

BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

| | | |
|---------------------------------|---|--------------------|
| IN THE MATTER OF: |) | |
| |) | |
| |) | R 23-18(A) |
| AMENDMENTS TO 35 ILL. ADM. CODE |) | (Rulemaking – Air) |
| PARTS 201, 202, AND 212 |) | |

NOTICE OF FILING

| | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| TO: Mr. Don A. Brown, | Timothy Fox |
| Clerk of the Board | Chloe Salk |
| Illinois Pollution Control Board | Hearing Officers |
| 100 West Randolph Street, | Illinois Pollution Control Board |
| Suite 11-500 | 60 East Van Buren Street, Suite 630 |
| Chicago, Illinois 60601 | Chicago, Illinois 60605 |

(SEE PERSONS ON ATTACHED SERVICE LIST)

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that I have today filed with the Office of the Clerk of the Illinois Pollution Control Board, the **FIRST POST-HEARING COMMENT** on behalf of the American Petroleum Institute, copies of which, are hereby served upon you.

Respectfully submitted,

AMERICAN PETROLEUM INSTITUTE,

By: /s/ Alec Messina
One of its Attorneys

Dated: October 18, 2023

Alec Messina
HEPLERBROOM, LLC
4340 Acer Grove Drive
Springfield, Illinois 62711
Alec.Messina@helperbroom.com
(217) 528-3674

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, on oath state the following: That I have served the attached **FIRST POST-HEARING COMMENT** of the **AMERICAN PETROLEUM INSTITUTE**, via electronic mail upon:

Mr. Don A. Brown
Clerk of the Board
Illinois Pollution Control Board
100 West Randolph Street, Suite 11-500
Chicago, Illinois 60601
don.brown@illinois.gov

Timothy Fox
Chloe Salk
Hearing Officers
Illinois Pollution Control Board
60 East Van Buren Street, Suite 630
Chicago, Illinois 60605
tim.fox@illinois.gov
chloe.salk@illinois.gov

Joshua R. More
David M. Loring
Amy Antonioli
Samuel A. Rasche
ArentFox Schiff, LLP
233 South Wacker Drive, Suite 6600
Chicago, IL 60606
Joshua.More@afslaw.com
dloring@schiffhardin.com
Amy.antonioli@afslaw.com
Sam.Rasche@afslaw.com

Charles E. Matoesian
Dana Vetterhoffer
Assistant Counsel
Illinois Environmental Protection Agency
1021 North Grand Avenue East
Post Office Box 19276
Springfield, Illinois 62794-9276
charles.matoesian@illinois.gov
dana.vetterhoffer@illinois.gov

Renee Snow
General Counsel
Illinois Department of Natural Resources
One Natural Resource Way
Springfield, Illinois 62702
renee.snow@illinois.gov

Kelly Thompson
Executive Director
Illinois Environmental Regulatory Group
215 E. Adams Street
Springfield, Illinois 62701
kthompson@ierg.org

Faith E. Bugel
1004 Mohawl Road
Wilmette, Illinois 60091
fbugel@gmail.com

Cantrell Jones
Environmental Law and Policy Center
35 E. Wacker Drive, Suite 1600
Chicago, Illinois 60606
CJones@elpc.org

Keith I. Harley
Greater Chicago Legal Clinic, Inc.
211 West Wacker Drive, Suite 750
Chicago, Illinois 60606
kharley@kentlaw.edu

Mark A. Bilut
McDermott, Will & Emery
227 West Monroe Street
Chicago, Illinois 60606
mbilut@mwe.com

Byron F. Taylor
John M. Heyde
Sidley Austin, LLP
One South Dearborn, Suite 900
Chicago, IL 60603
bftaylor@sidley.com
jheyde@sidley.com

Molly Kordas
Ann Marie A. Hanohano,
Assistant Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General
69 West Washington Street, Suite 1800
Chicago, IL 60602
molly.kordas@ilag.gov
annmarie.hanohano@ilag.gov

Jason James
Assistant Attorney General
Office of the Attorney General
21 West Point Drive Suite 7
Belleville, IL 62226
Jason.James@ilag.gov;

Michael Leslie
USEPA - Region 5
Ralph H. Metcalfe Federal Building
77 West Jackson Blvd
Chicago, IL 60604
Leslie.michael@epa.gov

Andrew N. Sawula
ArentFox Schiff, LLP
One Westminster Place, Suite 200
Lake Forest, IL 50045
Andrew.Sawula@afslaw.com

Alec Messina
HeplerBroom, LLC
4340 Acer Grove Drive
Springfield, IL 62711
Alec.Messina@heplerbroom.com

That my email address is Alec.Messina@heplerbroom.com

That the number of pages in the email transmission is 23.

