay. Stepping back to discuss pages 28 to 33 of your testimony a little more 16 17 generally on the charging infrastructure 18 point. 19 Α. Okay. In this section of testimony, you 20 2.1 identified some of the charging needs, such as 22 a mix of home and public charging, as well as 2.3 the actors that will be needed to collaborate 24 to make the needed investments, and some

Page 76 1 challenges to getting this infrastructure in 2 place. 3 Is that a fair summary of this section? 4 Α. Yes. 5 But your testimony does not Ο. discuss the existing and planned activities or 6 7 investments that are being made to develop 8 Illinois's charging network, does it? 9 Α. No. 10 For example, your testimony 11 doesn't mention the \$27 million that the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency, or 12 13 IEPA, made available for fast charging in 14 2023, does it? 15 No, I'm not sure that -- I agree, 16 and we certainly support that, but I'm not 17 sure, your point. 18 Okay. You also don't address the 0. 19 \$25.1 million that IEPA made available for charging in 2024, correct? 20 2.1 Correct. Α. 22 Your testimony also --Q. 2.3 Again, I wasn't -- I don't think Α. 24 I provided a list of the amount that each

Page 77 1 state agency, whether that's ICC or Illinois 2 EPA, has provided to each charging station. 3 Right. And so I'm just going to Q. go through a few examples here of some of the 4 5 investments that are being made and confirm 6 that those don't appear in your testimony. 7 So you don't mention the \$5 million per 8 year approved for home charging incentives, or 9 the \$35 million per year approved for 10 make-ready investments in ComEd's 2023 11 beneficial electrification plan, do you? 12 Α. No. 13 Nor do you mention the pilot 0. 14 projects included in ComEd's beneficial 15 electrification plan designed to address charging-related challenges such as curbside 16 17 challenging (sic) and avoiding the need for 18 electric panel upgrades, do you? 19 No. Have those even started, the pilot projects that you mentioned? 20 2.1 I think we noted in pre-filed 22 questions that they are slated to start in the 23 first quarter of 2025.

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

So they should have started?

24

Α.

Page 78

- Q. Your testimony doesn't mention the plan to existing charging investments that are being made by local governments, school districts, transit agencies, or the private sector in Illinois, does it?
- A. No. No. I think it's all -- you know, we certainly support that, and I think more is needed.
- Q. Okay. And your testimony doesn't address the additional \$100 million that ComEd recently announced in rebates for EV charging and fleet purchases, which the Board cited in its pre-filed question directed to all witnesses, correct?
- A. Yes. Yeah, I mean, I responded to that in the pre-filed question.
- Q. Okay. So in response to that question, you say you wholeheartedly support programs like these, but that, quote, a single program like the ComEd program is unlikely to significantly change the ZEV market in Illinois, end quote.

Now, we have just been talking through not one but several examples of policies and

Page 79 1 programs to support infrastructure development, correct? 2 3 Α. Correct. And would you agree that this 4 5 isn't an exhaustive list, there are other such programs beyond the ones we have discussed, 6 7 including some that came up in rule 8 proponents' pre-filed questions? 9 Α. Oh, I'm certain. 10 O. So would you agree that your 11 testimony does not comprehensively review Illinois's investments and actions to build 12 13 out the state's charging infrastructure in 14 response to needs and challenges like the ones 15 you identified in your testimony? 16 Can you repeat that question Α. 17 again? 18 Ο. Would you agree your Sure. 19 testimony doesn't comprehensively review the actions that are being taken to build out 20 2.1 charging infrastructure and address challenges 22 like the ones you identify? 2.3 No. Α. 24 Q. All right. Just a few more

Page 80 1 questions. In your pre-filed testimony, you say 2 that Illinois should follow Colorado's lead to 3 accelerate its ZEV market, correct? 5 Α. Correct. 6 And in your response to rule O. 7 proponents' pre-filed question 25, you say 8 that Colorado's level of investment in 9 developing its ZEV market is now comparable to 10 California's, correct? 11 Yeah, I said the incentives that are offered by Colorado are actually higher 12 than in California, and they apply to a 13 14 broader set of consumers, vastly broader, and 15 vastly higher. 16 Okay. So you emphasize those Ο. 17 incentives that Colorado offers in your -- in 18 your response? 19 Α. Yeah. In the context of this question 20 2.1 and your testimony, I take your answer to mean 22 that if a state invests in ZEV market 23 development at a level that's similar to 24 Colorado's, it will be well positioned to

Page 81 1 advance ZEV adoption. Is that right? Well, I think certainly it 2 enhances -- I mean, Colorado is offering 3 \$11,000 per vehicle, plus another \$12,000 from 4 5 the federal government. So yeah, I mean, Colorado's market has 6 7 advanced pretty substantially, and I think 8 that would be true in any state that offers 9 that kind of incentive. 10 0. Okay. 11 And they have also, you know, done -- and, again, without comprehensively 12 13 reviewing Colorado's infrastructure -- but they have been very involved in that. 14 15 O. Now, you mentioned Colorado's EV tax credit. 16 17 The credit that's available to general 18 EV purchasers, not low-income purchasers 19 specifically, is currently \$3,500, correct? 20 Correct, as of January 1st of 21 2025. Correct. 22 Q. Okay. 23 And then previously I think it 24 was \$5,000 per vehicle.

Page 82 1 Q. Okay. Are you aware that Illinois currently offers a \$4,000 state 2 rebate for EV purchases? 3 4 Yes, I am. I would note that 5 Colorado has been offering this \$4,000 to \$5,000 rebate for the last seven years, and 6 7 it's available year in, year out, year 'round, 8 so there has never been a stoppage of that. 9 I thought, and maybe I'm wrong, but I thought the Illinois program was not quite as 10 11 broad that's available to everyone, as the Colorado program which is. 12 13 Okay. Ο. 14 And again, maybe I'm wrong on Α. 15 that. You're not aware of any 16 Q. 17 restrictions on eligibility for Illinois's EV 18 state-level rebate, correct? 19 Yeah -- no, I'm not sure if there are any restrictions on the eligibility, nor 20 21 am I aware -- familiar with kind of 22 restrictions on, you know, is it \$4,000 per 23 vehicle on every electric vehicle sold 24 throughout the year or for how many years it's

Page 83 1 available on that. Again, maybe it is. 2 Are you aware that the first transportation electrification plan from a 3 4 Colorado utility was approved in 2021? 5 Α. The first transportation electrification -- yeah, that seems about 6 7 right. 8 Are you aware that this was two 0. 9 years after Colorado enacted a rule to adopt ACC1 in 2019? 10 11 That's certainly possible that it Α. was involved in that. 12 13 Okay. Are you aware that ACC1 0. 14 went into effect in Colorado in model year 15 2022? That seems about -- seems about 16 Α. 17 right. I thought it was 2023, but you're 18 probably right. 19 Q. Are you aware that the first beneficial electrification plan from Illinois 20 21 utilities, those were approved in 2023, just 22 two years after Colorado's first 23 transportation electrification plan? 24 Α. Can you repeat the question? I'm

Page 84 1 not sure I follow that. 2 Are you aware that the first beneficial electrification plans from Illinois 3 utilities were approved in 2023? 4 5 I was not aware of the timing of Α. the beneficial electrification plan in 6 7 Illinois. 8 Would you have any reason to --0. 9 Α. No, I don't dispute it. -- dispute that? Okay. 10 0. 11 Just you asked me if I was aware Α. of it, and I said I wasn't. 12 13 Fair enough. Let me see if I can 0. turn back to that other topic we skipped over 14 15 just briefly. And we'll see if --HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Excuse 16 17 me, Mr. Dennison. Before you start a new line 18 of questioning, I'm just thinking, it's about 19 10:30. This might be a good time for an official 10-minute break, 10- to 15-minute 20 21 break for us, if that works for you, unless 22 this is a very brief amount of questioning. 23 MR. JAMES DENNISON: It is very brief, but I would be fine either way. 24

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 03/25/2025

```
Page 85
1
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Yeah, if
    it will take less than five minutes, go ahead.
 2
 3
                  MR. JAMES DENNISON: Okay. Oh,
    and it sounds like we have the document?
 4
 5
    Okay.
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Actually,
6
7
    you know what, if it pertains to a new
8
    document, let's break now.
9
                  MR. JAMES DENNISON: Okay. Sure.
10
    Okay.
11
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: We'll go
    off the record for a 10-minute break, and
12
13
    we'll reconvene at 10:40.
14
                  [A recess was taken.]
15
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: It is
16
    10:45, so we are going to resume with the
17
    questioning of Mr. Douglas now, and we'll go
18
    back on the record, as long as everyone is
19
    here.
20
                  [Interruption by the reporter.]
21
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Now back
22
    on the record. And now, Mr. Dennison, if you
    would like to proceed.
23
    BY MR. JAMES DENNISON:
24
```

Page 86 1 Q. All right, Mr. Douglas. Just a 2 few more questions here. 3 Let's return to earlier in our conversation, where we were discussing the ZEV 4 5 sales figures for 2024 from your pre-filed 6 testimony that only went up through the third 7 quarter. 8 Α. Correct. 9 Q. Correct? Okay. Now, you should have in front of you a 10 11 document by Cox Automotive titled, "Electric vehicles sales jump higher in Q4, pushing U.S. 12 sales to a record 1.3 million." 13 14 MS. MELISSA BROWN: And Melissa 15 Brown for the Alliance. We just want to preserve our objection to this rulemaking, 16 17 that it's against the Part 102 of the Board's 18 rules, it was not filed electronically within 19 21 days or before 21 days of this hearing. HEARING OFFICER LEONI: 20 21 Brown's objection is noted. Any other 22 comments? 2.3 Okay. Please proceed. 24 MR. JAMES DENNISON: Noting that

Page 87 1 objection, we would like to move to admit it into evidence. 2 3 HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Yes, the exhibit -- or excuse me, the article will be 4 5 admitted into evidence as Exhibit 10, with Ms. Brown's objection noted. 6 7 MR. JAMES DENNISON: Okay. Thank 8 you. 9 [Document marked as Exhibit No. 10 10 for identification.] 11 BY MR. JAMES DENNISON: Mr. Douglas, are you familiar 12 13 with Cox Automotive, who publishes information 14 about vehicle sales through its Kelley Blue 15 Book? 16 Α. Yes. 17 In fact, you cited some 18 information from Kelley Blue Book in your 19 pre-filed testimony, correct? 20 Α. Correct. 2.1 Would you say that Cox Automotive 0. 22 and Kelley Blue Book provide reliable 23 information about the automotive industry and vehicle sales? 24

Page 88 1 Α. Sure. Yes. Do you see the first sentence on 2 O. page 1 of this document saying that U.S. EV 3 sales, quote, jumped 15.2 percent year over 4 5 year of the fourth quarter of 2025 to 265,824, setting a new volume record for any quarter? 6 7 Α. Yes. 8 Ο. Do you see the next sentence, 9 saying 2024's full year EV sales were 7.3 percent higher than 2023 sales? 10 11 Α. Yes. 12 And on the last paragraph of page Q. 13 3, do you see where it says that in spite of policy changes in Washington, quote, Cox 14 15 Automotive is expecting 2025 to set another record for EV volume? 16 17 Yeah, the sentence after the one 18 that says that many buyers might jump in 19 before changes are made, yes. Yes. Do you see that, though? 20 0. 21 Yes, I see it. Α. 22 Okay. Is it fair to say these Q. results indicate that the 2024 plateau or 23 stagnation in EV sales that you described in 24

www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

```
Page 89
1
    your testimony could be starting to reverse?
                  It's possible. I can't conclude
2
    anything based on that.
3
4
                  MR. JAMES DENNISON: All right.
5
    That's all my questions. Thank you, Mr.
    Douglas.
6
7
                  MR. STEVEN DOUGLAS:
                                       Thank you,
8
    Mr. Dennison.
9
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Okay.
    Thank you, Mr. Dennison. And thank you, Mr.
10
11
    Douglas.
           Are there any additional questions for
12
13
    the witness at this time?
14
                  MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK: Not on
15
    behalf of the Chicago Environmental Justice
    Network or Respiratory Health Association.
16
17
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI:
                                           Thank
18
    you. Okay.
19
           And hearing none, that wraps it up for
    Mr. Douglas. Thank you, Mr. Douglas.
20
2.1
                  MR. STEVEN DOUGLAS: Great.
22
                  [Steven Douglas excused.]
2.3
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Next, we
24
    have scheduled the testimony of Mike Stieren
```

```
Page 90
1
    and Lawrence Doll of the Illinois Automobile
    Dealers Association.
2
3
           And I would just like to ask, would Mr.
    Doll and Mr. Stieren like to give their
4
5
    testimony jointly?
6
                  MR. LAWRENCE DOLL: Sure, please.
7
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Yeah.
8
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI:
9
    Excellent. We'll get you another chair then.
10
            And once they are settled in, would the
11
    court reporter please swear in the witnesses?
                  [Lawrence Doll and Michael
12
13
                  Stieren sworn in by the court
14
                  reporter.]
15
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Okay.
16
    Thank you.
17
            As mentioned earlier, the pre-filed
18
    testimony of Mr. Doll and Mr. Stieren is
    entered into the record as if read. That will
19
    be Exhibit Number 11.
20
2.1
                 [Document marked as Exhibit No. 11
22
                 for identification.]
2.3
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: At this
    time, do the witnesses wish to offer a brief
24
```

```
Page 91
1
    introduction or summary, starting with Mr.
2
    Doll?
3
                  MR. LAWRENCE DOLL: Sure. First
4
    of all, thanks for having us.
5
           The Illinois Automobile Dealership --
    Dealers Association represents approximately
6
7
    700 franchised new vehicle dealers,
8
    representing about 43,000 direct jobs and
9
    51,000 indirect jobs, collecting $2.9 billion
    in sales tax every year, which is about one
10
11
    out of every seven sales tax dollars collected
    by the State of Illinois, and employing an
12
13
    average salary of approximately $78,700 per
14
    dealership employee earnings.
15
            So our members are obviously concerned
    about the impact that this ACC2 would have on
16
17
    jobs in Illinois, as well as tax revenue.
18
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Yes, not
19
    much I could add, but looking forward to the
    discussion.
20
2.1
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Okay.
22
    Thank you both. And now if the witnesses are
23
    ready, we'll proceed to questions.
24
            Since we have questions from the Board,
```

```
Page 92
1
    the agency, and proponents entered as if read,
    and we have entered the pre-filed -- or we
2
    have accepted, excuse me, the pre-filed
    answers of the witnesses, would the witnesses
5
    now like to enter their pre-filed answers as
    if read as well?
6
7
                  MR. LAWRENCE DOLL: Yes, please.
8
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN:
                                         Yes,
9
    please.
10
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI:
11
    Excellent. And so that will be Exhibit Number
    12.
12
13
                 [Document marked as Exhibit No. 12
14
                 for identification.]
15
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: And are
16
    there any questions for the witnesses today?
17
18
            The witnesses, MICHAEL STIEREN and
    LAWRENCE DOLL, first having been duly sworn,
19
    jointly testified as follows:
20
21
                      EXAMINATION
22
    BY MR. CHASE DEATRICK:
2.3
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK:
                                        Hi.
                                             T'm
    Chase Deatrick, appearing under a 711 license
24
```

```
Page 93
1
    on behalf of Chicago Environmental Justice
    Network and Respiratory Health Association.
2
           And I guess the first question I'll ask
3
    is how best to direct my questions. I guess
4
5
    I'll go to you, Mr. Stieren --
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN:
6
                                       Sure.
7
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: -- and
8
    then, Mr. Doll, you can jump in?
9
                  MR. LAWRENCE DOLL: Sure.
10
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: That sounds
11
    good to me.
           And so to confirm, your testimony is
12
13
    wholly focused towards ACC2, correct?
14
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN:
                                       That is --
15
    yes, that is correct. You know, as an auto
    dealer association, we are obviously focused
16
17
    on light-duty passenger vehicles, and our
18
    testimony speaks to that.
19
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: Sounds good.
20
    And I know you both listed some experience in
21
    response to our first pre-filed question in
22
    them right there.
2.3
           Do either of you have experience as a
    decision-maker for an automobile manufacturer?
24
```

```
Page 94
1
                  MR. LAWRENCE DOLL: No.
2
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: No.
 3
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK:
                                       Okay.
    do you both have copies of your testimony and
 4
 5
    answers in front of you?
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Yes.
6
7
                  MR. LAWRENCE DOLL: Yes.
8
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: All right.
9
    So on page 6 of your pre-filed testimony, you
    cite to an S&P article for the proposition
10
11
    that EV inventory declined from August to
12
    September in 2024, correct?
13
                  [Interruption by the reporter.]
14
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: You said on
15
    page 6 of our -- of our what?
16
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: On page 6 of
17
    your testimony.
18
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Okay. Yes.
19
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: And that's
    from August to September 2024, correct?
20
21
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Correct.
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: And so your
22
    analysis didn't compare EV inventory trends
23
24
    over a longer period of time, correct?
```

```
Page 95
1
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: What we
    were referencing here was the fact that EVs
 2
    remained on dealer lots -- this was an S&P
 3
    article -- on an average of 103 days, versus
 4
 5
    ICE vehicles for 74 days.
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: That sounds
6
7
    good.
8
           And just my question is, that was from
9
    August to September in 2024, correct?
10
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: I believe
11
    so.
12
                  MR. LAWRENCE DOLL: Yes.
13
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: And so your
14
    testimony didn't consider any longer period of
15
    time, correct?
16
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: I mean, I
17
    think that's a mischaracterization. I think
18
    we look at the, you know, the EV market, the
19
    car market, kind of as a whole. That specific
    article that we referenced only looked at
20
21
    those -- but -- at those months. But I don't
22
    think -- you know, our opposition to the rule
23
    is longer than a month.
24
                                       Sounds good.
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK:
```

```
Page 96
 1
            So your answer is, yes, we looked at
 2
    the one month from August to September 2024?
 3
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Yes.
 4
    this article, yes.
 5
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: And so your
    pre-filed testimony cites to several national
6
7
    figures and includes some Pew Research Center
8
    data, correct?
9
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Correct.
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: And
10
11
    specifically you cite to a 2024 report by Matt
12
    Trommer titled, "U.S. vehicle inventory
13
    reaches post-pandemic high in September"?
                                                 And
14
    that's at page 7.
15
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Correct.
16
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: And so as
17
    you mentioned, you cited that for the
18
    suggestion that EVs remained on dealer lots
    for an average of 103 days, and ICE vehicles
19
    remained on dealer lots for 74 days on
20
21
    average, correct?
22
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Correct.
2.3
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: And so
    elsewhere -- well, actually, in this S&P
24
```

Page 97 1 article, with the 103 days for EVs and 74 days for ICE vehicles, is that what underlies your 2 assertion on page 11 as well, that in 2024, 3 data showed that EVs sat on dealership lots 4 5 nearly twice as long as ICE vehicles? MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: I think 6 7 that would be fair to say, yeah. 8 MR. CHASE DEATRICK: 9 nearly twice as long that you are referring to 10 is the 74 for ICE vehicles and the 103 days 11 for EVs? 12 MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: I mean, 13 the -- this is something that Cox Automotive 14 puts out probably, what, roughly every month 15 on market trends and vehicle inventory levels. 16 MR. LAWRENCE DOLL: We are 17 talking about apples and oranges, though. Day 18 supply isn't the same as how fast they -- fast 19 they will sell. MR. CHASE DEATRICK: All I'm 20 21 talking about right now is that you said 22 nearly twice as long as ICE vehicles, and I'm 23 just confirming the numbers. 24 You are referring to the 74 days for

Page 98 1 EVs and the 103 days for ICE vehicles -- or 103 days for EVs and 74 for ICE vehicles? I'm 2 3 sorry. 4 MR. LAWRENCE DOLL: Right, with a 5 larger number of ICE vehicles, so they are 6 selling faster. Even though there is a 74-day supply, doesn't necessarily mean that's how 7 8 long they are sticking on the lot. 9 That's kind of what I was trying to get by apples and oranges, if -- if there was the 10 11 same number of EVs and internal combustion vehicles on the lot. Obviously 74 is not half 12 13 of 103. 14 MR. CHASE DEATRICK: Okay. 15 MR. LAWRENCE DOLL: But when you figure in the ratio of ICE to EV on the lot is 16 17 where you get to the longer selling them. 18 MR. CHASE DEATRICK: Okay. So to confirm, though, at page 11 of your testimony, 19 that's still the citation you are relying on? 20 21 MR. LAWRENCE DOLL: Correct --22 MR. CHASE DEATRICK: Or do you 23 have another citation to a different month or -- that accounts for that analysis? 24

```
Page 99
1
                  MR. LAWRENCE DOLL: I don't
 2
    recollect. Do you?
 3
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Yeah, I
 4
    don't.
 5
                  MR. LAWRENCE DOLL: I would have
    to -- I would have to double-check. I believe
6
    that's where that came from, but I'll be
7
8
    honest with you, I would have to -- I would
9
    have to review.
10
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: Okay.
                                               Wе
11
    can move on.
            So -- and this will be on page 21 to 22
12
13
    of your pre-filed answers. If you want to --
14
                  MR. LAWRENCE DOLL: I'm sorry.
15
    You said 21 and 22?
16
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Uh-huh.
17
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: Yes, sir.
18
    And so you refer to several Pew Research
19
    Center polls that use the American Trends
    Panel to source participants.
20
21
           And can you confirm that all three of
22
    those references studies, June 2023, July
23
    2023, and June 2024, were all national
    studies?
24
```

```
Page 100
1
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Correct.
2
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: And on page
3
    21 of your pre-filed answers still, in
4
    response to our question -- proponents'
5
    pre-filed question 7(a), you stated Illinois
    consumers are not exempt from the national
6
7
    skepticism towards EV adoption.
8
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN:
9
    would -- I would think that's fair to say.
10
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: And so this
11
    is sourced from the national data, you just
    confirmed, correct?
12
13
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Yes.
14
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: And so you
15
    go on in the sentence to confirm that this is
    occurring in Illinois, with data from the
16
17
    Illinois Secretary of State's office, correct?
18
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN:
                                        What page
19
    are we looking at?
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: This should
20
21
    still be on page 21 of your pre-filed answers.
22
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Yes, I
23
    guess we would -- regarding the Secretary of
24
    State data, which is to show the number of EVs
```

```
Page 101
1
    registered in the state was around one
2
    percent, one-point-something percent; and also
    the fact that registrations increased only
3
    slightly, I'm going to say less than a couple
4
5
    hundred from the previous year, despite
    incentives, despite tax credits, despite all
6
7
    of the mechanisms or enticements for people to
8
    purchase EVs.
9
           The fact that it still was not enough
    to, you know, massively increase EVs, I think
10
11
    that's -- I think that correlates that
    Illinois citizens would share the same
12
13
    concerns.
14
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK:
                                        Okay.
15
    understand. So to be clear, you are citing
16
    the Secretary of State data to --
17
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Yes -- yes.
18
    Correct.
19
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK:
                                        Okay -- to
    confirm the national trends?
20
2.1
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Correct.
22
    Correct. Correct.
2.3
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK:
                                        Okay.
24
    Sorry.
```

```
Page 102
1
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN:
                                       To help put
    an Illinois, you know, emphasis on it.
2
 3
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: Makes sense.
 4
    And I believe the word you used there is
 5
    "slowdown," correct? That there has been an
    electric vehicle slowdown?
6
7
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Is that my
8
    testimony?
9
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: Or EV --
10
    yes, it should still be on page 21 -- or
11
    sorry, page 21 of your pre-filed answers.
12
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Pre-filed.
    "Slowdown"?
13
                  Sure. I mean, I think that's --
14
    that's one way to put it, yes.
15
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: And so to
    talk about the data for a second -- and this
16
17
    is on page 8 of your pre-filed testimony.
18
    Sorry to make you flip back and forth.
19
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN:
                                       No.
                                              No
20
    problem.
               Yes.
21
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: So the new
22
    EV registration data you are referring to,
23
    that's a fall from roughly 31,450 to 31,300,
24
    correct?
```

```
Page 103
1
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN:
                                        I want to
 2
    make sure. Can you just repeat the question
    again one more time, so I can --
 3
 4
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: Yes. So the
 5
    data you cite there, the specific numbers are
    31,459 to 31,324.
6
7
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Yes.
8
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: So we are
9
    talking about roughly thirty one --
10
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Yes.
11
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK:
                                       Okay.
12
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Yes. Yes.
13
    Yes, the 31,459 was the more recent versus the
14
    31,324 from the previous year.
15
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: So that's a
16
    decline of about 135 newly registered cars,
17
    correct?
18
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Correct.
19
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: And this is
    your evidence that the EV adoption is slowing
20
21
    down, right? The 31,450 roughly to the 31,300
22
    roughly decline in new EV adoptions, from
    2024 -- comparing 2024 to 2023?
23
24
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN:
                                       Yes.
                                               Ι
```

Page 104 1 mean, I just believe that this -- you know, we were looking for Illinois data to help show 2 kind of what the -- what kind of everyone has seen is that there has been, you know, a 5 slowdown in EVs. I think this is also elsewhere in the 6 7 testimony, it's backed by statements from the manufacturers. There's numerous statements 8 9 and articles saying that they are pulling back their production of EVs due to a slowdown in 10 the EV market. There is also obviously the 11 studies. 12 13 But yes, I think that's -- you know, with this data, along with the other 14 15 information in our testimony, is to show -- is to underscore the difficulties. 16 17 MR. CHASE DEATRICK: And I 18 understand that. And I want to focus a little 19 bit more on the Illinois-specific data. MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: 20 2.1 MR. CHASE DEATRICK: 22 specifically you noted that EV registrations 23 in December 2023 totalled about -- and this is 24 still on page 8 of your pre-filed testimony.

```
Page 105
1
    Sorry.
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Uh-huh.
2
 3
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: You notice
    that in December 2023 they totalled about
 4
 5
    91,000, and that was an increase of about
    31,000 from the previous year.
6
7
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Uh-huh.
8
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: And then you
9
    note the EV registrations totalled about
    122,000 near the end of 2024, correct?
10
11
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: I believe
12
    so, yes.
13
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: And so would
14
    you trust my math that EV registrations in
15
    2023 totalled about 91,000 -- if -- sorry.
16
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN:
17
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK:
                                        If EV
18
    registrations in December 2023 totalled about
    91,000, and if that's an increase of 31,000
19
    from the previous year, then in December 2022
20
21
    EV registrations would have been about 60,000?
22
    91,000 minus 31,000?
2.3
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Yeah, I
24
    mean -- yes. Yep. Yep.
```

Page 106 1 MR. CHASE DEATRICK: Okay. MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: 2 And all this information is readily available on the 3 Secretary of State's website. But yes, I 4 5 would agree with your math, I guess. MR. CHASE DEATRICK: And so you 6 7 would further agree with my math that that 8 would reflect a 104 percent increase in EV 9 registrations between December 2022 and 10 December 2024? 11 MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Could you say that again, please? 12 13 MR. CHASE DEATRICK: Yeah. 14 you agree that registrations in December 2022 15 would have been about 60,000, and then you have got them rising to about 120 -- sorry --16 17 122,000 near the end of 2024, so that increase 18 from 60,000 to 122,000 that you cite, that's a 19 104 percent increase in new EV registrations? MR. LAWRENCE DOLL: Over the 20 21 two-year period? Yeah. I'll trust your math 22 on it. I don't have my slide rule with me. 23 MR. CHASE DEATRICK: Okay. And do you recall at the December 3rd hearing in 24

Page 107 1 this matter, proponents' witness Tom Cackette 2 testified that new tech -- apologies. 3 Do you recall that at the December 3rd hearing in this matter, proponents' witness 4 5 Tom Cackette testified that new technology adoption tends to follow an S curve? 6 7 MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Yes, I 8 remember that testimony. 9 MR. CHASE DEATRICK: Did you 10 review that portion of Mr. Cackette's 11 testimony? 12 MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Yeah, I 13 don't -- I don't recall. 14 MR. CHASE DEATRICK: Okay. 15 did you review proponents' sources, and these were on pages 39 to 40 of proponents' initial 16 17 filing, where proponents provided numerous 18 resources indicating that rapid EV adoption 19 has followed the enactment of similar regulations elsewhere? 20 2.1 MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Yes, I 22 definitely read the filings, and I understand 23 that's the proponents', you know, claim, is 24 that EV, you know, adoption has been

```
Page 108
1
    accelerating. And that's my -- that's my
2
    understanding that that's their claim.
3
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: And so this
    would be on page 25 of your pre-filed answers,
4
    in response to proponents' pre-filed question
5
    8.
6
7
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Got it.
8
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: And so you
    offer what you characterize as a key data
9
    point from the Illinois Secretary of State.
10
11
    And you say, quote, EVs account for only 1.46
12
    percent of Illinois's total registered fleet,
13
    which is far below the trajectory required
14
    under ACC2, correct?
15
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Yes, that's
16
    what it says.
17
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: And so you
18
    agree that ACC2 applies to the sale of new
19
    zero-emission vehicles, correct?
20
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Correct.
2.1
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: And so you
22
    agree that it does not set any required
23
    trajectory for total EVs on the road?
24
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN:
                                         That is
```

```
Page 109
1
    correct, but -- yes, that's correct.
2
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK:
    moving on.
3
4
           Your pre-filed testimony referred to
    the motor fuel tax at pages 19 to 22, if you
5
    want to take a moment.
6
7
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Uh-huh.
8
    Yep.
9
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: And then
10
    this is else -- this is in your answers, but
11
    you have -- you have essentially stated that
12
    proponents have failed to provide any policy
13
    solution for how to replace the lost motor
    fuel tax revenues, correct?
14
15
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Correct.
16
    Uh-huh.
17
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: Are you
18
    aware that Cathy Harris and Mohammed Patel,
19
    who were proponents' witnesses with policy
20
    experience from NRDC, have already discussed
21
    NRDC's proposed policy solutions to issues
22
    with state gas taxes?
2.3
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Was it in
24
    the initial filing?
```

```
Page 110
1
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: It was in
 2
    their pre-filed answers. It was in response
    to the IIFFC's (sic) pre-filed question number
    4.
 5
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: So it was
6
    in the responses?
7
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: Yes. It was
8
    in their --
9
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: It wasn't
    in the initial filing?
10
11
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK:
                                       No, it was
    not in the initial filing.
12
13
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: No, I think
14
    I must have missed -- must have missed their
15
    proposal.
16
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: Okay. And
17
    are you aware that EVs pay a fee when
18
    registered in Illinois, which goes towards
19
    making up lost motor fuel tax revenue?
                  MR. LAWRENCE DOLL: Except a
20
21
    small portion of it, yes.
22
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: And are you
    aware that hybrid vehicles currently do not
23
24
    pay any such fee?
```

```
Page 111
1
                  MR. LAWRENCE DOLL:
                                      Right,
 2
    although they would still pay some motor fuel
 3
    tax.
 4
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: And you
 5
    agree that mile per mile, ICE vehicles with
    greater fuel efficiency tend to pay less than
6
7
    ICE vehicles with lower fuel efficiency?
8
                  MR. LAWRENCE DOLL:
                                      Yes.
9
    greater the fuel efficiency, the less fuel you
10
    consume.
11
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: And so you
12
    would agree that logic extends to hybrids as
13
    well?
14
                  MR. LAWRENCE DOLL: Yes.
15
                  [Interruption by the reporter.]
16
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: And so in
17
    your response to proponents' pre-filed
18
    question 3(d), on page 18 of your pre-filed
19
    answers.
20
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN:
                                         Yep.
2.1
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: You note
22
    that ACC2, quote, does not allow Illinois to
23
    tailor its -- sorry -- to tailor its approach
24
    based on regional factors, rural versus urban
```

```
Page 112
1
    disparities, or market readiness; it simply
    imposes California's policies wholesale.
2
3
    Correct?
4
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Correct.
5
    Yes.
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: And so what
6
7
    you are referring to here is ACC2's
8
    zero-emission vehicle model year sales
    requirements, correct?
9
10
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Just one
11
    second.
12
           Yes, so I would -- I would state the
13
    reason we phrased it that way was just more on
14
    the fact that it's, you know, a statewide
15
    mandate, where if you look at the Secretary of
    State registration data, they break it out by
16
17
    ZIP code, they also break it out by county.
18
            You will see overwhelming number of EVs
19
    in the collar counties. Cook. Do you have --
20
    I have the data somewhere. And again, this is
21
    all just data from the Secretary of State's
22
    office on their EV -- I believe I probably
2.3
    referenced it. But --
24
            So Cook County, this is actually the
```

```
Page 113
    newest numbers from December -- or excuse me,
1
    January of 2025. There is 126,231 EVs.
2
    County has 33,693. They list Chicago
3
    separate. So they have another 21,000.
4
5
    DuPage has 21,000, Lake has 19,000. So just
    those three counties account for 89,000 of the
6
7
    126,000 EVs, which is 71 percent.
8
           So when I was talking about the -- you
9
    know, the flexibility, the mandate, you know,
    it doesn't look at, you know, Illinois
10
11
    adoption disparities as a whole, basically.
12
                 MR. CHASE DEATRICK: That makes
13
            Let me rephrase my question a little
    sense.
14
    bit.
           I'm just asking, you say does not --
15
    ACC2 does not allow Illinois to tailor its
16
17
    approach?
18
           The portion of the rules that you are
19
    referring to that Illinois cannot change are
20
    the zero -- sorry -- the zero-emission vehicle
21
    model sales re -- model year sales
22
    requirements, correct? Illinois cannot --
2.3
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Yeah.
24
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: -- set a
```

```
Page 114
1
    different percentage of sales? Okay.
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Yeah.
 2
 3
    think -- that's my understanding. If that's
 4
    wrong -- but yes, that's my understanding.
 5
    would adopt their regulations completely.
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK:
                                       And so you
6
    agree that ACC2, as it applies to Illinois,
7
8
    applies as the state and doesn't set any
9
    regional disparities or targets for the
    zero-emission vehicle sales?
10
11
                  MR. LAWRENCE DOLL: Can you
12
    repeat that last bit? I'm sorry.
13
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: Yeah.
                                               The
14
    zero-emission vehicle sales requirements
15
    applies to Illinois as a whole; it doesn't
    apply to any specific regions of Illinois or
16
17
    set any subtargets on that basis?
18
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN:
                                        Yes.
19
                  MR. LAWRENCE DOLL: Yes.
20
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: And so would
21
    you agree that Illinois adoption of electric
22
    vehicles would require more than just a
23
    zero-emission vehicle sales mandate, even if
24
    ACC2 were adopted? And I'm specifically
```

Page 115 1 thinking of infrastructure concerns your 2 testimony brought up. 3 MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Can you 4 state that again? 5 MR. CHASE DEATRICK: Yeah. Would you agree that in Illinois was to hit, for 6 7 example, the one million veh -- electric 8 vehicles on the road by 2030, it would take 9 more legislation, more rules and regulations, such as those related to infrastructure, to 10 11 get us to that target? Yes, I 12 MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: 13 don't think -- yes, I think the basis of 14 our -- or a large portion of our, you know, 15 position is that if Illinois was to reach one 16 million EVs, simply adopting ACC2 and kind of 17 hoping for the best wouldn't get there. There 18 would have to be, you know, state support, 19 state incentives, rebates massive build-out of 20 charging throughout the state. 2.1 And my response, as I highlighted the 22 fact that there is -- of the state-funded EV 23 chargers, there is 44 counties in Illinois that have zero state-funded EV chargers. 24

```
Page 116
1
    There is 32 counties in Illinois that were
2
    awarded a state contract charger, but
    there's -- none have been implemented.
3
4
           You know, so to your question, would
5
    more be needed? Yes, that's kind of our
    point.
6
7
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: And so you
8
    agree that Illinois has the legal authority to
9
    set its own unique rebates, funding
10
    programs --
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN:
11
                                       Yes.
12
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK:
13
    infrastructure support?
14
                  MR. LAWRENCE DOLL: Uh-huh.
15
    (Nodding "yes.")
16
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: And do you
17
    also agree that Illinois is only able to
    either choose to follow ACC2 or to follow the
18
19
    federal guidelines, in terms of setting any
    sales requirements for zero-emission vehicles?
20
2.1
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: I don't
22
    know. I don't know if that's -- I don't know
23
    if that's true. I'm not an attorney. I
    wouldn't look at the law -- I mean, I just
24
```

Page 117 1 can't -- the Illinois legislature -- well, look at CEJA. I mean, they set emission 2 standards, and phased out the coal and natural 3 gas plants in Illinois. 4 5 They were -- you know, they obviously have the authority to do that. I don't think 6 7 that they -- I don't want to say that they 8 lack the authority to, you know, implement 9 targets, sales targets. 10 MR. CHASE DEATRICK: Perhaps I'll aim my question --11 12 MR. LAWRENCE DOLL: Yeah -- yeah, 13 fair enough. The state does have authority to do some regulation, as far as increased fuel 14 15 economy standards or increased air requirement standards. And -- let's see. Right now, with 16 17 this rule proposal, though, is an 18 all-or-nothing, either go full California or 19 do nothing. And I think what we are trying to say 20 21 is that the ACC2 can't wish people into buying 22 EVs, if they can't afford them or if they can't refuel them efficiently to get to work 23 or travel or, you know, recreational travel, 24

```
Page 118
1
    or whatever.
            So simply mandating that OEMs can't
 2
    manufacture for sale internal combustion
 3
    vehicles doesn't mean people will be able to
 4
 5
    afford them, doesn't mean people won't go to
    Indiana or Wisconsin to buy them, doesn't mean
6
 7
    that people won't hold onto their older
8
    dirtier cars longer.
9
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: But so to
10
    get to my question.
11
                  MR. LAWRENCE DOLL:
                                      Okay.
12
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: You agree
13
    that the Clean Air Act preempts the creation
    of any state-specific, on Illinois's part --
14
15
                  MR. LAWRENCE DOLL:
                                      Okay.
16
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: -- timeline
17
    for model year sales requirements for
    zero-emission vehicles?
18
19
                  MR. LAWRENCE DOLL: Yes.
                                             That --
    yes, Illinois would be limited to incentives
20
2.1
    such as the EPA incentive and things of that
22
    nature. Yeah.
2.3
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: And you
24
    agree that only California has a waiver to set
```

```
Page 119
1
    its own model year sales requirements for
    zero-emission vehicles?
2
3
                  MR. LAWRENCE DOLL: Right.
    Illinois can either follow the California
4
5
    waiver or not act on the clean air standards,
    beyond what the feds allow.
6
7
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK:
                                        Okay.
8
                  [Interruption by the reporter.]
9
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: And so this
    will relate to page 15 of your pre-filed
10
11
    testimony.
           And so do you see the portion where you
12
13
    state additional -- quote, additionally, the
14
    proponents' filings raise questions about the
15
    clarity of their projections regarding grid
    impacts?
16
17
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN:
                                       Yes.
18
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: And so it
19
    goes on to say the ERM report projects a peak
    load increase of about 5,200 megawatts by 2050
20
2.1
    under ACC2 scenarios?
22
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: I believe
23
    so, yes. Go ahead.
24
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK:
                                        And so --
```

```
Page 120
1
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: I believe
2
    I'm quoting that correctly.
3
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: And then so
    you go on to state, however, the proponents'
4
5
    responses to questions provide lower figures
    for earlier years?
6
7
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN:
                                       Yeah, I
8
    think that was -- what I was -- I think there
9
    was a discrepancy from what was in the report
    and then in the response to questions
10
11
    documents, but I don't have the response --
    those documents in front of me.
12
13
           But I think that's -- I believe, if I
14
    was to look, I believe there was just
15
    different numbers. They were close, but they
    were -- but they were different numbers.
16
17
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: So you
18
    specifically say there, however, the
19
    proponents' responses to questions provided
    lower figures for earlier years?
20
2.1
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Yes. Yes.
22
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK:
                                       Is that the
23
    question you are referring to?
24
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: I believe
```

```
Page 121
1
    so, yes.
2
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK:
                                       So what you
    found questionable is that the proponents
3
    provided lower projections of grid impacts in
4
5
    earlier years of ACC2?
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: No. I
6
7
    mean, that would obviously logically, you
8
    know, make sense that there would be less --
9
    or, you know, it would be earlier in the
    timeline that there would be less EVs on the
10
11
    road, so, you know, naturally the demand would
12
    be -- you know, would be less. So -- yeah.
13
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: Okay. And
14
    this will be on page 34 of your pre-filed
15
    answers.
16
           You stated, we are aware of the
17
    beneficial electrification plan requirements
18
    under CEJA.
19
           Let me know when you see that in your
20
    testimony, or answers.
2.1
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN:
                                        Yes.
22
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: And so
    you're aware that the beneficial
23
24
    electrification plan proceedings take place
```

```
Page 122
1
    before the Illinois Commerce Commission?
2
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN:
3
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: And do you
    agree that the Illinois Commerce Commission
4
5
    derives its authority from separate state
6
    statutes than those giving the Board
7
    authority?
8
                 MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: I -- that's
9
    another attorney -- attorney question.
10
                 MR. LAWRENCE DOLL: I believe so.
11
    I'm not as versed on the program, but that's
12
    my understanding.
13
                 MR. CHASE DEATRICK: And are you
14
    aware that one statute relevant to the
15
    beneficial electrification plan proceedings,
    it's 20 ILCS 627-45, sets an EV adoption goal
16
17
    of one million cars on the -- one million
18
    electric vehicles on Illinois roads by 2030?
19
                 MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Are you
20
    mentioning -- yes, one second. You said 20
21
    ILCS 627-45, Subsection A1?
22
                 MR. CHASE DEATRICK: Yeah, that
23
    would be it.
24
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Yes.
                                               Ιt
```

```
Page 123
1
    says Illinois should increase the adoption of
    electric vehicles in the state to one million
2
    by 2030, yes.
3
4
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: And so
5
    perhaps this is more for Mr. Doll, but you
6
    agree that the statute we're referring to
7
    right here is for the consideration of the
8
    Illinois Commerce Commission specifically?
9
    That would be the authority --
10
                  MR. LAWRENCE DOLL: Under CEJA?
11
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK:
                                        Yeah,
    specifically for the beneficial
12
13
    electrification plan provisions of CEJA.
14
                  MR. LAWRENCE DOLL:
                                      I believe so.
15
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: And so are
16
    you further aware that under Subpart D8 of
17
    this statute, a beneficial electrification
18
    plan shall at minimum specifically address
19
    make-ready investments to facilitate the rapid
    deployment of charging equipment throughout
20
2.1
    the state?
22
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Yeah.
2.3
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK:
                                        Are you
24
    further aware that also under Subpart D8, a
```

```
Page 124
1
    beneficial electrification plan shall at
    minimum specifically address the facilitation
2
    of light-duty vehicle fleet electrification?
3
4
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Is that --
5
    you are saying that's in the statute?
6
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: Yes, under
7
    Subpart D8.
8
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN:
                                         Okay.
9
    Okay. Yes.
10
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK:
                                       Okay.
                                               And
11
    so are you further aware -- this is also under
12
    Subpart D8, last part I'll cite -- beneficial
13
    electrification plan shall at minimum
14
    specifically address financial and other
15
    challenges to electric vehicle usage in
    low-income communities and strategies for
16
17
    overcoming those challenges?
18
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Yes, that
19
    would make sense.
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: And so are
20
21
    you aware that under Subpart F, utilities must
22
    file an update to their beneficial
23
    electrification plans every three years?
24
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN:
```

```
Page 125
1
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: And so you
2
    agree that that's one mechanism for tailoring
    charging needs as different electrification
3
    matters come up in Illinois?
4
5
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Yeah --
    yes, that's -- yes, that's a mechanism, yes.
6
7
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK:
                                       And so are
8
    you aware that under Subpart C of this
9
    statute, the Illinois Commerce Commission has,
    among other things, initiated a workshop
10
11
    process for the purpose of soliciting input on
    the design of beneficial electrification
12
13
    programs?
14
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Yes.
15
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: And so in
16
    your pre-filed -- your pre-filed answer in
17
    response to proponents' pre-filed question
18
    19(c) -- and this is on pages 34 to 35 of your
19
    answers --
20
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Uh-huh.
2.1
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: -- confirms
    that you were unaware of any IADA
22
23
    participation in any beneficial
24
    electrification plan proceedings?
```

```
Page 126
1
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN:
                                       What
2
    question was that? Or what --
3
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: This should
4
    be on pages 34 to 35. It was your response to
5
    19(c).
6
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: It says --
7
    no, it says we are aware.
8
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: Sorry.
                                               I
9
    believe you stated that you were aware of the
10
    proceedings, but you were unaware of any
11
    participation by IADA, correct?
12
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: You are
13
    saying 19(c)?
14
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: Well, I'm --
15
    for instance, are you aware right now of any
16
    participation by IADA?
17
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: You mean
18
    participation, as far as --
19
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK:
20
    Participation in the workshops referenced
21
    under Subpart C, for the elect -- beneficial
22
    electrification plans.
2.3
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: I mean,
    it's something that obviously we, you know, we
24
```

```
Page 127
1
    monitor, but we -- you know, we actively don't
    file comments and stuff like that.
2
           But like anything else, I mean, there
3
    is -- it's -- we're not -- you know, we're not
4
5
    a huge shop. There is only a couple of us at
    the association, so we can't be involved in
6
7
    everything.
8
            So you know, it's something we are
9
    aware of, obviously, but no, we don't actively
    submit comments and, you know, things of that
10
11
    nature.
12
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: And so I
13
    want to turn to discussing compliance credits.
14
           And so you mentioned that automakers
15
    assess their electrification strategies in
16
    response to real-world market conditions,
17
    correct?
18
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Say that
19
    one more time.
20
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: You
2.1
    mention --
22
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Yeah.
23
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: -- that
24
    automakers assess their electrification
```

```
Page 128
1
    strategies in response to real-world market
    conditions?
 2
 3
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN:
                                       Yes, I
    think that's fair. Yeah. Yes.
 5
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: Do you agree
6
    that government regulations are part of those
7
    real-world market conditions?
8
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN:
9
    mean, I think that we would say that it alters
    those market conditions, you know, obviously.
10
11
    But yeah, it's -- you could say that.
12
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: And so on
13
    page 28 of your pre-filed answers. I'll
14
    take -- give you a moment to get there.
15
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN:
16
    Thank you.
17
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: And you
18
    would be responding to proponents' pre-filed
19
    question 12(b) here.
20
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN:
                                       Okay.
2.1
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK:
22
    question asked, why do you believe auto
2.3
    manufacturers would choose to shrink ICE
24
    vehicle production or limit ICE vehicle
```

Page 129 allocations to Illinois when there are 1 2 multiple other ways they could comply with 3 ACC2? 4 [Interruption by the reporter.] 5 MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: So probably, you know, it seems like there is 6 7 multiple, you know, reasons we would think 8 that. First, I just wrote it down in 9 anticipation of -- the gentleman before me from California even just said on the record 10 11 that that would be an option to, you know -to meet these standards. 12 Also the -- in Day 2 of the proponents' 13 14 hearing back in December, the proponents also 15 said that that could be a mechanism that they would -- that they would use. So you know, 16 17 right there, that's the proponents and the 18 manufacturers both saying that that is -- that 19 that's a possibility, you know, of the mechanism that they could -- you know, to meet 20 2.1 the standards. 22 And, you know, as far as the credits, you know, we could get into it, but it's my 23 understanding that the ACC2, that the 24

```
Page 130
    penalties are going to increase in a couple
1
2
    years. Is that your understanding?
3
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: That was not
    my understanding, but I would --
4
5
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: I just
    didn't know if you knew.
6
7
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: I would
8
    probably have -- I would probably need to
9
    confer with more experienced individuals.
10
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN:
11
    Okay. Well, I believe I read it somewhere in
12
    a report that I think Maryland -- Maryland
    legislature, because I believe they adopted
13
    it, they -- in their report, they cited that
14
15
    the noncompliance penalties are going to
16
    increase.
17
           And, you know, with that, the -- I
18
    think they are currently kept at five
19
    percent -- or excuse me, $5,000.
           You know, even if that's -- let's
20
21
    assume that's true, if it goes to $20,000 --
22
    the ZEV credits, the compliance costs that
    Ford, GM, whoever could purchase from somebody
23
    who has a surplus, probably Tesla, Tesla is
24
```

Page 131

kind of the dominant force in the credit market -- with noncompliance prices, you know, going up, the ZEV credits, you know, also goes up.

So as, you know -- and that was -- you know, to some of your questions, you know, we obviously didn't, you know, cite any specific states where manufacturers were already limiting ICE vehicles.

We would argue that right now there is an abundance of ZEV credits on the market, held by Tesla, held by -- the majority of it, I think they own roughly 50 percent of them.

You know, as -- you know, as -- but as those, I believe in ACC2, the ZEV credits are going to be gone by 2030 as well. So these were -- you know, these ZEV credits compliance transfers were a good way to kind of help out, you know, the first couple of years.

But, you know, as they are phased out, you know, the threat -- you know, it reduces the options. So if they can't make the sales, if they can't make the transfers, then the last -- the last thing they would do is reduce

Page 132 1 ICE, ICE vehicles. MR. CHASE DEATRICK: And so to be 2 clear, you didn't cite anything to state that 3 4 Tesla was dominant in this space, correct? 5 MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: No, but I mean, I think they are -- I kind of think 6 7 that's, you know, oh, industry knowledge, you 8 know, kind of common knowledge. And this is 9 all public -- there is numerous, numerous 10 sources. 11 MR. LAWRENCE DOLL: Based on 12 their sales figures and the fact that they 13 don't sell internal combustion engine vehicles, so everything they sell generates a 14 15 credit. 16 MR. CHASE DEATRICK: That makes 17 sense. So I would like to come back to this. 18 But just briefly, in response to proponents' pre-filed question 13 in each of 19 its subparts, A, B, and C, at page 30 of your 20 21 testimony, we asked if you were personally 22 aware of automakers in California or other 177 states shrinking ICE vehicle allocation in 23 24 their states and asking for documents or

Page 133 1 citations to that effect. 2 And can you confirm to me that your answers to proponents' pre-filed question 13 3 in each of its subparts only refer back to 4 your answer to proponents' pre-filed question 5 12(b)? 6 7 MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Yes, but 8 like I just mentioned, there is no, you know, 9 confirmed public cases where manufacturers are withholding ICE. But that's also, I think the 10 11 fact of what's the current requirement now is -- I don't know, was it -- is it 25 12 13 percent, five? Five percent? 14 MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK: I'm going 15 to object. Mr. Douglas is hand-signaling the witness on the stand. He had a chance to 16 17 testify. I understand that he's --18 MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Sure. I mean, I think I could probably -- I could 19 probably find that information in here. Let's 20 21 just say low. Let's just say low. The 22 current -- the current targets right now are 23 low. The ACC2 as beginning in model year 2029 24 are 59 percent. 2030 -- sorry. Go ahead.

```
Page 134
1
                  MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK: No, and I
    just wanted to clarify. I wasn't --
 2
 3
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Yeah, no.
                  MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK: I'm not
 4
 5
    accusing you of doing anything wrong. Just
    I'm asking the hearing officer, I want the
6
7
    record to record to reflect that Mr. Douglas
    is hand-signaling numbers to the witness on
8
9
    the stand.
10
           Ms. Brown, I would like you to ask your
11
    witness not to communicate to a witness under
12
    oath.
13
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Excuse
14
    me, Mr. Weinstock.
15
                  MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK: I'm sorry.
16
    Yes.
17
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Thank
    you. You've been heard. The Board -- the
18
19
    hearing officers did not see anything
    occurring, but your observance has been noted.
20
21
    We'll just proceed without any direction,
22
    please, from the audience.
2.3
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Yes.
                                               So
    let's just say -- let's just say the
24
```

Page 135

requirements right now are low. ACC scales up very quickly. By the time Illinois will get started -- it will be 59 by 2030, it would be 68 percent.

You know, I don't think, you know, that we would see manufacturers have to withhold ICE vehicles at a lower target, but, you know, with the kind of understanding when they start ramping up to those higher numbers, that's when -- and, you know, also kind of in connection with credit transfers being not an option after 2030, where they couldn't just buy the credits from Tesla or whoever else, it just -- it removes a mechanism whereby they are able to meet those standards.

MR. LAWRENCE DOLL: Just one last comment.

If you can't increase the numerator of electric vehicles to meet the target, the only thing left is to reduce the denominator of internal combustion vehicles to hit the target, which is going to cost vehicle -- cost Illinois vehicle sales and lead them to other states, or a lesser market.

```
Page 136
1
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: So just to
2
    get back to my original question. It was to
    confirm that your response is to proponents'
3
    pre-filed question 13 and all its sub -- and
4
5
    all its subparts solely referred back to your
6
    answer to proponents' question 12(b).
            I'm just trying to make sure we can
7
8
    talk about your response to 12(b) --
9
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN:
                                       Sure. Yep.
10
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: -- and that
11
    covers your responses to 13 as well.
12
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN:
                                        Yep.
13
                  MR. LAWRENCE DOLL: Sure.
14
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: Perfect.
15
           So you mentioned that one of
16
    proponents' witnesses at the second day of
17
    hearing in December testified that this could
18
    be an option, correct?
19
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Correct.
20
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: Are you
21
    referring to witness Tom Cackette?
22
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: I
23
    believe -- I'm terrible with names, but I
24
    believe that's his name, yes.
```

```
Page 137
1
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: And so I
2
    have a portion of Mr. Cackette's testimony, I
    believe is what you are referring to, but if
3
    you would like to offer an alternative
4
5
    citation to make sure beforehand.
6
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: No, go for
7
    it.
8
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: Okay. And
9
    so could you perhaps read the highlighted
    portion of Mr. Cackette's testimony from the
10
11
    December 3rd hearing?
12
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: The
13
    highlighted portion?
14
            "Analytically, that's a correct
15
    statement. I don't believe that's a
    practical" --
16
17
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: And perhaps
18
    start with the original question.
19
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN:
                                       Okay.
20
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: Just to make
2.1
    it clear for the record.
22
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Yep.
23
            "Do you agree that there is multiple
24
    ways to comply with the requirement, such as
```

```
Page 138
1
    increasing the sale of ZEVs or decreasing
    gasoline vehicles sold?"
2
3
            "Analytically, that's a correct
    statement. I don't believe that's a practical
4
5
    statement -- or practical market statement. I
    don't believe that any of these major
6
7
    manufacturers would purposely decrease the
8
    number of sales of non-electric vehicles in
    order to reduce the sale, the percentage sales
9
10
    of zero-emission vehicles. And as I indicate
11
    earlier on, the flexibilities in the rule just
    give them many, many more pathways to do that
12
13
    than artificially restrict sales of other
14
    combustion vehicles in order to meet the ZEV
15
    requirements."
16
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Excuse
17
    me.
        Could either Mr. Stieren or Mr. Deatrick
18
    please tell us what page of the transcript
19
    this is?
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: This is
20
2.1
    page 258 of Day 2 of the testimony.
22
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Thank
23
    you. Proceed.
24
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK:
                                        Sorry.
```

Page 139

And so to be clear, you don't have any citations or data referencing that Mr.

Cackette was incorrect in his assumption that this wouldn't be going on in California or any 177 state?

MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: I think also the fact that the manufacturers -- you know, I would add that the manufacturers, the testimony previously, immediately before us, also indicated that that could be an option I think only confirms, you know, that it's just -- it's a lot -- you know, we really think it's a logical thing that could happen.

And again, you have to look at it in a kind of holistic basis where these credits, you know, the flexibilities, those are great. They were, you know, kind of -- my understanding of how, you know, the regs were written to help, you know, start to get the train in motion, but by 2030 those credits would be -- or the credit transfers would be no longer an option.

In addition to -- I don't know the exact numbers but by 2030, I think it's 68 or

```
Page 140
1
    69 percent versus whatever that the current
2
    percentage is. I think it's just you look at
    that logically, that might not be an option
3
    now, but as credits transfers are taken away
4
5
    that that would be an option.
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: So to
6
7
    confirm, you don't believe that that's an
8
    option now?
9
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: What's an
    option now?
10
11
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK:
                                       The option
    to reduce ICE vehicles?
12
13
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: No, I -- I
14
    mean, I don't see -- I don't think there is
    anything in the regulations that would
15
    prohibit manufacturers from, yeah, meeting it
16
17
    that way.
18
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK:
                                       To be clear,
19
    you aren't aware of anyone restrict -- any
    manufacturers restricting ICE vehicle
20
2.1
    allocations to California or other 177 states
22
    currently?
2.3
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: No, I have
24
    not seen any hard, you know, data. But again,
```

Page 141 1 it's just thinking as this rule kind of takes 2 effect and matures that that would be on the 3 table. 4 MR. CHASE DEATRICK: Are you 5 aware of California or any other Section 177 6 state imposing a civil penalty on a manufacturer for noncompliance with ACC2? 7 8 MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: No, but 9 that's also due to the fact that there is -you know, the credit transfers is a viable 10 option at this point, where, again, by 2030, 11 12 those will be no longer an option. 13 MR. CHASE DEATRICK: And so I 14 would like to move on to page 47 of your 15 pre-filed answers, and this would be in 16 response to proponents' question 32(g). 17 MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Yes. 18 MR. CHASE DEATRICK: And so you 19 state that though your testimony, quote, does not dispute that vehicle emissions impact 20 21 public health, end quote, you go on to state 22 that in support of ACC2, proponents, quote, 23 filed a study on asthma only in the 24 Chicagoland area, end quote.

```
Page 142
1
           Do you see that --
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Yes.
2
3
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK:
                                       -- portion?
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Uh-huh.
4
5
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: And you go
    on to say, quote, they do not address why
6
7
    this -- referring to ACC2 -- is needed
8
    anywhere else in the state, end quote.
9
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Well, I
    think we -- I think if you look at the
10
11
    supporting documents, what I was referencing
    here was that this study was also -- was
12
13
    solely focused on Chicago.
14
           There was also another study, I'm
15
    trying to think -- there was another study
    that was, again, only looking at the Chicago
16
17
    metropolitan area on health impacts.
18
           Then the proponents filed that as a
19
    supporting document, where, again, you know,
    it just kind of goes back to our earlier
20
21
    comments of this being, you know, a statewide,
22
    you know, mandate where it's -- which is, you
23
    know, I think is a problem.
24
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: So do you
```

```
Page 143
1
    dispute proponents' finding that the ACC2 rule
    will also reduce Illinois, as a total state,
2
    2050 light-duty vehicle NOx emissions by up to
3
    82 percent relative to new federal standards?
4
5
           And this was found on page 36 of our
    initial filing.
6
7
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN:
                                       No, I take
8
    your -- yeah, I would take your word for it.
9
    But I would also just mention that on page 1
    of the rule -- or excuse me, the ERM report,
10
11
    the modeling tool that was used for this
    analysis could not apportion these estimated
12
13
    benefits to individual communities within
14
    Illinois, meaning that they would be on a
15
    whole.
16
            So I would -- yeah, I would agree.
17
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK:
                                        Okay.
18
    Sounds good.
19
           And so would you agree that we cited a
    similar reduction of PM2.5 of up to 80
20
21
    percent, given the adoption of ACC2?
22
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Yeah.
2.3
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: And so you
24
    would also similarly not dispute proponents'
```

Page 144 1 prediction that the adoption of ACC2 in 2 Illinois would avoid up to 193 premature deaths, 194 hospital visits, and over 113,000 3 respiratory illnesses, restricted activity 4 5 days, and lost work days, and this being an annual figure -- statewide annual figure? 6 7 MR. LAWRENCE DOLL: 8 presupposes electric vehicle adoption at the 9 current market rate of vehicle purchases, where increased price of electric vehicles is 10 11 going to reduce sales. Illinois is surrounded by states that don't have ACC2 that can sell 12 13 into Illinois. 14 So if everybody magically bought 15 vehicles at the figures predicted in that 16 study, I have no reason to dispute that; I 17 just don't see the rule getting to that level 18 of EV adoption to see those savings until a 19 lot of infrastructure is put into place to support an electric vehicle network. 20 2.1 MR. CHASE DEATRICK: But you 22 agree that these would be the health impacts 23 if the rules were adopted and targets met?

MR. LAWRENCE DOLL:

If the

24

Page 145 1 targets were met, yes, that's entirely 2 possible. 3 MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: And I think 4 I would also just note, too, that the 5 assumptions made by ERM for their environmental benefits also just, you know, 6 assume that Illinois meets its CEJA goals of 7 8 carbon reduction. So obviously there is a huge -- you 9 know, that's doing a lot of heavy lifting, 10 11 that the ERM assumes that Illinois effectively by 2050 completely removes all coal and 12 13 natural gas plants from Illinois, too. 14 MR. CHASE DEATRICK: So you would 15 agree that the further we are from electric vehicle goals and total electrification, the 16 17 worse the impacts are for human health? 18 MR. LAWRENCE DOLL: I don't think 19 that's the only way to get there. I think a rapid adoption of hybrid vehicles would get to 20 2.1 a faster result on cleaner air without the 22 range anxiety or other problems incident to 2.3 the need for build-out of the charging infrastructure. 24

```
Page 146
1
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Yeah, and I
 2
    believe -- you know, there is other ways, yes,
    that could meet those goals.
 3
 4
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: So I would
 5
    like to talk a little bit about hybrids, if we
    could.
6
7
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Yep.
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: And so on
8
9
    page 1 of your pre-filed answers, in response
    to proponents' pre-filed question 6, you
10
11
    stated studies from MIT and emissions
12
    analytics show that hybrids can reduce CO2
13
    emissions up to 14 times more effectively than
14
    BEVs, battery electric vehicles, per vehicle.
15
            You see this portion of your testimony?
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: You said
16
17
    21?
18
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK:
                                        21, in
    response to proponents' pre-filed question 6.
19
20
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN:
                                         Yes.
2.1
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: And so can
22
    you confirm that the MIT study to which you
    refer is from the Ask MIT Climate Portal that
23
24
    was cited in page 25 of your original
```

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 03/25/2025

```
Page 147
1
    testimony.
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Yes --
2
3
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: And I can
    provide a copy, if that would help.
4
5
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN:
                                         Sure,
6
    please. Yeah. Yeah.
7
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: Hearing
8
    Officer Leoni, I would like to move to
9
    introduce -- it was in their pre-filed
    testimony, but it's the Ask MIT Climate page,
10
11
    responding to the question, "In the right
    circumstances, could a hybrid car be cleaner
12
13
    than an electric vehicle?"
14
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Was this
15
    something that was linked in their testimony,
    or did they submit this article?
16
17
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: It was
18
    linked in their testimony.
19
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Okay.
                                                  So
    then you are moving to --
20
2.1
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: Introduce it
22
    as an exhibit.
2.3
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI:
    introduce it as an exhibit?
24
```

```
Page 148
1
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: Correct.
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Are there
2
    any objections to Mr. Deatrick's motion?
3
           Any objections, Mr. Doll?
4
5
                  MR. LAWRENCE DOLL:
                                      No.
6
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Okay.
                                                  No
7
    objections.
                  Then we will admit that into the
8
    record as Exhibit Number 13. Thank you.
9
                 [Document marked as Exhibit No. 13
10
                 for identification.]
11
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: And along
12
    with the other exhibits introduced -- yeah,
13
    just pass those around, please, as long as
14
    everyone up here has them.
15
           Along with the other exhibits
    introduced for the first time today, I would
16
17
    just like to ask proponents to please file
18
    this in accordance with the Board's procedural
19
    rules in Section 101.302(h), at the end of the
    hearing today.
20
2.1
           So please proceed, Mr. Deatrick.
22
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: Sounds good.
2.3
    Thank you to the Board for printing these off
    for us.
24
```

```
Page 149
1
            So in preparing your testimony, did you
    read this article?
2
3
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN:
                                       Yes.
4
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: And so did
5
    you conclude it would be a useful resource for
    the Board to consider?
6
7
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN:
8
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: And so you
9
    cite this study for the proposition that
    hybrids might be a better deal for the climate
10
11
    and by reducing more life cycle emissions than
12
    EVs, correct?
13
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Could you
14
    say that again?
15
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: You cited
    this MIT study for the proposition that
16
17
    hybrids could be a better deal for the
    environment and the climate --
18
19
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Oh, I don't
    know if I said a better deal for the
20
2.1
    environment. You know, I think hybrids are --
22
    have been shown to be more -- you know, with
23
    lower emissions, higher fuel efficiency.
24
                                        Than EV --
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK:
```

Page 150 1 you cited this in your original testimony for the idea that hybrids could be a better 2 deal -- or better for the environment than electric vehicles. And I'm referring to page 5 25 of your original testimony right now. MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: What I said 6 7 was hybrids are increasingly being recognized 8 as a viable alternative to BEVs for reducing 9 emissions without requiring extensive 10 infrastructure investments. 11 MR. CHASE DEATRICK: And --12 MR. LAWRENCE DOLL: I don't know 13 what the ratio is, but a lot of hybrids are 14 going to do more benefit than a few electric 15 vehicles, while giving manufacturers time to refine battery technology to get cleaner, 16 17 faster charging, longer-running batteries, 18 that will make EVs eventually more practical 19 for the average driver than they are now. MR. CHASE DEATRICK: And so the 20 21 quote I'm referring to on page 25 is, 22 "Charging BEVs, battery electric vehicles, on 23 a fossil fuel dependent grid can result in higher life cycle emissions than using hybrids 24

```
Page 151
1
    or lightweight ICE vehicles, as highlighted by
    the MIT Climate Portal."
2
3
           Correct? Or you see this portion on
    page 25 here?
4
5
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Oh, I see
    it.
6
7
                  MR. LAWRENCE DOLL: Just found
8
    it. Give me one second.
9
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: And so did
    you think that this MIT Climate Portal was a
10
11
    useful study for the Board to consider in
12
    determining whether hybrids might be too
13
    phased out or ignored by the ACC2 rule?
14
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: I'm sorry.
15
    One more -- one more time.
16
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: Yeah.
                                               When
17
    you read this study and reviewed it, did you
18
    believe it would be -- this MIT study --
19
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Yes --
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: -- would be
20
2.1
    useful for the Board to consider in
22
    determining whether hybrids --
2.3
                 MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Yes, but
    there is -- yes. There is also -- I mean,
24
```

```
Page 152
1
    there are other sources of information out
    there that talk about the benefits of
2
    hybrid -- or, you know, lower emissions and
3
    increased fuel efficiency and stuff like that.
4
5
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK:
                                       And
6
    specifically -- specifically on page 25, the
7
    quote I just referred to, you are comparing
8
    charging battery electric vehicles with
9
    hybrids or lightweight ICE vehicles, correct?
    You're not comparing them --
10
11
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Yes.
12
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: You're not
13
    comparing them to other ICE vehicles? You are
14
    compar -- you're not comparing hybrids or
15
    lightweight ICE vehicles --
16
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Sure.
17
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: -- to ICE
18
    vehicles, to --
19
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: I --
                  [Interruption by the reporter.]
20
2.1
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: To state
22
    this clearly, on page 25 of your testimony,
    you are comparing the environmental impacts of
23
24
    charging battery electric vehicles to
```

Page 153 1 lightweight ICE or hybrid vehicles, but not comparing hybrid vehicles or ICE vehicles to 2 other hybrid or ICE vehicles, correct? 3 MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: 4 5 think that's fair to say. MR. CHASE DEATRICK: So did you 6 7 believe this study was useful for the Board's 8 consideration in determining whether electric 9 vehicles could have lower life cycle emissions 10 than battery electric vehicles? 11 MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Yes. 12 MR. CHASE DEATRICK: So if you 13 believe this is useful for the Board, would 14 you be willing to read the highlighted portion in the color copy I have passed Mr. Doll? 15 16 MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: The purple? 17 MR. CHASE DEATRICK: No, the 18 orange highlight, right there. [Interruption by the reporter.] 19 20 MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: 21 hybrid vehicles be a better deal for climate than full EVs? You could construct those 22 23 cases and get the answer, says Sergey Paltzev, 24 deputy director at MIT of research. However,

Page 154 1 it might require cherry-picking data to find a very specific set of circumstances." 2 3 MR. CHASE DEATRICK: So is it correct to say that you relied on no data to 4 5 form this opinion? MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: I would --6 7 no, I mean, we looked at a number of different articles, sources. I mean, if the contention 8 9 is that I didn't provide adequate sources, that's a point taken, and we can provide, you 10 know, better sources for, you know, for this 11 claim. 12 13 MR. CHASE DEATRICK: Okay. And 14 are you aware that the final paragraph of the 15 cited MIT Portal -- of the cited MIT Climate Portal article concludes that the research is 16 17 clear that EVs are the best choice for the 18 climate? 19 And that's at the very end of the article. 20 21 MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: "Driving a 22 hybrid can dramatically reduce climate 23 pollution compared to owning a gasoline-only 24 vehicle. If that's the right choice for some

Page 155 1 drivers, then he encourages they make it. 2 Every ton of CO2 that we can reduce matters, he says." 3 4 MR. CHASE DEATRICK: So would you 5 agree in the last paragraph where it says the article's research is clear, that electric 6 7 vehicles are the best choice for the climate? 8 MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Yes, but 9 then the sentence after that says, "Driving a hybrid can dramatically reduce climate 10 11 pollution compared to owning a gas-only vehicle. If that's the right choice for some 12 13 drivers, then he encourages they make it. 14 Every ton of CO2 that we reduce matters, he 15 says." 16 MR. CHASE DEATRICK: And the 17 article is also saying that hybrid vehicles 18 could reduce life cycle emissions compared to 19 EVs even, correct? That was the entire point of the article? 20 2.1 MR. LAWRENCE DOLL: Yeah. Yeah. 22 Yes. 23 MR. CHASE DEATRICK: And so you 24 agree that from the portion you just read that

Page 156 1 you could construct those cases if you 2 cherry-pick the data? 3 MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Sure --4 MR. LAWRENCE DOLL: Well, I would 5 say if -- again, presupposing that every 6 internal combustion vehicle was replaced with 7 an electric vehicle, theoretically then you 8 would hit those targets. 9 For people with long drives, or who take long trips -- for people who can afford 10 11 EVs, that's fine, but for many drivers, it's not practical. If you don't live in a 12 car with -- home with an attached garage to 13 14 charge your vehicle at night and, you know, 15 you have to park a couple of blocks away from your apartment that doesn't even have a 16 17 charger anyway, perhaps, an electric vehicle 18 isn't feasible, with larger charging time, with availability and unreliability at this 19 point of charging stations. 20 2.1 So if we had the infrastructure for 22 charging and they were as affordable as the 23 average internal combustion vehicle, then 24 maybe we would see that benefit.