That the email transmission took place before 5:00 p.m. on October 18, 2023.

Date: October 18, 2023

/s/ Alec Messina
Alec Messina

BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

| | | |
|--|---|---------------------------|
| IN THE MATTER OF: |) | |
| |) | |
| AMENDMENTS TO 35 ILL. ADM. CODE |) | R 23-18(A) |
| PARTS 201, 202, AND 212 |) | (Rulemaking – Air) |

**FIRST POST-HEARING COMMENT OF THE
AMERICAN PETROLEUM INSTITUTE**

NOW COMES Petitioner, the AMERICAN PETROLEUM INSTITUTE (“API”), by and through its undersigned attorney, hereby submits to the Illinois Pollution Control Board (“Board”) its First Post-Hearing Comment in this sub-docket rulemaking.

Proposed Revisions to Rule Language

On September 20, 2023, the Hearing Officer entered an Order in this sub-docket, which included the Board’s pre-filed questions to the participants of the proceeding. In the first pre-filed question, the Board asked participants whether they had any concerns regarding the non-substantive revisions to the proposed amendments shown in Attachment A to the pre-filed questions. Attachment, Hearing Officer Order, PCB R 23-18(A) at 1 (Sept. 20, 2023). In relation to API’s proposal, the Board proposed revisions to 35 Ill. Adm. Code 216.103, 216.104, and 216.361. API has no concerns regarding the Board’s proposed revisions to these sections.

Furthermore, at the First Hearing in this matter, the Board requested that API respond to the Joint Committee on Administrative Rules’ (“JCAR”) questions filed with the Board on September 7, 2023. Transcript of First Hearing, PCB R 23-18(A) at 77:14-20 (Sept. 27, 2023); *see* Public Comment #2, PCB R 23-18(A) (Sept. 7, 2023). API does not object to JCAR’s proposed changes to 35 Ill. Adm. Code 216.104 or 216.361.

Monitoring Data

On September 20, 2023, the Attorney General's Office ("AGO") filed pre-filed questions directed to witnesses at the First Hearing in this sub-docket. The AGO filed a number of pre-filed questions directed at API. At the First Hearing, API's witness, John Derek Reese, provided responses to the AGO's pre-filed questions. As to the AGO's pre-filed question #5 directed to API, the AGO requested the date and time of each of the five FCCU startups at the Marathon refinery during calendar years 2017-2019. Mr. Reese provided that information at the First Hearing; however, for convenience, API is hereby again submitting the information:

| Startup Begins | Startup Complete |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| 1/7/2018 01:45 | 1/8/2018 07:30 |
| 2/17/2019 23:00 | 2/18/2019 16:45 |
| 4/4/2019 17:30 | 4/5/2019 4:30 |
| 6/6/2019 13:30 | 6/7/2019 0:30 |
| 12/8/2019 15:30 | 12/9/2019 12:00 |

The AGO's pre-filed question #6 to API requested that API "provide all monitoring data available from the two monitoring stations from the dates of the five FCCU startups at the Marathon refinery during calendar years 2017 through 2019." As explained by Mr. Reese at the First Hearing, Marathon's two monitoring stations monitored carbon monoxide ("CO"), nitrogen dioxide ("NO₂"), total reduced sulfur ("TRS"), PM₁₀, and volatile organic compounds ("VOC"). Testimony of John Derek Reese, First Hearing Transcript, PCB R 23-18(A) at 66:8-11 (Sep. 27, 2023).¹ API hereby provides, attached as Exhibit 1 hereto, excerpts from Marathon's Completion Report prepared pursuant to the Consent Order, which includes summary CO data from Marathon's monitoring stations from 2017 through 2019. API objects to the AGO's request to provide

¹ Simultaneous with this Post-Hearing Comment, API is filing a Motion for Correction of the transcript of the First Hearing, correcting several typographical errors relating to Mr. Reese's testimony.

monitoring data related to emissions from other pollutants as such information is not relevant to API's proposal in this sub-docket. API's proposal proposes amendments to Part 216 of the Board's regulations, which govern CO emissions. Specifically, API's proposal concerns amendments to 35 Ill. Adm. Code 216.361, which provides CO emission standards for petroleum and petrochemical processes. Emissions of other pollutants are therefore not relevant to API's proposal.

Modeling Data

At the First Hearing in this sub-docket, the AGO requested that API submit more detail about the AERMOD screening that ExxonMobil performed, including the inputs and more detail on the results. First Hearing Transcript, PCB R 23-18(A) at 76:8-22 (Sep. 27, 2023). API hereby submits, as Exhibit 2 hereto, additional information concerning the CO dispersion modeling performed at the ExxonMobil refinery.