Page 157 1 MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Yes, and if you guys reject the source, you know, I think 2 it also kind of goes against just the general, 3 you know, almost common understanding that 4 5 hybrids were introduced to be more fuel-efficient and being less polluting. 6 Wе would be happy to find other sources to, you 7 8 know -- to provide to the Board. 9 But, you know, again, when we were speaking about hybrids here, we also in our 10 11 testimony say, you know, they offer, you know, an efficient way to lower emissions while 12 13 Illinois continues to transfer to renewable 14 energy, and I probably should have said EVs as 15 well. And encouraging hybrid adoption can ensure emission reductions can be achieved 16 17 equitably across regions, for rural and 18 underserved areas where charging 19 infrastructure is limited. MR. CHASE DEATRICK: 20 2.1 hybrid vehicles reduce these emissions by 22 using less fuel, correct, than a traditional 2.3 ICE vehicle? 24 MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Yeah, I

```
Page 158
1
    think --
2
                  MR. LAWRENCE DOLL: Yes.
3
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: And you
    agree that they don't pay any replacement into
4
5
    the motor fuel tax through a registration fee?
6
                  MR. LAWRENCE DOLL: No, they --
7
    they pay the same registration fee as internal
    combustion vehicles, if that's your question.
8
9
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: And not the
    extra fee?
10
11
                  MR. LAWRENCE DOLL: Not the extra
    $100.
12
13
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK: Okay.
                                               That
14
    concludes my questions.
15
                  MR. LAWRENCE DOLL: I guess just
16
    one last comment is that adoption of hybrids
17
    is kind of the fastest way to see an immediate
18
    environmental benefit, because people can
19
    drive hybrids without totally changing their
    driving habits or their refueling habits, and
20
21
    without -- with large access to refueling
22
    through gas stations.
2.3
           So it's kind of the fastest way to
    clean the air as fast as possible in the short
24
```

```
Page 159
    term while we build out the technology on EVs
1
2
    for the longer term.
3
                  MR. CHASE DEATRICK:
                                        That
4
    concludes my questions.
5
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Okay.
    Thank you.
6
7
           Are there any other questions here in
8
    Springfield for Mr. Doll and Mr. Stieren?
9
                      EXAMINATION
10
    BY MR. JAMES DENNISON:
11
                  MR. JAMES DENNISON: I did have
12
    just a couple follow-up points on behalf of
13
    Sierra Club, NRDC, EDF, and Center for
14
    Neighborhood Technology.
15
           Mr. Stieren, you mentioned early on,
    and then Mr. Doll, I think you mentioned
16
17
    later, that consumers might buy noncompliant
    vehicles in Indiana or Wisconsin.
18
19
           Do you recall that?
20
                  MR. LAWRENCE DOLL: Yes. Excuse
2.1
    me. Yes.
22
                  MR. JAMES DENNISON: Are you
    aware that the rule proposal would prohibit
23
24
    registration of noncompliant new vehicles in
```

```
Page 160
1
    Illinois, even if those are purchased in
2
    Indiana or Wisconsin, unless an exception
3
    applies?
4
                  MR. LAWRENCE DOLL: I was not
    aware of that, but that does not stop people
5
    from buying a late model used vehicle from
6
    out-of-state, or in-state, either way. So --
7
8
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: And I would
9
    just reference back to the Day 2 of the
    proponents' testimony. I know you guys took a
10
11
    lot of questions from -- on this topic of
    out-of-state sales, and who is going to
12
13
    enforce it, and stuff like that.
14
           And it was -- you guys made the
    argument that Secretary of State would just
15
    not register them? Is that -- is that the
16
17
    enforcement mechanism?
                  MR. JAMES DENNISON: Well, I'm
18
19
    the one asking the questions here.
20
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Okay.
21
    Okay.
22
                  MR. JAMES DENNISON: But I think
2.3
    I understand.
24
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN:
                                       Okay.
                                                I
```

```
Page 161
1
    just think there was -- there was discussion,
2
    and kind of that was what -- that was I think
    a mechanism that you guys proposed that would
3
    help -- or, you know, help prevent people from
4
5
    going out-of-state.
6
                  MR. JAMES DENNISON:
                                       Okay.
7
    Another topic you mentioned, the possibility
8
    that there could be a decrease in combustion
9
    engine sales as a result of adopting the
10
    standards.
11
           Do you recall that?
12
                  MR. LAWRENCE DOLL: Yes.
13
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Yes.
14
                  MR. JAMES DENNISON:
                                       Okay.
15
    if GM stopped selling vehicles in order to
    comply with the standards, that's a sale that
16
17
    Ford could get if they are able to sell more
18
    zero-emission vehicles or buy credits from
19
    another manufacturer, right?
                  MR. LAWRENCE DOLL:
                                      It is.
20
21
    also a sale that a Chevy dealer in a
22
    neighboring state would get.
2.3
                  MR. JAMES DENNISON: Well, we
24
    talked a minute ago about the
```

```
Page 162
 1
    in-state/out-of-state issue.
 2
                  MR. LAWRENCE DOLL:
                                      Right.
    Right.
 3
 4
                  MR. JAMES DENNISON: So let's
 5
    focus on Illinois right now.
                  MR. LAWRENCE DOLL: Okay.
6
 7
                  MR. JAMES DENNISON: Automakers
8
    are competing with one another for sales,
9
    correct?
10
                  MR. LAWRENCE DOLL: Yes.
11
                  MR. JAMES DENNISON: And if one
    automaker is leaving sales on the table and
12
13
    another automaker is able to find a way to
14
    increase their ZEV sales or buy credits, they
15
    could get those sales, right?
16
                  MR. LAWRENCE DOLL: That's
17
    possible.
18
                  MR. JAMES DENNISON: All right.
19
           Mr. Doll, you mentioned a little later
    on that the sales targets contemplated by the
20
21
    ACC2 rule could be hit if EVs become
22
    cost-competitive and if the charging
23
    infrastructure network gets built out, is that
24
    right?
```

```
Page 163
1
                  MR. LAWRENCE DOLL: They could
2
    be.
3
                  MR. JAMES DENNISON:
                                       Do you have
4
    any response in your testimony or pre-filed
5
    question responses to the analysis by rule
    proponents, by ERM, and by witness Tom
6
7
    Cackette, indicating that EVs will reach cost
8
    parity with combustion vehicles within the
9
    next three years even without accounting for
10
    incentives?
11
                  MR. LAWRENCE DOLL: I don't
    recollect off the top of my head.
12
13
                  MR. JAMES DENNISON: So no, you
14
    don't have a response to those projections
15
    from the rule proponents?
16
                  MR. LAWRENCE DOLL: I -- well, my
17
    only response is cost is part of the answer to
18
    EV adoption. The other is reliable charging
19
    network.
20
                  MR. JAMES DENNISON:
                                      Okay. Let's
21
    turn to the charging network now.
22
           Do you recall my discussion with Mr.
23
    Douglas earlier about charging networks?
24
                  MR. LAWRENCE DOLL:
                                      Yes.
```

```
Page 164
1
                  MR. JAMES DENNISON: Okay.
                                               And
2
    we talked about the various programs,
    investments, that are both underway and that
3
    are planned throughout Illinois to develop the
4
5
    charging infrastructure.
           Do you recall that discussion?
6
7
                  MR. LAWRENCE DOLL:
8
                  MR. JAMES DENNISON: And could
    the types of programs that we were discussing
9
    help develop the charging network along the
10
11
    lines that you were mentioning?
12
                  MR. LAWRENCE DOLL: They could
13
    help, yes.
14
                  MR. JAMES DENNISON: All right.
15
    Turning to the penalty provisions. You
    mentioned I think penalty provisions in
16
17
    Maryland increasing at some point.
18
           Do you recall that?
19
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN:
    think I was referring to a document that -- it
20
21
    was the department of Maryland --
22
                  MR. JAMES DENNISON: Okay. We
23
    can pull it up if we need to.
24
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN:
                                       But yes.
```

```
Page 165
1
    Yes.
                  MR. JAMES DENNISON: But here's
2
3
    my question. Are you aware that penalty
4
    provisions vary state by state?
5
                  MR. LAWRENCE DOLL: I would
6
    imagine that they do.
7
                  MR. JAMES DENNISON: And are you
8
    aware that the rule proposal uses penalty
9
    provisions that are based on Illinois's civil
10
    penalty statute?
11
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Is that the
12
    $50,000 -- is that the one that was imposed by
13
    EPA that was referenced in the rules?
14
                  MR. JAMES DENNISON:
                                        These are
15
    the civil penalties that are provided for by
    Illinois statute for violations of air
16
17
    pollution regulations.
18
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: I'm not
19
    being argu -- is that the one -- is it
    enforced by the EPA?
20
2.1
                  MR. JAMES DENNISON:
                                        In other
22
    context, it -- and it would be enforced by
2.3
    Illinois EPA.
24
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: And was it
```

```
Page 166
1
    $50,000?
2
                  MR. JAMES DENNISON: Under the
3
    statute, correct.
4
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Yeah.
5
                  MR. JAMES DENNISON: Okay. Are
    penalty provisions, the level at which a
6
7
    penalty is set, is that the only determinant
8
    of a cost of an emissions credit?
9
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: So no, it's
    my understanding that the emission credits
10
11
    are -- like the actual credits themselves are
12
    private. They're not -- I don't know if they
13
    are set by ACC2, but I know when I was -- when
    we were looking into credits, you couldn't
14
15
    find the actual price of what the credits
16
    were.
17
            There was multiple, you know, articles
18
    out there where people looked at -- but then
19
    CARB does track when a manufacturer does sell
    credits to another manufacturer for
20
21
    compliance. And people kind of, you know,
22
    back door, try to figure out how much those
2.3
    credits were.
24
           They looked at Tesla's annual financial
```

Page 167 1 statements and financial records, and they were showing profit based off of a number of 2 credits, you know, per year, kind of trying to 3 figure out what that's worth. 4 5 I believe the current penalty is \$5,000, so also you would have to just 6 7 generally -- generally think, you know, it 8 would be less than that, because they would 9 just pay the fine versus the credit. So the credit would be smaller than that amount. 10 11 Does that make sense? 12 MR. JAMES DENNISON: Okay. I 13 appreciate all the detail. We can get into it 14 more if we need to. 15 MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Okay. HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Excuse 16 17 me, gentlemen. I'm sorry. Because it is noon 18 and we are starting the public comment portion at 1:00 PM today, I would like to stop here 19 for now, and we'll break for lunch for just 20 21 shy of one hour, and we'll resume at 1:00 PM 22 with the in-person public comments. 23 And then at 2:00 PM, after that hour 24 concludes, we can resume the questioning of

```
Page 168
    Mr. Doll and Mr. Stieren, any remaining
 1
 2
    questions that you have.
 3
                  MR. JAMES DENNISON: Okay. I
    would be happy to proceed that way. I have
 4
 5
    two more questions.
                  HEARING OFFICER HORTON: I think
6
 7
    we should break.
8
                  MR. JAMES DENNISON: Okay.
9
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: We should
10
    break.
11
                  MR. JAMES DENNISON: Sure.
12
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Thank
13
    you.
14
                  THE REPORTER: Off the record.
15
                  [A recess was taken.]
16
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Hi.
17
    Thank you very much. It's 1:00 now, so we
18
    will reconvene the afternoon portion, starting
19
    with public comments of this Illinois
    Pollution Control Board hearing, in the
20
21
    rulemaking numbered R24-17, Proposed Clean Car
22
    and Truck Standards.
2.3
            So can everybody hear me in the back?
24
    I know some of you can't see me very well.
```

Page 169 1 Okay. Great. Hard to hear? I'm sorry. I'11 do my best to project. I don't have a 2 microphone up here. 3 4 So here in Springfield, we have about 5 25 to 30 people who have signed up to offer public comment in-person. We have one hour 6 7 allotted for in-person public comments today, 8 so we are going to cap each comment at two 9 minutes. 10 Please try to keep your comment to two 11 minutes in length. I'll be timing you and letting you know. I'll signal you when you 12 13 have got about 10 seconds left. 14 If we do not get to everyone's comments 15 today, anyone is welcome to submit written public comments to the Board through the 16 17 Board's Clerk Office On-Line, and I would like 18 to note that the Board weighs oral and written 19 public comments equally. So with that, we'll proceed to the 20 21 in-person public comments. 22 I'm going to call you up two people at 23 a time, and if you could please file around

the back of the room along the side here,

24

Page 170 behind the court reporter, and the first 1 person called can just sit right in the chair 2 at the end there, and the second person can 3 wait behind the court reporter. And please 4 5 spell your name for the court reporter. I 6 apologize for any mispronunciations on my end. 7 So first up, we have Larry Evans and 8 then Griselda Chavez. 9 Is Mr. Evans here? 10 Not here? Okay. Then we'll start with 11 Ms. Chavez, and then next Jason Dake. Okay. Ms. Chavez, please come sit. 12 13 GRISELDA CHAVEZ: Hi. My name is 14 Griselda Chavez, and I'm an environmental 15 justice organizer with Warehouse Workers for 16 Justice. I drove two-and-a-half hours to 17 Springfield from Joliet to represent the 18 voices and lived experiences of families and 19 workers in Will County, Illinois, which is home to North America's largest inland port. 20 2.1 Illinois Pollution Control Board should 22 adopt the clean vehicle rules, and the time to

act is now. I care about this issue because air pollution is an equity issue, and it is

2.3

24

Page 171

clear air pollution is a segregated issue, especially in Will County, Illinois.

Black and brown and low-income communities in and around Joliet are disproportionately affected by diesel pollution, large amounts of truck traffic, and increasing growth of the warehouse industry, which only means added stress and health risks added to the burdens workers and families face every day.

At Warehouse Workers for Justice, we work to organize workers and community members around labor rights and environmental justice, because we believe our frontline workers and their families deserve safe working conditions and fair protection from harmful contaminants and poor air quality.

We have seen our community show enthusiasm and support for policies and efforts that promote clean air and public health equity, because their daily experiences are quantified through community-led air quality testing, where folks can see the particulate matter levels in real time,

Page 172 1 dealing with unsafe working conditions and inequitable access to health care when 2 3 respiratory and other health issues arise. 4 We come together in solidarity to 5 listen to community concerns from people who bear the most impact, while greedy 6 corporations are putting profits before 7 8 people. 9 Everyone consumes from these industries, but not everyone is being impacted 10 11 by this consumption the same way. environmental justice means equitable access 12 13 to decisions that are being made and making 14 sure our people have access to these 15 decisions. 16 Elected officials at the local, state, 17 and federal level should advocate for our 18 communities now more than ever, as a matter of 19 human dignity and a matter of equity for our families and children that are going to bear 20 2.1 the most brunt when those impacts continue 22 to -- continue in our community. 2.3 HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Ms. Chavez. 24

Page 173 1 GRISELDA CHAVEZ: With this, I 2 urge the Pollution Control Board and everyone to take action, because the future and health 3 of those we care about most depend on it. 4 5 Thank you. HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Thank 6 7 you. 8 Okay. Next up, Mr. Dake. 9 JASON DAKE: My name is Jason 10 Dake. I'm vice president of regulatory 11 affairs for Orange EV. We manufacture an electric terminal tractor that's deployed here 12 13 in Illinois, and I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the proposed Clean Car and Truck 14 15 Standards as they stand today. 16 To give you a little background about 17 Orange EV, we have more than -- we have around 18 1,500 electric terminal tractors deployed 19 across the United States. They are deployed in 40 states. We have -- our first commercial 20 21 deployment of an electric terminal tractor was 22 in Chicago in 2015. That truck has been in 23 continuous operation on a 24/7 shift cycle 24 since 2015, and is in continuous operation

Page 174

with the original battery pack.

I say that to establish that electric vehicles in the heavy-duty truck space are available, they are in the market, they are successful, and don't let anyone tell you that they aren't. So that should not be an impediment to adopting this rule.

With regard to why adopt a clean trucks rule: Our partner CALSTART has conducted comprehensive studies of clean car and truck standards across the U.S. Like these, they are proving their feasibility in the myriad of benefits, not the least of which is providing certainty to allow for long-term planning and investment by industry, as well as state and local governments.

Programs like these set ambitious but still cost-effective goals with manufacturer sales requirements. By establishing the manufacturer sales requirements, they are creating the market for the purchase of these vehicles and incentivizing OEMs to move away from the industrial (sic) combustion engines that they have built their whole industry on,

Page 175

and move to the next generation of zero-emission vehicles.

2.1

The advanced clean trucks programs like these reduce pollution, improve public health, and benefit both businesses and communities in which they operate. And we -- Orange EV fully supports this proposal of this regulation, and would encourage the Board to direct the Illinois EPA to move forward with adoption.

And we'll be submitting lengthier comments after this, but feel free to contact me with any questions on this, and thank you for your time, and we really appreciate everything you are doing here in the State of Illinois.

HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Thank you, Mr. Dake.

Next up, we have Virginia Woulfe and Shreepade Tripathy.

VIRGINIA WOULFE-BEILE: Hi. Good afternoon. Thank you for this opportunity.

My name is Virginia Woulfe-Beile. I'm a staffperson at the Piasa Palisades group of the Sierra Club.

2.1

Page 176

I'm also a board member of the United Congregations of the Metro-East, and I live in Godfrey, Illinois, in Madison County, and that's the St. Louis Metro-East area, and you may be aware that Madison County has some of the worst air quality in the state, and the state is the seventh most polluting state in the nation.

So -- and if you look at the U.S. EPA air quality maps, Madison County is dark blue, but only dark blue meaning high on the chart, high numbers in PM2.5, PM10, as well as sulfur dioxide and nitrous -- nitrogen oxide.

This air pollution has affected me and my family and the community I live in in so many ways. All three of my adult brothers and my husband and my father all suffer from asthma or COPD, all of them but one adult onset, and none of these people were smokers.

So knowing that transportation is the number one CO2 emitter in the state, I am very worried every time I'm near the intersection of I-255 and I-270 corridor in neighboring communities of Edwardsville and Pontoon Beach,

2.1

Page 177

where in the last decade acres upon acres of warehouse distribution centers have been built on river bottom farmland, with new facilities that are always under construction.

The diesel tractor-trailer traffic and gasoline delivery truck traffic have increased exponentially over the years. This, combined with the cumulative impacts of industrial stack emissions, threatens the health of my community.

More and more, my family, friends, and neighbors suffer from red eye -- red watery eyes, persistent sore throats, coughs, asthma, and other respiratory problems, and it doesn't matter what season. I worry more and more about the long-term exposure to diesel emission, such as lung cancer, bladder cancer, heart disease, then the worsening asthma and allergies and other lung diseases.

To protect my community's health and the people who live and work there, the drivers, the warehouse workers, the children, and the elderly, we need more independent data from downstate concerning diesel pollution.

```
Page 178
1
    We need --
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI:
2
    Woulfe, that's been two-and-a-half minutes.
3
4
                  VIRGINIA WOULFE-BEILE: Okay.
5
                 HEARING OFFICER LEONI:
                                          Thank
6
    you. I'm sorry.
7
                  VIRGINIA WOULFE-BEILE: Well,
8
    thanks very much for the opportunity.
9
                 HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Next up,
10
    Mr. Tripathy.
11
                  SHREEPADE TRIPATHY: A lot of
    people here. That's kind of cool.
12
13
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Go ahead.
14
                  SHREEPADE TRIPATHY: So hi.
15
    Thank you all for coming today. So my name is
    Dr. Shreepade Tripathy. I did not find this
16
17
    coat on the street; I am in fact a
18
    pediatrician actually here in our community.
19
    I'm a hospital pediatrician.
           And my job is to care for children who
20
21
    require hospitalizations for serious health
22
    conditions, children who are often too young
    to fully understand why they can't breathe,
23
24
    why they are in pain, or why they are stuck in
```

Page 179

a hospital bed instead of playing outside.

2.3

I'm here today to speak about a public health crisis that is both preventable and urgent, the relationship between air pollution and childhood asthma.

Asthma is one of the most chronic conditions among children. In Illinois, one in every 13 children suffers from asthma, and for too many of them, this is not a mild condition. This can truly be life-threatening.

On average, there are around 8,000 hospitalizations for asthma in Illinois, and around 64,000 ER visits for asthma in Illinois. These are not just numbers. Behind every statistic is a scared child, gasping for air, and a terrified parent holding their hand, wondering if their child will ever be able to breathe again without help.

And while most children do recover from asthma, last year, there are children that in fact died from asthma-related complications, deaths that could have been prevented.

In a nation as advanced as ours, and

Page 180

especially in a state like Illinois, it is truly unacceptable that children are still dying from a condition that is entirely manageable with the right interventions.

2.1

One of the biggest and most preventable factors making asthma worse is air pollution. Research and my own clinical experience has shown that there is a clear and undeniable link between pollution levels and asthma severity.

Simply put, when pollution levels rise, so do hospital emissions. Poor air quality makes asthma attacks more frequent, more severe, and more difficult to treat. The consequences are particularly devastating for children who live in communities that are already facing socioeconomic challenges, where access to health care, nutritious food, and stable housing is already limited.

We cannot afford to ignore the primary source of harmful emissions driving this crisis, vehicle pollution. That is why I'm here today, to urge this board to take action by adopting the Advanced Clean Cars 2,

```
Page 181
1
    Advanced Clean Truck, and Heavy-Duty Omnibus
2
    NOx rules.
3
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI:
                                           10
4
    seconds.
5
                  SHREEPADE TRIPATHY:
                                        These
6
    policies directly impact -- directly target
    our largest contributors of air pollution,
7
8
    vehicle emissions, and will significantly
9
    reduce the pollutants that worsen asthma and
    other respiratory conditions. Thank you for
10
11
    your time.
12
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Thank
13
    you.
14
           Next up, we have Toni Oplt and Tracey
15
    Gragg or "Cragg." If Tracey is not available,
16
    then --
17
                  TRACEY GRAGG: I'm available.
18
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Okay.
19
                  TONI OPLT: My name is Toni Oplt.
    I live in Edwardsville, Illinois. I am a
20
2.1
    resident of Madison County, the 11th-worst
22
    county in the state for diesel engine PM2.5
23
    air pollution, according to the Respiratory
    Health Association.
24
```

2.1

Page 182

Along the outskirts of my hometown, warehouses line the 255 interstate for miles. What was once farmland is now vast fields of asphalted pads that accommodate a constant stream of heavy-duty trucks arriving and leaving the warehouse bays, idling for long periods as shipments are loaded and unloaded. These trucks, never once seen inside our city limits, are granted further leniency for through traffic every year.

Along with increased truck traffic has come the increase in poor air quality for days on end in our area. Over the past five years, I have learned to continually check air quality to see if it is safe to go outside, to take a walk to town, to ride my bike, to work in the garden.

For days on end, the air never improves above moderate. Most days, like yesterday for instance, it was poor.

Since I suffer from severe dust allergies, particulate matter becomes a real threat, but my complaints are pale in comparison to the high levels of childhood

asthma in our county.

2.1

2.3

The hundreds of days children and vulnerable adults spend in emergency rooms, the lost work days and sometimes jobs for parents, the lost school days for our future generations, add up to a massive cost burden, calculated in human suffering and economic hardship.

But there is another factor of concern here that is often left out of our political and legal conversations: The devastation caused by cumulative impact.

As someone who has stood before many agencies to give public comment, including this board, I have come to realize that cumulative impact is never considered. There are loopholes in permit language, there are narrow definitions of what can be acknowledged as relevant, and a myopic view of what really needs to be done or changed.

HEARING OFFICER LEONI: 10

TONI OPLT: Yet for people like me, in counties like mine, scarred by heavily

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com

888-391-3376

seconds.

Page 184 1 industrial pollution as well as diesel exhaust and agricultural drift, the loopholes are 2 3 important. For us, everything matters. So I ask you to pass the Advanced Clean 4 5 Truck and Heavy-Duty Low NOx Omnibus rules as a necessary and positive step toward making 6 7 life better for people in Illinois. 8 you. 9 HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Thank 10 you. 11 TRACEY GRAGG: Good morning, 12 everyone. My name is Tracey Gragg, and I'm a 13 leader with Faith Coalition Environmental Task 14 Force. I'm here today because diesel 15 pollution is harming our air, our health, and 16 our communities. 17 As a bus rider, I have personally 18 experienced the effects of diesel pollution. 19 I live on the southeast side of Springfield, where diesel trucks drive back and forth 20 2.1 through. As I'm waiting at the bus stop, 22 truck after truck passes, and the fumes from 23 the trucks give me a headache. 24 [Interruption by the reporter.]

Page 185

TRACEY GRAGG: Even once the bus arrives, there is no relief; I'm hit with a strong smell of gas as it arrives. One time, I was sitting at the back of the bus, and the diesel fumes were so overwhelming, they made me nauseous.

These experiences are not unique to me. They are the reality for countless people like me, living on the southeast side of Springfield. Diesel is among the leading causes of air pollution in Illinois and across the country.

Diesel exhaust is especially dangerous because it contains harmful compounds that have been linked to serious health conditions, such as asthma and lung cancer, and a worsening of chronic heart and lung diseases.

Our most vulnerable, children, seniors, and those with preexisting conditions, are at even -- are at even greater risk.

To the Pollution Control Board, the future of Illinois transportation must be clean. Zero-emission trucks, buses, and delivery vans are not just an option; they are

Page 186 1 a necessity for protecting public health and ensuring a sustainable future. The time to 2 act is now. Thank you. 3 HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Thank 4 5 you. Next up, we have Anne Logue and Dale 6 7 Wojtkowski. Is Dale here? Yes. Okay. 8 And please spell your full name for the 9 court reporter. 10 ANNE LOGUE: Anne, A-N-N-E, 11 Loque, L-O-G-U-E, with the Faith Coalition for the Common Good. 12 13 Why clean transportation? Five years ago, I had the opportunity to scour 14 15 Springfield, Illinois's urban area, and I was riveted by the challenges many faced in the 16 17 marginalized community. Car ownership was 18 cited as a luxury, and getting to work, 19 keeping a job, was directly connected to being able to have regular public transportation. 20 21 Public buses, while essential to this 22 area, go up and down residential areas, 23 constantly pouring diesel emissions, and diesel is directly related to childhood 24

asthma.

2.1

An SIU Med study in Springfield showed that asthma rates in children in the poorest ZIP codes are 15 times higher than the wealthiest areas. We are not protecting our children.

Asthma attacks affect many areas of the child's life as well as the parents. As you have heard, taking time off to take your child to the ER due to asthma attack risk, the parents' job, hours off their paycheck, vital money that they could have been earning, and days missed from school for the child.

These traffic emissions can be directly related to asthma attacks. According to the American Lung Association, exposure to diesel exhaust can lead to serious health issues, and children's developing lungs are especially sensitive to these effects.

In a study, a cross-sectional analysis of a multiracial cohort of children, areas of high-traffic roadways have much higher levels of pollution than the rest of the community.

Results show that the odds of having past or

Page 188 1 current asthma/asthma-like symptoms among 2 children with reported high neighborhood traffic were 101 percent higher than the odds 3 for children without reported high traffic. 5 There is also a marked racial ethnic 6 disparity in the prevalence of past or current 7 asthma/asthma-like symptoms. 8 In addition, transportation makes up 28 9 percent of greenhouse gas emissions, fueling 10 the ever-increasing dangers of climate change. 11 HEARING OFFICER LEONI: 10 12 seconds. 13 ANNE LOGUE: It is estimated we 14 have a short window to reduce global 15 temperature rise before it goes to an 16 irreversible escalation that will not support 17 life as we know it. 18 In the end, it comes down to the 19 children, and the ones here are exposed now and the ones that will pay a much higher price 20 2.1 for our neglect in the future. 22 HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Thank 23 you. 24 Mr. Wojtkowski, please spell your full

Page 189 1 name for the court reporter. 2 DALE WOJTKOWSKI: Excuse me? 3 HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Oh, could you please spell your full name for the court 4 5 reporter? 6 DALE WOJTKOWSKI: Oh. Yes. Му 7 name is Dale Wojtkowski. D-A-L-E 8 W-O-J-T-K-O-W-S-K-I, and I am the chair of the 9 Kaskaskia Group of the Illinois chapter of the 10 Sierra Club, and we represent many -- well, we 11 represent the St. Clair County area, which includes East St. Louis and Belleville. 12 13 And we have -- I have personally seen 14 the testimony from the people living on -- in 15 the Metro-East that are affected by this type of pollution, and how much misery it brings to 16 17 their lives, their children's lives, and their 18 grandchildren's lives. 19 And I myself have asthma, and when I 20 enter these areas, I have to make sure I bring 21 my respirator or my inhaler with me, because 22 I'm subjected to asthma attacks, too. 23 So that's why we are supporting the Advanced Clean Truck and the Advance Clean 24

```
Page 190
1
    Cars 2 and the Heavy-Duty Omnibus -- well,
    whatever, both those -- all those three things
2
    that we support.
4
           And that's all I got to say. I'm
5
    sorry. I'm not very good at speaking in
    public.
6
7
                 HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Just
8
    fine. Okay. Thank you.
9
           Next up, we have Sally Burgess and
    Adelaide Zwick.
10
11
           Adelaide is not here. Thank you.
           Then next up will be Susan Mudd --
12
13
    Mudd?
           Thank you. Yes. Cursive. Okay.
14
           So Ms. Burgess, please --
15
                  SALLY BURGESS: I'm the downstate
16
    organizing manager for the Illinois chapter of
17
    Sierra Club, and have lived in the Metro-East
18
    for the last 25 years -- 35 years, I'm sorry.
19
           I live outside of Edwardsville, with
    farm fields to the north, that's what you see
20
21
    from my front porch, and to the west, so I get
22
    wonderful sunsets almost every evening. It's
    a lovely peaceful place, and I feel grateful
23
    every day to live there, but I have noticed
24
```

Page 191 1 over the years that the air quality has 2 worsened. 3 This morning, for instance, the first thing I noticed when I let the dogs out at 4 4:30 was the smell of chemicals of some sort. 5 I call it chewable air. 6 7 Now, I can't trace it directly to 8 diesel fuel, though we are surrounded by 9 Amazon distribution centers in the Metro-East. 10 Most likely it's a cumulative odor of diesel, 11 refineries, and farm chemicals. So though I don't know exactly what I 12 13 was breathing in this crisp cool morning, I 14 know it wasn't fresh country air. 15 As my colleagues and I carpooled here today, we decided to count the number of 16 17 diesel semi trucks we saw along the highway. From Hamel to the I-55 turnoff into 18 19 Springfield is about 65 miles. 320. In the relatively short time we were on the highway, 20 21 we passed 320 diesel semis. 22 All along our route, on both sides of 23 the highway, farm fields, rustic barns, cows and other farm animals, homes. Some would 24

Page 192 refer to it as a bucolic rural setting, and 1 2 the highways were clogged with semi diesel 3 trucks. Making the transition from smelly and 5 polluting diesel trucks to zero-emissions electric vehicles would improve the air 6 7 quality in cities, for sure, but also in the 8 country. 9 So I join my friends and colleagues in calling on the Pollution Control Board to 10 11 adopt the Advanced Clean Truck and Heavy-Duty Low NOx Omnibus rules. It will be especially 12 13 beneficial for frontline communities and BIPOC 14 communities, and it will also benefit those of 15 us who live in rural areas. 16 Please, let Illinois be the next state 17 to state emphatically that clean air is a 18 unalienable right for all of us. Thank you. 19 HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Thank 20 you. 2.1 SUSAN MUDD: Good afternoon, and 22 thank you for this opportunity to comment on 2.3 Illinois adopting the ACT, HDO, and ACC2

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

rules.

24

Page 193

I'm a senior policy advocate at the Environmental Law and Policy Center, and we strongly support adopting all three of these rules, although I will focus my remarks on the ACT rule.

Currently the overwhelming majority of trucks and buses crisscrossing Illinois are diesel. This includes some 22,000 school buses.

Among those impacted are the nearly one million Illinois schoolchildren who daily ride diesel school buss. Riding old diesels buses has been shown to impede their lung growth and contribute to missed school days.

Thanks to Illinois EPA, VW, and federal funds, in just the last few years, Illinois schools and companies have been awarded 700 zero-emission school buses. Children on these buses have a cleaner and quieter ride to school, leaving them and their drivers healthier, calmer from not having to breathe diesel fumes nor yelling to be heard over the diesel engine roars.

Adapting the ACT rule would lead

manufacturers to offer more such cleaner choices to Illinois fleet owners, with flexibility as to how to meet it. The daily mileage of most school bus routes fits well within the range of the 20 currently available electric school bus models, so most buses could run their daily routes on electric buses, recharge overnight at schools, depots, or bus drivers' homes.

Were manufacturers including replacement of Illinois school buses as part of their implementation strategy of ACT, it would help children's health in learning, improve air quality in communities, benefit school districts from reduced operation and maintenance costs, and accelerate Illinois's ability to meet the state goal of a million EVs on the road by 2030.

Illinois need not feel alone or afraid in adopting ACT; it would join 11 other states already doing so. NESCAUM, the Northeast States For Coordinated Air Use Management, a nonprofit association that guides and supports state government efforts to accelerate the

```
Page 195
    nation's transition to clean transportation,
1
2
    can provide regulatory and technical expertise
    and support.
3
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI:
                                           10
5
    seconds.
                  SUSAN MUDD: It has already
6
7
    supported states in adopting such regulations,
8
    conducted emissions testing for trucks,
9
    analyzed benefits of a number of truck
    standard adaptation scenarios, and is in an
10
11
    excellent position to aid Illinois in adopting
12
    ACT.
13
            Steadily shifting to EVs by
14
    implementing ACT and HDO and ACC2 will speed
15
    up the day when Illinois children and adults
    can breathe the clean air that all deserve.
16
17
    Thank you.
18
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI:
                                           Thank
19
    you.
            Next up we have Neda Deylami and Thomas
20
2.1
    Serelus.
22
            Is Thomas here? No?
2.3
            Then next, we'll have McKenna Mason.
24
    McKenna?
               Okay, great.
```

Page 196 1 NEDA DEYLAMI: My name is Neda, 2 N-E-D-A, Deylami, D-E-Y-L-A-M-I. 3 I'm an attorney with the Environmental Defense Fund. Today, however, I speak to you 4 5 as an Illinoisan, a renter, and an EV owner since 2017, one of the first five percent of 6 7 Illinoisans to make the switch, so I want to 8 share why I think Clean Car and Truck 9 Standards are feasible for Illinois. 10 Charging infrastructure is keeping up 11 with EV sales. Most EV owners will charge at home and rarely ever have to use a public 12 13 charger. Same for fleet vehicles that have long dwell times in depots; it only takes five 14 15 seconds to plug in, and wake up to a full 16 tank. 17 Under the EV Charging Act --18 [Interruption by the reporter.] 19 NEDA DEYLAMI: Sorry -- renters and those in multifamily homes like me have a 20 21 right to charge, and every new home in 22 Illinois is now built to support future 23 charging needs. For road tips, the range of EVs these 24

days tends to be much, much longer than the average bladder. I drove 220 miles here today. I stopped once, went to the bathroom, and by the time I was done, my charge was charged.

The standards actually help build charging infrastructure by helping charging providers and utilities plan for it.

tech is improving at such a rapid rate that the battery size in my first EV costs the same now as a vehicle with at least three times the range. States that have already adopted standards have the widest availability of vehicles and lower prices, and we know that manufacturers are selling more ZEVs in those states than they need to meet requirements there, which means they can do it here, too.

I love my EV. I love not having to think about oil changes or gas prices. I love that the only maintenance I have done over 50,000 electric miles is wiper fluid and tire rotation. I love warming up my car in a garage on a freezing winter morning and not

Page 198 1 poisoning anybody. I want every Illinoisan to have the 2 opportunity to experience these benefits, and 3 these standards give them that choice. 4 5 This isn't a matter of technical feasibility, but rather the will to meet the 6 7 people where they are in demanding choices, 8 demanding cleaner air, and demanding a 9 habitable planet. 10 It won't happen overnight, but the ZEV 11 revolution is inevitable. The question that remains is whether the State of Illinois, 12 13 whether this board will meet its duty to protect the health and well-being of 14 15 Illinoisans that you serve to take the action needed, or will you dig us even deeper into 16 17 the hole of the climate and pollution crisis that threatens all of us and kills hundreds of 18 19 Illinoisans a year. Thank you. 20 HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Thank 21 you. 22 MCKENNA MASON: Hi. My name is 23 McKenna Mason, and I have recently moved here

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

to Chicago from rural Missouri. I am the

24

manager of policy and advocacy of the
Respiratory Health Association, and I am here
in my own personal capacity today.

I've been thinking about these issues quite a lot lately. Three weeks ago, I made an eight-hour trip Weldon, Iowa. My grandmother had been admitted to the hospital. She was diagnosed with brain, liver, and lung cancer, and she was given two weeks left to live.

Lying in her hospital bed, you could barely tell the small fierce woman was sick, until she tried to draw in a breath, and her lungs rattled and struggled with effort.

This past weekend, I repeated the drive. This time, it was for her funeral.

We know that emissions from vehicles are incredibly harmful. Toxic fumes enters our lungs and increase our risk of cancer and other respiratory illnesses. This issue is also disproportional. People experiencing poverty are exposed to 35 percent more air pollution than the average American.

Statistics are easy to cast off in our

Page 200

head as numbers. It's a different story when you are watching your family member lying in a hospital bed, dying from lung cancer.

This is a serious issue across our state, but we have hope. We have a way to solve this issue to prevent others from watching their loved ones slowly pass away in front of them.

I moved to Illinois to continue the mission I began in Missouri. I worked to get people elected who promised to work for a better future for us all. I will continue that fight today.

13 out of 23 counties in Illinois received a C or lower on the State of the Air report. We can do better for our people and for our state. Illinois has the capability to be a leader in EV technology and clean emissions.

No one should have to go through what my family did, adopt the ACT, HDO, and ACC2 rules for a better, cleaner future for our state. Thank you for your time today.

HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Thank

Page 201 1 you. Next, we have Nick Dodson and Caroline 2 3 Wooten. 4 NICK DODSON: My name is Nick 5 Dodson, and I serve as the chair of the 6 Sangamon Valley Sierra Club here in 7 Springfield. I'm here today because Illinois 8 has an opportunity to take real action on one 9 of the biggest environmental and public health threats we face, transportation pollution. 10 11 For years, locally we fought for cleaner air and water in central Illinois. We 12 13 worked to shut down Springfield's municipal 14 coal plant, opposed dangerous CO2 pipelines, 15 and stood against fracked gas plant threats, 16 but we continue to make strides, especially in 17 transportation. 18 Illinois ranks as the seventh most 19 polluting state in our nation for CO2 emissions, with transportation as the number 20 2.1 one contributor. And this isn't just about climate 22 2.3 change; it's about the air we breathe every 24 single day. Diesel pollution is choking our

communities.

2.1

According to the Respiratory Health
Association, in 2023 alone diesel pollution in
Illinois was projected to cause nearly 200
heart attacks and over 5,000 asthma attacks.

Think about what this means. That's

hundreds of families sitting in hospital rooms, hoping their loved ones survive.

That's thousands of children struggling to breathe, missing school, suffering lifelong health consequences. And at what cost?

But we do have a solution. By adopting the Advanced Clean Truck standard, the Heavy Trucks Omnibus rule, and the Advanced Clean Cars 2 standard, Illinois can cut pollution at its source. We can get more electric trucks and cars on the road, reduce dangerous emissions, and invest in cleaner air for everyone.

This is about more than just policy; it's about justice. It's about holding corporate polluters accountable instead of letting them put profits over people.

Springfield sits at a crossroads of

I-55 and I-72. We know what diesel and gas pollution do in our community. We feel it in our lungs, we see it in our hospitals, and we live with those consequences every day.

I urge the Illinois Pollution Control Board to do the right thing. Stand up for working families, and stand up for public health.

HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Thank you.

And I know we only have about two minutes per comment, but if everyone could try to speak clearly for the court reporter, that would be great. Thank you all very much.

Ms. Wooten?

2.1

CAROLINE WOOTEN: So my name is
Caroline. I live in the Bridgeport
neighborhood of Chicago. I urge the Pollution
Control Board to use your power to ensure
Illinois adopts the Clean Vehicles Standards.

Despite some big strides forward on building the clean energy economy, Illinois is still the 7th most polluting state in the nation, and transportation is the leading

cause of that CO2 pollution.

2.1

2.3

It feels like every season we witness a new unnatural disaster, from devastating hurricanes to wildfires to bitter and extreme -- to bitter cold and extreme heat. These disaster are exacerbated by our rapidly warming climate and take lives, health, livelihood, communities and more.

I remember how absolutely demoralizing it was to walk outside with a mask on to protect myself from the heavily polluted air during the summer of 2023, when Canadian wildfire smoke made the air quality in Chicago dirty and dangerous.

Living in Chicago, summer is something you look forward to, and it felt like so many summer weekends were lost to poor air quality. This is a small loss compared to what some have lost and will lose to climate change, but the feeling of hopelessness and powerlessness is something I won't forget.

It's not just wildfires that pollute our air. Cook County is the top one percent of counties when it comes to diesel pollution.

Page 205 1 In my old apartment, I wouldn't go on runs because the pollution from the trucks going up 2 and down Halsted and in and out of the old 3 stockyards, as well as trucks coming and going 4 5 from the Norfolk Southern railway yard, just made the air too polluting. 6 7 Being outside was unpleasant, and it 8 was -- it's about more than just enjoyment. 9 Diesel pollution is linked to many health problems. Lung cancer, bladder cancer, asthma 10 11 attacks, heart disease, and more. I'm lucky that I live further from 12 13 industrial zones than I did then, but not 14 everyone has that choice, and honestly, there is still a lot of pollution in my 15 neighborhood, much of it from I-55 and I-90. 16 17 I urge you to use your power as the 18 Pollution Control Board to adopt the ACT, HDO, 19 and ACC2. Not only will it help us do our part as a state when it comes to climate 20

HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Thank
24 you.

lives in the short term. Thank you.

21

22

change; these rules will quite literally save

Next up, we have Nicole Saulsberry and Ann Schreifels. Schriver -- it's hard to read. I apologize. Is Ann with Sierra Club here? Okay.

2.1

2.3

NICOLE SAULSBERRY: Good
afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. My name is
Nicole Saulsberry. I'm the state government
representative of the Sierra Club Illinois
chapter. I am based here in Springfield, and
I'm honored to be here on this momentous
occasion to urge all of you to adopt the Clean
Vehicle Standards for the Clean Transportation
Package.

I am sure that all of you are aware of the startling statistics regarding the top 12 counties in Illinois that suffer most from transportation pollution, especially particulate matter.

The health costs are extremely disconcerting. Tailpipe pollution has been the cause of so many ailments for many Illinoisans.

A report entitled "The Dirty Dozen: The impacts of diesel engine pollution in

2.1

Page 207

Illinois," which was published by the
Respiratory Health Association, stated that
particulate matter 2.5 exposure has been
associated with asthma attacks, use of asthma
medications for children, and more frequent
visits to the emergency room.

Other ailments include acute bronchitis, lung cancer, bladder cancer, irritation of the eyes, nose and throat, and the list goes on and on. I strongly believe that that these respiratory illnesses can be reduced if we transform the way we think about the future of transportation.

By adopting the Clean Vehicle
Standards, you can alleviate the overburdened
health care system in Illinois. Fewer
hospital visits mean less time off from work
and school, hence more productivity. But the
most important result is that we are saving
lives.

Millions of Illinois residents will be able to breathe cleaner air as a result of the policies -- policies that we adopt this year.

Personally, I don't have a respiratory

Page 208 1 illness, and I don't know of anyone who has. However, I'm here to speak up for those who 2 suffer from such illnesses who aren't here. I 3 care about the future health of all 4 5 Illinoisans. We are at a crossroads right now. 6 7 have the ability and the power to act now. 8 There is enough information about the health 9 consequences stemming from transportation. 10 There needs to be a cultural shift in 11 achieving the goal of cleaner air. 12 I strongly you to adopt the Clean 13 Vehicle Standards this year. Clean air can't 14 wait and shouldn't wait. Just like clean 15 water, clean air is a non-negotiable human right, regardless of race, socioeconomic 16 17 background, sexual orientation, age, or 18 religion. 19 HEARING OFFICER LEONI: 10 20 seconds. 2.1 NICOLE SAULSBERRY: Okay. Ιf 22 Illinois wants to achieve its decarbonization 23 goals set forth in CEJA, we must continue in 24 the same vein and push forward and not cower.

Page 209 Many people are counting on you, and the final 1 decision you make will determine whether or 2 not Illinois can look forward to cleaner air 3 in the future. Thank you. 4 5 HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Thank 6 you. 7 ANN SCHREIFELS: Good afternoon, 8 everyone. Thank you for hearing from the 9 public on this. 10 My name is Ann Schreifels, and I 11 retired from Caterpillar about five years ago. And so I want to be clear, I'm not speaking on 12 13 behalf of the company, but it's relevant to the story that I'm going to tell you. 14 15 And that is, in the early 2000s, my job 16 at Caterpillar, I was the launch manager for 17 emissions-reduction engines. This was back 18 when the Tier 1 through Tier 4 mandate was in 19 effect for heavy-duty diesel engines. So my job as the launch manager is to 20 21 make sure that these engines made it into the 22 world. And I'm going to tell you, there was a 23 lot of complaining. There was a lot of

griping. Caterpillar didn't want to go

24

through this, but it was a mandate and had no choice. But I also want to tell you that Caterpillar could not have done this on their own. They could not have responded to customer demand, and there was customer demand for cleaner-burning engines.

It took the mandate for this to happen, because it took the fuel companies, it took the fuel suppliers, it took the filter manufacturers, the software engineers, the turbo designers, it took everybody in the industry to pull together to make this happen.

And since it was a phased approach, this could happen step by step by step. But had that mandate not been put in place, there was absolutely no way that any one company could have made a difference and could have moved the needle that far.

So that's what I'm asking you today, is that you as the Board make it easier for everybody to work together and pull the industry into a direction that makes life better for everyone. Not only the people that profit from the industry, the truck

manufacturers, the car manufacturers, the charging infrastructure, but the people that live here, too.

Now, I'm -- as part of the -- as part of the launch manager's job, I needed to, you know, sell the benefits of this new engine.

And honest to goodness, it was the best engine that Caterpillar ever made, in terms of fuel efficiency, in terms of reliability, in terms of durability, but we could never have gotten there unless this mandate was in place.

Also, as the launch manager, I had to learn about the emissions benefits of this new engine, and that's not something that I -- that I knew prior.

But learning about that -- you know how science sometimes makes you less fearful of -- when you understand something, you are less afraid of it? This was the exact opposite.

I started to understand particulate matter, and I started to understand NOx, and I became more fearful, because I'm a runner, and I was putting a high quantity of air through my lungs.

```
Page 212
1
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI:
                                           10
 2
    seconds.
 3
                  ANN SCHREIFELS: I started to
 4
    question, what was that doing to me?
 5
            So I ask you to support these
6
    rulemaking processes and to make the air a
7
    cleaner place for everyone to breathe and
8
    operate in. Thank you.
9
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Thank
10
    you.
11
           Next, we have Joyce Blumenshine and
12
    Jenny Minnelli.
13
            If Jenny is not here, we have Bill
14
    Bodine.
15
                  JOYCE BLUMENSHINE: And I want to
16
    thank the Pollution Control Board, all your
17
    staff here today. I'm from Peoria.
18
            I have a long connection to clean air
19
    issues for Illinois, because I grew up in a
    family where my father died of lung cancer, my
20
2.1
    mother died of COPD, the chronic breathing
22
    problems. So when you go through that with
23
    family members for years, you value breath.
24
           And I know that you, the Pollution
```

2.1

Page 213

Control Board, value your role here in Illinois. We, as citizens, certainly do. We see that you have the power and the authority to affect practical comprehensive rules that will help not only people like me and my family and my sister and her kids and everybody here, but for generations in the future.

And that's why I'm here today this afternoon, because I really want to ask you to please consider and proceed with your clean truck and clean car tailpipe emissions, because your leadership now at this time for our state, and the country, will really make a difference.

And it's not just a difference for our health, and the budgets people pay on asthma medicine, and the medicines I -- some of my family have to take care of, but it makes a difference for our economy.

Just 40 minutes from where I live is
Rivian. It is one of the new electric vehicle
producers here in Illinois, with thousands of
new workers. It has brought a booming economy

Page 214 1 to that area, and we can see progress on multiple levels in our state with clean 2 3 tailpipe emissions rules. 4 Those regulations can be done in 5 concert with the progress with the entities, because the technology is here. The trucks 6 7 are here, the cars are here, and I as a 8 willing consumer is here. I drive a hybrid, 9 but I have got a plug-in now in my garage. 10 So I think it can -- this change can 11 happen, but, you know, change is hard for regular people. It's hard for all of us. 12 Ιt 13 takes a push. And you have the authority and 14 the ability for that push with reasonable 15 regulations, which I'm here to ask you please 16 to do. 17 The clean act for trucks, the diesel 18 NOx concerns, and the Clean Car 2, because 19 those tailpipe rules will make a huge difference for all of us. Thank you so much. 20 21 HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Thank 22 you. 2.3 Is Jenny Minnelli here? No? All right. Then Bill Bodine. 24

Page 215 1 BILL BODINE: Yes. Thank you. My name is Bill Bodine. I'm director of 2 3 business and regulatory affairs for the Illinois Farm Bureau. We are the state's 4 5 largest general farm organization, and we represent more than 70,000 farmers and 6 7 landowners in Illinois. 8 [Interruption by the reporter.] 9 BILL BODINE: I'm going to summarize a more lengthy public comment that I 10 11 will be filing in writing, due to our time limitations today. 12 13 IFB members support market-based 14 solutions rather than state-mandated emission 15 limits or vehicle sales mandates to achieve emission reductions from our transportation 16 17 sector. 18 The rule proposal before the Board will 19 disproportionately impact farmers and rural residents, it will harm the ag industry, and 20 2.1 IFB urges the Board to reject it. 22 In its place, IFB would encourage the 23 Board to explore opportunities to reduce or eliminate greenhouse gas emissions from the 24

transportation sector by increasing the use of biofuels.

2.1

Farmers are facing their third year of net negative returns, with no end in sight to their financial stress. They are unable to recapture increased costs, because they participate in commodity markets, so they can ill afford to absorb the higher upfront investment costs for vehicles that this rule will mandate upon them.

In information provided by proponents of the rule, they clearly stated that rural residents will likely be required to invest in more expensive zero-emission vehicles than their urban counterparts to meet their increased mileage requirements for daily driving activities.

This places an unfair and greater burden on farmers and rural residents to meet the requirements of the rule.

IFB is also concerned with the lack of charging infrastructure in rural areas, the inability of the rural electric grid to meet increased electricity demand, and the lack of

Page 217

resource adequacy for electricity generally in Illinois. These concerns will also lead to increased costs for our farmers that they can ill afford to absorb.

Proponents again try to address these issues by discussing programs and efforts of the regulated utilities in Illinois, ComEd and Ameren. However, IFB would point out that many of our members are not served by the regulated utilities in Illinois; they are served by rural electric cooperatives.

Rural electric cooperatives may not have the ability to offer the same incentives for infrastructure, for chargers, for zero-emission vehicles, that their regulated utility counterparts could, again disproportionately impacting rural residents and farmers.

Rather than approve regulations that mandate zero-emission vehicles and eliminate consumer choice, IFB encourages the Pollution Control Board to explore opportunities to reduce or eliminate greenhouse gas emissions from the transportation sector by expanding

Page 218 1 the use of biofuels. 2 The U.S. Department of Energy's Bioenergy Technologies Office has proven that 3 biofuels cannot only be net zero greenhouse 4 5 gas emission transportation fuels, but they 6 can be net negative greenhouse gas emission 7 transportation fuels. 8 HEARING OFFICER LEONI: 10 9 seconds. 10 BILL BODINE: So utilizing and 11 expanding the use of biofuels will reduce greenhouse gas emissions, it will support 12 13 rural economic development, it will support 14 farmers in the ag industry that are the 15 economic engine for downstate Illinois. 16 So the Illinois Farm Bureau would, 17 again, urge the Board to reject this proposal 18 that disproportionately harms farmers and 19 rural residents and ignores the benefits that biofuels could bring to Illinois's environment 20 2.1 and economic development. 22 HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Thank 23 you. 24 BILL BODINE: Thank you.

Page 219 1 HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Next, we 2 have Ginny Wojtkowski and Noah Finley. 3 GINNY WOJTKOWSKI: Hi. I'm Ginny Wojtkowski, and I live and breathe in the 4 5 Metro-East part of Illinois. Kind of reluctant to get up here to 6 speak. It makes me uncomfortable. But a few 7 8 days ago, I woke up to an NPR report that the 9 U.S. State Department said it would stop publishing global air pollution data. 10 11 This is a program that has set worldwide standards for measuring air quality, 12 13 and has had the effect of reducing pollution 14 in many areas of world, just having the data. So it is imperative that we act --15 since we have to act locally, it's imperative 16 17 that we do so for our communities and our 18 people. We can't relax and assume that a 19 larger entity will take care of it, and Illinois can be a mover in this area. 20 21 Data provided by the Clean Air Task 22 Force, Respiratory Health Association, was 23 able to identify the Illinois counties that most -- counties most affected by diesel 24

engine air pollution.

2.1

An analysis of these data reveal that 12 of Illinois's 102 counties rank in the top nine percent of all U.S. counties at risk of the health, social, and economic impacts caused by diesel fine particle pollution.

Illinois, by virtue of its location, is a transportation crossroads and hub.

Metro-East, which shares highways and bridges with St. Louis, another large city, is a hotspot for the air pollution caused by transportation. And this is part of our danger.

Despite knowing that Metro-East is a transport hub and hotspot, there is not much accessible data on diesel pollution that is specific to Madison and St. Clair Counties. Health data for those cities within these counties are limited and sometimes not representative.

This shows an urgent need for the independent data collection on truck count and location, and accurate and complete data on health risks for BIPOC communities in those

Page 221 1 counties. 2 HEARING OFFICER LEONI: 10 3 seconds. 4 GINNY WOJTKOWSKI: Okay. So I 5 finally worked up the nerve to speak. We have 6 to -- we want to urge you to pass the Advanced 7 Clean Truck, Heavy-Duty Omnibus rules for Low 8 NOx, and Advanced Clean Cars 2. 9 Together, with better data, these rules 10 reduce pollutants in the air, bring health 11 benefits to communities living in areas concentrated with diesel pollution, and can 12 13 bring more clean vehicles to the state, which 14 can have a wider beneficial ripple effect. 15 Thank you for your time. HEARING OFFICER LEONI: 16 Thank 17 you. 18 NOAH FINLEY: Good afternoon. МУ 19 name is Noah Finley, and I'm the state director for the National Federation of 20 21 Independent Business, or NFIB. We are a small 22 business advocacy organization, the largest in 23 the state, with approximately 10,000 members 24 spread throughout Illinois.

2.1

Page 222

NFIB member businesses are independently owned and reflect the diversity of Illinois's small business community. They come from transportation, agriculture, professional services, manufacturing, hospitality. Pretty much any type of small business, they are in our organization.

In a recent survey of NFIB members in Illinois, an overwhelming majority, 99 percent of them, opposed the banning of the sale of new gas- and diesel-powered vehicles.

In a follow-up survey that we did for our members, 90 percent of small business respondents indicated that these rules that are under consideration today would impact their businesses. Many indicated that if these rules were adopted, they would reevaluate their current business practices, and a significant percentage of them also indicated that they would consider moving out-of-state.

In NFIB's monthly small business economic trend surveys, inflation and rising costs consistently ranked as the number one

Page 223 1 small business issue. These proposed rules would make it more expensive for small 2 business owners to do business in Illinois, 3 and remove their ability to choose what is 4 5 best for their families, their employees, their businesses, and their communities. 6 7 Small business owners want to invest in 8 their businesses, create thriving communities, 9 and build a better tomorrow; but top-down 10 mandates like these would put their small 11 businesses at a disadvantage compared to 12 competitors in neighboring states, 13 jeopardizing their investments in communities 14 across Illinois. So on their behalf, I'm asking the 15 16 Board today to say no to these costly top-down 17 one-size-fits-all mandates, and preserve our small business communities. Thank you. 18 19 HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Thank 20 you. 2.1 Next, we have the final two listed 22 comments, Jim Randolph and Zumbi Bayano. 2.3 JIM RANDOLPH: Thank you. My name is Jim Randolph. I am the executive 24

Page 224

director for the Illinois Ready Mixed Concrete Association. I had 47 years in the ready mixed concrete business, just in Taylorville, Pana, outside of the Springfield area.

I'm here because I want to make the Board aware of the consequences to our industry, in that number one, we are probably the most sustainable greenest product, building product that's used by all today.

We have reduced our carbon footprint by over 30 percent in just the last five years, and we're on a fast track to reduce it even more. We do that by capturing carbon within our concrete, as well as building with concrete construction that embodies and saves energy, so we are doing our part in that.

When it comes to the trucking side of it, our trucks do one thing: Mix concrete. That's all they can haul. Our trucks sit seasonally, much of the year not doing anything. So they last, and they last a good while.

I did a survey, and if this in its current form was passed, over 80 percent of

our producers would have to ditch their trucks. There would be no resale for it, and it would be extremely expensive to replace them. Just since 1999 to current, a truck itself costs two-and-a-half to three times what it did back in the day.

Another problem for us would be the bordering states that have plants on the borders that would not have to meet these standards, and so that would be a totally unfair competitive edge given that would affect our employees, let alone our businesses, and it would be a great number of people.

So I would just say, in regards to our industry, it would be very difficult, if not impossible, to meet your standards, it would put our businesses, many of them out of business, many of them family-owned still and otherwise.

So I hope that you'll reject the standard in its current form and take all of these consequences into consideration as you move forward. Thank you.

2.1

Page 226 1 HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Thank 2 you. 3 ZUMBI BAYANO: And I'm here to 4 speak on behalf of the community today, the 5 east side community, also Derek Groves (sic). I was invited by a friend, Nick Dodson back 6 7 here, to speak on the issues of air pollution. 8 And I'm a person that has two kids that 9 have suffer from pulmonary obstruction 10 disease. They have been diagnosed with static 11 asthma, and so we have continuous dealings with hospital issues. And what I'm seeing 12 13 today right now is, right, we have a dilemma 14 here. 15 We have capitalist-driven individuals 16 up there, trying to protect the well-being of 17 people that's up here describing the harmful 18 effects of this pollution in the air. 19 Now, the thing about this is, is like how long are we going to continue to let 20 21 capital drive what's harming the rest of the 22 earth in the ground? And that's what going on 23 right here, is this capital-driven society. 24 It's always money.

2.1

Page 227

Everybody in here should value air, because if you hold your breath for 30 minutes, let me see if you can do it. Who can in here survive without air?

So good air pollution should be a primary there -- there should not be no discrepancy, and we should not be driven by capital, because the only thing we sitting up here talking about is preserving money but not the health and the well-being of the people, and I'm standing on that. So that's where I'm at.

HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Thank you very much. And thank you again to everyone who gave public comments.

I understand that we have a few additional members of the public who would like to give comments who didn't have a chance to sign up. I think we'll extend the public comment period about 15 minutes, 14 minutes.

So at 2:15, we'll take a brief five-minute break, and then conclude public comments and resume the questioning of Mr. Doll and Mr. Stieren.

So since we don't have names on the list, anyone who wishes to give public comment, if you could just please line up here. We can take about five more people, I would say. Truly first-come/first-serve.

And again, please state your name and spell your full name for the court reporter if you are giving a comment.

SAM BEARD: Hi, my name is Sam.

I am a lifelong resident of Illinois. I have lived in Naperville, Carbondale, and seven different neighborhoods in Chicago.

According to its mission statement, the Illinois Pollution Control Board recognizes the constitutional right of the citizens of Illinois to not only enjoy a clean environment but also to participate in state decision-making toward that end.

Thank you for taking seriously this process of furthering our democracy and protecting our state.

Just a few years ago, Chair Flynn
Currie, you said, quote, I am confident that
50 years from now the Board will be able to

Page 229

point to a continuing record of protecting the environment and the health of the people of Illinois, end quote.

Your board is currently positioned to cement that legacy.

Most of the speakers who spoke before me already articulated very clearly the ways in which adopting these Clean Vehicle Standards will protect both the environment and the health of the people of Illinois.

So over the next few months, I encourage you, Madame Chair, and each distinguished board member here, to take seriously both the mission of your board and the legacy it will leave behind.

I will leave you with a quote today.

Quote, the bulk of the air pollution problem

can be summed up in the following categories:

Particulate matter, such as smoke and dust,

largely from fuel combustion; and carbon

monoxide, lead, nitrogen oxides, and unburned

hydrocarbons, largely from motor vehicles, end

quote.

This quote comes from the inaugural

Page 230 1 report by the first chairman of the Illinois Pollution Control Board, David P. Currie, 2 drafted 53 years ago in 1971. 3 Your board and its predecessors have 4 5 acknowledged the centrality of internal 6 combustion vehicles as the primary source of 7 air pollution in the state for five decades 8 now, and your team right now has the 9 opportunity to make history by doing something 10 about it. Thanks. 11 HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Thank 12 you. 13 MIKE GALLAGHER: Good afternoon. 14 My name is Mike Gallagher, and I live just one 15 block east of Highway 47 in Morris, Illinois. 16 It's a community that includes families, 17 retirees, and a grade school. Grundy County 18 is one of the dirty dozen for poor air quality 19 in the State of Illinois. I retired from veterinary medicine in 20 2.1 I sold my practice in Douglasville, 22 Georgia, to return to Morris to take care of

my elderly mother, who has congestive heart

failure, and one of our neighbors is battling

23

24

lymphoma right now, and I too have survived cancer. These are just a couple examples of the effects of poor air quality, poor water quality.

I also served in the Navy, and while there, I decided to quit smoking and take up long-distance running, only to find myself breathing the exhaust fumes from all the hangar equipment in -- on the aircraft carrier where I served.

That space is like a giant warehouse, with huge open doors, but even there, the -you could -- the "chewable air" analogy was
very appropriate, and that's where I first
learned of kind of the exhaust pollution
effects on us.

I also would see, when we were on coastlines by a city, I would see the city obliterated by rust-colored clouds that kept me from seeing the shore. All I would see is this big cloud of smog.

Now, as a longtime cyclist and a bicycle commuter, I have spent many years sharing the road with cars and trucks, and the

impact of vehicle emissions is undeniable.

Our atmosphere functions like that giant

warehouse; it's just a lot bigger, but it

still accumulates all the pollution.

Everything that we burn, we do breathe.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

When I lived in Georgia, I had to go through the slight hassle of having annual emission testing on my car. Since I moved up to Morris, I'll see a truck that's spewing black or gray smoke out of its exhaust, or cars with oily exhaust, and I think to myself, no emissions testing.

The evidence is overwhelming. Air pollution harms our health, it harms our economy by decreased productivity of workers, it decreases the ability of children to learn in school. That's all well documented.

HEARING OFFICER LEONI: 10

HEARING OFFICER LEONI: 10 seconds.

MIKE GALLAGHER: Oh. My main concern is what this means for our children and our grandchildren. I want them to have the same life opportunities that I have had.

24 I'm asking that you take action and pass the

Page 233 1 Clean Vehicle Standards. HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Thank 2 3 you. MIKE GALLAGHER: Thank you. 5 LINDA TREY: I work for the Union of Concerned Scientists. I also am a 6 7 voluntary member of the Chicago 8 Asian-Americans for Environmental Justice. 9 I'm a steering committee member, but today I'm just speaking as an Illinois resident. 10 11 Illinois is a freight hub, as others have referenced. It is an important location 12 13 in the transportation of products in North 14 America. 15 The heavy-duty trucks transporting these goods create pervasive air pollution, 16 17 and Illinois was ranked fifth in the country 18 in dust from fine particulate matter pollution 19 per capita in 2023. This air pollution is inequitably 20 2.1 experienced. Asian-Americans, African-Americans, and Latinos are exposed to 22 23 32, 21, and 19 percent higher pollution than 24 the state average, according to research from

Page 234 1 the Union of Concerned Scientists. At a time when federal protections 2 against air and water are being rolled back 3 and dismantled, we need our state 4 5 decision-makers to step up and implement safeguards for our state. The recent Supreme 6 Court decision has already put our water at 7 8 risk. 9 So please adopt the Advanced Clean Trucks, Heavy-Duty Omnibus, and Advanced Clean 10 Car 2 rules this year to protect our air for 11 12 Illinois. Thank you for the opportunity to 13 comment. 14 HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Thank 15 you. 16 EVAN BROWN: Hello, everyone, and 17 there as well. So I'm here today as a 18 concerned community member. 19 I started a community garden here a few years ago by Southeast High School, in the 20 21 neighborhood of Johnson Park. It's the 22 lowest-income, lowest-education level 23 neighborhood in Sangamon County. It's a food 24 desert.

Page 235

There is no anything for the kids to do after school, or, you know, no safe spaces. So you know, those kids could really use some clean air and not another issue on the things that they can't control that they have to worry about.

I also -- you know, I like the comments that were made about the farm industry. You know, taxpayers, we already heavily subsidize the farming industry, and I think that to get a little creative and phase out some, you know, diesel tractors for some clean ones isn't a huge hurdle. I think we can work through that, for sure.

I think you guys have an obligation to protect us, as citizens. We, you know, can't just leave it up to the free market to protect us, and I think everyone here enjoys clean air, at least the clean air that we do have.

And in my career, I'm a photographer,
I'm a videographer, and I have gotten to
travel the world. I've been very fortunate
and very successful in my career.

I've been all over world. I've been to

Page 236 1 countries that don't regulate air pollution as strictly as we do here, and it's not 2 pleasurable to be there, to breathe in the 3 air; and I've been to places that regulate a 4 5 lot more strictly, and you can tell the 6 difference when you are in a place that does 7 that. 8 So I encourage you guys to, you know, 9 look at these -- the bills that everyone --10 the aforementioned bills that everyone is 11 talking about. 12 It's very important to us that -- we 13 here in Springfield also have an Amazon 14 facility, a major distribution facility, that's being put in, on the east side, of 15 16 course. And so, you know, it's really 17 important. Who knows how many more trucks and 18 pollution in the air that will add to our 19 community here. So thank you for your time. HEARING OFFICER LEONI: 20 Okay. If 2.1 there are no additional public commenters in 22 the audience, I think this is a good time for 23 us to take a five-minute break. 24 So we'll reconvene at 2:16, and we'll

```
Page 237
    have Lawrence Doll and Mike Stieren back up to
 1
 2
    finish questions.
 3
            Thank you again so much, everyone, for
    sharing your comments.
 4
 5
                  [A recess was taken.]
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: All
6
7
    right. Thank you, everyone. I know that was
    a very brief break. We are reconvening the
8
9
    R24-17 hearing.
10
            We are back to the witness testimony of
11
    Lawrence Doll and Mike Stieren. And I
12
    understand they'll be on the stand very
    shortly. Do we have two chairs still? Okay.
13
14
                  MS. ANGELA TIN: Yes.
15
                  [Discussion off the record.]
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Okay.
16
17
    We'll pick up where we left off then. Mr.
    Dennison?
18
19
    BY MR. JAMES DENNISON:
                  MR. JAMES DENNISON: All right.
20
2.1
    Mr. Stieren and Mr. Doll, thank you very much
22
    for returning after the break. Good
2.3
    afternoon.
            I think where we left off, Mr. Stieren,
24
```

```
Page 238
1
    you were explaining that the level at which
2
    civil penalty for violation of the standards
    is set effectively creates a ceiling for
    credit costs.
5
           And my question to you was just whether
    there are other determinants of the cost of a
6
7
    credit. I think the answer you were giving
8
    was a no.
9
           Could you just confirm that for me?
10
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: I'm not
11
    sure -- the other cost to the determinant
    credit?
12
13
                  MR. JAMES DENNISON: Yeah,
14
    whether there are other determinants of the
15
    cost of a credit aside from the penalty level.
16
            So I'm sorry, I think the answer was a
17
    yes to that question.
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Okay.
18
                                                I'm
19
    not --
20
                  MR. LAWRENCE DOLL: So the --
2.1
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: -- sure if
22
    I know that answer.
2.3
                  MR. LAWRENCE DOLL: The cost of
24
    the credit to purchase clean fuel vehicle
```

```
Page 239
1
    credits? Is that what --
2
                  MR. JAMES DENNISON: Right. Just
    the question was -- and Mr. Stieren, you
3
    talked about some of the various
5
    considerations that go into the cost of a
    credit.
6
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Uh-huh.
7
8
                  MR. JAMES DENNISON: My question
9
    is just, are there other things that help
10
    determine it aside from the penalty level?
11
                 MR. LAWRENCE DOLL: Well, I think
    as you were saying, as the EV mandates ratchet
12
13
    up, there is fewer credits available, making
    the credits correspondingly more expensive and
14
15
    less available. So the market will make them
    harder to obtain and harder to afford.
16
17
                  MR. JAMES DENNISON: Okay. Well,
    let me ask you this.
18
           Could the cost at which other
19
    manufacturers are able to produce
20
21
    zero-emission vehicles and generate credits be
22
    one factor that determines the price of a
23
    credit?
24
                 MR. LAWRENCE DOLL: If you are
```

```
Page 240
1
    asking, as manufacturers build more EVs, how
    will that affect the credit, the cost of the
 2
 3
    credit?
                  MR. JAMES DENNISON: My question
 5
    is just, does the cost at which manufacturers
    can build their EVs, could that be a factor
6
    that determines the cost of a credit?
7
8
                  MR. LAWRENCE DOLL: Yeah, I would
9
    imagine so.
                  If EVs become more affordable,
    then more people will be buying them, and
10
11
    there will be more credits to trade in the
12
    marketplace.
13
                  MR. JAMES DENNISON: Okay.
                                               Well,
14
    that's all my questions. Thank you very much.
15
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Okay.
16
    Thank you.
17
           Are there any other questions here in
18
    Springfield for Mr. Doll and Mr. Stieren?
19
           Does the Board have any questions in
    Chicago?
20
2.1
                  MR. ANAND RAO: Just a
    clarification question.
22
2.3
                  THE REPORTER: Sir, can you
24
    please identify yourself?
```

```
Page 241
1
                  MR. ANAND RAO: Can you hear me?
2
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: We can
3
    hear you, but could you please --
                  MR. ANAND RAO: Anand Rao with
4
5
    the Pollution Control Board.
                  THE REPORTER: All right. Thank
6
7
    you.
8
                      EXAMINATION
9
    BY MR. ANAND RAO:
10
                  MR. ANAND RAO: I just had a
11
    follow-up question to one of the responses you
12
    gave regarding, I think it was -- let's see,
13
    let's see -- page 7 of your pre-filed
14
    testimony.
15
           You talked about the EV vehicles
16
    remaining on dealer lots for an average of 103
17
    days, which is substantially longer than the
18
    gasoline or ICE vehicles.
19
            I just wanted to know if this 103 days
    average, was it -- did it also include Tesla
20
21
    dealerships, or just conventional auto
22
    dealerships?
2.3
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: I would
24
    assume -- so this -- the 103 days, that was
```

```
Page 242
1
    from an S&P article. I can't say for certain.
                  MR. LAWRENCE DOLL: Tesla tends
 2
    not to report their data, so it may not
 3
    include them.
 5
                  MR. MICHAEL STIEREN: Yeah.
6
                  MR. ANAND RAO: Okay. Thank you.
7
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Is that
8
    it? Okay.
9
                  MR. ANAND RAO:
                                  Yep.
10
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: All
11
    right. That's all we have then. Thank you,
    Mr. Doll and Mr. Stieren.
12
13
                  [Lawrence Doll and Michael
14
                  Stieren excused.]
15
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Next, we
    have the testimony of Matthew Hart for the
16
17
    Illinois Trucking Association.
18
           And would the court reporter please
19
    swear in the witness when he is ready?
                  [Matthew Hart sworn in
20
2.1
                  by the court reporter.]
22
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Okay.
23
    And Mr. Hart, as mentioned earlier, the
    pre-filed testimony is entered into the record
24
```

```
Page 243
1
    as if read.
2
            Would you like to enter your pre-filed
    testimony as if read?
3
                  MR. HART: Yes, please.
5
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: All
    right. Thank you. That will be Exhibit
6
7
    Number 14, the pre-filed testimony of Mr.
8
    Matthew Hart.
9
                 [Document marked as Exhibit No. 14
10
                 for identification.]
11
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Do you
    wish to offer a brief introduction or summary
12
13
    of your testimony at this time?
14
                  MR. HART: I would like to.
15
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Okay. Go
16
    ahead.
17
                  MR. HART: Great. Well -- and
18
    I'll try not to be repetitive, but -- and I
19
    think the chair, I don't see you are in the
    room at the minute, but Madame Chair Currie,
20
2.1
    members of the Board both in Springfield as
22
    well as the members in Chicagoland, good
2.3
    afternoon.
24
           Very thankful to be here today on
```

behalf of the Illinois Trucking Association, and my name is Matthew Hart. I'm the executive director of the Illinois Trucking Association. We are a nonprofit trade association. We are the Illinois affiliate of the American Trucking Associations, which is a nationwide federation of trucking companies with affiliates in all 50 states, and our federation represents 35,000 member companies before the legislative, regulatory, and judiciary branches of state and federal government.

And hopefully you had the chance to read my -- what I submitted in writing, in which I used a metaphor. Of course, I mentioned how dangerous it is to jump on a train. I hope everybody recognizes that metaphor for what it is. I'm certainly not advocating for anyone to jump on a train, but that's really how we view this proposal.

This is someone else's train that left the station a long time ago. This was -- is an attempt to try to solve a problem, but this is not Illinois's train, and it would be very

2.1

dangerous to try to jump onto this train.

This train is well out of the station, this train is moving full force down the road, and we believe that it will do more harm than good if we tried to just adopt what another state has started years ago and that other states have jumped on. In fact, many states now are trying to jump off of this train that started many, many years ago.

So -- and really, I think you can kind of encapsulate in two main issues why we are asking the Pollution Control Board to deny this proposal. One is just the plan itself; and the other is just an enforceability and just an geography and economic issue of the State of Illinois.

So let me speak just to the plan itself. The plan itself simply is not working. Also, as I just mentioned, Illinois is not California. We are not in Sacramento; we are in Springfield, Illinois. And this is the State of Illinois, and Illinois should set its own course.

Right now -- and again, I'm going to

speak to the commercial vehicle side of things. I'm not an automobile specialist.

I'm going to stick to trucks. That's what we do. And there is virtually no electric vehicle trucks available.

A very, very, very small number of electric trucks that are even available. The timeline, the compliance timeline that is proposed, is simply unrealistic. Truck manufacturers could not hit a 20 percent electric truck mandate within two years, which is what this requires.

Also what we have learned in California, where they have been preparing for electric truck mandates, is that it's now taking three to nine years to get a charger in place in order -- that has the capacity to charge a commercial motor vehicle.

But also -- and I think this is very important and worth noting, this also creates a policy inconsistency. Last year, our own governor, Governor Pritzker made the statement for his preference of incentives, and to quote him, using a carrot over stick approach. So

this would be inconsistent with that statement.

2.1

Back to the enforceability, and this is just what's very unique about Illinois -- and we have heard from some other folks today about federal mandates. And federal mandates are one thing, but what is before you in this proposal is a state-specific mandate.

It would only regulate vehicles in Illinois. It would not apply to out-of-state companies, or to Illinois companies who then choose to license their truck from one of their terminals in another state.

As was pointed out by the Illinois Farm Bureau, this would also put Illinois-based companies at a disadvantage. They would be then competing with surrounding states who would not have to comply with this type of mandate.

We also, -- just as a reminder, we have wheels on our businesses. That's the nature of the industry that I represent. We can easily move our trucking companies, and it's a question that we debate every day: Is it

Page 248

worth it to stay here? Should we move our company to another state and continue to serve the people in this state? Should we move more of our operations to a terminal that's in another state?

And that's just one of the unique natures -- a unique nature about the trucking industry, and unfortunately, that's one more reason why we think that this state-specific mandate, as a state-specific mandate, would not actually achieve the goal, the stated goal.

We also believe that this issue is best debated in a venue such as the Illinois

General Assembly. In fact, the proponents sought to propose these in multiple bills in the Illinois General Assembly last year, including House Bill 1634.

And we worked with the proponents last year in the legislature. We are happy to continue to work with all parties. So that's -- we think this is an issue that is best suited for the debate of the Illinois General Assembly.

Also, the trucking industry has made significant progress in improving our emissions. We have already PM and NOx emissions by 90 percent over the last few decades, and that was without any state-specific mandates.

So I did want to address -- the Pollution Control Board did ask a couple of questions in their response. I'm still working on one thing for you all, and that is the breakdown of trucking companies registered in Illinois versus those out-of-state. I am trying to get that data from a couple of the sources I think that can get that. If we can get that, we'll certainly get that submitted to you folks, because I know that was one of the questions from the Pollution Control Board.

The other question was about what would it take to achieve 20 percent sales in Illinois. Well, if you look at the S&P data that is in your -- I don't know which exhibit it is, but it's in the packet that we have submitted -- there were 38,000 new trucks

registered in 2024.

That would require, to hit 20 percent of the new registrations -- that's just new registrations -- that would mean 7,600 trucks. Last year, we registered 272.

So trying to jump from 272 trucks to 7,600 trucks is just two years is just not achievable. And our manufacturers are just not making electric -- they are just simply not available.

We're not opposed to electric trucks.

We're not opposed to alternative fuel trucks.

But we have to deliver the things that people need with the equipment that is available, and right now, the manufacturers just are not making electric trucks. They are just not.

I did a quick survey of our largest retailers in Chicago. They have sold one.

One electric truck in the city of Chicago.

When it comes down to infrastructure, charging infrastructure, there is nowhere in America that we are aware of -- and I have surveyed our large truck stops that have locations all over the country -- there isn't

Page 251 1 one commercially available truck charger in 2 the country. Certainly there's not one in 3 Illinois. There is a handful of companies who are 4 5 experimenting, buying maybe one or two or three trucks, they have gone to installing 6 7 their own charger. 8 But right now, there is just no place, 9 even if we did have the electric trucks, which we don't, we have no place to fuel them. 10 11 have no place to charge them. So just to wrap up, we all share the 12 13 goal of lowering emissions, and I loved 14 hearing all the comments from earlier today. 15 We all want to reduce emissions. But the electric trucks essentially don't exist. 16 17 Last year, we registered -- in this 18 state, we registered 46 new electric semis. 19 46. And there is over half a million trucks in this state, just -- that either are 20 21 licensed here or are part of a -- or call 22 Illinois home but they are an interstate

As I mentioned, there is also public

23

24

trucking company.

Page 252 1 charging infrastructure for trucks. 2 And if the proponents want, we can create an Illinois-specific plan. We do not 3 have to hitch onto this California train that 4 5 so many people want to hitch onto. There is 6 nothing stopping the proponents from proposing, again, whether regulatory or 7 legislatively, an Illinois plan. 8 9 We'll be happy to give input on that, on what we realistically can do, but it 10 11 doesn't have to come at expense of just saying, well, we are just going to do what 12

So with that, I'm happy to answer any questions from Pollution Control Board members, the Illinois EPA, or the proponents. Thank you.

someone 2,000 miles away says we should do.

Illinois is a leader, and we should continue

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

to be a leader.

HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Thank you, Mr. Hart. We'll proceed to questions now, if you are ready.

Since we have questions entered as if read, would you like to enter your pre-filed

```
Page 253
 1
    answers as if read as well?
 2
                  MR. HART: Yes, please.
 3
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: All
 4
    right. Thank you.
 5
            And I understand you filed two separate
    documents of pre-filed written answers, so
6
 7
    we'll go ahead and enter that first document
8
    as if read as Exhibit 15. And the second
9
    document of your pre-filed answers will be
    entered as if read as Exhibit 16.
10
11
                 [Document marked as Exhibit No. 15
                 for identification. 1
12
13
                 [Document marked as Exhibit No. 16
14
                 for identification.]
15
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: So now I
16
    will open it up.
17
            Are there any questions for Mr. Hart
18
    from the proponents or participants?
19
                  MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK: Yes,
20
    ma'am.
2.1
22
            The witness, MATTHEW HART, first having
23
    been duly sworn, testified as follows:
24
                      EXAMINATION
```

Page 254 1 BY MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK: O. Hi, Mr. Hart. My name is Robert 2 Weinstock. I represent the Chicago 3 Environmental Justice Network and Respiratory 4 5 Health Association in this matter. 6 Mr. Hart, thank you for being here today. And I have to thank you for your 7 8 introduction, because I got to cross out my 9 first question, but maybe I'll ask it anyway. 10 Have you yourself ever jumped onto a 11 moving train? 12 A. Not yet. 13 So you don't have personal Ο. experience to base your testimony on how 14 dangerous that is, do you? 15 16 Α. Not yet. 17 Fair enough. Let's get into the 18 real stuff. I am really just going to spend 19 most of my time making sure I understand where you are coming from in writing and figuring 20 21 out just what some of the sources you provided 22 say and what they don't say. 23 Couple quick questions about you, your background, ITA, just before we start. 24

```
Page 255
1
            Α.
                  Uh-huh.
2
                  So ITA, you mentioned 35,000
            0.
3
    member companies?
                  In our federation. We're part of
4
            Α.
5
    the American Trucking Association.
                  So that's 35,000 nationwide?
6
            Q.
7
            Α.
                  Nationwide, in our federation.
8
            Q.
                  And in Illinois, how --
9
            Α.
                  600. Yes.
10
                  600?
            0.
                  Yes, sir.
11
            Α.
                  And are most of those 600 -- like
12
            Q.
13
    they're fleet operators? Is that --
14
                  They range from one-truck
15
    operators to the largest truck operators in
    the country.
16
17
            0.
                  Right, but they're not truck
    dealers?
18
19
                  We do include truck dealer -- we
            Α.
    do have truck dealers as our members as well.
20
21
    Yes, sir.
                  Okay. Manufacturers?
22
            Q.
2.3
                  We do have manufacturers as part
24
    of our membership.
```

Page 256 1 Q. Okay. But they're not -- it sounds like the focus is the fleet operators; 2 that's who you are going out and polling when 3 you are asking these questions? 4 5 We represent all of our members Α. of the Illinois Trucking Association. 6 7 Ο. That's fair. 8 You have worked at ITA a long time. 9 saw your CV. Can you just very quickly -like before you were at ITA, what other jobs 10 did you have, just very generally? 11 Α. 12 Is that germane to this 13 discussion? 14 Well, several times in your 15 testimony, you say "based on my experience." 16 Α. Correct. 17 And so if you are agreeing that 18 it's based only on your experience as the ITA 19 lobbyist, then no it's not germane, but I didn't know --20 21 A. Yeah --22 -- if you were drawing on previous jobs. 23 24 Α. This testimony is based on over

Page 257

- 20 years of representing and being an advocate for the trucking industry.
- Q. Right. Great. That's all I was asking, is just you weren't -- you weren't going to tell me halfway through that, oh, you had this other job a hundred years ago or 10 years ago.
- A. Yeah, this is based on my 20-plus years being an advocate for the trucking industry.
- Q. Understood. And what is -- when you say being an advocate for the trucking industry, can you just say a little bit more about that?
 - A. Yeah.

2.1

- Q. What do you view as kind of your mission on that?
- A. That's a great question, because a lot of people don't know what it means to be an advocate for an industry.
 - Q. Yeah.
- A. And it's our job -- the way I see that is it's my job to travel the state and talk to our trucking company members and find

2.1

Page 258

out what's going on, what is it that's going on in their business. And then what are the impacts of decisions being made in Springfield and Washington, D.C., and how is that having an impact on them, back their business.

And our goal is to help people run a trucking company and run a family business.

- Q. Great. And so -- thank you.
- So your focus is on things that affect their bottom line?
- A. My goal is legislative representation and regulatory guidance.
- Q. On issues that affect the bottom line of the businesses that are members?
- A. It's on things that affect trucking companies in many, many facets.
- Q. Okay. What facets other than their bottom lines?
- A. Well, it's safety. It's making sure we are operating the safest vehicles out there. We share the road with the motoring public. We have done a tremendous amount of work under Jason's Law. We actually expanded Jason's Law to include not only law

www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

Page 259 1 enforcement on the side of the road, but anyone stranded on the side of the road, 2 whether it's a truck, a tow truck, or a 3 minivan full of kids. 5 So much of our advocacy is for safety, highway safety. 6 7 Yeah. Understood. Thank you. I want to move into the actual 8 9 substance here and talk a little bit -- just get, again, kind of get on the same page. 10 11 I think in your pre-filed answers you were clear about this, but you're not offering 12 13 any testimony about the ACC2 rule here, is 14 that right? 15 Only as it overlaps into the 16 trucking world, just because there could be 17 implications where a trucking company may use 18 smaller vehicles. But yes, for the most part, 19 I think our focus is on the Advanced Clean Trucks, and I'll let the auto dealers and the 20 2.1 other automobile focus -- automobile 22 organizations focus on those. 2.3 But yeah, I'm largely focused on the commercial vehicle side, yes, sirs. 24

Page 260 1 Q. Great. And you didn't talk about, you know -- I can't remember what you 2 just -- the minor ways that the ACC2 might 3 affect trucking companies. 4 5 You didn't talk about that in your testimony at all, though, right? 6 7 I don't believe so. 8 0. Okay. Just checking. I just 9 want to make sure we are focused on the right 10 things here. 11 And you said I think in your pre-filed answer you are focused on the ACT and Low NOx 12 13 rule because, quote -- or excuse me -- because 14 those, quote, affect new trucks sales in 15 Illinois? 16 Uh-huh. Α. 17 So that's what we are focused on 18 here is effects on new truck sales? Do you 19 agree? We are talking about how this 20 21 affects all trucking companies. 22 And I understand the direct Q.

www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

relationship between ACT and new truck sales.

Can you explain to me how you view the

23

24

Page 261 1 relationship between the Low NOx rule and new truck sales? 2 3 Α. I'll have to get back to you on 4 that. 5 Q. Okay. I guess I asked if you could explain, so the answer would be no? 6 7 Right -- well, I mean, the Low 8 NOx is part of the same mandate and the same 9 rule which, again, affects the equipment that 10 we have access to. 11 Sure. You do understand, though, 0. that the Low NOx rule and the ACT rule are 12 13 different rules, though, right? 14 Yes, I'm aware of that. Yes, Α. 15 sir. 16 Q. So when I asked a moment ago, can 17 you explain as you sit here today, your answer is no? 18 19 Α. Report your question about the Low NOx, please? 20 21 Yeah. My question was just, can 0. 22 you explain how the Low NOx rule impacts, affects new truck sales, and you said you 23 would have to get back to me. 24

Page 262 1 Right? Do you remember that? You are asking how Low NOx would 2 affect new truck sales? 3 I mean, we can maybe ask the 4 Ο. 5 reporter to read it back, but that's what I asked. 6 7 Α. Yeah. 8 0. Just you -- how the Low NOx rule 9 affects new truck sales. 10 Because it will -- it changes the Α. 11 vehicles that manufacturers make that are available for us. 12 13 Right. Understood. That's --0. 14 Does that answer your question? 15 I want to make sure I get your question 16 answered. 17 0. Yeah. No, it does. Thank you. 18 Α. Okay. 19 Okay. I want to go back to 0. something you mentioned now in your 20 2.1 introductory remarks and on page 2 of your 22 testimony. 2.3 You say there are virtually zero electric trucks available for sale, and then 24

```
Page 263
    again later you say there are -- electric
1
2
    trucks are simply not available for sale.
3
            Do you recall those statements?
                  I do recall those statements.
                  In rule proponents' pre-filed
5
            Q.
6
    questions, you were asked to provide data to
7
    support that -- those statements.
8
            Do you remember that?
9
            Α.
                  Can you reference where that is?
10
                  Sure. Your pre-filed questions
            Ο.
11
    12 and 14.
            And I should have asked, Mr. Hart, you
12
13
    have a copy of your pre-filed answers, I can
14
    tell.
15
            Α.
                  I do. Yes, sir.
16
                  Great. Thank you.
            Q.
17
            Α.
                  Thank you.
18
                  I was going to offer to provide
            Ο.
19
    them.
            So the document wasn't paginated, but
20
21
    it's pages 7 and 8.
22
            Α.
                  Okay.
23
                  So you see those pre-filed
24
    questions 12 and 14?
```

Page 264 1 Α. Yes, I do. And you see when asked to -- for 2 data to support those statements, you cited to 3 something in Exhibit 1 to your answers, is 4 5 that right? A. Are you referencing question 6 7 12(a)? Yes, sir. 8 Q. 9 Α. Yes. 12(a) refers to Exhibit 1 -- what is listed as Exhibit 1. 10 11 Right. And that's the Secretary 0. of State data you mentioned? 12 13 Α. No, that is data from S&P. I'm sorry. That's right. 14 Ο. 15 So that data is related to new truck registrations, is that correct? 16 17 Α. Correct. Illinois -- those are 18 new registrations in Illinois through 19 November. I should -- do want to let the record 20 21 reflect, that doesn't include December. We 22 didn't have access to that. So it is 11 out 23 of 12 months. I didn't realize that. 24 Q. Yeah. No, that's fair, and

Page 265 1 that's helpful. 2 Α. Yeah. 3 0. So my question, though, was just, these are new registrations, so this is when a 4 5 new heavy-duty -- medium of heavy-duty vehicle was sold and then registered? Is that what 6 this reflects? 7 8 Α. Registered in Illinois. 9 Ο. In Illinois, correct. 10 So it doesn't actually show what 11 vehicles were available to be purchased; it shows what vehicles were purchased? 12 13 Α. It shows which vehicles were 14 registered. 15 Right, and you register after you 0. purchase? 16 17 Α. I would presume you would 18 purchase -- I presume you didn't steal it, so 19 yes. Right. Well, exactly. 20 0. 2.1 Yeah. Α. 22 My point is just, this is not --Q. 23 this is data about a new vehicle being obtained and registered in the State of 24

	Page 266
1	Illinois?
2	A. Uh-huh.
3	Q. That's correct?
4	A. Yes. I will note this is for all
5	vehicles. Many of these were school buses, so
6	some of these are not even commercial motor
7	vehicles, many of these are school buses.
8	Q. Okay. And so then we can
9	agree that when you say when you make
10	statements about truck availability, you are
11	relying on data about truck registrations?
12	A. In this particular instance, that
13	is in regards to registrations, yes.
14	Q. Right. And we asked you for any
15	data to support that statement, and this is
16	what you provided, right?
17	A. You asked for data, and so this
18	is S&P's data. Yes, sir.
19	Q. That you provided?
20	A. Yes, sir.
21	Q. To support your testimony?
22	A. Right.
23	Q. Right.
24	A. In my opening remarks, I also

Page 267 1 referenced that I -- we just talk to our members and just ask them, who is buying 2 electric vehicles, who is selling electric 3 vehicles. 5 Q. Sure. Yeah. And I mentioned that. 6 Α. 7 No. No, understood. Thank you. 0. 8 So you said again the vehicles aren't 9 available. And I think you said -- and I'm trying to get this right from your 10 11 introductory remarks -- that they are just not being made? Is that right? 12 13 Α. That is correct. 14 And the basis for that statement 0. 15 is what people are telling you? That's --16 Well, it's the basis on things Α. 17 like Lion Electric has closed. 18 Ο. Right. 19 We no longer have Lion Electric Α. making electric vehicles. 20 2.1 Ο. Sure. 22 Nikola filed Chapter 11 23 bankruptcy; they are no longer making electric vehicles. Our historical OEMs have 24

```
Page 268
    drastically scaled back and are not producing
1
    electric vehicles.
2
3
           O. Yeah.
           Why aren't they making electric
4
5
    vehicles?
                  That's a question for them.
6
           Α.
7
                  I'm glad you asked, because I
           Ο.
8
    want to actually skip a document.
9
           Are you familiar with a CARB executive
    director -- or memo from the executive officer
10
11
    of CARB from September 25th, 2024?
                  Is it previously referenced?
12
           Α.
13
           0.
                  You referenced it, yes.
                  Okay. Then yes, I'm aware of it.
14
           Α.
15
                  MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK: I can give
    you this now, if you would like.
16
17
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Are you
18
    looking to admit that into the record, Mr.
19
    Weinstock?
20
                  MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK: I will be,
21
    yeah. I was going to ask him --
22
                  Mr. Weinstock, where is it that
    I'm referencing --
23
    BY MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK:
24
```

```
Page 269
 1
            Q. Yeah, so you reference it -- oh,
    I believe it's actually right above where we
 2
    were, in the primary answer to 12 -- or the
 3
    answer to the primary part of 12, excuse me.
 4
 5
                  MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK: I was. I
    was going to wait for him to tell us what it
6
    was, but I can provide it now.
7
8
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: It's not
9
    a trial, so yeah.
10
                  MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK:
                                          Fair
11
    enough.
12
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: So Mr.
13
    Weinstock would like to admit -- is it an
14
    article?
15
                  MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK:
                                          It is
16
    the --
17
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Into the
18
    record?
19
                  MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK: It is a
    memo from the executive officer of CARB to the
20
2.1
    Board.
22
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Okay. So
23
    are there any objections to admitting
    this memo from the executive officer of CARB
24
```

```
Page 270
1
    to the Board into the record today?
           Hearing none, thank you, we will admit
2
    this as Exhibit 17.
3
                 [Document marked as Exhibit No. 17
5
                 for identification.]
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: And Mr.
6
7
    Weinstock, you may proceed with your
8
    questions.
9
                  MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK: Thank you.
10
    BY MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK:
11
           O. So Mr. Hart, this was -- again,
    this was the same memo you were referring to
12
13
    in your answer to number 12?
14
                  Yes, it is.
           Α.
15
           O.
                 Okay. And you said you would
    have to ask -- you just said you would have to
16
17
    ask the manufacturers why --
18
           A. No, you asked a question of the
19
    manufacturers.
                  That -- that's right. I asked
20
21
    you why manufacturers were acting a certain
22
    way.
2.3
                  Right. You wanted to know
           Α.
    information from the manufactures.
24
```

Page 271 1 Q. Right. And you said you would 2 have to ask them. 3 Because you asked for information about from the manufacturers. 4 5 Q. Absolutely. [Interruption by the reporter.] 6 7 BY MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK: 8 I just want to take you to page 3 9 of that report, the CARB document I just handed you. 10 11 And you see there the heading "summary 12 of findings." Are you with me? 13 I see "summary of findings." Great. I'm sorry. That was the 14 Ο. 15 question, if you were there. Sorry. 16 Α. Sure. 17 And do you see there that CARB 18 describes, quote, that staff met with 19 representatives from all major HD trucking engine manufacturers, including -- and then 20 there is a list of them? 21 22 Α. I see the memo. 23 And so when they list those manufacturers, are those some of the -- did 24

Page 272 1 you call them traditional manufacturers a minute ago? I can't remember. 2 3 But are those the manufacturers you 4 were talking about? 5 What I was talking about when? Α. Well, you said -- you mentioned a 6 Ο. 7 few manufacturers that had closed, and then 8 you said there are other manufacturers that 9 are scaling back, I think. 10 And I'm just wondering if the 11 manufacturers you were talking about scaling back, are those in this list? 12 13 Α. I don't know. You'll have to get 14 the allotments from the actual manufacturers. 15 Sure, but I'm just asking about who you were talking about. 16 17 You said certain manufacturers had 18 scaled back, right? 19 Α. Correct. So who were you talking about? 20 Ο. 2.1 To my knowledge, Freightliner I 22 believe has drastically reduced the amount of 23 their -- and I'm not sure -- well, that would Deimler trucks, or Deimler is what is 24

Page 273 1 referenced on here. 2 O. Okay. Α. Yeah. So --3 4 0. So yes. So then --5 That is the one that I know of Α. for certain. Yes, sir. 6 7 0. Right. And they are represented 8 here in this list? 9 Α. They are listed in this list of -- under "summary of findings." 10 11 Right. And then I just want to Ο. 12 take you to the very next page. 13 And I quess I would ask, did you read 14 this part of the memo when you were signing 15 it? 16 I mean, I have read the memo, and Α. 17 it's listed as part of the citation. So yes. 18 0. Okay. Okay. Do you see the 19 first heading on page 4, "What is the impact of the Advanced Clean Trucks regulation"? 20 21 Α. Yes. 22 And do you see the second sentence of that paragraph that reads, quote, 23 24 all of the regulated OEMs have ZEV products

Veritext Legal Solutions 888-391-3376

Page 274 available for the market in 2024 model year, 1 and many have already sold ZEVs in previous 2 years to build up an early credit bank. 3 Do you see that sentence? 5 Α. I see that sentence. 6 So you would agree with me then 0. that CARB asked the manufacturers if they had 7 8 models available, right? 9 Α. According to this memo, that they reported that the OEMs report that they have 10 11 them available for market. I'm not 12 disagreeing with that. 13 Okay. Great. Let's -- we can Ο. 14 put that one down and come back to it later, I 15 think. 16 Α. Okay. 17 On page 2 of your testimony, you 18 asserted that new electric vehicles registered 19 in Illinois in 2024 constituted .05 percent of all trucks? 20 2.1 Do you remember that? 22 Which number are you on? Α. 2.3 Well, it was actually just from Ο. 24 your original testimony.

Page 275 1 Α. Oh, okay. I'm sorry. I'll go back to that. 2 3 No, that's okay. That's okay. Ο. And you know what, I don't even have to make 4 5 you shuffle the paper. 6 Α. Okay. 7 Because I think you mentioned it 8 in your opening remarks, too, you would agree 9 that electric trucks make up a very small proportion of the total trucks on the road --10 11 In my professional opinion --Α. -- in Illinois right now? 12 Q. 13 Α. -- electric trucks make up a 14 very small percentage of vehicles on the road. 15 Yes, sir. 16 0. Yeah. Yeah. Just a couple 17 questions based on your -- you know, your 18 knowledge of the industry. 19 How long are trucks usually on the road after they're purch -- like after a new truck 20 21 is purchased? That all varies. 22 Α. 23 Can you describe -- I just want 24 to get a sense of how that varies.

Page 276 1 A. It -- we have such a wide range of truck use. 2 3 Ο. Okay. And they are used, you know -- I 4 5 couldn't speak to that. It's a huge range. Q. What's the shortest you have ever 6 heard of of a new truck being purchased, used, 7 8 and then retired? 9 Α. I don't think I can speak to 10 that. I don't operate trucks. 11 0. Okay. 12 Α. Yeah. 13 Great. And when -- actually, I Ο. 14 do need to take you to your testimony page 2, 15 I'm sorry --16 A. Okay. 17 Q. -- for that number. 18 So you said .05 percent of all trucks, 19 electric trucks registered in Illinois in 2024 constituted .05 of all trucks. 20 2.1 That is the new trucks that were registered in 2024. Just the new ones 22 relative to the big picture of all of the 23 trucks that we have. 24

Page 277 1 Q. On the road? 2 That are registered, yes. Α. 3 Right. So that would include Q. trucks that were registered before 2024? 4 5 Α. These are existing trucks. We are estimating that there is around 571,000 6 7 trucks intrastate and interstate. 8 Q. Yeah. 9 And just to try to give an apples-to-apples comparison, that's where we 10 came up with that number. 11 Q. And that's kind of what I'm 12 13 getting at, is it's -- the numerator is trucks registered in 2024, right? Electric trucks 14 15 registered in 2024? 16 Δ. The numerator is from the S&P 17 data, which is the number of new 18 registrations. 19 Q. Right. And the denominator is all registrations, whether they are new or 20 2.1 not? 22 Α. That is correct. 23 Right. So it's not really 0. 24 apples-to-apples? It's apples to the apples

Page 278 1 we have picked for the last decade? No, it's a very small apple in a 2 huge orchard. 3 4 Fair enough. Let's go -- let's 0. 5 move on. In your -- in responding to the Board's 6 7 first pre-filed questions, you asserted -- you 8 said, based on your experience, the proposed 9 rules would reduce truck registrations, and the experience you point to is a 2004 fee that 10 Illinois added to the trucking industry. 11 12 Do you remember referencing that fee? 13 Α. I do. 14 Yeah. What was that fee? Q. 15 Α. That's called the commercial 16 distribution fee. 17 0. Okay. And what is it -- what 18 does it fee? What is the fee imposed on? 19 So what the commercial distribution fee was was it was a fee that was 20 21 imposed on the trucking industry. It added a 22 36 percent surcharge on the registration of a 23 commercial motor vehicle. 24 An 80,000-pound semi tractor plate, it

Page 279 1 added \$1,000 per truck, per year, to 2 registering your truck. 3 And we did see the ramifications of that, and that's why the legislature came back 4 5 a year later and reduced that fee, and then ultimately in 2019 eliminated that fee 6 7 altogether, because we did lose trucking 8 companies and truck registrations to 9 surrounding states. 10 And I'm sure it took a lot of 0. 11 effort to get those subsequent amendments to 12 correct that policy, so I understand where you 13 are coming from. 14 I guess the reason you think that's 15 relevant here is because it added a cost to registering a truck, and it's -- is that 16 17 right? 18 We think it's relative (sic) Α. 19 here, because our industry has wheels on it. 20 0. Yeah. 2.1 And we are -- even in 22 Springfield, Illinois, we are still competing

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

with surrounding states. And certainly the

Chicagoland area, which is the transportation

23

24

Page 280

hub of North America, is competing with surrounding states. And this is also what makes this proposal very different than what -- particularly California. California does not face the same pressures that Illinois faces.

Q. Right.

2.3

A. Which is also the argument why we do not prefer a state-specific mandate. A federal mandate is very, very different, but this is a state-specific mandate that has to -- that would only apply to trucking companies and trucks registered in Illinois.

This body and even the legislature doesn't have the authority to regulate out-of-state trucking companies or trucks that license their trucks -- Illinois carriers that would simply move their registrations to an Indiana terminal or a Wisconsin terminal, thereby making it more difficult for the companies who choose to stay here, and making it more expensive for them who choose to stay here.

Q. So you agree that the reason you

Page 281 1 think this is relevant is because when a regulation increases the cost of a new truck 2 registration, that's why -- that, you think, 3 drives trucks away from Illinois? 4 5 That is our experience from this Α. commercial distribution fee, that it drove --6 7 and I don't have the data, because it's 20 8 years ago. 9 Ο. Sure. 10 And if you really want, I would 11 be happy to dig up the data. 12 Q. No. 13 But we clearly saw a decrease in 14 truck registrations. Indiana saw their truck registrations double, and it was a response 15 from an industry with wheels on it, that when 16 17 you do things to them -- and again, what 18 drives us is we are simply delivering 95 19 percent of what people need and want. Mr. Hart, I promise I will 20 Yeah. 21 not ask you if your industry has wheels on it. 22 I think that is clearly established for the 2.3 record. On page 2 of your testimony, you stated 24

```
Page 282
    electric trucks today cost $4,500 (sic)
1
2
    compared to a new comparable diesel truck that
    costs $150,000.
3
           Do you see those numbers? Do you
5
    remember those numbers?
6
           Α.
                 Yes.
                  Pre-filed questions asked for the
7
8
    basis of those claims, and you provided a
9
    CARB -- another document from California Air
10
    Resources Board, an October 2024 fact sheet.
11
           Do you remember that?
12
           Α.
                  Correct. Yes.
13
                  MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK: I'm going
14
    to ask that that be introduced into evidence,
15
    and ask my colleague to pass it out.
16
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Okay. So
17
    Mr. Weinstock, you would like to admit --
18
                  Can you mention where it is in --
            Α.
19
    I'm sorry -- where it is that you are
    referencing that? Because I know it's
20
2.1
    referenced in the questions.
22
    BY MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK:
2.3
                  Yeah. You -- uh-huh.
           0.
    response to question 14(b).
24
```

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 03/25/2025

```
Page 283
 1
           Α.
                  14(b). Thank you.
 2
           0.
                  You cited that. Yep.
 3
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: All
    right. And Mr. Weinstock, would you please
 4
 5
    tell us then the name of the document?
                  MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK: It is --
6
7
    well, you're about to have it in front of you.
8
    The California Air Resources Board --
9
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI:
10
    Zero-emission Class 8 truck pricing
    comparisons, EU and U.S.?
11
12
                  MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK: Yeah.
13
    Thank you.
14
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Thank
15
    you.
16
            So are there any objections to
17
    admitting this document into the record as
    Exhibit 18?
18
19
           Hearing none, we will admit it as
    Exhibit 18.
20
2.1
                 [Document marked as Exhibit No. 18
22
                 for identification.]
2.3
                 HEARING OFFICER LEONI:
24
    Weinstock, you may proceed with questioning.
```

Page 284 1 MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK: Thank you. BY MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK: 2 3 Ο. And I believe -- well, I guess where in that document do you see the numbers 4 5 you provided, Mr. Hart? What is your question? 6 Α. 7 My question is, where in this 8 document that you cited can you -- I'll 9 rephrase that. 10 Can you --11 Α. This document refers to question 14. 12 13 That's right. 0. 14 You were asking about question 2, Α. 15 in my testimony. Ah. Yeah, I'm sorry. I misspoke 16 Ο. 17 before. I was actually asking about question 18 14(b) in your testimony before, because that 19 is where you say 450 and 150, and I guess your pre-filed answers helps us along here. You --20 21 Right. We spelled it out there. Α. 22 Those were rounding --2.3 0. Sure. But roughly, according to the 24 Α.

```
Page 285
 1
    California Air Resources Board, $435,000.
    Yes, that's a little bit less than the
 2
    $450,000 that I had cited. That was actually
 3
    a number from the American Transportation
 5
    Research Institute.
                  Ah.
6
            0.
7
                  And the $155,902 is very close to
            Α.
8
    the --
9
                  [Interruption by the reporter.]
10
                  The $150,000, which always comes
            Α.
    from ATRI.
11
12
    BY MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK:
13
            O. Yeah. And I was just going to
14
    ask, that's -- is that related to Class 8
    engines?
15
16
                  Yes. Those are Class 8.
17
                  Could you just take a moment and
    describe what sorts of trucks are Class 8?
18
19
            Α.
                  Sure.
                  Use Class 8 engines, I guess I
20
            0.
21
    should say?
22
                  Class -- Class 8 trucks are what
23
    most people refer to as semi. Those are
24
    trucks that range from 33,000 up to 80,000
```

Page 286 1 pounds. 2 Right. And so it's long-haul Ο. 3 trucks on interstates? Α. Can be. 5 0. It's -- is it also trucks that drive shorter routes? 6 7 Α. Could be. 8 Ο. Including things that operate 9 near freight terminals to nearby warehouses, something like that? 10 11 I think all classes of trucks 12 operate everywhere in the state. 13 Sure. Do you know, in the --Ο. 14 among Class 8 trucks, how many of them are 15 long-haul trucks? 16 I don't have access to that data. Α. 17 0. Okay. That's fair. I just was 18 curious. I don't have access to that data 19 either. You -- those numbers in your testimony, 20 21 you agree that those only represent the 22 upfront purpose price of the trucks? 2.3 Which data are you referring to? Α. 24 Q. The CARB data that you were

Page 287 1 just -- I think you still have it in front of 2 you. 3 The CARB data -- that's their Α. That's the CARB data. 4 5 Right. Right. And so does -- do Q. you understand that to reflect the upfront 6 7 cost of purchase? Or do you under --8 That's -- that's what they 9 believe the upfront purchase --10 Ο. Right. 11 The American Transportation Research Institute has cited it to be in the 12 ballpark of \$450,000 and \$150,000. 13 14 Right. And then you cited that 15 in your testimony. I'm just asking if that's what you understood when you were writing your 16 17 testimony. 18 Yes, I'm using their data. Α. 19 That's -- that's great. That's Ο. all I was hoping to establish. 20 2.1 And we asked in 14 -- pre-filed 22 question 14(c) if you dispute that the total cost of ownership for electric vehicles 23 24 continue to decrease and that electric freight

```
Page 288
1
    trucks and buses are expected to be less
2
    expensive --
3
                  [Interruption by the reporter.]
    BY MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK:
4
5
            0.
                  I'll do it this way.
6
            Mr. Hart, do you see question 14(c),
7
    pre-filed question 14(c)?
8
            Α.
                  I do see question 14(c).
9
            Ο.
                  I don't need to reread it for
10
    everybody.
11
            In answering question 14(c), you cited
    that -- well, you cited a document from the
12
13
    company Ryder System, Inc., is that right?
14
                  That is correct. I believe
15
    that's what Footnote 3 refers to. If you want
    me to look it up, I can look it up.
16
17
            Q.
                  No, I --
18
            Α.
                  Okay.
19
                  Well, you know, in answering, you
            0.
    actually, on the next page of your pre-filed
20
21
    questions, you point us to the Ryder.
22
            Α.
                  Okay.
2.3
                  So I think we are all set there.
            0.
24
            Α.
                  Yeah.
```

```
Page 289
1
                  MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK: Let's do
 2
    this one.
 3
            I'm going to introduce into evidence a
    document called Charge Logistics. That is by
 4
 5
    Ryder System, Inc. That was cited in Mr.
6
    Hart's testimony.
7
    BY MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK:
8
                  Do you have a copy of that, Mr.
9
    Hart, or would you like us to --
10
                  I don't have one with me.
            Α.
11
            O. Okay.
12
           Α.
                  Yeah.
13
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Are there
14
    any objections to Mr. Weinstock offering into
15
    the record this document that will be Exhibit
16
    19?
17
            Hearing none, we'll enter it into the
    record as Exhibit 19.
18
19
                 [Document marked as Exhibit No. 19]
20
                 for identification.
2.1
    BY MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK:
22
                  So just a couple questions about
            Q.
2.3
    what this document was looking at.
24
            Α.
                  And so just to be clear, we
```

Page 290 1 didn't include this, but the link is available in the -- our response to questions, and this 2 is referring to the link. Ο. Yes. Oh, yeah. 5 Α. Yep. Okay. Yes. We clicked the link and 6 0. 7 hit -- clicked print. Yeah. 8 Α. Okay. 9 Q. Fair question, though. Thank you for clarifying. 10 11 Α. Uh-huh. I just have a couple -- well, you 12 Q. 13 are familiar with this document, aren't you? 14 Α. Yes. 15 0. Okay. You read it? 16 Α. Yes. 17 Ο. Okay. And you -- I just have a 18 couple questions about what analysis this is 19 actually providing here. So first, this is an analysis of costs 20 21 in California and Georgia, isn't that right? 22 Α. I believe that is correct. Ryder 23 analyzed the impact in California and Georgia. 24 Q. Right.

Page 291 1 Α. That's on page 2 of the introduction. 2 3 0. I have the same spot highlighted. Thank you. 4 5 And when they -- so then it didn't take into account any of the -- any of the Illinois 6 7 laws or policies? 8 Illinois doesn't have any laws or 9 policies on electric vehicles right now. 10 That's your testimony? 0. 11 I'm not sure what you are asking. 12 Q. I'm just asking what you are 13 saying, Mr. Hart. You said Illinois has no 14 laws or policies about electric vehicles. I just want to clarify that that's your 15 16 testimony. 17 Α. Oh. Okay. I'll retract that. 18 Yeah. Yeah. I was giving you a 0. 19 chance to do that. And what is -- what is your --20 Α. 21 what is your question? 22 My question is just whether this Q. 23 Ryder study, looking at California and Georgia, considered Illinois laws or policies. 24

Page 292 1 I believe this is limited to California and Georgia. 2 3 Ο. That's all -- that's all I was looking for. Thank you. 4 5 Α. Yeah. In order to give us data. Yeah. Sure. No, understood. 6 0. 7 And is it your understanding that what 8 Ryder was doing in this was doing a one-to-one comparison of, what if we got an EV versus an 9 internal combustion vehicle? 10 I think that's a question for 11 Α. 12 Ryder. 13 Again, sir, you cited it, so I'm O. just trying to understand what you understood 14 15 it to be doing. 16 I mean, I think the data in here 17 speaks for itself. 18 Okay. But when you cited it in 0. 19 your testimony, did you think you were citing a document that did a one-to-one comparison of 20 2.1 one EV versus one internal combustion engine? 22 Α. We cited -- we submitted this in 23 response to your question 12 --24 Q. Yeah.

Page 293 1 Α. 14(c). 2 Yes. 0. 3 (Reads to self.) Α. 4 [Interruption by the reporter.] 5 It's question 14(c), and we Α. 6 submitted this in response to your question 7 14(c). 8 BY MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK: 9 0. Right. So when you submitted 10 this in response to that question, did you 11 think you were citing -- was it your intention 12 to cite something that gave us information 13 about a one-for-one comparison between an ICE 14 vehicle and a zero-emission vehicle? 15 I don't believe you asked for a 16 one-to-one comparison. 17 HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Excuse 18 me. Mr. Weinstock, this question has been 19 asked and answered. If we could please move 20 on to --2.1 MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK: He hasn't 22 answered it. That's my problem. I don't know 23 what he thought this study said. So I'm just 24 trying to ask him if he thinks this study --

```
Page 294
1
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: It sounds
2
    like he found it to be a comparison between
    two different policies that did not cite
3
    Illinois.
4
5
                 MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK:
                                         Right,
    but --
6
7
                 HEARING OFFICER LEONI:
8
    exactly is your question? You just want his
9
    broad understanding of the article he cited in
10
    his answer?
11
                  MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK: I want his
    specific understanding about whether he thinks
12
13
    this tells us anything about a one-for-one
14
    comparison, or if it's something else.
15
           He cited it. I mean, I guess I'll say
    this. I'll try a different way. Thank you.
16
17
    I'm sorry, Hearing Officer.
    BY MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK:
18
19
           Q. You can't tell us whether this is
    a one-for-one comparison of an ICE vehicle to
20
21
    a zero-emission vehicle, can you?
22
                 Well, now, you didn't ask if it's
           Α.
23
    a one-to-one comparison.
24
           Q.
                  I'm asking you now.
```

Page 295 1 Well, then I'm going to have to study this to see if it's a one-for-one. 2 Would you like me to take 20 minutes to read 3 through this? 4 5 No, no, no. Q. 6 Α. Because that's not what you asked 7 for --8 HEARING OFFICER LEONI: 9 Gentlemen, let's not get combative, please. 10 MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK: Yeah. No. 11 BY MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK: No, I understand. I'm not asking 12 Q. 13 you to do that. I'm just confirming that you 14 haven't done that and that we agree on that. 15 I have not done a one-to-one 16 comparison as you have asked. 17 Ο. Yeah. That's good. 18 HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Okay. 19 think we can wrap this up and move on to the next question, please, Mr. Weinstock. 20 21 MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK: Yeah. 22 Absolutely. 23 HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Thank 24 you.

```
Page 296
1
    BY MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK:
                  I would like to take you to --
2
    start with page 6 of that document.
3
           Do you see -- I'll let you get there.
4
5
                  MS. JENNIFER VAN WIE:
                                          That
    document being Exhibit 19?
6
7
                  MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK: Yes, the
8
    Ryder -- yeah, I'm sorry.
9
    BY MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK:
10
                  With me, Mr. Hart?
           0.
11
           Α.
                  Yes, sir.
12
                  Okay. So do you see there is a
           Q.
13
    table, it says California about halfway down
14
    the page?
15
                  I see a table for California.
16
            Q.
                  And it has got ICE trucks on the
17
    left and EV trucks on the right?
18
                  I see that.
           Α.
19
                  And this table is presenting
            Ο.
    Ryder's analysis here? Is that fair to say?
20
21
                  This is Ryder's document, yes.
           Α.
22
                  Right. And so do you see in the
            Q.
    top row -- well, actually, I'll take you to
23
    the third row where it says equipment costs.
24
```

Page 297 1 Do you see that row? 2 I see equipment costs. 3 And so Ryder is comparing one 0. tractor under ICE trucks to 1.87 tractors 4 5 under EV trucks. 6 Do you see that? 7 All right. I see that. 8 Ο. Okay. And under the first row, 9 labor cost, 1.2 drivers for an ICE truck to 2.07 drivers for a EV truck. 10 11 Do you see that? Yeah, I see that. 12 Α. 13 Okay. One thing I thought was 0. 14 interesting there is it looks like EV truck 15 drivers get paid more. 16 Is that your experience? 17 Α. I have never paid an EV truck 18 driver. 19 From your membership, has 0. anyone -- do you have any idea about that? 20 2.1 I don't ask them what they pay EV 22 truck drivers. 2.3 O. That's fair. Let me take you back to page -- I think 24

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 03/25/2025

```
Page 298
1
    it's on page 4 here. We have got the Class
2
    4 --
3
                  Are we still on the Ryder study?
            Α.
            Ο.
                  Yes. Yep.
                         The Class 4 page.
5
            Α.
                  Okay.
                  Do you see that?
6
            Q.
7
            Α.
                  Uh-huh.
                  And there we do have the
8
            Ο.
9
    equipment cost row. We can use the California
10
    table again for an example.
11
            Do you see that?
                  I see that.
12
            Α.
13
            0.
                  And there we do have one van
    under ICE vans and one van under EV vans,
14
15
    right?
16
            Α.
                  I see that.
17
                  Right. And -- but up on the
    first row for labor cost, do you see under ICE
18
19
    vans it says 48 hours weekly?
20
                  I see that.
            Α.
2.1
                  And you see under EV vans it says
            0.
22
    55 hours weekly?
2.3
            Α.
                  I see that.
                  Understanding you haven't hired
24
            Q.
```

```
Page 299
1
    EV drivers, you agree with me, though, that
    Ryder is thinking that EV drivers work seven
2
    hours more than ICE van drivers?
3
                 That's a question for Ryder. I
4
           Α.
5
    didn't do this study.
           O. Fair. Just you're reading it the
6
7
    same way I do?
8
           Α.
                 Yeah.
9
           Q.
                 Yeah. Thank you.
10
                 [Interruption by the reporter.]
11
    BY MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK:
           Q. Are you -- okay. Let's go back
12
13
    to -- well, let's go back to the other CARB
14
    document we had, which I think was marked as
15
    Exhibit 17. The longer -- the longer of the
16
    two CARB documents.
17
           Α.
                 Which document are you referring
18
    to?
19
                 It's the September 25th, 2024,
           0.
    CARB document.
20
                 Oh, the memo?
21
           Α.
22
           Q.
                 Yeah.
23
                 All right.
           Α.
24
           Q.
                 Is it your understanding that
```

Page 300 1 this memo and the three-page CARB comparison 2 document are to be read together, they refer to each other? Α. That's a -- a question for CARB. Q. Sure. I guess I'll just take you 5 to the -- the last page -- well, let's say 6 7 page 8 of that document. 8 Do you see there the heading halfway 9 down the page, "Truck price comparison between California and Europe"? 10 11 "Truck price comparison between Α. California and Europe." 12 13 Yep. Okay. 0. 14 Okay. Α. 15 So that is discussing -- and I believe the number is -- yes. 16 17 So if you look on page 9 of that 18 document, you'll see they report out some 19 numbers in bullet points there in the middle. Do you see that? 20 21 Α. Okay. 22 Does that look like the same --Q. the first bullet point, the average California 23 24 ZE Class 8 tractor in 2024 was priced at

Page 301 1 \$435,839? 2 I see that. Α. 3 0. So that's the same number as in the October fact sheet? 4 I believe that's -- I believe 5 Α. that's correct. 6 7 Okay. So you --Ο. 8 Α. \$435,839. Yes, \$435,839. 9 0. Okay. And are you aware that in this longer CARB memo, they tried to explain 10 the reason -- well, the reason for why that 11 price was higher than the price in Europe? 12 13 Α. Are you referencing the rest of 14 their memo? 15 0. Yeah. 16 Well, it's their memo. Α. 17 0. Right. So were you aware that 18 they tried to understand why the prices were 19 higher in California than in Europe? I'm sure they are trying to 20 21 figure that out. 22 Q. Okay. Did you read their explanation for why? 23 24 Which explanation, the one on Α.

Page 302 1 page 10? 2 The one on page 10 that you were just looking at. Have you read that before 3 4 today? 5 Α. Yes. Okay. So why did -- what reason 6 0. 7 did CARB find for the price difference? 8 Α. What's your question? 9 0. What reason did CARB find that explained the price difference between Europe 10 and California? 11 According to this memo? 12 Α. 13 O. According to the memo you cited 14 reporting CARB's finding. 15 Their memo says -- you want me to read their memo, or can we just use their memo 16 17 in here? I mean --18 We can. I'm just asking what you 0. 19 understand them -- you cited this memo. I understand -- I understand this 20 2.1 is what CARB believes. 22 Q. Okay. So what does CARB believe? 2.3 And that is the CARB data. Α. Yeah. So what does CARB --24 Q.

Page 303 1 Α. You want me to read CARB's memo? 2 Ο. Please. 3 Okay. The California Air Α. Resource Board memo, page 10. "European 4 5 zero-emission truck pricing is going down." Q. Mr. Hart, I'm sorry. I will stop 6 7 you, because it's a fair --8 Α. Okay. 9 0. It was a fair response. I'll direct you to the part I think is more 10 11 inclusive. 12 Α. Okay. 13 Ο. Just the first sentence of the 14 first full paragraph that starts "there 15 appear." Just that first sentence. You can go ahead and read it out loud. 16 17 Α. You want me to read their memo? 18 You cited this memo. I'm just Ο. 19 asking --20 Okay. This is the California Air 21 Resource Board memo. Their memo says, "There 22 appear to be no clear reasons for this 23 disparity between regions, " referencing U.S. 24 and Europe.

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 03/25/2025

```
Page 304
1
           Q.
                  Right.
2
                  Is that accurate?
           Α.
                  That's -- absolutely. And do
3
           Q.
4
    you --
5
                  Okay. That's from the CARB memo.
           Α.
                  That's right.
6
           O.
7
           Do you have any reason to dispute
8
    CARB's finding?
9
           Α.
                  I'm not CARB.
10
                  I understand. I'm asking if you
           O.
11
    have any reason, as Mr. Hart, to dispute
    CARB's finding.
12
13
                  I don't dispute CARB's memo.
14
                  Okay. That's what I was --
           0.
15
    that's all I was asking.
16
           Do you -- are you aware at all of how
17
    the EU regulates trucks?
18
               We're in the Illinois Trucking
           Α.
19
    Association. I'm not a European trucking
20
    company.
21
                  No, I under -- are you aware
22
    about anything in general?
23
                  In broad, very broad
           Α.
24
    generalities, but my specialty is the State of
```

Page 305 Illinois and the Illinois Trucking 1 2 Association. 3 Ο. Of course. What's your broad understanding of how they -- I'll ask it that 4 way, because I think that's a very fair 5 6 response. 7 In Europe, do they have stricter 8 regulations for diesel engines or less strict than we do in Illinois? 9 10 They have different regulations. Α. I can't speak to whether one is more strict or 11 what they are, but I do know there are 12 13 different regulations in Europe than there are 14 here.

Q. Okay. Are you aware that in the EU they have a 65 percent target for zero-emission heavy-duty vehicles by 2035?

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- A. I'm aware of there being targets, but I'm not sure what that has to do with us here in the State of Illinois.
- Q. Well, you cited a document that compares prices in Illinois and the United States -- or I'm sorry, in Europe and the United States, and you have taken the position

Page 306 1 in your testimony that vehicles are not available or they will be too expensive, 2 zero-emission vehicles. And so we have your document, talking 4 5 about why --That's not my document. That's 6 Α. 7 the California Air Resource Board document. 8 O. That's fair. We have the 9 document you cited, which is talking about 10 that. So it's --11 Because you asked for data. Α. 12 Q. Exactly. 13 Α. All right. 14 My question is just, do you see Ο. the last sentence of that same paragraph in 15 the CARB memo that reads, quote --16 17 Α. We're back on the CARB memo? 18 Oh, just the same place we just Ο. 19 were. 20 Α. Okay. 21 That was the CARB memo. Page 10. 0. 22 It says, "There also appears to be some OEM price competition in Europe in advance of 23 24 the Vehicle Energy Consumption Calculation

Page 307 1 Tool CO2 model reporting deadline in 2025." 2 Do you see that? Are you referring to the last 3 sentence in the paragraph? 4 5 Q. Yeah. I see the last sentence in the 6 Α. 7 paragraph. 8 Ο. Right. And so you agree that 9 CARB found that relevant? 10 HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Excuse 11 Mr. Weinstock, I think it's clear that the witness does not dispute what is in the 12 13 document that he cited and that is now 14 admitted into evidence. 15 MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK: Okay. 16 HEARING OFFICER LEONI: So if you 17 have a specific question or a point you would 18 like to make, please get to it here. 19 MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK: Sure. BY MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK: 20 2.1 Do you read that last sentence, Ο. 22 Mr. Hart, as suggesting that CARB believes the 23 OEM, that -- that manufacturers are responding 24 to regulations in Europe with lower prices?

```
Page 308
1
            Α.
                  Mr. Weinstock, that's a question
    for CARB.
2
3
                  I asked -- you cited this
            Q.
    document, Mr. Hart. So you --
4
5
            Α.
                  It's their document.
6
            0.
                  Okay. I understand, Mr. Hart,
7
    but you cited it on this point of the price
8
    comparison.
9
            Α.
                  Correct.
10
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: What --
    Mr. Weinstock, what is your question
11
    specifically for Mr. Hart regarding the price
12
13
    comparison, not his understanding of CARB?
14
                  MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK: Yeah.
15
    BY MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK:
                  I guess my question is, do you
16
            Q.
17
    think, Mr. Hart, that manufacturers might
18
    respond -- that regulations might create
19
    competition as to price among engine
    manufacturers?
20
2.1
                  In this instance?
            Α.
22
                  How would you -- yes, in this
            Q.
2.3
    instance.
24
            Α.
                  This is not my data. This is
```

Page 309 1 their data. I asked your opinion, Mr. Hart. 2 Q. State the question again. 3 Α. Do you believe that manufacturers 4 O. 5 may experience competitive price pressure when there are regulations that they must meet? 6 7 What kind of regulations? 8 State-specific regulations or federal 9 regulations? 10 Federal regulations. Ο. 11 Because I think we have seen the response to federal regulations, and I think 12 13 there is plenty of documentation of federal 14 regulations. 15 Ο. Sure. And --Today we're not talking about a 16 17 federal regulation. We are here over a 18 state-specific mandate. 19 I'm just trying to understand, 0. Mr. Hart, how you --20 2.1 And I just want to be clear that Α. 22 we are talking about apples and oranges. 2.3 I'm really just talking about the O. documents you cited, Mr. Hart, but that's 24

```
Page 310
1
          We can -- we can move on. The document
    does speak for itself, as you said.
2
3
           Let's go back, actually -- let's see if
    I can skip a couple questions for you, Mr.
4
5
    Hart.
           I do -- and I'm sorry to yo-yo, but
    just back to the Ryder report just for a
6
7
    moment.
8
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Could you
9
    state the exhibit number, please, Mr.
10
    Weinstock?
11
                  MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK: I believe
    that's Exhibit 19.
12
13
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Thank
14
    you.
15
    BY MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK:
16
           Q. So is it your understanding that
17
    that report looked at current costs? Let me
18
    restate that.
19
            Is it your understanding that Ryder was
    analyzing current costs when it was doing that
20
21
    analysis?
22
                  For which class of vehicle?
           Α.
2.3
                  Just in general, for any class.
           Ο.
24
           Α.
                  Well, according to their
```

Page 311 1 executive summary, it says Ryder analyzed the 2 total cost to transport. 3 Ο. Okay. And you -- do you read --I read that as the current cost of transport. 4 5 Is that how you read the study? A. I believe it's a measure of the 6 7 total cost. 8 Ο. Okay. Thank you. 9 Do you have -- can you identify anything in that study that talks about --10 11 that analyzes future costs? 12 I guess maybe I can ask it a different 13 way. Mr. Hart? Yes, sir. 14 Α. 15 I'll withdraw that question, I'll O. 16 ask a new one. 17 Α. Okay. 18 When you cited the Ryder 19 document, did you think it had any information about future costs of shipping? 20 2.1 We cited the Ryder information to 22 answer the question that was posed to us to 23 provide data. 24 Q. Right. And --

Page 312 1 And I don't believe that asked 2 about future. 3 Well, in the -- so we are -- just Ο. so we're on the same page here, we are at 4 5 pre-filed question 14(c). And several of those --6 7 So 14(c) itself asks by 2027, right? 8 It asks for a comparison --Α. 9 Q. Yeah. Right. -- less than its counterparts by 10 Α. 11 2027, which is a question about the future, 12 which we don't have data on 2027, because it 13 is 2025. 14 Great. And so when you cited the 15 Ryder report, you didn't think you were providing data about 2027 or any time in the 16 17 future? 18 We were doing our best to answer Α. 19 your question. Understood. And so we also asked 20 0. 21 you if you disputed a number of the reports 22 provided previously that do look at future 23 costs, and you would agree with me that your response to 14(c)(2), 14(c)(3), you said "see 24

Page 313 1 prior response, " right? Correct. It says "see prior 2 Α. 3 response." And that was referring to the 4 0. 5 response with the Ryder study? That, and we also cited other 6 Α. 7 sources in there. No, those were referenced 8 to the original submission. Okay. 9 Q. Okay. So the Ryder study? I 10 mean --11 Α. Yes. 12 Q. Okay. Great. 13 And just now going down to 14(c)(4), 14 which asks if -- which asked if the ACT rule 15 is expected to create economies of scale. 16 Do you see that question? 17 Α. I do see question 14(c)(4). 18 And you point -- your answer to 0. 19 that points us to that October CARB price comparison fact sheet, right? 20 2.1 Α. Correct. 22 And that price comparison fact sheet that we just were talking about, that 23 looked at 2024 costs, right? Prices, I should 24

Page 314 1 say. Between 2021 and 2024. 2 Α. 3 Right. And you agree that the Q. ACT regulation sales percentage requirements 4 5 only took effect in California in 2024? 6 Α. Correct. 7 Okay. And that's your only Ο. 8 source to answer 14(c)(4)? 9 Α. But if I could add, because this 10 is a great question. Because your question 11 says, do you dispute the adoption of the ACT 12 rule is expected to create economies of 13 scale --14 [Interruption by the reporter.] 15 It's question 14(c)(4), in regards to economies of scale. And this comes 16 17 back to the issue at hand, which is a 18 state-specific mandate. 19 What your analysis does not consider is the number of trucking companies who will 20 2.1 license their truck in another state. 22 BY MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK: 2.3 Right, but this is not -- we're Ο. 24 not asking about trucking companies here.

Page 315 1 We're asking about manufact --You are asking about economies of 2 scale. 3 No, for manufacture. O. 5 Right, but if no one -- if there Α. is not a need, because companies won't 6 7 purchase, because they won't license here, 8 then there is no economies of scale. 9 So do manufacturers manufacture Illinois-only engines now? 10 11 Α. They do not manufacture Illinois 12 engines now. 13 And you agree that -- well --0. 14 And they don't manufacture 15 California-only engines now. 16 Q. Exactly. Okay. 17 And in fact, what they have done 18 is they have actually restricted the number of 19 trucks that we have -- and the engines we have access to, in order to try to meet mandates, 20 21 and now we can't get access to the cleaner 22 newer trucks. 2.3 Well -- in Illinois? Is that in Ο. Illinois? 24

```
Page 316
1
           A. No, that's in California,
2
    Washington, and Oregon.
3
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Excuse
4
    me, Mr. Weinstock.
5
                  MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK: I should
    let him, finish, yeah.
6
7
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Let him
8
    finish.
9
                  MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK: I should
    let you finish, too. I'm sorry.
10
11
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: That's
12
    all right.
13
    BY MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK:
14
           Q. So just so we have a clean
15
    record, you just said manufacturers have
    restricted the sale of ICE vehicles in
16
17
    California?
18
                  They have restrictions that
           Α.
19
    they're not -- they're not enforcing.
20
                  Right -- the manufacturers?
            0.
2.1
                  The -- there is a retail.
22
    It's the same thing we are talking about here,
23
    and it's doing the same thing there.
24
           The difference is we are completely
```

Page 317 surrounded by other states, and to avoid this 1 state-specific mandate, you simply have to 2 move your trucking company or license your 3 truck in another state. 5 I think, with respect, Mr. Hart, 6 now it's you with the apples and the oranges. 7 We are talking about manufacture behavior, not 8 trucking company behaviors. 9 And what I'm asking you is, what you just said is that manufacturers selling into 10 11 California are restricting the ICE vehicle offerings in California. That's what you just 12 13 said, right? 14 I don't believe the manufacturers -- and, again, I represent the 15 Illinois Trucking Association. 16 17 0. Which is why I'm --18 So --Α. 19 That's why I'm curious about 0. where you are getting your evidence about 20 2.1 California. 22 My evidence from California is we do have -- we have an affiliate in California, 2.3

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

California Trucking Association, as we do in

24

Page 318 1 Oregon and Washington as well. 2 Okay. Let's go back to the September CARB memo, number -- Exhibit 17. 3 4 Did this memo address this very topic, 5 Mr. Hart, about manufacturers imposing -limiting the availability of ICE vehicles? 6 7 MR. ANAND RAO: Can you please 8 identify the memo by exhibit number so the 9 record will be easier to read? 10 MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK: It's 11 Exhibit 18. Yes, sir. 12 HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Oh, I 13 thought you said --14 MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK: 17. I'm 15 sorry, it is 17. Two CARB memos. I'm sorry. 16 A. What is your question? 17 BY MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK: 18 Q. My question is, did that memo 19 address this question about whether manufacturers were restricting the sale of ICE 20 21 vehicles in California? 22 I'll help you, Mr. Hart. Let's go to page 4, the top. Do you see the heading that 23 24 says, "What is the impact of the Advanced

Page 319 1 Clean Trucks regulation"? 2 Α. Yes. Ο. And do you see the first sentence 3 that reads the OEMs -- and I should stop. 4 5 "OEM," do you understand that to mean engine manufacturers? 6 7 Manufacturers. 8 0. Right. So the first sentence 9 reads, quote, the OEMs indicated that the product availability issues for the 2024 model 10 year are not driven by the act regulation, as 11 evidenced by the excess of ZEV credits 12 13 available based on the act credit summary. 14 Do you see that? 15 I do see that. Do you agree that that addresses 16 Q. 17 the -- what you were describing a moment ago? 18 What was I describing a moment Α. 19 ago that you are referencing? 20 You asserted that manufacturers 2.1 were restricting sales in California. 22 Α. Manufacturers aren't restricting 2.3 sales in California; the Advanced Clean Truck 24 rule is restricting trucks in California.

Page 320 1 Q. And that would be a question for manufacturers, though, wouldn't it? 2 3 No, this is not a manufacturing The Advanced Clean Truck rule is a mandate. 4 5 sales mandate. Right. You just said -- okay. 6 0. 7 But so then you disagree when CARB 8 says -- you disagree with both CARB and the 9 manufacturers, who say, and I quote, the product availability issues for the 2024 model 10 11 year are not driven by the act regulation. Do you disagree with that statement? 12 13 Α. This is CARB's statement. 14 Do you disagree with it? Q. 15 I don't have enough knowledge to know to whether or not -- I run the Illinois 16 17 Trucking Association. 18 We agree. We agree on that, Mr. Q. 19 Hart. Let's move on to the next topic. MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK: And what 20 21 time -- we are at 3:30. 22 HEARING OFFICER LEONI: This I 23 believe would be a good time for a 15-minute

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

break. And then from that point, at 3:45,

24

```
Page 321
    we'll just continue with the hearing until
1
2
    5:00 PM today, at which point we will wrap.
            So 15 minutes, reconvene here at 3:45,
3
    ready to go, everyone.
4
5
                  MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK:
                                          Thank you.
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Thank
6
7
    you.
8
                  [A recess was taken.]
9
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI:
10
    right. I would like to go back on the record.
11
    It's 3:45. We are reconvening from our break.
12
            I just want to compliment everyone for
13
    their professionalism so far, and, you know,
14
    remind us all that this is a regular --
    regulatory proceeding, it's not an
15
16
    adjudicatory proceeding.
17
            It has been a long day, and we have got
18
    another long day ahead tomorrow, so let's
19
    please continue to treat each other with
    respect and understanding, and please try to
20
21
    ask and answer questions as quickly and
22
    specifically as possible, and hopefully we can
23
    get out of here right at 5:00 today.
            So with that, Mr. Weinstock, would you
24
```

```
Page 322
1
    like to continue?
2
           Mr. Hart, are you ready?
3
                  MR. HART: I'm ready.
                                         Thank you.
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI:
4
                                         Okay.
5
                  MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK:
                                          Thank you,
    Hearing Officer Leoni.
6
    BY MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK:
7
8
                 Mr. Hart, we're moving on to some
9
    topics where I'm really just hoping, again, to
    get on the same page about what's in the
10
11
    documents you cited. I'm not asking you to
    vouch for something somebody else did. I'm
12
13
    really just asking you, when you gave us the
    document, is that -- is this how you
14
15
    understood it?
           So -- and I understand before the
16
17
    break, we got tangled up a little bit there,
18
    but I really just want to be clear that's all
19
    I'm trying to do.
           And I'll start with a new document.
20
2.1
    This is the document that I'll move into
22
    evidence, which will be Exhibit 20, "Renewable
23
    Diesel: A Catalyst for Decarbonization, by
24
    the American Transportation Research
```

```
Page 323
1
    Institute.
 2
           You are familiar with this document?
 3
                  I am familiar with that, yes.
 4
           0.
                  Yeah. We'll get you a copy. A
 5
    copy is on its way. Up to you.
           A. You want to add this as an
6
    exhibit number?
7
8
           Q.
                  It's 20. Yes.
9
           Α.
                  20. Okay. Thanks.
10
                  Yes, this is Exhibit 20.
           0.
11
           Α.
                  Thanks.
                 You cited this document --
12
           Q.
13
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Oh,
14
    excuse me. I'm sorry. Are there any
    objections to entering this document as
15
16
    Exhibit 20 into the record?
17
           Hearing none, my apologies, please
18
    continue.
19
                  MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK: I'm sorry.
20
    I'm sorry.
2.1
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: That's
22
    all right. Thank you.
2.3
                 [Document marked as Exhibit No. 20
24
                 for identification.]
```

```
Page 324
1
    BY MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK:
           O. You cited this --
2
                 And before you do that, where is
3
    it that you --
4
5
           Q. You took the words out of my
    mouth, Mr. Hart. On pages 2 and 3 of your
6
7
    testimony, you cited this document?
8
           Is that what you were looking for,
9
    where it comes from?
10
                  (Nodding "yes.")
           Α.
11
           0.
                  Yeah.
           And you cited it for your testimony
12
13
    that studies from the American Transportation
14
    Research Institute show that meeting the same
15
    freight demands with electric trucks could
    require as much as 34.3 percent more vehicles
16
17
    on the road.
18
           Do you see that?
19
                  Where are you referring to?
           Α.
                  That's just reading your
20
           0.
21
    testimony, page --
22
           Α.
                And where at?
2.3
                 Bottom of page 2, I believe.
    think it maybe carries over.
24
```

Page 325 1 Α. Okay. And this was the study you were 2 Ο. referring to there, is that right? 3 I don't believe so. I think 4 5 this -- you have got the "renewable diesel." I think -- let me look at my footnotes. 6 7 We had trouble finding it, too, 8 and maybe I'll suggest where we ended up 9 finding it in that report. Or you want to --10 I may have it. So --Α. 11 O. Oh, okay. 12 Just so we can all be on the same Α. 13 page. 14 Yeah, absolutely. Q. Are you referencing where I got 15 the 34 percent more trucks? 16 17 Q. Yeah, the 34.3 percent. 18 That is a two-page document from Α. 19 the American Transportation Research Institute. 20 21 I'm sorry. Yes. I'm sorry. 0. 22 Yeah, which was included -- it Α. 23 should be in the original submission. 24 Q. Yes. Yes. I am sorry about

```
Page 326
1
    that, Mr. Hart.
           So that -- what we were really talking
2
3
    about -- thank you for correcting me.
           What we were talking about is the
5
    attachment to your pre-filed answers, is that
    right?
6
7
               Yeah, this would be attachment --
    it's in the one -- it's in the attachments to
8
9
    my original.
10
           Q. And do you know -- oh, here we
11
    qo. Thank you.
           A. Not that one. You are on the
12
13
    fast facts. This is -- it says, "Is
14
    California ready for an electric vehicle
15
    future?"
16
                 Oh, it was attached to your
           0.
17
    original testimony. Thank you.
18
                 Yeah, this is one of the exhibits
           Α.
19
    from our -- complete from the original.
           Q. And do you know how they
20
2.1
    calculated that number?
22
           A. How -- the American
    Transportation Research Institute?
23
24
           Q.
                 Yeah.
```

Page 327 No, I was not part of the study. 1 Α. I don't know how they did that. 2 3 Okay. Is it --Q. Α. But I can tell you it's probably 4 5 because of reduced payload. 6 Q. Right. 7 Because when you put batteries on 8 a truck, you lose the ability to carry up to 9 80,000 pounds, which is the standard limit. So in other words, it takes more trucks to 10 11 transport the same amount of goods. 12 Q. Right. So you take a number of trucks, and then you are looking to replace 13 14 all of those trucks, all of those diesel 15 trucks, it would -- it could as much as 34.3 percent more trucks? 16 17 Α. That is -- according to their 18 study, yes. 19 Right. But you and I -- that's 0. how you understand it? 20 21 Α. Correct. 22 Q. Yeah. Great. 23 My point is just that that 34.3 percent number is the increase for replacing the 24

Page 328 entire set of trucks you are looking at? 1 That is for every thousand 2 trucks, an additional 343 would be required to 3 deliver the same amount of weight due to 4 5 battery weight. O. Right. So replacing 100 percent 6 7 of those 1,000 trucks would be 1,343 trucks? 8 According to the way that I'm 9 reading this, yes. 10 Me too. Ο. 11 Α. Yeah. 12 That's really -- that's really Q. 13 all I wanted to ask. Oh, one quick question. You have got a 14 15 board of directors at ITA? 16 I do have a board of directors at 17 the Illinois Trucking Association. 18 You would agree with me that a 0. 19 board of directors is important to setting the direction of an organization? 20 21 It is to our organization. Α. Right. Okay. Great. Let's 22 Q. go -- I'm going to try to move as quickly as I 23 24 can.

```
Page 329
1
            Let's talk about charging
    infrastructure for a minute.
2
3
            Α.
                  Okay.
4
            Ο.
                  I just want to understand some of
5
    your testimony there.
            You -- in your response on page 2 of
6
7
    your testimony, I'll start there, you say,
8
    quote, truck charging infrastructure
9
    Illinois -- in Illinois does not exist beyond
10
    a handful of private companies?
11
                  To my knowledge, correct.
            Α.
                  And the handful of private
12
            Q.
13
    companies, to your knowledge, are those
14
    companies that have -- well, do those
    companies have their own charging
15
    infrastructure for their own fleets?
16
                                             Ιs
17
    that --
18
                  That is my understanding.
            Α.
19
            O.
                  Right. So they have private
    chargers?
20
2.1
                  Correct.
            Α.
22
                  Right. And --
            Q.
2.3
                  I can't confirm our truck stop --
            Α.
    I sort of just did a very informal survey of
24
```

Page 330 1 They could not identify a single public 2 truck charging --3 Q. Right. 4 -- charger anywhere in the 5 state. Actually, they couldn't identify one anywhere in the country. 6 7 Q. Yeah, and that's --8 public/private was exactly what I was trying 9 to tease out. Thank you. 10 Α. Correct. Yep. 11 When we asked for support for 0. your testimony that there were no public 12 13 chargers, you cited to a CALSTART map of 14 existing charging stations in your pre-filed 15 answers? 16 Which question and answer are you 17 referring to? 18 Q. I believe it was question 13, 19 answer eight. Or excuse me, question 13 on page 8 of the document. 20 21 Α. Okay. 22 Q. 13(a). 2.3 Α. Okay. 24 Q. And you're citing -- that's where

Page 331 1 you cite the CALSTART infrastructure map? 2 Α. Yes. Q. And that map is existing stations 3 that are open for business, right? 4 5 I would have to go back and look. But yeah, to my knowledge. But that's --6 those are lower grade. I'm not aware of any 7 8 Class 8 truck chargers. 9 Ο. That -- that's a --They may be lower -- could be 10 11 lower class. 12 Q. Sorry, I'm going to let you finish. That's a fair -- that's a fair 13 14 answer. 15 And my question was really just, whatever they are, those are existing charging 16 17 stations. I mean, you say it does not exist, 18 so I'm just asking, were you looking at the 19 existing charging stations on that map? When I'm saying it doesn't exist, 20 21 that's my asking the truck stop --Right. 22 Q. 23 -- national truck stop operators, are you aware of any? The one we 24

Page 332 1 are aware of, which is under construction, is 2 TA and BP are currently building one in Los Angeles, but it is not open yet. 3 Sure. Your Illinois truckers 4 Ο. 5 told you about the one in LA? 6 Α. Yes. 7 Oh. So they are driving -- they 8 are charging -- they are looking for fuel in 9 That's how they know, because they saw it 10 going up? 11 No, they know because it's a national article that was published. 12 13 Okay. And so the CALSTART map, Ο. 14 your conversations with folks -- none of that 15 has addressed whether, other than the one charging station in LA, whether there are 16 17 other charging stations being built right now, 18 right? 19 Α. There might be; I am not aware of 20 any. 21 Right. That's --Q. 22 And I've been very clear -- to my Α. 23 knowledge. 24 Q. Yep. That's exactly what I'm

Page 333 1 trying to get specific with. 2 Α. Yep. 3 0. And certainly then no charging stations that are just being planned, not even 4 5 shovel in the ground, right? Not to my knowledge. 6 Α. 7 Ο. Right. And nothing in your 8 sources discusses federal, state, or utility, 9 financial, and technical support for planning 10 or building charging stations, right? 11 Not to my knowledge. Α. 12 Q. Right. And are you aware that 13 Illinois was recently awarded \$100 million to 14 build more than 300 public electric truck 15 charging ports in Illinois? 16 I am aware of that. Α. 17 O. Okay. You --18 And I'm aware that that is Α. 19 ComEd's \$53 million, which we would estimate would be enough to install 121 Class 8 truck 20 21 chargers. 22 Q. Great. 23 "We would estimate"? Who estimated that? 24

Page 334 1 My simple math estimated that. took -- I took the \$53 million, divided it by 2 the \$115,000 it costs to install an electric 3 4 truck charger, and came up with that number. Yeah. 5 Q. Great. You mentioned on page 2 of your 6 7 testimony that fueling an electric truck 8 requires higher amounts of energy than 9 charging a car, and we asked if you had any 10 additional sources related to that in 11 pre-filed question 13(b), and you cited a Clean Freight Coalition study. 12 13 Is that -- Do you remember that? 14 Α. 13(b)? 15 Q. Yes. 16 Α. Yes. MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK: And I'm 17 18 going to -- I think we are up to Exhibit 21 19 now? 20 HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Yes. 2.1 MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK: Which 22 would be, "Forecasting a realistic electricity 23 infrastructure build-out, " by Clean Freight Coalition. 24

```
Page 335
1
            So that -- we are going to introduce
2
    that into evidence and going to pass it out
3
    now.
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Are there
5
    any objections to entering this Exhibit 21
    into the record?
6
7
           Hearing none, let's go ahead.
                  MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK: Great.
8
9
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: And this
    article is admitted as Exhibit 21.
10
11
                  MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK: Thank you.
12
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Yes, sir.
13
                 [Document marked as Exhibit No. 21
14
                 for identification.]
15
    BY MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK:
16
           Q. Just a couple -- again, Mr. Hart,
17
    just a couple questions to make sure we are
18
    reading the same report.
19
           You would agree that this study doesn't
    look at Illinois infrastructure, does it?
20
2.1
                  What do you mean, look at
22
    Illinois infrastructure?
2.3
                  Well, I guess this study is
           Ο.
    focused on electricity infrastructure to build
24
```

Page 336 out for medium and heavy-duty battery electric 1 vehicles, right? 2 3 Α. Right. This is a study that was trying to paint of picture of what it would 4 5 truly cost in order to install the fueling system, if you will, that does not exist. 6 7 And by the way, this cost does not 8 include the cost of any vehicle. 9 0. Sure. 10 This is merely just the 11 electrical infrastructure cost. 12 Q. Got you. And do you know from --13 in looking at the grid impacts as part of 14 that -- is that part of this study? 15 Α. Yes. 16 And in looking at those grid Q. 17 impacts, are you aware of what geographic 18 areas the study considered? I'm not aware of that. 19 Α. Okay. I'm going to direct you to 20 0. 21 page 14. 22 And -- well, do you -- but you believe 2.3 this is a document that's relevant for 24 thinking about infrastructure in Illinois?