WHEREFORE, for the above and foregoing reasons, the American Petroleum Institute hereby respectfully submits its First Post-Hearing Comment for the Illinois Pollution Control Board's consideration.

Respectfully submitted,

AMERICAN PETROLEUM INSTITUTE,

By: /s/ Alec Messina
One of Its Attorneys

Dated: October 18, 2023

Alec Messina
HEPLERBROOM, LLC
4340 Acer Grove Drive
Springfield, Illinois 62711
Alec.Messina@heplerbroom.com
(217) 528-3674

| Table 2-5: CO Highest and Second Highest Averages For January 1, 2017 - December 31, 2017 | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Monitoring Site | Highest Hourly Average, Date(s) and Time(s) of Occurrence | 2nd Highest Hourly Average, Date(s) and Time(s) of Occurrence | Max 8-Hour Running Average, Date(s) and Time(s) of Occurrence |
| Site #1 | 0.8 ppm 8/1/17 10AM | 0.7 ppm Refer to Data Listings | 0.6 ppm 12/3/17 12AM, 2AM |
| Site #2 | 1.2 ppm 2/1/17 8AM | 1.0 ppm 5/30/17 7PM | 0.5 ppm Refer to Data Listings |
| CO Highest and Second Highest Averages For January 1, 2018 - December 31, 2018 | | | |
| Monitoring Site | Highest Hourly Average, Date(s) and Time(s) of Occurrence | 2nd Highest Hourly Average, Date(s) and Time(s) of Occurrence | Max 8-Hour Running Average, Date(s) and Time(s) of Occurrence |
| Site #1 | 0.8 ppm 12/12/18 4PM | 0.7 ppm 10/19/18 11AM, 1PM, 12/12/18 7PM | 0.5 ppm Refer to Data Listings |
| Site #2 | 1.3 ppm 1/17/18 7AM | 1.1 ppm 1/17/18 6AM, 1/28/18 6AM, 12/17/18 5AM | 0.8 ppm 1/13/18 8PM, 9PM |
| CO Highest and Second Highest Averages For January 1, 2019 - December 31, 2019 | | | |
| Monitoring Site | Highest Hourly Average, Date(s) and Time(s) of Occurrence | 2nd Highest Hourly Average, Date(s) and Time(s) of Occurrence | Max 8-Hour Running Average, Date(s) and Time(s) of Occurrence |
| Site #1 | 1.8 ppm 11/10/19 10PM | 1.7 ppm 11/11/19 12AM | 1.2 ppm 11/11/19 1AM, 4AM-5AM |
| Site #2 | 0.9 ppm Refer to Data Listings | 0.8 ppm 4/2/19 4AM, 8/1/19 7AM | 0.6 ppm 3/18/19 6AM-8AM |

EXHIBIT 1

| Table 4-7: 2017 CO 1-Point Quality Control Checks: Site #1 | | | |
|---|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Date | Known Concentration (ppb) | DAS-Indicated Concentration (ppb) | Percent Difference (Δ %) |
| 1/6/17 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 2.22 |
| 1/13/17 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 2.22 |
| 1/20/17 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 0.00 |
| 1/27/17 | 4.5 | 4.4 | -2.22 |
| 2/3/17 | 4.5 | 4.4 | -2.22 |
| 2/10/17 | 4.5 | 4.3 | -4.44 |
| 2/17/17 | 4.5 | 4.4 | -2.22 |
| 2/23/17 | 4.5 | 4.3 | -4.44 |
| 2/23/17 | 5.0 | 5.1 | 2.00 |
| 2/24/17 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 2.22 |
| 3/3/17 | 4.5 | 4.4 | -2.22 |
| 3/10/17 | 4.5 | 4.4 | -2.22 |
| 3/12/17 | 4.5 | 4.3 | -4.44 |
| 3/12/17 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 0.00 |
| 3/17/17 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 0.00 |
| 3/24/17 | 4.5 | 4.4 | -2.22 |
| 3/31/17 | 4.5 | 4.4 | -2.22 |
| Absolute Value of Mean Percent Differences (AB) | | 2.21 | |
| Standard Deviation of Absolute Value of Mean Percent Differences (AS) | | 1.36 | |
| Precision (CV%) | | 3.08 | |
| Signed Bias (%) | | -2.79 | |
| Upper 95% Probability Limit | | 3.42 | |
| Lower 95% Probability Limit | | -5.80 | |

| Table 4-7: 2017 CO 1-Point Quality Control Checks: Site #1 (continued) | | | |
|--|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Date | Known Concentration (ppb) | DAS-Indicated Concentration (ppb) | Percent Difference (Δ %) |
| 4/7/17 | 4.5 | 4.3 | -4.44 |
| 4/14/17 | 4.5 | 4.4 | -2.22 |
| 4/21/17 | 4.5 | 4.2 | -6.67 |
| 4/21/17 | 4.5 | 4.2 | -6.67 |
| 4/21/17 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 0.00 |
| 4/28/17 | 4.5 | 4.4 | -2.22 |
| 5/5/17 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 0.00 |
| 5/12/17 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 4.44 |
| 5/19/17 | 4.5 | 4.4 | -2.22 |
| 5/26/17 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 0.00 |
| 5/30/17 | 4.5 | 4.4 | -2.22 |
| 5/30/17 | 5.0 | 5.1 | 2.00 |
| 6/2/17 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 6.67 |
| 6/9/17 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 6.67 |
| 6/16/17 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 4.44 |
| 6/23/17 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 2.22 |
| 6/30/17 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 2.22 |
| Absolute Value of Mean Percent Differences (AB) | | 3.26 | |
| Standard Deviation of Absolute Value of Mean Percent Differences (AS) | | 2.38 | |
| Precision (CV%) | | 5.39 | |
| Signed Bias (%) | | ± 4.26 | |
| Upper 95% Probability Limit | | 8.18 | |
| Lower 95% Probability Limit | | -7.94 | |

| Table 4-7: 2017 CO 1-Point Quality Control Checks: Site #1 (continued) | | | |
|--|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Date | Known Concentration (ppb) | DAS-Indicated Concentration (ppb) | Percent Difference (Δ %) |
| 7/7/17 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 2.22 |
| 7/14/17 | 4.5 | 4.4 | -2.22 |
| 7/21/17 | 4.5 | 4.3 | -4.44 |
| 7/28/17 | 4.5 | 4.3 | -4.44 |
| 7/28/17 | 4.5 | 4.2 | -6.67 |
| 7/28/17 | 5.0 | 5.2 | 4.00 |
| 8/4/17 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 6.67 |
| 8/11/17 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 4.44 |
| 8/18/17 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 0.00 |
| 8/25/17 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 0.00 |
| 9/1/17 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 0.00 |
| 9/8/17 | 4.5 | 4.3 | -4.44 |
| 9/11/17 | 5.0 | 5.2 | 4.00 |
| 9/15/17 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 4.44 |
| 9/22/17 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 4.44 |
| 9/29/17 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 4.44 |
| Absolute Value of Mean Percent Differences (AB) | | 3.56 | |
| Standard Deviation of Absolute Value of Mean Percent Differences (AS) | | 2.11 | |
| Precision (CV%) | | 5.51 | |
| Signed Bias (%) | | ± 4.48 | |
| Upper 95% Probability Limit | | 8.93 | |
| Lower 95% Probability Limit | | -7.37 | |

| Table 4-7: 2017 CO 1-Point Quality Control Checks: Site #1 (continued) | | | |
|--|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Date | Known Concentration (ppb) | DAS-Indicated Concentration (ppb) | Percent Difference (Δ %) |
| 10/6/17 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 2.22 |
| 10/13/17 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 4.44 |
| 10/20/17 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 4.44 |
| 11/3/17 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 4.44 |
| 11/10/17 | 4.5 | 4.4 | -2.22 |
| 11/17/17 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 0.00 |
| 11/24/17 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 0.00 |
| 12/1/17 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 0.00 |
| 12/8/17 | 4.5 | 4.4 | -2.22 |
| 12/15/17 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 0.00 |
| 12/22/17 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 0.00 |
| 12/29/17 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 0.00 |
| Absolute Value of Mean Percent Differences (AB) | | 1.67 | |
| Standard Deviation of Absolute Value of Mean Percent Differences (AS) | | 1.93 | |
| Precision (CV%) | | 3.38 | |
| Signed Bias (%) | | +2.66 | |
| Upper 95% Probability Limit | | 5.65 | |
| Lower 95% Probability Limit | | -3.79 | |

| Table 4-8: 2018 CO 1-Point Quality Control Checks: Site #1 | | | |
|---|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Date | Known Concentration (ppb) | DAS-Indicated Concentration (ppb) | Percent Difference (Δ %) |
| 1/5/18 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 0.00 |
| 1/12/18 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 0.00 |
| 1/19/18 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 0.00 |
| 1/26/18 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 0.00 |
| 2/2/18 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 0.00 |
| 2/9/18 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 0.00 |
| 2/16/18 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 0.00 |
| 2/23/18 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 0.00 |
| 3/2/18 | 4.5 | 4.4 | -2.22 |
| 3/9/18 | 4.5 | 4.4 | -2.22 |
| 3/16/18 | 4.5 | 4.4 | -2.22 |
| 3/22/18 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 0.00 |
| 3/22/18 | 7.3 | 7.4 | 1.37 |
| 3/23/18 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 2.22 |
| 3/30/18 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 0.00 |
| Absolute Value of Mean Percent Differences (AB) | | 0.68 | |
| Standard Deviation of Absolute Value of Mean Percent Differences (AS) | | 1.02 | |
| Precision (CV%) | | 1.64 | |
| Signed Bias (%) | | ± 1.15 | |
| Upper 95% Probability Limit | | 2.20 | |
| Lower 95% Probability Limit | | -2.61 | |