Page 337 1 I think this is -- I think this is a starter document just for us to begin to 2 even try to grasp our brains around what would 3 it cost, what would it look like, if we truly 4 5 wanted to electrify the commercial vehicle industry. 6 7 Q. Yeah. That's a big question. 8 Yeah. 9 So if we wanted to electrify the vehicle industry nationwide? 10 11 Α. Correct. 12 Q. And I'll just point you to the 13 page 14, the -- do you see the heading 14 "distribution grid investment"? 15 Α. I do. And if you -- you see the 16 Ο. 17 sentence sort of in the middle of the 18 paragraph that starts "our study conducted"? 19 Including Texas, California, and Α. North Carolina. 20 21 Q. Yeah, that was my question. 22 So now do you know what the geographic focus was of this study, when it comes to grid 23 investments? 24

Page 338 1 For that part of their study, I believe it was California, Texas, and North 2 3 Carolina. Yep. And you haven't cited any 4 5 documents that specifically look at the charging infrastructure needs in Illinois, 6 7 though, have you? 8 Α. For commercial vehicles? 9 Q. Right. 10 We -- no, but we do know that Α. 11 the -- give me a second to find my notes. Well, I just have another quick 12 Q. 13 question about this report. 14 Sure. Go ahead. Α. So did this report -- was this 15 Ο. report looking at vehicle electrification, 16 17 consistent with the ACT sales requirements? 18 I'm not aware. I'm not -- I Α. 19 didn't do the methodology of the study. 20 Understood. So would you be 0. 21 surprised to know that this study was looking 22 at what it would look like to reach 100 23 percent electrification by 2040? If that's what their -- their 24 Α.

```
Page 339
1
    basis was. That's their study; it's not my
2
    study.
3
               Yeah. Exactly. That's all.
           Q.
4
           I -- oh, I guess one other question
5
    about the study.
                 HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Excuse
6
7
    me, Mr. Weinstock.
8
                  MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK: Oh.
9
                  MS. JENNIFER VAN WIE: Yeah. Can
    we get the answer to the question of whether
10
    he looked at anything specific to Illinois?
11
12
                  MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK:
                                         Oh, sure.
13
                  MR. HART. What was the question?
14
                  MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK: I thought
15
    he did answer that.
16
                 MS. JENNIFER VAN WIE: I don't
17
    think he did.
18
                 MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK:
                                         Oh, okay.
19
                 HEARING OFFICER LEONI: You can
    ask specifically --
20
2.1
                 MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK: Or maybe,
22
    do you want to ask which part you thought
23
    wasn't answered?
24
                  MR. HART: What question did you
```

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 03/25/2025

```
Page 340
1
    have?
                  MS. JENNIFER VAN WIE: Did you
 2
    look at anything specific to Illinois?
 3
                  MR. HART: I don't believe -- oh,
 5
    I'm sorry. Yes, I was going to look that up.
                  MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK: I'm sorry.
6
7
    I'm sorry. I thought he --
8
                  MR. HART: Yes, and I have got
    that in my notes. I'm sorry.
9
                  MS. JENNIFER VAN WIE:
10
                                          That's
11
    okay.
12
    BY MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK:
13
            Q. And the question was to charging
14
    infrastructure.
15
                  So this study projects a cost of
    $1 trillion nationally.
16
17
            Q.
                  Right.
18
                  In that, it would take Illinois
            Α.
19
    $36 billion.
                  According to this study?
20
            0.
21
                  According to this study.
            Α.
22
                  Which did not use any Illinois
            Q.
    data, as you just agreed?
23
24
            Α.
                  No, that's just a simple
```

Page 341 1 extrapolation of -- because we were trying to figure out, again, this is all new, it doesn't 2 exist, so we are trying to come up with 3 numbers. 5 Q. Right. Yeah. And the number we came up with --6 Α. 7 and again, this does not include the purchase 8 of a single electric truck. 9 Q. We are talking just 10 infrastructure. 11 This is just the infrastructure 12 necessary to create a grid system that we can 13 push that much electricity out to the 14 commercial motor vehicles, would be \$1 15 trillion nationwide, and in Illinois would be \$36 billion. 16 17 0. Right. But the --18 MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK: Oh, did 19 you have a question? I'm sorry. 20 MS. JENNIFER VAN WIE: But that's 21 assuming 100 percent by 2040? 22 MR. HART: According to this, 23 yes. 24 MS. JENNIFER VAN WIE:

Electronic Filing: Received, Clerk's Office 03/25/2025

```
Page 342
1
    According -- okay.
    BY MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK:
2
3
           Q. Well, this is the report you are
    citing, right?
4
5
           A. Correct.
6
           Q.
                 Right.
7
                 MS. JENNIFER VAN WIE: Okay.
8
    BY MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK:
9
           O. And that's based on data from
    California, North Carolina, and the third
10
11
    state.
           A. I believe that's just the
12
13
    electric rates, I think, in that
14
    cross-section, if I remember right.
15
                 It's the only place I could find
    in the whole report --
16
17
           Α.
                 Yeah. Yeah.
18
           Q. -- where they described where
19
    they were drawing their geographic data.
20
           Α.
                 Right. Yep.
21
           Q.
                  But that's fine.
22
                 MR. HART: Does that answer your
23
    question?
24
                 MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK: Yeah, I'm
```

```
Page 343
1
    sorry.
2
                 MS. JENNIFER VAN WIE:
                                         Yes.
3
    Thank you.
                 MR. HART: Okay. Thanks. Yep.
5
                 MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK: Thank you.
    BY MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK:
6
7
                 I think -- ah. Well, and you
8
    mentioned that one trillion dollar number.
9
           That's the cost that would be borne by
    the commercial vehicle industry and the
10
11
    utilities, right?
           A. I -- I don't know who is going to
12
13
    pay for it, but that's just what this study
14
    estimates --
15
           Q. Right. Right.
                 -- it would take to increase the
16
17
    power lines, put in the switches, everything
    it would take in order for us to have access
18
19
    to do the same thing we are doing today with
    diesel-powered vehicles.
20
2.1
                 Yeah. Right. And the key there,
           0.
22
    though, is you don't know who would pay for
2.3
    it?
                 Well, at the end of the day, the
24
           Α.
```

Page 344 1 consumer is going to pay for it. 2 Right. I was just a little confused, because in your testimony you talked 3 a lot about taxpayers. 4 5 Α. Right. So I don't know if you had some 6 Ο. 7 inside information about some new government 8 program. 9 Α. No. No. 10 Ο. So that's great. Thank you. 11 On page 2 of your testimony, you said that the rules would create an uneven playing 12 13 field and drive businesses and jobs out of Illinois, which you have repeated a few times 14 15 today. 16 Uh-huh. Α. 17 We asked you for data to support 18 that in pre-filed question 15, and -- on page 10, and you answered, just you referred to 19 your overall testimony? 20 21 Correct. Yep. Α. 22 Right. But none of the sources Q. you provided in your testimony actually 23 24 projected impacts on jobs in Illinois, did

Page 345 1 they? Well, I can't predict the future. 2 3 What I can -- what I can do is report on what we have experienced in the past. 4 5 Q. Yep. And none of those sources specific -- made any specific projections 6 7 about Illinois businesses closing or moving, 8 did they? 9 Α. Any of the projections? 10 They didn't look at what 0. Yeah. 11 the impact of the ACT rule would be on businesses in Illinois, did they? 12 13 I -- I don't need projections. 14 I've been in this industry for over 20 years. 15 I talk to the men and women who own and operate trucking companies. I know their 16 17 pains, and I can tell you their pains. 18 I understand. 0. 19 And if we told them that they are Α. going to be forced to purchase a vehicle 20 2.1 that's \$435,000, \$450,000, with no place to 22 charge it, they're not going to purchase it. 2.3 I understand. Ο. 24 Α. They are going to seek other ways

Page 346 to deliver the goods that Illinoisans need. 1 2 Because your industry is on 3 wheels? 4 We are on wheels. 5 Q. I got that. I think maybe we can -- I'm actually 6 hoping that we can maybe do -- I have just a 7 8 couple topics left, and I hoping that these 9 will be the easy ones, but we'll see. 10 You -- let's rewind to the beginning of 11 your testimony, where on page 1, you state that the trucking industry is proud of our 12 record of reducing emissions of goal achieved 13 14 without mandates. 15 Without state-specific man -- I think it says mandates like this, referencing 16 17 state-specific mandates. 18 Right. That's a great -- thank Q. That's helpful. 19 But you agree that those gains are 20 21 entirely after there were mandates on new 22 emission -- or emissions from new vehicles, 23 right? There is a difference between 24 Α.

Page 347 1 federal mandates and state mandates. Understood. I understand that 2 Ο. difference. I'm just asking you --3 4 Α. This proposal before --5 Q. This -- yes. -- this Pollution Control Board 6 Α. 7 is a state-specific mandate. And that's --8 that is where our opposition is, is in a 9 state-specific mandate that creates the unlevel playing field with surrounding states, 10 11 and creates an incentive for out-of-state companies and makes it more difficult for a 12 13 company who chooses to stay here to stay in 14 business. 15 I understand, Mr. Hart. I think 16 this will go a lot faster if you don't repeat 17 that answer again, and just try to stay with 18 me on this. I understand that -- I'm not 19 fighting you on any of that. I'm not trying 20 to get you to recant --21 Well, I'm not fighting you on 22 anything either. 2.3 I'm not trying to get you to 24 recant any of your testimony.

Page 348 1 I'm just asking you that when you talk about the progress in emissions, that progress 2 has been after there have been federal 3 standards on emissions from new engines? 4 5 I agree there have been federal Α. standards. 6 7 [Interruption by the reporter.] 8 BY MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK: 9 And in fact, all of the environmental progress you talk about in 10 this -- in your testimony happened after those 11 standards were in place at the federal level? 12 13 But they're not entirely due to Α. 14 those standards. 15 Ο. Absolutely. There could be a host of other 16 17 things. But yes, I agree that there are federal standards. 18 19 And you would agree that every 0. time in your testimony that you assert that 20 21 progress has been made, you have just cited to 22 the standards themselves? 2.3 Α. No. 24 Q. What else did you cite to?

Page 349 1 Well, we have used technology to 2 do a much better job of moving freight. 3 Sure. Q. There is a lot of other things 4 5 that go into improving our efficiency beyond -- beyond government mandates. 6 7 Yeah. And I think on page 15 Ο. 8 of your pre-filed answers in response to 9 question 21(e), you cite to U.S. EPA's emission standards to explain the 98 percent 10 11 reduction in NOx emissions. I just want to make sure I understand how you view those 12 13 standards as operating. 14 U.S. EPA sets federal emission 15 standards. Those apply to new vehicles, 16 right? 17 Α. Yes. 18 And then fleets turn over, and so 19 when it's time to buy a new truck, the fleet goes out onto the market, and they have to buy 20 21 a truck that meets those standards, right? 22 The federal standards, yes. Α. 23 Right. And those up-to-date 0.

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com 888-391-3376

standards have over time required new engines

24

Page 350 1 to emit less and less pollution than the older 2 ones, right? 3 Α. The federal standards, yes. 4 Yep. That's right. O. 5 I'm going to just skip that point. I think the next thing I want to ask 6 7 about -- I'm just trying to save us some time, 8 Mr. Hart. Sorry. This is another topic where 9 I'm hoping one short question can save us from 10 a lot of longer ones. 11 You say nothing in your testimony or your pre-filed answers about the environmental 12 13 and public health benefits laid out in the 14 rule proposal here, do you? 15 I don't believe we addressed that, because the rule is a state-specific 16 17 mandate, and we do not believe it will achieve 18 what the proponents are believing that it will 19 achieve, because you have not taken into consideration the fact that we are surrounded 20 21 by other states --22 Q. Right. 2.3 -- and trucking companies will simply license their truck in other states. 24

Page 351 1 We will continue to have out-of-state trucking 2 companies operating here every day. 3 Right. And so you -- are you Ο. aware that the ERM study cited with the 4 5 proposal only examined the impacts of on-road vehicles that would be registered in Illinois? 6 7 I'm aware that's a study that's 8 used its own data to draw its own conclusions. 9 Well, do you know what data it Q. used? 10 11 No, it's not my study. Α. Right. That's all. You said it 12 Q. 13 used its own data. 14 Α. Correct. 15 We'll let the study speak for 0. itself on the data. 16 17 Α. Right. But that study -- again, 18 that study doesn't take in consideration a 19 state like Illinois, which is surrounded by others states, where you can certainly just 20 21 circumvent the regulation altogether by 22 licensing your truck in another state or 23 moving your trucking company to another state. Right. 24 Q. That's your reading of

Page 352 1 the study, that it doesn't take that into 2 account? 3 That's my professional opinion, 20 years being in the trucking industry, that 4 5 we'll respond to -- that's how we will respond to state-specific mandates. 6 7 Right. But you --Ο. 8 And we saw that with the 9 commercial distribution fee. 10 Right. But you didn't actually Ο. 11 offer any specific criticism of the ERM study's projection of on-road vehicles 12 13 registered in Illinois? 14 I did not offer any criticisms of 15 the ERM study. 16 Q. Right. Right. That's all. 17 A couple just -- I think I'm almost 18 done here. That was helpful. That got us --19 it was a couple questions, but it got us there faster. Just a couple questions about how the 20 21 proposal itself would work. 22 On page 2 of your testimony, you asserted that the proposed rule would have no 23 24 preparation period.

Page 353 1 Do you remember saying that? 2 On page 2? Α. Of your testimony, yeah. 3 Q. Where are you referencing? Α. 5 Q. Ooh. The quote was "no preparation period." I would have to go --6 7 ah. So do you see "unrealistic compliance 8 9 timeline" in the middle of that page? 10 Yeah. Α. 11 Yeah. Then at the end of the Ο. 12 first sentence there, it says "no preparation 13 period." 14 Do you see that? 15 Α. Correct. 16 0. Did that take into account the 17 fact that there is a required two-year lead 18 time before the rules go into effect? 19 I'll specify that the term "no" is not referring to zero. 20 2.1 0. Okay. 22 It's referring to virtually no -because Illinois, unlike California, we have 23 not had time to build a credit system. We 24

```
Page 354
1
    have not had time to build up and prepare.
2
    have not had time to go out and actually try
    to install electric car -- or electric vehicle
    chargers. We haven't had time to go to a
4
    manufacturer and say, "This is coming. Can
5
6
    we -- can you get us vehicles that would
7
    comply?"
8
           So in that regard, no, we basically
9
    have essentially no preparation.
10
                  Insufficient, in your opinion?
           Q.
11
           Α.
                 Correct.
12
                 Right. That's fair. And I'm
           Q.
13
    glad you mentioned the credit system.
14
           You said that Illi -- in your pre-filed
15
    answers, I think it's 11(b) on page 6 or 7 of
    those answers, you said, "Illinois has
16
17
    generated very few early compliance credits."
18
           Do you see that?
19
                 Which number?
           Α.
20
           Q.
                  11(b), as in boy.
21
           Α.
                  Okay.
22
                 You say, "Illinois has generated
           Q.
    very few early compliance credits."
23
24
           Are you there?
```

Page 355 1 Α. Correct. Yep. Does Illinois -- does the State 2 Ο. of Illinois generate credits under the ACT? 3 4 Α. I'm not entirely sure how the 5 credit system works under the ACT. That's -- that's -- that's 6 Ο. 7 helpful. 8 Is it your -- are you aware that 9 the comp -- the period for early compliance credits under the proposed rules is pinned to 10 11 the first model year that they will go into effect in Illinois? 12 13 I think I addressed that in here. 14 Yeah, in the previous question, 11(a), 15 you asked what you just did. 16 And my response was it depends on when 17 the adoption occurs. Section 177 of the Clean 18 Air Act requires states to adopt California's 19 standards at least two years before 20 commencement. So --2.1 Right. My question is Ο. 22 particularly about the early credit comp --2.3 Correct. Α. -- early compliance credit 24 Q.

Page 356 1 piece. 2 Right. Α. That when those credits are 3 Ο. earned depends on when the -- which model year 4 5 is first for that state. Is that how you understanding the rules 6 7 to work? 8 That's how I understand that, but 9 California has had the opportunity --10 Right. Right. 0. A. -- whereas we will not have the 11 opportunity. We have a much faster ramp-up. 12 13 No -- right. But my point is in 0. 14 Illinois, if the model rules go into effect in 15 2029, model year 2029 --16 Α. Right. 17 -- then the early compliance 18 credit generation period in Illinois would be 19 model years 2027 and 2028, not model years 2022 or 2023, as it is in California? 20 2.1 I disagree with that, because 22 it's our understanding that we have -- because of the way this is structured, we are hooking 23 24 our, hitching our -- onto California's, and we

Page 357 have to -- we would immediately have to jump 1 into their schedule. 2 3 Ο. That's the -- that's why you are talking about jumping on the moving train? 4 5 Α. That is why I'm talking about jumping on the moving train. 6 7 Yeah. And so that's what I'm 8 confused about, though, because the actual 9 proposed rules here account for the fact that 10 the first model year in Illinois will be 11 later, and the compliance credit generation 12 period in Illinois has not yet begun. 13 Do you agree with that? 14 I agree we don't have a regulation here in Illinois at this point. 15 16 If the proposed rules were -- I'm Ο. just trying to understand your understanding 17 of the rules? 18 19 A. Yeah. It's my understanding that we won't have a sufficient ramp-up. But even 20 21 the credit system aside, we don't have an 22 electric --2.3 O. Under --24 Α. -- that's even designed to be --

Page 358 start putting in these chargers, which 1 2 California, where they have been installing these chargers, it's still taking three to nine years. 4 5 Q. Understood. I'm really just trying to understand how you understand the 6 7 proposal. 8 Yeah. Right. I mean, if you 9 want us to buy electric trucks that we just have to sit because we can't fuel them, you 10 11 know, that's what --That's fine, Mr. Hart. 12 Q. 13 I just have one other question just to make sure we all are looking at the same 14 15 proposed rules here. 16 Α. Okay. 17 Because that's really -- when I read that answer, I wanted to make sure we 18 19 were reading the same rules. 20 Α. Sure. 21 There's another spot where that 0. 22 happened. On page 8 of your pre-filed 23 answers -- well, it's right where we just 24 were, answer -- question 14(a).

Page 359 1 We asked if you were aware that the 2 proposed rules would never require 100 percent heavy-duty new vehicle sales be electric in 3 Illinois, and you answered that is not 4 5 correct. Do you see that answer? 6 7 I do see that. 8 Ο. And then on the next page, you 9 cited a California rule. 10 Do you see that? 11 Α. Correct. 12 And do you see in that rule you 0. 13 cited where it reads for 2036 and subsequent 14 model years? 15 Α. Correct. 16 And are you aware that the rule Q. 17 proponents' proposal stops in 2035? 18 I'm not aware of that, but we are Α. 19 basing this off of California, which is what we are adopting. 20 2.1 By model year. 0. 22 Are you aware that under the federal 23 Clean Air Act, states like Illinois are 24 allowed to adopt only certain model years from

```
Page 360
1
    California's rules?
                  Correct, but it's our
2
    understanding that this is -- because this is
3
    automatically adopting whatever California --
4
5
    and again, if California changes that, then we
    would be automatically changing that, if they
6
7
    change in the future.
8
            Q.
                  By model year?
9
                  I -- I presume it's by whatever
10
    they change it to.
11
                  If you were shown -- let me stop
            0.
12
    a moment.
13
            Would it change your position if the
14
    rules could be adopted by model year?
15
            Α.
                  No.
16
            Q.
                  Okay.
17
            Α.
                  No, our position --
18
                  No, that's -- it's just a
            Q.
19
    yes-or-no --
                  -- is this is bad for Illinois.
20
            Α.
2.1
                  I understand. "This" being 2036
            Ο.
22
    requirements? That's what we are talking
23
    about?
24
            Α.
                  A state -- a state-specific
```

Page 361 1 mandate. 2 Q. What is the state-specific mandate proposed for 2035? You don't know? 3 4 At this point it's our 5 understanding this mirrors California, and as I said in here, for 2036 and subsequent years, 6 100 percent would have to be ZEV requirement. 7 8 Understood that that's the 9 basis -- thank you. Sorry. Please strike 10 that. HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Strike 11 12 what? 13 MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK: My 14 muttering to myself. Sorry. 15 HEARING OFFICER HORTON: Okay. BY MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK: 16 17 Q. Okay. You would agree with me --18 well, I think we already got that. We're good 19 there. 20 Just a couple of last questions, Mr. 21 Hart, and I don't -- you have put a lot on 22 your 20 years of experience, and there is a lot there, and so I don't mean to question 23 24 that. I just want to carve out what that

```
Page 362
1
    experience is in and what it's not in.
            You don't -- well, do you have any
2
    prior experience doing economic modeling?
3
                  I'm not an economic modelist.
5
            Q.
                  Yep. Do you have experience
    managing an engine manufacture -- an engine
6
7
    manufacturer?
8
                  I do not manage an engine
9
    manufacturer.
10
                And never have?
           0.
11
           Α.
                  No.
                  Do you have experience in
12
            Q.
13
    electricity grid planning?
14
                  I am not an electricity grid
15
    planner.
16
                  Do you have experience in
            Q.
17
    electricity forecasting?
18
                  I'm a trucking industry advocate.
19
    I have not done that.
                Understood.
20
            Ο.
2.1
           Do you have any experience in public
22
    health?
2.3
                  I have experience in public
    health as it relates to the trucking industry.
24
```

Page 363 1 Q. Do you have any experience in climate science or other environmental 2 science? 3 I -- my experience in climate 5 science is what is related to the trucking industry. 6 7 0. Sure. 8 And advocating for the men and 9 women who work in the trucking industry. 10 Right. On -- going back to the 0. 11 beginning of our conversation, their commercial interests and safety interests? 12 13 Α. Correct. 14 Right. We asked pre-filed question 22, on page 16, if ITA had done any 15 16 studies to assess levels of respiratory health 17 issues among its membership, and you answered, 18 not our area of expertise. 19 Do you remember that? 20 Α. Yes. 21 I read that as you saying that 0. 22 health impacts, respiratory health issues at 23 all, are not your area of expertise. Is that 24 fair?

		Page 364
1	Α.	No, that's not our area of
2	expertise.	
3	Q.	Okay. Is it the Board's area of
4	expertise?	
5	Α.	Whose board?
6	Q.	The Illinois Pollution Control
7	Board.	
8	Α.	The Pollution Control Board?
9	Q.	Yes.
10	Α.	I the Pollution Control Board
11	has their mis	ssion that's clearly stated.
12	Q.	And that includes addressing
13	public health	n problems from air pollution,
14	doesn't it?	
15	Α.	It's whatever is spelled out for
16	the responsik	oilities of the Pollution Control
17	Board.	
18		MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK:
19	Understood.	That's all I have, Mr. Hart.
20	Thank you ver	ry much.
21	Α.	Thank you.
22		HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Okay.
23	Can we go off	the record for just a moment?
24		THE REPORTER: Off the record.

Page 365 1 [Discussion off the record.] HEARING OFFICER LEONI: All 2 right. We'll go back on the record now. 3 4 THE REPORTER: Back on. 5 HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Thank you Mr. Weinstock, and thank you, Mr. Hart. 6 7 Is there anyone here in Springfield 8 that has any questions for Mr. Hart? 9 MR. ALBERT ETTINGER: I have a 10 couple. 11 HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Sure. Go 12 ahead, please, and introduce myself. 13 EXAMINATION 14 BY MR. ALBERT ETTINGER: 15 I'm Albert Ettinger. I'm one of the counsel for Sierra Club. I'm mainly here 16 17 just because I'm so pretty, but you said a few 18 things earlier in your testimony which piqued 19 my interest, and I wanted to ask about them. Long ago and far away, when you gave 20 21 your initial introduction, you talked about 22 how you wanted to work in the legislature on 23 this, and how Illinois should be a leader. 24 What specifically did you have in mind

Page 366

that the Illinois Trucking Association might support that would make Illinois a leader in this area?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

A. Sure. I think incentives can be a great tool. Again, it's consistent with our governor, who has preferred the carrot over the stick approach.

I have talked to some of the environmental groups, I have talked to your president about possible ways that we can do some pilot programs.

And so we are open to any sort of incentives that are out there that allow us to continue to serve the public by delivering -- safely delivering the freight they needed, but doing it on a realistic time frame with realistic equipment.

- Q. And what do you mean specifically by incentives?
- A. Incentives could range from anything, to tax incentives, or encouragement, or grants, rebates. There is a whole host of incentives that could be used.
 - Q. Also I assume you sat here

Page 367 1 through the testimony of many people who are concerned about air pollution specifically --2 I did, yeah. 3 Α. -- from deliveries to 0. 4 5 warehouses? Uh-huh. 6 Α. 7 What would the Illinois Trucking 8 Association propose to do about that problem? 9 Well, we have reduced emissions by 98 percent over the last three decades. 10 11 So -- and we are trying our best to get the newer diesel trucks that are available, 12 13 because they have reduced emissions by 98 14 percent, so the more of those that we get on 15 the road, the better. 16 We support things like the federal 17 excise tax repeal, which would save -- you 18 know, when somebody buys one of these trucks 19 that has this new technology, they have to pay a 10 percent federal excise tax. It's been 20 21 around since World War I, over 100 years. We 22 think it makes sense to repeal that federal 23 excise tax and make it easier for these cleaner, better vehicles to get on the road. 24

Page 368
Q. Is there anything specifically

2 that we should do in Illinois that we --

2.3

- A. We could file a resolution --
- Q. I'm sorry, now you are cutting into my question.
 - A. Yeah. Oh, I'm sorry. Sorry.
- Q. Is there anything specifically in Illinois that we could do to promote reducing pollution from trucks delivering to those warehouses?
- A. Yeah, the Illinois legislature could propose a resolution that would encourage the adoption of the federal excise tax repeal. That's a state thing that we can do that encourages Congress to do that so we can get these vehicles. That's one thing.

The Illinois Trucking Association also supports legislation -- I noted this in my comments -- of allowing an extra 2,000-pound weight variance for electric vehicles and hydrogen fuel cell vehicles, to allow as an incentive to try to get more of these vehicles on the road.

MR. ALBERT ETTINGER: Thanks.

```
Page 369
1
    That's all I had.
2
                  MR. HART:
                             Thank you.
3
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Okay.
    Thank you, Mr. Ettinger and Mr. Hart. Are
4
5
    there any additional questions here in
    Springfield for Mr. Hart, from the agency or
6
7
    the Board?
8
           Any additional questions for Mr. Hart
9
    in Chicago?
10
           No? Okay. Hearing none, then that
11
    wraps it up for Mr. Hart. Thank you, Mr.
12
    Hart.
13
                  MR. HART: Thank you.
14
                  [Matthew Hart excused.]
15
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Next, we
16
    have the testimony of Matt Wells for the
17
    Midwest Truckers Association. And we have
18
    about 37 minutes left today.
19
           Actually, can I go off the record for a
20
    moment?
21
                  THE REPORTER: Off the record.
22
                  [Discussion off the record.]
2.3
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: We'll go
    back on the record and invite Mr. Wells up.
24
```

```
Page 370
    And once he is up, would the court reporter
1
    please swear in the witness?
 2
 3
                  [Matthew Wells sworn in
                  by the court reporter.]
 5
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI:
    Thank you very much. As mentioned much
6
7
    earlier, the pre-filed testimony is entered
8
    into the record as if read.
9
            Mr. Wells, would you like to enter your
    pre-filed testimony?
10
11
                  MR. WELLS: Yes.
12
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Okay.
13
    Thank you. That will be entered as Exhibit
14
    22.
15
                 [Document marked as Exhibit No. 22
16
                 for identification. 1
17
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: And Mr.
18
    Wells, at this time, do you wish to offer a
19
    brief introduction or summary to your
    testimony?
20
2.1
                  MR. WELLS: I will make it brief
    in the interest of the Pollution Control Board
22
2.3
    and everyone here today. We have had a long
24
    day.
```

2.3

Page 371

My name is Matt Wells. I serve as the vice president of Midwest Truckers
Association. We are an organization that represents over 4,200 trucking company and entities that operate trucks within the State of Illinois and 16 other states.

I will preface that those companies in 16 other states do have an interest here in Illinois, so they probably operate trucks or vehicles throughout this state at that time.

We provided our testimony in the interest of helping the Pollution Control Board fully understand the implications of the regulations they are considering today and throughout this process. We believe, as an organization, that there are implications that these regulations won't solve completely, and we find that complicating for everyone here today.

So at that interest, I will entertain any questions. I'll let my testimony stand as it was presented.

HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Thank you, Mr. Wells. We'll proceed to questions

```
Page 372
1
    now.
            And since the questions that have been
2
    pre-filed have been entered as if read, would
3
    you like to enter your pre-filed answers as if
5
    read as well, Mr. Wells?
                  MR. WELLS: Yes.
6
7
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Okay.
8
    Thank you.
9
            And I understand you filed three
    separate documents of written answers, so the
10
11
    first document will be entered as if read as
    Exhibit 23; the second document of your
12
13
    pre-filed answers will be entered as if read
14
    as Exhibit 24; and the third document will be
15
    entered as if read as Exhibit 25.
16
                 [Document marked as Exhibit No. 23
17
                 for identification. 1
                 [Document marked as Exhibit No. 24]
18
19
                 for identification. 1
20
                 [Document marked as Exhibit No. 25
2.1
                 for identification. 1
22
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: Now
23
    proceed to questions of the witness.
24
            Proponents?
```

Page 373 1 MR. NATHANIEL SHOAFF: Thank you 2 very much. 3 The witness, MATTHEW WELLS, first 4 5 having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 6 EXAMINATION 7 BY MR. NATHANIEL SHOAFF: 8 Mr. Wells, my name is Nathaniel 9 Shoaff. I'm here on behalf of Sierra Club, the Natural Resources Defense Council, and 10 11 Environmental Defense Fund, and Center for 12 Neighborhood Technology. 13 So that the Board has a clear and accurate record, when I ask you a question 14 15 that asks for a yes-or-no answer, please give just a yes-or-no answer. That will help us 16 17 move along and get out of here at the end of 18 the day. Is that fair? 19 I will answer your questions as I 20 am best able to provide accurate testimony for 21 the Board. 22 Q. Okay. Mr. Wells, in response to our question number one, we asked for a resume 23 24 or a CV, but that wasn't provided.

Page 374 You are a registered lobbyist for the 1 2 MTA, is that correct? 3 Α. That is correct. Ο. And you have been for the last 15 4 5 or so years? That is correct. 6 Α. 7 Okay. I have just one Ο. 8 preliminary question for you, which was, in 9 your responses to pre-filed questions, those 10 three sets, including those that were filed 11 two days after the hearing officer's deadline 12 for responses here, you chose not to answer 13 several questions proposed by rule proponents. 14 How come? 15 The reason I chose not to answer 16 some of those questions, because some of those 17 questions I did not have answers to, and some 18 of those questions I felt were leading me to 19 an answer that I didn't believe was accurate, 20 so I chose not to answer those questions. 2.1 Okay. We are going to go through 22 those questions that you chose not to answer, 23 but first I want to start with some of the

questions that you did answer. Let's look at

24

Page 375 1 response 1 from your responses to the Board. Now, the prompt in that question from 2 the Board was about the \$100 million 3 investment this year by Commonwealth Edison, 4 5 and it ended with the following question: "Is it participant's position that the market 6 7 forces and other rebates and incentive 8 programs would not contribute significantly to 9 the proposed rules?" 10 Now, you provided a narrative response. 11 At the end of that, you list three websites. One is the California Air Resources Board 12 13 website for news, one is the California Air 14 Resources Board general topics site, and one 15 is the California Air Resources Board 25 --2025, excuse me, 2026 budget page. 16 17 In your response, Mr. Wells, you didn't 18 indicate whether any of those websites address 19 the specific question posed to you by the Board, did you? 20 2.1 Α. No. 22 And did you point to specific 23 language in any of those websites, or 24 information in any of those websites, that

Page 376

indicate whether those websites support the assertion that you have made?

2.1

- A. Yes, I believe I pointed to an assertion that California's investing over a billion dollars every year to ensure that their regulations are successful. That has to follow -- that is rec -- that is shown in their budget proposal link that I provided to the Board.
- Q. But you don't indicate in your answer where in that general budget website it indicates what investments are being made in California this particular year, do you?
- A. Directly within the answer to the Board? Is that your question?
- Q. Yeah, I'm asking if you have indicated in your answer where in that website it actually supports that assertion. I don't see it in here. If it's there, I have missed it.
- A. I must have not put a footnote in the correct place for where that is, but it is in the budget of California, where it states how much they have invested in CARB and plan

Page 377 1 to invest in CARB. 2 All right. 0. Mr. Wells, attorneys are notoriously 3 hesitant to talk about math, but I'm going to 4 5 go there anyways. Ooh. 6 Α. 7 Let's look at question -- your 8 response to question one. This is on the 9 first page of your response to the Board. 10 To the Board? Α. 11 There is no page number Ο. indicated, but it is on the first page. 12 13 Toward the middle of the page, you 14 write, "The reality of private grant programs 15 like ComEd making a significant impact on commercial trucking operations to switch to 16 17 electric would need to be 1,000 times greater 18 than the \$53 million being offered." 19 53 -- a thousand times 53 million is, I believe, nine zeros? Is that right? 20 2.1 billion? 22 Pretty close. Α. 23 You didn't provide a citation to that, so was that answer rhetorical, or was it 24

Page 378 1 actually based on data? Were you just saying this is going to be a lot of money that's 2 3 needed? I believe it was a rhetorical 5 reply to that, because it is going to be a significantly larger amount of money than the 6 7 \$53 million being invested by ComEd at this 8 current time. 9 Ο. But there's no citation to how 10 much --11 No. Α. 12 -- you think it would cost? Q. 13 Fair. 14 [Interruption by the reporter.] 15 BY MR. NATHANIEL SHOAFF: Let's talk about the bus and 16 0. 17 truck regulation. 18 Sure. Α. 19 There were questions posed to you Ο. by the Board, questions posed to you by rule 20 21 proponents. 22 On page 4 of your testimony, or the 23 fourth page of your testimony, you wrote, 24 "California has regulations that allow it to

Page 379 1 close its borders to noncompliant vehicles 2 from entering the state." 3 Now, when asked by all of the parties, you indicated that that was from something 4 5 called the bus and truck regulations. As I understand it, the truck and bus 6 7 regulation was adopted in California in 2008. 8 Α. Uh-huh. 9 0. As of 2023, it requires all diesel-powered vehicles that operate in 10 11 California that have -- I apologize for the terminology, but this is a specific term of 12 13 art that I think you'll recognize -- the gross 14 vehicle weight rating of over 14,000 pounds. 15 Does that sound accurate so far? 16 Α. Yes. 17 So that those certain class of 18 vehicles that weigh a certain amount must have 19 a 2010 or newer engine and emission system, with a few exceptions. 20 2.1 Have I actually captured your 22 understanding of that regulation? 2.3 That is correct. Α. Okay. So it's trying to get at 24 Q.

Page 380 1 air pollution in California, by over that, whatever it is, 15-year period from 2008 to 2 2023, eliminating certain older vehicles of 3 certain types of trucks, correct? 4 5 From being able to operate in the state of California. 6 7 Yes. Ο. 8 Α. Yes. 9 Ο. Okay. 10 And you understand, I think, as you 11 indicated in response to questions, that nobody here is proposing that Illinois adopt a 12 13 similar regulation for Illinois, correct? 14 I understand that that is not 15 part of the proposed rules, but the point of me bringing that regulation up was to show 16 17 that California did not create these rules in 18 individual silos; they created these rules as 19 a series of rules that they have been enacting since 1970, and investing billions of dollars 20 2.1 in. 22 So for Illinois to take handpicked 23 sections of rules that build upon each other to create the results that California is 24

Page 381

trying to utilize or do in their area, based on their geographical differences, is the reason for that reference.

Q. Mr. Wells, it is right now 4:35. We are never going to leave if yes-or-no questions get a paragraph answer. Please, where possible, when I ask you a yes-or-no question, just give a yes-or-no answer. You have had a chance to explain all of this in your testimony in pre-filed answers.

You understand that nobody in this proceeding has proposed that Illinois adopt a regulation similar to that bus and truck regulation, correct?

A. Yes.

2.1

2.3

Q. And yet you think, it's your opinion, as you have stated in your pre-filed answers, and as you have just told us, that not having a similar regulation in Illinois would reduce the effectiveness of the Advanced Clean Trucks rule in Illinois? Is that correct?

A. Of the proposed rules here, yes, that is correct. I believe it will negate the

Page 382

amount.

2.3

- Q. Okay. So these rules in California, as of 2023, prohibit certain classes of trucks with engines that are more than 15 years old for trucks that weigh more than 14,000 pounds from operating in the state.
- My question to you is, how many trucks operating in Illinois right now have a gross vehicle weight rating of over 14,000 pounds and an engine that was made in two -- made before 2010?
- A. Please restate your question so I understand it properly.
 - Q. How many trucks operating in Illinois today have a gross vehicle weight rating over 14,000 pounds and have an engine that's made before 2010?
 - A. That is an unattainable answer, and the reason so is because trucks from any jurisdiction and Canada and Mexico can obtain within the borders of the state.
 - Q. So the answer is we don't know how many trucks would fit that description

Page 383 1 right now in Illinois? That are physically inside the 2 state right now, or are registered inside the 3 state? Because you are asking two separate 4 5 questions. I'm asking --6 O. 7 So please clarify. 8 -- just one question, which is, 0. 9 you are telling us that without this rule in Illinois, ACT would be less effective? 10 11 Α. Yes. I'm wondering how many trucks 12 Q. 13 that operate through Illinois, let's say on 14 any given day, would fit the classification of 15 trucks that are affected by this regulation. So those are gross vehicle weight ratings of 16 17 over 14,000 pounds and have an engine that was made before 2010. 18 19 Do we know how many trucks are operated in Illinois today that fit that description? 20 21 If the answer is no, it's fine. 22 Α. No. 23 Okay. One last question about 0. this. 24

Page 384

The Board asked you in question 5(b) if any case has been filed challenging the specific CARB commercial truck regulations. Please provide the case name, case, or docket number, court, when the case was filed, and the current status.

In response, you link to a CARB website that has more than 30 cases where CARB was a party. Some of those have to do with FOIA responses, some have to do with national ambient air quality standards.

My question to you is, do any of those cases actually involve the truck and bus regulation that you described?

A. So in an effort to make sure the Board knew that CARB tracks all of the cases filed against them, I referenced that page.
But on that page, if you read it, it very cleanly (sic) says challenges to CARB or U.S.
EPA's truck emission regulations, and there it lists I believe four different challenges, and those were the ones in reference to.

My assumption was, because they asked the question, that would be the cases they

```
Page 385
1
    would look at as I referred to that page where
2
    they were.
                  And I'm sorry. You said these
3
           0.
4
    were the -- the appropriate section of that
5
    website is the challenges to CARB's or U.S.
    EPA's truck emissions regulations?
6
7
                  Yeah, it's about halfway down on
8
    the page.
9
           Ο.
                  Those are the -- those are the
10
    four cases?
11
           Α.
                  Uh-huh. (Nodding head "yes.")
12
           Q.
                  Which ones challenge EPA's truck
13
    emission regulations?
14
           Α.
                  Which -- I'm sorry. Repeat the
15
    question.
16
                  Well, you listed four cases here.
           0.
17
    This website lists four cases.
18
                  The first one that I did not
            Α.
19
    mention, CARB intervening to challenge U.S.
    EPA recent waiver, is the one that references
20
2.1
    the U.S. EPA. The rest of them reference
22
    California Air Resources Board.
2.3
                  All right. Let's move on.
           Ο.
24
           Α.
                  Okay.
```

Page 386 1 Q. In response to question 2 asked 2 you by rule proponents --3 Α. Rule proponents. Okay. -- we asked you to please 4 5 provide in native format, with all formulas 6 intact, all work papers, calculations, 7 sources, and analyses that support all 8 numerical values and/or quantitative claims 9 included in your testimony, and if no such materials exist, please state as much in 10 11 response to this question. 12 My testimony used basic math, so 13 there is no response. 14 I have not asked you a question, Q. 15 sir. 16 My question to you is, there was 17 nothing written on the page next to question 18 Is it accurate to say that you provided no such work papers, calculations, or studies, in 19 response to this specific question? 20 21 Correct. Α. 22 On page 5 of your testimony, you write, "MTA considers the magnitude of 23 negative implications of enacting" -- and then 24

Page 387 you list these three rules -- "greatly 1 outweighs the benefits of following those 2 3 rules." In response to question 5(a), about 5 that statement, we asked if you evaluated 6 ERM's benefit calculations in your testimony, and you said no. 7 8 Is that correct? 9 Α. That is correct. 10 O. Then in 5(c), we asked if you or 11 if MTA had quantified the so-called negative implications of those proposed rules. 12 13 And again, you said no. 14 Is that correct? 15 Α. That is correct. Q. 16 So to recap, you think that the 17 negative implications outweigh the benefits of 18 the rules, but in making that statement, you 19 didn't consider the quantified benefits that rule proponents provided, and you didn't 20 2.1 quantify the negative implications yourself. 22 Is that correct? 2.3 Our opinion is you cannot Α. 24 quantify something that doesn't exist. We can

Page 388 1 make assumptions, but nothing is quantifiable. 2 0. Fine. 3 In response to question 5(e), we asked if MTA had ever advocated that U.S. EPA take 4 5 steps to reduce vehicle emission standards or limit increases in fuel efficiency at the 6 7 federal level. 8 Your response was that MTA has worked 9 with member companies to comply with U.S. EPA 10 regulations that affect their businesses. 11 I think that statement is laudable. It is not, however, responsive to the question. 12 13 So has MTA ever advocated that U.S. EPA 14 take steps to reduce vehicle emission 15 standards, or has MTA ever advocated for 16 limits in increases in federal fuel efficiency 17 standards? 18 While I appreciate your opinion, Α. 19 my answer will be no. Well, I haven't offered an 20 0. 2.1 opinion. 22 You did. Α. 2.3 I am just asking if MTA has ever advocated that the federal government not 24

Page 389 1 increase fuel efficiency standards. answer is no, MTA --2 3 A. Well, I'm sorry, that was not your question. 4 Q. Has MTA --5 Α. You --6 7 Q. Here's my question. 8 Has MTA advocated that U.S. EPA take 9 steps to reduce vehicle emission standards? 10 Have we asked -- I'm rephrasing 11 your question so I understand. Have we asked EPA to increase, or take 12 13 steps, to reduce vehicle emissions? Is that 14 correct? 15 0. No. 16 Has MTA advocated that U.S. EPA reduce 17 vehicle emission standards? 18 Have we advocated for them to Α. reduce the vehicle standard -- so the current 19 standards, to take them down? Have we 20 2.1 advocated for that? 22 Q. Any of those standards? The 23 current ones or the prior ones. 24 Α. We have not directly advocated

Page 390 1 for EPA to take those emission standards down. And has MTA ever advocated to 2 limit increases in fuel efficiency at the 3 federal level? 4 5 Α. No. Those -- that was the 6 0. Okay. 7 specific question that we asked you in our 8 pre-filed answers, so I appreciate the direct 9 response today, Mr. Wells. 10 Question 5(f), Mr. Wells. We asked you 11 if ERM -- sorry. We noted that ERM quantified the environmental public health and economic 12 13 benefits of the proposed rules, and asked 14 whether you dispute ERM's analysis and its conclusions, and if so, to please state with 15 particularity which aspects of that 16 17 quantification you disagree with. 18 In response, there was no response. 19 So my question to you is, you have not provided any study or any analysis that 20 21 disputes the specific findings presented by 22 ERM in the statement of reasons, have you? 2.3 Α. No.

Veritext Legal Solutions
www.veritext.com
888-391-3376

24

Page 391

asked whether you agree that there were public health benefits to reducing air pollution.

2.3

That's pretty straightforward, and you agreed that that's true, pointing to the national ambient air quality standards.

We then asked you if MTA thought policymakers should consider those improvements to public health in considering these proposed rules.

Your response was, what policymakers are you referring to?

Mr. Wells, given that the Pollution

Control Board is the only policymaking body at issue in this proceeding, my question is, does

MTA think that the Pollution Control Board should consider improvements in public health in Illinois in considering whether or not to adopt these rules?

- A. MTA believes that these policies and rules are best advocated through the legislative process and not through the rulemaking process. That is my answer.
- Q. Mr. Wells, that is a different question -- an answer to a different question.

Page 392

I'm not asking you if you think this would be better handled by the legislature. I understand that's your position. That's fair.

My question is, does MTA think that the Illinois Pollution Control Board ought to consider improvements to public health in considering whether or not to adopt the proposed rules? Yes or no?

A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. Thank you. Again, we could have saved some time if this had been answered in the direct questions.
- A. We can also save time if you just ask questions.
 - Q. They have been asked.

On the third page of your testimony, you write that the number of miles driven in Illinois by Illinois-based commercial vehicles is significantly less than carriers not based in Illinois.

In response to our question, you wrote,
"I don't believe if the Illinois Pollution

Control Board adopted the proposed regulations
that Illinois would realize a discernible drop

Page 393 1 in emissions." You didn't provide any source, 2 citation, or study in your answer, did you? 3 Α. No. 5 Mr. Wells, are you aware of the calculations in our statement of reasons which 6 7 concluded that looking only at on-road 8 vehicles registered in Illinois, the ACT rule 9 would reduce annual greenhouse gas emissions by 1.6 million metric tons by 2050, provide 10 11 \$6.5 billion in monetized benefits, prevent 12 more than 21,000 respiratory illnesses and 13 lost work days? Your response to whether you were aware 14 15 of those calculations was no response. So Mr. Wells, my question is, are you 16 17 aware of that information that was contained 18 in the statement of reasons? 19 I am aware of the proponents' 20 position. 2.1 And you haven't cited any 22 evidence or study that counter those, counter the information presented in the ERM report 23 that is in the record that forecasts these 24

Page 394 1 emit reductions and the attendant public health benefits of adopting the proposed 2 3 rules, correct? That is correct. 4 Α. 5 All right, Mr. Wells. I would Q. like to talk about the analysis that you have 6 7 done regarding in-state and out-of-state 8 companies with regard to the adoption of the 9 proposed rules. 10 Α. Okay. 11 In question 13, we asked you to 0. provide the study or other support for your 12 13 statement on the third page of your testimony, 14 which was that, economically, adoption of the 15 Low NOx and ACT in Illinois will create a significant increase in the number of 16 17 out-of-state carriers that will be operating in Illinois. 18

Now, you did provide an answer to that question, which I appreciate, but my question to you here is, that narrative answer didn't provide a single citation to any study or data, did it?

A. No.

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 395

Q. Has the MTA produced any research -- this is question 15 that we asked of you.

2.1

2.3

Has the MTA produced any research into the economic effects of the proposal on competition between Illinois and out-of-state carriers, or does this portion of your testimony lack a basis in the data?

Your response to question 15, Mr. Wells, was no answer.

Does that mean that you don't have any such data because it does not exist?

- A. We cannot create data based on something that doesn't exist.
- Q. So just the answer is -- am I right in saying MTA has not conducted any research into the economic effects of the proposal on competition between Illinois and out-of-state carriers?
- A. We have not posed that direct question to our membership.
- Q. Or done any other research, whether it was posed to your members or done independently?

Page 396 1 Α. We have not financed any 2 research. 3 Have you done any research? Q. Me personally, or the 4 Α. 5 organization on the economic impacts of out-of-state versus in-state carriers? 6 7 Yeah, the question that was posed 8 that was not answered was, has the MTA 9 produced any research. Our word was "produced." Whether it's funded or prepared, 10 11 I don't really care. 12 But has MTA produced any research into the economic effects of the proposal, meaning 13 these proposed rules, on competition between 14 15 Illinois and out-of-state carriers? We have not had the time to pose 16 17 that question, so no. 18 Okay. Thank you, Mr. Wells. I Q. have another question for you. 19 In your pre-filed testimony, this is 20 21 our question 19, you wrote, economically and 22 logically, the Illinois -- "logistically." 23 Sorry. It did not say "logically." Economically and logistically, the 24

Page 397 1 Illinois EPA does not have the funding or manpower to implement such operations to 2 achieve the stated impacts that proponents of 3 the rule are acknowledging. 4 5 That last part is a mouthful, but I think what you are getting at is an assertion 6 7 that Illinois EPA doesn't have the funding or 8 manpower to implement ACT. Is that correct? 9 It's not included in the proposed 10 rule process. Correct. 11 Ο. Okay. I now understand the 12 assertion. 13 Here's my question. Did you quantify 14 the agency manpower needed to implement ACT in 15 Illinois? 16 Actually, I think that's a better 17 question for EPA. 18 Okay. Well, your assertion is 0. 19 EPA doesn't have the manpower to implement the rules, so I'm asking if you have quantified 20 2.1 the manpower necessary to implement the rule 22 in Illinois. 2.3 In our experience working with 24 EPA already on regulatory issues that we are

Page 398 1 dealing with, they are still suffering from a lack of manpower to maintain the current 2 Illinois regulations that we have on the books 3 today. Specifically, so that you understand 4 5 that I know what I'm talking about, is storm water drainage. 6 7 Okay. I appreciate that agencies 8 do different things and it's important to 9 manage stormwater drainage. I support those 10 efforts. But just to be clear, you haven't 11 quantified the number of, say, full-time 12 13 employees that IEPA would need in order to 14 implement ACT, did you? 15 Α. I do not work for CMS, so no. 16 Who is CMS? Q. 17 Α. Central Management System. 18 Is that part of IEPA? 0. 19 That's part of the Illinois Α. You can read about it. 20 government. 2.1 Okay. Did you -- have you Ο. 22 quantified the agency manpower necessary to 23 implement ACT in California or any other 24 state?

Page 399 1 I can quantify that California so far has budgeted over almost -- actually, 2 slightly above \$1 billion next year for the 3 management of CARB, which includes the 4 5 management of ACT, Low NOx, and ACC2. 6 Q. Okay. But that --7 Α. So to --8 It sounds like --Q. 9 Α. Again, it goes back to my statement, California created this environment 10 11 over a series of 50 years. So California will 12 not give me their specific regulatory dollars. 13 So no, I do not have that answer.

Q. Mr. Wells, I think where you ended and where you began that answer was a direct response to the question.

It is now 4:53, by my watch. I think we can wrap up by 5:00, but only if you answer in yes-or-no questions.

Are you aware that NESCAUM, the New England States for Coordinated Air Use Management, is available to support states in implementing these rules if asked by IEPA?

A. No.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 400 1 Q. Did you hear the portion of the 2 public comment where that was raised by 3 somebody -- by a member of the public today? 4 I did not pick up on that moment. 5 I'm sorry. There was a lot of public comment given. 6 7 Did you take into consideration Ο. 8 in your assertion that Illinois EPA doesn't 9 have enough manpower to implement these rules, 10 either the amount of manpower necessary to 11 implement the rules or the fact that NESCAUM 12 is available to support states in implementing 13 the rules? I'm sorry. Can you please 14 Α. 15 rephrase your question? 16 Did you take the NESCAUM's 0. 17 willingness to support states into 18 consideration when you made the assertion that 19 Illinois EPA won't have enough manpower to implement ACT? 20 2.1 If I was unaware of it being Α. 22 available, the answer would be no. 2.3 Okay. Let's talk about Ο. 24 outsourcing for a moment, Mr. Wells. Why

```
Page 401
1
    don't we move to page 4 of your testimony.
           Toward the middle of the page, on the
2
    left-hand side. Now, you can let me know when
3
    you get there. It's the fourth page of your
4
5
    testimony.
                 Okay. Yeah. Give me one second.
6
           Α.
7
    I apologize, I tried not to print everything
8
    out. Okay.
9
                  MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK: Do you
    want -- we have extra hard copies if you would
10
11
    like.
12
           Α.
                 No, I'm good. I'm right here.
13
                 MR. ROBERT WEINSTOCK: Okay.
14
    Great.
15
    BY MR. NATHANIEL SHOAFF:
16
           Q.
                 Great.
17
           Α.
                  Okay.
18
                  On the left side, it says, "Thus,
19
    if these rules are enacted, Illinois companies
    that currently operate commercial vehicles
20
21
    will outsource transportation services from
22
    non-Illinois companies."
23
           Did you provide any link or source to a
24
    study or data that suggests that -- that would
```

Page 402 1 support that assertion? That was from speaking directly 2 to my member companies. 3 0. So there is no study that goes 5 along with that? Α. No. 6 7 And is there anything to suggest 8 how pervasive such outsourcing would be? 9 Α. Can you rephrase the question? 10 Well, you have asserted that if O. 11 the rules are enacted, Illinois companies will start outsourcing vehicle transportation 12 13 services. 14 Any idea as to pervasive you think that 15 outsourcing will be? As soon as it is economically 16 Α. 17 feasible. 18 When will that occur? 0. 19 It depends on when the rules come Α. into play and when financially it becomes more 20 21 important for them. 22 So there is no data to support Q. 23 when this outsourcing will occur, there is no 24 data to support how pervasive or how

Page 403 1 widespread that will occur. Any data to support the data in that 2 somehow outsourcing would be cheaper than just 3 buying electric vehicles? 4 5 If you are requesting that I Α. provided specific exacting data, I did not. 6 7 Well, I'm not requesting that you 8 provided it; I'm just asking if you did. 9 All right. Let's move further down 10 that same page. The second-to-last line says, 11 "Schools, municipalities, and local governments all have limited resources to 12 13 provide mandated services to Illinois 14 citizens. Unless subsidized by local property 15 tax increases or state income taxes, these forms of local government will be forced to 16 17 outsource transportation and fleet services to out-of-state companies." 18 19 So the assertion is that schools are going to start outsourcing school buses to 20 2.1 out-of-state companies. 22 They already do. Α. 2.3 Is there any support that the 0. rules are going to speed that up or make it 24

Page 404 1 more widespread? The support would be in the cost 2 3 basis for electric vehicles versus ICE 4 engines, at this point. 5 Mr. Wells, 11 different states Q. have adopted the ACT regulation. Have any of 6 7 them seen this supposed outsourcing where 8 schools start having to have companies from 9 other states come in and bus their kids 10 around? 11 How many states are actually Α. 12 implementing ACT? 13 0. Well, there are 11 that have 14 adopted it. 15 How many are implementing it? Α. 16 Mr. Wells --Q. 17 Α. None. 18 -- the way in which 0. 19 cross-examination works is I ask you questions and you get to answer them. 20 2.1 Oh, okay. I'm sorry. Α. 22 Do you have any support in the Q. 23 record that would suggest that any of the 11 24 states that have adopted ACT have experienced

Page 405 1 this supposed phenomenon where schools start outsourcing their school bussing obligations 2 3 to other states? Α. No. 5 Is there any support in the record that that's been done in Oregon or 6 7 Washington or any state? 8 I don't know how that's relative 9 to Illinois, but no. 10 0. Well, you assert it's going to 11 happen in Illinois. I'm wondering if it has happened in any other state or if there is 12 13 support for it happening in any other state. 14 Was that a question? I'm sorry. 15 I --16 Do you have any support that in Q. 17 any state that has adopted ACT, schools have 18 started outsourcing transportation services to 19 companies from other states? You're requesting a source for my 20 Α. 21 testimony? 22 Q. For the assertion in your 23 testimony, yes. I'm asking if you have one. If you 24

```
Page 406
1
    don't have one, it's fine to say "I don't have
2
    a source."
3
                  The source I have is speaking to
    the school districts that we currently work
5
    with.
                  MR. NATHANIEL SHOAFF:
6
                                         Okay.
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI:
7
8
    me, gentlemen. If you are finished with your
9
    answer, Mr. Wells --
10
                  MR. WELLS: Yes.
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: -- I
11
12
    think this is a good time to stop the
13
    cross-questioning.
14
                  MR. NATHANIEL SHOAFF: I only
15
    have two more questions, if you would like to
    finish up the witness.
16
17
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI: I think
18
    that this might be a good time to stop today.
19
                  MR. NATHANIEL SHOAFF: Okay.
20
                  HEARING OFFICER LEONI:
21
    remind everyone to please remain professional
22
    when we are questioning witnesses and
23
    providing answers, and also I would like to
    remind everyone that witnesses are allowed to
24
```

Page 407

ask clarifying questions about questioning they're receiving from proponents or participants.

2.1

We are -- our goal here to create a comprehensive record and make sure everyone is on the same page when answering questions. So just want to remind everyone of that.

And then one more quick reminder before we wrap up for the day. I mentioned it a few times before lunch, but since we have now had nine additional exhibits come in just today, proponents are directed, pursuant to Sections 101.302(h) and 102.424 of the Board's procedural rules, to please file these exhibits with our clerk today, as well as any other exhibits to be used during this hearing that you may use tomorrow or otherwise.

Additionally, Ms. Brown's objection to the first two exhibits are noted in the record.

All right. And so today we are -- we wrap up at 5:00 PM. We are very close to being finished with proponents' questioning of Mr. Wells.

```
Page 408
1
            Tomorrow morning, we will pick up at
 2
    9:00 AM with the testimony of Mary Tyler for
 3
    Indiana Illinois Iowa Foundation For Fair
    Contracting, because she has an obligation and
 4
 5
    she requested that time specifically. After
    Ms. Tyler's testimony, we can return to Mr.
6
7
    Wells and pick up where we left off today.
8
            Thank you very much, everyone, for your
9
    participation and your professionalism, and
10
    we'll see you tomorrow in this room at 9:00
11
    AM.
12
            We can go off the record.
13
                  THE REPORTER: Off the record.
14
                  [5:00 p.m.]
15
16
           [CONCLUSION OF DAY'S PROCEEDINGS.]
17
18
19
20
2.1
22
2.3
24
```

Page 409

CERTIFICATE

I, Jude Arndt, a Certified Shorthand Reporter and Certified Court Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the proceedings as taken stenographically by and before me on March 10, 2025, at the time and place hereinbefore set forth.

I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither a relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of any of the parties to this action, and that I am neither a relative nor employee of such attorney or counsel, and that I am not financially interested in this action.

JUDE ARNDT, CSR, CCR, RPR

CCR NO. 084-004847

CSR NO. 1450

[**05 - 15**] Page 1

0	188:11 195:4	11 3:17 20:11	334:11,14
05 274:19	208:19 212:1	20:12 90:20,21	394:11
	218:8 221:2	97:3 98:19	135 103:16
276:18,20	232:18 257:6	194:20 264:22	13924 409:15
084-004847	302:1,2 303:4	267:22 354:15	13th 8:16 10:10
1:14 409:17	306:21 344:19	354:20 355:14	14 3:18 26:21
1	367:20 409:7	404:5,13,23	27:10 146:13
1 3:12 10:10,11	10,000 36:21	11,000 81:4	227:20 243:7,9
18:20 56:3	54:18 221:23	113,000 144:3	263:11,24
88:3 143:9	100 14:23 16:1	115,000 334:3	282:24 283:1
146:9 209:18	26:11,15,16,17	11th 6:16 8:1	284:12,18
264:4,10,10	53:22 63:7	181:21	287:21,22
340:16 341:14	78:10 158:12	12 3:17 65:9,10	288:6,7,8,11
346:11 375:1	328:6 333:13	92:12,13	293:1,5,7
399:3	338:22 341:21	128:19 133:6	312:5,7,24,24
1,000 279:1	359:2 361:7	136:6,8 206:15	313:13,17
328:7 377:17	367:21 375:3	220:3 263:11	314:8,15
1,343 328:7	101 188:3	263:24 264:7,9	336:21 337:13
1,500 173:18	101.302 148:19	264:23 269:3,4	358:24
1.1 70:23 71:21	407:13	270:13 292:23	14,000 379:14
1.2 297:9	102 86:17	12,000 81:4	382:6,10,17
1.3 86:13	220:3	120 106:16	383:17
1.46 108:11	102.424 407:13	121 333:20	1450 1:15
1.6 393:10	102.424. 59:10	122,000 105:10	409:18
1.87 297:4	103 95:4 96:19	106:17,18	148 3:18
10 1:1,11 2:1	97:1,10 98:1,2	126,000 113:7	15 3:19 11:8,14
3:12,12,16	98:13 241:16	126,231 113:2	28:24 35:24
7:14 9:1 10:15	241:19,24	13 3:13,13,14	84:20 119:10
11:8,14 50:10	104 106:8,19	3:18 22:1	187:4 227:20
51:22,23,24	10:30 11:7	132:19 133:3	253:8,11
56:7,16 65:10	84:19	136:4,11 148:8	320:23 321:3
84:20,20 85:12	10:40 85:13	148:9 179:8	344:18 349:7
87:5,9 169:13	10:45 11:9	200:14 330:18	374:4 380:2
181:3 183:21	85:16	330:19,22	382:5 395:2,9

[15.2 - 2025] Page 2

[**2025 - 35**] Page 3

81:21 88:5,15	99:12,15 100:3	2520 2:2	324:6
113:2 307:1	100:21 102:10	253 3:7,19,19	3,500 81:19
312:13 375:16	102:11 146:17	255 176:23	30 28:19,24
409:7	146:18 233:23	182:2	36:19 67:21
2026 375:16	334:18 335:5	258 138:21	132:20 169:5
2020 373.10 2027 312:7,11	335:10,13	25th 268:11	224:11 227:2
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	349:9	299:19	384:8
312:12,16 356:19		26 34:5,23	300 333:14
	21,000 113:4,5		
2028 356:19	393:12	265,824 88:5	31,000 105:6,19
2029 36:9,15	21st 8:19 19:13	26th 8:8	105:22
37:17 38:13	22 3:22 99:12	27 8:2 36:23	31,300 102:23
133:23 356:15	99:15 109:5	37:2 76:11	103:21
356:15	363:15 370:14	270 3:20	31,324 103:6,14
2030 70:23	370:15	176:23	31,450 102:23
71:2 73:4,11	22,000 193:8	272 250:5,6	103:21
115:8 122:18	220 197:2	27th 6:2	31,459 103:6,13
123:3 131:16	23 3:23 200:14	28 70:18 75:16	32 116:1
133:24 135:3	372:12,16	128:13 188:8	141:16 233:23
135:12 139:20	24 3:23 372:14	283 3:20	320 191:19,21
139:24 141:11	372:18	289 3:21	323 3:21
194:18	24/7 173:23	28th 8:9	33 75:16
2035 24:16	241 3:6	29 37:2 69:24	33,000 285:24
25:6 26:11,15	242 1:4 4:10	2:00 11:11,13	33,693 113:3
34:15 305:17	6:8	12:15 167:23	335 3:22
359:17 361:3	243 3:18	2:15 227:21	34 121:14
2036 359:13	25 3:24 80:7	2:16 236:24	125:18 126:4
360:21 361:6	108:4 133:12	2nd 6:22 10:8	325:16
2040 338:23	146:24 150:5	10:15	34.3 324:16
341:21	150:21 151:4	3	325:17 327:15
2050 119:20	152:6,22 169:5		327:23
143:3 145:12	190:18 372:15	3 3:13 13:5,6	343 328:3
393:10	372:20 375:15	53:6,14 88:13	35 1:4 4:9 77:9
21 3:22 59:5	25.1 76:19	111:18 271:8	125:18 126:4
60:12 86:19,19		288:15 312:24	190:18 199:22

[35,000 - 7th] Page 4

35,000 244:9	40,000 45:5	50,000 165:12	627-45 122:16
255:2,6	43,000 91:8	166:1 197:22	122:21
36 143:5	435,000 285:1	51,000 91:9	64,000 179:14
278:22 340:19	345:21	53 230:3	65 191:19
341:16	435,839 301:1,8	333:19 334:2	305:16
365 3:8	301:8	377:18,19,19	68 135:4
37 369:18	44 14:11	377:20 378:7	139:24
370 3:22	115:23	55 191:18	69 140:1
372 3:23,23,24	450 284:19	203:1 205:16	6:00 12:4
373 3:9	450,000 285:3	298:22	6th 7:24 9:2
38,000 249:24	287:13 345:21	571,000 277:6	10:17
39 3:15 107:16	46 251:18,19	59 14:22 15:24	7
3:00 12:1	47 141:14	37:3,12 57:2,6	7 3:15 17:16,17
3:30 320:21	224:2 230:15	133:24 135:3	45:24 46:4
3:45 320:24	48 298:19	5:00 11:16	96:14 100:5
321:3,11	4:00 12:2	321:2,23	241:13 263:21
3rd 6:22 10:9	4:30 191:5	399:18 407:22	354:15
10:16 106:24	4:35 381:4	408:14	7,600 250:4,7
107:3 137:11	4:53 399:17	5th 8:23	7,000 250.4,7
4	5	6	70,000 215:6
4 3:13 13:10,11	5 3:14 13:15,16	6 3:14 17:5,6	700 91:7
110:4 209:18	44:12 77:7	45:23 94:9,15	193:17
273:19 298:1,2	384:1 386:22	94:16 146:10	71 113:7
298:5 313:13	387:4,10 388:3	146:19 296:3	711 92:24
313:17 314:8	390:10	354:15 390:24	72 203:1
314:15 318:23	5,000 81:24	6.5 393:11	74 95:5 96:20
378:22 401:1	82:6 130:19	60 44:20 57:6	97:1,10,24
4,000 82:2,5,22	167:6 202:5	60,000 54:18	98:2,6,12
4,200 371:4	5,200 119:20	105:21 106:15	78,700 91:13
4,500 282:1	50 21:7,14 45:1	106:18	7th 203:23
40 3:16 107:16	56:9,21 63:7	600 255:9,10,12	
173:20 213:21	131:13 228:24	620 38:6	
	244:8 399:11		

[8 - accurate] Page 5

8	97 14:13	acc 135:1	accelerate 80:4
	98 349:10	acc1 66:5,9,18	194:16,24
8 3:15 39:18,19	367:10,13	66:22,22 83:10	accelerating
102:17 104:24	99 222:9	83:13	55:15 108:1
108:6 263:21		acc2 18:21 19:2	accepted 6:16
283:10 285:14	a	20:15 21:1,9	92:3
285:16,18,20	a.m. 4:1	22:6,20 23:2	access 45:6
285:22 286:14	a1 122:21	23:24 24:16	63:17 158:21
300:7,24	ability 194:17	25:7,17 29:16	172:2,12,14
330:20 331:8	208:7 214:14	30:1,6,11,17	180:18 261:10
333:20 358:22	217:13 223:4		264:22 286:16
8,000 179:12	232:16 327:8	31:18,24 32:16 34:8 38:14	286:18 315:20
80 35:4 37:14	able 35:8		
143:20 224:24	116:17 118:4	64:17 68:20	315:21 343:18
80,000 278:24	135:15 161:17	70:10 71:2	accessible
285:24 327:9	162:13 179:19	72:10 75:4	220:16
82 143:4	186:20 207:22	91:16 93:13	accommodate
85 34:10 35:4	219:23 228:24	108:14,18	182:4
87 3:16	239:20 373:20	111:22 113:16	accordance
89,000 113:6	380:5	114:7,24	148:18
9	above 35:7	115:16 116:18	account 108:11
9 3:16 40:3,10	45:1 56:20	117:21 119:21	113:6 291:6
300:17	182:19 269:2	121:5 129:3,24	352:2 353:16
90 3:17 50:10	399:3	131:15 133:23	357:9
	absolutely	141:7,22 142:7	accountable
205:16 222:13	204:9 210:16	143:1,21 144:1	202:22
249:4	271:5 295:22	144:12 151:13	accounting
91,000 105:5,15	304:3 325:14	162:21 166:13	163:9
105:19,22	348:15	192:23 195:14	accounts 98:24
92 3:5,17	absorb 216:8	200:21 205:19	accumulates
95 34:10	217:4	259:13 260:3	232:4
281:18	abundance	399:5	accurate
96 34:14,18	131:11	acc2's 36:9	220:23 304:2
35:15	131.11	112:7	373:14,20
			374:19 379:15
	I .	l .	l .

[accurate - admitted]

Page 6

386:18 409:5	359:23 383:10	315:18 330:5	61:9 76:18
accusing 134:5	393:8 394:15	344:23 346:6	77:15 78:10
achievable	397:8,14	352:10 354:2	79:21 123:18
250:8	398:14,23	369:19 376:18	124:2,14 142:6
achieve 208:22	399:5 400:20	378:1 379:21	217:5 249:7
215:15 248:11	404:6,12,24	384:13 397:16	318:4,19
249:20 350:17	405:17	399:2 404:11	375:18
350:19 397:3	acting 270:21	acute 207:7	addressed
achieved 45:1	action 173:3	adaptation	332:15 350:15
157:16 346:13	180:23 198:15	195:10	355:13
achieving	201:8 232:24	adapting	addresses
208:11	409:11,13	193:24	319:16
acknowledged	actions 79:12	add 91:19	addressing
35:24 183:18	79:20	139:8 183:6	6:12 364:12
230:5	actively 127:1,9	236:18 314:9	adelaide
acknowledging	activities 76:6	323:6	190:10,11
397:4	216:17	added 171:8,9	adequacy
acres 177:1,1	activity 144:4	278:11,21	217:1
act 8:3 118:13	actors 75:23	279:1,15	adequate 154:9
119:5 170:23	actual 166:11	addition 35:1,1	adjudicatory
186:3 192:23	166:15 259:8	139:23 188:8	321:16
193:5,24	272:14 357:8	additional	adjusts 60:4
194:12,20	actually 42:16	17:20 23:20	adm 1:4
195:12,14	49:5 64:23	48:9 72:8,14	administrative
196:17 200:21	67:2 80:12	75:3 78:10	4:10
205:18 208:7	85:6 96:24	89:12 119:13	admit 58:7,12
214:17 219:15	112:24 178:18	227:17 236:21	59:6 87:1
219:16 260:12	197:6 248:11	328:3 334:10	148:7 268:18
260:23 261:12	258:23 265:10	369:5,8 407:11	269:13 270:2
313:14 314:4	268:8 269:2	additionally	282:17 283:19
314:11 319:11	274:23 276:13	119:13 407:18	admitted 5:14
319:13 320:11	284:17 285:3	address 11:18	42:21 87:5
338:17 345:11	288:20 290:19	11:22 12:6	199:7 307:14
355:3,5,18	296:23 310:3	48:8 60:10	335:10

[admitting - agency]

Page 7

admitting	194:20 195:7	175:3 179:24	219:24 383:15
admitting 269:23 283:17	194.20 193.7	180:24 181:1	affects 32:19
adopt 6:8,11	207:14 229:8	184:4 189:24	260:21 261:9
14:20 15:21	359:20 360:4	192:11 202:13	261:23 262:9
83:9 114:5	394:2	202:14 221:6,8	affiliate 244:5
170:22 174:8	adoption 14:17	234:9,10	317:23
192:11 200:21	15:5 45:24	259:19 273:20	affiliates 244:8
205:18 206:11	46:6 48:21	318:24 319:23	afford 117:22
207:23 208:12		320:4 381:20	118:5 156:10
	49:9 53:7,17		
234:9 245:5	61:21 62:3,11	advisor 4:18,24	180:20 216:8
355:18 359:24	70:3 74:1 81:1	advocacy 199:1	217:4 239:16
380:12 381:12	100:7 103:20	221:22 259:5	affordable
391:18 392:7	107:6,18,24	advocate	156:22 240:9
adopted 49:2	113:11 114:21	172:17 193:1	aforemention
50:22 64:12	122:16 123:1	257:1,9,12,20	2:1 236:10
66:7 70:9	143:21 144:1,8	362:18	afraid 194:19
72:10 73:12,18	144:18 145:20	advocated	211:19
114:24 130:13	157:15 158:16	388:4,13,15,24	african 233:22
144:23 197:13	163:18 175:9	389:8,16,18,21	afternoon
222:17 360:14	314:11 355:17	389:24 390:2	11:15 168:18
379:7 392:23	368:13 394:8	391:20	175:21 192:21
404:6,14,24	394:14	advocating	206:6 209:7
405:17	adoptions	244:19 363:8	213:10 221:18
adopting 16:7	103:22	affairs 173:11	230:13 237:23
19:10 20:2,7	adopts 71:2	215:3	243:23
20:15 21:1,9	75:4 203:20	affect 32:17	ag 215:20
22:20 23:2,24	adult 176:16,18	68:3 187:7	218:14
26:24 27:12	adults 183:3	213:4 225:12	age 65:9,14,21
29:16 30:1	195:15	240:2 258:9,13	66:14 208:17
39:13 44:7	advance 81:1	258:15 260:4	agencies 78:4
64:17 73:5	189:24 306:23	260:14 262:3	183:14 398:7
115:16 161:9	advanced 6:13	388:10	agency 8:20
174:7 180:24	6:14 14:17	affected 171:5	13:4 76:12
192:23 193:3	18:21,22 81:7	176:14 189:15	77:1 92:1

[agency - allotments]

Page 8

369:6 397:14	155:24 158:4	aid 195:11	231:13 232:13
398:22	260:19 266:9	ailments	233:16,20
ago 161:24	274:6 275:8	206:21 207:7	234:3,11 235:4
186:14 199:5	280:24 286:21	aim 117:11	235:19,19
209:11 219:8	295:14 299:1	air 1:3 6:9	236:1,4,18
228:22 230:3	307:8 312:23	16:12 117:15	282:9 283:8
234:20 244:22	314:3 315:13	118:13 119:5	285:1 303:3,20
245:6,9 257:6	319:16 320:18	145:21 158:24	306:7 355:18
257:7 261:16	320:18 328:18	165:16 170:24	359:23 364:13
272:2 281:8	335:19 346:20	171:1,17,20,22	367:2 375:12
319:17,19	348:5,17,19	176:6,10,14	375:13,15
365:20	357:13,14	179:4,17 180:6	380:1 384:11
agree 20:22	361:17 391:1	180:12 181:7	385:22 391:2,5
21:22 22:19	agreed 25:13	181:23 182:12	399:21
23:1,24 24:5	26:8 340:23	182:14,18	aircraft 231:9
25:8 29:13	391:4	184:15 185:11	albert 2:15 3:8
30:1,5,11,15	agreeing	191:1,6,14	365:9,14,15
44:23 47:2	256:17	192:6,17	368:24
49:3,8 53:4,16	agricultural	194:14,22	alec 2:20
54:6,9,23 62:1	184:2	195:16 198:8	aligned 75:6
62:9 74:11,12	agriculture	199:22 200:15	allergies
74:24 76:15	222:4	201:12,23	177:19 182:22
79:4,10,18	ah 284:16	202:18 204:11	alleviate
106:5,7,14	285:6 343:7	204:13,17,23	207:15
108:18,22	353:7	205:6 207:22	alliance 5:2,5
111:5,12 114:7	ahead 12:13	208:11,13,15	14:10,11 16:20
114:21 115:6	42:9 58:7,21	209:3 211:23	17:1 86:15
116:8,17	85:2 119:23	212:6,18	allocation
118:12,24	133:24 178:13	219:10,12,21	132:23
122:4 123:6	243:16 253:7	220:1,11	allocations
125:2 128:5	303:16 321:18	221:10 226:7	129:1 140:21
137:23 143:16	335:7 338:14	226:18 227:1,4	allotments
143:19 144:22	365:12	227:5 229:17	272:14
145:15 155:5		230:7,18 231:3	

[allotted - answers]

Page 9

11 44 1 160 7	225 10 226 22	1 •	220 10 221 14
allotted 169:7	325:19 326:22	analyzing	330:19 331:14
allow 111:22	americans	310:20	339:10,15
113:16 119:6	233:8,21,22	anand 2:10 3:6	342:22 347:17
174:14 366:13	amount 45:2	4:22 240:21	358:18,24
368:21 378:24	76:24 84:22	241:1,4,4,9,10	359:6 373:15
allowed 9:22	167:10 258:22	242:6,9 318:7	373:16,19
9:24 359:24	272:22 327:11	angela 2:8 4:15	374:12,15,19
406:24	328:4 378:6	237:14	374:20,22,24
allowing 43:6	379:18 382:1	angeles 332:3	376:11,14,17
368:19	400:10	animals 191:24	377:24 381:6,8
alternative	amounts 171:6	ann 206:2,3	382:19,23
53:1 137:4	334:8	209:7,10 212:3	383:21 388:19
150:8 250:12	ample 65:12	anne 186:6,10	389:2 391:22
alters 128:9	analogy 231:13	186:10 188:13	391:24 393:3
altogether	analyses 386:7	announced	394:19,21
279:7 351:21	analysis 19:3,8	78:11	395:10,15
amazon 191:9	19:14 20:13,24	annual 52:10	399:13,15,18
236:13	32:9 33:2,7,14	144:6,6 166:24	400:22 404:20
ambient 384:11	34:22 64:17	232:7 393:9	406:9
391:5	94:23 98:24	answer 9:6	answered
ambitious	143:12 163:5	16:15 52:19	262:16 293:19
174:17	187:20 220:2	63:24 66:16	293:22 339:23
amendments	290:18,20	71:17 80:21	344:19 359:4
279:11	296:20 310:21	96:1 125:16	363:17 392:11
ameren 217:8	314:19 390:14	133:5 136:6	396:8
america 233:14	390:20 394:6	153:23 163:17	answering
250:22 280:1	analytically	238:7,16,22	288:11,19
america's	137:14 138:3	252:16 260:12	407:6
170:20	analytics	261:6,17	answers 8:22
american 99:19	146:12	262:14 269:3,4	9:9 10:3 17:11
187:16 199:23	analyzed 195:9	270:13 294:10	17:16 59:12
244:6 255:5	290:23 311:1	311:22 312:18	92:4,5 94:5
285:4 287:11	analyzes	313:18 314:8	99:13 100:3,21
322:24 324:13	311:11	321:21 330:16	102:11 108:4

[answers - asked] Page 10

		I	
109:10 110:2	appear 77:6	113:17 210:13	arrives 185:2,3
111:19 121:15	303:15,22	246:24 366:7	arriving 182:5
121:20 125:19	appearing	appropriate	art 379:13
128:13 133:3	92:24	45:2 231:14	article 39:12,22
141:15 146:9	appears 306:22	385:4	44:5,9,13
253:1,6,9	apple 54:2	approve 217:19	45:22 53:6
259:11 263:13	278:2	approved 77:8	55:13 56:2,20
264:4 284:20	apples 97:17	77:9 83:4,21	57:4 58:17
326:5 330:15	98:10 277:10	84:4	87:4 94:10
349:8 350:12	277:10,24,24	approximately	95:4,20 96:4
354:15,16	277:24,24	91:6,13 221:23	97:1 147:16
358:23 372:4	309:22 317:6	area 141:24	149:2 154:16
372:10,13	applied 25:2	142:17 176:4	154:20 155:17
374:17 381:10	applies 108:18	182:13 186:15	155:20 242:1
381:18 390:8	114:7,8,15	186:22 189:11	269:14 294:9
406:23	160:3	214:1 219:20	332:12 335:10
anticipate 11:7	apply 21:14	224:4 279:24	article's 155:6
anticipation	27:17,20 28:3	363:18,23	articles 104:9
129:9	28:6 48:22	364:1,3 366:3	154:8 166:17
anupama 2:12	49:17 51:6	381:1	articulated
4:18	52:21 80:13	areas 157:18	229:7
anxiety 145:22	114:16 247:10	186:22 187:5,7	artificially
anybody 198:1	280:12 349:15	187:21 189:20	138:13
anyway 156:17	apportion	192:15 216:22	asian 233:8,21
254:9	143:12	219:14 221:11	aside 12:14
anyways 377:5	appreciate	336:18	238:15 239:10
apartment	16:14 41:20	argu 165:19	357:21
156:16 205:1	60:20 63:23	argue 21:12	asked 41:7
apologies 43:14	167:13 173:13	131:10	84:11 128:22
60:5,21 107:2	175:13 388:18	argument	132:21 261:5
323:17	390:8 394:20	160:15 280:8	261:16 262:6
apologize 170:6	398:7	argus 7:19	263:6,12 264:2
206:3 379:11	approach	arndt 1:14 2:2	266:14,17
401:7	31:10 111:23	409:3,16	268:7 270:18

[asked - attending]

Page 11

	I	I	
270:20 271:3	304:15 314:24	association 5:4	388:1
274:7 282:7	315:1,2 317:9	5:5 6:5 89:16	asthma 141:23
287:21 293:15	322:11,13	90:2 91:6 93:2	176:18 177:13
293:19 295:6	331:18,21	93:16 127:6	177:18 179:5,6
295:16 306:11	347:3 348:1	181:24 187:16	179:8,13,14,21
308:3 309:2	376:16 383:4,6	194:23 199:2	179:22 180:6,9
312:1,20	388:23 392:1	202:3 207:2	180:13 181:9
313:14 330:11	397:20 403:8	219:22 224:2	183:1 185:16
334:9 344:17	405:24	242:17 244:1,4	187:1,3,7,10,15
355:15 359:1	asks 312:7,8	244:5 254:5	188:1,1,7,7
363:14 373:23	313:14 373:15	255:5 256:6	189:19,22
379:3 384:1,23	aspects 32:8	304:19 305:2	202:5 205:10
386:1,4,14	33:7 390:16	317:16,24	207:4,4 213:17
387:5,10 388:3	asphalted	320:17 328:17	226:11
389:10,12	182:4	366:1 367:8	atmosphere
390:7,10,13	assembly	368:17 369:17	232:2
391:1,6 392:15	248:15,17,24	371:3	atri 285:11
394:11 395:2	assert 348:20	associations	attached 41:10
399:23	405:10	244:6	41:17 156:13
asking 11:1	asserted 274:18	assume 59:15	326:16
22:2 54:5	278:7 319:20	75:11 130:21	attachment
65:23 68:22	352:23 402:10	145:7 219:18	326:5,7
69:9 113:15	assertion 97:3	241:24 366:24	attachments
132:24 134:6	376:2,4,18	assumed 35:8	326:8
160:19 210:19	397:6,12,18	56:23	attack 187:10
223:15 232:24	400:8,18 402:1	assumes 145:11	attacks 180:13
240:1 245:12	403:19 405:22	assuming 30:16	187:7,15
256:4 257:4	assess 127:15	75:5 341:21	189:22 202:5,5
262:2 272:15	127:24 363:16	assumption	205:11 207:4
284:14,17	assessment	35:21 59:22	attempt 244:23
287:15 291:11	32:9	139:3 384:23	attendant
291:12 294:24	associated 45:4	assumptions	394:1
295:12 302:18	207:4	19:18 33:16,17	attending 2:5
303:19 304:10		33:19 145:5	

[attorney - back] Page 12

attorney 4:17	automotive 5:2	179:12 197:2	333:16,18
4:23,24 116:23	14:10,11 16:20	199:23 233:24	336:17,19
122:9,9 196:3	86:11 87:13,21	241:16,20	338:18 351:4,7
409:10,12	87:23 88:15	300:23	355:8 359:1,16
attorneys 2:14	97:13	avoid 5:22	359:18,22
2:17 377:3	availability	144:2 317:1	393:5,14,17,19
audience	156:19 197:14	avoiding 77:17	399:20
134:22 236:22	266:10 318:6	awarded 116:2	b
august 8:8,9	319:10 320:10	193:17 333:13	b 8:2 48:14
94:11,20 95:9	available 12:20	aware 19:3,7	49:21 52:20
96:2	41:12 76:13,19	19:15 62:21	128:19 132:20
authority 116:8	81:17 82:7,11	64:3,7 72:6	133:6 136:6,8
117:6,8,13	83:1 106:3	82:1,16,21	282:24 283:1
122:5,7 123:9	174:4 181:15	83:2,8,13,19	284:18 334:11
213:3 214:13	181:17 194:5	84:2,5,11	334:14 354:15
280:15	239:13,15	109:18 110:17	354:20 384:1
auto 93:15	246:5,7 250:10	110:23 121:16	back 12:8,9
128:22 241:21	250:14 251:1	121:23 122:14	15:13 40:23
259:20	262:12,24	123:16,24	43:23 47:16
automaker	263:2 265:11	124:11,21	48:4,7 57:12
162:12,13	267:9 274:1,8	125:8 126:7,9	61:9 69:15
automakers	274:11 290:1	126:15 127:9	75:15 84:14
23:17 31:22	306:2 319:13	132:22 140:19	85:18,21
34:3,6,13,18	367:12 399:22	141:5 154:14	102:18 104:9
35:6 62:23	400:12,22	159:23 160:5	129:14 132:17
64:5 127:14,24	avenue 2:2	165:3,8 176:5	133:4 136:2,5
132:22 162:7	average 21:13	206:14 224:6	142:20 160:9
automatically	21:15 28:10,14	250:22 261:14	166:22 168:23
360:4,6	28:17,18,21	268:14 301:9	169:24 184:20
automobile 5:3	30:21 31:10	301:17 304:16	185:4 209:17
90:1 91:5	65:9,21 66:13	304:21 305:15	225:6 226:6
93:24 246:2	66:14 91:13	305:18 331:7	234:3 237:1,10
259:21,21	95:4 96:19,21	331:24 332:1	247:3 258:5
	150:19 156:23	332:19 333:12	ZT1.3 230.3

[back - believes] Page 13

261:3,24 262:5	247:15 256:15	battling 230:24	112:22 119:22
262:19 268:1	256:18,24	bayano 223:22	120:1,13,14,24
272:9,12,18	257:8 275:17	226:3	122:10 123:14
274:14 275:2	278:8 319:13	bays 182:6	126:9 128:22
279:4 297:24	342:9 378:1	beach 176:24	130:11,13
299:12,13	381:1 392:18	bear 5:15 172:6	131:15 136:23
306:17 310:3,6	392:19 395:13	172:20	136:24 137:3
314:17 318:2	baseline 72:10	beard 228:9	137:15 138:4,6
321:10 331:5	73:24	bearing 61:13	140:7 146:2
363:10 365:3,4	basic 386:12	bed 179:1	151:18 153:7
369:24 399:9	basically	199:11 200:3	153:13 167:5
backed 104:7	113:11 354:8	began 11:6	171:14 207:10
background	basing 359:19	200:10 399:15	245:4 248:13
6:1 173:16	basis 32:21	beginning	260:7 269:2
208:17 254:24	33:12 68:18	133:23 346:10	272:22 284:3
bad 360:20	69:1 114:17	363:11	287:9 288:14
ballpark	115:13 139:15	begun 357:12	290:22 292:1
287:13	267:14,16	behalf 14:9	293:15 300:16
ban 15:22	282:8 339:1	18:9 89:15	301:5,5 302:22
bank 274:3	361:9 395:8	93:1 159:12	309:4 310:11
bankruptcy	404:3	209:13 223:15	311:6 312:1
267:23	bathroom	226:4 244:1	317:14 320:23
banned 33:1	197:3	373:9	324:23 325:4
banning 222:10	batteries	behavior 317:7	330:18 336:22
bans 14:20	150:17 327:7	behaviors	338:2 340:4
barbara 2:6	battery 22:11	317:8	342:12 350:15
4:20	22:17 23:10,14	beile 175:20,22	350:17 371:15
barely 199:12	26:1,6 28:23	178:4,7	374:19 376:3
barns 191:23	146:14 150:16	believe 31:14	377:20 378:4
base 254:14	150:22 152:8	41:6 42:16	381:24 384:21
based 71:9 89:3	152:24 153:10	67:4 71:10	392:22
111:24 132:11	174:1 197:9,11	74:15,21 95:10	believes 302:21
165:9 167:2	328:5 336:1	99:6 102:4	307:22 391:19
206:9 215:13		104:1 105:11	

[believing - board]

Page 14

believing	155:7 169:2	billion 34:14,19	blumenshine
350:18	211:7 223:5	35:15 91:9	212:11,15
belleville 7:17	248:13,23	340:19 341:16	board 1:1,10
189:12	312:18 367:11	376:5 377:21	2:1,5,9 4:5,10
beneficial	373:20 391:20	393:11 399:3	4:12,13,13,14
77:11,14 83:20	better 52:22	billions 62:24	4:15,16,19,20
84:3,6 121:17	65:16 149:10	64:6 380:20	4:23 5:16 6:8
121:23 122:15	149:17,20	bills 236:9,10	6:10,16 8:1,20
123:12,17	150:2,3 153:21	248:16	9:8 12:21 14:8
124:1,12,22	154:11 184:7	binetti 2:22	14:16 43:7
125:12,23	200:12,16,22	bioenergy	59:3,9 60:11
126:21 192:13	210:23 221:9	218:3	78:12 91:24
221:14	223:9 349:2	biofuels 216:2	122:6 134:18
benefit 33:3	367:15,24	218:1,4,11,20	148:23 149:6
52:22 53:2,3	392:2 397:16	bipoc 192:13	151:11,21
65:6 150:14	bevs 22:15	220:24	153:13 157:8
156:24 158:18	24:22,22	bit 15:9 21:4	168:20 169:16
175:5 192:14	146:14 150:8	31:16 104:19	169:18 170:21
194:14 387:6	150:22	113:14 114:12	173:2 175:8
benefits 20:14	beyond 23:21	146:5 257:13	176:1 180:23
20:14,19,20,24	79:6 119:6	259:9 285:2	183:15 185:21
27:2,14 32:15	329:9 349:6,6	322:17	192:10 198:13
32:23 68:6,9	bicycle 231:23	bitter 204:4,5	203:6,19
68:10 143:13	big 203:21	black 171:3	205:18 210:20
145:6 152:2	231:21 276:23	232:10	212:16 213:1
174:13 195:9	337:7	bladder 177:17	215:18,21,23
198:3 211:6,13	bigger 232:3	197:2 205:10	217:22 218:17
218:19 221:11	biggest 180:5	207:8	223:16 224:6
350:13 387:2	201:9	block 230:15	228:14,24
387:17,19	bike 182:16	blocks 156:15	229:4,13,14
390:13 391:2	bill 212:13	blue 45:17	230:2,4 240:19
393:11 394:2	214:24 215:1,2	87:14,18,22	241:5 243:21
best 48:5 93:4	215:9 218:10	176:10,11	245:12 249:8
115:17 154:17	218:24 248:18		249:18 252:17

[board - building]

Page 15

269:21 270:1	books 398:3	195:16 201:23	broom 16:24
282:10 283:8	booming 197:9	202:10 207:22	brothers
285:1 303:4,21	213:24	212:7 219:4	176:16
306:7 328:15	bordering	232:5 236:3	brought 115:2
328:16,19	225:8	breathing	213:24
347:6 364:5,7	borders 225:9	191:13 212:21	brown 2:11,20
364:8,10,17	379:1 382:22	231:8	4:23 16:23,24
369:7 370:22	borne 343:9	bridgeport	17:13 41:4,9
371:13 373:13	bottom 53:13	203:17	41:15,21 42:1
373:21 375:1,3	177:3 258:10	bridges 220:9	42:16 43:13
375:12,14,15	258:13,18	brief 9:16 14:5	86:14,15
375:20 376:9	324:23	15:15 84:22,24	134:10 171:3
376:15 377:9	bought 144:14	90:24 227:21	234:16
377:10 378:20	boy 354:20	237:8 243:12	brown's 86:21
384:1,16	bp 332:2	370:19,21	87:6 407:18
385:22 391:13	brain 199:8	briefings 7:12	brunt 172:21
391:15 392:5	brains 337:3	briefly 45:16	bucolic 192:1
392:23	branches	64:15 84:15	budget 375:16
board's 4:21	244:11	132:18	376:8,11,23
5:11,18 12:18	break 11:8,9,14	bring 189:20	budgeted 399:2
12:20 13:14	11:24 84:20,21	218:20 221:10	budgets 213:17
59:1,23,24	85:8,12 112:16	221:13	build 79:12,20
60:14 86:17	112:17 167:20	bringing	115:19 145:23
148:18 153:7	168:7,10	380:16	159:1 197:6
169:17 278:6	227:22 236:23	brings 189:16	223:9 240:1,6
364:3 407:13	237:8,22	broad 22:1	274:3 333:14
bodine 212:14	320:24 321:11	26:1,4 45:7	334:23 335:24
214:24 215:1,2	322:17	82:11 294:9	353:24 354:1
215:9 218:10	breakdown	304:23,23	380:23
218:24	249:11	305:3	building
body 280:14	breath 199:13	broader 80:14	203:22 224:9
391:13	212:23 227:2	80:14	224:14 332:2
book 87:15,18	breathe 178:23	bronchitis	333:10
87:22	179:19 193:21	207:8	

[built - calmer] Page 16

built 162:23	331:4 347:14	cackette 107:1	317:11,12,21
174:24 177:2	businesses	107:5 136:21	317:22,23,24
196:22 332:17	175:5 222:1,16	139:3 163:7	318:21 319:21
bulk 229:17	223:6,8,11	cackette's	319:23,24
bullet 300:19	225:13,18	107:10 137:2	326:14 337:19
300:23	247:21 258:14	137:10	338:2 342:10
burden 183:6	344:13 345:7	caitlin 2:19	353:23 356:9
216:19	345:12 388:10	calculated	356:20 358:2
burdens 171:9	buss 193:12	183:7 326:21	359:9,19 360:4
bureau 215:4	bussing 405:2	calculation	360:5 361:5
218:16 247:15	buy 118:6	51:7 306:24	375:12,13,15
burgess 190:9	135:13 159:17	calculations	376:13,23
190:14,15	161:18 162:14	386:6,19 387:6	378:24 379:7
burn 232:5	349:19,20	393:6,15	379:11 380:1,6
burning 210:6	358:9	california 6:11	380:17,24
bus 45:6	buyers 35:18	21:24 27:20	382:3 385:22
184:17,21	88:18	28:5,10 30:18	398:23 399:1
185:1,4 194:4	buying 117:21	36:2 80:13	399:10,11
194:6,9 378:16	160:6 240:10	117:18 118:24	california's
379:5,6 381:13	251:5 267:2	119:4 129:10	14:17 21:9,17
384:13 404:9	403:4	132:22 139:4	26:23,24 27:12
buses 185:23	buys 367:18	140:21 141:5	27:12 28:18
186:21 193:7,9	c	245:20 246:14	80:10 112:2
193:12,18,19	c 125:8,18	252:4 280:4,4	355:18 356:24
194:6,8,11	126:5,13,21	282:9 283:8	360:1 376:4
266:5,7 288:1	132:20 200:15	285:1 290:21	call 12:19
403:20	287:22 288:6,7	290:23 291:23	169:22 191:6
business 215:3	288:8,11 293:1	292:2 296:13	251:21 272:1
221:21,22	293:5,7 312:5	296:15 298:9	called 170:2
222:3,7,13,18	312:7,24,24	300:10,12,23	278:15 289:4
222:22 223:1,3	313:13,17	301:19 302:11	379:5 387:11
223:3,7,18	314:8,15	303:3,20 306:7	calling 192:10
224:3 225:19	387:10 409:1,1	314:5 315:15	calmer 193:21
258:2,5,7	,	316:1,17	

[calstart - cell] Page 17

	1		
calstart 174:9	232:8 234:11	207:16 208:4	384:2,4,4,5
330:13 331:1	334:9 354:3	213:19 219:19	cases 54:11
332:13	carb 166:19	230:22 396:11	133:9 153:23
canada 382:21	268:9,11	career 235:20	156:1 384:8,13
canadian	269:20,24	235:23	384:16,24
204:12	271:9,17 274:7	carlie 2:9 4:6	385:10,16,17
cancer 177:17	282:9 286:24	carolina 337:20	cast 199:24
177:17 185:16	287:3,4 299:13	338:3 342:10	catalyst 322:23
199:9,19 200:3	299:16,20	caroline 201:2	catalytic 56:6
205:10,10	300:1,4 301:10	203:16,17	62:5,12 63:4,9
207:8,8 212:20	302:7,9,21,22	carpooled	64:10
231:2	302:23,24	191:15	categories
cap 169:8	304:5,9 306:16	carrier 231:9	229:18
capability	306:17,21	carriers 280:17	caterpillar
200:17	307:9,22 308:2	392:19 394:17	209:11,16,24
capacity 199:3	308:13 313:19	395:7,19 396:6	210:3 211:8
246:17	318:3,15 320:7	396:15	cathy 109:18
capita 233:19	320:8 376:24	carries 324:24	cause 16:7
capital 226:21	377:1 384:3,7	carrot 246:24	64:18 202:4
226:23 227:8	384:8,16,19	366:6	204:1 206:21
capitalist	385:19 399:4	carry 327:8	caused 64:23
226:15	carb's 302:14	cars 6:14 14:17	66:5 183:12
captured	303:1 304:8,12	18:21 33:1	220:6,11
379:21	304:13 320:13	67:10,10 69:6	causes 185:11
capturing	385:5	103:16 118:8	ccr 1:14,14
224:13	carbon 39:22	122:17 180:24	22:1 409:16,17
car 1:3 4:9	44:9 56:2	190:1 202:15	ceiling 238:3
14:12 54:18	145:8 224:10	202:17 214:7	ceja 117:2
95:19 147:12	224:13 229:20	221:8 231:24	121:18 123:10
156:13 168:21	carbondale	232:11	123:13 145:7
173:14 174:10	228:11	carve 361:24	208:23
186:17 196:8	care 170:23	case 25:22	cell 22:11 26:2
197:23 211:1	172:2 173:4	50:24 51:16	26:6 49:14
213:12 214:18	178:20 180:18	54:12 63:13	54:16,17

[cell - chase] Page 18

368:21	certainty	214:11 360:7	354:4 358:1,3
cement 229:5	174:14	360:10,13	charging 63:18
center 6:6	certified 2:2,3	changed 64:14	69:22 70:21
18:11 96:7	409:3,4	183:20	71:1 75:17,21
99:19 159:13	certify 409:4,9	changes 67:12	75:22 76:8,13
193:2 373:11	chair 2:6 4:20	68:2 88:14,19	76:20 77:2,8
centers 177:2	90:9 170:2	197:20 262:10	77:16 78:2,11
191:9	189:8 201:5	360:5	79:13,21
central 201:12	228:22 229:12	changing	115:20 123:20
398:17	243:19,20	158:19 360:6	125:3 145:23
centralia 7:19	chairman	chapter 189:9	150:17,22
centrality	230:1	190:16 206:9	152:8,24
230:5	chairs 237:13	267:22	156:18,20,22
certain 50:4	challenge	characterize	157:18 162:22
53:19 56:6	385:12,19	108:9	163:18,21,23
79:9 242:1	challenges 76:1	charge 156:14	164:5,10
270:21 272:17	77:16 79:14,21	196:11,21	196:10,17,23
273:6 359:24	124:15,17	197:4 246:18	197:7,7 211:2
379:17,18	180:17 186:16	251:11 289:4	216:22 250:21
380:3,4 382:3	384:19,21	345:22	252:1 329:1,8
certainly 14:7	385:5	charged 197:5	329:15 330:2
23:8 30:4,15	challenging	charger 116:2	330:14 331:16
45:9 47:6	77:17 384:2	156:17 196:13	331:19 332:8
49:12 53:3	champaign	246:16 251:1,7	332:16,17
54:12 56:17	7:18	330:4 334:4	333:3,10,15
57:5 61:15	chance 133:16	chargers 70:2,8	334:9 338:6
62:14,15 66:15	227:18 244:13	70:22 71:5,18	340:13
76:16 78:7	291:19 381:9	71:20 72:4,8,9	chart 44:14
81:2 83:11	change 16:5	72:22 73:3,11	45:24 46:2,13
213:2 244:18	33:10,11 63:8	73:18 74:5,6	71:9 72:19
249:15 251:2	63:10,11 78:21	75:3,10 115:23	176:11
279:23 333:3	113:19 188:10	115:24 217:14	charts 46:11
351:20	201:23 204:19	329:20 330:13	chase 2:16 3:5
	205:21 214:10	331:8 333:21	69:17 92:22,23

[chase - circulating]

Page 19

92:24 93:7,10	125:1,7,15,21	check 99:6	179:7,8,20,21
93:19 94:3,8	126:3,8,14,19	182:14	180:2,16 183:2
94:16,19,22	127:12,20,23	checking 260:8	185:18 187:3,6
95:6,13,24	128:5,12,17,21	chemicals	187:21 188:2,4
96:5,10,16,23	130:3,7 132:2	191:5,11	188:19 193:18
97:8,20 98:14	132:16 136:1	cherry 154:1	195:15 202:9
98:18,22 99:10	136:10,14,20	156:2	207:5 232:16
99:17 100:2,10	137:1,8,17,20	chevy 161:21	232:21
100:14,20	138:24 140:6	chewable 191:6	children's
101:14,19,23	140:11,18	231:13	187:18 189:17
102:3,9,15,21	141:4,13,18	chicago 4:19	194:13
103:4,8,11,15	142:3,5,24	6:5,22 7:16	china 45:19
103:19 104:17	143:17,23	89:15 93:1	chloe 2:13 4:24
104:21 105:3,8	144:21 145:14	113:3 142:13	choice 154:17
105:13,17	146:4,8,18,21	142:16 173:22	154:24 155:7
106:1,6,13,23	147:3,7,17,21	198:24 203:18	155:12 198:4
107:9,14 108:3	148:1,22 149:4	204:13,15	205:14 210:2
108:8,17,21	149:8,15,24	228:12 233:7	217:21
109:2,9,17	150:11,20	240:20 250:18	choices 194:2
110:1,7,11,16	151:9,16,20	250:19 254:3	198:7
110:22 111:4	152:5,12,17,21	369:9	choking 201:24
111:11,16,21	153:6,12,17	chicagoland	choose 9:16,23
112:6 113:12	154:3,13 155:4	141:24 243:22	10:1 116:18
113:24 114:6	155:16,23	279:24	128:23 223:4
114:13,20	157:20 158:3,9	chief 4:21	247:12 280:21
115:5 116:7,12	158:13 159:3	child 179:16,18	280:22
116:16 117:10	chavez 170:8	187:9,13	chooses 347:13
118:9,12,16,23	170:11,12,13	child's 187:8	chose 374:12
119:7,9,18,24	170:14 172:24	childhood	374:15,20,22
120:3,17,22	173:1	179:5 182:24	chronic 179:6
121:2,13,22	cheap 63:18	186:24	185:17 212:21
122:3,13,22	cheaper 53:9	children	circle 47:16
123:4,11,15,23	54:2 403:3	172:20 177:22	circulating
124:6,10,20		178:20,22	57:17 61:2

[circumstances - cleaner]

Page 20

	I		
circumstances	292:13,18,22	clair 189:11	186:13 189:24
147:12 154:2	294:9,15	220:17	189:24 192:11
circumvent	302:13,19	clarification	192:17 195:1
351:21	303:18 305:21	58:18 240:22	195:16 196:8
citation 98:20	306:9 307:13	clarify 22:2	200:18 202:13
98:23 137:5	308:3,7 309:24	41:16 50:2,13	202:14 203:20
273:17 377:23	311:18,21	134:2 291:15	203:22 206:11
378:9 393:3	312:14 313:6	383:7	206:12 207:14
394:22	322:11 323:12	clarifying	208:12,13,14
citations 133:1	324:2,7,12	52:18 290:10	208:15 212:18
139:2	330:13 334:11	407:1	213:11,12
cite 50:20 65:13	338:4 348:21	clarity 119:15	214:2,17,18
66:24 67:5,11	351:4 359:9,13	class 283:10	219:21 221:7,8
94:10 96:11	393:21	285:14,16,18	221:13 228:16
103:5 106:18	cites 96:6	285:20,22,22	229:8 233:1
124:12 131:7	cities 192:7	286:14 298:1,5	234:9,10 235:4
132:3 149:9	220:18	300:24 310:22	235:12,18,19
293:12 294:3	citing 101:15	310:23 331:8	238:24 259:19
331:1 348:24	292:19 293:11	331:11 333:20	273:20 316:14
349:9	330:24 342:4	379:17	319:1,23 320:4
cited 41:5	citizens 101:12	classes 286:11	334:12,23
42:21 47:8	213:2 228:15	382:4	355:17 359:23
59:14 70:15	235:16 403:14	classification	381:21
72:20 74:8	city 182:8	383:14	cleaner 68:20
78:12 87:17	220:10 231:18	clean 1:3 4:9	69:3 145:21
96:17 130:14	231:18 250:19	6:14,14 14:17	147:12 150:16
143:19 146:24	civil 141:6	18:21,22	193:19 194:1
149:15 150:1	165:9,15 238:2	118:13 119:5	198:8 200:22
154:15,15	claim 31:21,24	158:24 168:21	201:12 202:18
186:18 264:3	64:17 107:23	170:22 171:20	207:22 208:11
283:2 284:8	108:2 154:12	173:14 174:8	209:3 210:6
285:3 287:12	claims 23:17	174:10 175:3	212:7 315:21
287:14 288:11	282:8 386:8	180:24 181:1	367:24
288:12 289:5		184:4 185:23	

[cleanest - comment]

Page 21

cleanest 58:8	clinical 180:7	codes 187:4	138:14 156:6
cleanly 384:19	clogged 192:2	cohort 187:21	156:23 158:8
clear 5:17,24	close 36:16	cold 204:5	161:8 163:8
101:15 132:3	38:12 57:22	collaborate	174:23 229:20
137:21 139:1	66:11 120:15	75:23	230:6 292:10
140:18 154:17	285:7 377:22	collar 112:19	292:21
155:6 171:1	379:1 407:22	colleague	come 48:3,7
180:8 209:12	closed 267:17	282:15	125:4 132:17
259:12 289:24	272:7	colleagues	170:12 172:4
303:22 307:11	closing 345:7	191:15 192:9	182:12 183:15
309:21 322:18	cloud 231:21	collected 91:11	222:4 228:5
332:22 373:13	clouds 231:19	collecting 91:9	252:11 274:14
398:11	club 6:2 18:10	collection	341:3 374:14
clearly 5:22	159:13 175:24	220:22	402:19 404:9
152:22 203:13	189:10 190:17	color 153:15	407:11
216:12 229:7	201:6 206:3,8	colorado 80:12	comed 78:10,20
281:13,22	365:16 373:9	80:17 81:3	217:7 377:15
364:11	cms 398:15,16	82:5,12 83:4,9	378:7
clerk 60:14	co2 146:12	83:14	comed's 77:10
169:17 407:15	155:2,14	colorado's 80:3	77:14 333:19
clerk's 12:18	176:21 201:14	80:8,24 81:6	comes 188:18
clicked 290:6,7	201:19 204:1	81:13,15 83:22	204:24 205:20
climate 146:23	307:1	colored 231:19	224:17 229:24
147:10 149:10	coal 117:3	combative	250:20 285:10
149:18 151:2	145:12 201:14	295:9	314:16 324:9
151:10 153:21	coalition	combined	337:23
154:15,18,22	184:13 186:11	177:7	coming 59:13
155:7,10	334:12,24	combustion	178:15 205:4
188:10 198:17	coastlines	23:12 27:18	254:20 279:13
201:22 204:7	231:18	28:3,15 29:7	354:5
204:19 205:20	coat 178:17	31:23 34:3	commencem
363:2,4	code 1:4 4:10	35:3,9 55:6	355:20
climb 45:17	112:17	98:11 118:3	comment 12:3
		132:13 135:21	12:11,15

[comment - competition]

Page 22

135:17 158:16	commercially	community's	compar 152:14
167:18 169:6,8	251:1	177:20	comparable
169:10 173:14	commission	commuter	37:9 38:4 80:9
183:14 192:22	122:1,4 123:8	231:23	282:2
203:12 215:10	125:9	comp 355:9,22	compare 70:20
227:20 228:3,8	committee	companies	70:24 94:23
234:13 400:2,5	233:9	14:12,12	compared
commenters	commodity	193:17 210:8	51:15 72:10
236:21	216:7	244:7,9 247:11	154:23 155:11
comments	common 132:8	247:11,16,23	155:18 204:18
11:12 12:18,22	157:4 186:12	249:11 251:4	223:11 282:2
86:22 127:2,10	commonwealth	255:3 258:16	compares
142:21 167:22	375:4	260:4,21 279:8	305:22
168:19 169:7	communicate	280:13,16,21	comparing
169:14,16,19	134:11	314:20,24	103:23 152:7
169:21 175:11	communities	315:6 329:10	152:10,13,14
223:22 227:15	124:16 143:13	329:13,14,15	152:23 153:2
227:18,23	171:4 172:18	345:16 347:12	297:3
235:7 237:4	175:5 176:24	350:23 351:2	comparison
251:14 368:19	180:16 184:16	371:7 388:9	182:24 277:10
commerce 8:4	192:13,14	394:8 401:19	292:9,20
122:1,4 123:8	194:14 202:1	401:22 402:3	293:13,16
125:9	204:8 219:17	402:11 403:18	294:2,14,20,23
commercial	220:24 221:11	403:21 404:8	295:16 300:1,9
173:20 246:1	223:6,8,13,18	405:19	300:11 308:8
246:18 259:24	community	company	308:13 312:8
266:6 278:15	171:12,18,22	209:13 210:16	313:20,22
278:19,23	172:5,22	248:2 251:23	comparisons
281:6 337:5	176:15 177:10	257:24 258:7	283:11
338:8 341:14	178:18 186:17	259:17 288:13	competing
343:10 352:9	187:23 203:2	304:20 317:3,8	162:8 247:17
363:12 377:16	222:3 226:4,5	347:13 351:23	279:22 280:1
384:3 392:18	230:16 234:18	371:4	competition
401:20	234:19 236:19		306:23 308:19

[competition - consider]

Page 23

395:6,18	247:18 354:7	concluded	77:5 93:12
396:14	388:9	393:7	98:19 99:21
competitive	component	concludes	100:15 101:20
162:22 225:11	20:18	154:16 158:14	133:2 136:3
309:5	composed	159:4 167:24	140:7 146:22
competitors	22:10	concluding	238:9 329:23
223:12	compounds	68:18	confirmed
complaining	185:14	conclusion 69:2	100:12 133:9
209:23	comprehensive	408:16	confirming
complaints	174:10 213:4	conclusions	97:23 295:13
182:23	407:5	33:13 351:8	confirms
complete 5:18	comprehensi	390:15	125:21 139:11
43:7 220:23	79:11,19 81:12	concrete 224:1	confused 344:3
326:19	computers	224:3,14,15,18	357:8
completely	49:14 54:2,3	condition	congestive
36:22 114:5	concentrated	179:10 180:3	230:23
145:12 316:24	221:12	conditions	congregations
371:17	concept 61:17	127:16 128:2,7	176:2
compliance	concern 34:2	128:10 171:15	congress
127:13 130:22	183:9 232:21	172:1 178:22	368:15
131:17 166:21	concerned	179:7 181:10	connected
246:8 353:8	30:20,22 91:15	185:15,19	186:19
354:17,23	216:21 233:6	conduct 8:5	connection
355:9,24	234:1,18 367:2	conducted	135:11 212:18
356:17 357:11	concerning	174:9 195:8	consequences
complicating	177:24	337:18 395:16	180:15 202:11
371:18	concerns 18:20	conducting	203:4 208:9
complications	101:13 115:1	59:24	224:6 225:23
179:22	172:5 214:18	confer 130:9	consider 54:15
compliment	217:2	confident	95:14 149:6
321:12	concert 214:5	228:23	151:11,21
comply 31:24	conclude 12:3	confirm 37:19	213:11 222:20
34:8 129:2	89:2 149:5	55:23 63:24	314:19 387:19
137:24 161:16	227:22	66:16 69:10	391:7,16 392:6

[consideration - copies]

Page 24

consideration	consumer 15:5	366:14	261.10 16
123:7 153:8	16:4 214:8	continued	364:10,16 370:22 371:12
222:15 225:23	217:21 344:1	0 0 0 0 0 0	391:13,15
350:20 351:18	consumers 16:9	45:12,16 65:20 65:22	·
		continues	392:5,23 controverts
400:7,18	54:14 80:14		
considerations	100:6 159:17	72:11 73:14	75:2
239:5	consumes	157:13	convenient
considered	172:9	continuing	63:19
183:16 291:24	consumption	229:1	conventional
336:18	172:11 306:24	continuous	241:21
considering	contact 175:11	173:23,24	conversation
371:14 391:8	contained	226:11	86:4 363:11
391:17 392:7	393:17	contract 116:2	conversations
considers	contains	contracting 5:7	183:11 332:14
386:23	185:14	408:4	converter 63:9
consistent	contaminants	contribute	64:10
338:17 366:5	171:16	193:14 375:8	converters 62:5
consistently	contemplated	contributor	62:12 63:4
222:24	162:20	201:21	cook 112:19,24
constant 28:18	contention	contributors	113:2 204:23
182:4	154:8	181:7	cool 12:19 42:4
constantly	context 80:20	control 1:1,10	178:12 191:13
186:23	165:22	2:1 4:5 61:22	cooperatives
constituted	continually	62:4,11 168:20	217:11,12
274:19 276:20	182:14	170:21 173:2	coordinated
constitutional	continue 15:18	185:21 192:10	194:22 399:21
228:15	47:4 172:21,22	203:5,19	copd 176:18
construct	200:9,12	205:18 212:16	212:21
153:22 156:1	201:16 208:23	213:1 217:22	copied 47:15
construction	226:20 248:2	228:14 230:2	copies 39:2
177:4 224:15	248:21 252:14	235:5 241:5	40:4,16 43:15
332:1	287:24 321:1	245:12 249:8	43:20 48:2,9
consume	321:19 322:1	249:17 252:17	57:11 58:23
111:10	323:18 351:1	347:6 364:6,8	69:18 94:4

[copies - counsel] Page 25

401:10	81:21 82:18	337:11 342:5	174:18 183:6
copy 40:13	86:8,9 87:19	344:21 351:14	202:11 238:6
60:22 147:4	87:20 93:13,15	353:15 354:11	238:11,15,23
153:15 263:13	94:12,20,21,24	355:1,23 359:5	239:5,19 240:2
289:8 323:4,5	95:9,15 96:8,9	359:11,15	240:5,7 279:15
corporate	96:15,21,22	360:2 363:13	281:2 282:1
202:22	98:21 100:1,12	374:2,3,6	287:7,23 297:9
corporations	100:17 101:18	376:22 379:23	298:9,18 311:2
172:7	101:21,22,22	380:4,13	311:4,7 336:5
corr 27:3	102:5,24	381:14,22,24	336:7,8,11
correct 18:23	103:17,18	386:21 387:8,9	337:4 340:15
18:24 19:14,19	105:10 108:14	387:14,15,22	343:9 378:12
20:8,16,17,20	108:19,20	389:14 394:3,4	404:2
21:2,10,11,18	109:1,1,14,15	397:8,10	costly 223:16
21:19 23:8,15	112:3,4,9	correcting	costs 55:5,9
24:9,13,19	113:22 126:11	326:3	65:18 130:22
25:15 26:12	127:17 132:4	correctly 120:2	194:16 197:11
27:15,21 31:6	136:18,19	correlates	206:19 216:6,9
31:12 32:5,11	137:14 138:3	101:11	217:3 222:24
32:12 33:17	148:1 149:12	correlation	225:5 238:4
34:15,16,24	151:3 152:9	65:19	282:3 290:20
35:10 36:5,10	153:3 154:4	corresponding	296:24 297:2
46:23 47:10,11	155:19 157:22	51:1,7	310:17,20
49:5,7,23,24	162:9 166:3	correspondin	311:11,20
50:17,18,23	256:16 264:16	239:14	312:23 313:24
52:2,6,15 55:9	264:17 265:9	corresponds	334:3
56:16 66:7	266:3 267:13	75:10	coughs 177:13
67:17 68:4,12	272:19 277:22	corridor	council 6:3
70:5,6,17 71:4	279:12 282:12	176:23	18:10 373:10
71:7,8,22	288:14 290:22	cost 53:1 54:15	counsel 4:17
73:20 74:2,3	301:6 308:9	65:2,3 75:8,9	9:21,22 16:24
76:20,21 78:14	313:2,21 314:6	75:13 135:22	43:5 365:16
79:2,3 80:4,5	327:21 329:11	135:22 162:22	409:10,12
80:10 81:19,20	329:21 330:10	163:7,17 166:8	

[count - csr] Page 26

			1
count 191:16	couple 56:10	cragg 181:15	139:20 140:4
220:22	57:7 101:4	create 223:8	161:18 162:14
counter 393:22	127:5 130:1	233:16 252:3	166:10,11,14
393:22	131:19 156:15	308:18 313:15	166:15,20,23
counterparts	159:12 231:2	314:12 341:12	167:3 239:1,13
216:15 217:16	249:8,13	344:12 380:17	239:14,21
312:10	254:23 275:16	380:24 394:15	240:11 319:12
counties 112:19	289:22 290:12	395:13 407:4	354:17,23
113:6 115:23	290:18 310:4	created 380:18	355:3,10 356:3
116:1 183:24	335:16,17	399:10	creeping 65:11
200:14 204:24	346:8 352:17	creates 238:3	crisis 179:3
206:16 219:23	352:19,20	246:20 347:9	180:22 198:17
219:24 220:3,4	361:20 365:10	347:11	crisp 191:13
220:17,19	course 28:23	creating 174:21	crisscrossing
221:1	43:19 236:16	creation 118:13	193:7
counting 209:1	244:15 245:23	creative 235:11	criteria 26:22
countless 185:8	305:3	credit 81:16,17	27:1,8,10,13,17
countries 39:13	court 2:3 5:21	131:1 132:15	28:2 29:18
44:7,15 55:16	9:14 10:20	135:11 139:21	30:7,12,16
236:1	13:24 17:24	141:10 166:8	66:11
country 36:18	90:11,13 170:1	167:9,10 238:4	criticism
185:12 191:14	170:4,5 186:9	238:7,12,15,24	352:11
192:8 213:14	189:1,4 203:13	239:6,23 240:2	criticisms
233:17 250:24	228:7 234:7	240:3,7 274:3	352:14
251:2 255:16	242:18,21	319:13 353:24	critique 32:8
330:6	370:1,4 384:5	354:13 355:5	33:7
county 112:17	409:4	355:22,24	cross 187:20
112:24 113:3	covers 136:11	356:18 357:11	254:8 342:14
170:19 171:2	cower 208:24	357:21	404:19 406:13
176:3,5,10	cows 191:23	credits 101:6	crossroads
181:21,22	cox 86:11 87:13	127:13 129:22	202:24 208:6
183:1 189:11	87:21 88:14	130:22 131:3	220:8
204:23 230:17	97:13	131:11,15,17	csr 1:14,15
234:23		135:13 139:15	409:16,18

[cultural - days] Page 27

cultural 208:10	56:19 107:6	dangerous	342:9,19
cumulative	curves 39:23	185:13 201:14	344:17 351:8,9
72:21 73:3,7	44:10 48:20,24	202:17 204:14	351:13,16
73:10 177:8	49:9,17 52:21	244:16 245:1	378:1 394:23
183:12,16	53:10	254:15	395:8,12,13
191:10	curving 46:20	dangers 188:10	401:24 402:22
curbside 77:16	customer 63:5	dark 176:10,11	402:24 403:2,2
curious 286:18	63:7 210:5,5	data 96:8 97:4	403:6
317:19	cut 202:15	100:11,16,24	date 349:23
current 56:15	cutting 62:23	101:16 102:16	david 230:2
72:12 133:11	64:5 368:4	102:22 103:5	day 7:3,6,8
133:22,22	cv 256:9 373:24	104:2,14,19	12:3 59:5
140:1 144:9	cycle 149:11	108:9 112:16	97:17 98:6
167:5 188:1,6	150:24 153:9	112:20,21	129:13 136:16
222:18 224:24	155:18 173:23	139:2 140:24	138:21 160:9
225:4,22	cyclist 231:22	154:1,4 156:2	171:10 190:24
310:17,20	d	177:23 219:10	195:15 201:24
311:4 378:8	d 20:11 111:18	219:14,21	203:4 225:6
384:6 389:19	189:7 196:2,2	220:2,16,18,22	247:24 321:17
389:23 398:2	d.c. 258:4	220:23 221:9	321:18 343:24
currently 81:19	d8 123:16,24	242:3 249:13	351:2 370:24
82:2 110:23	124:7,12	249:21 263:6	373:18 383:14
130:18 140:22	daily 171:21	264:3,12,13,15	407:9
193:6 194:5	193:11 194:3,7	265:23 266:11	day's 408:16
229:4 332:2	216:16	266:15,17,18	days 7:2 59:18
401:20 406:4	dake 170:11	277:17 281:7	60:12 86:19,19
currie 2:6 4:20	173:8,9,10	281:11 286:16	95:4,5 96:19
228:23 230:2	175:17	286:18,23,24	96:20 97:1,1
243:20	dale 186:6,7	287:3,4,18	97:10,24 98:1
cursive 190:13	189:2,6,7	292:5,16	98:2 144:5,5
curtail 35:15	dana 2:18	302:23 306:11	182:12,18,19
curve 49:7 53:7	danger 220:13	308:24 309:1	183:2,4,5
53:17 54:4	ualigei 220.13	311:23 312:12	187:13 193:14
55:17,22 56:5		312:16 340:23	197:1 219:8

[days - defense] Page 28

241:17,19,24	97:8,20 98:14	132:16 136:1	105:4,18,20
374:11 393:13	98:18,22 99:10	136:10,14,20	106:9,10,14,24
dceo 8:7,9	99:17 100:2,10	137:1,8,17,20	107:3 113:1
deadline 42:3	100:14,20	138:17,24	129:14 136:17
43:4 59:1,5	101:14,19,23	140:6,11,18	137:11 264:21
307:1 374:11	102:3,9,15,21	141:4,13,18	decided 191:16
deadlines 60:4	103:4,8,11,15	142:3,5,24	231:6
deal 149:10,17	103:19 104:17	143:17,23	decipher 71:11
149:20 150:3	104:21 105:3,8	144:21 145:14	decision 5:18
153:21	105:13,17	146:4,8,18,21	5:19 93:24
dealer 93:16	106:1,6,13,23	147:3,7,17,21	209:2 228:18
95:3 96:18,20	107:9,14 108:3	148:1,21,22	234:5,7
161:21 241:16	108:8,17,21	149:4,8,15,24	decisions
255:19	109:2,9,17	150:11,20	172:13,15
dealers 5:3	110:1,7,11,16	151:9,16,20	258:3
16:9 90:2 91:6	110:22 111:4	152:5,12,17,21	decline 103:16
91:7 255:18,20	111:11,16,21	153:6,12,17	103:22
259:20	112:6 113:12	154:3,13 155:4	declined 94:11
dealership 91:5	113:24 114:6	155:16,23	declining 8:10
91:14 97:4	114:13,20	157:20 158:3,9	decrease 29:19
dealerships	115:5 116:7,12	158:13 159:3	31:5 138:7
241:21,22	116:16 117:10	deatrick's	161:8 281:13
dealing 172:1	118:9,12,16,23	148:3	287:24
398:1	119:7,9,18,24	debate 247:24	decreased
dealings 226:11	120:3,17,22	248:23	232:15
deaths 144:3	121:2,13,22	debated 248:14	decreases
179:23	122:3,13,22	decade 177:1	232:16
deatrick 2:16	123:4,11,15,23	278:1	decreasing
3:5 69:17	124:6,10,20	decades 230:7	138:1
92:22,23,24	125:1,7,15,21	249:5 367:10	deeper 198:16
93:7,10,19	126:3,8,14,19	decarbonizati	defense 6:3,4
94:3,8,16,19,22	127:12,20,23	208:22 322:23	18:10,11 196:4
95:6,13,24	128:5,12,17,21	december 6:22	373:10,11
96:5,10,16,23	130:3,7 132:2	10:8,15 104:23	

[defined - develop]

defined 21:24	domorolizing	denominator	description
22:4 24:23	demoralizing 204:9	135:20 277:19	382:24 383:20
26:17	dennison 2:14	deny 245:12	desert 234:24
		•	
definitely	3:3,5 17:22	department 8:4	deserve 171:15
107:22	18:1,6,8 21:21	164:21 218:2	195:16
definition	38:16,22 39:8	219:9	design 125:12
22:23 26:5,9	39:11,21 40:6	depend 173:4	designed 77:15
52:16	40:12,15,20,24	dependent	357:24
definitions	43:24 44:1,3	150:23	designers
183:18	48:1,10,11	depends 23:6	210:11
deimler 272:24	57:10,14,18,23	355:16 356:4	despite 101:5,6
272:24	58:3,6,14	402:19	101:6 203:21
deliver 250:13	60:18,19 61:1	deployed	220:14
328:4 346:1	61:11,12 62:20	173:12,18,19	detail 63:24
deliveries	69:14,19,23	deployment	167:13
367:4	84:17,23 85:3	31:19 32:11	determinant
delivering	85:9,22,24	123:20 173:21	166:7 238:11
281:18 366:14	86:24 87:7,11	depots 194:8	determinants
366:15 368:9	89:4,8,10	196:14	238:6,14
delivery 177:6	159:10,11,22	deputy 153:24	determination
185:24	160:18,22	derek 226:5	43:9
demand 16:4	161:6,14,23	derives 122:5	determine
121:11 210:5,5	162:4,7,11,18	describe 34:6	209:2 239:10
216:24	163:3,13,20	49:1 61:20	determines
demanding	164:1,8,14,22	275:23 285:18	239:22 240:7
198:7,8,8	165:2,7,14,21	described	determining
demands	166:2,5 167:12	56:20 57:4	28:9 151:12,22
324:15	168:3,8,11	88:24 342:18	153:8
democracy	237:18,19,20	384:14	devastating
228:20	238:13 239:2,8	describes	180:15 204:3
democrat 7:18	239:17 240:4	271:18	devastation
demonstrative	240:13	describing 35:6	183:11
42:14,23	dennison's	226:17 319:17	develop 5:17
	43:12	319:18	49:19 68:1

[develop - discussion]

Page 30

76:7 164:4,10	282:2 305:8	difficulties	disadvantage
developed 16:4	322:23 325:5	104:16	223:11 247:16
62:18	327:14 343:20	dig 198:16	disagree 320:7
developing	367:12 379:10	281:11	320:8,12,14
80:9 187:18	diesels 193:12	dignity 172:19	356:21 390:17
development	difference 63:2	dilemma	disagreeing
79:2 80:23	63:20 66:21	226:13	32:21 274:12
218:13,21	74:8 210:17	dioxide 176:13	disagreement
deylami 195:20	213:15,16,20	dipping 38:20	33:5 64:16
196:1,2,19	214:20 236:6	dips 46:9,21	disagreements
diagnosed	302:7,10	direct 91:8 93:4	31:18 58:16
199:8 226:10	316:24 346:24	175:8 260:22	disaster 204:3
diagnostics	347:3	303:10 336:20	204:6
62:6,13 63:3	differences	390:8 392:12	discernible
63:11	381:2	395:20 399:16	392:24
died 179:22	different 7:14	directed 6:17	disconcerting
212:20,21	14:11 28:9	78:13 407:12	206:20
diesel 53:10	29:3 31:9	direction	discrepancy
171:5 177:5,16	44:15 52:3	134:21 210:22	120:9 227:7
177:24 181:22	55:15,16 73:19	328:20	discuss 7:4,11
184:1,14,18,20	74:16,17 98:23	directly 181:6,6	23:16 75:15
185:5,10,13	114:1 120:15	186:19,24	76:6
186:23,24	120:16 125:3	187:14 191:7	discussed 28:1
187:16 191:8	154:7 200:1	376:14 389:24	67:24 79:6
191:10,17,21	228:12 261:13	402:2	109:20
192:2,5 193:8	280:3,10 294:3	director 153:24	discusses 58:15
193:12,22,23	294:16 305:10	215:2 221:20	333:8
201:24 202:3	305:13 311:12	224:1 244:3	discussing 21:4
203:1 204:24	384:21 391:23	268:10	30:24 73:20
205:9 206:24	391:24 398:8	directors	86:4 127:13
209:19 214:17	404:5	328:15,16,19	164:9 217:6
219:24 220:6	difficult 180:14	dirtier 118:8	300:15
220:16 221:12	225:16 280:20	dirty 204:14	discussion 15:1
222:11 235:12	347:12	206:23 230:18	15:11 40:21

[discussion - doll] Page 31

	I		I
43:21 61:7	disputes 390:21	253:7,9,11,13	299:16 309:24
91:20 161:1	disputing 33:12	263:20 268:8	322:11 338:5
163:22 164:6	38:3 65:6	270:4 271:9	372:10
237:15 256:13	distance 231:7	282:9 283:5,17	dodson 201:2,4
365:1 369:22	distinction 74:4	283:21 284:4,8	201:5 226:6
disease 177:18	distinguished	284:11 288:12	dogs 191:4
205:11 226:10	229:13	289:4,15,19,23	doing 18:14
diseases 177:19	distribute	290:13 292:20	134:5 145:10
185:17	40:16	296:3,6,21	175:14 194:21
dismantled	distributed	299:14,17,20	212:4 224:16
234:4	47:23	300:2,7,18	224:20 230:9
disparities	distribution	305:21 306:4,6	292:8,8,15
112:1 113:11	177:2 191:9	306:7,9 307:13	310:20 312:18
114:9	236:14 278:16	308:4,5 310:1	316:23 343:19
disparity 188:6	278:20 281:6	311:19 322:14	362:3 366:16
303:23	337:14 352:9	322:20,21	doll 3:4 5:9
dispatch 7:19	districts 78:4	323:2,12,15,23	9:12 90:1,4,6
disproportional	194:15 406:4	324:7 325:18	90:12,18 91:2
199:21	ditch 225:1	330:20 335:13	91:3 92:7,19
disproportion	diversity 222:2	336:23 337:2	93:8,9 94:1,7
171:5 215:19	divided 334:2	370:15 372:11	95:12 97:16
217:17 218:18	docket 4:11	372:12,14,16	98:4,15,21
dispute 20:13	384:4	372:18,20	99:1,5,14
20:23 26:13	document	documentation	106:20 110:20
33:15,20 38:5	10:11,18 13:6	309:13	111:1,8,14
55:12,21,23	13:11,16 17:6	documented	114:11,19
84:9,10 141:20	17:17 39:19	232:17	116:14 117:12
143:1,24	40:10 44:5	documents	118:11,15,19
144:16 287:22	47:17 60:23	38:23 39:5	119:3 122:10
304:7,11,13	85:4,8 86:11	41:17 42:22	123:5,10,14
307:12 314:11	87:9 88:3	47:14 48:16	132:11 135:16
390:14	90:21 92:13	59:14 120:11	136:13 144:7
disputed	142:19 148:9	120:12 132:24	144:24 145:18
312:21	164:20 243:9	142:11 253:6	148:4,5 150:12

[doll - early] Page 32

151 5 150 15	10.04.44.4	226212266	110.5
151:7 153:15	43:24 44:4	226:21 286:6	dupage 113:5
155:21 156:4	48:19 58:16	344:13	durability
158:2,6,11,15	60:22 61:14,16	driven 35:17,19	211:10
159:8,16,20	85:17 86:1	226:15,23	dust 182:21
160:4 161:12	87:12 89:6,7	227:7 319:11	229:19 233:18
161:20 162:2,6	89:11,20,20,21	320:11 392:17	duty 6:13,15
162:10,16,19	89:22 133:15	driver 150:19	93:17 124:3
163:1,11,16,24	134:7 163:23	297:18	143:3 174:3
164:7,12 165:5	douglas's 15:15	drivers 16:10	181:1 182:5
168:1 227:24	16:21 17:4	32:1,18 64:18	184:5 190:1
237:1,11,21	douglasville	64:23 66:5	192:11 198:13
238:20,23	230:21	68:16,23 155:1	209:19 221:7
239:11,24	downstate	155:13 156:11	233:15 234:10
240:8,18 242:2	177:24 190:15	177:22 193:20	265:5,5 305:17
242:12,13	218:15	194:9 297:9,10	336:1 359:3
dollar 343:8	dozen 206:23	297:15,22	dwell 196:14
dollars 63:1	230:18	299:1,2,3	dying 180:3
64:6 91:11	dozens 41:13	drives 156:9	200:3
376:5 380:20	dr 2:10 178:16	281:4,18	e
399:12	drafted 230:3	driving 39:23	e 186:10,11
dominant	drainage 398:6	44:10 68:15	189:7 196:2,2
131:1 132:4	398:9	154:21 155:9	349:9 388:3
door 166:22	dramatically	158:20 180:21	409:1,1
doors 231:12	154:22 155:10	216:17 332:7	earlier 11:17
dots 46:13,20	drastically	drop 392:24	14:2 25:12
46:22,23,24	268:1 272:22	dropped 36:23	67:24 86:3
double 99:6	draw 199:13	drove 170:16	90:17 120:6,20
281:15	351:8	197:2 281:6	121:5,9 138:11
douglas 3:3 5:8	drawing	due 104:10	142:20 163:23
9:11 13:22,23	256:22 342:19	141:9 187:10	242:23 251:14
14:3,7 15:2,9	drift 184:2	215:11 328:4	365:18 370:7
15:10,17,19	drive 51:10	348:13	
16:17 17:9	158:19 184:20	duly 18:4 92:19	early 159:15 209:15 274:3
18:3,7 40:13	199:16 214:8	253:23 373:5	207.13 274.3

[early - electric] Page 33

	1		
354:17,23	314:16 315:2,8	efficiency	26:2,3,6,7
355:9,22,24	economy 16:8	111:6,7,9	28:12,13,16,21
356:17	117:15 203:22	149:23 152:4	28:22,23 39:13
earned 356:4	213:20,24	211:9 349:5	44:7 54:13
earning 187:12	232:15	388:6,16 389:1	63:14 77:18
earnings 91:14	edf 159:13	390:3	82:23 86:11
earth 226:22	edge 225:11	efficient 16:11	102:6 114:21
easier 210:20	edison 375:4	157:6,12	115:7 122:18
318:9 367:23	education	efficiently	123:2 124:15
easiest 46:13	234:22	117:23	135:19 138:8
easily 247:23	edwardsville	effort 60:13	144:8,10,20
east 176:2,4	176:24 181:20	199:14 279:11	145:15 146:14
189:12,15	190:19	384:15	147:13 150:4
190:17 191:9	effect 30:20	efforts 171:20	150:14,22
219:5 220:9,14	67:8,12 83:14	194:24 217:6	152:8,24 153:8
226:5 230:15	133:1 141:2	398:10	153:10 155:6
236:15	209:19 219:13	eight 199:6	156:7,17
easy 199:24	221:14 314:5	330:19	173:12,18,21
346:9	353:18 355:12	either 15:3	174:2 192:6
economic 8:4,5	356:14	23:12 48:3	194:6,7 197:22
8:10 19:9 20:2	effective	84:24 93:23	202:16 213:22
20:7 32:10	174:18 383:10	116:18 117:18	216:23 217:11
183:7 218:13	effectively	119:4 138:17	217:12 246:4,7
218:15,21	145:11 146:13	160:7 251:20	246:11,15
220:5 222:23	238:3	286:19 347:22	250:9,11,16,19
245:15 362:3,4	effectiveness	400:10	251:9,16,18
390:12 395:5	381:20	elderly 177:23	262:24 263:1
395:17 396:5	effects 69:11	230:23	267:3,3,17,19
396:13	184:18 187:19	elect 126:21	267:20,23
economically	226:18 231:3	elected 172:16	268:2,4 274:18
394:14 396:21	231:16 260:18	200:11	275:9,13
396:24 402:16	395:5,17	electric 14:23	276:19 277:14
economies	396:13	16:1 22:11,17	282:1 287:23
313:15 314:12		23:10,14 26:1	287:24 291:9

[electric - encourage]

Page 34

			,
291:14 324:15	eliminate 34:13	294:21 303:5	232:12 249:3,4
326:14 333:14	215:24 217:20	305:17 306:3	251:13,15
334:3,7 336:1	217:23	346:22 349:10	346:13,22
341:8 342:13	eliminated	349:14 379:19	348:2,4 349:11
354:3,3 357:22	279:6	384:20 385:13	367:9,13 385:6
358:9 359:3	eliminating	388:5,14 389:9	389:13 393:1,9
368:20 377:17	380:3	389:17 390:1	emit 29:13
403:4 404:3	else's 244:21	emissions 6:11	350:1 394:1
electrical	embodies	19:9 21:5,8,23	emitter 176:21
336:11	224:15	22:9 23:3 24:1	emitting 67:22
electricity	emergency	24:18 25:9,14	69:6
216:24 217:1	183:3 207:6	27:1,9 29:6,14	emphasis 102:2
334:22 335:24	emission 20:14	29:18 30:7,13	emphasize
341:13 362:13	23:21 26:22	31:2,4,9 32:10	80:16
362:14,17	27:1,11,13,17	32:22 61:22	emphatically
electrification	28:2 30:23	65:5 67:7,15	192:17
77:11,15 83:3	51:17 62:4	68:3 141:20	employee 91:14
83:6,20,23	63:22 64:22	143:3 146:11	409:10,12
84:3,6 121:17	66:2 67:1,20	146:13 149:11	employees
121:24 122:15	68:19 69:3	149:23 150:9	223:5 225:12
123:13,17	72:12 108:19	150:24 152:3	398:13
124:1,3,13,23	112:8 113:20	153:9 155:18	employing
125:3,12,24	114:10,14,23	157:12,21	91:12
126:22 127:15	116:20 117:2	166:8 177:9	enacted 83:9
127:24 145:16	118:18 119:2	180:12,21	401:19 402:11
338:16,23	138:10 157:16	181:8 186:23	enacting
electrify 337:5	161:18 166:10	187:14 188:9	380:19 386:24
337:9	175:2 177:17	192:5 195:8	enactment
electronic 42:4	185:23 193:18	199:17 200:19	107:19
electronically	215:14,16	201:20 202:18	encapsulate
86:18	216:14 217:15	209:17 211:13	245:11
eligibility 82:17	217:20 218:5,6	213:12 214:3	encourage
82:20	232:8 239:21	215:24 217:23	175:8 215:22
	283:10 293:14	218:12 232:1	229:12 236:8

[encourage - epa's]

Page 35

260.12	271.20.202.21	andanad 10.17	-
368:13	271:20 292:21	entered 10:17	environmental
encouragement	308:19 319:6	13:10,15 14:3	4:21,22 6:4,5
366:21	362:6,6,8	17:5,10 39:16	8:3 13:3 18:11
encourages	379:19 382:11	39:17 40:2	19:4 76:12
155:1,13	382:17 383:17	90:19 92:1,2	89:15 93:1
217:21 368:15	engineering	242:24 252:23	145:6 152:23
encouraging	8:12	253:10 370:7	158:18 170:14
157:15	engineers	370:13 372:3	171:13 172:12
ended 325:8	210:10	372:11,13,15	184:13 193:2
375:5 399:15	engines 174:23	entering 10:3,6	196:3 201:9
endpoint 29:5	209:17,19,21	41:2 323:15	233:8 254:4
29:6	210:6 285:15	335:5 379:2	348:10 350:12
energy 39:24	285:20 305:8	enters 199:18	363:2 366:9
44:11 70:12,14	315:10,12,15	entertain	373:11 390:12
157:14 203:22	315:19 348:4	371:20	epa 21:15
224:16 306:24	349:24 382:4	enthusiasm	27:17 28:2,20
334:8	404:4	171:19	72:12 73:14
energy's 218:2	england 399:21	enticements	77:2 118:21
enforce 160:13	enhances 81:3	101:7	165:13,20,23
enforceability	enjoy 228:16	entire 155:19	175:9 176:9
245:14 247:3	enjoyment	328:1	193:15 252:18
enforced	205:8	entirely 145:1	349:14 385:20
165:20,22	enjoys 235:18	180:3 346:21	385:21 388:4,9
enforcement	ensure 157:16	348:13 355:4	388:13 389:8
160:17 259:1	203:19 376:5	entities 214:5	389:12,16
enforcing	ensuring 186:2	371:5	390:1 397:1,7
73:14 316:19	enter 9:19 13:1	entitled 4:8	397:17,19,24
engine 23:13	13:5 16:21	206:23	400:8,19
27:18 28:3,15	17:1,11 39:6	entity 219:19	epa's 21:13
35:3 132:13	58:2 92:5	environment	26:22 27:10
161:9 181:22	189:20 243:2	149:18,21	73:23 349:9
193:23 206:24	252:24 253:7	150:3 218:20	384:20 385:6
211:6,7,14	289:17 370:9	228:16 229:2,9	385:12
218:15 220:1	372:4	399:10	

[equally - evident]

11 12 22	1 40	44. 0.15	107.11.10
equally 12:22	escalation	ettinger 2:15	197:11,19
169:19	188:16	3:8 365:9,14	200:18 239:12
equipment	especially	365:15 368:24	241:15 292:9
123:20 231:9	171:2 180:1	369:4	292:21 296:17
250:14 261:9	185:13 187:18	eu 283:11	297:5,10,14,17
296:24 297:2	192:12 201:16	304:17 305:16	297:21 298:14
298:9 366:17	206:17	europe 300:10	298:21 299:1,2
equitable	essence 2:11	300:12 301:12	evaluated
172:12	4:23	301:19 302:10	387:5
equitably	essential	303:24 305:7	evaluates 19:8
157:17	186:21	305:13,23	evan 234:16
equity 170:24	essentially	306:23 307:24	evans 170:7,9
171:21 172:19	109:11 251:16	european 303:4	evening 190:22
er 179:14	354:9	304:19	eventually
187:10	establish 174:2	ev 44:14 45:24	150:18
erm 19:4,13	287:20	55:14,21 70:3	everybody
31:18 32:16	established	70:8 78:11	144:14 168:23
33:6,8 71:1,6,9	56:5 281:22	81:15,18 82:3	210:11,21
71:17,23 73:24	establishing	82:17 88:3,9	213:7 227:1
74:7,11,22	174:19	88:16,24 94:11	244:17 288:10
75:5 119:19	estimate 70:21	94:23 95:18	everyone's
143:10 145:5	75:13 333:19	98:16 100:7	60:20 169:14
145:11 163:6	333:23	102:9,22	evidence 39:1,7
351:4 352:11	estimated 75:8	103:20,22	59:6 65:12
352:15 390:11	75:9 143:12	104:11,22	87:2,5 103:20
390:11,22	188:13 333:23	105:9,14,17,21	232:13 282:14
393:23	334:1	106:8,19	289:3 307:14
erm's 19:8,18	estimates 20:1	107:18,24	317:20,22
20:13,23 32:8	20:6 71:1 75:3	112:22 115:22	322:22 335:2
32:22 33:2,7	343:14	115:24 122:16	393:22
33:13 64:17	estimating	144:18 149:24	evidenced
72:6 75:2,9	277:6	163:18 173:11	319:12
387:6 390:14	ethnic 188:5	173:17 175:6	evident 67:18
		196:5,11,11,17	67:23

[evs - exist] Page 37

	T		
evs 53:8 70:23	examined	167:16 189:2	90:21 92:11,13
95:2 96:18	351:5	260:13 269:4	147:22,24
97:1,4,11 98:1	example 24:15	293:17 307:10	148:8,9 243:6
98:2,11 100:24	32:24 44:24	316:3 323:14	243:9 249:22
101:8,10 104:5	50:7 53:8 54:1	330:19 339:6	253:8,10,11,13
104:10 108:11	54:16 73:1	375:16 406:7	264:4,9,10
108:23 110:17	76:10 115:7	excused 89:22	270:3,4 283:18
112:18 113:2,7	298:10	242:14 369:14	283:20,21
115:16 117:22	examples 36:1	executive	289:15,18,19
121:10 149:12	36:7,13 37:9	223:24 244:3	296:6 299:15
150:18 153:22	37:20 38:11,21	268:9,10	310:9,12 318:3
154:17 155:19	47:3 49:21	269:20,24	318:8,11
156:11 157:14	50:3,20 51:15	311:1	322:22 323:7
159:1 162:21	64:22 65:13	exempt 100:6	323:10,16,23
163:7 194:18	66:5,18 77:4	exhaust 184:1	334:18 335:5
195:13 196:24	78:24 231:2	185:13 187:17	335:10,13
240:1,6,9	exceeded 36:19	231:8,15	370:13,15
exacerbated	excellent 90:9	232:10,11	372:12,14,15
204:6	92:11 195:11	exhaustive 79:5	372:16,18,20
exact 139:24	except 110:20	exhibit 3:12,12	exhibiting
211:19	exception	3:13,13,14,14	55:22
exacting 403:6	160:2	3:15,15,16,16	exhibits 3:11
exactly 50:4	exceptions	3:17,17,18,18	10:3 39:7 41:2
51:13 71:8	379:20	3:19,19,20,20	42:3,15,15,20
191:12 265:20	excess 319:12	3:21,21,22,22	42:24 43:4,6
294:8 306:12	excise 367:17	3:23,23,24	58:1 59:6,17
315:16 330:8	367:20,23	9:20 10:5,10	148:12,15
332:24 339:3	368:13	10:11,17,18	326:18 407:11
examination	excuse 9:21	13:5,6,10,11,15	407:15,16,19
3:3,5,5,6,7,8,9	39:17 84:16	13:16 17:5,6	exist 251:16
18:5 92:21	87:4 92:3	17:16,17 39:16	329:9 331:17
159:9 241:8	113:1 130:19	39:18,19 40:3	331:20 336:6
253:24 365:13	134:13 138:16	40:10 42:9	341:3 386:10
373:6 404:19	143:10 159:20	87:4,5,9 90:20	387:24 395:12

[exist - fair] Page 38

	1	1	
395:14	233:21 345:4	extend 227:19	fact 36:6 52:9
existed 59:18	404:24	extends 111:12	65:19 66:10
existing 76:6	experiences	extensive 150:9	87:17 95:2
78:2 277:5	170:18 171:21	extra 158:10,11	101:3,9 112:14
330:14 331:3	185:7	368:19 401:10	115:22 132:12
331:16,19	experiencing	extrapolation	133:11 139:7
expanded	199:21	341:1	141:9 178:17
258:23	experimenting	extreme 204:5	179:22 245:7
expanding	251:5	204:5	248:15 282:10
217:24 218:11	expertise 8:12	extremely	301:4 313:20
expected 19:8	20:12,23 32:8	206:19 225:3	313:22 315:17
288:1 313:15	33:6 68:1	eye 177:12	348:9 350:20
314:12	195:2 363:18	eyes 177:13	353:17 357:9
expecting	363:23 364:2,4	207:9	400:11
88:15	explain 260:24	f	factor 183:9
expense 252:11	261:6,17,22	f 20:12 124:21	239:22 240:6
expensive	301:10 349:10	390:10 409:1	factors 54:14
216:14 223:2	381:9	face 171:9	111:24 180:6
225:3 239:14	explained 28:8	201:10 280:5	facts 326:13
280:22 288:2	302:10	faced 186:16	failed 64:13
306:2	explaining	faces 280:6	109:12
experience	238:1	facets 258:16	failure 230:24
52:23 93:20,23	explanation	258:17	fair 5:6 30:9
109:20 180:7	301:23,24	facilitate	31:7 32:3
198:3 254:14	explore 215:23	123:19	52:24 61:20,24
256:15,18	217:22	facilitation	76:3 84:13
278:8,10 281:5	exponentially	124:2	88:22 97:7
297:16 309:5	177:7	facilities 177:3	100:9 117:13
361:22 362:1,3	exposed 188:19	facility 236:14	128:4 153:5
362:5,12,16,21	199:22 233:22	236:14	171:16 254:17
362:23 363:1,4	exposure		256:7 264:24
397:23	177:16 187:16	facing 180:17 216:3	269:10 278:4
experienced	207:3	210.3	286:17 290:9
130:9 184:18			296:20 297:23
		I .	