| Table 4-8: 2018 CO 1-Point Quality Control Checks: Site #1 (continued) | | | |
|--|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Date | Known Concentration (ppb) | DAS-Indicated Concentration (ppb) | Percent Difference (Δ %) |
| 4/6/18 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 0.00 |
| 4/13/18 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 0.00 |
| 4/20/18 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 0.00 |
| 4/27/18 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 0.00 |
| 5/4/18 | 4.5 | 4.4 | -2.22 |
| 5/11/18 | 4.5 | 4.4 | -2.22 |
| 5/18/18 | 4.5 | 4.2 | -6.67 |
| 5/25/18 | 4.5 | 4.3 | -4.44 |
| 5/30/18 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 0.00 |
| 5/30/18 | 7.3 | 7.6 | 4.11 |
| 6/1/18 | 4.5 | 4.4 | -2.22 |
| 6/8/18 | 4.5 | 4.4 | -2.22 |
| 6/15/18 | 4.5 | 4.4 | -2.22 |
| 6/22/18 | 4.5 | 4.3 | -4.44 |
| 6/29/18 | 4.5 | 4.3 | -4.44 |
| Absolute Value of Mean Percent Differences (AB) | | 2.35 | |
| Standard Deviation of Absolute Value of Mean Percent Differences (AS) | | 2.11 | |
| Precision (CV%) | | 3.52 | |
| Signed Bias (%) | | -3.31 | |
| Upper 95% Probability Limit | | 3.35 | |
| Lower 95% Probability Limit | | -6.95 | |

| Table 4-8: 2018 CO 1-Point Quality Control Checks: Site #1 (continued) | | | |
|--|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Date | Known Concentration (ppb) | DAS-Indicated Concentration (ppb) | Percent Difference (Δ %) |
| 7/6/18 | 4.5 | 4.4 | -2.22 |
| 7/13/18 | 4.5 | 4.3 | -4.44 |
| 7/20/18 | 4.5 | 4.2 | -6.67 |
| 7/23/18 | 7.3 | 7.1 | -2.74 |
| 7/23/18 | 7.3 | 7.4 | 1.37 |
| 7/27/18 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 0.00 |
| 8/3/18 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 0.00 |
| 8/10/18 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 0.00 |
| 8/17/18 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 0.00 |
| 8/24/18 | 4.5 | 4.4 | -2.22 |
| 8/31/18 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 2.22 |
| 9/7/18 | 4.5 | 4.4 | -2.22 |
| 9/7/18 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 0.00 |
| 9/14/18 | 4.5 | 4.4 | -2.22 |
| 9/21/18 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 0.00 |
| 9/28/18 | 4.5 | 4.4 | -2.22 |
| Absolute Value of Mean Percent Differences (AB) | | 1.79 | |
| Standard Deviation of Absolute Value of Mean Percent Differences (AS) | | 1.87 | |
| Precision (CV%) | | 2.96 | |
| Signed Bias (%) | | -2.60 | |
| Upper 95% Probability Limit | | 3.04 | |
| Lower 95% Probability Limit | | -5.71 | |

| Table 4-8: 2018 CO 1-Point Quality Control Checks: Site #1 (continued) | | | |
|--|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Date | Known Concentration (ppb) | DAS-Indicated Concentration (ppb) | Percent Difference (Δ %) |
| 10/5/18 | 4.5 | 4.3 | -4.44 |
| 10/9/18 | 4.5 | 4.3 | -4.44 |
| 10/9/18 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 2.22 |
| 10/12/18 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 2.22 |
| 10/15/18 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 0.00 |
| 10/18/18 | 7.3 | 7.4 | 1.37 |
| 10/18/18 | 7.6 | 7.8 | 2.63 |
| 10/22/18 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 2.22 |
| 10/29/18 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 4.44 |
| 11/5/18 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 4.44 |
| 11/12/18 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 4.44 |
| 11/19/18 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 2.22 |
| 11/26/18 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 2.22 |
| 12/3/18 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 2.22 |
| 12/10/18 | 4.5 | 4.9 | 8.89 |
| 12/17/18 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 2.22 |
| 12/24/18 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 6.67 |
| 12/31/18 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 2.22 |
| Absolute Value of Mean Percent Differences (AB) | | 3.31 | |
| Standard Deviation of Absolute Value of Mean Percent Differences (AS) | | 2.08 | |
| Precision (CV%) | | 4.15 | |
| Signed Bias (%) | | +4.16 | |
| Upper 95% Probability Limit | | 8.58 | |
| Lower 95% Probability Limit | | -3.94 | |