[fair - filed] Page 39

299:6 303:7,9	215:4,5 218:16	73:14 81:5	fewer 207:16
305:5 306:8	235:8 247:14	116:19 143:4	239:13
331:13,13	farmers 215:6	172:17 193:15	field 344:13
354:12 363:24	215:19 216:3	234:2 244:11	347:10
373:18 378:13	216:19 217:3	247:6,6 280:10	fields 182:3
392:3 408:3	217:18 218:14	309:8,10,12,13	190:20 191:23
faith 184:13	218:18	309:17 333:8	fierce 199:12
186:11	farming 235:10	347:1 348:3,5	fifth 233:17
fall 102:23	farmland 177:3	348:12,18	fight 200:13
familiar 38:10	182:3	349:14,22	fighting 347:19
48:19 61:16	fast 10:22	350:3 359:22	347:21
82:21 87:12	76:13 97:18,18	367:16,20,22	figure 98:16
268:9 290:13	158:24 224:12	368:13 388:7	144:6,6 166:22
323:2,3	326:13	388:16,24	167:4 301:21
familiarize	faster 36:3	390:4	341:2
59:4	56:22 98:6	federation	figures 47:8
families 170:18	145:21 150:17	221:20 244:7,9	86:5 96:7
171:9,15	347:16 352:20	255:4,7	120:5,20
172:20 202:7	356:12	feds 119:6	132:12 144:15
203:7 223:5	fastest 39:14	fee 110:17,24	figuring 254:20
230:16	44:8 158:17,23	158:5,7,10	file 42:3,9
family 63:16	father 176:17	278:10,12,14	60:11,13
176:15 177:11	212:20	278:16,18,18	124:22 127:2
200:2,21	fearful 211:17	278:20,20	148:17 169:23
212:20,23	211:22	279:5,6 281:6	368:3 407:14
213:6,19	feasibility	352:9	filed 6:7 8:14
225:19 258:7	174:12 198:6	feel 10:21	8:17,21,22,24
far 108:13	feasible 156:18	175:11 190:23	9:19 10:9,16
117:14 126:18	196:9 402:17	194:19 203:2	12:24 13:4,9
129:22 210:18	feature 49:9	feeling 204:20	13:14 14:2
321:13 365:20	february 7:24	feels 204:2	16:21 17:2,11
379:15 399:2	8:21	fell 45:11 71:12	18:19 19:12,12
farm 190:20	federal 23:22	felt 204:16	19:16,20,22
191:11,23,24	31:11 66:10	374:18	20:11 21:7

[filed - fleet] Page 40

31:16 35:24	288:20 312:5	257:24 302:7,9	92:19 93:3,21
41:5,8,10	326:5 330:14	338:11 342:15	129:8 131:19
42:22,23 48:14	334:11 344:18	371:18	148:16 170:1,7
52:19 59:7,12	349:8 350:12	finding 55:12	173:20 191:3
64:20,21 66:24	354:14 358:22	55:21 143:1	196:6 197:11
70:15,19 71:16	363:14 370:7	302:14 304:8	228:5,5 230:1
75:2 77:21	370:10 372:3,4	304:12 325:7,9	231:14 253:7
78:13,16 79:8	372:9,13 374:9	findings 19:18	253:22 254:9
80:2,7 86:5,18	374:10 381:10	271:12,13	273:19 278:7
87:19 90:17	381:17 384:2,5	273:10 390:21	290:20 297:8
92:2,3,5 93:21	384:17 390:8	fine 69:20	298:18 300:23
94:9 96:6	396:20	84:24 156:11	303:13,14,15
99:13 100:3,5	filing 43:3 59:5	167:9 190:8	319:3,8 353:12
100:21 102:11	107:17 109:24	220:6 233:18	355:11 356:5
102:12,17	110:10,12	310:1 342:21	357:10 372:11
104:24 108:4,5	143:6 215:11	358:12 383:21	373:4 374:23
109:4 110:2,3	filings 107:22	388:2 406:1	377:9,12
111:17,18	119:14	finish 237:2	385:18 407:19
119:10 121:14	filter 210:9	316:6,8,10	fit 382:24
125:16,16,17	final 154:14	331:13 406:16	383:14,20
128:13,18	209:1 223:21	finished 406:8	fits 64:11 194:4
132:19 133:3,5	finally 9:13	407:23	223:17
136:4 141:15	221:5	finley 219:2	five 8:17 9:4,5
141:23 142:18	financed 396:1	221:18,19	56:7,16 85:2
146:9,10,19	financial	first 6:19 8:16	130:18 133:13
147:9 163:4	124:14 166:24	9:2 10:6 13:3	133:13 182:13
241:13 242:24	167:1 216:5	13:22 16:19	186:13 196:6
243:2,7 252:24	333:9	18:3,18 31:21	196:14 209:11
253:5,6,9	financially	34:2 37:4	224:11 227:22
259:11 260:11	402:20 409:13	39:11 42:2,14	228:4 230:7
263:5,10,13,23	find 133:20	44:4 50:1 62:7	236:23
267:22 278:7	154:1 157:7	77:23 83:2,5	fleet 21:13,15
282:7 284:20	162:13 166:15	83:19,22 84:2	23:4,9,11 24:2
287:21 288:7	178:16 231:7	88:2 91:3	27:19,21 28:4

[fleet - frontline] Page 41

Г	1	1	1
28:6,9,14,17,18	335:24	forces 375:7	foundation 5:6
28:20 29:7,10	foia 384:9	forcing 61:17	408:3
29:12,18,19	folks 40:16	62:2,10,16,22	four 384:21
30:3,8,13,21	171:23 247:5	64:4	385:10,16,17
31:4,10 33:9	249:16 332:14	ford 130:23	fourth 88:5
67:13,14 68:3	follow 9:6	161:17	378:23 401:4
68:20 69:4	33:13 40:17	forecasting	fox 2:12 4:24
78:12 108:12	43:16 56:19	334:22 362:17	fracked 201:15
124:3 194:2	80:3 84:1	forecasts	frame 366:16
196:13 255:13	107:6 116:18	393:24	franchised 91:7
256:2 349:19	116:18 119:4	foregoing	free 10:21 45:6
403:17	159:12 222:12	409:5	175:11 235:17
fleets 329:16	241:11 376:7	forget 204:21	freezing 197:24
349:18	followed	form 42:10	freight 233:11
flexibilities	107:19	154:5 224:24	286:9 287:24
138:11 139:16	following 10:4	225:22	324:15 334:12
flexibility	42:7 46:7	format 386:5	334:23 349:2
60:20 113:9	55:16 229:18	forms 403:16	366:15
194:3	375:5 387:2	formulas 386:5	freightliner
flip 102:18	follows 18:4	forth 9:5	272:21
fluid 197:22	57:3 92:20	102:18 184:20	frequent
flynn 2:6 4:20	253:23 373:5	208:23 409:8	180:13 207:5
228:22	food 180:18	fortunate	fresh 191:14
focus 19:1 37:7	234:23	235:22	friend 226:6
44:17 46:12	footnote 288:15	forward 91:19	friends 177:11
104:18 162:5	376:21	175:9 203:21	192:9
193:4 256:2	footnotes 325:6	204:16 208:24	front 48:17
258:9 259:19	footprint	209:3 225:24	86:10 94:5
259:21,22	224:10	fossil 150:23	120:12 190:21
337:23	force 131:1	fought 201:11	200:8 283:7
focused 6:19,24	184:14 219:22	found 121:3	287:1
93:13,16	245:3	143:5 151:7	frontline
142:13 259:23	forced 16:10	294:2 307:9	171:14 192:13
260:9,12,17	345:20 403:16		

[fuel - getting] Page 42

fuel 22:11 26:2	funded 115:22	garage 156:13	256:11
26:6 54:16,17	115:24 396:10	197:24 214:9	generate
54:20 62:5,13	funding 116:9	garden 182:17	239:21 355:3
63:12 109:5,14	397:1,7	234:19	generated
110:19 111:2,6	funds 193:16	gas 21:8,14,23	354:17,22
111:7,9,9	funeral 199:16	23:3 24:1,18	generates
117:14 149:23	further 16:12	25:9,13 30:6	132:14
150:23 152:4	106:7 123:16	30:12,18 33:1	generation
157:6,22 158:5	123:24 124:11	53:9 66:12	175:1 356:18
191:8 210:8,9	145:15 182:9	109:22 117:4	357:11
211:8 229:20	205:12 403:9	145:13 155:11	generations
238:24 250:12	409:9	158:22 185:3	183:6 213:7
251:10 332:8	furthering	188:9 197:20	gentleman
358:10 368:21	228:20	201:15 203:1	129:9
388:6,16 389:1	future 55:9	215:24 217:23	gentlemen
390:3	59:9 60:8	218:5,6,12	167:17 206:6
fueling 188:9	173:3 183:5	222:11 393:9	295:9 406:8
334:7 336:5	185:22 186:2	gasoline 14:20	geographic
fuels 218:5,7	188:21 196:22	15:23 138:2	336:17 337:22
full 42:9 43:7	200:12,22	154:23 177:6	342:19
88:9 117:18	207:13 208:4	241:18	geographical
153:22 186:8	209:4 213:8	gasping 179:16	7:14 381:2
188:24 189:4	311:11,20	gazette 7:18	geography
196:15 228:7	312:2,11,17,22	general 4:17	245:15
245:3 259:4	326:15 345:2	48:24 81:17	georgia 230:22
303:14 398:12	360:7	157:3 215:5	232:6 290:21
fully 64:7 175:6	g	248:15,17,24	290:23 291:24
178:23 371:13	g 141:16	304:22 310:23	292:2
fumes 184:22	186:11	375:14 376:11	germane
185:5 193:22	gains 346:20	generalities	256:12,19
199:18 231:8	gallagher	304:24	germany 36:2
functions 232:2	230:13,14	generally 19:7	36:20 37:1
fund 6:4 18:11	230.13,14	19:11 75:17	getting 76:1
196:4 373:11	232.20 233.4	167:7,7 217:1	144:17 186:18

[getting - goods] Page 43

277:13 317:20	go 7:4,10 10:2	goal 122:16	269:6 282:13
397:6	11:7 12:12	194:17 208:11	285:13 289:3
giant 231:11	39:10 40:23	248:11,12	295:1 303:5
232:2	43:19 48:15	251:13 258:6	313:13 328:23
gibson 2:7 4:14	49:21 58:6,21	258:11 346:13	331:12 332:10
gina 2:18	61:4,9 77:4	407:4	334:18 335:1,2
ginny 219:2,3,3	85:2,11,17	goals 145:7,16	336:20 340:5
221:4	93:5 100:15	146:3 174:18	343:12 344:1
give 9:16,22	117:18 118:5	208:23	345:20,22,24
24:15 40:13	119:23 120:4	godfrey 176:3	350:5 363:10
57:21 90:4	133:24 137:6	goes 110:18	374:21 377:4
128:14 138:12	141:21 142:5	119:19 130:21	378:2,5 381:5
151:8 173:16	178:13 182:15	131:3 142:20	403:20,24
183:14 184:23	186:22 200:20	157:3 188:15	405:10
198:4 227:18	205:1 209:24	207:10 349:20	good 4:4 18:7
228:2 252:9	212:22 232:6	399:9 402:4	18:15,16 84:19
268:15 277:9	239:5 243:15	going 10:22	93:11,19 95:7
292:5 338:11	253:7 262:19	16:5 29:23	95:24 131:18
373:15 381:8	275:1 278:4	43:17 69:21	143:18 148:22
399:12 401:6	299:12,13	77:3 85:16	175:20 184:11
given 143:21	303:16 310:3	101:4 130:1,15	186:12 190:5
199:9 225:11	318:2,22 321:4	131:3,16	192:21 206:5
383:14 391:12	321:10 326:11	133:14 135:22	209:7 221:18
400:6	328:23 331:5	139:4 144:11	224:21 227:5
gives 72:20	335:7 338:14	150:14 160:12	230:13 236:22
giving 122:6	347:16 349:5	161:5 169:8,22	237:22 243:22
150:15 228:8	353:6,18 354:2	172:20 205:2,4	245:5 295:17
238:7 291:18	354:4 355:11	209:14,22	320:23 361:18
glad 268:7	356:14 364:23	215:9 226:20	401:12 406:12
354:13	365:3,11	226:22 245:24	406:18
global 188:14	369:19,23	246:3 252:12	goodness 211:7
219:10	374:21 377:5	254:18 256:3	goods 233:16
gm 130:23	408:12	257:5 258:1,1	327:11 346:1
161:15		263:18 268:21	

[gotten - handful]

gotten 59:21	169:1 195:24	216:23 336:13	294:15 300:5
211:10 235:21	203:14 225:13	336:16 337:14	308:16 311:12
governed 5:11	243:17 257:3	337:23 341:12	335:23 339:4
government	257:18 258:8	362:13,14	guidance
81:5 128:6	260:1 263:16	griping 209:24	258:12
194:24 206:7	271:14 274:13	griselda 170:8	guidelines
244:12 344:7	276:13 287:19	170:13,14	116:19
349:6 388:24	312:14 313:12	173:1	guides 194:23
398:20 403:16	314:10 327:22	gross 379:13	guys 157:2
governments	328:22 333:22	382:9,16	160:10,14
78:3 174:16	334:5 335:8	383:16	161:3 235:15
403:12	344:10 346:18	ground 226:22	236:8
governor	366:5 401:14	333:5	h
246:22,22	401:16	group 175:23	h 148:19
366:6	greater 29:17	189:9	407:13
grade 230:17	57:8 69:12	groups 366:9	habitable 198:9
331:7	111:6,9 185:20	groves 226:5	habits 158:20
gragg 181:15	216:18 377:17	grow 35:21	158:20
181:17 184:11	greatly 387:1	47:5	half 38:13
184:12 185:1	greedy 172:6	growth 36:7,8	65:10 98:12
grandchildren	green 45:18	36:12,14 37:8	170:16 178:3
232:22	greenest 224:8	55:17,22 56:23	225:5 251:19
grandchildren's	greenhouse	57:9 171:7	halfway 257:5
189:18	21:8,14,23	193:13	296:13 300:8
grandmother	23:3 24:1,18	grundy 230:17	385:7
199:7	25:9,13 30:6	guar 23:8	halsted 205:3
grant 377:14	30:12,17 66:12	guess 30:19	hamel 191:18
granted 182:9	188:9 215:24	42:2,6 47:12	hand 133:15
grants 366:22	217:23 218:4,6	61:3 74:13	134:8 179:18
grasp 337:3	218:12 393:9	93:3,4 100:23	314:17 401:3
grateful 190:23	grew 36:2 50:9	106:5 158:15	handed 271:10
gray 232:10	212:19	261:5 273:13	handful 251:4
great 15:19	grid 119:15	279:14 284:3	329:10,12
89:21 139:16	121:4 150:23	284:19 285:20	527.10,12

[handled - hearing]

Page 45

1 11 1 202 2	1 100.10	1 1 100 00	220.2.10
handled 392:2	harris 109:18	hdo 192:23	229:2,10
handpicked	hart 3:7 5:10	195:14 200:21	232:14 254:5
380:22	9:13 242:16,20	205:18	350:13 362:22
hangar 231:9	242:23 243:4,8	head 38:1	362:24 363:16
happen 139:13	243:14,17	163:12 200:1	363:22,22
198:10 210:7	244:2 252:21	385:11	364:13 390:12
210:12,14	253:2,17,22	headache	391:2,8,16
214:11 405:11	254:2,6 263:12	184:23	392:6 394:2
happened	270:11 281:20	heading 271:11	healthier
348:11 358:22	284:5 288:6	273:19 300:8	193:21
405:12	289:9 291:13	318:23 337:13	hear 168:23
happening	296:10 303:6	health 6:5 19:9	169:1 241:1,3
67:14 405:13	304:11 307:22	20:1,6,19,24	400:1
happy 16:15	308:4,6,12,17	32:10,23 68:3	heard 31:1
40:7 157:7	309:2,20,24	68:6 89:16	134:18 187:9
168:4 248:20	310:5 311:13	93:2 141:21	193:22 247:5
252:9,16	317:5 318:5,22	142:17 144:22	276:7
281:11	320:19 322:2,3	145:17 171:8	hearing 1:10
hard 71:11	322:8 324:6	171:21 172:2,3	2:9,10 4:2,5,7
140:24 169:1	326:1 335:16	173:3 175:4	4:16 5:11 6:17
206:2 214:11	339:13,24	177:9,20	6:18 7:3,12,13
214:12 401:10	340:4,8 341:22	178:21 179:3	8:14,16 9:2
harder 239:16	342:22 343:4	180:18 181:24	10:4,7,9,13,16
239:16	347:15 350:8	184:15 185:15	10:20 13:8,13
hardship 183:8	358:12 361:21	186:1 187:17	13:18 14:1
harm 16:8	364:19 365:6,8	194:13 198:14	15:8,12 16:16
215:20 245:4	369:2,4,6,8,11	199:2 201:9	17:3,8,15,19,23
harmful 171:16	369:12,13,14	202:2,11 203:8	38:22 39:4,9
180:21 185:14	hart's 289:6	204:7 205:9	39:15 40:1,5,8
199:18 226:17	hassle 232:7	206:19 207:2	40:18,22 42:3
harming	haul 224:19	207:16 208:4,8	42:10,13,14,16
184:15 226:21	286:2,15	213:17 219:22	43:1,5,18,22
harms 218:18	hd 271:19	220:5,18,24	47:22 48:6
232:14,14		221:10 227:10	57:13,16,20,24

[hearing - highlighted]

58:5,10,20,24	223:19 226:1	406:7,11,17,20	helpful 265:1
59:5,15,19,20	227:13 230:11	407:16	346:19 352:18
59:24 60:3,6	232:18 233:2	hearings 6:19	355:7
60:12 61:3,8	234:14 236:20	6:21,24	helping 197:7
84:16 85:1,6	237:6,9,16	heart 177:18	371:12
85:11,15,21	240:15 241:2	185:17 202:5	helps 284:20
86:19,20 87:3	242:7,10,15,22	205:11 230:23	hepler 16:24
89:9,17,19,23	243:5,11,15	heat 204:5	hereinbefore
90:8,15,23	251:14 252:20	heavily 183:24	409:7
91:21 92:10,15	253:3,15	204:11 235:9	hesitant 377:4
106:24 107:4	268:17 269:8	heavy 6:13,15	hi 92:23 168:16
129:14 134:6	269:12,17,22	145:10 174:3	170:13 175:20
134:13,17,19	270:2,6 282:16	181:1 182:5	178:14 198:22
136:17 137:11	283:3,9,14,19	184:5 190:1	219:3 228:9
138:16,22	283:23 289:13	192:11 202:13	254:2
147:7,14,19,23	289:17 293:17	209:19 221:7	high 56:8 96:13
148:2,6,11,20	294:1,7,17	233:15 234:10	176:11,12
159:5 167:16	295:8,18,23	265:5,5 305:17	182:24 187:22
168:6,9,12,16	307:10,16	336:1 359:3	188:2,4 211:23
168:20 172:23	308:10 310:8	held 2:1 6:21	234:20
173:6 175:16	310:13 316:3,7	66:18 131:12	higher 16:11
178:2,5,9,13	316:11 318:12	131:12	67:22 69:6
181:3,12,18	320:22 321:1,6	hello 234:16	80:12,15 86:12
183:21 184:9	321:9 322:4,6	help 5:17,24	88:10 135:9
186:4 188:11	323:13,17,21	74:7 102:1	149:23 150:24
188:22 189:3	334:20 335:4,7	104:2 131:18	187:4,22 188:3
190:7 192:19	335:9,12 339:6	139:19 147:4	188:20 216:8
195:4,18	339:19 361:11	161:4,4 164:10	233:23 301:12
198:20 200:24	361:15 364:22	164:13 179:19	301:19 334:8
203:9 205:23	365:2,5,11	194:13 197:6	highlight
208:19 209:5,8	369:3,10,15,23	205:19 213:5	153:18
212:1,9 214:21	370:5,12,17	239:9 258:6	highlighted
218:8,22 219:1	371:23 372:7	318:22 373:16	115:21 137:9
221:2,16	372:22 374:11		137:13 151:1

[highlighted - hydrogen]

Page 47

153:14 291:3	hometown	179:13	human 145:17
highway	182:1	hospitals 203:3	172:19 183:7
191:17,20,23	honest 99:8	host 348:16	208:15
230:15 259:6	211:7	366:22	hundred 101:5
highways 192:2	honestly	hotspot 220:11	257:6
220:9	205:14	220:15	hundreds
hired 298:24	honored	hour 11:9	183:2 198:18
historical 36:1	206:10	12:14 167:21	202:7
267:24	hooking 356:23	167:23 169:6	hurdle 235:13
historically	hope 200:5	199:6	hurricanes
62:2,10	225:21 244:17	hours 170:16	204:4
history 230:9	hoped 15:6	187:11 298:19	husband
hit 47:4 115:6	hopefully	298:22 299:3	176:17
135:21 156:8	244:13 321:22	house 248:18	hybrid 26:3
162:21 185:2	hopelessness	households	28:16,22
246:10 250:2	204:20	50:21 51:10	110:23 145:20
290:7	hoping 47:13	housing 63:16	147:12 152:3
hitch 252:4,5	60:23 115:17	180:19	153:1,2,3,21
hitching 356:24	202:8 287:20	hub 220:8,15	154:22 155:10
hold 32:19 66:1	322:9 346:7,8	233:11 280:1	155:17 157:15
118:7 227:2	350:9	huge 127:5	157:21 214:8
holding 68:15	horton 2:10	145:9 214:19	hybrids 111:12
68:16,23	4:16 40:5	231:12 235:13	146:5,12
179:17 202:21	168:6 361:15	276:5 278:3	149:10,17,21
hole 198:17	hospital 144:3	huh 99:16	150:2,7,13,24
holistic 139:15	178:19 179:1	105:2,7 109:7	151:12,22
home 63:17	180:12 199:7	109:16 116:14	152:9,14 157:5
71:18 75:22	199:11 200:3	125:20 142:4	157:10 158:16
77:8 156:13	202:7 207:17	239:7 255:1	158:19
170:20 196:12	226:12	260:16 266:2	hydrocarbons
196:21 251:22	hospitality	282:23 290:11	229:22
homes 50:10,15	222:6	298:7 344:16	hydrogen
191:24 194:9	hospitalizatio	367:6 379:8	22:13 54:17,20
196:20	68:8 178:21	385:11	368:21

[i.e. - illinois] Page 48

	00.00.00.11	22 4 2 4 2 4 2	450.04.454.0
i	90:22 92:14	23:4 24:2,19	170:21 171:2
i.e. 56:9	148:10 243:10	25:10 28:19	173:13 175:9
iada 125:22	253:12,14	32:18 36:2	175:15 176:3
126:11,16	270:5 283:22	37:4,11 38:14	179:7,13,15
ibm 54:2	289:20 323:24	56:15,19 57:1	180:1 181:20
icc 77:1	335:14 370:16	57:6 70:2,9,23	184:7 185:11
ice 95:5 96:19	372:17,19,21	71:2,21 72:10	185:22 189:9
97:2,5,10,22	identified	72:11 73:14	190:16 192:16
98:1,2,5,16	33:21 38:18	75:4 76:12	192:23 193:7
111:5,7 128:23	75:21 79:15	77:1 78:5,22	193:11,15,16
128:24 131:9	identify 79:22	80:3 82:2,10	194:2,11,19
132:1,1,23	219:23 240:24	83:20 84:3,7	195:11,15
133:10 135:7	311:9 318:8	90:1 91:5,12	196:9,22
140:12,20	330:1,5	91:17 100:5,16	198:12 200:9
151:1 152:9,13	idling 182:6	100:17 101:12	200:14,17
152:15,17	iepa 76:13,19	102:2 104:2,19	201:7,12,18
153:1,2,3	398:13,18	108:10 110:18	202:4,15 203:5
157:23 241:18	399:23	111:22 113:10	203:20,22
293:13 294:20	ifb 215:13,21	113:16,19,22	206:8,16 207:1
296:16 297:4,9	215:22 216:21	114:7,15,16,21	207:16,21
298:14,18	217:8,21	115:6,15,23	208:22 209:3
299:3 316:16	ignore 180:20	116:1,8,17	212:19 213:2
317:11 318:6	ignored 151:13	117:1,4 118:20	213:23 215:4,7
318:20 404:3	ignores 218:19	119:4 122:1,4	217:2,7,10
iceland 45:17	iiffc's 110:3	122:18 123:1,8	218:15,16
idea 150:2	ilcs 122:16,21	125:4,9 129:1	219:5,20,23
297:20 402:14	iles 2:2	135:2,23 143:2	220:7 221:24
identical 66:11	illi 354:14	143:14 144:2	222:9 223:3,14
identification	illinois 1:1,10	144:11,13	224:1 228:10
10:12,19 13:7	2:1,2 4:5,10	145:7,11,13	228:14,16
13:12,17 17:7	5:3,4,6 13:3	157:13 160:1	229:3,10 230:1
17:18 39:20	14:14,19 15:2	162:5 164:4	230:15,19
40:11 87:10	15:21 19:10	165:16,23	233:10,11,17
	22:13,20,22	168:19 170:19	234:12 242:17

[illinois - important]

Page 49

244:1,3,5	354:22 355:2,3	222:3 244:24	144:22 145:17
245:16,19,21	355:12 356:14	illinoisan 196:5	152:23 172:21
245:22,22	356:18 357:10	198:2	177:8 206:24
247:4,10,11,14	357:12,15	illinoisans	220:5 258:3
247:15 248:14	359:4,23	196:7 198:15	261:22 336:13
248:17,23	360:20 364:6	198:19 206:22	336:17 344:24
249:12,21	365:23 366:1,2	208:5 346:1	351:5 363:22
251:3,22 252:3	367:7 368:2,8	illness 208:1	396:5 397:3
252:8,14,18	368:11,17	illnesses 144:4	impede 193:13
255:8 256:6	371:6,9 380:12	199:20 207:11	impediment
260:15 264:17	380:13,22	208:3 393:12	174:7
264:18 265:8,9	381:12,19,21	imagine 165:6	imperative
266:1 274:19	382:9,16 383:1	240:9	219:15,16
275:12 276:19	383:10,13,20	immediate	implement
278:11 279:22	391:17 392:5	158:17	72:11 117:8
280:5,13,17	392:18,18,20	immediately	234:5 397:2,8
281:4 291:6,8	392:22,24	139:9 357:1	397:14,19,21
291:13,24	393:8 394:15	impact 8:6,11	398:14,23
294:4 304:18	394:18 395:6	19:9 91:16	400:9,11,20
305:1,1,9,20,22	395:18 396:15	141:20 172:6	implementati
315:10,11,23	396:22 397:1,7	181:6 183:12	194:12
315:24 317:16	397:15,22	183:16 215:19	implemented
320:16 328:17	398:3,19 400:8	222:15 232:1	116:3
329:9,9 332:4	400:19 401:19	258:5 273:19	implementing
333:13,15	401:22 402:11	290:23 318:24	195:14 399:23
335:20,22	403:13 405:9	345:11 377:15	400:12 404:12
336:24 338:6	405:11 408:3	impacted	404:15
339:11 340:3	illinois's 16:8	172:10 193:10	implications
340:18,22	29:17 30:7,13	impacting	259:17 371:13
341:15 344:14	76:8 79:12	217:17	371:16 386:24
344:24 345:7	82:17 108:12	impacts 20:1,2	387:12,17,21
345:12 351:6	118:14 165:9	20:6,7 21:4	important
351:19 352:13	186:15 194:16	32:10 119:16	184:3 207:19
353:23 354:16	218:20 220:3	121:4 142:17	233:12 236:12

[important - indicate]

Page 50

236:17 246:20	366:21,23	inconsistent	281:2 388:6,16
328:19 398:8	incentivizing	74:17 75:12	390:3 403:15
402:21	174:22	247:1	increasing
imposed	incident 145:22	incorrect 139:3	22:21 23:13
165:12 278:18	include 20:1,6	increase 23:10	24:11 30:8,22
278:21	28:21 55:7	23:11 30:3	31:8 32:11
imposes 112:2	207:7 241:20	34:6,19,23	35:2 45:13
imposing 141:6	242:4 255:19	35:14 37:10,14	65:2,3,18
318:5	258:24 264:21	37:17 38:6	66:14 138:1
impossible	277:3 290:1	45:9 52:13,14	164:17 171:7
59:17 225:17	336:8 341:7	65:20,22 67:7	188:10 216:1
improve 175:4	included 77:14	67:15 69:7	increasingly
192:6 194:14	325:22 386:9	101:10 105:5	150:7
improvements	397:9	105:19 106:8	incredibly
391:8,16 392:6	includes 26:1	106:17,19	199:18
improves	96:7 189:12	119:20 123:1	incremental
182:18	193:8 230:16	130:1,16	71:1 72:7,15
improving	364:12 399:4	135:18 162:14	72:21 73:3,8
197:10 249:2	including 7:6	182:12 199:19	73:19 74:6
349:5	14:12 30:17	327:24 343:16	75:9
inability	35:9 49:22	389:1,12	incumbent
216:23	62:5,12 64:10	394:16	54:7
inaugural	79:7 183:14	increased	independent
229:24	194:10 248:18	34:24 35:6	177:23 220:22
incentive 81:9	271:20 286:8	65:14 66:15	221:21
118:21 347:11	337:19 374:10	101:3 117:14	independently
368:22 375:7	inclusive	117:15 144:10	222:2 395:24
incentives 45:3	303:11	152:4 177:6	index 3:1,11
45:4,8 55:7	income 16:10	182:11 216:6	indiana 5:6
77:8 80:11,17	63:16 81:18	216:16,24	118:6 159:18
101:6 115:19	124:16 171:3	217:3	160:2 280:19
118:20 163:10	234:22 403:15	increases 23:5	281:14 408:3
217:13 246:23	inconsistency	24:3 30:14	indicate 88:23
366:4,13,19,20	246:21	31:3 49:11	138:10 375:18

[indicate - interests]

		1	
376:1,10	278:21 279:19	116:13 144:19	installed 70:9
indicated	281:16,21	145:24 150:10	72:9 73:4,12
139:10 222:14	337:6,10	156:21 157:19	73:13
222:16,20	343:10 345:14	162:23 164:5	installing 251:6
319:9 376:17	346:2,12 352:4	196:10 197:7	358:2
377:12 379:4	362:18,24	211:2 216:22	instance 60:7
380:11	363:6,9	217:14 250:20	62:21 64:4
indicates	inequitable	250:21 252:1	126:15 182:20
376:12	172:2	329:2,8,16	191:3 266:12
indicating	inequitably	331:1 334:23	308:21,23
69:11 107:18	233:20	335:20,22,24	institute 39:12
163:7	inevitable	336:11,24	44:6,13 55:13
indirect 91:9	198:11	338:6 340:14	285:5 287:12
individual	inflation	341:10,11	323:1 324:14
143:13 380:18	222:23	inhaler 189:21	325:20 326:23
individuals	informal	initial 107:16	institute's 53:5
130:9 226:15	329:24	109:24 110:10	insufficient
industrial 8:12	information	110:12 143:6	354:10
174:23 177:8	5:12 50:3 55:8	365:21	intact 386:6
184:1 205:13	87:13,18,23	initiated	intended 5:17
industries	104:15 106:3	125:10	intensive 45:8
172:10	133:20 152:1	injection 62:6	intent 29:4
industry 62:18	208:8 216:11	62:13	intention 64:9
87:23 132:7	270:24 271:3	injectors 63:12	293:11
171:7 174:15	293:12 311:19	inland 170:20	interest 365:19
174:24 210:12	311:21 344:7	innovation 5:3	370:22 371:8
210:22,24	375:24 393:17	14:10 16:20	371:12,20
215:20 218:14	393:23	input 125:11	interested
224:7 225:16	infrastructure	252:9	49:17 409:13
235:8,10	15:3 16:2	inside 182:8	interesting
247:22 248:8	22:14 69:22	344:7 383:2,3	297:14
249:1 257:2,10	75:17 76:1	install 333:20	interests
257:13,20	79:1,13,21	334:3 336:5	363:12,12
275:18 278:11	81:13 115:1,10	354:3	

[internal - james]

internal 23:12	introduce	77:5,10 78:2	ita 254:24
35:3 98:11	17:24 58:21	79:12 123:19	255:2 256:8,10
118:3 132:13	147:9,21,24	150:10 164:3	256:18 328:15
135:21 156:6	289:3 335:1	223:13 337:24	363:15
156:23 158:7	365:12	376:12	j
230:5 292:10	introduced	invests 80:22	j 189:8
292:21	42:17,19	invite 369:24	james 2:14,19
interrogation	148:12,16	invited 226:6	3:3,5 17:22
3:1	157:5 282:14	involve 384:13	18:1,6 21:21
interruption	introducing	involved 81:14	38:16,22 39:8
21:20 38:15	58:19	83:12 127:6	39:11,21 40:6
62:19 85:20	introduction	iowa 5:6 199:6	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
94:13 111:15	14:6 15:15	408:3	40:12,15,20
119:8 129:4	91:1 243:12	irreversible	44:1,3 48:1,10
152:20 153:19	254:8 291:2	188:16	48:11 57:10,14
184:24 196:18	365:21 370:19	irritation 207:9	57:18,23 58:3
215:8 271:6	introductory	issue 10:4	58:6,14 60:19
285:9 288:3	262:21 267:11	162:1 170:23	61:1,11,12
293:4 299:10	inventory	170:24 171:1	62:20 69:14,19
314:14 348:7	94:11,23 96:12	199:20 200:4,6	69:23 84:23
378:14	97:15	223:1 235:4	85:3,9,24
intersection	invest 202:18	245:15 248:13	86:24 87:7,11
176:22	216:13 223:7	248:22 314:17	89:4 159:10,11 159:22 160:18
interstate	377:1	391:14	
182:2 251:22	invested 376:24	issued 59:1	160:22 161:6
277:7	378:7	issues 33:9	161:14,23
interstates	investing 376:4	109:21 172:3	162:4,7,11,18
286:3	380:20	187:17 199:4	163:3,13,20
intervening	investment	212:19 217:6	164:1,8,14,22
385:19	80:8 174:15	226:7,12	165:2,7,14,21
interventions	216:9 337:14	245:11 258:13	166:2,5 167:12
180:4	375:4	319:10 320:10	168:3,8,11
intrastate	investments	363:17,22	237:19,20
277:7	75:24 76:7	397:24	230.13 239.2,8
		· ·	238:13 239:2,8

[james - know] Page 53

239:17 240:4	joint 9:11	k	141:1 142:20
240:13	jointly 5:9 90:5	k 189:8,8	157:3 158:17
january 8:16	92:20	kara 2:21	158:23 161:2
8:18 10:9	joliet 170:17	kaskaskia	166:21 167:3
19:13 81:20	171:4	189:9	178:12 219:6
113:2	journal 7:17,21	keep 16:10 32:1	231:15 245:10
jason 2:19	joyce 212:11,15	33:11 64:18,23	257:16 259:10
170:11 173:9,9	jude 1:14 2:2	66:6,9 67:19	277:12 309:7
jason's 258:23	409:3,16	69:5,21 70:3	kingdom 46:1
258:24	judiciary	169:10	knew 130:6
jennifer 2:6	244:11	keeping 186:19	211:15 384:16
4:13 296:5	july 6:16 8:1	196:10	know 47:15
339:9,16 340:2	99:22	kelley 87:14,18	51:22 54:1
340:10 341:20	jump 86:12	87:22	57:14 59:18,21
341:24 342:7	88:18 93:8	kelly 2:19	60:22 64:10
343:2	244:16,19	kept 65:8	65:8 78:7
jenny 212:12	245:1,8 250:6	130:18 231:19	81:11 82:22
212:13 214:23	357:1	key 108:9	85:7 93:15,20
jeopardizing	jumped 57:1,6	343:21	95:18,22
223:13	88:4 245:7	kids 213:6	101:10 102:2
jim 18:8 223:22	254:10	226:8 235:1,3	104:1,4,13
223:23,24	jumping 357:4	259:4 404:9	107:23,24
job 178:20	357:6	kills 198:18	112:14 113:9,9
186:19 187:11	june 6:2 99:22	kind 25:24 29:3	113:10 115:14
209:15,20	99:23	33:2 46:10,14	115:18 116:4
211:5 257:6,22	jurisdiction	66:9 71:10	116:22,22,22
257:23 349:2	382:21	72:20 81:9	117:5,8,24
jobs 91:8,9,17	justice 6:6	82:21 95:19	121:8,9,11,12
183:4 256:10	89:15 93:1	98:9 104:3,3	121:19 126:24
256:23 344:13	170:15,16	115:16 116:5	127:1,4,8,10
344:24	171:11,13	131:1,18 132:6	128:10 129:6,7
johnson 234:21	172:12 202:21	132:8 135:8,10	129:11,16,19
join 192:9	233:8 254:4	139:15,17	129:20,22,23
194:20		137.13,17	130:6,17,20
	I.	zal Calutions	

[know - lawrence] Page 54

	1	1	
131:2,3,5,6,6,7	272:13 273:5	lab 70:12,14	launch 209:16
131:14,14,17	275:4,17 276:4	labor 171:13	209:20 211:5
131:19,20,21	282:20 286:13	297:9 298:18	211:12
131:21 132:7,8	288:19 293:22	lack 117:8	law 116:24
133:8,12 135:5	305:12 320:16	216:21,24	193:2 258:23
135:5,7,10	321:13 326:10	395:8 398:2	258:24,24
139:8,11,12,16	326:20 327:2	ladies 206:6	lawrence 3:4
139:17,18,19	332:9,11	laid 350:13	5:9 9:12 90:1,6
139:23 140:24	336:12 337:22	lake 113:5	90:12 91:3
141:10 142:19	338:10,21	landowners	92:7,19 93:9
142:21,22,23	343:12,22	215:7	94:1,7 95:12
145:6,10 146:2	344:6 345:16	lanes 45:6	97:16 98:4,15
149:20,21,22	351:9 358:11	language 26:18	98:21 99:1,5
150:12 152:3	361:3 367:18	183:17 375:23	99:14 106:20
154:11,11	382:23 383:19	large 33:3	110:20 111:1,8
156:14 157:2,4	398:5 401:3	115:14 158:21	111:14 114:11
157:8,9,11,11	405:8	171:6 220:10	114:19 116:14
160:10 161:4	knowing	250:23	117:12 118:11
166:12,13,17	176:20 220:14	largely 229:20	118:15,19
166:21 167:3,7	knowledge	229:22 259:23	119:3 122:10
168:24 169:12	22:12 67:20	larger 98:5	123:10,14
188:17 191:12	132:7,8 272:21	156:18 219:19	132:11 135:16
191:14 197:15	275:18 320:15	378:6	136:13 144:7
199:17 203:1	329:11,13	largest 170:20	144:24 145:18
203:11 208:1	331:6 332:23	181:7 215:5	148:5 150:12
211:6,16	333:6,11	221:22 250:17	151:7 155:21
212:24 214:11	knows 236:17	255:15	156:4 158:2,6
235:2,3,7,9,12	l	larry 170:7	158:11,15
235:16 236:8	l 186:11 189:7	lastly 13:13	159:20 160:4
236:16 237:7	196:2	late 160:6	161:12,20
238:22 241:19	la 7:20 332:5,9	lately 199:5	162:2,6,10,16
249:16,22	332:16	latinos 233:22	163:1,11,16,24
256:20 257:19	332.10	laudable	164:7,12 165:5
260:2 270:23		388:11	237:1,11
		cal Calutions	

[lawrence - lesser]

220.20.20	10700	20 17 10 1 0	222 10 22 1
238:20,23	left 135:20	39:15 40:1,8	223:19 226:1
239:11,24	169:13 183:10	40:18,22 43:1	227:13 230:11
240:8 242:2,13	199:9 237:17	43:18,22 47:22	232:18 233:2
laws 291:7,8,14	237:24 244:21	48:6 57:13,16	234:14 236:20
291:24	296:17 346:8	57:20,24 58:5	237:6,16
lawyer 42:17	369:18 401:3	58:10,20 59:20	240:15 241:2
42:19	401:18 408:7	60:6 61:3,8	242:7,10,15,22
lead 54:3 67:2	legacy 229:5,15	84:16 85:1,6	243:5,11,15
67:7 74:1 80:3	legal 116:8	85:11,15,21	252:20 253:3
135:23 187:17	183:11	86:20 87:3	253:15 268:17
193:24 217:2	legislation	89:9,17,23	269:8,12,17,22
229:21 353:17	115:9 368:18	90:8,15,23	270:6 282:16
leader 184:13	legislative	91:21 92:10,15	283:3,9,14,23
200:18 252:14	244:10 258:11	134:13,17	289:13 293:17
252:15 365:23	391:21	138:16,22	294:1,7 295:8
366:2	legislatively	147:8,14,19,23	295:18,23
leadership	252:8	148:2,6,11	307:10,16
213:13	legislature	159:5 167:16	308:10 310:8
leading 185:10	117:1 130:13	168:9,12,16	310:13 316:3,7
203:24 374:18	248:20 279:4	172:23 173:6	316:11 318:12
learn 211:13	280:14 365:22	175:16 178:2,5	320:22 321:6,9
232:16	368:11 392:2	178:9,13 181:3	322:4,6 323:13
learned 182:14	length 33:10	181:12,18	323:21 334:20
231:15 246:13	169:11	183:21 184:9	335:4,9,12
learning	lengthier	186:4 188:11	339:6,19
194:13 211:16	175:10	188:22 189:3	361:11 364:22
leave 34:18	lengthy 215:10	190:7 192:19	365:2,5,11
40:4,4 58:13	leniency 182:9	195:4,18	369:3,15,23
229:15,16	leoni 2:9 4:2,6	198:20 200:24	370:5,12,17
235:17 381:5	10:13,20 13:8	203:9 205:23	371:23 372:7
leaving 162:12	13:13,18 14:1	208:19 209:5	372:22 406:7
182:6 193:20	15:8,12 16:16	212:1,9 214:21	406:11,17,20
led 62:3,11	17:3,8,15,19,23	218:8,22 219:1	lesser 135:24
171:22	38:23 39:4,9	221:2,16	

[letter - location] Page 56

letter 8:6,10	lifestyle 63:8,10	lines 164:11	live 156:12
letting 169:12	63:12	258:18 343:17	176:2,15
202:23	lifting 145:10	link 41:18	177:21 180:16
level 37:6,12	light 6:12 93:17	180:9 290:1,3	181:20 184:19
38:13,19 51:15	124:3 143:3	290:6 376:8	190:19,24
71:18 74:1	lightweight	384:7 401:23	192:15 199:10
80:8,23 82:18	151:1 152:9,15	linked 147:15	203:4,17
144:17 166:6	153:1	147:18 185:15	205:12 211:3
172:17 234:22	likely 16:9	205:9	213:21 219:4
238:1,15	34:18 191:10	links 41:13,16	230:14
239:10 348:12	216:13	lion 267:17,19	lived 63:16
388:7 390:4	limit 128:24	list 10:5 12:13	170:18 190:17
leveled 45:16	327:9 388:6	60:15 76:24	228:11 232:6
levels 36:17	390:3	79:5 113:3	livelihood
97:15 171:24	limitations	207:10 228:2	204:8
180:9,11	215:12	271:21,23	liver 199:8
182:24 187:22	limited 118:20	272:12 273:8,9	lives 189:17,17
214:2 363:16	157:19 180:19	375:11 387:1	189:18 204:7
license 92:24	220:19 292:1	listed 93:20	205:22 207:20
247:12 280:17	403:12	223:21 264:10	living 185:9
314:21 315:7	limiting 131:9	273:9,17	189:14 204:15
317:3 350:24	318:6	385:16	221:11
licensed 251:21	limits 182:9	listen 172:5	load 119:20
licensing	215:15 388:16	lists 384:21	loaded 182:7
351:22	linda 233:5	385:17	lobbyist 256:19
life 149:11	line 12:19 27:5	literally 205:21	374:1
150:24 153:9	44:18 45:17,18	little 15:9 21:4	local 78:3
155:18 179:11	45:19 46:14,22	75:16 104:18	172:16 174:16
184:7 187:8	46:23 60:14	113:13 146:5	403:11,14,16
188:17 210:22	84:17 169:17	162:19 173:16	locally 201:11
232:23	182:2 228:3	235:11 257:13	219:16
lifelong 202:10	258:10,14	259:9 285:2	location 220:7
228:10	403:10	322:17 344:2	220:23 233:12

[locations - lowering]

Page 57

locations 7:15	150:17 159:2	291:23 292:4	lots 95:3 96:18
250:24	197:1 241:17	302:3 324:8	96:20 97:4
logic 111:12	267:19,23	327:13 328:1	241:16
logical 139:13	299:15,15	331:18 332:8	loud 303:16
logically 121:7	301:10 350:10	336:13,16	louis 176:4
140:3 396:22	longtime	338:16,21	189:12 220:10
396:23	231:22	358:14 393:7	love 197:19,19
logistically	look 51:16	looks 57:22	197:20,23
396:22,24	69:19 95:18	297:14	loved 200:7
logistics 289:4	112:15 113:10	loopholes	202:8 251:13
logue 186:6,10	116:24 117:2	183:17 184:2	lovely 190:23
186:11 188:13	120:14 139:14	los 332:2	low 16:10
long 32:18	140:2 142:10	lose 204:19	18:22 63:16
58:22 85:18	176:9 204:16	279:7 327:8	81:18 124:16
97:5,9,22 98:8	209:3 236:9	loss 204:18	133:21,21,23
148:13 156:9	249:21 288:16	lost 34:15	135:1 171:3
156:10 174:14	288:16 300:17	109:13 110:19	184:5 192:12
177:16 182:6	300:22 312:22	144:5 183:4,5	221:7 260:12
196:14 212:18	325:6 331:5	204:17,19	261:1,7,12,20
226:20 231:7	335:20,21	393:13	261:22 262:2,8
244:22 256:8	337:4 338:5,22	lot 52:6 66:21	394:15 399:5
275:19 286:2	340:3,5 345:10	98:8,12,16	lower 53:1,20
286:15 321:17	374:24 377:7	139:12 144:19	53:24 54:7
321:18 365:20	385:1	145:10 150:13	55:5 71:6,15
370:23	looked 95:20	160:11 178:11	111:7 120:5,20
longer 16:12	96:1 154:7	199:5 205:15	121:4 135:7
32:2 52:13	166:18,24	209:23,23	149:23 152:3
64:19,24 65:8	310:17 313:24	232:3 236:5	153:9 157:12
65:17 66:1,6	339:11	257:19 279:10	197:15 200:15
66:19 67:19	looking 45:23	344:4 347:16	307:24 331:7
68:24 69:6	67:1,6 91:19	349:4 350:10	331:10,11
94:24 95:14,23	100:19 104:2	361:21,23	lowering
98:17 118:8	142:16 166:14	378:2 400:5	251:13
139:22 141:12	268:18 289:23		

[lowest - mandate]

Page 58

			I
lowest 234:22	249:1 258:3	189:20 196:7	280:21 377:15
234:22	267:12 345:6	201:16 209:2	387:18
lucky 205:12	348:21 376:2	209:21 210:12	man 346:15
lunch 11:10	376:12 382:11	210:20 212:6	manage 362:8
167:20 407:10	382:11,18	213:14 214:19	398:9
lung 177:17,19	383:18 400:18	223:2 224:5	manageable
185:16,17	madison 176:3	230:9 239:15	180:4
187:16 193:13	176:5,10	260:9 262:11	management
199:8 200:3	181:21 220:17	262:15 266:9	19:4 194:22
205:10 207:8	magically	275:4,9,13	398:17 399:4,5
212:20	144:14	307:18 335:17	399:22
lungs 187:18	magnitude	349:12 358:14	manager
199:14,19	386:23	358:18 366:2	190:16 199:1
203:3 211:24	main 31:17	367:23 370:21	209:16,20
luxury 186:18	232:20 245:11	384:15 388:1	211:12
lying 199:11	maintain 398:2	403:24 407:5	manager's
200:2	maintenance	maker 93:24	211:5
lymphoma	194:16 197:21	makers 234:5	managing
231:1	major 138:6	makes 102:3	362:6
m	236:14 271:19	113:12 132:16	mandate 15:22
m 196:2	majority	180:13 188:8	22:24 49:6
ma'am 253:20	131:12 193:6	210:22 211:17	63:14,22
madame	222:9	213:19 219:7	112:15 113:9
229:12 243:20	make 26:23	280:3 347:12	114:23 142:22
made 76:7,13	43:8 60:13	367:22	209:18 210:1,7
76:19 77:5			
	73:6 74:7,10	making 18:17	210:15 211:11
/X'1 XX'19	73:6 74:7,10 75:24 77:10	making 18:17 48:2 110:19	210:15 211:11 216:10 217:20
78:3 88:19 145:5 160:14	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
145:5 160:14	75:24 77:10	48:2 110:19	216:10 217:20
145:5 160:14 172:13 185:5	75:24 77:10 102:18 103:2	48:2 110:19 172:13 180:6	216:10 217:20 246:11 247:8
145:5 160:14 172:13 185:5 199:5 204:13	75:24 77:10 102:18 103:2 121:8 123:19	48:2 110:19 172:13 180:6 184:6 192:4	216:10 217:20 246:11 247:8 247:19 248:10
145:5 160:14 172:13 185:5 199:5 204:13 205:6 209:21	75:24 77:10 102:18 103:2 121:8 123:19 124:19 131:22	48:2 110:19 172:13 180:6 184:6 192:4 228:18 239:13	216:10 217:20 246:11 247:8 247:19 248:10 248:10 261:8
145:5 160:14 172:13 185:5 199:5 204:13	75:24 77:10 102:18 103:2 121:8 123:19 124:19 131:22 131:23 136:7	48:2 110:19 172:13 180:6 184:6 192:4 228:18 239:13 250:9,16	216:10 217:20 246:11 247:8 247:19 248:10 248:10 261:8 280:9,10,11

[mandate - matter]

	I .=		
361:1,3	174:20 354:5	maps 176:10	239:15 274:1
mandated	362:7,9	march 1:1,11	274:11 349:20
48:23 49:5,5	manufacturers	2:1 8:22 9:2	375:6
49:13 215:14	104:8 128:23	10:16 409:7	marketplace
403:13	129:18 131:8	marginalized	240:12
mandates	133:9 135:6	186:17	markets 216:7
215:15 223:10	138:7 139:7,8	marie 2:11 4:17	mary 11:21
223:17 239:12	140:16,20	marked 10:11	408:2
246:15 247:6,6	150:15 194:1	10:18 13:6,11	maryland
249:6 315:20	194:10 197:16	13:16 17:6,17	130:12,12
346:14,16,17	210:10 211:1,1	39:19 40:10	164:17,21
346:21 347:1,1	239:20 240:1,5	87:9 90:21	mask 204:10
349:6 352:6	246:10 250:8	92:13 148:9	mason 195:23
mandating	250:15 255:22	188:5 243:9	198:22,23
118:2	255:23 262:11	253:11,13	massive 115:19
mankowski 2:7	270:17,19,21	270:4 283:21	183:6
4:15	271:4,20,24	289:19 299:14	massively
manpower	272:1,3,7,8,11	323:23 335:13	101:10
397:2,8,14,19	272:14,17	370:15 372:16	materials
397:21 398:2	274:7 307:23	372:18,20	386:10
398:22 400:9	308:17,20	market 35:17	math 37:22,24
400:10,19	309:4 315:9	35:19 46:15	105:14 106:5,7
manufact	316:15,20	49:2,18 56:8,9	106:21 334:1
315:1	317:10,15	56:15,18,21,23	377:4 386:12
manufacture	318:5,20 319:6	57:1,5 78:21	matt 5:10 9:13
118:3 173:11	319:7,20,22	80:4,9,22 81:6	96:11 369:16
315:4,9,11,14	320:2,9	95:18,19 97:15	371:1
317:7 362:6	manufactures	104:11 112:1	matter 1:2 4:8
manufactured	270:24	127:16 128:1,7	107:1,4 171:24
65:16	manufacturing	128:10 131:2	172:18,19
manufacturer	222:5 320:3	131:11 135:24	177:15 182:22
93:24 141:7	map 330:13	138:5 144:9	198:5 206:18
161:19 166:19	331:1,3,19	174:4,21	207:3 211:21
166:20 174:18	332:13	215:13 235:17	229:19 233:18

[matter - mention]

			,
254:5	262:4 273:16	medium 6:12	215:13 217:9
matters 125:4	292:16 294:15	265:5 336:1	221:23 222:8
155:2,14 184:3	302:17 313:10	meet 64:13	222:13 227:17
matthew 3:7,9	319:5 331:17	129:12,20	243:21,22
5:10 9:13	335:21 358:8	135:15,19	252:18 255:20
242:16,20	361:23 366:18	138:14 146:3	256:5 257:24
243:8 244:2	395:11	194:3,17	258:14 267:2
253:22 369:14	meaning	197:17 198:6	395:23
370:3 373:4	143:14 176:11	198:13 216:15	membership
matures 141:2	396:13	216:19,23	255:24 297:19
mckenna	meaningfully	225:9,17 309:6	363:17 395:21
195:23,24	8:13	315:20	memo 268:10
198:22,23	means 171:8	meeting 140:16	269:20,24
mcnally 2:21	172:12 197:18	324:14	270:12 271:22
mean 27:16	202:6 232:21	meets 145:7	273:14,16
28:1 35:17	257:19	349:21	274:9 287:4
36:17 38:7	measure 311:6	megawatts	299:21 300:1
41:4,16 42:1	measuring	119:20	301:10,14,16
48:22 54:14	219:12	melissa 2:20,22	302:12,13,15
62:17 64:7,9	mechanism	16:23,24 17:13	302:16,16,19
65:18 70:7	31:9 125:2,6	41:4,9,15,21	303:1,4,17,18
78:15 80:21	129:15,20	42:1 43:13	303:21,21
81:3,6 95:16	135:14 160:17	86:14,14	304:5,13
97:12 98:7	161:3	member 4:13	306:16,17,21
102:13 104:1	mechanisms	4:13,14,15	318:3,4,8,18
105:24 116:24	101:7	176:1 200:2	memos 318:15
117:2 118:4,5	med 187:2	222:1 229:13	men 345:15
118:6 121:7	medications	233:7,9 234:18	363:8
126:17,23	207:5	244:9 255:3	mention 19:13
127:3 128:9	medicine	388:9 400:3	76:11 77:7,13
132:6 133:19	213:18 230:20	402:3	78:1 127:21
140:14 151:24	medicines	members 2:5	143:9 282:18
154:7,8 207:17	213:18	12:11 91:15	385:19
250:4 261:7		171:12 212:23	

[mentioned - million]

Page 61

mentioned	220:9,14	120:21,24	238:10,18,21
11:17 14:2	metropolis	121:6,21 122:2	239:7 241:23
38:19 49:22	7:22	122:8,19,24	242:5,13
70:18 72:18	metropolitan	123:22 124:4,8	michelle 2:7
73:2 77:20	142:17	124:18,24	4:14
81:15 90:17	mexico 382:21	125:5,14,20	microphone
96:17 127:14	michael 2:7,21	126:1,6,12,17	169:3
133:8 136:15	3:4 4:14 90:7	126:23 127:18	middle 15:14
159:15,16	90:12 91:18	127:22 128:3,8	300:19 337:17
161:7 162:19	92:8,18 93:6	128:15,20	353:9 377:13
164:16 242:23	93:14 94:2,6	129:5 130:5,10	401:2
244:16 245:19	94:14,18,21	132:5 133:7,18	midwest 5:5
251:24 255:2	95:1,10,16	134:3,23 136:9	369:17 371:2
262:20 264:12	96:3,9,15,22	136:12,19,22	mike 5:9 9:12
267:6 272:6	97:6,12 99:3	137:6,12,19,22	89:24 230:13
275:7 334:6	99:16 100:1,8	138:20 139:6	230:14 232:20
343:8 354:13	100:13,18,22	140:9,13,23	233:4 237:1,11
370:6 407:9	101:17,21	141:8,17 142:2	mild 179:9
mentioning	102:1,7,12,19	142:4,9 143:7	mile 28:19 29:1
122:20 164:11	103:1,7,10,12	143:22 145:3	111:5,5
merely 336:10	103:18,24	146:1,7,16,20	mileage 194:4
messina 2:20	104:20 105:2,7	147:2,5 149:3	216:16
met 144:23	105:11,16,23	149:7,13,19	miles 182:2
145:1 271:18	106:2,11 107:7	150:6 151:5,14	191:19 197:2
metaphor	107:12,21	151:19,23	197:22 252:13
244:15,18	108:7,15,20,24	152:11,16,19	392:17
methodology	109:7,15,23	153:4,11,16,20	milligrams
338:19	110:5,9,13	154:6,21 155:8	28:19,24
methods 19:18	111:20 112:4	156:3 157:1,24	million 34:13
28:9 29:4	112:10 113:23	160:8,20,24	70:23 71:21
metric 393:10	114:2,18 115:3	161:13 164:19	76:11,19 77:7
metro 176:2,4	115:12 116:11	164:24 165:11	77:9 78:10
189:15 190:17	116:21 119:17	165:18,24	86:13 115:7,16
191:9 219:5	119:22 120:1,7	166:4,9 167:15	122:17,17

[million - move] Page 62

123:2 193:11	mirrors 361:5	118:17 119:1	378:2,6
194:17 251:19	mischaracteri	133:23 160:6	monitor 127:1
333:13,19	95:17	274:1 307:1	monoxide
334:2 375:3	misery 189:16	319:10 320:10	229:21
377:18,19	mispronuncia	355:11 356:4	month 95:23
378:7 393:10	170:6	356:14,15,19	96:2 97:14
millions 62:24	missed 110:14	356:19 357:10	98:23
64:6 207:21	110:14 187:13	359:14,21,24	monthly
mind 5:15 66:9	193:14 376:19	360:8,14	222:22
365:24	missing 202:10	modeling	months 95:21
mine 183:24	mission 200:10	143:11 362:3	229:11 264:23
minimum	228:13 229:14	modelist 362:4	morning 4:4
123:18 124:2	257:17 364:11	models 194:6	7:20 18:7,14
124:13	missouri	274:8	184:11 191:3
minivan 259:4	198:24 200:10	moderate	191:13 197:24
minnelli 212:12	misspoke	182:19	408:1
214:23	284:16	mohammed	morris 230:15
minor 260:3	mistaken 45:3	109:18	230:22 232:9
minus 105:22	misunderstan	moline 7:19	mother 212:21
minute 11:14	60:21	moment 24:15	230:23
23:18 57:21	mit 146:11,22	37:6 58:23	motion 139:20
84:20,20 85:12	146:23 147:10	109:6 128:14	148:3
161:24 227:22	149:16 151:2	261:16 285:17	motor 6:11
236:23 243:20	151:10,18	310:7 319:17	109:5,13
272:2 320:23	153:24 154:15	319:18 360:12	110:19 111:2
329:2	154:15	364:23 369:20	158:5 229:22
minutes 11:8	mix 75:22	400:4,24	246:18 266:6
85:2 169:9,11	224:18	momentous	278:23 341:14
178:3 203:12	mixed 224:1,3	206:10	motoring
213:21 227:3	model 24:16	monday 59:13	258:21
227:20,20	25:6 26:14	monetized	mouth 324:6
295:3 321:3	36:9 69:8	393:11	mouthful 397:5
369:18	83:14 112:8	money 187:12	move 9:11
	113:21,21	226:24 227:9	13:21 26:20
	113.41,41	220.24 221.3	13.21 20.20

[move - need] Page 63

			,
39:1 87:1	195:6	228:6,7,9	145:13 373:10
99:11 141:14	multi 63:16	230:14 244:2	naturally
147:8 174:22	multifamily	254:2 283:5	121:11
175:1,9 225:24	196:20	371:1 373:8	nature 118:22
247:23 248:1,3	multiple 71:13	384:4	127:11 247:21
259:8 278:5	129:2,7 137:23	names 136:23	248:7
280:18 293:19	166:17 214:2	228:1	natures 248:7
295:19 310:1	248:16	naperville	nauseous 185:6
317:3 320:19	multiracial	228:11	navy 231:5
322:21 328:23	187:21	narrative	near 105:10
373:17 385:23	municipal	375:10 394:21	106:17 176:22
401:1 403:9	201:13	narrow 183:18	286:9
moved 198:23	municipalities	nathaniel 2:16	nearby 286:9
200:9 210:18	403:11	3:9 373:1,7,8	nearly 97:5,9
232:8	muttering	378:15 401:15	97:22 193:10
mover 219:20	361:14	406:6,14,19	202:4
moving 109:3	myopic 183:19	nation 176:8	necessarily
147:20 222:20	myriad 174:12	179:24 201:19	98:7
245:3 254:11	n	203:24	necessary
322:8 345:7	n 186:10,10	nation's 195:1	36:15 58:4,17
349:2 351:23	196:2	national 70:12	184:6 341:12
357:4,6	name 4:6 11:4	70:13 96:6	397:21 398:22
mt 7:19	12:13 18:8	99:23 100:6,11	400:10
mta 374:2	39:5 136:24	101:20 221:20	necessity 186:1
386:23 387:11	170:5,13 173:9	331:23 332:12	neda 195:20
388:4,8,13,15	175:22 178:15	384:10 391:5	196:1,1,19
388:23 389:2,5	181:19 184:12	nationally	need 47:15 49:6
389:8,16 390:2	186:8 189:1,4	340:16	58:12,18 70:3
391:6,15,19	189:7 196:1	nationwide	70:8 71:2 72:9
392:4 395:1,4	198:22 201:4	244:7 255:6,7	77:17 130:8
395:16 396:8	203:16 206:6	337:10 341:15	145:23 164:23
396:12	209:10 215:2	native 386:5	167:14 177:23
mudd 190:12	221:19 223:24	natural 6:3	178:1 194:19
190:13 192:21		18:10 117:3	197:17 220:21

[need - non] Page 64

	,		
234:4 250:14	neighboring	24:2,17,18	359:3 367:19
276:14 281:19	161:22 176:23	25:7,9,18	399:20
288:9 315:6	223:12	27:18 28:4	newer 67:10,22
345:13 346:1	neighbors	29:17,19 30:3	315:22 367:12
377:17 398:13	177:12 230:24	30:7,13 31:4	379:19
needed 36:9	neither 16:2	32:17,20 49:1	newest 113:1
70:22 71:21	409:9,11	52:4,22 53:2	newly 103:16
75:4,11,23,24	nerve 221:5	54:6 56:6 65:9	news 7:18,18
78:8 116:5	nescaum	67:13 68:20	7:20 375:13
142:7 198:16	194:21 399:20	69:3 84:17	newspapers
211:5 366:15	400:11	85:7 88:6 91:7	7:14
378:3 397:14	nescaum's	102:21 103:22	nfib 221:21
needle 210:18	400:16	106:19 107:2,5	222:1,8
needs 10:23	net 20:2,7,13	108:18 143:4	nfib's 222:22
75:21 79:14	20:18 67:12	159:24 177:3	nice 49:7
125:3 183:20	216:4 218:4,6	196:21 204:3	nick 201:2,4,4
196:23 208:10	netherlands	211:6,13	226:6
338:6	45:18	213:22,24	nicole 206:1,5,7
negate 381:24	network 6:6	222:11 249:24	208:21
negative 216:4	76:8 89:16	250:3,3 251:18	night 156:14
218:6 386:24	93:2 144:20	260:14,18,23	nikola 267:22
387:11,17,21	162:23 163:19	261:1,23 262:3	nine 220:4
neglect 188:21	163:21 164:10	262:9 264:15	246:16 358:4
negotiable	254:4	264:18 265:4,5	377:20 407:11
208:15	networks	265:23 274:18	nitrogen
neighborhood	163:23	275:20 276:7	176:13 229:21
6:7 18:12	never 49:5 82:8	276:21,22	nitrous 176:13
159:14 188:2	182:8,18	277:17,20	noah 219:2
203:18 205:16	183:16 211:10	281:2 282:2	221:18,19
234:21,23	297:17 359:2	311:16 322:20	nodding 116:15
373:12	362:10 381:5	341:2 344:7	324:10 385:11
neighborhoods	new 6:8 14:13	346:21,22	non 48:23
16:13 228:12	15:23 16:1	348:4 349:15	49:13 66:22
	22:21 23:3,7	349:19,24	138:8 208:15

[non - objections]

Page 65

401:22 notes 338:11 51:19 52:10 noncompliance 340:9 68:7 70:20,2 130:15 131:2 notice 7:13 71:5,20 72:3	24 120:15,16
130:15 131:2 notice 7:13 71:5,20 72:3	,
	1
1417 1052 70.016160	3,7 134:8 135:9
141:7 105:3 72:8,16,16,2	21 139:24 176:12
noncompliant noticed 190:24 73:3,7,11,17	7,20 179:15 200:1
159:17,24 191:4 74:5,6 75:10	282:4,5 284:4
379:1 noting 86:24 90:20 92:11	286:20 300:19
nonprofit 246:20 98:5,11 100:	:24 341:4
194:23 244:4 notoriously 110:3 112:1	8 numerator
noon 11:10 377:3 138:8 148:8	135:18 277:13
167:17 november 154:7 167:2	277:16
norfolk 205:5 264:19 176:21 191:	16 numerical
north 170:20 nox 6:15 18:22 195:9 201:20	0 386:8
190:20 233:13 143:3 181:2 222:24 224:	7 numerous
280:1 337:20	7 104:8 107:17
338:2 342:10 211:21 214:18 246:6 270:13	3 132:9,9
northeast 221:8 249:3 274:22 276:	17 nutritious
194:21 260:12 261:1,8 277:11,17	180:18
norway 44:18 261:12,20,22 285:4 300:10	6 o
45:4 262:2,8 349:11 301:3 310:9	o 186:11 189:8
norway's 44:19 394:15 399:5 312:21 314:	20 189:8
45:11 npr 219:8 315:18 318:	3,8 oath 134:12
nose 207:9 nrdc 109:20 323:7 326:2	1 object 42:10
note 12:21 42:2 159:13 327:12,24	133:15
42:12 82:4 nrdc's 109:21 334:4 341:6	objection 42:6
105:9 111:21 nrel 70:14,21 343:8 354:19	9 86:16,21 87:1
145:4 169:18 71:7,24 72:1,1 373:23 377:	11 87:6 407:18
266:4 72:2 74:5,12 384:5 392:1	7 objections 41:2
noted 77:21 74:20,22 75:6 394:16 398:	12 148:3,4,7
86:21 87:6 nrel's 71:19 numbered	269:23 283:16
104:22 134:20 number 4:11 168:21	289:14 323:15
368:18 390:11 9:1 10:15 23:6 numbers 52:	:12 335:5
407:19 23:14 32:17 71:12 74:8,1	12
50:15 51:1,8 74:15,23 97:	:23