| Table 4-9: 2019 CO 1-Point Quality Control Checks: Site #1 | | | |
|---|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Date | Known Concentration (ppb) | DAS-Indicated Concentration (ppb) | Percent Difference (Δ %) |
| 1/7/19 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 4.44 |
| 1/14/19 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 2.22 |
| 1/21/19 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 4.44 |
| 1/28/19 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 4.44 |
| 1/31/19 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 6.67 |
| 1/31/19 | 4.5 | 4.4 | -2.22 |
| 2/4/19 | 4.5 | 4.3 | -4.44 |
| 2/11/19 | 4.5 | 4.4 | -2.22 |
| 2/18/19 | 4.5 | 4.3 | -4.44 |
| 2/25/19 | 4.5 | 4.2 | -6.67 |
| 3/4/19 | 4.5 | 4.3 | -4.44 |
| 3/11/19 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 4.44 |
| 3/18/19 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 2.22 |
| 3/25/19 | 4.5 | 4.4 | -2.22 |
| Absolute Value of Mean Percent Differences (AB) | | 3.97 | |
| Standard Deviation of Absolute Value of Mean Percent Differences (AS) | | 1.55 | |
| Precision (CV%) | | 5.98 | |
| Signed Bias (%) | | ± 4.7 | |
| Upper 95% Probability Limit | | 8.78 | |
| Lower 95% Probability Limit | | -8.46 | |

| Table 4-9: 2019 CO 1-Point Quality Control Checks: Site #1 (continued) | | | |
|--|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Date | Known Concentration (ppb) | DAS-Indicated Concentration (ppb) | Percent Difference (Δ %) |
| 4/1/19 | 4.5 | 4.4 | -2.22 |
| 4/8/19 | 4.5 | 4.2 | -6.67 |
| 4/15/19 | 4.5 | 4.3 | -4.44 |
| 4/22/19 | 4.5 | 4.3 | -4.44 |
| 4/30/19 | 7.5 | 7.3 | -2.67 |
| 4/30/19 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 0.00 |
| 5/6/19 | 4.5 | 4.4 | -2.22 |
| 5/13/19 | 4.5 | 4.3 | -4.44 |
| 5/20/19 | 4.5 | 4.2 | -6.67 |
| 6/3/19 | 4.5 | 4.2 | -6.67 |
| 6/10/19 | 4.5 | 4.2 | -6.67 |
| 6/17/19 | 4.5 | 4.3 | -4.44 |
| 6/24/19 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 0.00 |
| Absolute Value of Mean Percent Differences (AB) | | 3.97 | |
| Standard Deviation of Absolute Value of Mean Percent Differences (AS) | | 2.40 | |
| Precision (CV%) | | 3.32 | |
| Signed Bias (%) | | -5.15 | |
| Upper 95% Probability Limit | | 0.74 | |
| Lower 95% Probability Limit | | -8.68 | |

| Table 4-9: 2019 CO 1-Point Quality Control Checks: Site #1 (continued) | | | |
|--|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Date | Known Concentration (ppb) | DAS-Indicated Concentration (ppb) | Percent Difference (Δ %) |
| 7/1/19 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 2.22 |
| 7/8/19 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 4.44 |
| 7/15/19 | 4.5 | 4.8 | 6.67 |
| 7/15/19 | 7.5 | 7.7 | 2.67 |
| 7/15/19 | 7.5 | 7.3 | -2.67 |
| 7/22/19 | 4.5 | 4.4 | -2.22 |
| 7/29/19 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 0.00 |
| 8/5/19 | 4.5 | 4.4 | -2.22 |
| 8/12/19 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 2.22 |
| 8/19/19 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 2.22 |
| 8/26/19 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 4.44 |
| 9/2/19 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 4.44 |
| 9/5/19 | 7.5 | 7.6 | 1.33 |
| 9/5/19 | 7.5 | 7.4 | -1.33 |
| 9/9/19 | 4.5 | 4.4 | -2.22 |
| 9/16/19 | 4.5 | 4.3 | -4.44 |
| 9/23/19 | 4.5 | 4.4 | -2.22 |
| 9/24/19 | 4.5 | 4.4 | -2.22 |
| Absolute Value of Mean Percent Differences (AB) | | 2.79 | |
| Standard Deviation of Absolute Value of Mean Percent Differences (AS) | | 1.55 | |
| Precision (CV%) | | 4.15 | |
| Signed Bias (%) | | ± 3.42 | |
| Upper 95% Probability Limit | | 6.69 | |
| Lower 95% Probability Limit | | -5.65 | |