[obligation - officer]

obligation	odd 73:1	10:20 13:8,13	198:20 200:24
235:15 408:4	odds 187:24	13:18 14:1	203:9 205:23
obligations	188:3	15:8,12 16:16	208:19 209:5
405:2	odor 191:10	17:3,8,15,19,23	212:1,9 214:21
obliterated	oem 306:23	38:23 39:4,9	218:8,22 219:1
231:19	307:23 319:5	39:15 40:1,5,8	221:2,16
observance	oems 118:2	40:18,22 43:1	223:19 226:1
134:20	174:22 267:24	43:18,22 47:22	227:13 230:11
obstruction	273:24 274:10	48:6 57:13,16	232:18 233:2
226:9	319:4,9	57:20,24 58:5	234:14 236:20
obtain 239:16	offer 14:5	58:10,20,24	237:6,16
382:21	52:22 90:24	59:15,20 60:3	240:15 241:2
obtained	108:9 137:4	60:6 61:3,8	242:7,10,15,22
265:24	157:11 169:5	84:16 85:1,6	243:5,11,15
obviously	194:1 217:13	85:11,15,21	252:20 253:3
28:13 91:15	243:12 263:18	86:20 87:3	253:15 268:10
93:16 98:12	352:11,14	89:9,17,23	268:17 269:8
104:11 117:5	370:18	90:8,15,23	269:12,17,20
121:7 126:24	offered 80:12	91:21 92:10,15	269:22,24
127:9 128:10	377:18 388:20	134:6,13,17	270:6 282:16
131:7 145:9	offering 81:3	138:16,22	283:3,9,14,23
occasion	82:5 259:12	147:8,14,19,23	289:13 293:17
206:11	289:14	148:2,6,11	294:1,7,17
occur 67:8	offerings	159:5 167:16	295:8,18,23
68:17,24	317:12	168:6,9,12,16	307:10,16
402:18,23	offers 53:1	172:23 173:6	308:10 310:8
403:1	80:17 81:8	175:16 178:2,5	310:13 316:3,7
occurred 7:23	82:2	178:9,13 181:3	316:11 318:12
occurring	office 2:2 12:18	181:12,18	320:22 321:6,9
100:16 134:20	60:14 100:17	183:21 184:9	322:4,6 323:13
occurs 355:17	112:22 169:17	186:4 188:11	323:21 334:20
october 282:10	218:3	188:22 189:3	335:4,9,12
301:4 313:19	officer 2:9,10	190:7 192:19	339:6,19
	4:2,16 10:13	195:4,18	361:11,15

[officer - okay] Page 67

364:22 365:2,5	22:16 23:1,16	98:14,18 99:10	262:19 263:22
365:11 369:3	23:22 24:14	101:14,19,23	266:8 268:14
369:15,23	25:4 26:8 29:2	103:11 106:1	269:22 270:15
370:5,12,17	29:11,21 30:5	106:23 107:14	273:2,18,18
371:23 372:7	31:7,13 32:16	110:16 114:1	274:13,16
372:22 406:7	33:23 34:1	118:11,15	275:1,3,3,6
406:11,17,20	36:24 37:5,23	119:7 121:13	276:3,11,16
officer's 374:11	38:9 39:8,15	124:8,9,10	278:17 282:16
officers 4:7	40:1,6,20 41:9	128:20 130:10	286:17 288:18
6:17 134:19	43:1,22 44:17	130:11 137:8	288:22 289:11
official 84:20	45:10 46:5	137:19 143:17	290:5,8,15,17
officials 172:16	47:1,21 48:10	147:19 148:6	291:17 292:18
oh 30:4 43:13	48:18 49:8,16	154:13 158:13	295:18 296:12
54:16 57:18	50:7 51:21	159:5 160:20	297:8,13 298:5
79:9 85:3	52:8 53:15	160:21,24	299:12 300:13
132:7 149:19	54:22 55:2	161:6,14 162:6	300:14,21
151:5 189:3,6	57:10,18,19,23	163:20 164:1	301:7,9,22
232:20 257:5	58:5,14,20	164:22 166:5	302:6,22 303:3
269:1 275:1	60:6 61:5,8,11	167:12,15	303:8,12,20
290:4 291:17	63:6,23 64:15	168:3,8 169:1	304:5,14
299:21 306:18	66:23 67:5	170:10,11	305:15 306:20
318:12 323:13	69:14,16,16	173:8 178:4	307:15 308:6
325:11 326:10	70:13,19 72:13	181:18 186:7	311:3,8,17
326:16 328:14	72:24 73:16,17	190:8,13	313:8,9,12
332:7 339:4,8	73:22 74:24	195:24 206:4	314:7 315:16
339:12,18	75:7,15,19	208:21 221:4	318:2 320:6
340:4 341:18	76:18 78:9,17	236:20 237:13	322:4 323:9
368:6 404:21	80:16 81:10,22	237:16 238:18	325:1,11 327:3
oil 197:20	82:1,13 83:13	239:17 240:13	328:22 329:3
oily 232:11	84:10 85:3,5,9	240:15 242:6,8	330:21,23
okay 13:18	85:10 86:9,23	242:22 243:15	332:13 333:17
16:16 19:1,16	87:7 88:22	255:22 256:1	336:20 339:18
19:24 20:17	89:9,18 90:15	258:17 260:8	340:11 342:1,7
21:3,19 22:8	91:21 94:3,18	261:5 262:18	343:4 353:21

[okay - oregon] Page 68

254 21 250 16	1 1 (2 (251 2 202 6 0	• 4
354:21 358:16	onboard 62:6	351:2 382:6,9	opposite
360:16 361:15	62:13 63:3,10	382:15 394:17	211:19
361:17 364:3	once 53:7 56:10	operation	opposition
364:22 369:3	90:10 182:3,8	28:13,15,22	95:22 347:8
369:10 370:5	185:1 197:3	173:23,24	option 129:11
370:12 372:7	370:1	194:15	135:12 136:18
373:22 374:7	ones 37:1 41:20	operations	139:10,22
374:21 379:24	79:6,14,22	28:11 248:4	140:3,5,8,10,11
380:9 382:2	188:19,20	377:16 397:2	141:11,12
383:23 385:24	200:7 202:8	operators	185:24
386:3 390:6	235:12 276:22	255:13,15,15	options 131:22
394:10 396:18	346:9 350:2,10	256:2 331:24	oral 11:11
397:11,18	384:22 385:12	opinion 154:5	12:21 169:18
398:7,21 399:6	389:23,23	275:11 309:2	orange 153:18
400:23 401:6,8	online 12:2	352:3 354:10	173:11,17
401:13,17	onset 176:19	381:17 387:23	175:6
404:21 406:6	ooh 353:5	388:18,21	oranges 97:17
406:19	377:6	oplt 181:14,19	98:10 309:22
old 32:19 64:18	open 231:12	181:19 183:23	317:6
64:23 66:6	253:16 331:4	opportunities	orchard 278:3
67:14 68:16	332:3 366:12	215:23 217:22	order 9:3 13:19
193:12 205:1,3	opening 9:22	232:23	31:23 42:12,13
382:5	9:24 266:24	opportunity	42:13 43:8
older 16:11	275:8	8:5 14:9	45:5 58:24
32:1 67:9,19	operate 175:6	173:13 175:21	138:9,14
67:21 69:5	212:8 276:10	178:8 186:14	161:15 246:17
118:7 350:1	286:8,12	192:22 198:3	292:5 315:20
380:3	345:16 371:5,9	201:8 230:9	336:5 343:18
omnibus 6:15	379:10 380:5	234:12 356:9	398:13
181:1 184:5	383:13 401:20	356:12	orders 59:15
190:1 192:12	operated	opposed 201:14	60:3
202:14 221:7	383:19	222:10 250:11	oregon 316:2
234:10	operating	250:12	318:1 405:6
	258:20 349:13		

[organization - page]

Page 69

organization	outsourcing	345:15 351:8,8	44:12 45:23
11:4 215:5	400:24 402:8	351:13	46:3,4 53:13
221:22 222:7	402:12,15,23	owned 222:2	53:13 55:12
328:20,21	403:3,20 404:7	225:19	56:3 69:24
371:3,16 396:5	405:2,18	owner 196:5	70:18 88:3,12
organizations	outweigh 68:19	owners 20:15	94:9,15,16
259:22	69:2,7 387:17	194:2 196:11	96:14 97:3
organize	outweighs	223:3,7	98:19 99:12
171:12	387:2	ownership	100:2,18,21
organizer	overall 20:6	186:17 287:23	102:10,11,17
170:15	24:11 31:4	owning 154:23	104:24 108:4
organizing	33:9 37:6	155:11	111:18 119:10
190:16	38:19 46:7	oxide 176:13	121:14 128:13
orientation	66:8,13 67:7	oxides 229:21	132:20 138:18
208:17	67:15 68:3	p	138:21 141:14
original 136:2	71:15 344:20		143:5,9 146:9
137:18 146:24	overburdened	p 230:2 p.m. 408:14	146:24 147:10
150:1,5 174:1	207:15	pace 37:10,20	150:4,21 151:4
274:24 313:8	overcoming	38:3 49:1,18	152:6,22
325:23 326:9	124:17	70:3	241:13 259:10
326:17,19	overlaps	pack 174:1	262:21 271:8
ornament	259:15	package 206:13	273:12,19
54:21	overnight	packet 249:23	274:17 276:14
ought 392:5	194:8 198:10	packet 249.23 pads 182:4	281:24 288:20
outcomes 68:4	overwhelming	page 3:3,5,5,6,7	291:1 296:3,14
68:6	112:18 185:5	3:8,9,12,12,13	297:24 298:1,5
outside 16:24	193:6 222:9	3:13,14,14,15	300:1,6,7,9,17
179:1 182:15	232:13	3:15,16,16,17	302:1,2 303:4
190:19 204:10	own 116:9	3:17,18,18,19	306:21 312:4
205:7 224:4	119:1 131:13	3:19,20,20,21	318:23 322:10
outskirts 182:1	180:7 199:3	3:21,22,22,23	324:21,23
outsource	210:4 245:23	3:23,24 26:21	325:13,18
401:21 403:17	246:21 251:7	27:10 34:5,22	329:6 330:20
	329:15,16	21.10 54.5,22	334:6 336:21
L		and Colutions	

[page - pay] Page 70

		T	
337:13 344:11	panel 77:18	338:1 339:22	211:20 229:19
344:18 346:11	99:20	380:15 397:5	233:18
349:7 352:22	paper 275:5	398:18,19	parties 248:21
353:2,9 354:15	papers 7:15	participant	379:3 409:11
358:22 359:8	386:6,19	60:9	partner 174:9
363:15 375:16	par 36:7,13	participant's	party 384:9
377:9,11,12,13	paragraph	375:6	paruchuri 2:12
378:22,23	88:12 154:14	participants	4:18
384:17,18	155:5 273:23	2:17 5:1 7:1	pass 148:13
385:1,8 386:17	303:14 306:15	8:17,22 9:4,7	184:4 200:7
386:22 392:16	307:4,7 337:18	9:21 11:1 41:1	221:6 232:24
394:13 401:1,2	381:6	43:10 60:15	282:15 335:2
401:4 403:10	parallel 66:9	99:20 253:18	passed 153:15
407:6	parent 179:17	407:3	191:21 224:24
pages 45:23	parents 183:5	participate	passenger
53:6 75:16	187:8,11	8:13 216:7	93:17
107:16 109:5	parity 163:8	228:17	passes 184:22
125:18 126:4	park 156:15	participating	passing 56:10
263:21 324:6	234:21	59:3	57:17
paginated	parking 45:6	participation	past 182:13
263:20	part 6:8 27:4	125:23 126:11	187:24 188:6
paid 297:15,17	27:24 62:7	126:16,18,20	199:15 345:4
pain 178:24	65:2 86:17	408:9	patel 109:18
pains 345:17	118:14 124:12	particle 220:6	pathways
345:17	128:6 163:17	particular	138:12
paint 336:4	194:11 205:20	266:12 376:13	pattern 55:17
pale 182:23	211:4,4 219:5	particularity	patterns 55:22
palisades	220:12 224:16	390:16	pause 43:15
175:23	251:21 255:4	particularly	pay 110:17,24
paltzev 153:23	255:23 259:18	63:15 180:15	111:2,6 158:4
pana 224:4	261:8 269:4	280:4 355:22	158:7 167:9
pandemic	273:14,17	particulate	188:20 213:17
96:13	303:10 327:1	171:24 182:22	297:21 343:13
	336:13,14	206:18 207:3	343:22 344:1

[pay - phrased] Page 71

367:19	185:8 189:14	135:4 140:1	353:6,13 355:9
paycheck	198:7 199:21	143:4,21 188:3	356:18 357:12
187:11	200:11,16	188:9 196:6	380:2
payload 327:5	202:23 209:1	199:22 204:23	periods 182:7
peaceful	210:23 211:2	220:4 222:9,13	permit 183:17
190:23	213:5,17	224:11,24	persistent
peak 119:19	214:12 219:18	233:23 246:10	177:13
pediatrician	225:14 226:17	249:4,20 250:2	person 5:23
178:18,19	227:10 228:4	274:19 276:18	12:12 167:22
penalties 130:1	229:2,10	278:22 281:19	169:6,7,21
130:15 165:15	240:10 248:3	305:16 324:16	170:2,3 226:8
penalty 141:6	250:13 252:5	325:16,17	personal 199:3
164:15,16	257:19 258:6	327:16,23	254:13
165:3,8,10	267:15 281:19	328:6 338:23	personally
166:6,7 167:5	285:23 367:1	341:21 349:10	132:21 184:17
238:2,15	peoria 7:21	359:2 361:7	189:13 207:24
239:10	212:17	367:10,14,20	396:4
penetration	percent 14:13	percentage	pertains 85:7
37:6 50:9,14	06.11.15.16.17	22:21 23:4	
37.0 30.7,17	26:11,15,16,17	22.21 23.4	pervasive
51:15,23	34:7,10,24	24:3 26:14	233:16 402:8
,	, , , ,		*
51:15,23	34:7,10,24	24:3 26:14	233:16 402:8
51:15,23 penetrations	34:7,10,24 35:4,7,14,22	24:3 26:14 30:2,14 31:3,8	233:16 402:8 402:14,24
51:15,23 penetrations 50:19	34:7,10,24 35:4,7,14,22 36:3,19,23	24:3 26:14 30:2,14 31:3,8 32:20 36:10,15	233:16 402:8 402:14,24 pew 96:7 99:18
51:15,23 penetrations 50:19 people 33:11	34:7,10,24 35:4,7,14,22 36:3,19,23 37:2,2,3,12,14	24:3 26:14 30:2,14 31:3,8 32:20 36:10,15 51:1,8,9 52:4	233:16 402:8 402:14,24 pew 96:7 99:18 phase 235:11
51:15,23 penetrations 50:19 people 33:11 57:17 65:24	34:7,10,24 35:4,7,14,22 36:3,19,23 37:2,2,3,12,14 38:6 44:20,20	24:3 26:14 30:2,14 31:3,8 32:20 36:10,15 51:1,8,9 52:4 52:13,14 114:1	233:16 402:8 402:14,24 pew 96:7 99:18 phase 235:11 phased 117:3
51:15,23 penetrations 50:19 people 33:11 57:17 65:24 69:5 101:7	34:7,10,24 35:4,7,14,22 36:3,19,23 37:2,2,3,12,14 38:6 44:20,20 45:1 50:10,19	24:3 26:14 30:2,14 31:3,8 32:20 36:10,15 51:1,8,9 52:4 52:13,14 114:1 138:9 140:2	233:16 402:8 402:14,24 pew 96:7 99:18 phase 235:11 phased 117:3 131:20 151:13
51:15,23 penetrations 50:19 people 33:11 57:17 65:24 69:5 101:7 117:21 118:4,5	34:7,10,24 35:4,7,14,22 36:3,19,23 37:2,2,3,12,14 38:6 44:20,20 45:1 50:10,19 51:23,23,24	24:3 26:14 30:2,14 31:3,8 32:20 36:10,15 51:1,8,9 52:4 52:13,14 114:1 138:9 140:2 222:19 275:14	233:16 402:8 402:14,24 pew 96:7 99:18 phase 235:11 phased 117:3 131:20 151:13 210:13
51:15,23 penetrations 50:19 people 33:11 57:17 65:24 69:5 101:7 117:21 118:4,5 118:7 156:9,10	34:7,10,24 35:4,7,14,22 36:3,19,23 37:2,2,3,12,14 38:6 44:20,20 45:1 50:10,19 51:23,23,24 53:22 56:7,9	24:3 26:14 30:2,14 31:3,8 32:20 36:10,15 51:1,8,9 52:4 52:13,14 114:1 138:9 140:2 222:19 275:14 314:4	233:16 402:8 402:14,24 pew 96:7 99:18 phase 235:11 phased 117:3 131:20 151:13 210:13 phenomenon
51:15,23 penetrations 50:19 people 33:11 57:17 65:24 69:5 101:7 117:21 118:4,5 118:7 156:9,10 158:18 160:5	34:7,10,24 35:4,7,14,22 36:3,19,23 37:2,2,3,12,14 38:6 44:20,20 45:1 50:10,19 51:23,23,24 53:22 56:7,9 56:16,21,22	24:3 26:14 30:2,14 31:3,8 32:20 36:10,15 51:1,8,9 52:4 52:13,14 114:1 138:9 140:2 222:19 275:14 314:4 percentages	233:16 402:8 402:14,24 pew 96:7 99:18 phase 235:11 phased 117:3 131:20 151:13 210:13 phenomenon 48:20 56:5
51:15,23 penetrations 50:19 people 33:11 57:17 65:24 69:5 101:7 117:21 118:4,5 118:7 156:9,10 158:18 160:5 161:4 166:18	34:7,10,24 35:4,7,14,22 36:3,19,23 37:2,2,3,12,14 38:6 44:20,20 45:1 50:10,19 51:23,23,24 53:22 56:7,9 56:16,21,22 57:2,7,8 88:4	24:3 26:14 30:2,14 31:3,8 32:20 36:10,15 51:1,8,9 52:4 52:13,14 114:1 138:9 140:2 222:19 275:14 314:4 percentages 44:14,24 46:15	233:16 402:8 402:14,24 pew 96:7 99:18 phase 235:11 phased 117:3 131:20 151:13 210:13 phenomenon 48:20 56:5 68:14,23 405:1
51:15,23 penetrations 50:19 people 33:11 57:17 65:24 69:5 101:7 117:21 118:4,5 118:7 156:9,10 158:18 160:5 161:4 166:18 166:21 169:5	34:7,10,24 35:4,7,14,22 36:3,19,23 37:2,2,3,12,14 38:6 44:20,20 45:1 50:10,19 51:23,23,24 53:22 56:7,9 56:16,21,22 57:2,7,8 88:4 88:10 101:2,2	24:3 26:14 30:2,14 31:3,8 32:20 36:10,15 51:1,8,9 52:4 52:13,14 114:1 138:9 140:2 222:19 275:14 314:4 percentages 44:14,24 46:15 perfect 136:14	233:16 402:8 402:14,24 pew 96:7 99:18 phase 235:11 phased 117:3 131:20 151:13 210:13 phenomenon 48:20 56:5 68:14,23 405:1 phones 49:14
51:15,23 penetrations 50:19 people 33:11 57:17 65:24 69:5 101:7 117:21 118:4,5 118:7 156:9,10 158:18 160:5 161:4 166:18 166:21 169:5 169:22 172:5,8	34:7,10,24 35:4,7,14,22 36:3,19,23 37:2,2,3,12,14 38:6 44:20,20 45:1 50:10,19 51:23,23,24 53:22 56:7,9 56:16,21,22 57:2,7,8 88:4 88:10 101:2,2 106:8,19	24:3 26:14 30:2,14 31:3,8 32:20 36:10,15 51:1,8,9 52:4 52:13,14 114:1 138:9 140:2 222:19 275:14 314:4 percentages 44:14,24 46:15 perfect 136:14 perform 8:10	233:16 402:8 402:14,24 pew 96:7 99:18 phase 235:11 phased 117:3 131:20 151:13 210:13 phenomenon 48:20 56:5 68:14,23 405:1 phones 49:14 photographer

[physically - pointed]

Page 72

physically	123:18 124:1	147:6 148:13	pm 11:10,11,16
383:2	124:13 125:24	148:17,21	12:1,4,15,15
piasa 175:23	197:8 245:13	169:10,23	167:19,21,23
pick 156:2	245:17,18	170:4,12 186:8	249:3 321:2
237:17 400:4	252:3,8 376:24	188:24 189:4	407:22
408:1,7	planet 7:22	190:14 192:16	pm10 176:12
picked 278:1	198:9	213:11 214:15	pm2.5 143:20
picking 154:1	planned 76:6	228:3,6 234:9	176:12 181:22
picture 276:23	164:4 333:4	240:24 241:3	point 10:22
336:4	planner 362:15	242:18 243:4	11:14 23:19
piece 356:1	planning 58:11	253:2 261:20	26:24 35:16,19
pilot 77:13,20	174:14 333:9	283:4 293:19	38:20 47:13
366:11	362:13	295:9,20 303:2	49:11 53:8
pinned 355:10	plans 7:5 84:3	307:18 310:9	54:6,8,11 56:7
pipelines	124:23 126:22	318:7 321:19	56:11 57:12
201:14	plant 201:14,15	321:20 323:17	64:16,22 66:4
piqued 365:18	plants 117:4	361:9 365:12	66:17 71:13
place 15:5 16:3	145:13 225:8	370:2 373:15	75:18 76:17
76:2 121:24	plate 278:24	381:6 382:13	101:2 108:10
144:19 190:23	plateau 47:4	383:7 384:4	116:6 141:11
210:15 211:11	88:23	386:4,10	154:10 155:19
212:7 215:22	plateauing 47:5	390:15 400:14	156:20 164:17
236:6 246:17	play 402:20	406:21 407:14	217:8 229:1
251:8,10,11	playing 179:1	pleasurable	265:22 278:10
306:18 342:15	344:12 347:10	236:3	288:21 300:23
345:21 348:12	please 5:15,22	plenty 309:13	307:17 308:7
376:22 409:7	10:21 11:3	plotted 46:14	313:18 320:24
places 216:18	12:12,21 15:18	46:24	321:2 327:23
236:4	17:2,23 40:3	plug 26:3 28:16	337:12 350:5
plan 77:11,15	40:19 56:1	28:22 196:15	356:13 357:15
78:2 83:3,20	60:10,13,18	214:9	361:4 375:22
83:23 84:6	86:23 90:6,11	plus 45:5,6	380:15 404:4
121:17,24	92:7,9 106:12	81:4 257:8	pointed 43:5
122:15 123:13	134:22 138:18		247:14 376:3

[pointing - potential]

Page 73

	11 4 16 11	222 4 1 4	1.60 10 205 7
pointing 66:20	polluting 16:11	232:4,14	168:18 395:7
391:4	157:6 176:7	233:16,18,20	400:1
points 33:5	192:5 201:19	233:23 236:1	ports 70:21
38:18 46:9	203:23 205:6	236:18 241:5	333:15
71:1 159:12	pollution 1:1	245:12 249:8	pose 396:16
300:19 313:19	1:10 2:1 4:5	249:17 252:17	posed 5:16 8:15
poisoning	6:9 154:23	347:6 350:1	9:2 10:8,15
198:1	155:11 165:17	364:6,8,10,13	311:22 375:19
policies 78:24	168:20 170:21	364:16 367:2	378:19,20
112:2 171:19	170:24 171:1,6	368:9 370:22	395:20,23
181:6 207:23	173:2 175:4	371:12 380:1	396:7
207:23 291:7,9	176:14 177:24	391:2,12,15	position 32:4
291:14,24	179:4 180:6,9	392:5,22	34:17 115:15
294:3 391:19	180:11,22	pontoon 176:24	195:11 305:24
policy 88:14	181:7,23 184:1	poor 171:17	360:13,17
109:12,19,21	184:15,18	180:12 182:12	375:6 392:3
193:1,2 199:1	185:11,21	182:20 204:17	393:20
202:20 246:21	187:23 189:16	230:18 231:3,3	positioned
279:12	192:10 198:17	poorest 187:3	80:24 229:4
policymakers	199:23 201:10	porch 190:21	positive 184:6
391:7,10	201:24 202:3	port 170:20	possibility
policymaking	202:15 203:2,5	portal 146:23	24:10 129:19
391:13	203:18 204:1	151:2,10	161:7
political 183:10	204:24 205:2,9	154:15,16	possible 37:21
polling 256:3	205:15,18	portion 12:3	43:8 83:11
polls 99:19	206:17,20,24	23:9 27:18,21	89:2 145:2
pollutants	212:16,24	28:3,6 29:7,12	158:24 162:17
181:9 221:10	217:21 219:10	50:21 107:10	321:22 366:10
pollute 67:10	219:13 220:1,6	110:21 113:18	381:7
204:22	220:11,16	115:14 119:12	post 7:12 8:14
polluted 204:11	221:12 226:7	137:2,10,13	10:7 43:3
polluters	226:18 227:5	142:3 146:15	96:13
202:22	228:14 229:17	151:3 153:14	potential 23:20
	230:2,7 231:15	155:24 167:18	

[pound - prevalence]

pound 278:24	64:20,21 66:24	370:10 372:3,4	preparing
368:19	70:15,19 71:16	372:13 374:9	149:1 246:14
pounds 286:1	75:2 77:21	381:10,17	present 2:4
327:9 379:14	78:13,16 79:8	390:8 396:20	4:12
382:6,10,17	80:2,7 86:5	predecessors	presented
383:17	87:19 90:17	230:4	371:22 390:21
pouring 186:23	92:2,3,5 93:21	predict 68:7	393:23
poverty 199:22	94:9 96:6	345:2	presenting
power 203:19	99:13 100:3,5	predicted	296:19
205:17 208:7	100:21 102:11	144:15	preserve 86:16
213:3 343:17	102:12,17	prediction	223:17
powered 14:20	104:24 108:4,5	144:1	preserving
53:10 222:11	109:4 110:2,3	preempts	227:9
343:20 379:10	111:17,18	118:13	president
powerlessness	119:10 121:14	preexisting	173:10 366:10
204:20	125:16,16,17	185:19	371:2
practical	128:13,18	preface 371:7	pressure 309:5
137:16 138:4,5	132:19 133:3,5	prefer 57:21	pressures
150:18 156:12	136:4 141:15	280:9	280:5
213:4	146:9,10,19	preference 58:9	presumably
practice 230:21	147:9 163:4	246:23	20:21
practices	241:13 242:24	preferred	presume
222:18	243:2,7 252:24	366:6	265:17,18
pre 9:19 12:24	253:6,9 259:11	preliminary	360:9
13:4,9,14 14:2	260:11 263:5	374:8	presupposes
16:21 17:2,11	263:10,13,23	premature	144:8
18:19 19:12,16	278:7 282:7	144:2	presupposing
19:20,22 20:11	284:20 287:21	preparation	156:5
21:7 31:16	288:7,20 312:5	352:24 353:6	pretty 63:4
35:24 41:5,8	326:5 330:14	353:12 354:9	81:7 222:6
41:10 42:23	334:11 344:18	prepare 354:1	365:17 377:22
43:3 48:14	349:8 350:12	prepared 19:5	391:3
52:19 59:5,7	354:14 358:22	396:10	prevalence
59:12 60:11,13	363:14 370:7		188:6

[prevent - program]

Page 75

364:13 procedural 5:12 59:2,23 60:1 148:18 407:14 procedure 42:7 proceed 6:18 12:23 15:18	processes 212:6 produce 5:24 14:13 22:8 31:2 33:2 239:20 produced 395:1,4 396:9
5:12 59:2,23 60:1 148:18 407:14 procedure 42:7 proceed 6:18	14:13 22:8 31:2 33:2 239:20 produced
60:1 148:18 407:14 procedure 42:7 proceed 6:18	31:2 33:2 239:20 produced
407:14 procedure 42:7 proceed 6:18	239:20 produced
procedure 42:7 proceed 6:18	produced
proceed 6:18	•
-	395:1.4 396:9
12:23 15:18	,
	396:10,12
16:18 39:3	producers
43:11 48:7	213:23 225:1
53:17 60:18	produces 21:22
64:8 85:23	25:13
86:23 91:23	producing
134:21 138:23	268:1
148:21 168:4	product 52:24
169:20 213:11	53:1 224:8,9
252:21 270:7	319:10 320:10
283:24 371:24	production
372:23	104:10 128:24
proceeding 4:8	productivity
6:1 59:8	207:18 232:15
321:15,16	products 52:21
381:12 391:14	233:13 273:24
proceedings	professional
1:10 2:1 9:4	222:5 275:11
13:20 121:24	352:3 406:21
122:15 125:24	professionali
126:10 408:16	321:13 408:9
409:6	profit 167:2
process 125:11	210:24
228:20 371:15	profits 172:7
391:21,22	202:23
397:10	program 26:22
	27:11 66:10
ľ	16:18 39:3 43:11 48:7 53:17 60:18 64:8 85:23 86:23 91:23 134:21 138:23 148:21 168:4 169:20 213:11 252:21 270:7 283:24 371:24 372:23 proceeding 4:8 6:1 59:8 321:15,16 381:12 391:14 proceedings 1:10 2:1 9:4 13:20 121:24 122:15 125:24 126:10 408:16 409:6 process 125:11 228:20 371:15 391:21,22

[program - provide]

Page 76

			,
78:20,20 82:10	promise 281:20	146:19 148:17	168:21 173:14
82:12 122:11	promised	160:10 163:6	223:1 246:9
219:11 344:8	200:11	163:15 216:11	278:8 352:23
programs	promote	217:5 248:15	355:10 357:9
78:19 79:1,6	171:20 368:8	248:19 252:2,6	357:16 358:15
116:10 125:13	prompt 375:2	252:18 253:18	359:2 361:3
164:2,9 174:17	proper 42:9	263:5 350:18	374:13 375:9
175:3 217:6	properly	359:17 372:24	380:15 381:12
366:11 375:8	382:14	374:13 378:21	381:23 387:12
377:14	property	386:2,3 387:20	390:13 391:9
progress 214:1	403:14	393:19 397:3	392:8,23 394:2
214:5 249:2	proponents	407:2,12,23	394:9 396:14
348:2,2,10,21	2:14 6:10,20	proportion	397:9
progressed	8:14,20,24 9:7	23:11 275:10	proposing
15:6	9:20 10:7,14	proposal 5:19	252:7 380:12
prohibit 140:16	13:9 18:19	6:17,20 19:2	proposition
159:23 382:3	19:5 20:11	110:15 117:17	94:10 149:9,16
project 36:8	35:23 48:14	159:23 165:8	protect 177:20
169:2	49:20 52:20	175:7 215:18	198:14 204:11
projected 71:7	55:4 70:15	218:17 244:20	226:16 229:9
202:4 344:24	79:8 80:7 92:1	245:13 247:8	234:11 235:16
projecting 55:8	100:4 107:1,4	280:3 347:4	235:17
projection 70:8	107:15,16,17	350:14 351:5	protecting
352:12	107:23 108:5	352:21 358:7	186:1 187:5
projections	109:12,19	359:17 376:8	228:21 229:1
32:22 55:4	111:17 119:14	395:5,18	protection 8:3
68:2 71:19	120:4,19 121:3	396:13	13:4 76:12
72:7 119:15	125:17 128:18	propose 248:16	171:16
121:4 163:14	129:13,14,17	367:8 368:12	protections
345:6,9,13	132:19 133:3,5	proposed 1:3,4	234:2
projects 77:14	136:3,6,16	4:8,9 6:8 8:6	proud 346:12
77:20 119:19	141:16,22	14:16 19:10	proven 218:3
340:15	142:18 143:1	20:2,7 21:5	provide 8:7
	143:24 146:10	109:21 161:3	59:17 68:18

[provide - quantify]

69:1 87:22	public 11:12	pull 164:23	144:19 180:11
109:12 120:5	12:2,11,12,15	210:12,21	202:23 210:15
147:4 154:9,10	12:18,22 15:4	pulling 104:9	223:10 225:18
157:8 195:2	16:3 20:19,24	pulmonary	234:7 236:15
263:6,18 269:7	75:22 132:9	226:9	247:15 274:14
311:23 373:20	133:9 141:21	purch 275:20	327:7 343:17
377:23 384:4	167:18,22	purchase 101:8	361:21 376:21
386:5 393:2,10	168:19 169:6,7	130:23 174:21	puts 97:14
394:12,19,22	169:16,19,21	238:24 265:16	putting 172:7
401:23 403:13	171:20 175:4	265:18 287:7,9	211:23 358:1
provided 38:12	179:2 183:14	315:7 341:7	q
41:16 76:24	186:1,20,21	345:20,22	q4 86:12
77:2 107:17	190:6 196:12	purchased	quality 16:12
120:19 121:4	201:9 203:7	160:1 265:11	171:17,23
165:15 216:11	209:9 215:10	265:12 275:21	176:6,10
219:21 254:21	227:15,17,19	276:7	180:12 182:12
266:16,19	227:22 228:2	purchasers	182:15 191:1
282:8 284:5	236:21 251:24	81:18,18	192:7 194:14
312:22 344:23	258:22 330:1,8	purchases	204:13,17
371:11 373:24	330:12 333:14	78:12 82:3	219:12 230:18
375:10 376:8	350:13 362:21	144:9	231:3,4 384:11
386:18 387:20	362:23 364:13	purple 153:16	391:5
390:20 403:6,8	366:14 390:12	purpose 58:19	quantifiable
providers	391:1,8,16	125:11 286:22	388:1
197:8	392:6 394:1	purposely	quantification
providing	400:2,3,5	138:7	390:17
174:13 290:19	publications	pursuant 8:2	quantified
312:16 406:23	7:23	59:24 407:12	20:19 171:22
proving 174:12	publicly 41:12	push 208:24	387:11,19
provisions	published 7:13	214:13,14	390:11 397:20
123:13 164:15	207:1 332:12	341:13	398:12,22
164:16 165:4,9	publishes 87:13	pushing 86:12	quantify
166:6	publishing	put 9:5 41:13	387:21,24
	219:10	102:1,14	307.21,21

[quantify - questions]

Page 78

397:13 399:1	133:3,5 136:2	320:1 328:14	questionable
quantitative	136:4,6 137:18	330:16,18,19	121:3
386:8	141:16 146:10	331:15 334:11	questioning
quantity	146:19 147:11	337:7,21	60:10 84:18,22
211:23	158:8 163:5	338:13 339:4	85:17 167:24
quarter 47:9	165:3 198:11	339:10,13,24	227:23 283:24
77:23 86:7	212:4 238:5,17	340:13 341:19	406:13,22
88:5,6	239:3,8 240:4	342:23 344:18	407:1,23
question 9:1	240:22 241:11	349:9 350:9	questions 5:15
10:14 11:3	247:24 249:19	355:14,21	5:20 7:7,9 8:15
18:20 23:23	254:9 257:18	358:13,24	8:21 9:6,18
25:5,16,17,21	261:19,21	361:23 363:15	10:8 11:2,18
27:23 30:19	262:14,15	368:5 373:14	11:23 12:5,24
32:15 33:4,8	264:6 265:3	373:23 374:8	13:4,9,14,19
35:24 48:14	268:6 270:18	375:2,5,19	16:15,19 17:9
49:21 51:5,13	271:15 282:24	376:15 377:7,8	17:20 18:9
52:19 55:2,18	284:6,7,11,14	381:8 382:8,13	19:1 20:11
55:20 62:8	284:17 287:22	383:8,23 384:1	21:7 27:6
64:1,2 66:17	288:6,7,8,11	384:12,24	31:17 35:20
66:24 68:5,13	290:9 291:21	385:15 386:1	41:5,8 43:16
68:13 70:2,16	291:22 292:11	386:11,14,16	47:14 48:13,15
70:20 72:20	292:23 293:5,6	386:17,20	58:11 59:7
74:14 78:13,16	293:10,18	387:4 388:3,12	61:10 64:21
78:18 79:16	294:8 295:20	389:4,7,11	77:22 79:8
80:7,20 83:24	299:4 300:4	390:7,10,19,24	80:1 86:2 89:5
93:3,21 95:8	302:8 306:14	391:14,24,24	89:12 91:23,24
100:4,5 103:2	307:17 308:1	392:4,21	92:16 93:4
108:5 110:3	308:11,16	393:16 394:11	119:14 120:5
111:18 113:13	309:3 311:15	394:20,20	120:10,19
116:4 117:11	311:22 312:5	395:2,9,21	131:6 158:14
118:10 120:23	312:11,19	396:7,17,19,21	159:4,7 160:11
122:9 125:17	313:16,17	397:13,17	160:19 168:2,5
126:2 128:19	314:10,10,15	399:16 400:15	175:12 237:2
128:22 132:19	318:16,18,19	402:9 405:14	240:14,17,19

[questions - read] Page 79

249:9,17	quote 50:8,10	ramps 53:21	ratings 383:16
252:17,21,23	52:21,23 53:7	randolph	ratio 98:16
253:17 254:23	55:14 56:4	223:22,23,24	150:13
256:4 263:6,10	70:1 78:19,22	range 56:16	rattled 199:14
263:24 270:8	88:4,14 108:11	145:22 194:5	reach 7:8 36:9
275:17 278:7	111:22 119:13	196:24 197:13	36:15 37:11,13
282:7,21	141:19,21,22	255:14 276:1,5	115:15 163:7
288:21 289:22	141:24 142:6,8	285:24 366:20	338:22
290:2,18 310:4	150:21 152:7	rank 220:3	reaches 53:8
321:21 335:17	228:23 229:3	ranked 222:24	56:6,8 96:13
352:19,20	229:16,17,23	233:17	reaching 49:11
361:20 365:8	229:24 246:23	ranks 201:18	56:20
369:5,8 371:21	260:13,14	rao 2:10 3:6	read 9:20 13:2
371:24 372:2	271:18 273:23	4:22 240:21	14:4 17:5,11
372:23 373:19	306:16 319:9	241:1,4,4,9,10	50:6 53:14
374:9,13,16,17	320:9 329:8	242:6,9 318:7	55:19 90:19
374:18,20,22	353:5	rapid 107:18	92:1,6 107:22
374:24 378:19	quoting 120:2	123:19 145:20	130:11 137:9
378:20 380:11		197:10	149:2 151:17
381:6 383:5		rapidly 49:10	153:14 155:24
392:12,14 399:19 404:19 406:15 407:1,6	r 409:1 r24-17 1:3 4:11 168:21 237:9 race 208:16	56:8 204:6 rarely 196:12 ratchet 239:12	206:3 243:1,3 244:14 252:24 253:1,8,10
quick 69:20	racial 188:5	rate 36:6,8,12	262:5 273:13
250:17 254:23	railway 205:5	36:14 37:7,8	273:16 290:15
328:14 338:12	raise 119:14	144:9 197:10	295:3 300:2
407:8	raised 400:2	rates 187:3	301:22 302:3
quickly 135:2	ramifications 279:3 ramp 54:1	342:13	302:16 303:1
256:9 321:21		rather 24:11	303:16,17
328:23		198:6 215:14	307:21 311:3,4
quieter 193:19		217:19	311:5 318:9
quite 193:19 quit 231:6 quite 82:10 199:5 205:21	356:12 357:20 ramping 135:9	rating 379:14 382:10,17	358:18 363:21 370:8 372:3,5 372:11,13,15

[read - red] Page 80

		1	1
384:18 398:20	236:16 244:20	164:18 263:3,4	14:3 15:1,11
readily 106:3	245:10 254:18	recant 347:20	15:13 16:22
readiness 112:1	277:23 281:10	347:24	17:2 39:17
reading 299:6	309:23 322:9	recap 387:16	40:2,21,23
324:20 328:9	322:13,18	recapture	41:3 43:6,8,19
335:18 351:24	326:2 328:12	216:6	43:21,23 58:12
358:19	328:12 331:15	receive 43:15	61:4,6,7,9
reads 273:23	358:5,17	received	85:12,18,22
293:3 306:16	396:11	200:15	86:13 88:6,16
319:4,9 359:13	reason 38:2	receiving 407:2	90:19 129:10
ready 14:19	55:12,21 74:14	recent 103:13	134:7,7 137:21
15:21 16:18	84:8 112:13	222:8 234:6	148:8 168:14
69:15 77:10	144:16 248:9	385:20	229:1 237:15
91:23 123:19	279:14 280:24	recently 78:11	242:24 264:20
224:1,2 242:19	301:11,11	198:23 333:13	268:18 269:18
252:22 321:4	302:6,9 304:7	recess 85:14	270:1 281:23
322:2,3 326:14	304:11 374:15	168:15 237:5	283:17 289:15
real 69:20	381:3 382:20	321:8	289:18 316:15
127:16 128:1,7	reasonable	recharge 194:8	318:9 321:10
171:24 182:22	214:14	recognize	323:16 335:6
201:8 254:18	reasons 71:10	379:13	346:13 364:23
realistic 334:22	129:7 303:22	recognized	364:24 365:1,3
366:16,17	390:22 393:6	150:7	369:19,21,22
realistically	393:18	recognizes	369:24 370:8
252:10	rebate 36:22	228:14 244:17	373:14 393:24
reality 185:8	82:3,6,18	recollect 99:2	404:23 405:6
377:14	rebates 78:11	163:12	407:5,20
realize 183:15	115:19 116:9	reconvene 12:2	408:12,13
264:23 392:24	366:22 375:7	85:13 168:18	records 167:1
really 58:8	rec 376:7	236:24 321:3	recover 179:20
60:20 63:13	recall 106:24	reconvening	recreational
139:12 175:13	107:3,13	237:8 321:11	117:24
183:19 213:10	159:19 161:11	record 5:14,18	red 45:19
213:14 235:3	163:22 164:6	7:4,10 13:2	177:12,12

[reduce - registrations]

Page 81

1 22 2 20	3	205.1	200 12 201 5
reduce 23:2,20	reductions	385:1	308:12 394:7
24:1 28:20,24	23:21 68:19	referring 25:2	regardless
30:6,12,16	69:3 157:16	34:22 42:14	208:16
31:22 35:3	215:16 394:1	48:16 50:14	regards 225:15
131:24 135:20	reevaluate	97:9,24 102:22	266:13 314:16
138:9 140:12	222:18	112:7 113:19	regional 111:24
143:2 144:11	refer 25:1 56:1	120:23 123:6	114:9
146:12 154:22	99:18 133:4	136:21 137:3	regions 114:16
155:2,10,14,18	146:23 192:1	142:7 150:4,21	157:17 303:23
157:21 175:4	285:23 300:2	164:20 270:12	register 7:17,22
181:9 188:14	reference 160:9	286:23 290:3	160:16 265:15
202:17 215:23	263:9 269:1	299:17 307:3	registered
217:23 218:11	381:3 384:22	313:4 324:19	101:1 103:16
221:10 224:12	385:21	325:3 330:17	108:12 110:18
251:15 278:9	referenced	353:20,22	249:11 250:1,5
381:20 388:5	95:20 112:23	391:11	251:17,18
388:14 389:9	126:20 165:13	refers 264:9	265:6,8,14,24
389:13,16,19	233:12 267:1	284:11 288:15	274:18 276:19
393:9	268:12,13	refine 150:16	276:22 277:2,4
reduced 194:15	273:1 282:21	refineries	277:14,15
207:12 224:10	313:7 384:17	191:11	280:13 351:6
272:22 279:5	references	reflect 5:19	352:13 374:1
327:5 367:9,13	99:22 385:20	31:19 106:8	383:3 393:8
reduces 131:21	referencing	134:7 222:2	registering
reducing 23:12	68:10,11 95:2	264:21 287:6	279:2,16
24:11 31:9	139:2 142:11	reflects 265:7	registration
34:3,9 149:11	264:6 268:23	refuel 117:23	102:22 112:16
150:8 219:13	278:12 282:20	refueling	158:5,7 159:24
346:13 368:8	301:13 303:23	158:20,21	278:22 281:3
391:2	319:19 325:15	regard 174:8	registrations
reduction	346:16 353:4	354:8 394:8	101:3 104:22
143:20 145:8	referred 36:21	regarding 9:8	105:9,14,18,21
209:17 349:11	109:4 136:5	100:23 119:15	106:9,14,19
	152:7 344:19	206:15 241:12	250:3,4 264:16

[registrations - repeal]

Page 82

264:18 265:4 64:12 107:20 relates 362:24 241:16 266:11,13 114:5 115:9 relationship remains 28:18 277:18,20 128:6 140:15 179:4 260:23 70:2 198:12 278:9 279:8 165:17 195:7 261:1 remarks 193:4 280:18 281:14 214:4,15 relative 143:4 262:21 266:24 281:15 217:19 305:8 276:23 279:18 267:11 275:8 regs 139:18 305:10,13 405:8 409:10 remember 214:12 321:14 309:6,7,8,9,10 relatively 49:10 260:2 262:1 regulate 236:1 309:12,14 relax 219:18 remember 236:4 247:9 371:14,17 relax 219:18 274:21 278:12 regulated 379:5 384:3,20 122:14 183:19 282:5,11 217:7,10,15 385:6,13 209:13 279:15 353:1 363:19 regulates 398:3 reliability 406:21,24 304:17 regulatory 26:5 26:9,18 64:9 211:9 reliable 63:18 remove 223:4 reliable 63:18 75:7 273:20 244:10 252:7		I		
277:18,20 128:6 140:15 179:4 260:23 70:2 198:12 278:9 279:8 165:17 195:7 261:1 remarks 193:4 280:18 281:14 214:4,15 262:21 266:24 281:15 217:19 305:8 276:23 279:18 267:11 275:8 regs 139:18 305:10,13 405:8 409:10 remember regular 186:20 307:24 308:18 409:12 107:8 204:9 214:12 321:14 309:6,7,8,9,10 relatively 49:10 260:2 262:1 280:15 376:6 378:24 relax 219:18 274:21 278:12 280:15 376:6 378:24 relevant 5:13 282:5,11 regulated 379:5 384:3,20 122:14 183:19 334:13 342:14 217:7,10,15 385:6,13 209:13 279:15 353:1 363:19 regulates 398:3 336:23 reliability regulation 14:20 15:22 65:3 173:10 reliable 63:18 247:20 407:8 regulation 26:9,18 64:9 reliable 63:18 247:20 407:8 13:2 1 30:17 258:12 321:15 87:22 163:18 remove 223:4 <	264:18 265:4	64:12 107:20	relates 362:24	241:16
278:9 279:8 165:17 195:7 261:1 remarks 193:4 280:18 281:14 214:4,15 276:23 279:18 262:21 266:24 281:15 217:19 305:8 276:23 279:18 267:11 275:8 regs 139:18 305:10,13 405:8 409:10 remember 214:12 321:14 309:6,7,8,9,10 409:12 107:8 204:9 214:12 321:14 309:12,14 191:20 263:8 272:2 236:4 247:9 371:14,17 relax 219:18 274:21 278:12 280:15 376:6 378:24 relevant 5:13 334:13 342:14 280:15 379:5 384:3,20 281:1 307:9 334:13 342:14 217:7,10,15 385:6,13 209:13 279:15 353:1 363:19 273:24 388:10 392:23 38:13 307:9 336:23 reliability regulates 398:3 336:23 reliability 406:21,24 407:7 26:9,18 64:9 reliable 63:18 87:22 163:18 remove 223:4 regulation 258:12 321:15 87:22 163:18 remove 223:4 remove 28:10 379:7,22 21	266:11,13	114:5 115:9	relationship	remains 28:18
280:18 281:14 214:4,15 relative 143:4 262:21 266:24 281:15 217:19 305:8 76:23 279:18 267:11 275:8 regs 139:18 305:10,13 405:8 409:10 remember 214:12 321:14 309:6,7,8,9,10 409:12 107:8 204:9 regulate 236:1 309:12,14 191:20 263:8 272:2 236:4 247:9 371:14,17 relax 219:18 274:21 278:12 280:15 376:6 378:24 relevant 5:13 282:5,11 regulated 379:5 384:3,20 209:13 279:15 353:1 363:19 273:24 388:10 392:23 388:10 392:23 281:1 307:9 remind 321:14 regulates 398:3 336:23 406:21,24 regulation 26:9,18 64:9 211:9 reliable 63:18 remove 223:4 175:7 273:20 244:10 252:7 reliable 63:18 remove 223:4 remove 223:4 281:2 309:17 258:12 321:15 379:7,22 218:17 225:21 religion 208:18 remove 28:10 381:13,14,19 relate 119:10 266:11 157:13 322:22	277:18,20	128:6 140:15	179:4 260:23	70:2 198:12
281:15 217:19 305:8 276:23 279:18 267:11 275:8 regs 139:18 305:10,13 405:8 409:10 remember 214:12 321:14 309:6,7,8,9,10 relatively 49:10 260:2 262:1 regulate 236:1 309:12,14 191:20 263:8 272:2 236:4 247:9 371:14,17 relax 219:18 274:21 278:12 280:15 376:6 378:24 relevant 5:13 282:5,11 regulated 379:5 384:3,20 122:14 183:19 334:13 342:14 217:7,10,15 385:6,13 209:13 279:15 353:1 363:19 regulates 398:3 336:23 406:21,24 304:17 regulatory 26:5 26:9,18 64:9 211:9 remind 32::14 41:20 15:22 65:3 173:10 reliable 63:18 remove 223:4 175:7 273:20 244:10 252:7 26:16 185:2 relief 185:2 remove 223:4 281:2 309:17 258:12 321:15 religion 208:18 removes 28:10 379:7,22 218:17 225:21 rely 70:11 renewable 381:13,14,19 relate 19:10 266:11	278:9 279:8	165:17 195:7	261:1	remarks 193:4
regs 139:18 305:10,13 405:8 409:10 remember regular 186:20 307:24 308:18 409:12 107:8 204:9 214:12 321:14 309:6,7,8,9,10 relatively 49:10 260:2 262:1 regulate 236:1 309:12,14 191:20 263:8 272:2 236:4 247:9 371:14,17 relax 219:18 274:21 278:12 280:15 376:6 378:24 relevant 5:13 282:5,11 280:15 376:6 378:24 relevant 5:13 282:5,11 280:15 385:6,13 209:13 279:15 353:1 363:19 217:7,10,15 385:6,13 209:13 279:15 353:1 363:19 273:24 388:10 392:23 281:1 307:9 remind 321:14 regulator 26:9,18 64:9 211:9 reminder 14:20 15:22 65:3 173:10 reliable 63:18 247:20 407:8 26:11 117:14 195:2 215:3 87:22 163:18 remove 223:4 281:2 309:17 258:12 321:15 relied 154:4 remove 28:10 379:7,22 <th< td=""><td>280:18 281:14</td><td>214:4,15</td><td>relative 143:4</td><td>262:21 266:24</td></th<>	280:18 281:14	214:4,15	relative 143:4	262:21 266:24
regular 186:20 307:24 308:18 409:12 107:8 204:9 214:12 321:14 309:6,7,8,9,10 relatively 49:10 260:2 262:1 regulate 236:1 309:12,14 191:20 263:8 272:2 236:4 247:9 371:14,17 relax 219:18 274:21 278:12 280:15 376:6 378:24 relevant 5:13 282:5,11 regulated 379:5 384:3,20 209:13 279:15 334:13 342:14 217:7,10,15 385:6,13 209:13 279:15 353:1 363:19 regulates 398:3 28:11 307:9 remind 321:14 regulator 26:9,18 64:9 211:9 reminder 14:20 15:22 65:3 173:10 reliable 63:18 724:20 407:8 remove 223:4 26:11 117:14 195:2 215:3 87:22 163:18 remove 223:4 281:2 309:17 258:12 321:15 87:22 163:18 remove 223:4 281:2 309:13 29:12 religion 208:18 remove 28:10 357:15 378:17 157:2 215:21	281:15	217:19 305:8	276:23 279:18	267:11 275:8
214:12 321:14 309:6,7,8,9,10 relatively 49:10 260:2 262:1 regulate 236:1 309:12,14 191:20 263:8 272:2 236:4 247:9 371:14,17 relax 219:18 274:21 278:12 280:15 376:6 378:24 relevant 5:13 282:5,11 regulated 379:5 384:3,20 122:14 183:19 334:13 342:14 217:7,10,15 385:6,13 209:13 279:15 353:1 363:19 273:24 388:10 392:23 281:1 307:9 remind 321:14 regulates 398:3 336:23 406:21,24 304:17 regulatory 26:5 reliability remind 321:14 regulation 26:9,18 64:9 211:9 reminder 26:11 117:14 195:2 215:3 87:22 163:18 remove 223:4 175:7 273:20 244:10 252:7 relied 154:4 remove 223:4 281:2 309:17 397:24 399:12 religion 208:18 removes 28:10 357:15 378:17 157:2 215:21 rely 70:11 renewable 383:13,14,19 related 19:10 remain 23:7 rent 63:17	regs 139:18	305:10,13	405:8 409:10	remember
regulate 236:1 309:12,14 191:20 263:8 272:2 236:4 247:9 371:14,17 relax 219:18 274:21 278:12 280:15 376:6 378:24 relevant 5:13 282:5,11 217:7,10,15 385:6,13 209:13 279:15 334:13 342:14 217:7,10,15 388:10 392:23 281:1 307:9 remind 321:14 273:24 388:10 392:23 281:1 307:9 remind 321:14 29:13 279:15 353:1 363:19 remind 321:14 29:13 279:15 353:1 363:19 remind 321:14 209:13 279:15 353:1 363:19 remind 21:14 209:13 279:15 353:1 363:19 remind 21:14 209:13 279:15 363:18 reliability 21:9 209:13 29:14 209:18 reliability <	regular 186:20	307:24 308:18	409:12	107:8 204:9
236:4 247:9 371:14,17 relax 219:18 274:21 278:12 280:15 376:6 378:24 relevant 5:13 282:5,11 regulated 379:5 384:3,20 122:14 183:19 334:13 342:14 217:7,10,15 385:6,13 209:13 279:15 353:1 363:19 regulates 398:3 336:23 406:21,24 regulation 26:9,18 64:9 211:9 remind 321:14 14:20 15:22 65:3 173:10 reliable 63:18 247:20 407:8 26:11 117:14 195:2 215:3 87:22 163:18 remove 223:4 175:7 273:20 244:10 252:7 relied 154:4 remove 223:4 281:2 309:17 258:12 321:15 relief 185:2 removed 28:14 357:15 378:17 157:2 215:21 rely 70:11 removes 28:10 380:13,16 relate 119:10 266:11 157:13 322:22 381:13,14,19 related 59:6 remain 23:7 325:5 383:15 384:14 77:16 115:10 406:21 renter 63:17 404:6 179:22 186:24 96:18,20 renters 196:5 regulati	214:12 321:14	309:6,7,8,9,10	relatively 49:10	260:2 262:1
280:15 376:6 378:24 relevant 5:13 282:5,11 regulated 379:5 384:3,20 122:14 183:19 334:13 342:14 217:7,10,15 385:6,13 209:13 279:15 353:1 363:19 regulates 398:3 281:1 307:9 remind 321:14 regulation 26:9,18 64:9 211:9 reminder 14:20 15:22 65:3 173:10 reliable 63:18 247:20 407:8 26:11 117:14 195:2 215:3 87:22 163:18 remove 223:4 175:7 273:20 244:10 252:7 relied 154:4 remove 223:4 281:2 309:17 258:12 321:15 relief 185:2 28:17 36:21 34:4 319:1,11 397:24 399:12 religion 208:18 removes 28:10 357:15 378:17 157:2 215:21 rely 70:11 renewable 381:13,14,19 relate 119:10 266:11 157:13 322:22 381:13,14,19 related 59:6 remain 23:7 325:5 383:15 384:14 77:16 115:10 406:21 renter 196:5 404:6 179:22 186:24 remained 95:3 renters 196:19	regulate 236:1	309:12,14	191:20	263:8 272:2
regulated 379:5 384:3,20 122:14 183:19 334:13 342:14 217:7,10,15 385:6,13 209:13 279:15 353:1 363:19 273:24 388:10 392:23 281:1 307:9 remind 321:14 regulates 398:3 336:23 406:21,24 304:17 regulatory 26:5 reliability 407:7 regulation 26:9,18 64:9 211:9 reminder 14:20 15:22 65:3 173:10 reliable 63:18 247:20 407:8 26:11 117:14 195:2 215:3 87:22 163:18 remove 223:4 175:7 273:20 244:10 252:7 relied 154:4 remove 223:4 281:2 309:17 397:24 399:12 religion 208:18 removes 28:10 357:15 378:17 157:2 215:21 rely 70:11 renewable 379:7,22 218:17 225:21 relying 98:20 70:12,14 381:13,14,19 relate 119:10 266:11 157:13 322:22 383:15 384:14 77:16 115:10 406:21 rent 63:17 404:6 179:22 186:24 remained 95:3 renter 196:5 regulations	236:4 247:9	371:14,17	relax 219:18	274:21 278:12
217:7,10,15 385:6,13 209:13 279:15 353:1 363:19 273:24 388:10 392:23 281:1 307:9 remind 321:14 regulates 398:3 336:23 406:21,24 304:17 regulatory 26:5 reliability 407:7 regulation 26:9,18 64:9 211:9 reminder 14:20 15:22 65:3 173:10 87:22 163:18 remove 223:4 26:11 117:14 195:2 215:3 87:22 163:18 remove 223:4 175:7 273:20 244:10 252:7 relied 154:4 remove 223:4 281:2 309:17 258:12 321:15 relief 185:2 28:17 36:21 314:4 319:1,11 397:24 399:12 relief 185:2 remove 28:10 357:15 378:17 157:2 215:21 rely 70:11 renewable 379:7,22 218:17 225:21 rely 70:11 157:13 322:22 381:13,14,19 relate 119:10 266:11 157:13 322:22 383:15 384:14 77:16 115:10 406:21 rent 63:17 404:6 179:22 186:24 remained 95:3 renter 196:5 regulations <td>280:15</td> <td>376:6 378:24</td> <td>relevant 5:13</td> <td>282:5,11</td>	280:15	376:6 378:24	relevant 5:13	282:5,11
273:24 388:10 392:23 281:1 307:9 remind 321:14 regulates 398:3 336:23 406:21,24 304:17 regulatory 26:5 reliability 407:7 regulation 26:9,18 64:9 211:9 reminder 14:20 15:22 65:3 173:10 reliable 63:18 247:20 407:8 26:11 117:14 195:2 215:3 87:22 163:18 remove 223:4 175:7 273:20 244:10 252:7 relied 154:4 remove 28:14 281:2 309:17 258:12 321:15 relief 185:2 28:17 36:21 314:4 319:1,11 397:24 399:12 religion 208:18 removes 28:10 357:15 378:17 157:2 215:21 rely 70:11 remewable 379:7,22 218:17 225:21 rely 70:11 renewable 381:13,14,19 relate 119:10 266:11 157:13 322:22 383:15 384:14 77:16 115:10 406:21 rent 63:17 404:6 179:22 186:24 remained 95:3 renter 196:5 regulations 187:15 264:15 96:18,20 renters 196:19 6:12 14:18	regulated	379:5 384:3,20	122:14 183:19	334:13 342:14
regulates 398:3 336:23 406:21,24 304:17 regulatory 26:5 reliability 407:7 regulation 26:9,18 64:9 211:9 reminder 14:20 15:22 65:3 173:10 reliable 63:18 247:20 407:8 26:11 117:14 195:2 215:3 87:22 163:18 remove 223:4 175:7 273:20 244:10 252:7 relied 154:4 removed 28:14 281:2 309:17 258:12 321:15 relief 185:2 28:17 36:21 314:4 319:1,11 397:24 399:12 religion 208:18 removes 28:10 357:15 378:17 157:2 215:21 rely 70:11 renewable 379:7,22 218:17 225:21 relying 98:20 70:12,14 380:13,16 relate 119:10 266:11 157:13 322:22 383:15 384:14 77:16 115:10 406:21 rent 63:17 404:6 179:22 186:24 remained 95:3 renter 196:5 regulations 187:15 264:15 96:18,20 renters 196:19 6:12 14:18 285:14 334:10 remaining 7:6 repeal 367:17	217:7,10,15	385:6,13	209:13 279:15	353:1 363:19
304:17 regulatory 26:5 reliability 407:7 regulation 26:9,18 64:9 211:9 reminder 14:20 15:22 65:3 173:10 reliable 63:18 247:20 407:8 26:11 117:14 195:2 215:3 87:22 163:18 remove 223:4 175:7 273:20 244:10 252:7 relied 154:4 remove 28:14 281:2 309:17 258:12 321:15 relief 185:2 28:17 36:21 314:4 319:1,11 397:24 399:12 religion 208:18 removes 28:10 320:11 351:21 157:2 215:21 reluctant 219:6 135:14 145:12 357:15 378:17 157:2 215:21 rely 70:11 renewable 380:13,16 relate 119:10 266:11 157:13 322:22 381:13,14,19 related 59:6 remain 23:7 325:5 383:15 384:14 77:16 115:10 406:21 rent 63:17 404:6 179:22 186:24 remained 95:3 renter 196:5 regulations 187:15 264:15 96:18,20 renters 196:19 6:12 14:18 285:14 334:10 7:9 11:18,23 367:22 368:14 <td>273:24</td> <td>388:10 392:23</td> <td>281:1 307:9</td> <td>remind 321:14</td>	273:24	388:10 392:23	281:1 307:9	remind 321:14
regulation 26:9,18 64:9 211:9 reminder 14:20 15:22 65:3 173:10 reliable 63:18 247:20 407:8 26:11 117:14 195:2 215:3 87:22 163:18 remove 223:4 175:7 273:20 244:10 252:7 relied 154:4 remove 28:14 281:2 309:17 258:12 321:15 relief 185:2 28:17 36:21 314:4 319:1,11 397:24 399:12 religion 208:18 removes 28:10 320:11 351:21 reject 14:16 reluctant 219:6 135:14 145:12 357:15 378:17 157:2 215:21 rely 70:11 renewable 379:7,22 218:17 225:21 rely 98:20 70:12,14 380:13,16 relate 119:10 266:11 157:13 322:22 381:13,14,19 related 59:6 remain 23:7 325:5 383:15 384:14 77:16 115:10 406:21 rent 63:17 404:6 179:22 186:24 remained 95:3 renter 196:5 regulations 187:15 264:15 96:18,20 renters 196:19 6:12 14:18 285:14 334:10 remaining 7:6 repeal 367:17	regulates	398:3	336:23	406:21,24
14:20 15:22 65:3 173:10 reliable 63:18 247:20 407:8 26:11 117:14 195:2 215:3 87:22 163:18 remove 223:4 175:7 273:20 244:10 252:7 relied 154:4 removed 28:14 281:2 309:17 258:12 321:15 relief 185:2 28:17 36:21 314:4 319:1,11 397:24 399:12 religion 208:18 removes 28:10 320:11 351:21 157:2 215:21 reluctant 219:6 135:14 145:12 357:15 378:17 157:2 215:21 rely 70:11 renewable 379:7,22 218:17 225:21 relying 98:20 70:12,14 380:13,16 relate 119:10 266:11 157:13 322:22 381:13,14,19 related 59:6 remain 23:7 325:5 383:15 384:14 77:16 115:10 406:21 rent 63:17 404:6 179:22 186:24 remained 95:3 renter 196:5 regulations 187:15 264:15 96:18,20 renters 196:19 6:12 14:18 285:14 334:10 7:9 11:18,23 367:22 368:14	304:17	regulatory 26:5	reliability	407:7
26:11 117:14 195:2 215:3 87:22 163:18 remove 223:4 175:7 273:20 244:10 252:7 relied 154:4 removed 28:14 281:2 309:17 258:12 321:15 relief 185:2 28:17 36:21 314:4 319:1,11 397:24 399:12 religion 208:18 removes 28:10 320:11 351:21 reject 14:16 reluctant 219:6 135:14 145:12 357:15 378:17 157:2 215:21 rely 70:11 renewable 379:7,22 218:17 225:21 relying 98:20 70:12,14 380:13,16 relate 119:10 266:11 157:13 322:22 381:13,14,19 related 59:6 remain 23:7 325:5 383:15 384:14 77:16 115:10 406:21 rent 63:17 404:6 179:22 186:24 remained 95:3 renter 196:5 regulations 187:15 264:15 96:18,20 renters 196:19 6:12 14:18 285:14 334:10 remaining 7:6 repeal 367:17 15:7 16:7 22:1 363:5 7:9 11:18,23 367:22 368:14	regulation	26:9,18 64:9	211:9	reminder
175:7 273:20 244:10 252:7 relied 154:4 removed 28:14 281:2 309:17 258:12 321:15 relief 185:2 28:17 36:21 314:4 319:1,11 397:24 399:12 religion 208:18 removes 28:10 320:11 351:21 reject 14:16 reluctant 219:6 135:14 145:12 357:15 378:17 157:2 215:21 rely 70:11 renewable 379:7,22 218:17 225:21 relying 98:20 70:12,14 380:13,16 relate 119:10 266:11 157:13 322:22 381:13,14,19 related 59:6 77:16 115:10 406:21 rent 63:17 404:6 179:22 186:24 remained 95:3 renter 196:5 regulations 187:15 264:15 96:18,20 renters 196:19 6:12 14:18 285:14 334:10 7:9 11:18,23 367:22 368:14	14:20 15:22	65:3 173:10	reliable 63:18	247:20 407:8
281:2 309:17 258:12 321:15 relief 185:2 28:17 36:21 314:4 319:1,11 397:24 399:12 religion 208:18 removes 28:10 320:11 351:21 reject 14:16 157:2 215:21 rely 70:11 renewable 379:7,22 218:17 225:21 rely 70:11 renewable 380:13,16 relate 119:10 266:11 157:13 322:22 381:13,14,19 related 59:6 remain 23:7 325:5 383:15 384:14 77:16 115:10 406:21 rent 63:17 404:6 179:22 186:24 remained 95:3 renter 196:5 regulations 187:15 264:15 96:18,20 renters 196:19 6:12 14:18 285:14 334:10 remaining 7:6 repeal 367:17 15:7 16:7 22:1 363:5 7:9 11:18,23 367:22 368:14	26:11 117:14	195:2 215:3	87:22 163:18	remove 223:4
314:4 319:1,11 397:24 399:12 religion 208:18 removes 28:10 320:11 351:21 reject 14:16 reluctant 219:6 135:14 145:12 357:15 378:17 157:2 215:21 rely 70:11 renewable 379:7,22 218:17 225:21 relying 98:20 70:12,14 380:13,16 relate 119:10 266:11 157:13 322:22 381:13,14,19 related 59:6 remain 23:7 325:5 383:15 384:14 77:16 115:10 406:21 rent 63:17 404:6 179:22 186:24 remained 95:3 renter 196:5 regulations 187:15 264:15 96:18,20 renters 196:19 6:12 14:18 285:14 334:10 remaining 7:6 repeal 367:17 15:7 16:7 22:1 363:5 7:9 11:18,23 367:22 368:14	175:7 273:20	244:10 252:7	relied 154:4	removed 28:14
320:11 351:21 reject 14:16 reluctant 219:6 135:14 145:12 357:15 378:17 157:2 215:21 rely 70:11 renewable 379:7,22 218:17 225:21 rely 70:11 70:12,14 380:13,16 relate 119:10 266:11 157:13 322:22 381:13,14,19 related 59:6 remain 23:7 325:5 383:15 384:14 77:16 115:10 406:21 rent 63:17 404:6 179:22 186:24 remained 95:3 renter 196:5 regulations 187:15 264:15 96:18,20 renters 196:19 6:12 14:18 285:14 334:10 remaining 7:6 repeal 367:22 368:14 15:7 16:7 22:1 363:5 7:9 11:18,23 367:22 368:14	281:2 309:17	258:12 321:15	relief 185:2	28:17 36:21
357:15 378:17 157:2 215:21 rely 70:11 renewable 379:7,22 218:17 225:21 rely 70:11 70:12,14 380:13,16 relate 119:10 266:11 157:13 322:22 381:13,14,19 related 59:6 remain 23:7 325:5 383:15 384:14 77:16 115:10 406:21 rent 63:17 404:6 179:22 186:24 remained 95:3 renter 196:5 regulations 187:15 264:15 96:18,20 renters 196:19 6:12 14:18 285:14 334:10 remaining 7:6 repeal 367:17 15:7 16:7 22:1 363:5 7:9 11:18,23 367:22 368:14	314:4 319:1,11	397:24 399:12	religion 208:18	removes 28:10
379:7,22 218:17 225:21 relying 98:20 70:12,14 380:13,16 relate 119:10 266:11 157:13 322:22 381:13,14,19 related 59:6 remain 23:7 325:5 383:15 384:14 77:16 115:10 406:21 rent 63:17 404:6 179:22 186:24 remained 95:3 renter 196:5 regulations 187:15 264:15 96:18,20 renters 196:19 6:12 14:18 285:14 334:10 remaining 7:6 repeal 367:17 15:7 16:7 22:1 363:5 7:9 11:18,23 367:22 368:14	320:11 351:21	reject 14:16	reluctant 219:6	135:14 145:12
380:13,16 relate 119:10 266:11 157:13 322:22 381:13,14,19 related 59:6 remain 23:7 325:5 383:15 384:14 77:16 115:10 406:21 rent 63:17 404:6 179:22 186:24 remained 95:3 renter 196:5 regulations 187:15 264:15 96:18,20 renters 196:19 6:12 14:18 285:14 334:10 remaining 7:6 repeal 367:17 15:7 16:7 22:1 363:5 7:9 11:18,23 367:22 368:14	357:15 378:17	157:2 215:21	rely 70:11	renewable
381:13,14,19 related 59:6 remain 23:7 325:5 383:15 384:14 77:16 115:10 406:21 rent 63:17 404:6 179:22 186:24 remained 95:3 renter 196:5 regulations 187:15 264:15 96:18,20 renters 196:19 6:12 14:18 285:14 334:10 remaining 7:6 repeal 367:17 15:7 16:7 22:1 363:5 7:9 11:18,23 367:22 368:14	379:7,22	218:17 225:21	relying 98:20	70:12,14
383:15 384:14 77:16 115:10 406:21 rent 63:17 404:6 179:22 186:24 remained 95:3 renter 196:5 regulations 187:15 264:15 96:18,20 renters 196:19 6:12 14:18 285:14 334:10 remaining 7:6 repeal 367:17 15:7 16:7 22:1 363:5 7:9 11:18,23 367:22 368:14	380:13,16	relate 119:10	266:11	157:13 322:22
404:6 179:22 186:24 remained 95:3 renter 196:5 regulations 187:15 264:15 96:18,20 renters 196:19 6:12 14:18 285:14 334:10 remaining 7:6 repeal 367:17 15:7 16:7 22:1 363:5 7:9 11:18,23 367:22 368:14	381:13,14,19	related 59:6	remain 23:7	325:5
regulations 187:15 264:15 96:18,20 renters 196:19 6:12 14:18 285:14 334:10 remaining 7:6 repeal 367:17 15:7 16:7 22:1 363:5 7:9 11:18,23 367:22 368:14	383:15 384:14	77:16 115:10	406:21	rent 63:17
6:12 14:18 285:14 334:10 remaining 7:6 repeal 367:17 15:7 16:7 22:1 363:5 7:9 11:18,23 367:22 368:14	404:6	179:22 186:24	remained 95:3	renter 196:5
15:7 16:7 22:1 363:5 7:9 11:18,23 367:22 368:14	regulations	187:15 264:15	96:18,20	renters 196:19
	6:12 14:18	285:14 334:10	remaining 7:6	repeal 367:17
29.20 62.16	15:7 16:7 22:1	363:5	7:9 11:18,23	367:22 368:14
28:20 02:10 12:0 108:1	28:20 62:16		12:6 168:1	

[repeat - research]

Page 83

	T	T	
repeat 23:22	271:9 274:10	reporting	61:21 114:22
25:4,21 27:4	300:18 310:6	302:14 307:1	154:1 178:21
27:22 36:11	310:17 312:15	reports 74:9	250:2 324:16
62:7 64:2	325:9 335:18	312:21	359:2
68:21 73:8	338:13,15,16	represent 38:3	required 23:9
79:16 83:24	342:3,16 345:3	46:15 50:20	26:14 37:4
103:2 114:12	393:23	170:17 189:10	38:13 62:17
347:16 385:14	report's 70:21	189:11 215:6	108:13,22
repeated 10:24	reported 55:12	247:22 254:3	216:13 328:3
199:15 344:14	188:2,4 274:10	256:5 286:21	349:24 353:17
repetitious	reporter 1:14	317:15	requirement
5:13	2:3,3 5:21 9:14	representation	21:10 117:15
repetitive	10:21 13:24	258:12	133:11 137:24
243:18	17:24 21:20	representative	361:7
rephrase	38:15 61:5	206:8 220:20	requirements
113:13 284:9	62:19 85:20	representatives	65:4 112:9
400:15 402:9	90:11,14 94:13	271:19	113:22 114:14
rephrasing	111:15 119:8	represented	116:20 118:17
389:10	129:4 152:20	44:18 273:7	119:1 121:17
replace 109:13	153:19 168:14	representing	135:1 138:15
225:3 327:13	170:1,4,5	11:5 34:14	174:19,20
replaced 156:6	184:24 186:9	45:17,18,19	197:17 216:16
replacement	189:1,5 196:18	91:8 257:1	216:20 314:4
158:4 194:11	203:13 215:8	represents	338:17 360:22
replacing	228:7 240:23	14:11 91:6	requires 24:16
327:24 328:6	241:6 242:18	244:9 371:4	25:7,18 26:11
reply 378:5	242:21 262:5	request 6:10	246:12 334:8
report 70:14	271:6 285:9	requested 8:2,7	355:18 379:9
96:11 119:19	288:3 293:4	408:5	requiring
120:9 130:12	299:10 314:14	requesting	150:9
130:14 143:10	348:7 364:24	403:5,7 405:20	reread 288:9
200:16 206:23	365:4 369:21	require 14:22	resale 225:2
219:8 230:1	370:1,4 378:14	15:24 22:20	research 96:7
242:3 261:19	408:13 409:3,4	30:2 48:9	99:18 153:24

[research - results]

		I	
154:16 155:6	respiratory 6:4	132:18 136:3,8	responsive
180:7 233:24	89:16 93:2	141:16 146:9	388:12
285:5 287:12	144:4 172:3	146:19 163:4	rest 33:13
322:24 324:14	177:14 181:10	163:14,17	187:23 226:21
325:19 326:23	181:23 199:2	249:9 281:15	301:13 385:21
395:2,4,17,22	199:20 202:2	282:24 290:2	restate 25:15
396:2,3,9,12	207:2,11,24	292:23 293:6	51:5 310:18
resident 181:21	219:22 254:4	293:10 303:9	382:13
228:10 233:10	363:16,22	305:6 309:12	restrict 138:13
residential 15:4	393:12	312:24 313:1,3	140:19
16:3 186:22	respond 19:17	313:5 329:6	restricted
residents	23:17 41:7	349:8 355:16	144:4 315:18
207:21 215:20	308:18 352:5,5	373:22 375:1	316:16
216:13,19	responded 8:9	375:10,17	restricting
217:17 218:19	78:15 210:4	377:8,9 380:11	140:20 317:11
resolution	respondents	384:7 386:1,11	318:20 319:21
368:3,12	222:14	386:13,20	319:22,24
resource 19:4	responding	387:4 388:3,8	restrictions
45:8 149:5	128:18 147:11	390:9,18,18,24	82:17,20,22
217:1 303:4,21	278:6 307:23	391:10 392:21	316:18
306:7	response 8:7	393:14,15	result 23:2
resources 6:3	9:1 10:14	395:9 399:16	24:17 25:8
18:10 39:12	18:19 19:21	responses 8:15	27:1,2,13
44:6,13 53:5	20:10 21:6	10:7 59:7	65:15 66:1,19
55:13 107:18	35:23 48:13	64:21 66:24	67:2 73:4
282:10 283:8	49:20 55:4	75:2 110:6	145:21 150:23
285:1 373:10	70:15,20 78:17	120:5,19	161:9 207:19
375:12,14,15	79:14 80:6,18	136:11 163:5	207:22
385:22 403:12	93:21 100:4	241:11 374:9	resulted 62:23
respect 317:5	108:5 110:2	374:12 375:1	resulting 32:22
321:20	111:17 115:21	384:10	64:5
respirator	120:10,11	responsibilities	results 29:16
189:21	125:17 126:4	364:16	67:14 88:23
	127:16 128:1		187:24 380:24

[resume - right] Page 85

resume 11:9,12	rewind 346:10	131:10 133:22	283:4 284:13
40:24 85:16	rhetorical	135:1 147:11	284:21 286:2
167:21,24	377:24 378:4	150:5 153:18	287:5,5,10,14
227:23 373:23	ride 182:16	154:24 155:12	288:13 290:21
resuming 43:23	193:11,19	161:19 162:2,3	290:24 291:9
retail 316:21	rider 184:17	162:5,15,18,24	293:9 294:5
retailers	riding 193:12	164:14 170:2	296:17,22
250:18	right 15:13	180:4 192:18	297:7 298:15
retired 209:11	18:1 25:17	196:21 203:6	298:17 299:23
230:20 276:8	26:20 27:2,14	208:6,16	301:17 304:1,6
retirees 230:17	29:8 30:18	214:24 226:13	306:13 307:8
retract 291:17	31:15 32:6,16	226:13,23	311:24 312:7,9
return 24:14	33:8,14,21,22	228:15 230:8	313:1,20,24
86:3 230:22	33:22 34:10,11	231:1 237:7,20	314:3,23 315:5
408:6	35:11 37:18,24	239:2 241:6	316:12,20
returning	39:21 40:23	242:11 243:6	317:13 319:8
237:22	41:15 44:1	245:24 250:15	320:6 321:10
returns 216:4	45:21 47:7,12	251:8 253:4	321:23 323:22
reveal 220:2	49:16 50:11	255:17 257:3	325:3 326:6
revenue 34:15	51:3,6,11 52:1	259:14 260:6,9	327:6,12,19
91:17 110:19	52:5,17 53:15	261:7,13 262:1	328:6,22
revenues	54:15 55:11	262:13 264:5	329:19,22
109:14	56:1 69:9 70:4	264:11,14	330:3 331:4,22
reverse 89:1	71:3 72:5,15	265:15,20	332:17,18,21
review 79:11	72:17 73:10	266:14,16,22	333:5,7,10,12
79:19 99:9	77:3 79:24	266:23 267:10	336:2,3 338:9
107:10,15	81:1 83:7,17	267:12,18	340:17 341:5
reviewed	83:18 86:1	269:2 270:20	341:17 342:4,6
151:17	89:4 93:22	270:23 271:1	342:14,20
reviewing	94:8 97:21	272:18 273:7	343:11,15,15
81:13	98:4 103:21	273:11 274:8	343:21 344:2,5
revolution	111:1 117:16	275:12 277:3	344:22 346:18
198:11	119:3 123:7	277:14,19,23	346:23 349:16
	126:15 129:17	279:17 280:7	349:21,23

[right - rule] Page 86

350:2,4,22	258:21 259:1,2	316:13 318:10	route 191:22
351:3,12,17,24	275:10,14,19	318:14,17	routes 194:4,7
352:7,10,16,16	277:1 324:17	320:20 321:5	286:6
354:12 355:21	351:5 352:12	322:5,7 323:19	row 296:23,24
356:2,10,10,13	367:15,24	324:1 334:17	297:1,8 298:9
356:16 358:8	368:23 393:7	334:21 335:8	298:18
358:23 363:10	roads 122:18	335:11,15	rpr 1:14 409:16
363:14 365:3	roadways	339:8,12,14,18	rule 13:9 18:19
377:2,20 381:4	187:22	339:21 340:6	18:21 19:5
382:9 383:1,3	roars 193:23	340:12 341:18	20:10 22:4,5
385:23 394:5	robert 2:15 3:7	342:2,8,24	23:18,19 24:23
395:16 401:12	41:6,11,19,23	343:5,6 348:8	25:3 26:14
403:9 407:21	42:11 59:11	361:13,16	35:23 48:13
rights 171:13	60:2 89:14	364:18 401:9	49:20 59:16,23
ripple 221:14	133:14 134:1,4	401:13	73:5,12 79:7
rise 180:11	134:15 253:19	roccaforte 2:18	80:6 83:9
188:15	254:1,2 268:15	rockford 7:22	95:22 106:22
rising 106:16	268:20,24	role 213:1	117:17 138:11
222:23	269:5,10,15,19	rolled 234:3	141:1 143:1,10
risk 185:20	270:9,10 271:7	room 12:10	144:17 151:13
187:10 199:19	282:13,22	47:24 169:24	159:23 162:21
220:4 234:8	283:6,12 284:1	207:6 243:20	163:5,15 165:8
risks 171:8	284:2 285:12	408:10	174:7,9 193:5
220:24	288:4 289:1,7	rooms 183:3	193:24 202:14
river 177:3	289:21 293:8	202:8	215:18 216:9
riveted 186:16	293:21 294:5	rotation 197:23	216:12,20
rivian 213:22	294:11,18	roughly 97:14	259:13 260:13
road 51:2,9,19	295:10,11,21	102:23 103:9	261:1,9,12,12
51:24 52:1,7	296:1,7,9	103:21,22	261:22 262:8
52:12,14 65:21	299:11 307:15	131:13 284:24	263:5 313:14
108:23 115:8	307:19,20	round 82:7	314:12 319:24
121:11 194:18	308:14,15	rounding	320:4 345:11
196:24 202:17	310:11,15	284:22	350:14,16
231:24 245:3	314:22 316:5,9		352:23 359:9

[rule - sales] Page 87

359:12,16	358:15,19	292:8,12 296:8	sake 5:21
374:13 378:20	359:2 360:1,14	297:3 298:3	salary 91:13
381:21 383:9	375:9 380:15	299:2,4 310:6	sale 15:22
386:2,3 387:20	380:17,18,19	310:19 311:1	108:18 118:3
393:8 397:4,10	380:23 381:23	311:18,21	138:1,9 161:16
397:21	382:2 387:1,3	312:15 313:5,9	161:21 222:10
rulemaking 1:3	387:12,18	ryder's 296:20	262:24 263:2
4:7,11 5:1 6:7	390:13 391:9	296:21	316:16 318:20
8:13 9:5 42:5,8	391:18,20	S	sales 22:21
59:3 60:9,16	392:8 394:3,9	s 39:23 44:10	23:5,7,13,14
86:16 168:21	396:14 397:20	48:20,24 49:7	24:3,11,12,17
212:6 391:22	399:23 400:9	49:9,17 52:20	24:19 25:7,10
rulemakings	400:11,13	53:6,17 54:3	25:18 26:13
59:9	401:19 402:11	55:17,22 56:4	30:8,14,22
rules 5:12 6:9	402:19 403:24	56:19 107:6	31:8,23 32:20
6:15 8:6 18:23	407:14	189:8	34:3,7,9,14,20
19:10 20:3,8	run 194:7	s&p 94:10 95:3	34:23 35:2,4,7
21:5 59:2 60:1	258:6,7 320:16	96:24 242:1	35:15,21 36:1
60:4 86:18	runner 211:22	249:21 264:13	36:6,9,13,15,19
113:18 115:9	running 72:21	277:16	36:22 37:10
144:23 148:19	150:17 231:7	s&p's 266:18	38:5,19,20,20
165:13 170:22	runs 205:1	sacramento	44:14,17,19,24
181:2 184:5	rural 111:24	245:20	45:9,11,15
192:12,24	157:17 192:1	safe 171:15	46:15 47:4,7
193:4 200:22	192:15 198:24	182:15 235:2	52:4,11,15
205:21 213:4	215:19 216:12	safeguards	55:14,21 62:23
214:3,19 221:7	216:19,22,23	234:6	64:5 69:7 86:5
221:9 222:14	217:11,12,17	safely 366:15	86:12,13 87:14
222:17 223:1	218:13,19	safest 258:20	87:24 88:4,9
234:11 261:13	rust 231:19	safety 65:4	88:10,24 91:10
278:9 344:12	rustic 191:23	258:19 259:5,6	91:11 112:8
353:18 355:10	ryder 288:13	363:12	113:21,21
356:6,14 357:9	288:21 289:5		114:1,10,14,23
357:16,18	290:22 291:23		116:20 117:9

[sales - secretary]

118:17 119:1	saw 191:17	scaled 268:1	schreifels 206:2
131:22 132:12	256:9 281:13	272:18	209:7,10 212:3
135:23 138:8,9	281:14 332:9	scales 135:1	schriver 206:2
138:13 144:11	352:8	scaling 272:9	science 211:17
160:12 161:9	saying 8:11	272:11	363:2,3,5
162:8,12,14,15	15:21 35:12	scared 179:16	scientist 4:22
162:20 174:19	53:6,20,23	scarred 183:24	4:23
174:20 196:11	67:9,11 88:3,9	scenario 34:6	scientists 233:6
197:9 215:15	104:9 124:5	34:12 35:5	234:1
249:20 260:14	126:13 129:18	71:22 72:11	scope 18:18
260:18,23	155:17 239:12	scenarios 71:13	scour 186:14
261:2,23 262:3	252:12 291:13	119:21 195:10	season 177:15
262:9 314:4	331:20 353:1	schedule 7:11	204:2
319:21,23	363:21 378:1	11:24 357:2	seasonally
320:5 338:17	395:16	scheduled 7:2	224:20
359:3	says 55:14 56:4	89:24	seat 39:23
salk 2:13 4:24	88:13,18	schedules 7:5	44:10
salle 7:20	108:16 123:1	school 78:3	second 6:23 7:6
sally 190:9,15	126:6,7 153:23	183:5 187:13	31:24 39:22
sam 228:9,9	155:3,5,9,15	193:8,12,14,18	44:9 102:16
sangamon	252:13 296:13	193:20 194:4,6	112:11 122:20
201:6 234:23	296:24 298:19	194:11,15	136:16 151:8
sat 97:4 366:24	298:21 302:15	202:10 207:18	170:3 253:8
saulsberry	303:21 306:22	230:17 232:17	273:22 338:11
206:1,5,7	311:1 313:2	234:20 235:2	372:12 401:6
208:21	314:11 318:24	266:5,7 403:20	403:10
save 205:21	320:8 326:13	405:2 406:4	seconds 169:13
350:7,9 367:17	346:16 353:12	schoolchildren	181:4 183:22
392:13	384:19 401:18	193:11	188:12 195:5
saved 392:11	403:10	schools 193:17	196:15 208:20
saves 224:15	scale 313:15	194:8 403:11	212:2 218:9
saving 207:19	314:13,16	403:19 404:8	221:3 232:19
savings 144:18	315:3,8	405:1,17	secretary
			100:17,23

[secretary - services]

Page 89

	T	ı	1
101:16 106:4	232:9 241:12	129:6	sentence 88:2,8
108:10 112:15	241:13 243:19	seen 12:9 104:4	88:17 100:15
112:21 160:15	257:22 263:23	140:24 171:18	155:9 273:23
264:11	264:2 271:11	182:8 189:13	274:4,5 303:13
section 8:2 59:9	271:13,17,22	309:11 404:7	303:15 306:15
75:20 76:3	273:18,22	segregated	307:4,6,21
141:5 148:19	274:4,5 279:3	171:1	319:3,8 337:17
342:14 355:17	282:4 284:4	self 67:18,23	353:12
385:4	288:6,8 295:2	293:3	sentinels 7:20
sectional	296:4,12,15,18	sell 35:8,13	separate 113:4
187:20	296:22 297:1,2	97:19 132:13	122:5 253:5
sections 380:23	297:6,7,11,12	132:14 144:12	372:10 383:4
407:12	298:6,11,12,16	161:17 166:19	september
sector 78:5	298:18,20,21	211:6	94:12,20 95:9
215:17 216:1	298:23 300:8	selling 31:22	96:2,13 268:11
217:24	300:18,20	34:8 98:6,17	299:19 318:3
see 44:14,21	301:2 306:14	161:15 197:16	serelus 195:21
45:10,15 46:2	307:2,6 310:3	267:3 317:10	sergey 153:23
46:6,13,17,19	312:24 313:2	semi 191:17	series 380:19
56:4,12 65:2,7	313:16,17	192:2 278:24	399:11
84:13,15 88:2	318:23 319:3	285:23	serious 178:21
88:8,13,20,21	319:14,15	semis 191:21	185:15 187:17
112:18 117:16	324:18 337:13	251:18	200:4
119:12 121:19	337:16 346:9	senior 4:23	seriously
134:19 135:6	353:8,14	193:1	228:19 229:14
140:14 142:1	354:18 359:6,7	seniors 185:18	serve 60:14
144:17,18	359:10,12	sense 73:6 74:7	198:15 201:5
146:15 151:3,5	376:19 408:10	74:10 102:3	228:5 248:2
156:24 158:17	seeing 46:11	113:13 121:8	366:14 371:1
168:24 171:23	226:12 231:20	124:19 132:17	served 217:9,11
182:15 190:20	seek 345:24	167:11 275:24	231:5,10
203:3 213:3	seems 37:21	367:22	service 60:15
214:1 227:3	67:23 72:18	sensitive	services 222:5
231:17,18,20	83:6,16,16	187:19	401:21 402:13

[services - significantly]

403:13,17	sexual 208:17	shortest 276:6	shy 167:21
405:18	share 29:17	shorthand 2:2	sic 77:17 110:3
set 6:19,23	30:22 39:2	409:3	174:23 226:5
12:14 80:14	46:15 56:8,9	shortly 237:13	279:18 282:1
88:15 108:22	56:15,18,21	shovel 333:5	384:19
113:24 114:8	57:6 101:12	show 38:24	sick 199:12
114:17 116:9	196:8 251:12	47:3 67:21	side 66:11,12
117:2 118:24	258:21	100:24 104:2	169:24 184:19
154:2 166:7,13	shares 220:9	104:15 146:12	185:9 224:17
174:17 208:23	sharing 231:24	171:18 187:24	226:5 236:15
219:11 238:3	237:4	265:10 324:14	246:1 259:1,2
245:22 288:23	sharply 45:12	380:16	259:24 401:3
328:1 409:8	sheet 12:9	showed 71:17	401:18
sets 74:15	282:10 301:4	97:4 187:2	sides 191:22
122:16 349:14	313:20,23	showing 44:5	sierra 6:2 18:9
374:10	shift 173:23	44:14 49:18	159:13 175:24
setting 59:1	208:10	65:14,24 67:12	189:10 190:17
88:6 116:19	shifting 195:13	167:2	201:6 206:3,8
192:1 328:19	shipments	shown 149:22	365:16 373:9
settled 90:10	182:7	180:8 193:13	sight 216:4
seven 82:6	shipping	360:11 376:7	sign 12:9,10
91:11 228:11	311:20	shows 44:24	227:19
299:2	shoaff 2:16 3:9	220:21 265:12	signal 169:12
seventh 176:7	373:1,7,9	265:13	signaling
201:18	378:15 401:15	shreepade	133:15 134:8
several 36:1	406:6,14,19	175:19 178:11	signature
39:2 78:24	shop 127:5	178:14,16	409:15
96:6 99:18	shore 231:20	181:5	signed 169:5
256:14 312:5	short 9:22,24	shrink 128:23	significant 16:8
374:13	158:24 188:14	shrinking	74:1 222:19
severe 180:14	191:20 205:22	132:23	249:2 377:15
182:21	350:9	shuffle 275:5	394:16
severity 180:10	shorter 286:6	shut 201:13	significantly
			36:3 78:21

[significantly - sorry]

181:8 375:8	sirs 259:24	204:18 221:21	soliciting
378:6 392:19	sister 213:6	222:3,6,13,22	125:11
signing 273:14	sit 170:2,12	223:1,2,7,10,18	solidarity
silos 380:18	224:19 261:17	246:6 275:9,14	172:4
similar 11:24	358:10	278:2	solution 109:13
26:24 27:5	site 375:14	smaller 52:11	202:12
55:17 75:7	sits 202:24	167:10 259:18	solutions
80:23 107:19	sitting 185:4	smell 185:3	109:21 215:14
143:20 380:13	202:7 227:8	191:5	solve 200:6
381:13,19	situation 54:24	smelly 192:4	244:23 371:17
similarly	siu 187:2	smog 231:21	somebody
143:24	six 8:18 9:5	smoke 204:13	130:23 322:12
simple 334:1	59:18	229:19 232:10	367:18 400:3
340:24	sixfold 37:16	smokers 176:19	soon 55:5
simply 14:19	size 33:10	smoking 231:6	402:16
15:3,4,21	197:11 223:17	social 20:13,18	sore 177:13
112:1 115:16	skepticism	220:5	sorry 24:20
118:2 180:11	100:7	societal 32:14	25:4,21 27:3
245:18 246:9	skip 268:8	32:23 33:3	27:22 36:11
250:9 263:2	310:4 350:5	68:6,9,10	51:4 53:12
280:18 281:18	skipped 84:14	society 226:23	59:12 68:15
317:2 350:24	slated 77:22	socioeconomic	71:23 73:8
single 78:19	slide 106:22	180:17 208:16	98:3 99:14
201:24 330:1	slight 232:7	softly 10:23	101:24 102:11
341:8 394:22	slightly 45:11	software	102:18 105:1
sir 99:17	101:4 399:3	210:10	105:15 106:16
240:23 255:11	slowdown	sold 14:14 16:1	111:23 113:20
255:21 261:15	102:5,6,13	32:18 82:23	114:12 126:8
263:15 264:8	104:5,10	138:2 230:21	133:24 134:15
266:18,20	slowing 103:20	250:18 265:6	138:24 151:14
273:6 275:15	slowly 49:10	274:2	167:17 169:1
292:13 296:11	200:7	solely 5:17	178:6 190:5,18
311:14 318:11	small 71:9	136:5 142:13	196:19 238:16
335:12 386:15	110:21 199:12		264:14 271:14

[sorry - springfield]

Page 92

	T		
271:15 275:1	405:20 406:2,3	specialist 246:2	366:18 367:2
276:15 282:19	sourced 100:11	specialty	368:1,7 398:4
284:16 294:17	sources 67:1,6	304:24	408:5
296:8 303:6	107:15 132:10	specific 64:13	specify 353:19
305:23 310:5	152:1 154:8,9	70:7 95:19	speed 195:14
316:10 318:15	154:11 157:7	103:5 104:19	403:24
318:15 323:14	249:14 254:21	114:16 118:14	spell 170:5
323:19,20	313:7 333:8	131:7 154:2	186:8 188:24
325:21,21,24	334:10 344:22	220:17 247:8	189:4 228:7
331:12 340:5,6	345:5 386:7	248:9,10 249:6	spelled 284:21
340:7,9 341:19	southeast	252:3 280:9,11	364:15
343:1 350:8	184:19 185:9	294:12 307:17	spend 183:3
361:9,14 368:4	234:20	309:8,18	254:18
368:6,6 385:3	southern 205:5	314:18 317:2	spent 231:23
385:14 389:3	space 132:4	333:1 339:11	spewing 232:9
390:11 396:23	174:3 231:11	340:3 345:6,6	spite 88:13
400:5,14	spaces 235:2	346:15,17	spoke 229:6
404:21 405:14	speak 5:22 15:9	347:7,9 350:16	spot 291:3
sort 191:5	179:2 196:4	352:6,11	358:21
329:24 337:17	203:13 208:2	360:24 361:2	spread 62:17
366:12	219:7 221:5	375:19,22	221:24
sorts 285:18	226:4,7 245:17	379:12 384:3	springfield 2:2
sought 248:16	246:1 276:5,9	386:20 390:7	2:2 7:16 12:10
sound 379:15	305:11 310:2	390:21 399:12	159:8 169:4
sounds 57:11	351:15	403:6	170:17 184:19
85:4 93:10,19	speakers 229:6	specifically	185:10 186:15
95:6,24 143:18	speaking 5:22	19:17 81:19	187:2 191:19
148:22 256:2	49:13 157:10	96:11 104:22	201:7 202:24
294:1 399:8	190:5 209:12	114:24 120:18	206:9 224:4
source 50:2,5	233:10 402:2	123:8,12,18	236:13 240:18
99:20 157:2	406:3	124:2,14 152:6	243:21 245:21
180:21 202:16	speaks 93:18	152:6 308:12	258:3 279:22
230:6 314:8	292:17	321:22 338:5	365:7 369:6
393:2 401:23		339:20 365:24	

[springfield's - state]

Page 93

• 6• 111	110 5 100 10	274 22 402 12	100 5 141 6 10
springfield's	119:5 129:12	374:23 402:12	139:5 141:6,19
201:13	129:21 135:15	403:20 404:8	141:21 142:8
st 176:4 189:11	143:4 161:10	405:1	143:2 152:21
189:12 220:10	161:16 168:22	started 55:15	160:7,7,12,15
220:17	173:15 174:11	77:19,24 135:3	161:5,22 162:1
stable 180:19	196:9 197:6,14	211:20,21	162:1 165:4,4
stack 177:9	198:4 203:20	212:3 234:19	172:16 174:15
staff 2:9 4:19	206:12 207:15	245:6,9 405:18	175:14 176:6,7
5:16 14:8	208:13 219:12	starter 337:2	176:7,21 180:1
212:17 271:18	225:10,17	starting 34:2	181:22 192:16
staffperson	229:9 233:1	69:24 89:1	192:17 194:17
175:23	238:2 348:4,6	91:1 167:18	194:24 198:12
stagnation	348:12,14,18	168:18	200:5,15,17,23
88:24	348:22 349:10	startling	201:19 203:23
stand 133:16	349:13,15,21	206:15	205:20 206:7
134:9 173:15	349:22,24	starts 49:9	213:14 214:2
203:6,7 237:12	350:3 355:19	64:11 303:14	215:14 219:9
371:21	384:11 388:5	337:18	221:13,19,23
standard 30:21	388:15,17	state 7:15 20:4	222:21 228:6
64:4 66:19	389:1,9,17,20	21:8 36:18	228:17,21
195:10 202:13	389:22 390:1	39:5 66:22,22	230:7,19
202:15 225:22	391:5	73:19 77:1	233:24 234:4,6
327:9 389:19	standing	80:22 81:8	244:11 245:6
standards 1:4	227:11	82:2,18 91:12	245:16,22
4:9 21:14,16	star 7:21	100:24 101:1	247:8,10,13
22:6 23:22	start 9:17 10:6	101:16 108:10	248:2,3,5,9,10
27:13,17,20	11:3,20 18:16	109:22 112:12	249:6,12
28:2,5 31:11	21:3 44:12	112:16 114:8	251:18,20
61:18,21 62:2	48:12 77:22	115:4,18,19,20	257:23 264:12
62:10,23 64:8	84:17 135:8	115:22,24	265:24 280:9
64:22 65:15	137:18 139:19	116:2 117:13	280:11,16
66:2 67:2,20	170:10 254:24	118:14 119:13	286:12 304:24
72:12 73:15,23	296:3 322:20	120:4 122:5	305:20 309:3,8
117:3,15,16	329:7 358:1	123:2,21 132:3	309:18 310:9

[state - stieren] Page 94

314:18,21	138:5 228:13	statewide	step 184:6
317:2,4 330:5	246:22 247:2	112:14 142:21	210:14,14,14
333:8 342:11	266:15 267:14	144:6	234:5
346:11,15,17	320:12,13	static 226:10	stepping 75:15
347:1,7,9,11	387:5,18	stating 11:3	steps 67:1
350:16 351:1	388:11 390:22	station 77:2	388:5,14 389:9
351:19,22,23	393:6,18	244:22 245:2	389:13
352:6 355:2	394:13 399:10	332:16	steven 3:3 5:8
356:5 360:24	statements	stations 156:20	9:11 13:22,23
360:24 361:2	104:7,8 167:1	158:22 330:14	14:7 15:2,10
368:14 371:5	263:3,4,7	331:3,17,19	15:19 18:3
371:10 379:2	264:3 266:10	332:17 333:4	89:7,21,22
380:6 382:7,22	states 21:9,15	333:10	stick 246:3,24
383:3,4 386:10	66:6,18 131:8	statistic 179:16	366:7
390:15 394:7,7	132:23,24	statistics	sticking 98:8
394:17 395:6	135:24 140:21	199:24 206:15	stieren 3:4 5:9
395:19 396:6,6	144:12 173:19	status 384:6	9:12 89:24
396:15 398:24	173:20 194:20	statute 122:14	90:4,7,13,18
403:15,18,21	194:22 195:7	123:6,17 124:5	91:18 92:8,18
405:7,12,13,17	197:13,17	125:9 165:10	93:5,6,14 94:2
state's 79:13	223:12 225:8	165:16 166:3	94:6,14,18,21
100:17 106:4	244:8 245:7,7	statutes 122:6	95:1,10,16
112:21 215:4	247:17 279:9	stay 23:19	96:3,9,15,22
stated 14:15	279:23 280:2	248:1 280:21	97:6,12 99:3
26:10 100:5	305:23,24	280:22 347:13	99:16 100:1,8
109:11 121:16	317:1 347:10	347:13,17	100:13,18,22
126:9 146:11	350:21,24	steadily 195:13	101:17,21
207:2 216:12	351:20 355:18	steal 265:18	102:1,7,12,19
248:11 281:24	359:23 371:6,8	steering 233:9	103:1,7,10,12
364:11 381:17	376:23 399:21	stemming	103:18,24
397:3	399:22 400:12	208:9	104:20 105:2,7
statement 9:23	400:17 404:5,9	stenographic	105:11,16,23
53:5 71:10	404:11,24	409:6	106:2,11 107:7
137:15 138:4,5	405:3,19		107:12,21

[stieren - study] Page 95

	stuck 178:24
109.7,13,23	aturdina 67.6 10
	studies 67:6,12
110:5,9,13	99:22,24
111:20 112:4	104:12 146:11
112:10 113:23	174:10 324:13
114:2,18 115:3	363:16 386:19
	study 8:5,11
116:21 119:17 161:13 164:19 stranded 259:2	71:6,17 74:5
119:22 120:1,7 164:24 165:11 strategies	141:23 142:12
120:21,24 165:18,24 124:16 127:15	142:14,15
121:6,21 122:2 166:4,9 167:15 128:1	144:16 146:22
122:8,19,24 168:1 227:24 strategy 194:12	149:9,16
123:22 124:4,8	151:11,17,18
124:18,24 238:10,18,21 street 178:17	153:7 187:2,20
125:5,14,20 239:3,7 240:18 stress 171:8	291:23 293:23
126:1,6,12,17 241:23 242:5 216:5	293:24 295:2
126:23 127:18 242:12,14 strict 305:8,11	298:3 299:5
127:22 128:3,8 stockyards stricter 305:7	311:5,10 313:5
128:15,20 205:4 strictly 236:2,5	313:9 325:2
129:5 130:5,10 stood 183:13 strides 201:16	327:1,18
132:5 133:7,18 201:15 203:21	334:12 335:19
134:3,23 136:9 stop 10:21 12:1 strike 361:9,11	335:23 336:3
136:12,19,22 160:5 167:19 stringent 21:16	336:14,18
137:6,12,19,22	337:18,23
138:17,20 303:6 319:4 28:5	338:1,19,21
139:6 140:9,13 329:23 331:21 strong 185:3	339:1,2,5
140:23 141:8 331:23 360:11 strongly 193:3	340:15,20,21
141:17 142:2,4 406:12,18 207:10 208:12	343:13 351:4,7
142:9 143:7,22 stoppage 82:8 structured	351:11,15,17
145:3 146:1,7 stopped 161:15 356:23	351:18 352:1
146:16,20 197:3 struggled	352:15 390:20
147:2,5 149:3 stopping 252:6 199:14	393:3,22
149:7,13,19 stops 250:23 struggling	394:12,22
150:6 151:5,14 359:17 202:9	401:24 402:4

[study's - sure] Page 96

study's 352:12 substant stuff 127:2 259	ance summa	mizo 210.	10 10
		218.	12,13
	9:9 50:5	215:10 263:	7 264:3
152:4 160:13 subs t	cantially summa	ary 9:16 266:	15,21
254:18 71:	6 81:7 9:24	14:6 330:	11 333:9
sub 136:4 241	:17 15:15	5 32:3 344:	17 366:2
subjected subst	tantive 76:3	91:1 367:	16 376:1
189:22 42:	15,20 43:4 243:1	12 271:11 386:	7 394:12
submission subta	argets 271:1	13 273:10 398:	9 399:22
313:8 325:23	1:17 311:1	1 319:13 400:	12,17
submit 12:17 succe	essful 62:3 370:1	19 402:	1,22,24
127:10 147:16 62:	11 174:5 summ	ed 403:	2,23 404:2
169:15 235	5:23 376:6 229:1	18 404:	22 405:5
submitted sudd	en 53:21 summe	er 204:12 405:	13,16
244:14 249:15 suffe	r 176:17 204:1	15,17 suppo	rted
249:24 292:22 177	7:12 182:21 sun 7	:16 195:	7
293:6,9	5:16 208:3 sunset s	s 190:22 suppo	rting
submitting 226	5:9 supers	ede 142:	11,19
175:10 suffe	ring 183:7 59:22	2 189:	23
subpart 123:16 202	2:10 398:1 supers	eding suppo	rts 74:22
123:24 124:7 suffe	rs 179:8 59:16	5 175:	7 194:23
124:12,21 suffi	cient supple	mental 368:	18 376:18
125:8 126:21 357	7:20 9:1 1	0:14 suppo	sed 404:7
subparts sugg	est 325:8 supplie	ers 210:9 405:	1
132:20 133:4 402	2:7 404:23 supply	97:18 supre	me 234:6
136:5 sugg	esting 98:7	surch	arge
subsection 74:	21,22 suppor	t 69:1 278:	22
122:21 307	7:22 70:23	3 76:16 sure	18:17 20:5
subsequent sugg	estion 78:7,	18 79:1 25:6	27:5,24
279:11 359:13 96:	18 115:1	18 116:13 33:1	8 36:12
361:6 sugg	ests 401:24 141:2	22 144:20 43:1	9 51:13
subsidize 235:9 suite	d 248:23 171:1	19 188:16 57:1	3 62:14
subsidized sulfu	r 176:12 190:3	3 193:3 66:2	1 71:8
403:14 sum	30:5 195:3	3 196:22 76:1	5,17 79:18
	212:5	5 215:13 82:1	9 84:1

[sure - talk] Page 97

85:9 88:1 90:6	surrounded	253:23 370:3	173:3 180:23
91:3 93:6,9	144:11 191:8	373:5	182:16 187:9
102:13 103:2	317:1 350:20	symptoms	198:15 201:8
104:20 133:18	351:19	188:1,7	204:7 213:19
136:7,9,13	surrounding	system 12:19	219:19 225:22
137:5 147:5	247:17 279:9	42:4 207:16	227:21 228:4
152:16 156:3	279:23 280:2	288:13 289:5	229:13 230:22
168:11 172:14	347:10	336:6 341:12	231:6 232:24
189:20 192:7	survey 222:8	353:24 354:13	236:23 249:20
206:14 209:21	222:12 224:23	355:5 357:21	271:8 273:12
235:14 238:11	250:17 329:24	379:19 398:17	276:14 285:17
238:21 254:19	surveyed	t	291:5 295:3
258:20 260:9	250:23	t 189:8 409:1,1	296:2,23
261:11 262:15	surveys 222:23	ta 332:2	297:24 300:5
263:10 267:5	survive 202:8	table 34:19	327:12 340:18
267:21 271:16	227:4	72:23 141:3	343:16,18
272:15,23	survived 231:1	162:12 296:13	351:18 352:1
279:10 281:9	susan 190:12	296:15,19	353:16 380:22
284:23 285:19	192:21 195:6	298:10	388:4,14 389:8
286:13 291:11	sustainable	tailor 111:23	389:12,20
292:6 300:5	186:2 224:8	111:23 113:16	390:1 400:7,16
301:20 305:19	swear 9:14	tailoring 125:2	taken 79:20
307:19 309:15	90:11 242:19	tailpipe 21:23	85:14 140:4
332:4 335:17	370:2	25:14 29:14	154:10 168:15
336:9 338:14	swearing 13:21	31:2 206:20	237:5 305:24
339:12 349:3	switch 196:7	213:12 214:3	321:8 350:19
349:12 355:4	377:16	214:19	409:6
358:14,18,20	switches	take 11:13	takes 141:1
363:7 365:11	343:17	52:12 58:23	196:14 214:13
366:4 378:18	switching	80:21 85:2	327:10
384:15 407:5	45:21	109:6 115:8	talk 24:24
surplus 130:24	sworn 13:23	121:24 128:14	31:20 33:23
surprised	18:4 90:13	143:7,8 156:10	37:5 38:17
338:21	92:19 242:20	,0 =0 0.10	102:16 136:8

[talk - testimony]

146:5 152:2	target 115:11	technology 6:7	174:14 177:16
257:24 259:9	135:7,19,22	18:12 48:20	205:22 353:19
260:1,5 267:1	181:6 305:16	49:1 50:22	379:12
329:1 345:15	targets 114:9	53:7 54:6,8	terminal
348:1,10 377:4	117:9,9 133:22	56:6 61:17,22	173:12,18,21
378:16 394:6	144:23 145:1	62:2,10,16,22	248:4 280:19
400:23	156:8 162:20	64:4 107:5	280:19
talked 25:12	305:18	150:16 159:1	terminals
32:6 33:5	task 184:13	159:14 200:18	247:13 286:9
161:24 164:2	219:21	214:6 349:1	terminology
239:4 241:15	tax 81:16 91:10	367:19 373:12	379:12
344:3 365:21	91:11,17 101:6	telephone	terms 116:19
366:8,9	109:5,14	50:16	211:8,9,9
talking 10:23	110:19 111:3	telephones	terrible 136:23
22:13,14 24:21	158:5 366:21	49:15,22 50:8	terrified
24:22 36:17	367:17,20,23	50:9	179:17
37:2 54:13	368:14 403:15	tell 138:18	tesla 130:24,24
55:1 63:21	taxes 109:22	174:5 199:12	131:12 132:4
78:23 97:17,21	403:15	209:14,22	135:13 241:20
103:9 113:8	taxpayers	210:2 236:5	242:2
227:9 236:11	235:9 344:4	257:5 263:14	tesla's 166:24
260:20 272:4,5	taylorville	269:6 283:5	testified 18:4
272:11,16,20	224:3	294:19 327:4	27:8 92:20
306:4,9 309:16	team 230:8	345:17	107:2,5 136:17
309:22,23	tease 330:9	telling 267:15	253:23 373:5
313:23 316:22	tech 107:2	383:9	testify 133:17
317:7 326:2,4	197:10	tells 294:13	testimonies 7:1
341:9 357:4,5	technical 4:21	temperature	12:24
360:22 398:5	195:2 198:5	188:15	testimony 5:20
talks 311:10	333:9	tend 111:6	7:6,9 8:18 9:8
tangled 322:17	technologies	tends 107:6	9:10,11,17,19
tank 196:16	48:23 49:14	197:1 242:2	10:1,3 11:13
tanked 36:22	62:4,12,18	term 25:24	11:21,22 12:6
	63:3 218:3	26:1,4 159:1,2	14:2,15 15:16

[testimony - thank]

16:22 17:2,4	152:22 157:11	408:2,6	215:1 218:22
18:18,20 19:12	160:10 163:4	testing 171:23	218:24 221:15
19:17,20,22,24	189:14 237:10	195:8 232:8,12	221:16 223:18
20:5 21:6	241:14 242:16	texas 337:19	223:19,23
26:21 28:1,8	242:24 243:3,7	338:2	225:24 226:1
34:5 38:8	243:13 254:14	thank 14:8	227:13,14
41:14 43:24	256:15,24	15:13,20,20	228:19 230:11
47:9 55:8	259:13 260:6	16:17 17:3,14	233:2,4 234:12
56:14,24 59:7	262:22 266:21	17:15 18:13,15	234:14 236:19
60:10 64:20	274:17,24	40:8 43:2 44:2	237:3,7,21
65:14,24 66:4	276:14 281:24	52:17 68:12	240:14,16
66:23 68:17,24	284:15,18	87:7 89:5,7,10	241:6 242:6,11
69:10 70:1,18	286:20 287:15	89:10,17,20	243:6 252:19
73:23 75:1,12	287:17 289:6	90:16 91:22	252:20 253:4
75:16,20 76:5	291:10,16	128:16 134:17	254:6,7 258:8
76:10,22 77:6	292:19 306:1	138:22 148:8	259:7 262:17
78:1,9 79:11	324:7,12,21	148:23 159:6	263:16,17
79:15,19 80:2	326:17 329:5,7	168:12,17	267:7 270:2,9
80:21 86:6	330:12 334:7	173:5,6 175:12	283:1,13,14
87:19 89:1,24	344:3,11,20,23	175:16,21	284:1 290:9
90:5,18 93:12	346:11 347:24	178:5,15	291:4 292:4
93:18 94:4,9	348:11,20	181:10,12	294:16 295:23
94:17 95:14	350:11 352:22	184:7,9 186:3	299:9 310:13
96:6 98:19	353:3 365:18	186:4 188:22	311:8 321:5,6
102:8,17 104:7	367:1 369:16	190:8,11,13	322:3,5 323:22
104:15,24	370:7,10,20	192:18,19,22	326:3,11,17
107:8,11 109:4	371:11,21	195:17,18	330:9 335:11
115:2 119:11	373:20 378:22	198:19,20	343:3,5 344:10
121:20 132:21	378:23 381:10	200:23,24	346:18 361:9
137:2,10	386:9,12,22	203:9,14	364:20,21
138:21 139:9	387:6 392:16	205:22,23	365:5,6 369:2
141:19 146:15	394:13 395:8	209:4,5,8	369:4,11,13
147:1,10,15,18	396:20 401:1,5	212:8,9,16	370:6,13
149:1 150:1,5	405:21,23	214:20,21	371:23 372:8

[thank - thought]

373:1 392:10	think 25:23	149:21 151:10	337:1,1 339:17
396:18 408:8	28:2,7 31:1	153:5 157:2	342:13 343:7
thankful	32:14 33:15	158:1 159:16	346:6,16
243:24	34:21 35:16	160:22 161:1,2	347:15 349:7
thanks 61:13	42:8 45:4 48:1	164:16,20	350:6 352:17
91:4 178:8	48:4 49:13	167:7 168:6	354:15 355:13
193:15 230:10	54:10 58:4,15	196:8 197:20	361:18 366:4
323:9,11 343:4	61:24 63:2,20	202:6 207:12	367:22 378:12
368:24	65:1,7,11 66:8	214:10 227:19	379:13 380:10
theoretically	66:12 68:5,8	232:11 235:10	381:16 387:16
156:7	69:13,17 70:11	235:13,15,18	388:11 391:15
thing 131:24	72:19 73:21	236:22 237:24	392:1,4 397:6
135:20 139:13	74:20 75:6	238:7,16	397:16 399:14
191:4 203:6	76:23 77:21	239:11 241:12	399:17 402:14
224:18 226:19	78:6,7 81:2,7	243:19 245:10	406:12,17
227:8 247:7	81:23 95:17,17	246:19 248:9	thinking 84:18
249:10 297:13	95:22 97:6	248:22 249:14	115:1 141:1
316:22,23	100:9 101:10	259:11,19	199:4 299:2
343:19 350:6	101:11 102:13	260:11 267:9	336:24
368:14,16	104:6,13	272:9 274:15	thinks 293:24
things 33:20	110:13 114:3	275:7 276:9	294:12
48:8 49:15	115:13,13	279:14,18	third 47:9 86:6
59:14 68:8	117:6,20 120:8	281:1,3,22	216:3 296:24
118:21 125:10	120:8,13 128:4	286:11 287:1	342:10 372:14
127:10 190:2	128:9 129:7	288:23 292:11	392:16 394:13
235:4 239:9	130:12,18	292:16,19	thirty 103:9
246:2 250:13	131:13 132:6,6	293:11 295:19	thomas 195:20
258:9,15	133:10,19	297:24 299:14	195:22
260:10 267:16	135:5 139:6,11	303:10 305:5	thought 67:18
281:17 286:8	139:13,24	307:11 308:17	71:17 82:9,10
348:17 349:4	140:2,14	309:11,12	83:17 293:23
365:18 367:16	142:10,10,15	311:19 312:15	297:13 318:13
398:8	142:23 145:3	317:5 324:24	339:14,22
	145:18,19	325:4,6 334:18	340:7 391:6

[thousand - tomorrow]

Page 101

		T	
thousand 73:2	39:6 46:16	246:8,8 353:9	199:3 200:13
328:2 377:19	48:9 49:2	times 7:16	200:23 201:7
thousands	84:19 89:13	146:13 187:4	210:19 212:17
202:9 213:23	90:24 94:24	196:14 197:12	213:9 215:12
threat 131:21	95:15 103:3	225:5 256:14	222:15 223:16
182:23	127:19 135:2	344:14 377:17	224:9 226:4,13
threatening	148:16 150:15	377:19 407:10	229:16 233:9
179:11	151:15 156:18	timing 84:5	234:17 243:24
threatens 177:9	169:23 170:22	169:11	247:5 251:14
198:18	171:24 175:13	tin 2:8 4:15	254:7 261:17
threats 201:10	176:22 181:11	237:14	270:1 282:1
201:15	185:3 186:2	tipping 49:11	302:4 309:16
three 6:11 7:2	187:9 191:20	53:8 54:8,11	321:2,23
14:22 15:24	197:4 199:16	56:7,10	343:19 344:15
16:5 37:18	200:23 207:17	tips 196:24	369:18 370:23
38:6 99:21	213:13 215:11	tipsord 2:11	371:14,19
113:6 124:23	221:15 234:2	4:17	382:16 383:20
163:9 176:16	236:19,22	tire 197:22	390:9 398:4
190:2 193:3	243:13 244:22	titled 39:13,23	400:3 406:18
197:12 199:5	254:19 256:8	44:6,10 86:11	407:11,15,21
225:5 246:16	312:16 320:21	96:12	408:7
251:6 300:1	320:23 348:20	today 4:12 5:2	today's 9:3
358:3 367:10	349:19,24	5:8,16 6:23 7:3	13:20,22
372:9 374:10	350:7 353:18	9:10 10:2 11:2	together 172:4
375:11 387:1	353:24 354:1,2	11:15,23 12:1	210:12,21
thriving 223:8	354:4 366:16	12:8,12,16	221:9 300:2
throat 207:9	370:18 371:10	38:6 59:8	told 332:5
throats 177:13	378:8 392:11	92:16 148:16	345:19 381:18
tier 209:18,18	392:13 396:16	148:20 167:19	tom 107:1,5
tim 2:12 4:24	398:12 406:12	169:7,15	136:21 163:6
time 5:23 7:10	406:18 408:5	173:15 178:15	tomorrow 7:8
11:21 12:7	409:7	179:2 180:23	11:19,20 12:1
16:14 29:24	timeline 64:13	184:14 191:16	12:4 33:1
30:2 33:10	118:16 121:10	196:4 197:3	223:9 321:18

[tomorrow - tribune]

Page 102

407:17 408:1	totalled 104:23	272:1	186:13,20
408:10	105:4,9,15,18	traffic 171:6	188:8 195:1
ton 155:2,14	totally 158:19	177:5,6 182:10	201:10,17,20
toni 181:14,19	225:10	182:11 187:14	203:24 206:12
181:19 183:23	touched 31:15	187:22 188:3,4	206:17 207:13
tons 393:10	tow 259:3	trailer 177:5	208:9 215:16
took 160:10	toward 184:6	train 139:20	216:1 217:24
210:7,8,8,9,11	228:18 377:13	244:17,19,21	218:5,7 220:8
279:10 314:5	401:2	244:24 245:1,2	220:12 222:4
324:5 334:2,2	towards 7:3	245:3,8 252:4	233:13 279:24
tool 143:11	93:13 100:7	254:11 357:4,6	285:4 287:11
307:1 366:5	110:18	trajectory 46:8	322:24 324:13
top 46:12 53:13	town 182:16	53:10 57:3	325:19 326:23
163:12 204:23	toxic 199:18	108:13,23	401:21 402:12
206:15 220:3	trace 191:7	transcript 1:10	403:17 405:18
223:9,16	tracey 181:14	5:24 138:18	transporting
296:23 318:23	181:15,17	409:5	233:15
topic 47:16	184:11,12	transfer 157:13	travel 117:24
48:4,8 58:15	185:1	transfers	117:24 235:22
68:14 69:16,22	track 166:19	131:18,23	257:23
84:14 160:11	224:12	135:11 139:21	treat 180:14
161:7 318:4	tracker 39:22	140:4 141:10	321:19
320:19 350:8	44:10 56:2	transform	tremendous
topics 322:9	tracks 384:16	207:12	258:22
346:8 375:14	tractor 173:12	transit 78:4	trend 46:19
total 8:18 9:5	173:21 177:5	transition	65:1 66:8,13
21:7 23:6 29:9	278:24 297:4	39:24 44:11	222:23
32:17 51:18	300:24	192:4 195:1	trends 94:23
52:11 71:20	tractors 173:18	transport	97:15 99:19
72:3,16 73:17	235:12 297:4	220:15 311:2,4	101:20
74:5 108:12,23	trade 240:11	327:11	trey 233:5
143:2 145:16	244:4	transportation	trial 269:9
275:10 287:22	traditional	83:3,5,23	tribune 7:21
311:2,7	53:9 157:22	176:20 185:22	

[tried - truly] Page 103

tried 50:5	262:9 264:15	260:4,21	260:14 262:24
199:13 245:5	266:10,11	271:19 278:11	263:2 272:24
301:10,18	275:20 276:2,7	278:21 279:7	273:20 274:20
401:7	278:9 279:1,2	280:12,16	275:9,10,13,19
trillion 340:16	279:8,16 281:2	304:18,19	276:10,18,19
341:15 343:8	281:14,14	305:1 314:20	276:20,21,24
trip 199:6	282:2 283:10	314:24 317:3,8	277:4,5,7,13,14
tripathy 175:19	297:9,10,14,17	317:16,24	280:13,16,17
178:10,11,14	297:22 300:9	320:17 328:17	281:4 282:1
178:16 181:5	300:11 303:5	345:16 346:12	285:18,22,24
trips 156:10	314:21 317:4	350:23 351:1	286:3,5,11,14
trommer 96:12	319:23 320:4	351:23 352:4	286:15,22
trouble 46:10	327:8 329:8,23	362:18,24	288:1 296:16
325:7	330:2 331:8,21	363:5,9 366:1	296:17 297:4,5
truck 1:4 4:9	331:23 333:14	367:7 368:17	304:17 315:19
5:5 168:22	333:20 334:4,7	371:4 377:16	315:22 319:1
171:6 173:14	341:8 349:19	trucks 6:14	319:24 324:15
173:22 174:3	349:21 350:24	18:22 174:8	325:16 327:10
174:10 177:6	351:22 378:17	175:3 182:5,8	327:13,14,15
181:1 182:11	379:5,6 381:13	184:20,23	327:16 328:1,3
184:5,22,22	384:3,13,20	185:23 191:17	328:7,7 358:9
189:24 192:11	385:6,12	192:3,5 193:7	367:12,18
195:9 196:8	truckers 332:4	195:8 202:14	368:9 371:5,9
202:13 210:24	369:17 371:2	202:16 205:2,4	380:4 381:21
213:12 220:22	trucking 5:4	214:6,17	382:4,5,8,15,20
221:7 225:4	224:17 242:17	224:18,19	382:24 383:12
232:9 246:9,11	244:1,3,6,7	225:2 231:24	383:15,19
246:15 247:12	247:23 248:7	233:15 234:10	true 21:12
250:19,23	249:1,11	236:17 246:3,5	53:19 81:8
251:1 255:14	251:23 255:5	246:7 249:24	116:23 130:21
255:15,17,19	256:6 257:2,9	250:4,6,7,11,12	391:4 409:5
255:20 259:3,3	257:12,24	250:16 251:6,9	truly 179:10
260:18,23	258:7,16	251:16,19	180:2 228:5
261:2,23 262:3	259:16,17	252:1 259:20	336:5 337:4

[trust - underlying]

			1
trust 105:14	twice 97:5,9,22	u	unattainable
106:21	two 14:21	u 186:11	382:19
try 166:22	15:24 16:5	u.k. 46:7	unaware
169:10 203:12	28:8 29:3	u.s. 50:10,15,21	125:22 126:10
217:5 243:18	31:17 33:9,20	51:10 66:13	400:21
244:23 245:1	34:13 38:18,23	86:12 88:3	unburned
277:9 294:16	48:16 57:2	96:12 174:11	229:21
315:20 321:20	58:1 69:11	176:9 218:2	unchanged
328:23 337:3	74:9,15 83:8	219:9 220:4	21:8
347:17 354:2	83:22 106:21	283:11 303:23	uncomfortable
368:22	168:5 169:8,10	349:9,14	219:7
trying 98:9	169:22 170:16	384:19 385:5	undeniable
117:20 136:7	178:3 199:9	385:19,21	180:8 232:1
142:15 167:3	203:11 223:21	388:4,9,13	under 38:14
226:16 245:8	225:5 226:8	389:8,16	68:20 92:24
249:13 250:6	237:13 245:11	uh 99:16 105:2	108:14 119:21
267:10 292:14	246:11 250:7	105:7 109:7,16	121:18 123:10
293:24 301:20	251:5 253:5	116:14 125:20	123:16,24
309:19 322:19	294:3 299:16	142:4 239:7	124:6,11,21
330:8 333:1	318:15 325:18	255:1 260:16	125:8 126:21
336:4 341:1,3	353:17 355:19	266:2 282:23	134:11 166:2
347:19,23	374:11 382:11	290:11 298:7	177:4 196:17
350:7 357:17	383:4 406:15	344:16 367:6	222:15 258:23
358:6 367:11	407:19	379:8 385:11	273:10 287:7
379:24 381:1	tyler 408:2	ultimately	297:4,5,8
turbo 210:11	tyler's 11:21,22	14:21 15:23	298:14,14,18
turn 57:12	408:6	279:6	298:21 304:21
60:23 64:16	type 189:15	unable 216:5	332:1 355:3,5
69:15,21 84:14	222:6 247:18	unacceptable	355:10 357:23
127:13 163:21	types 164:9	180:2	359:22
349:18	380:4	unalienable	underlies 97:2
turning 164:15	typically 9:19	192:18	underlying
turnoff 191:18	24:23 49:4	1,72.10	33:16
	52:21 56:7		

[underscore - utilities]

Page 105

	T	T	
underscore	114:3,4 122:12	unit 4:21	urges 215:21
104:16	129:24 130:2,4	united 46:1	usage 124:15
underserved	135:8 139:18	173:19 176:1	use 28:8 33:11
157:18	157:4 166:10	305:22,24	61:23 63:9,10
understand	292:7 294:9,12	unknown 63:4	63:12 99:19
18:17 27:24	298:24 299:24	unlevel 347:10	129:16 194:22
31:17 51:14	305:4 308:13	unloaded 182:7	196:12 203:19
101:15 104:18	310:16,19	unnatural	205:17 207:4
107:22 133:17	321:20 329:18	204:3	216:1 218:1,11
160:23 178:23	356:6,22	unpleasant	235:3 259:17
211:18,20,21	357:17,19	205:7	276:2 285:20
227:16 237:12	360:3 361:5	unrealistic	298:9 302:16
253:5 254:19	379:22	246:9 353:8	340:22 399:21
260:22 261:11	understood	unreliability	407:17
279:12 287:6	60:3 257:11	156:19	used 25:2 31:10
292:14 295:12	259:7 262:13	unsafe 172:1	52:7 73:24
301:18 302:19	267:7 287:16	update 124:22	102:4 143:11
302:20,20	292:6,14	updated 72:22	160:6 224:9
304:10 308:6	312:20 322:15	upfront 55:5	244:15 276:4,7
309:19 319:5	338:20 347:2	216:8 286:22	349:1 351:8,10
322:16 327:20	358:5 361:8	287:6,9	351:13 366:23
329:4 345:18	362:20 364:19	upgrades 77:18	386:12 407:16
345:23 347:2	underway	upward 46:7	useful 149:5
347:15,18	164:3	46:20 53:11	151:11,21
349:12 356:8	uneven 344:12	urban 111:24	153:7,13
357:17 358:6,6	unfair 216:18	186:15 216:15	uses 165:8
360:21 371:13	225:11	urge 173:2	using 25:24
372:9 379:6	unfortunately	180:23 203:5	50:7 72:13
380:10,14	248:8	203:18 205:17	150:24 157:22
381:11 382:14	union 233:5	206:11 218:17	246:24 287:18
389:11 392:3	234:1	221:6	usually 275:19
397:11 398:4	unique 116:9	urgent 179:4	utilities 83:21
understanding	185:7 247:4	220:21	84:4 124:21
70:6 108:2	248:6,7		197:8 217:7,10

[utilities - vehicles]

343:11	vastly 52:22	156:14,17,23	35:9,9,18
utility 83:4	67:22 80:14,15	157:23 160:6	39:14 44:7
217:16 333:8	veh 115:7	170:22 180:22	51:2,8,17,24
utilize 381:1	vehicle 6:11	181:8 197:12	52:7,7,12
utilizing 218:10	20:15 22:11,12	206:12 207:14	53:10 54:13,16
	22:21 23:3,13	208:13 213:22	55:6 62:24
V	23:14 24:2,17	215:15 229:8	63:14 64:6,18
valley 201:6	24:19 25:7,9	232:1 233:1	64:24 65:8,15
valuable 54:19	25:18 27:19	238:24 246:1,5	65:17,21,22
54:21	28:4,12,16	246:18 259:24	66:1,14,15,18
value 212:23	29:18,19 30:3	265:5,23	67:19,21,23
213:1 227:1	30:7,13 34:14	278:23 292:10	, ,
values 386:8	35:3,15 36:22	293:14,14	68:15,16,24 86:12 93:17
van 2:6 4:13	,	·	95:5 96:19
296:5 298:13	45:5 52:4,11 54:17 62:4	294:20,21	
298:14 299:3		306:24 310:22	97:2,5,10,22
339:9,16 340:2	63:6,22 65:9	317:11 326:14	98:1,2,5,12
340:10 341:20	65:19 66:6	336:8 337:5,10	108:19 110:23
341:24 342:7	67:13 68:2,20	338:16 343:10	111:5,7 114:22
343:2	69:3 71:21	345:20 354:3	115:8 116:20
vanessa 2:10	81:4,24 82:23	359:3 379:14	118:4,18 119:2
4:16	82:23 87:14,24	382:10,16	122:18 123:2
vans 185:24	91:7 96:12	383:16 388:5	131:9 132:1,14
298:14,14,19	97:15 102:6	388:14 389:9	135:7,19,21
298:21	112:8 113:20	389:13,17,19	138:2,8,10,14
variance	114:10,14,23	402:12	140:12 144:10
368:20	124:3,15	vehicles 6:13	144:15 145:20
varies 275:22	128:24,24	14:13,21,23	146:14 150:4
275:24	132:23 135:22	15:23 16:1,12	150:15,22
various 46:9	135:23 140:20	22:17 23:7,10	151:1 152:8,9
164:2 239:4	141:20 143:3	26:2,2,3,6,7	152:13,15,18
vary 165:4	144:8,9,20	28:13,22,23	152:24 153:1,2
vast 182:3	145:16 146:14	30:23 31:23	153:2,3,9,10,21
	147:13 154:24	32:1,18,19	155:7,17
	155:12 156:6,7	33:11 34:4,9	157:21 158:8

[vehicles - warehouse]

159:18,24	vein 208:24	visits 144:3	196:7 198:2
161:15,18	venue 248:14	179:14 207:6	209:12,24
163:8 174:3,22	vernon 7:20	207:17	210:2 212:15
175:2 192:6	versed 122:11	vital 187:11	213:10 221:6
196:13 197:15	versus 95:4	voices 170:18	223:7 224:5
199:17 203:20	103:13 111:24	volume 88:6,16	232:22 249:7
216:9,14	140:1 167:9	voluntary	251:15 252:2,5
217:15,20	249:12 292:9	233:7	259:8 260:9
221:13 222:11	292:21 396:6	vouch 322:12	262:15,19
229:22 230:6	404:3	vulnerable	264:20 268:8
239:21 241:15	veterinary	183:3 185:18	271:8 273:11
241:18 247:9	230:20	vw 193:15	275:23 281:10
258:20 259:18	vetterhoffer	w	281:19 288:15
262:11 265:11	2:18	w 189:8,8	291:15 294:8
265:12,13	viable 141:10	wait 24:20	294:11 302:15
266:5,7 267:3	150:8	43:15,20 47:16	303:1,17
267:4,8,20,24	vice 173:10	48:3 170:4	309:21 321:12
268:2,5 274:18	371:2	208:14,14	322:18 323:6
275:14 287:23	video 4:19	269:6	325:9 329:4
291:9,14	videographer	waiting 58:22	339:22 349:11
305:17 306:1,3	235:21	61:4 184:21	350:6 358:9
316:16 318:6	view 183:19	waiver 118:24	361:24 374:23
318:21 324:16	244:20 257:16	119:5 385:20	401:10 407:7
336:2 338:8	260:24 349:12	wake 196:15	wanted 14:8
341:14 343:20	violation 238:2	walk 182:16	42:2 134:2
346:22 349:15	violations	204:10	241:19 270:23
351:6 352:12	165:16	want 23:16	328:13 337:5,9
354:6 367:24	virginia 175:18	29:11 37:7,19	358:18 365:19
368:16,20,21	175:20,22	50:13 51:14	365:22
368:22 371:10	178:4,7	86:15 99:13	wants 208:22
379:1,10,18	virtually 246:4	103:1 104:18	war 367:21
380:3 392:18	262:23 353:22	109:6 117:7	warehouse
393:8 401:20	virtue 220:7	127:13 134:6	170:15 171:7
403:4 404:3			171:11 177:2

[warehouse - wheels]

Page 108

177:22 182:6	ways 129:2	weinstock 2:15	339:7,8,12,14
231:11 232:3	137:24 146:2	3:7 41:6,11,19	339:18,21
warehouses	176:16 229:7	41:23 42:11	340:6,12
182:2 286:9	260:3 345:24	59:11 60:2	341:18 342:2,8
367:5 368:10	366:10	89:14 133:14	342:24 343:5,6
warming	we've 30:23	134:1,4,14,15	348:8 361:13
197:23 204:7	73:20	253:19 254:1,3	361:16 364:18
washington	wealthiest	268:15,19,20	365:6 401:9,13
88:14 258:4	187:5	268:22,24	welcome 4:4
316:2 318:1	website 12:20	269:5,10,13,15	41:22 169:15
405:7	106:4 375:13	269:19 270:7,9	weldon 199:6
watch 399:17	376:11,17	270:10 271:7	wells 3:9 5:10
watching 200:2	384:7 385:5,17	282:13,17,22	9:13 369:16,24
200:7	websites	283:4,6,12,24	370:3,9,11,18
water 201:12	375:11,18,23	284:1,2 285:12	370:21 371:1
208:15 231:3	375:24 376:1	288:4 289:1,7	371:24 372:5,6
234:3,7 398:6	wednesday	289:14,21	373:4,8,22
watery 177:12	11:19 12:5	293:8,18,21	375:17 377:3
way 37:3 46:21	weekend	294:5,11,18	381:4 390:9,10
53:17 58:8	199:15	295:10,11,20	391:12,23
84:24 102:14	weekends	295:21 296:1,7	393:5,16 394:5
112:13 131:18	204:17	296:9 299:11	395:10 396:18
140:17 145:19	weekly 298:19	307:11,15,19	399:14 400:24
157:12 158:17	298:22	307:20 308:1	404:5,16 406:9
158:23 160:7	weeks 199:5,9	308:11,14,15	406:10 407:24
162:13 168:4	weigh 379:18	310:10,11,15	408:7
172:11 200:5	382:5	314:22 316:4,5	went 44:19
207:12 210:16	weighing 69:11	316:9,13	47:9 63:7
257:22 270:22	weighs 12:21	318:10,14,17	83:14 86:6
288:5 294:16	169:18	320:20 321:5	197:3
299:7 305:5	weight 328:4,5	321:24 322:5,7	west 2:2 190:21
311:13 323:5	368:20 379:14	323:19 324:1	wheels 247:21
328:8 336:7	382:10,16	334:17,21	279:19 281:16
356:23 404:18	383:16	335:8,11,15	281:21 346:3,4

[wholeheartedly - worsening]

Page 109

wholeheartedly	wishes 228:2	woke 219:8	171:11,12,14
78:18	withdraw	woman 199:12	177:22 213:24
wholesale	311:15	women 345:15	232:15
112:2	withhold 135:6	363:9	working 48:2
wholly 93:13	withholding	wonderful	171:15 172:1
wide 21:13,15	133:10	190:22	203:7 245:19
30:21 276:1	witness 3:2	wondering	249:10 397:23
wider 221:14	11:13 13:22	179:18 272:10	works 84:21
widespread	14:5 16:18	383:12 405:11	355:5 404:19
61:23 403:1	17:10,21 18:3	wooten 201:3	workshop
404:1	38:24 89:13	203:15,16	125:10
widest 197:14	107:1,4 133:16	word 102:4	workshops
wie 2:6 4:13	134:8,11,11	143:8 396:9	126:20
296:5 339:9,16	136:21 163:6	words 324:5	world 39:12
340:2,10	204:2 237:10	327:10	44:6,13 53:5
341:20,24	242:19 253:22	work 117:23	55:13 127:16
342:7 343:2	307:12 370:2	144:5 171:12	128:1,7 209:22
wildfire 204:13	372:23 373:4	177:21 182:16	219:14 235:22
wildfires 204:4	406:16	183:4 186:18	235:24 259:16
204:22	witnesses 5:8	200:11 207:17	367:21
willing 153:14	6:21 7:1 8:18	210:21 233:5	worldwide
214:8	9:6,15,16,23	235:13 248:21	219:12
willingness	13:1 41:7	258:23 299:2	worried 176:22
400:17	78:14 90:11,24	352:21 356:7	worry 177:15
window 188:14	91:22 92:4,4	363:9 365:22	235:6
winter 197:24	92:16,18	386:6,19	worse 145:17
wiper 197:22	109:19 136:16	393:13 398:15	180:6
wisconsin	406:22,24	406:4	worsen 181:9
118:6 159:18	wojtkowski	worked 200:10	worsened
160:2 280:19	186:7 188:24	201:13 221:5	191:2
wish 14:5 90:24	189:2,6,7	248:19 256:8	worsening
117:21 243:12	219:2,3,4	388:8	16:12 177:18
370:18	221:4	workers 170:15	185:17
		170:19 171:9	

[worst - year] Page 110

worst 176:6	*	155:21,21	342:17,24
181:21	y	157:24 166:4	343:21 345:10
worth 167:4	y 196:2	238:13 240:8	349:7 353:3,10
246:20 248:1	yard 54:20	242:5 256:21	353:11 355:14
woulfe 175:18	205:5	257:8,15,21	357:7,19 358:8
	yeah 27:7,7,7	, ,	· ·
175:20,22	29:9,22 35:16	259:7,23	367:3 368:6,11
178:3,4,7	37:16,19 45:7	261:21 262:7	376:16 385:7
wow 15:10	47:11,20 48:7	262:17 264:24	396:7 401:6
wrap 251:12	49:4 51:20,21	265:2,21 267:5	year 24:16 25:6
295:19 321:2	52:2,6,16 57:5	268:3,21 269:1	34:7,24,24
399:18 407:9	60:2,19 62:15	269:9 273:3	35:14,22 36:3
407:22	65:11 66:20	275:16,16	36:9,23 37:4,9
wraps 89:19	70:17 71:13,14	276:12 277:8	37:9,14,14
369:11	71:16,16 72:2	278:14 279:20	56:23 57:9,9
write 12:13	74:10,19 78:15	281:20 282:23	69:8 72:17,18
377:14 386:23	80:11,19 81:6	283:12 284:16	72:22 77:8,9
392:17	82:19 83:6	285:13 288:24	82:7,7,7,24
writing 215:11	85:1 88:17	289:12 290:4,7	83:14 88:4,5,9
244:14 254:20	90:7 97:7 99:3	291:18,18	91:10 101:5
287:16	105:23 106:13	292:5,6,24	103:14 105:6
written 8:17,21	106:21 107:12	295:10,17,21	105:20 106:21
8:22 9:9 12:17	113:23 114:2	296:8 297:12	112:8 113:21
12:22 139:19	114:13 115:5	299:8,9,22	118:17 119:1
169:15,18	117:12,12	301:15 302:24	133:23 167:3
253:6 372:10	118:22 120:7	307:5 308:14	179:21 182:10
386:17	121:12 122:22	312:9 316:6	198:19 207:23
wrong 82:9,14	123:11,22	323:4 324:11	208:13 216:3
114:4 134:5	125:5 127:22	325:14,17,22	224:20 234:11
wrote 129:8	128:4,11,15	326:7,18,24	246:21 248:17
378:23 392:21	134:3 140:16	327:22 328:11	248:20 250:5
396:21	143:8,16,22	330:7 331:6	251:17 274:1
	, ,	334:5 337:7,8	279:1,5 319:11
	146:1 147:6,6	337:21 339:3,9	320:11 353:17
	148:12 151:16	341:5 342:17	355:11 356:4
	Varitant I aa		

[year - zwick] Page 111

356:15 357:10	374:5 382:5	138:10 161:18	zevs 22:22
359:21 360:8	399:11	175:2 185:23	24:17,21,22,23
360:14 375:4	yelling 193:22	192:5 193:18	25:1,8,18
376:5,13 380:2	yellow 44:18	216:14 217:15	26:11,17 29:13
399:3	yep 105:16,24	217:20 218:4	29:17 30:2
years 14:22	105:24 109:8	239:21 262:23	31:2,22 34:8
15:24 16:6	111:20 136:9	283:10 293:14	35:14 36:19
26:15 37:18	136:12 137:22	294:21 303:5	50:24 51:2,7
38:7 55:15	146:7 242:9	305:17 306:3	51:19 52:1,4
56:10,21 57:2	283:2 290:5	353:20	52:13 55:5
57:7 65:9,10	298:4 300:13	zeros 377:20	56:15 57:6
67:21 82:6,24	330:10 332:24	zev 20:15 21:22	138:1 197:16
83:9,22 120:6	333:2 338:4	21:24 22:1,24	274:2
120:20 121:5	342:20 343:4	23:4 24:3,12	zip 112:17
124:23 130:2	344:21 345:5	25:13,24 26:1	187:4
131:19 163:9	350:4 355:1	26:4,5 28:10	zones 205:13
177:7 182:13	362:5	28:11 29:12	zumbi 223:22
186:13 190:18	yesterday	30:8,14 31:8	226:3
190:18 191:1	182:19	31:19 32:11,20	zwick 190:10
193:16 201:11	yo 310:5,5	34:7,20,23	
209:11 212:23	young 178:22	35:6,21 36:1,6	
224:2,11	Z	36:12 44:24	
228:22,24	ze 300:24	45:9 47:4,7	
230:3 231:23	zero 20:14	51:10 52:15	
234:20 245:6,9	21:23 22:8	55:9 56:18,23	
246:11,16	24:18 25:9,13	63:14 69:7	
250:7 257:1,6	30:23 31:2	74:1 78:21	
257:7,9 274:3	51:17 63:22	80:4,9,22 81:1	
281:8 345:14	108:19 112:8	86:4 130:22	
352:4 355:19	113:20,20	131:3,11,15,17	
356:19,19	114:10,14,23	138:14 162:14	
358:4 359:14	115:24 116:20	197:9 198:10	
359:24 361:6	118:18 119:2	273:24 319:12	
361:22 367:21	110,10 117,2	361:7	