| Table 4-9: 2019 CO 1-Point Quality Control Checks: Site #1 (continued) | | | |
|--|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Date | Known Concentration (ppb) | DAS-Indicated Concentration (ppb) | Percent Difference (Δ %) |
| 10/7/19 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 0.00 |
| 10/14/19 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 0.00 |
| 10/21/19 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 0.00 |
| 10/28/19 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 2.22 |
| 11/4/19 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 0.00 |
| 11/11/19 | 4.5 | 4.7 | 4.44 |
| 11/12/19 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 0.00 |
| 11/12/19 | 7.5 | 7.3 | -2.67 |
| 11/18/19 | 4.5 | 4.4 | -2.22 |
| 11/25/19 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 0.00 |
| 12/2/19 | 4.5 | 4.4 | -2.22 |
| 12/9/19 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 0.00 |
| 12/16/19 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 0.00 |
| 12/23/19 | 4.5 | 4.6 | 2.22 |
| 12/30/19 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 0.00 |
| Absolute Value of Mean Percent Differences (AB) | | 1.07 | |
| Standard Deviation of Absolute Value of Mean Percent Differences (AS) | | 1.45 | |
| Precision (CV%) | | 2.44 | |
| Signed Bias (%) | | ± 1.73 | |
| Upper 95% Probability Limit | | 3.69 | |
| Lower 95% Probability Limit | | -3.45 | |

**MEMORANDUM**

To: Brad Sims and Terry Cirbo, ExxonMobil Oil Corporation
From: Jim Donaldson and Reshawn George, Trinity Consultants, Inc.
Date: October 13, 2023
RE: Carbon Monoxide Dispersion Modeling for the FCC Unit

Trinity Consultants, Inc. (Trinity) performed in early July of this year a dispersion modeling analysis for emissions of carbon monoxide (CO) from the fluidized catalytic cracking unit (FCC Unit) at the ExxonMobil Oil Corporation (ExxonMobil) refinery near Joliet, Illinois (Joliet facility) to determine conservatively the ground level concentrations of CO at various emission rates during startup conditions for comparison to the national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS). As described below, based on model results, emissions during startup operations of ExxonMobil's FCC Unit do not cause an exceedance of the CO NAAQS.

The following methodology and conditions were used in the dispersion model:

The current U.S. EPA regulatory model, AERMOD (version 22112) was used, as incorporated within Trinity's BREEZE™ AERMOD Pro software, in conjunction with the following guidance documents:

- U.S. EPA's *Guideline on Air Quality Models* 40 CFR 51, Appendix W (Revised, January 17, 2017);
- U.S. EPA's *AERMOD Implementation Guide* (Revised August 2019); and
- U.S. EPA's *New Source Review Workshop Manual* (Draft, October 1990);

The Building Profile Input Program (BPIMP) with Plume Rise Model Enhancements (PRIME) (version 04274) was used to determine the building downwash characteristics for each stack;

In all modeling input and output files, the locations of the emission source, structures, and receptors were represented in the Universal Transverse Mercator (UTM) coordinate system in UTM Zone 16;

All model objects were defined in the North American Datum of 1983 (NAD83);

Trinity used a variable-density, circular Cartesian receptor grid to determine the extent of the significant impact area (SIA):

- Property line receptors with a spacing of 50 meters
- 100-meter spacing, extending from the property line to approximately 4,000 meters from the facility center
- 500-meter spacing, from 4,000 meters to approximately 6,500 meters from the facility center
- 1,000-meter spacing, from 6,500 meters to approximately 15,000 meters from the facility center
- 2,500-meter spacing, from 15,000 meters to approximately 50,000 meters from the facility center

The terrain elevation for each receptor point, emission source, and structure was determined using the AERMOD terrain processor, AERMAP (version 18081);

The meteorological data used for this modeling demonstration were obtained from the Midway International Airport, located in Chicago, IL.





MEMORANDUM

- In 2017, there is a significant amount of missing met data between June and September. Therefore, the data were pre-processed for AERMOD using AERMET (version 19191) for the years 2012 through 2016, as recommended by Jeff Sprague of Illinois EPA in a May 18, 2020 email to ExxonMobil.
- One-minute wind data were processed using the AERMINUTE program (version 15272) and input to AERMET (version 19191)
- The regulatory default ADJ_U* option was selected in AERMET

The FCC Unit was modeled at an emission rate of 2,000 ppm, which represents maximum CO concentrations under past startup conditions measured by the unit's continuous emission monitoring system (CEMS) as reported to agencies in periodic compliance reports.

- The FCC Unit stack was modeled at its height of 250 feet, diameter of 14 feet, average temperature of 141 °F, and maximum flow rate of 69 feet per second, resulting in a CO emission rate of 4,902 pounds per hour

The maximum modeled ground level impacts for CO under these conditions are shown in the table below:

| CO Modeled Concentration | Averaging Period | Maximum impact (ppm)* | NAAQS (ppm) | Percent of NAAQS | Max Receptor UTM Easting (m) | Max Receptor UTM Northing (m) |
|--------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|-------------|------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 2,000 ppm | 1-hr | 0.94 | 35 | 2.69% | 401700 | 4586300 |
| | 8-hr | 0.49 | 9 | 5.39% | 401300 | 4586500 |

*Summary model results attached. AERMOD outputs are in terms of $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$, approximately 1,165 x the value of CO in terms of ppm

Based on these modeled results, operation of the FCC during startup conditions is not expected to cause an exceedance of the CO NAAQS.



MEMORANDUM

Figure 1 – Summary of Highest 1-Hour Results

```

** CONC OF CO      IN MICROGRAMS/M**3      **

GROUP ID      AVERAGE CONC      DATE      RECEPTOR (XR, YR, ZELEV, ZHILL, ZFLAG)      OF TYPE      NETWORK
-----
ALL      HIGH      1ST HIGH VALUE IS      1095.43296 ON 12080307: AT ( 401700.00, 4586300.00, 164.03, 164.03, 0.00) DC

*** RECEPTOR TYPES:  GC = GRIDCART
                      GP = GRIDPOLR
                      DC = DISCCART
                      DP = DISCPOLR

*** AERMOD - VERSION 22112 ***      *** ExxonMobil - Joliet, Illinois      ***      09/22/23
*** AERMET - VERSION 19191 ***      *** CO Modeling - Year 2012-2016      ***      17:34:51
                                           PAGE 179

*** MODELOPTs:  RegDFault CONC ELEV NODRYDPLT NOWETDPLT RURAL ADJ_U*

*** Message Summary : AERMOD Model Execution ***

----- Summary of Total Messages -----

A Total of      0 Fatal Error Message(s)
A Total of      3 Warning Message(s)
A Total of      352 Informational Message(s)

A Total of      43848 Hours Were Processed

A Total of      86 Calm Hours Identified

A Total of      266 Missing Hours Identified ( 0.61 Percent)

***** FATAL ERROR MESSAGES *****
*** NONE ***

***** WARNING MESSAGES *****
ME W186 7188      MEOPEN: THRESH_1MIN 1-min ASOS wind speed threshold used      0.50
ME W187 7188      MEOPEN: ADJ_U* Option for Stable Low Winds used in AERMET
OU W565 7194      OUPLOT: Possible Conflict With Dynamically Allocated FUNIT      PLOTFILE

*****
*** AERMOD Finishes Successfully ***
*****

```



MEMORANDUM

Figure 2 – Summary of Highest 8-Hour Results

```

** CONC OF CO      IN MICROGRAMS/M**3      **

GROUP ID          AVERAGE CONC      DATE
                  (YYMMDDHH)          RECEPTOR (XR, YR, ZELEV, ZHILL, ZFLAG) OF TYPE NETWORK
                  -----
ALL      HIGH      1ST HIGH VALUE IS      565.23515 ON 12102516: AT ( 401300.00, 4586500.00, 159.02, 159.02, 0.00) DC

*** RECEPTOR TYPES:  GC = GRIDCART
                      GP = GRIDPOLR
                      DC = DISCCART
                      DP = DISCPOLR

? *** AERMOD - VERSION 22112 ***      *** ExxonMobil - Joliet, Illinois      ***      09/22/23
*** AERMET - VERSION 19191 ***      *** CO Modeling - Year 2012-2016      ***      17:46:51
                                           PAGE 179

*** MODELOPTs:  RegDEFAULT CONC ELEV NODRYDPLT NOWETDPLT RURAL ADJ_U*

*** Message Summary : AERMOD Model Execution ***

----- Summary of Total Messages -----

A Total of      0 Fatal Error Message(s)
A Total of      3 Warning Message(s)
A Total of     352 Informational Message(s)

A Total of     43848 Hours Were Processed

A Total of      86 Calm Hours Identified

A Total of     266 Missing Hours Identified ( 0.61 Percent)

***** FATAL ERROR MESSAGES *****
*** NONE ***

***** WARNING MESSAGES *****
ME W186  7188      MEOPEN: THRESH_1MIN 1-min ASOS wind speed threshold used      0.50
ME W187  7188      MEOPEN: ADJ_U* Option for Stable Low Winds used in AERMET
OU W565  7194      OUPLOT: Possible Conflict With Dynamically Allocated FUNIT      PLOTFILE

*****
*** AERMOD Finishes Successfully ***
*****

```